IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY BROWN

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Jul 08 2021 09:22 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

Docket No. 81962

Direct Appeal From A Judgment of Conviction Eighth Judicial District Court The Honorable Valerie Adair, District Judge District Court No. C-17-326247-1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 8 OF 18

Navid Afshar
State Bar #14465
Deputy Special Public Defender
JoNell Thomas
State Bar #4771
Special Public Defender
330 South 3rd Street
Las Vegas, NV 89155
(702) 455-6265
Attorney for Larry Brown

<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PLEADING</u> <u>PGS</u>
14	Amended Fourth Superseding Indictment (1/20/19) 2728-30
18	Amended Judgment of Conviction (10/20/2020)3431-33
13	Amended Jury List (12/19/19)
18	Amended Notice of Appeal (11/18/2020)
5	Amended Third Superseding Indictment (12/9/19)
18	Criminal Court Minutes (unfiled)
13	Defendant's Objections to State's Proposed Jury Instructions (12/19/19)
4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion for Disclosure of Corrective Action Reports (11/18/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion for Disclosure of Favorable Evidence (7/31/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine Requesting This Court Preclude The State and its Witnesses from Referring To the Decedent as "The Victim" (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine Requesting This Court Preclude The State from Presenting as Evidence Specific Items Recovered from the Search of Angela Ryder's Residence On March 20, 2017 (8/9/19)
4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude All Cell Phone Information Obtained by Cellebrite and Response to State's Motion in Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining To Advanced Proprietary Software (8/12/19)

4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting as Evidence Unclear Video Surveillance Of What Appears to be a White SUV (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting Details of the Condition of Decdent's Nissan Altima Located in a Business Complex at 7495 Azure Drive and Motion to Strike Experts L.Brown, H. Jarrad, S. Saucedo, and J. Sypniewicz (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting to the Jury any Evidence That Suggests Flight Prior to this Court Ruling on Whether to Allow a Flight Instruction (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting Unduly Prejudicial and/or Cumulative Photographs to the Jury (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Referring to the Trial Phase as the "Guilt" Phase" (8/9/19)
4	Defendant's Motion to Declare the Court's Order Finding that The State May Present Footwear Impression Evidence to the Jury Through Lay Witnesses Void as it Violates Mr. Brown's Due Process and Fair Trial Rights (11/15/19)
4	Ex Parte Motion for Clark County Detention Center Records (8/12/19)
4	Ex Parte Order for Clark County Detention Center to Produce Records Related to Defendant Anthony Carter (8/26/19) 767-68
15	Exhibit List and Exhibits by Court (unfiled)2799-2912
15	Exhibit List and Exhibits by Defendant (unfiled)2913-15

16	Exhibit List and Exhibits by State (unfiled) [CONTINUED IN NEXT VOLUME]	2916-3151
17	Exhibit List and Exhibits by State (unfiled) [CONTINUATION]	3152-3359
14	Guilty Plea Agreement (12/20/19)	2731-40
1	Indictment (9/6/17)	62-65
15	Instructions to the Jury (12/20/19)	2741-82
18	Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty-Alford) (9/23/2020) 3425-28
7	Jury List (12/11/19)	1443
1	Motion for Order to Show Cause (2/21/18)	199-219
1	Motion to Compel Compliance with Subpoena (1/18/18)	182-98
2	Motion to Join Co-Defendant Anthony Carter's Motion to S And Defendant's Motion to Sever Co-Defendants (4/16/18)	
1	Motion to Place on Calendar and Motion to Reduce Bail (10/5/17)	101-08
18	Motion to Unseal Minutes and Portion of Sealed Part of the Testimony on December 13, 2019 (10/21/2020)	
2	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (4/11/18)	255-60
18	Notice of Appeal (10/19/2020)	3429-30
3	Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (8/2/19)	514-15
4	Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (11/08/19)	817-35

4	Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (8/16/19)746-4
5	Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (11/26/19)
5	Notice of Motion and Motion to Suppress Information Obtained By a Constitutionally Deficient Warrant (12/3/19)956-5
2	Opposition to State's Motion to Compel Defendant Brown's Cellular Phone Passcode, or Alternatively, to Compel Fingerprint (5/18/18)
5	Order Denying Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting as Evidence Specific Items Recovered from the Search of Angelisa Ryder's Residence on March 20, 2017 (11/27/19)
5	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Declare the Court's Order Finding that the State May Present Footwear Impression Evidence to The Jury Through Lay Witnesses Void as it Violates Mr. Brown's Due Process and Fair Trial Rights (11/27/19)
17	Order for JAVS via CD (1/17/2020)336
18	Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Unseal Minutes and Portion of Sealed Part of the Testimony on December 13, 2019 (11/6/2020)
4	Order Granting Defendant Larry Brown's Motion For Disclosure Of Corrective Action Reports (11/21/19)
2	Order Granting State's Motion to Compel Fingerprint and Denying State's Motion to Compel Defendant's Cellular Phone Passcode (5/31/18)

5	Order Reserving Ruling Until the Time of Trial for the State's Motion In Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining to Advanced Proprietary Software, and the Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude all Cell Phone Information Obtained by Cellebrite And Response to State's Motion in Limine (11/27/19)
1	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Dismiss (10/3/17)
18	Second Amended Judgment of Conviction (12/2/2020) 3453-57
18	Second Amended Notice of Appeal (12/10/2020)
1	Second Superseding Indictment (10/11/17)
5	Second Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (12/9/19)
18	Sentencing Memorandum (9/17/2020)
1	State's Motion and Notice of Motion to Revoke Bail (10/5/17) 109-18
3	State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining to Advanced Proprietary Software (8/2/19)
2	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Defendant Brown's Cellular Phone Passcode, or Alternatively, to Compel Fingerprint (4/23/18)
2	State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (5/8/18) 321-77
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material (8/2/19)

4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State And Witnesses from Referring to Kwame Banks as "The Victim" (8/22/19)	-61
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Arson (9/3/19)	-73
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Flight (9/3/19)774-	-79
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Shoes and Brass Knuckles (9/3/19)	-82
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Unduly prejudicial and/or Cumulative Photographs to the Jury (8/22/19)	-57
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Using the Term "Guilty Phase" (8/22/19)753-	-54
3	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (6/7/19)	-74
5	State's Tenth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (11/25/19)	-42
6	Stipulation and Order to Waive Penalty Hearing (12/9/19) 1248-	-49
3	Supplement to Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (8/7/19)	-71
4	Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (11/15/19)	-72
5	Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (12/2/19) 954-	-55
1	Superseding Indictment (10/4/17)	00

1	Third Superseding Indictment (12/13/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 1 August 29, 2017 (9/9/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 2 September 5, 2017 (9/12/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing September 6, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing September 14, 2017 (11/19/2020) 71-75
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Superseding Proceedings October 3, 2017 (10/17/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 4, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Second Superseding Proceedings October 10, 2017 (10/24/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 11, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 19, 2017 (11/19/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 31, 2017 (11/20/2020) 147-51
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Third Superseding Proceedings December 12, 2017 (12/28/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing December 13, 2017 (12/1/2020) 172-75
1	Transcript of Hearing December 19, 2017 (11/20/2020) 176-81
1	Transcript of Hearing February 27, 2018 (11/20/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing March 6, 2018 (11/20/2020) 225-29

1	Transcript of Hearing March 20, 2018 (10/22/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 3, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 17, 2018 (11/20/2020) 271-82
2	Transcript of Hearing April 24, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 26, 2018 (11/20/2020) 310-15
2	Transcript of Hearing May 8, 2018 (11/20/2020)316-20
2	Transcript of Hearing May 22, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing May 31, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing June 7, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing August 9, 2018 (11/20/2020) 433-38
2	Transcript of Hearing August 30, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing November 27, 2018 (11/20/2020) 443-49
2	Transcript of Hearing January 17, 2019 (11/20/2020) 450-54
2	Transcript of Hearing March 21, 2019 (11/20/2020) 455-58
2	Transcript of Hearing April 25, 2019 (11/20/2020) 459-62
2	Transcript of Hearing May 30, 2019 (11/20/2020)
3	Transcript of Hearing June 27, 2019 (11/20/2020)
3	Transcript of Hearing August 1, 2019 (11/20/2020) 510-13
4	Transcript of Hearing August 15, 2019 (11/20/2020) 740-45

4	Transcript of Hearing August 20, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing August 22, 2020 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing October 29, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing November 5, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing November 14, 2019 (11/20/2020)
5	Transcript of Hearing November 21, 2019 (11/25/2019) 883-932
5	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 December 9, 2019 Pages 1-55 (12/9/2020) [CONT'D IN NEXT VOLUME] 965-1019
6	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 December 9, 2019 Pages 56-283 (12/9/2020) [CONTINUATION]
7	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 December 10, 2019 (12/9/2020)
8	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 December 11, 2019 (12/9/2020)
9	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 December 12, 2019 (12/9/2020)
10	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 December 13, 2019 EXCERPT (12/9/2020)
11	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 December 13, 2019 EXCERPT (12/9/2020)
11	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 December 17, 2019 (12/9/2020)
12	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 December 18, 2019 Pages 1-173 (12/9/2020) [CONT'D IN NEXT VOLUME) . 2232-2404

13	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 December 18, 2019 Pgs 174-288 (12/9/2020) [CONTINUATION]2405-2519
14	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8 December 19, 2019 (12/9/2020)
15	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9 December 20, 2019 (12/9/2020)
17	Transcript of Hearing August 6, 2020 (11/20/2020)
18	Transcript of Hearing September 18, 2020 (11/3/2020) 3398-3424
18	Transcript of Hearing November 5, 2020 (12/5/2020) 3443-46
17	Verdict (12/20/19)

Electronically Filed 12/9/2020 9:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-17-326247-1) DEPT NO. XXI
vs.)
LARRY DECORLEON BROWN,) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Defendant.)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2019

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: JOHN L. GIORDANI III, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MONICA R. TRUJILLO, ESQ.

W. JEREMY STORMS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy Special Public Defenders

RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.

INDEX

Opening statement for the State by Mr. Dickerson	102
Opening statement for the Defense by Ms. Trujillo	111
WITNESSES	
WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:	
TIFFANY SEYMOUR	
Direct Examination by Mr. Giordani	114
Cross-Examination by Mr. Storms	123
DEREKA NELSON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Giordani	125
Cross-Examination by Ms. Trujillo	132
Redirect Examination by Mr. Giordani	134
JAKHAI SMITH	
Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson	136
Cross-Examination by Mr. Storms	150
Redirect Examination by Mr. Dickerson	152
CHRISTINA DI LORETO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson	166
Cross-Examination by Mr. Storms	176
Follow-Up Examination by Mr. Storms	179
Follow-Un Examination by Mr Dickerson	180

EXHIBITS

STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:

1	127
7	126
64-67	139
68, 69	129
104-115	165

1	LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, DECEMBER 11, 2019, 9:06 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)
4	THE COURT: I don't know if you want to put anything
5	on the record regarding the last juror. It was late in the
6	day, and I think we were all tired and so we just left. The
7	engineer from, was it Verizon?
8	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
9	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. First, are there any Verizon
11	records being offered in this case?
12	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Does the defendant have a Verizon
14	account?
15	MR. GIORDANI: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of a phone account
17	does the defendant have?
18	MR. GIORDANI: Sprint.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And so the Verizon records are
20	what the phone records of people he's supposedly contacting or
21	what?
22	MR. GIORDANI: No. It's just the victim's phone, and
23	I don't think there's any disagreement that Mr. Brown did not
24	have any contact with the victim.
25	MS. TRUJILLO: No disagreement.

