1 2 3 4	BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ESQ Nevada Bar No. 12264 BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ATTORNEY A 1455 E. Tropicana Ave., Suite 750 Las Vegas, NV 89119 P: (702) 795-0097; F: (702) 795-0098 blp@abetterlegalpractice.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Curtis Wilson	T LAW, PLLC Electronically Filed Dec 14 2020 11:08 Elizabeth A. Brown	
5		Clerk of Supreme Cou	rt
6	DISTRICT COURT		
7	* * *		
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
9	CURTIS WILSON, an individual,	SUPREME COURT CASE	
10	Plaintiff,	NO. 81940	
11	VS.	DISTRICT COURT CASE NO.:	
12	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN	A-19-805368-C	
13	POLICE DEPARTMENT, a governmental		
14	agency, POLICE OFFICER E. VONJAGAN, Badge		
15	No. 16098, an employee of the Metropolitan		
16	Police Department; POLICE OFFICER TENNANT, Badge No. 9817, an		
- 1	employee of the Metropolitan Police Department, and		
	DOES I through X,		
18	Defendant(s).		
19			
20	ADDELL ANTE DECHEST TO EV	TEND TIME TO DOCKETING	
21	APPELLANT'S REQUEST TO EXTEND TIME TO DOCKETING STATEMENT		
22			
23	Now comes Appellant, Curtis Wilson, through its counsel of record,		
24	Brandon L. Phillips, Esq., of the firm BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ATTORNEY AT		
	-1-		

LAW, PLLC, and hereby respectfully requests that this honorable Court extend the time for Appellant to file the Docketing Statement.

The Docketing Statement should have been filed on in November of 2020, but unfortunately, Mr. Phillips the solo practitioner and counsel for the Appellant was diagnosed with the Covid virus. Obviously, during this period Mr. Phillips had to remain quarantined and focused most of his efforts on his recovery. Further, due to the complications of the global pandemic and the present situation of Mr. Phillips, the staff was reduced and precautions were taken to ensure the safety of all that may have come into contact with Mr. Phillips during this period. There was not intent to delay the filing of the Docketing Statement or disregard the Supreme Court's Order to file the Docketing Statement in a timely. Therefore, the Appellant respectfully requests that this Court not enter sanctions against Appellant and allow the Docketing Statement, that is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, to be filed within three (3) days of the Order on the instant Motion.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. This case was decided on Respondents' Motion to Dismiss.
- It remains Appellant's position that the Court should have afforded
 Wilson tolling while he exhausted the administrative remedies afforded
 to him by law.
- The Court entered Notice of Entry of Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law on September, 14, 2020.

- 4. Appellant timely filed his Notice of Appeal on October 12, 2020.
- 5. On November 4, 2020, Appellant filed the Case Appeal Statement.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

RULE 31, FILING AND SERVICE OF BRIEFS.

- (a) Time for Serving and Filing Briefs. Unless a different briefing schedule is provided by a court order in a particular case or by these or any other court rules, parties shall observe the briefing schedule set forth in this Rule.
- (3) Motions for Extensions of Time. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.
- (A) Contents of Motion. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:
 - (i) The date when the brief is due;
- (ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a 5-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;
- (iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;
 - (iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary; and
- (v) The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.

Appellant admits that the date, as Ordered by the Supreme Court, came and went when Appellant's Counsel was infected with the Coronavirus. As the diagnosis was sudden and unexpected, Mr. Phillips having to quarantine all of the

responsibilities fell to his two person staff while he recovered. It was not Appellant's intent to ignore or take the Supreme Court's Order lightly.

There has been no harm to the Respondents as there was no substantial delay, in fact, the Court generally allows for an oral two week extension and the Docketing Statement is now complete and ready to be filed.

Respectfully, this Motion is brought in good faith. Appellant deserves to have his Appeal heard on the merits.

CONCLUSION

As stated herein, counsel Phillips respectfully requests that this Court extend the time to file the Docketing Statement and/or accept the Docketing Statement filed as Exhibit 1 hereto.

/s/ Brandon L. Phillips

BRANDON L. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 12264
Brandon L. Phillips, Attorney at Law, PLLC
1455 E. Tropicana Ave. Suite 750
Las Vegas. Nevada 89119
702-795-0097, 702-795-0098fax
blp@abetterlegalpractice.com
Attorney for Appellant R. Balinski

-4-

Ċ

1			
2	PROOF OF SERVICE		
3	This is to certify that on the 14th day of December, 2020, I caused to be served PLAINTIFF'S		
4	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE DOCKETING STATEMENT, by the method		
5	indicated below, and addressed to the following:		
6	Document Served: Motion		
	Person(s) Served:		
7	LYSSA S. ANDERSON Nevada Bar No. 5781		
8	RYAN W. DANIELS Nevada Bar No. 13094 KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-7000		
9			
10			
11	Via Facsimile:		
12 13	Mail Personal Delivery Sx Electronic Notice		
	TAT Electronic Notice		
14			
15	S Brandon L. Phillips An employee of BRANDON L. PHILLIPS,		
16	ATTORNEY AT LAW, PLLC		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
24			