1 MR. GIORDANI: And the victim's location isn't going 2 to be an issue. He's dead at the scene and the two phones 3 are --4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. GIORDANI: I was going to say that last night, 6 but I agree we were all tired and ready to get out of here. 7 THE COURT: Right. So there's really nothing 8 contested involving Verizon? 9 MR. GIORDANI: I don't believe so. 10 MR. STORMS: There's just, you know, talking about 11 the panels and the towers, Judge, he had such really 12 specialized knowledge about those things. He could interject 13 that into the jury, and, you know, because there is going to be 14 an issue about what the tower can say about where someone's 15 phone is and their proximity to this location. This location 16 is along a major freeway, and neighborhoods. I don't know if 17 that gives him something that's special and unique to say about 18 that tower because he was talking about certain towers --19 THE COURT: Right. 2.0 MR. STORMS: -- having certain types of ranges and so 21 on. 22 THE COURT: What's contested in the phone; that would 23 be mainly the detective's testimony regarding what the towers

JD Reporting, Inc.

all mean. So what's contested in the tower, I guess,

24

25

information?

MR. STORMS: What -- what inferences they're going to ask the jury to draw about where that one might say Mr. Brown is for instance.

MS. TRUJILLO: Via mapping and, you know, the radius. THE COURT: Right.

MR. STORMS: The tower is where his phone was connecting to the network which means that he's broadly or in this area then it could be large or smaller depending on some information that he has not even detectives know about the type of tower it is. We just don't know until we get to that point, and, I mean, he could have designed the darn thing, I mean, because I'm not sure which particular company owns this tower. I just don't know.

MR. DICKERSON: Well, then they each have their own different panels.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: The Sprint phone is not even on this network that this gentleman has some knowledge about. In addition, just bringing this back to the ultimate issue, he didn't say anything that would support a for-cause challenge. That — challenging him for having individualized knowledge of the Verizon network is like challenging any ER surgeon in any case where there's medical records. I mean, that's not a for-cause challenge. I understand that we'll probably perempt

him if they don't want him on the jury, but he hasn't said anything.

2.0

THE COURT: Well, the only issue would be, I think, if he had some kind of unique knowledge about Verizon or something like that. It's not the Verizon tower that's at issue, and he said that he's not familiar with the other cell towers and how the other cell -- he said that how the other cell companies do their towers, and I think he said he worked for one other carrier a long time ago.

MR. STORMS: He worked for AT&T and --

MS. TRUJILLO: And a third party.

MR. STORMS: The one that was the Betamax of --

THE COURT: Right. So he's never worked for Sprint.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right. But he did say that the companies share towers which they often do; right?

THE COURT: But those are the towers, like, you know, that are dressed up to look like a pine tree.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right. And that's how -- that's a cell site. That's the tower information; right. So if we get to the point in testimony and it happens to be Verizon sharing that tower with Sprint, then it's -- then he's going to have information about the tower because he built the towers, as he said.

MR. DICKERSON: Well, the way they share towers is

that, you know, Sprint might own the actual tower itself, but 1 2 then they'll lease out a spot on the tower --3 THE COURT: Right. MR. DICKERSON: -- for AT&T to put their particular 4 5 product. 6 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. But it's still the same 7 longitude and latitude. That's the problem. 8 MR. DICKERSON: And they can -- and those might be --9 THE COURT: Yeah, but he doesn't know the size of 10 their, I don't even remember the term, their --11 MR. STORMS: Panels. 12 MS. TRUJILLO: Panel. 13 THE COURT: Panel, thank you. He doesn't know what 14 the technology or the --15 MR. GIORDANI: The range. 16 THE COURT: -- of their particular panel, the range. 17 He, I think he explicitly said that he would only know because 18 the tower itself is just basically a pole. 19 MR. STORMS: Sure. 2.0 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. 21 THE COURT: So I'm sure he doesn't design the pole. 22 That would be some other kind of more like a civil engineer 23 that would make sure the structure of the pole, right, the depth and everything like that isn't going to topple over. 24

JD Reporting, Inc.

This guy is more on the technical engineering side.

25

MR. STORMS: And I would say he -- he might just, I mean, it might be that the -- there might be information in the reports or whatnot what's introduced to the jury that does say something about the panel. He might know the equipment. I mean, he designs towers, right, but this equipment, these panels and so on I'm not sure. Those could be something that as a third party they all -- they all buy; he might be familiar with something specific about them that otherwise isn't testified to. That's just our concern that he might interject some level of expertise above and beyond what is testified to.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: Well, again, this is why we have perempts. That's like saying if there's UMC medical records introduced, that anybody who is a surgeon or a doctor at UMC can't be on the jury because they might glean something different from a medical record that someone else wouldn't. This is why we have perempts. He didn't say anything that would support a for-cause challenge. He said he could be fair. He could set aside any personal knowledge he had and not infect the rest of the jurors when the Court asked him that.

THE COURT: I think he's okay. I'd be more concerned if it were the issue — the issue were the defendant's phone was a Verizon phone, but it's not a Verizon phone. The victim's phone is a Verizon phone, but none of that's contested, and, in fact, I think the defense is going to affirmatively concur, right, with the information on the

victim's phone meaning that the defendant was not in contact 1 2 with the victim. Wouldn't that support the defense's theory of 3 the case? 4 MS. TRUJILLO: Correct. 5 THE COURT: So I don't see a problem with this juror. Like I said, I'd be more concerned if this defendant was on 6 7 Verizon and that was a critical issue in the case, then 8 probably I might say out of an abundance of caution. But in 9 this case everything that this witness may be familiar with, 10 maybe, is not going to be contested, and, in fact, I think both 11 of you are going to be advancing that narrative, meaning that 12 he didn't have contact with the victim's phone. 13 MR. GIORDANI: With that --14 THE COURT: Is that -- is that a fair synopsis of 15 what the State's position is going to be? 16 MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Is that a fair synopsis of what the 18 defense's position is going to be regarding contact with the 19 victim? 2.0 MS. TRUJILIO: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Kenny, are they here? 22 THE MARSHAL: No, Judge, we're missing quite a few. 23 MS. TRUJILLO: The line was really long. 24 MR. STORMS: Lousy line.

JD Reporting, Inc.

MS. TRUJILLO: And the elevator line.

25

1	THE COURT: Was there?
2	MR. STORMS: Yeah.
3	THE MARSHAL: We're missing upwards of 10 to 15.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. STORMS: Yeah, we got in a line at about, what
6	was it
7	MS. TRUJILLO: 8:40.
8	MR. STORMS: Yeah, and it took me you know, we got
9	up around 50 so it was a
10	THE COURT: So is there is he the last one then,
11	did we fill Chair 1?
12	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, and we are going to pass for
13	cause.
14	THE COURT: You were going to pass. You're done
15	questioning him?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
17	THE COURT: So then Ms. Trujillo you or Mr. Storms
18	MS. TRUJILLO: We are actually going to switch off.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: But I'm going to start.
21	THE COURT: As long as you do it in a fashion that
22	makes sense, I'm fine with that.
23	MS. TRUJILLO: Yep.
24	THE COURT: Right. So if it's one question to the
25	whole panel obviously only one of you can ask the question.

1	How are you going to are you going to do it by person or by
2	row or
3	MS. TRUJILLO: I'm going to really switch it up. I
4	mean, I was telling him he asked a lot yesterday so I think we
5	know quite a bit so I'm just going to mostly follow up.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. TRUJILLO: There's going to be a couple of the
8	group, but I don't think I think we should be done by 12:00.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. GIORDANI: We arranged four witnesses for this
11	afternoon. So we're all I think we're all in agreement that
12	we should open just around lunch or after lunch.
13	THE COURT: Okay. How long is your opening, just for
14	scheduling?
15	MS. TRUJILLO: Too long.
16	MR. GIORDANI: 20 to 30.
17	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, that'd be safe.
18	THE COURT: How long is your
19	MS. TRUJILLO: Probably about 10, 15.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to go.
21	Anything else we need to do on the record?
22	MR. GIORDANI: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	(Recess taken 9:14 a.m. to 9:39 a.m.)
25	(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: All right. We are on the record out of the presence of the jury.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor. I do have a for-cause challenge. I apologize, it just came to my attention. It's to Juror Number 454, Ms. Allen. If the Court recalls yesterday, Ms. Allen indicated that her two older brothers went to prison for robbery and kidnap. It was a 2008 case here in Clark County. The victim was a drug dealer that they knew. They ended up going to trial on that case and were convicted.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: Although that was concerning in and of itself --

THE COURT: Which isn't a for cause though --

MR. GIORDANI: Right.

THE COURT: -- because she said they were treated fairly.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. The problem is, is

Mr. Dickerson pulled the reports associated with that case, and
it's Event 081209-3779, and in reviewing them this morning, our
lead detective on the instant case, Detective Dosch was the
lead detective on her brothers' cases. Detective Dosch
conducted interviews; he responded to the scene where the
3-year-old child was located. He pulled phone records of her
brothers and their cohort. He's one of the lead detectives.

This did go to trial. My concern is number one when she -
THE COURT: Well, if she recognizes him there could

be a problem, but here's what I'm the --

2.0

What's the defense's position?

MS. TRUJILLO: That it's not a for-cause challenge.

Obviously, like Mr. Giordani said, he anticipated it being an issue, and I think that her responses were very clear. As you just said, the criminal justice system treated her brothers fairly. They did what they did, and they were punished for it. And she didn't even go to court she said. She went to hearings, she didn't go to the trial.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. TRUJILLO: So I'm not sure she would recognize him. I mean, maybe the name I'm not sure, but it sounded like she really wasn't involved in this trial.

THE COURT: Well, I'm just going to follow up if she was ever interviewed by detectives in connection with her brothers' case.

MS. TRUJILLO: And also --

THE COURT: And then also because she didn't attend the trial, he, you know, if he was at the sentencing, sometimes very, very rarely the police may show up at the sentencing. Very rare. She wouldn't have known who the heck he was anyway because he's just sitting in the audience. Although and that's very rare. So she did come, I think, to the sentencing or

hearing she said. So if it -- I'm -- if no one cares, I'll 1 2 follow up and find out if she went to a preliminary hearing and 3 if she was ever interviewed by detectives. And if neither of those things happened, then based on her answers I don't think 4 5 there's any reason to believe that she would have known Detective Bosh (sic). I mean, her -- she seemed pretty 6 7 unfamiliar with the case. 8 MR. GIORDANI: Well, respectfully I disagree. 9 think she was there. She said she attended hearings; she 10 visited them in prison. I mean, I know that --11 THE COURT: Well, Detective Bosh wasn't in prison. 12

MR. GIORDANI: No, I get that, but you gotta understand the State's concern. Whatever she says here today, if Detective Dosch walks into this courtroom and she recognizes him.

THE COURT: You know, isn't that what peremptory challenges are for?

MR. GIORDANI: That's what cause challenges are for too; right?

THE COURT: Well, yeah, but I don't see a for-cause challenge if she doesn't -- if she knows it's Detective Bosh then I'll say, okay.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But if -- right now we don't have enough of a record that she would have known it's Detective Bosh or be

able to recognize him, and so I'm going to inquire further. If I believe she recognizes, might recognize Detective Bosh then there may be an issue. Otherwise, if you're concerned, then you can use one of your nine perempts on her.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And it's Dosch with a D.

THE COURT: Oh, Dosch.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: And then, Judge, I would ask the Court to follow up if she does say she attended a prelim or whatever the Court's going to ask, whether he testified or not because all the time — they don't always testify at those hearings. So I don't want to just presume —

THE COURT: Right.

MS. TRUJILLO: -- that she knows who he is. And just to complete my record that I was initially saying, she also made two comments. I can judge the case fairly despite the charges against my brother and the fact that they went to prison. And then John, Mr. Giordani actually, specifically said, you know, are we going to start on a level playing field because of what your brothers were into, and she specifically said no. So I think that that's sufficient.

THE COURT: Right. I'm mean, I feel like she seemed to think that they were treated fairly because they did it.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And if I could complete my record.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GIORDANI: I mean, I didn't make a for-cause challenge based upon what she said here in court.

THE COURT: Right. No, no, I get it. It's on the -MR. GIORDANI: This is new information.

THE COURT: It's on the -- it's on the police report. But again, the issue is whether she knows it's Detective Bosh or could recognize Detective Bosh. If she doesn't know it's Detective Bosh and there's no risk of her recognizing him, then I don't see the basis for a for-cause challenge. And, you know, again, you have to presume that the jurors are going to follow the instructions and not discuss the case with family members or anything like that.

MR. GIORDANI: Sure.

2.0

THE COURT: And I think it's unlikely after how many years the brothers are going to say, oh, that gosh darn Detective Dosch, you know what I mean, and start talking about it just out of the blue. She didn't recognize the name, and like I said, I have to believe that they follow the admonition, and, you know, I'll follow up.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: Can we bring them in?

THE MARSHAL: Yep, and everyone's here.

THE COURT: All right. Everyone's here. We're starting 45 minutes late because that late juror just got here. Someone please use your perempt on her.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Well, now I have to use one on Allen
2	so they should have to use it on her.
3	THE COURT: Well, we'll see what she says. Without
4	anybody indicating Detective Dosch is involved
5	MR. GIORDANI: Have you ever seen him? He kind of
6	stands out.
7	THE COURT: I don't
8	MS. TRUJILLO: No, get out of here.
9	THE COURT: What does he look like?
10	MR. GIORDANI: Like a detective.
11	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
12	MS. TRUJILLO: They all do.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Big barrel chested guy, a buzz cut. I
14	guess you could argue that that makes him not stand out as a
15	detective.
16	THE COURT: Right. Exactly.
17	(Pause in the proceedings.)
18	THE COURT: Now, he would've been in robbery at that
19	time.
20	MR. GIORDANI: He was in robbery, and he's been in
21	homicide for years. So this was a
22	THE COURT: Right. So she may not even be able to,
23	you know, if those were robbery detectives, she's not
24	necessarily going to think, oh, okay, now he may be a
25	homicide

I'll follow up. We'll see what she says. 1 2 MR. GIORDANI: Okay. 3 (Panel of prospective jurors entering 9:46 a.m.) THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. 4 5 The record should reflect the presence of the State, the 6 presence of the defendant along with his counsel, the officers 7 of the court and the ladies and gentlemen of the prospective 8 jury panel. 9 And I wanted to follow up with you is it, Ms. Allen? In Chair 2 --10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Allen. 12 THE COURT: Allen. I'm sorry. No wonder everyone's 13 looking at me. All right. 14 Ms. Allen, I wanted to follow up on a couple of 15 things you said yesterday regarding the case involving your two 16 brothers. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Okay. 17 18 THE COURT: You indicated you'd attended some 19 hearings. Do you recall which hearings you attended? 2.0 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Did you go into the courtroom or 22 did you, you know, sit in the hallway while the hearings were 23 going on? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No. It was in the 25 courtroom, and they were up against the wall.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Was there any testimony from the
2	witness stand at the hearings?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So it was mainly just the Judge
5	and the lawyers talking?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And then were you ever interviewed
8	by any police officers or detectives in connection with your
9	brothers' case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
11	THE COURT: So you never give a statement or anything
12	of that nature?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15	Mr. Giordani, any other questions for the panel?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Just real briefly, Ms. Allen. Did you
17	have any interaction with detectives on that case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
19	MR. GIORDANI: That you're aware of? And did your
20	brothers indicate to you any names of detectives?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And based upon the witness list
23	that you heard you didn't recognize any names?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

THE COURT: I have a follow-up. Did your brothers ever when you -- either currently or back then or whatever, did they ever express any ill will or, you know, bad blood, so to speak, toward Metro police or Metro detectives either specifically or generally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. GIORDANI: No further questions.

THE COURT: Pass for cause?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

2.0

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Trujillo, you may follow up with the panel.

MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. Good morning everyone.

This is a little awkward, sorry. I like to walk around, but that's not going to happen today. So if you recall my name is Monica Trujillo, and again my cocounsel is Jeremy Storms, and we're both going to actually get up here and talk to you and have some interaction with you. I know this has been uncomfortable. We made references to it yesterday.

You know, the point of it, everyone keeps saying be fair, be fair, that's what we want. But the reality is, we are here to determine if you're the appropriate juror for this case, and sometimes people aren't. Sometimes, you know, there's another case better suited for you. You know the allegations are murder, and some people have a problem with

that obviously.

2.0

We heard some stories shared here yesterday so I know a lot of the topics are hard to discuss. It's very personal information. And along that vein, you know, we're going to try to make you as comfortable as we can. But the reality is there's no right or wrong answer. We're not looking for a specific answer. We're looking for your opinions and feelings. Okay.

We're all human. Everything we do, everything we've done our entire lives affects us. It makes us who we are, our experiences, our interactions. And while we all like to walk around and say, okay, we're fair people. I'm a good person. The reality is we all make assumptions. We often prejudge. There are a lot of things that we do that come naturally. So I just want you to be as comfortable as possible.

And yesterday we got a lot of information because Mr. Giordani did a good job of talking to everyone individually. So I'm just going to have some follow-ups for people. So don't take any offense. As you could see yesterday we were taking a lot of notes.

All right. So I want to start with yesterday -- I'm going to kind of hit a topic that he touched on yesterday. He made a comment about CSI shows and a couple of you watch criminal justice documentaries and other things like that. But he made the comment are -- everyone knows those aren't real;

right? Some of you guys nodded and some of you guys responded, well, they're somewhat real.

2.0

Who here agrees that they are based in science?

Raise your hand if you agree that they're based on science.

And we agree that science is real; right? And that crime scene investigation does happen in real life; do you agree? And that part of the police's job when they prosecute a case or when they're looking for evidence is to collect crime scenes, photos, document, whatever.

For example, Ms. Simon, you mentioned yesterday that when you were -- sorry, you can pass the microphone. You mentioned yesterday that initially you didn't want to testify against your ex-boyfriend, but when the police officers showed you the photos that you kind of made the decision to do so; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Right.

MS. TRUJILLO: Why do you think that they took photos of you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I think it was part of the process.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. To kind of help document what you looked like and what happened to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And when you saw those photos, you pictured yourself as you were that day; right?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes.
2	MS. TRUJILLO: And that's kind of that's kind of
3	what helped you decide, okay, I'm going to testify against him.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes.
5	MS. TRUJILLO: What kinds of other things do you
6	think that are involved with crime scene investigation?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I guess behavior, whether
8	actions that were taken from both sides of the party. I mean,
9	were there any calls made or at this moment I don't know.
10	MS. TRUJILLO: That's okay. You can pass it to
11	Ms. Allen.
12	Ms. Allen, do you have any idea what other types of
13	things a crime scene investigator can do to collect evidence in
14	a case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: What do you mean like
16	take pictures?
17	MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Take notes.
19	MS. TRUJILLO: Write reports.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Uh-huh.
21	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Anybody else have anything that
22	they want to share? Ms. Devine? Do you want to pass the mic
23	there.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: Like any DNA or
25	fingerprinting, anything like that.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And that's science-based; you'd 1 2 agree? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: Uh-huh. MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Someone else had their hand up? 4 5 Ms. Blankenship. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Yeah, testimonies are, 7 you know, people's accounts of what happened and how it 8 happened and who was there and basically their observations if 9 they witnessed anything happening so they could get reports 10 from whoever was around to put the pieces together. 11 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. So to help kind of --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Kind of paint a picture 13 for those who weren't there as to what happened and then the 14 evidence is gathered and matched with statements to see --15 basically a puzzle and putting all the pieces together. 16 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And then someone else had their 17 hand -- looks like Mr. Davis. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 500: In addition, you use 19 technology to ascertain the location of individuals to validate or substantiate whether the claims were true or not true. 2.0 21 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. All right. Thank you. I kinda 22 gotta go into a difficult topic here. Nobody really likes to 23 talk about race. Like I said earlier, you know, people make 24 assumptions all the time, and that's based on our experiences.

JD Reporting, Inc.

It could be based on how we were raised, on our culture, and in

25

the interest of disclosure and to make you more comfortable, I'm going to give you a couple of instances in my life where, you know, I've had issues with race and/or assumptions.

2.0

So in college I was in an interracial relationship, and my family's from Mexico. It was unacceptable. So, you know, I got -- I had got flak from my family, and that was something I had never encountered before. And that wasn't based on anything that they really had experience, but based on how they were raised.

Another issue that I had with assumptions is, for example, I walk into a courtroom and because I look the way I do people assume I'm an interpreter. And they ask me, hey, are you the interpreter; can you help me. I don't take offense to it. It's just because people make assumptions based on their experience.

So that being said, does the fact that race is involved in this case and Mr. Brown is African-American, does anyone have issues with that?

MR. GIORDANI: Can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Trujillo, can you rephrase that, please.

MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.

So by -- when I made the comment of obviously

1	Mr. Brown is well, what I said was race is going to be
2	involved in this case and that Mr. Brown was African-American.
3	He's obviously a different race from some of the people who are
4	going to be chosen on this jury. Is that going to be a problem
5	for anyone? No. Okay. I'll move on. Thank you.
6	Ms. Blankenship Can we pass the microphone,
7	please. How are you this morning?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: I'm doing good; how are
9	you?
10	MS. TRUJILLO: Good.
11	So yesterday you made the comment of obviously we
12	talked about your very emotional job and the many hats you
13	wear.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Uh-huh.
15	MS. TRUJILLO: And part of that you told us that in
16	an effort to better assess what your client, for lack of a
17	better word, your students need that you review information and
18	you assess it, and you determine how you can best help your
19	student; right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Uh-huh. Yes, the
21	families and the children.
22	MS. TRUJILLO: Right.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Uh-huh.
24	MS. TRUJILLO: And in doing that you take information
25	from multiple parties; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Yeah. Basically, going into family's homes and, you know, hey, how are things going. Follow-up on medical appointments, anything -- any priorities or concerns that have come up since our last visit together, that kind of stuff. So following up with them and making sure everything's going okay, and that kind of stuff.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And when you're doing that, how do you assess someone -- what they're telling you? How do you assess their credibility, what they're telling you? How do you evaluate that information?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: From the rapport that I've built with them. You know, from the initial home visit that I had, to meeting them, getting to know about them, what their medical history is, their family history has been and just hearing about their story — their life story and becoming part of a team with them and then eventually part of their family because I'm in their home with them, and it's very personal. I'm in their space so — respecting their space and, you know, just knowing them and hearing what they're saying and responding to it.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Have you ever had a situation where you get different information like the -- the like, contrast each other, that doesn't go together, doesn't mesh well, doesn't make sense to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Oh, absolutely.

1	MS. TRUJILLO: And how do you determine who to
2	believe or what you're looking for? How do you determine that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Well, I I've, you
4	know, I write down and document everything that is said, you
5	know, that's subjective. And then, you know, making sure it's
6	documented and it's there, and then I do my part in documenting
7	the objective. You know, progress being made, what the
8	what the facts basically of what happened at a family visit.
9	And then I'll follow up, you know. I'll follow-up with the
10	family, or I'll follow-up with the doctor, you know, and try to
11	make sense of the information of its consistency.
12	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And can you pass to
13	Ms. Wallace.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 521: Yes.
15	MS. TRUJILLO: Ms. Wallace, preschool teacher at a
16	school for many years.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 393: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 393: Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TRUJILLO: And you -- so if you have an issue at your school and you have two kids that are fighting and someone is telling -- obviously -- usually they're telling you different information; right? How do you assess credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 393: Well, the age I teach, I teach 2 year olds so that's rather difficult. We usually try to help them understand why they're feeling the emotions that they're feeling. My classroom emphasizes social and emotional

development. So we spend a lot of time teaching about feelings and reactions and emotions and how to deal with them and how -- which -- which ways are appropriate and which ways aren't for a -- well, as a 2 year old, 3 year old can handle.

2.0

I -- I'm observing constantly. I'm in a classroom set up in a way that I can have my eyes all around. I have an aide with me also, and he -- he also keeps, you know, we keep track of the children where they're at and what they're doing. So if conflict develops, we usually can intervene right away and -- and if need to, you know, talk -- talk them through the situation or sometimes separate them and talk individually to them or find a different solution. So it's -- it's just the ages is a lot different to deal with than adults.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right. So besides actual word -- like them saying something to you is there any other things that you look at like their -- the way that they respond, their physical responses, is there anything you look to when they're telling you things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 393: Yeah. A lot of times it's physical because they don't have the communication skills that, you know, older children have so we usually assess what situations are about to happen. If they're sharing toys and fighting over a toy or something then, you know, we're able to interview before a conflict begins.

If it's physical, it's -- we usually separate the

child and work it out in a way that give him a separate toy or have him understand that it's the other child's turn. So we do a lot of -- it's a lot of talking. A lot of teaching of self-discipline and self-regulation. Teaching them about their emotions and why they're feeling the way that they feel. Teaching a lot of empathy also.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. Can you pass it back to Mr. Davis, please.

Mr. Davis, yesterday we were talking about your sister-in-law. I don't mean to harp on that situation, but you made a comment that you said sometimes when people are telling stories they omit facts and that it's a subjective experience; can you elaborate on that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 500: Well, this is the human experience; right. It's, you know, two people can see the same thing and interpret it completely different, and in the case of my sister-in-law, especially in times of stress, you know, your memory can -- can be forgetful, and you can focus or hone in on areas that otherwise you might have not paid a lot of attention to.

So, you know, I just was acknowledging the fact that again it's almost like the story when you're a kid where you tell a secret to someone and they keep passing the secret, and eventually it's completely different from the initial, you know, message. So when --

MS. TRUJILLO: -- that's what happened now. Okay.

So I just wanted to be clear, you're not necessarily, they're purposely omitting facts. You were just saying that human experience by nature it happens.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 500: No, I -- yeah, I'm

2.0

acknowledging the fact that it could be a traumatic experience, right, and in light of that especially the time in which, you know, the arrest took place and her first DUI, and truthfully there's probably a stigma attached to it. And so when you — when you factor in all those aspects, I think there's definitely going to be some events that, you know, you omit, and there could be some things that you just forget, you know.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Anyone want to add anything to that? Can you pass the mic back to Ms. Vargas.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Hi.

MS. TRUJILLO: So yesterday Mr. Giordani asked you if you could be fair to Mr. Brown.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Uh-huh.

MS. TRUJILLO: And your response was I believe so.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yeah.

MS. TRUJILLO: And why the hesitation or what was that; can you just tell me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I said I believe so because it's a lot of pressure to be a part of what's going to happen to him.

1 MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Because initially that's 3 what we all are, and it's a small piece of the jurors that we potentially could be, but it -- it's a lot of responsibility. 4 5 MS. TRUJILLO: Well, ultimately you answered that you were able to listen to the evidence before you made a decision; 6 7 do you still think you could do that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: 9 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And then we also talked about 10 your very close friend's husband who is a part of SWAT, and you 11 see him, you said weekly, at least once a week; right? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yes. 13 MS. TRUJILLO: So nothing about that relationship is 14 going to make you feel any differently towards police officers. 15 For example, because they are police officers you're not going 16 to say, okay, they might be a little more credible than regular 17 people? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: 19 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Can you pass it to Mr. Flangas. 2.0 How are you today? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 367: Good. How are you? 22 MS. TRUJILLO: Good. So yesterday you made the 23 comment that you're absolutely not interested in what we do. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 367: Correct.

JD Reporting, Inc.

MS. TRUJILLO: Any -- should I be concerned is that

25

that you have any dislike towards defense attorneys, or you 1 2 just don't like the act of litigation in trial? Just want to 3 flush that out. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 367: Oh, no, it's just not for 4 5 me. 6 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 367: No reason why or why not, 8 just not something I'm interested in. 9 MS. TRUJILLO: And then nothing -- your -- I know you 10 have -- we talked about your family being -- doing some 11 criminal defense. So nothing about that's going to make you 12 look down on defense attorneys? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 367: Nothing. 14 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And then pass that back to 15 Mr. Pursell. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: 16 17 MS. TRUJILLO: Hi, how are you? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Good. 19 MS. TRUJILLO: So you also have a lot of friends and 2.0 family in law enforcement. So kind of the same question I 21 asked Ms. Vargas, you know, you're not going to think just 22 because they're a police officer testifying, okay, he might be 23 a little more credible than Bob Joe coming in to testify next? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: No, I mean -- I mean my 24

JD Reporting, Inc.

family is my family, I can't help that. I have great respect

25

for law enforcement. I think they have a hard job, but on the 1 2 other hand, you know, even the best cop has bad days. 3 make mistakes. God knows I've seen a lot of it, and especially covering crime as a reporter and so forth. So and you know the 4 5 facts are facts and that's all I'm looking for. MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. Will you pass that to 6 7 Mr. Williams right behind you. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Sure. 9 MS. TRUJILLO: Hi. How are you? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: I'm great. 11 MS. TRUJILLO: Good. So yesterday you said you would 12 be a good fit for this jury, and I know that one of the 13

comments you said is because you believe you're upfront and you can be fair. Any other things you want to elaborate on why you would be a good fit for this jury?

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: I mean, not to toot my own horn, but I'm just honest. I would say I'm not judgmental whatsoever. I don't judge anything by its cover. As I said yesterday, I just try to see my best every time from both sides so in order to do that you have to hear both sides so.

MS. TRUJILLO: And then you also talked about a very personal incident that, you know, you were involved in.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: Uh-huh.

MS. TRUJILLO: When you were looking at what happened, you know, the difference between what your friend's

mom told you and from what you saw and maybe from what other people told you, how did you decide for yourself what you believed happened?

2.0

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: I believe what I saw just because that's what I saw. I took into what everybody else said, his mother, his family above what I heard from peers and other ballplayers I played with that talked to me about it and stuff like that, but I believe what I saw from my own eyes, you know, me in my own, I don't know, what do you call it observations on it.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And before you saw that video footage though you got the call from his mom; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: Yeah.

MS. TRUJILLO: Did you make any assumptions before you saw that video footage just based on the story she told you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: No.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Just took it for what it was? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 480: Yeah. It was his mom.

MS. TRUJILLO: Will you pass it to Mr. Tyler Bayne.

So the topic of drugs is probably going to come up in this case, and I know that you had -- a lot of people had some kind of family issues with drugs; right? But you had an aunt that died of an addiction; is that going to be a problem for you? Is that going to affect you in any way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 408: No.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Neither the topic of drug use or drug sales?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 408: No.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Anyone going to have an issue with the topic of drugs?

Yesterday Mr. Giordani talked about reasonable doubt, and we can't obviously go into any information because the Judge is going to instruct you on the law, but just like some of you watch shows, and we kind of know what the concept is, you know, they have to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt. Anyone here thinks that that is an unfair standard? Anyone think that that's just too much for the State to have to do? Okay.

All right. Mr. Storm's going to come talk to you now.

MR. STORMS: Good morning, everyone. I'm going to spend about 10 minutes with each one of you, just kidding, I'm not. I know you've been through a lot. I just want to follow up with a couple of things.

Starting with the concept of beyond a reasonable doubt that Monica just mentioned. Does everyone understand and is okay with the idea that beyond a reasonable doubt means

Monica and I can not do an opening, not ask any questions of any of these folks the State's going to bring in, and if they

don't meet their burden then your decision in the case would be not guilty; does anyone have an issue with that?

2.0

Does anyone -- does everyone understand that it means that, you know, what we make is -- what we make our arguments -- they make arguments; we make arguments, but reasonable doubt doesn't have to be anything that Monica and I come up with, but your own personal evaluation of the case is where you can find reasonable doubt. Everyone's okay with that idea?

We talked about this criminal justice being an idea. There's good people on both sides. Reasonable doubt says that they have to prove the case to you the citizen jurors; right? And you are this — these — this gatekeeper, the stat finder to see that they make their burden. So in that sense do you guys understand that it's not a matter of both sides. It's a matter of the State proving the case. Does anyone have any questions about that?

Those kind of two possible ideas about a way the police might run their case. You get enough information, you make an arrest and that's good. Or you keep going. You do CSA, you run tests, you do everything you can and you present everything you can to a jury to make sure that you prosecute the right person, and you're not prosecuting someone that's innocent. Is everyone okay with that idea? Does anyone border on the kind of arrest and that's the end of the case? Okay.

Testifying, okay. We've talked about how -- and the State talked about that yesterday about how Mr. Brown doesn't have to testify in the case. Okay. Can anyone think of a reason why someone might not want to testify in a case?

Thank you, sir, Mr. Davis. Could someone pass that back to him.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 500: They're going to incriminate themselves.

2.0

MR. STORMS: Yeah. That's the elephant in the room; right? That is the elephant in the room. And that's what we worry about it. It could also be they're not a particularly good witness; is that fair to say? They're not the brightest person in the world to be tripped up by a lawyer; right? What I want to make sure you guys understand is what we're really worried about is people prejudging or making assumptions about why someone might not testify if Larry chooses not to testify in this case.

They can't compel him to testify and a part of that is that he has the right to determine whether or not he's going to testify or not with the advice of counsel, and we don't get to tell you why that is. Can everyone not make that leap to think that, hey, he's not testifying because they're afraid he's going to incriminate himself. Is everyone okay with not making that leap?

It's hard. Some of these things are not natural the

way we think, you know, are we -- we're designed to solve 1 2 And to solve problem is making assumptions; right? problems. 3 But the law asks you not to do that. Commands you not to do that. Is everyone okay with not doing that in this case? 4 5 Mr. Davis, could you pass the microphone to 6 Mr. Herrera, to 420. 7 Mr. Herrera, if after all the evidence is entered in 8 the case --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 420: 10 MR. STORMS: -- and you think there are reasonable 11 doubts in the case, but you also think there's other evidence 12 pointing to Larry's guilt, what would be your decision as to 13 quilt or -- as to whether he's guilty or not guilty? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 420: I would wait until I hear 15 everything that's to be presented before I could make a 16 decision. So say we're there. Say you're at the 17 MR. STORMS: 18 end, you're back there, you've heard everything, you've heard 19 all the arguments, and you see some evidence you think says he's guilty, but you have a reasonable doubt based on other 2.0 21 evidence in the case, what would be your decision at that 22 point? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 420: You're saying that me 24 personally, I have doubts.

JD Reporting, Inc.

MR. STORMS: Reasonable doubts.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 420: Then I would have to make that statement that I have a reasonable doubt to make that verdict.

MR. STORMS: Okay. Thank you. Does anyone else have an issue with that? If you have a reasonable doubt that you'll express that to the other jurors and talk about it? Does anyone here feel uncomfortable about the idea of being in the minority about reasonable doubt and not standing up for that?

I don't see anyone raising their hand at that.

So if you do find reasonable doubt in this case and come back with a verdict of not guilty, you're obviously saying that the police didn't do their job in this case. They didn't prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the crimes they're charging were committed.

There's a lot of folks here that have friends that are police officers, does anyone feel like that would make them uncomfortable being with those friends, that there would be some sort of social pressure there? Okay.

No hands to that either so I appreciate it.

Mr. Pursell, could we had the mic to him.

Where were you a beat cop -- beat reporter from? I'm trying to remember.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: I was a beat reporter for the Mexico City news.

MR. STORMS: Okay. Mexico City news for 10 years.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: No, no, no. I was in 1 2 Mexico City news for two and a half years. 3 MR. STORMS: Oh, two and a half years. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: 4 5 MR. STORMS: In that time as a reporter did you see 6 people wrongfully convicted or see situations where there 7 was -- there were cases where errors made or something along 8 those lines from the prosecution? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yes. Yeah, many times. 10 And -- and, yeah, both ways. There's also errors made when it 11 came to the defense and so forth. 12 MR. STORMS: Uh-huh. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: And so, you know, it's 14 human nature, and there are cases, multiple cases of corruption 15 and multiple cases of basically anything you can say I probably 16 covered it at some point or another. 17 MR. STORMS: Uh-huh. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: So, yes. 19 MR. STORMS: Can you -- have you seen errors with 2.0 something like forensics? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yeah, I mean, I wasn't an 22 expert in it. I was fresh out of college and kind of thrown 23 into that job, but from what the -- what the case ended up 24 being when they ended up reviewing it, they said there were

JD Reporting, Inc.

errors in forensics, and so I wrote about it from that way.

25

Again, I wasn't an expert; I just used what the determination 1 2 was from the courts in my story. 3 MR. STORMS: Thank you. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: 4 Yeah. 5 MR. STORMS: Court's indulgence and the jury's 6 indulgence. 7 Mr. Thurgood, would you mind taking the mic there. 8 You had mentioned yesterday that you were a pragmatic person; 9 you think that's fair to say? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Yeah, been a nonpartisan 11 registered voter my entire voting career. So that --12 MR. STORMS: That's good. That's good. Going back 13 to this idea of reasonable doubt, can you see how that's not a 14 pragmatic burden on the State there? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Yeah, definitely. 16 than -- than just a slightly better than say 50-50 I suppose. 17 MR. STORMS: Uh-huh. And you'd be willing to hold 18 them to something -- to that burden? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Yeah, and I think that 2.0 the fact that our legal system holds people to a reasonable 21 doubt is what makes it work. 22 MR. STORMS: In your experiences as a child, that 23 story -- that -- that awful him occurrence when you're a little 24 guy are not going to affect your ability to participate in 25 this?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: No. It happened a long
2	time ago. Those scars are are healed for the most part, and
3	I don't think about it hardly ever unless a Judge asks me to
4	talk about it.
5	MR. STORMS: Yeah, this this whole process is it's
6	getting to also to things we don't normally tell our closest
7	friends often; right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Uh-huh.
9	MR. STORMS: Thank you for that. With that I will
10	pass the panel to this Court and not make any challenges.
11	THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach.
12	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
13	THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry, counsel approach
14	again.
15	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
16	THE COURT: Did you want to be relieved of the
17	microphone?
18	Kenny. All right. We'll just be at ease for a
19	moment.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, may we approach?
21	THE COURT: Sure.
22	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
23	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it looks like we're
24	going to just take our morning recess now. We'll give you 15
25	minutes for the morning recess. Let's just say 10:45.

During the brief recess -- that clocks a little fast -- you're all reminded you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium, and please do not form or express an opinion on the case.

2.0

If everybody would please follow Officer Hawkes through the double doors.

(Panel of prospective jurors recessed at 10:33 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. We are out of the presence of the jury, and we took a break because defense counsel had approached and indicated that they were making a Batson challenge, and so we do those contemporaneously on the record.

And go ahead and make your challenge.

MR. STORMS: Judge, they moved to strike Juror

Number 183, Maria Simon who identified as Hispanic on her -- on

her juror information sheet, and she's a member of a protected

class and otherwise her statements were not something that

she -- she said she could be fair to both sides. Sure she had

had some crime problems in her own life, her children's lives,

but she did not express any bias towards the -- or against the

police. She's also been a victim of DV where she, you know,

participated in a prosecution.

Well, okay. Because this is not done on 1 THE COURT: 2 the taped record, and it's the sheet passed back and forth, 3 what number of -- which perempt is it that the --It's their second. 4 MR. STORMS: 5 Okay. So the first perempt was on whom? THE COURT: 6 MR. STORMS: Devine, the -- the continually late 7 person. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 But, you know, the law does not ask MR. STORMS: 10 that -- that there has to be a second Hispanic person, second 11 African-American person --12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. STORMS: -- just they're a member of protective 14 class --15 Well, what's your prima facie showing THE COURT: that their motive was discriminatory because by my recollection 16 17 there are a number of people of different ethnic backgrounds, 18 say nonwhite people in the group of 32 including Hispanic 19 people. So just the fact that they struck a Hispanic person I 2.0 don't think gives you the prima facie showing that their motive was discriminatory in this case. 21 22 MS. TRUJILLO: The prima facie case is just that it's 23 a member of a protected class, and because here it's mainly 24 composed -- I mean, the breakdown really is mostly Caucasian

JD Reporting, Inc.

even though we do have other people in this group of 32.

25

that prima facie case is the protective class, doesn't matter if it's one or two; it can be just one, and it can be mixed. So even if they go the next and strike an African-American person, we can still claim that it is discriminatory, especially on this case where the record appears that there was no basis for a cause challenge, obviously this is different it's a peremptory, but there's no other reason that appears to be why they would kick her off except the fact that she's Hispanic.

2.0

THE COURT: Well, that's, I'm sorry, that's — that's not true because that's the whole point of peremptory challenges. Let's just say, you know, somebody's looking at Mr. Giordani and scowling at him. When I was trying cases as a DA, you know, if somebody was nodding and looking like they were, you know, really, you know, digging the process, I kept them on the jury and the people who were scowling and angry I would kick them off and sometimes it's just about body language.

I mean, it doesn't necessarily have to be limited to their answers, and again the whole point of a perempt is to get rid of those people that you think for whatever reason, they don't like you, may be less favorable to your case, may have other issues like the gal who's habitually late, made us all sit around 45 minutes this morning the first challenge.

So I don't even see that you've made the threshold

showing. But I'm going to let the State respond because again the issue isn't for cause. That's what these nine perempts are for is to get rid of the people who for whatever reason, as long as it's not racially or improperly biased, and my own take on that is I even expand that to include, you know, gender orientation, sexual orientation, things like that.

MS. TRUJILLO: Understood. However --

THE COURT: You know, as long as it's not improper --

MS. TRUJILLO: However, I've made my case. It's a protected class. I made the statement. It's the State's job to now insert a race neutral not to allow the Court to say it could be for any reason. Obviously, I understand it could be for any reason.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: But the State's obligation is to state a race-neutral reason. So that's where we're at.

THE COURT: No, I think the first --

MR. GIORDANI: That's not --

THE COURT: -- prong is to make a prima facie case, and I don't believe a prima facie case is established simply by virtue of the fact that somebody may be a member of a different racial or ethnic group or for that matter a religious group or anything else. So I don't see it, but I'm going to let the State respond and see what they have to say. But I think it's, you know, the first prong is your burden and then it turns to

them.

2.0

Now, I normally make them state a race-neutral reason anyway just because a reviewing Court may not agree with my assessment of the first prong. But my opinion is just because somebody happens to be a member of a particular group does not mean that they can't challenge them or it does not necessarily automatically make a peremptory challenge suspect. I don't agree with that. I don't believe that that's the law.

MR. GIORDANI: And I agree with the Court. I wouldn't -- I would note that a prima facie showing has not been made so I don't think we need to give a race-neutral reason.

THE COURT: Like I said, I normally ask the State to give a race-neutral reason because a reviewing Court may not agree with me.

MR. GIORDANI: Sure. I will --

THE COURT: Like I said, I don't think they've met the first prong in my opinion.

MR. GIORDANI: I completely agree, but I will give the reasons, and Number one I'll note that there's several Hispanic people on the jury that we don't intend to strike. This one in particular, Ms. Simon, was our second perempt, our first perempt was on what appears to be a white woman, but again I — as I said to this Court in prior cases, I don't agree with the characterization that the defense continually

1	proffers that because someone has a little bit more tan skin
2	they're Hispanic. In this case I believe Ms. Devine identified
3	herself as White and
4	THE COURT: What's her badge number?
5	MR. GIORDANI: 338. That was our first strike and
6	that was
7	MS. TRUJILLO: I'm sorry. I don't believe I said
8	that. Did I say that?
9	MR. GIORDANI: Say what?
10	MS. TRUJILLO: You said that that you said that's
11	been happening in this case that someone's a little more tanned
12	skin.
13	MR. GIORDANI: No, not in this case. I'm saying in
14	other cases. And so
15	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. I just want to be clear, I
16	didn't say that.
17	MR. GIORDANI: No, no.
18	MS. TRUJILLO: No one on behalf of Mr. Brown said
19	that.
20	MR. GIORDANI: No, that is true.
21	But back to my point. Number one, our first strike
22	was what appears to be a white woman who
23	THE COURT: A white woman. I think she identified as
24	White or Caucasian.
25	MS. TRUJILLO: She did.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And then the second strike,
Ms. Simon, this is an individual who said she was, quote, a
troubled youth. She had various misdemeanors up until she was
22, several interactions with the system both as a defendant
and a victim. She hung out with lots of gang members in LA.
Her son and daughter have interactions with the system that she
didn't really get into although I think that with regard to her
daughter she didn't know, to be fair. She also indicated that
she herself had solicited prostitution at some point.

THE COURT: I didn't really hear -- I couldn't -- I thought she -- something she was arrested for soliciting, and she wanted to be a stripper or something of that nature.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Right.

2.0

THE COURT: I didn't really get what that whole incident was but something like that, but she was charged with soliciting. Whether she was really soliciting or just trying to be a stripper, I couldn't really get what she was saying, but I remember that.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And she visibly appeared tired and drained yesterday.

THE COURT: She did.

MR. GIORDANI: And today I can't really tell, I didn't have much interaction with her today with regard to how she was, but in any event the perempts --

THE COURT: Yeah, I didn't -- just for the record I

didn't notice her today. The reason I didn't notice her today, is the Court has two monitors blocking my view of her because her chair is here in the well of the courtroom. So I don't know if she looked tired, alert; I have no opinion. I can't make a record on that.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: Fair enough. And in the grand scheme, I mean, perempts are here for a reason. They're in contrast, this person who has a solicitation charge in her past, was a troubled youth, went to a youth facility, stayed in a youth facility which appeared to be jail or juvie, whatever. In contrast to the other 32, she's a clear perempt strike for us. With that I would submit.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And just for a response because I know we're running — probably have a further record after this. For the record many people in this panel have convictions. They might not have been a troubled youth, so to speak, that there were plenty of DUIs. There's plenty of other issues so I just want to make that record clear as we go forth.

THE COURT: Well, we'll see, you know, if they strike some of the other people with other problems in their past, and we'll see if they do or they don't.

What record if anything do you want to make on that?

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I don't need to make a record.

THE COURT: Yeah. All right. I'm satisfied with the race neutral reason. As I said already, I don't think a prima

facie showing has been made. I certainly don't think the state of the law is that any time someone happens to be of a race or ethnicity that's nonCaucasian that it's racially motivated.

So I don't recall how many -- I think, this -- look, it struck me as a pretty diverse panel. Just when we went through and everybody self identified, but, you know, the record is what the record is.

So let's -- if anyone needs to use the -- take a quick break, do that now and then we'll come back.

And while we're here which number were we on then? The defense's second?

MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So can you enter that right now. Do you know who you were going to strike for your second?

MS. TRUJILLO: No, we were -- we were just going to talk about it 'cause you said do it contemporaneously so we paused --

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I was going to say if you know who your third is going to be then do your second now if you can. And then if you already know who your third one is going to be do the third one. Because then if that's a Batson we can just do it right now as opposed to bring everybody in and then us all say, oops.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: All right. We'll go on the record. All

We took our break. 1 right. 2 Was anyone able to exercise their third perempt? 3 MR. STORMS: They exercised their third perempt and we're challenging it. They exercised their third perempt 4 5 against Mr. Peries, Number 465. He's the last Asian man on the 6 jury. 7 THE COURT: Were there any other Asian men? 8 MS. TRUJILLO: There's no other Asians from my 9 records left on the jury. 10 THE COURT: Right. I mean, but were there ever any 11 other Asians? Because I only --12 MR. STORMS: Yes, there was a Taiwanese lady earlier 13 in the process. THE COURT: Right. She was a for-cause we stipulated 14 15 to because her husband had the medical appointment for the 16 cardiac stress test, thallium for the stress test in 17 California. 18 MR. STORMS: At this point their last two strikes 19 were minorities. Ms. Simon and then now Mr. Peries. 2.0 THE COURT: And he's the gentleman in the front row 21 that identified as Asian; correct? 22 MR. STORMS: That's correct. 23 THE COURT: All right. I'm just saying that there

24

25

JD Reporting, Inc.

weren't -- there's only one Asian left and the other Asian

woman who I've forgotten about we stipulated on that one.

MR. STORMS: That's right.

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Once I -- I still don't see the prima facie case because other than the fact the person happens to identify as Asian, I don't think we can make inference from a stipulated for cause -- it wasn't even for cause. It was a hardship excuse.

MR. GIORDANI: Correct.

THE COURT: I keep saying for cause, but it was a hardship that the lady, the gal from Taiwan was excused.

Mr. Giordani, for purposes of completing the record.

MR. GIORDANI: For the record, I don't think they've met a prima facie case once again, but Mr. Peries who obviously identified as Asian was clearly a valid perempt on our behalf. This is the individual who spoke yesterday that he's had negative interactions with law enforcement to the point where he was put in a segregation tank or something to that effect for fighting with the officers. He had other negative interactions with law enforcement. One, he indicated was his friend — were his friend's fault. But both of those negative interactions combined with his demeanor, the Court referenced demeanor earlier.

With regard to Mr. Peries, he wouldn't give me much, and he was slouched back in his chair --

THE COURT: He was kind of slouchy and his legs were extended.

MR. GIORDANI: And I -- I agree and just based upon his demeanor here in court and those responses being put in a segregation tank, that's pretty unique. And I just don't like him as a juror, and in contrast with the other 30 or so we have left I think it's a completely valid perempt and has nothing to do with the fact that he identified as Asian.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: And, Judge, if I may respond. I'm going to reiterate again. It doesn't have to be -- let's forget about the Taiwanese lady. It doesn't have to be a member of the same protected class. The pattern is discrimination based on protected classes. So there's -- there's already been two, Ms. Simon and now Mr. Peries. And in response to the race neutral, and I'm going to put that in quotes, "reason," he also said he had both interactions with police officers, and the fact that he could recognize that it was actually his friend's fault that caused the officers to do their job and respond the way that they did. He said he could still be fair, that his interactions were pretty neutral.

At first he started off saying it was negative, and then he also said it was positive. And he made the comment there's good people in every category. I can see both sides and -- and I see how my friend and even my own interaction caused the police officers to act the way that they do.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, its, again, to reiterate, it's not a for-cause challenge. They didn't try to

strike him for cause. He, you know, did say those things. He was sitting slouchy in his chair. I thought, you know, one we stipulated to for hardship, but a couple here in the front row been visiting. I thought the one that we agreed to on hardship, the guy from Israel really had a bad attitude and was talking and slouchy, and then this guy was less slouchy, but he was a little slouchy. But there's been a few in the front row that have been kinda visiting. I thought the worst attitude was the guy from Israel, but like I said, we stipulated on hardship as to him so that's not really an issue right now.

2.0

So look, I think they stated a legitimate reason. I still don't think though just because people, again, happen to be of an ethnic or racial minority doesn't mean that it's racism or that it's, you know, pretextual.

So now we -- was the defense, before you bring them all back, was the defense able to exercise their third challenge?

MS. TRUJILLO: We just -- we're about to.

THE COURT: And can the State try to exercise their -- at some point you may not remember if we need to bring them back. I'm trying to get as many done as we can in case we need to make a record of anything.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, and I had requested previously that they sit in the room just because in the past it's been more helpful to be able to see their faces.

1	THE COURT: If you need them to come in the room, I
2	just meant if your next one's coming up or something that may
3	elicit an objection let's do it now.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Well, I don't know what they're going
5	to object to because it shouldn't be just any time someone
6	other than a race other then white is stricken that they're
7	racist.
8	THE COURT: I agree. I agree with you. Particularly
9	when you have a number of people on that in the 32 that are
10	people of different races and ethnic backgrounds.
11	MR. GIORDANI: We're kicking Mr. Flangas, so unless
12	defense attorneys are a protected class I think we're good.
13	MR. STORMS: Obviously we're not a protected class.
14	MS. TRUJILLO: Why are you giving me this.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Oh. I'm sorry.
16	MR. STORMS: We're not protected from
17	MS. TRUJILLO: Nobody protects us ever.
18	MR. STORMS: Yeah. We have to be a protecting class,
19	but we have no one protecting us.
20	(Pause in the proceedings.)
21	THE COURT: So while they're doing that,
22	Mr. Giordani, your position is if you waive one then it's
23	whoever's sitting in Chair 32 would be that perempt is that how
24	you do it?

JD Reporting, Inc.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And it just moves up, you

25

1 know --2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. GIORDANI: -- the panel moves up as we go. THE COURT: Right. Because what I -- here's how I do 4 5 it, I excuse them. So I'll say okay, Badge Number 75, 6 Mr. Jones, you are excused. Badge number whatever, you are 7 excused. And then the 14 left are the jurors. 8 MR. GIORDANI: Right. Just as -- as it's going, you know, we're striking each person that we and the defense 9 10 strike --11 THE COURT: Right. 12 MR. GIORDANI: -- and so as of now there's three 13 people left in the front row, and the back end is what 14 disappears; right? 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. GIORDANI: So everyone moves up. 17 Well --THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. GIORDANI: In that same order by chair. 19 THE COURT: Right. 2.0 MR. GIORDANI: Right. Okay. 21 THE COURT: I don't do this whole musical chair 22 thing, you know, everybody get up and turn around. I just say 23 thank you, Mr. Jones, you are excused. Thank you, Ms. Smith, 24 you are excused and then there's 14 people and Kenny seats them 25 the right way.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Right. Okay.
3	MR. GIORDANI: As long
4	THE COURT: Right, I mean
5	THE MARSHAL: Are we going to seat them by their
6	badge number?
7	THE COURT: Badge number.
8	THE MARSHAL: Okay.
9	THE COURT: I don't know what they're doing so let's
10	just whatever they think. What number are we on?
11	MR. GIORDANI: We finished five.
12	MS. TRUJILLO: Five.
13	THE COURT: Okay. If you guys need me to bring them
14	back in I will.
15	MS. TRUJILLO: We're fine.
16	THE COURT: But if you remember who all they are it's
17	kind of easier to do it this way.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Yeah, we're, okay.
19	THE COURT: Don't forget if they're, you know,
20	excluding people in a racially biased way, State
21	MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, you can't help the State.
22	THE COURT: No.
23	MS. TRUJILLO: You cannot help the State.
24	THE COURT: No, I mean I've had cases where I wanted
25	to make the Batson challenge where I've seen the defense excuse

like -- and I'll just say --1 2 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. 3 THE COURT: -- look, if you excuse another Asian 4 person --5 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. 6 THE COURT: -- and, you know, and this is unusual. 7 Usually there are more Asians on the panel. 8 MR. STORMS: Judge, we're making another -- they just 9 struck Number 2, Marquita Allen, who we had that earlier 10 colloquy about the detective in her brother's case. 11 with Marquita Allen we've got at least two other -- we've got 12 Hackett and Cadieux; so we've got a number of jurors that have 13 had the same sort of experience with family members that have 14 had cases with law enforcement that, I mean, she has been back 15 and forth. She's actually gotten a lot more questioning than a 16 lot of these other folks that have had family members that have 17 had cases with law enforcement, and she has given the same 18 types of answers although she's been talked -- she's been asked 19 a lot more about it. I mean we've gone back to her three or 2.0 four times at this point. THE COURT: We haven't been back to her three or four 21 22 times. 23 MR. STORMS: Well, about three times. 24 THE COURT: I questioned her initially, then

JD Reporting, Inc.

Mr. Giordani questioned her. Then there was the issue that was

25

raised on a for-cause challenge which I said that record's been made.

MR. STORMS: Uh-huh.

2.0

THE COURT: But I said, look I'm not inclined to grant it, but I'm happy to follow up. And I did follow up, and then I gave, I think, counsel the opportunity to follow up on just my additional questions. So that's why there was more questioning.

MR. STORMS: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: And once she answered the questions, I felt comfortable that she was, you know, fine on the for cause.

MR. STORMS: The questions that she -- she's answered the questions consistent with other members of the panel that have had law enforcement contact in their family. She's expressed no bias against the police that the fact that we're dealing with our third Batson challenge --

THE COURT: What number was that? For their challenge it's Number what, five?

MR. STORMS: Number six.

THE COURT: Six.

MR. STORMS: So I want to point out to the Court Dan Pomo [phonetic] it's a 124 Nevada 427; it's a case from 2008 that denotes that a mixed challenge of multiple minority classes is also a basis to make a Batson claim. But just on -- on the disparate questioning with the answers consistent

with -- the just nonquestioning where she's giving answers consistent to other members of the panel that aren't African American. I don't see there being any difference in her responses, and I think that this is a prima facie case of discrimination.

2.0

There's not -- this is not a situation like the other two where they've had problems with the police themselves, or, you know, Ms. Simon who had been -- been involved with gang bangers in her past and had a criminal background as it were, had a solicitation in her past, or Mr. Peries who had been put in an aggregated cell for being rowdy while drunk. She has nothing like that in her past, and she has answered the questions and shown -- expressed neutrality when it comes to issues of law enforcement despite her brothers' trial and so on and so forth.

THE COURT: All right. I would note, I believe, there or two other African Americans in the 32.

MR. GIORDANI: I think there's three, and the defense just struck one that we really liked, number one.

THE COURT: Okay. Who -- was that the gentleman in the back.

MS. TRUJILLO: Because we're not racist we go both ways.

MR. GIORDANI: Ms. Gebretensie -- Ms. Gebretensie, who's from Africa or African --

1	THE COURT: Oh, she identified as Eritrean.
2	MR. STORMS: Yes. She's Ethiopian?
3	THE COURT: No, Eritrean.
4	MR. STORMS: Eritrean.
5	THE COURT: Which last I checked is in Africa.
6	MR. STORMS: Yes.
7	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Who the defense just struck. In
9	addition, let me just back up a second. Number one, the
10	disparate questioning was not on the State's behalf.
11	Yesterday, we indicated we were going to pass for cause at the
12	bench, and that included Ms. Allen. Her responses seemed
13	perfectly appropriate notwithstanding the cases of her
14	brothers. There wasn't anything there that would cause rise
15	to a for-cause challenge. This morning, as I indicated, which
16	I hope was on the record.
17	THE COURT: Oh, yeah, it was on the record, a hundred
18	percent.
19	MR. GIORDANI: We I looked at the police report
20	where the lead detective in our case is the lead detective in
21	her brothers' case. So while I actually liked her vibe, I
22	liked her demeanor as of yesterday
23	THE COURT: Yeah, I thought she was very respectful.
24	MR. GIORDANI: I did too. As of this morning, that's
25	a big problem if I don't know that when my lead detective walks

in this room one of the jurors is going to dislike him for putting her brothers in prison. That's a big problem. So, I mean, I hope the Supreme Court reads this one day, but I'm going to say this. When you raise a Batson challenge, you're calling the prosecutor racist. That's what it is. The defense just struck almost all white people. I didn't say a thing because I don't think it's appropriate to do that. They've just indicated that because we struck a Hispanic person who had a soliciting charge and was a troubled youth, an Indian guy who fought with cops and ended up in a segregation tank and then an African-American woman whose brothers were apprehended and sent to prison based upon our lead detective's actions that we're racist all of a sudden.

I will also note --

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: [Inaudible] --

MR. GIORDANI: Hold on. I will also note the two people prior to that were -- that we struck, the State struck prior to Ms. Allen were white folks. I mean, we're going through this list and comparing the people who are in the -- what's remaining with the others. Out of all the people that are left I sure as hell don't want Ms. Allen on my jury knowing that issue is hanging out there when my detective walks in this courtroom that she might recognize him as someone who was involved in her brothers' case. Or God forbid she does talk to her brothers. I mean I don't think she would do that. She

seems like she'll follow the Court's admonition.

2.0

THE COURT: Right. Like I said, I was getting a respectful vibe from her unlike the other, I don't know if he's Indian or Pakistani --

MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Devine -- or Peries, yes. I agree with the Court there.

THE COURT: I guess he could be Sri Lankan or, you know, but I would say probably from that area of Asia.

MR. GIORDANI: I would like to offer this declaration as a court's exhibit where Detective Dosch, who again is our lead detective in the instant case, is referenced throughout. It's -- just as a court's exhibit.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll go ahead and make that a court's exhibit. If defense counsel wants a copy of that, we're happy to make one for them, but it will be a court's exhibit.

MS. TRUJILLO: No, I just want to respond to Mr. Giordani's comments. I mean, I apologize. Actually, I don't. This is, you know, a live person here. Forget what the State is saying about -- they're trying to say reverse discrimination or whatever the case is. It's my job to ensure that Mr. Brown has a fair trial, and part of that analysis is a fair cross-section of this community. And right now we're not looking at very much of a fair cross-section of the community.

As I said before, we can establish a pattern of

discriminatory action, and I'm not saying Mr. Giordani is racist. I'm saying for some reason there is a pattern that he is eliminating protected classes. And I can make the mixed argument in the case that --

THE COURT: No, no.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, I just want to finish -
THE COURT: No, no. No, actually -- I was saying you are absolutely right. You can make the mix argument.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And so that's what we've been doing, and it only has to be a single juror. It doesn't even have to be a pattern. It doesn't have to be two. That's what everyone keeps arguing about even in other cases. Not necessarily in this court. It only has to be one. One protected class is going to violate his right to a fair trial, and the reason that I understand this is not a for-cause challenge, but my job is to respond to their alleged pretext or race-neutral response, and the way that I do that is by comparing other people on the panel and establishing what they have said is actually fair and neutral because that was the whole point of voir dire.

So that's why I'm responding in that matter. Not that I'm saying, oh, this is a for-cause challenge. That's the only way I can respond is with their answers.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, I agree with you, the prima facie case can be on one particular juror, and,

you know, I think a relevant inquiry is what kind of questions, you know, were they being targeted. There's that case that was reversed where they're actually running scope on people of color and not other people. Clearly that's, you know, racially motivated.

So I agree with you there, but I'm -- but just again to reiterate, just the fact that people happen to be of a minority race or ethnicity does not necessarily mean that the State is acting in a racially motivated way. And I think that that's just always important to keep in mind that just because a number of people may be of different or the same ethnic or racial group doesn't necessarily mean that they're being discriminatory in their exercise.

So that's my point, not that it has to be more than one person, not that it can't be a diverse group of people of color. That's my point.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right.

2.0

THE COURT: But that just doesn't necessarily mean that it's discriminatory; that's all I'm saying.

MS. TRUJILLO: And I understand, but part of that analysis and what I do before I do the Batson challenge is I analyze their responses. That — that's my point. So I'm saying in my opinion, and granted we have obviously very differing viewpoints, very different experiences, but if — there didn't appear to be a race—neutral reason based on the

answers that were given during the panel, and that's why I raised the Batson issue.

2.0

THE COURT: Well, you're free to raise the Batson issue. I don't take umbrage or offense or anything like that --

MS. TRUJILLO: But I just want to be clear.

THE COURT: -- if you raise an issue. I think it's your job to raise the issue.

But again, look, you know, the State thought there was a basis for a for-cause challenge, Court disagreed.

In terms of the questioning and the extent of the questioning, I'd just remind everybody that that started off in response to a question from the Court, the routine question that I always ask any, you know, friends or family, close friends or family that have been arrested or charged or accused of a crime, and she raised her hand. I would, you know, just based on my recollection, you folks took more extensive notes, but it seemed like her family members were, I don't want to say peculiar, but unique is a better word in that — in the fact that, you know, they actually went to prison, and it was here in Clark County.

MR. GIORDANI: And for robbing a drug dealer.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: And our victim was dealing drugs when he was robbed and killed by Mr. Brown. So it's an issue.

THE COURT: And, you know, they were housed here in Clark County, and so, you know, I think her experiences or her, slash, family experience was some — unique from some of the other people that maybe it was a misdemeanor or maybe it occurred in a different state. So, you know, I think that brought on more questioning from maybe me where some people raised their hand it's a DUI; it's a misdemeanor thing in Connecticut or whatever.

2.0

I'm not going to follow up as much as I do with people who had stuff happen here. As you know, I always try to find out, well — because sometimes people will say what law enforcement agency because I've heard people say I hate Henderson, but I love Metro, or, you know, I've had great experiences with Henderson and terrible experiences — so that's why I kind of even wonder why I oftentimes will even follow up with — you'll notice if it's other states I don't really ask those questions, you know, so much.

Sometimes I might want to know is it like a trooper or is it, you know, like local law enforcement, but beyond that. So that's just my comment on that.

Anything that you'd like to add from the defense side?

MR. STORMS: Yes, just to point out, her answers to the questions were that she was at a hearing, she was at a sentencing. There's no evidence that she has any connections

to Detective Dosch. This could be actually figured out by looking at the records from that case. The mere fact that he was a detective on a case that her brothers were involved with, I don't see the connection there. I mean, based upon her responses to the questions and — and, you know, whether or not she would be familiar with him could be readily determined some other way.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know how we would determine that --

MR. GIORDANI: I disagree --

2.0

THE COURT: -- because we can't say, hey, do you recognize this big guy as the detective on your brother -- because now we've tainted her if we do it that way.

MR. STORMS: If her brothers -- her brothers were convicted, there was a preliminary hearing maybe; there definitely was a trial. I mean, she said she didn't -- she didn't say she went to the trial. I mean, there's no evidence that she would know who Detective Dosch was.

MR. GIORDANI: I completely agree with that. That in the record the way she answered the questions, yes, I completely agree. The reason she's being kicked is because I can't be sure. I can't be sure her brothers didn't say in the, you know, however long it took for them to be arrested, you know, this detective is coming and questioning us, or Detective Dosch came and questioned my friends about where I was that

night or anything like that. I have no idea, and I don't want to take the risk that halfway through this trial when we put of the detective she recognizes him or she recognizes his name all of a sudden or something. I mean, I agree with the answers that were given, and that's why initially, you know, it wasn't going to be a for-cause challenge because although, the facts of her brothers' case are eerily similar to this one, they robbed a drug dealer that one of them knew or one of their party knew that wasn't quite enough.

2.0

But once I learned this morning in reading that report our lead detective's name was all over it, that's an issue, and I can't take the risk if there's a juror sitting in the box that's going to see that detective and disfavor him for any reason whatsoever. I have no further for the record.

THE COURT: All right. What number are we on?

MS. TRUJILLO: Six.

THE COURT: Why did the defense excuse the gal from Eritrea? Is that how you say it?

MR. DICKERSON: Eritrea.

THE COURT: Is that how you say it?

MS. TRUJILLO: Because historically from my experience and other people's experiences, people from Africa tend to have discriminatory views toward black African Americans.

MR. GIORDANI: Isn't that a racial reason?

1	THE COURT: Yeah, that's a racial reason.
2	MS. TRUJILLO: There's plenty of others.
3	THE COURT: I didn't know that she was from Africa.
4	I couldn't tell.
5	MS. TRUJILLO: I don't I don't know if she is
6	THE COURT: She didn't appear to have an accent.
7	MS. TRUJILLO: She just said, you know
8	THE COURT: Right.
9	MR. GIORDANI: I'm going to make a Batson
10	challenge
11	MS. TRUJILLO: How do you how do you say that
12	Ari I don't even know how to say that
13	MR. GIORDANI: Eritrea.
14	MS. TRUJILLO: Eritrea. Eritrea.
15	MR. STORMS: Yeah.
16	MR. GIORDANI: I'm making a Batson challenge.
17	THE COURT: Well, yeah. That to me is prima facie.
18	MS. TRUJILLO: No, there's other
19	THE COURT: Well, it's not prima facie. I mean,
20	that's like generalizing that all, you know
21	MS. TRUJILLO: Which we all do which is what I was
22	just saying
23	THE COURT: African African Americans have
24	negative views on African Americans. So I'm going to call say
25	native born African Americans, and by native born I mean born

in the United States, African Americans. 1 2 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. 3 THE COURT: Isn't that exactly racism to say that all people of a particular or national origin or in this case 4 5 continental origin have a particular viewpoint? Isn't that the 6 definition of racism? 7 MS. TRUJILLO: I didn't say that. I said in my 8 experience, so my personal experience has shown me, but there 9 are other race-neutral reasons if you want me to say it --10 THE COURT: Because actually in the world, the United 11 States has far less racism than most other countries. 12 MS. TRUJILLO: Oh, other countries, I agree. That's 13 why I shared my story with the rest -- I mean, first of all 14 let's not even get into colorism in -- within one race you have 15 that alone. 16 THE COURT: Oh, no, that's huge in the world. 17 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. Absolutely. Especially in the 18 Latin cultures. 19 THE COURT: Right. It's true. When, I mean I've 2.0 seen -- I watch inordinate amount of PBS, but there was 21 something that like Mexican immigrants --22 MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh. 23 THE COURT: Were interviewed, and they were dark 24 skinned --25 MS. TRUJILLO: Right.

THE COURT: -- and they were talking about how amazed 1 2 they were at the fact that Americans weren't racist on skin 3 tone the way people in Latin America were. MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh. Absolutely. And Brazil and 4 5 all those Latin countries. 6 THE COURT: Well, even in China, traditionally there 7 was a lot of racism based on skin tone. 8 MS. TRUJILLO: That's why they have all those skin 9 bleaching products --10 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 11 MS. TRUJILLO: -- they're like very readily 12 available. 13 And white people, we're all, you know, THE COURT: 14 going to tanning beds and; right? Trying to look darker; 15 right. Trying to get rid of our pale, pasty complexions. We're all getting skin cancer. It's true, because white people 16 17 look in the mirror, and it's like, oh, my God I'm so pasty. I 18 don't anymore, but I used to always be the person, you know, no 19 sun, no product except for the lemon juice on the hair in the 2.0 backyard. 21 What number are we on? 22 MS. TRUJILLO: They're doing their seventh. 23 THE COURT: Okay. You guys working on your seventh? MR. GIORDANI: No, we're discussing -- can we get --24

JD Reporting, Inc.

THE COURT: Do you need me to bring them back in?

25

Off the record, okay.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

THE COURT: If we needed to bring them back in, if you don't remember, we'll bring them back in and finish up.

(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: All right. Just to be clear, we were engaged in some lighthearted joking, is the State making a Batson challenge as to the gal who identified as -- it will be spelled correctly in the written record, Eritrean?

MR. DICKERSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Etri --

MR. GIORDANI: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: We are not making a Batson challenge, and for the record I am not calling Ms. Trujillo racist.

THE COURT: All right. And, Ms. Trujillo, just to complete the record because, you know, the comment was based on stereotyping about people of African origin meaning people who come from Africa directly. What were -- what were the other reasons that you struck that particular juror?

MS. TRUJILLO: Well, to start, she works at -- in admin at Sunrise, and I -- and she sees a lot of officers come in and she mentioned she sees a lot of gunshot wounds and other things. And not that it was going to sway her one way or another, but her interaction with Metro, in my opinion, could,

you know, the way they investigate what happens, the procedures, what they do, and I just didn't want her -- the way she thinks and has, you know, has personal experience with it to infect the jury if it all.

2.0

And also she did mention that she has a friend named Officer G, because we all laughed about it when that happened. She did say that she doesn't talk about work, but obviously that's obviously a concern when you have a friend and you -- I mean, you may not talk about work, but you're calling them Officer John G, like that seems very personal to me.

Additionally, and my final reason is because comparatively when I'm speaking with her and when John was speaking with her I think she spoke a lot less than everyone else. I mean, I have four points as opposed to, like, half pages for other people. So the fact that people don't disclose a lot of information to me always makes me nervous because I'm not sure which way they're going to go. So that — those were the other reasons for me —

THE COURT: She was quiet, I mean I did notice that. I would define her as quiet and respectful.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right.

THE COURT: In her demeanor.

MS. TRUJILLO: For the record, I am not calling Mr. Giordani racist, and we were bantering informally because the panel is not here.

1 THE COURT: That's correct. 2 MS. TRUJILLO: And he made a comment of I was calling 3 him racist so obviously, I was -- we were bantering back and forth. 4 5 THE COURT: Right. 6 MS. TRUJILLO: Which maybe was not appropriate, but, 7 you know, there are many race neutral reasons other than just set forth that we would have struck her. 8 9 THE COURT: Everything you stated I recall from my 10 own independent recollection that she did say, and she did 11 indicate as working and that was brought out I think by 12 Mr. Giordani. 13 MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh. 14 THE COURT: That -- because when she said she worked 15 in admitting I kind of imagined her in more of an office 16 setting --17 MS. TRUJILLO: In the front desk, correct. 18 THE COURT: Not right up there, and if anyone's been 19 to the emergency room you know that, you know, they're right 2.0 there. 21 Taking notes. MS. TRUJILLO: Right. 22 THE COURT: Right. Where the patients are and the 23 police are and all the action is happening, you know --24 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. 25 THE COURT: -- right by that triage desk are usually

1 their desks.
2 MS. TRUJILLO: Right.
3 THE COURT: So I thin

2.0

THE COURT: So I think it is valid that she would have a lot of interaction with law enforcement just kind of -- I didn't follow up because, like I said, I imagined her in an office in back.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right.

THE COURT: But then, yeah, clearly she's -- like I said, typically those desks are kind of right by, you know, where they have the triage desk and the --

MS. TRUJILLO: And then they have the new mobile computer as the Court said --

THE COURT: Right.

MS. TRUJILLO: -- and move it into the rooms as they're taking notes.

THE COURT: Right. And they're right in the rooms with the patients.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right.

THE COURT: Because it always seems ironic to me when I've been in the ER with a family member that, you know, you're waiting forever for a nurse, but the admit people who are getting your insurance information so you can pay for it are right there Johnny on the spot.

MS. TRUJILLO: And checking it.

THE COURT: Right. All right. I'm satisfied with

1	your race neutral reasons.
2	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: All right. So what are we on?
4	MR. GIORDANI: We're on our seventh. We just got a
5	little sidetracked there.
6	Your Honor, while the defense is making their next
7	one, would it be possible to have your recorder rewind to
8	something that was said this morning? I mean, if I tell you a
9	juror number can you figure it out? Or is it going to take
10	THE COURT: What's the issue?
11	MR. GIORDANI: Well, as to it's 354, Ms. Vargas, we
12	like her as of yesterday, but this morning when the defense
13	questioned her she indicated
14	MS. TRUJILLO: Am I missing something? Should I
15	be
16	MR. GIORDANI: Well, some hesitancy I'm trying to
17	get her to play back from where
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Ms. Trujillo was questioning her, and
20	there was some hesitancy, and I tried to jot the notes down,
21	but I didn't get everything. So I was hoping we could review
22	that.
23	MR. STORMS: Which juror?
24	MS. TRUJILLO: Vargas.
25	
20	MR. STORMS: Okay.

1	MR. GIORDANI: 354.
2	THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah. I just kinda need I
3	need a little bit of time to get to it, but I can try.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I'm sorry to be a pain, but
5	we're still on track to open at 1:00; right?
6	THE COURT: Okay. So you're envisioning lunch at
7	noon and then coming back at 1:00 for opening?
8	MS. TRUJILLO: Can we have 1:30?
9	THE COURT: Is that what you want to do?
10	MR. GIORDANI: Well, we scheduled our first witness
11	for 1:00 so we're for sure she's here on time. But if you need
12	an extra
13	THE COURT: I'm fine with that. I was going to bring
14	them all in and send out the ones or maybe what we'll do is
15	bring them all in, excuse the people who aren't going to be
16	jurors. I'll do the initial admonition. We'll go to lunch for
17	an hour and then come back and do the openings. Could we do
18	that?
19	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh.
21	THE COURT: All right. Do you have the thing to
22	read?
23	(Proceedings recessed 11:43 a.m. to 11:56 a.m.)
24	THE COURT: You guys ready?
25	MS. TRUJILLO: No.

1	THE COURT: Where are we?
2	MS. TRUJILLO: They're on
3	MR. GIORDANI: We just had our last.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is that your ninth?
5	MS. TRUJILLO: This is eight.
6	THE COURT: Be anticipating your ninth so we can get
7	started.
8	MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh.
9	MR. GIORDANI: I was going to I think we might
10	wait, but I want to confirm with them that they think that
11	(Pause in the proceedings.)
12	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Are you on your ninth?
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
15	MS. TRUJILLO: Uh-huh.
16	THE COURT: Then I'm going to go over the list on the
17	record to make sure we got it correct. Okay. Approach. Oh,
18	no, I just meant with the list. We're going to do this on the
19	record. All right.
20	The State's first challenge was for Badge Number 338,
21	Christina Devine in Chair 5.
22	The defense's first challenge was Badge 362, Scott
23	Williams in Chair 10.
24	The State's second challenge was Badge Number 183
25	what chair?

1	MR. GIORDANI: Seat 1.
2	THE COURT: in Seat 1.
3	The defense's second challenge
4	MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, before you finish reading can
5	we approach really quick.
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
8	THE COURT: Then that's how it is. Okay. So
9	chair defense's second perempt is Badge 522 and that is
10	522 is who?
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thurgood.
12	THE COURT: What chair?
13	MR. GIORDANI: I'm looking. Oh, yeah, Seat 6.
14	MS. TRUJILLO: 6.
15	THE COURT: All right. Seat 6. All right. And then
16	the defense the State's third is Badge 465 and that's
17	Badge
18	MR. STORMS: That's Seat 4.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Seat 4.
20	And the defense's next one is Badge 509.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Seat 7.
22	THE COURT: And that's in Seat 7. And then the
23	State's next one, their fourth is Badge 367 and that's
24	Mr. Flangas in Seat 12.
25	Defense's fourth is Mazzarella, Badge 515 and that

1	person is in seat
2	MR. STORMS: 16.
3	THE COURT: 16. And then State's fifth is
4	Blankenship, Badge 521 in Seat
5	MR. STORMS: 14.
6	THE COURT: 14. And than defense's fifth is
7	Gunnell, in Badge 473 in seat
8	MR. STORMS: 19.
9	THE COURT: Seat 19.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Wait, that's not 473.
11	MR. STORMS: No.
12	THE COURT: No, that's right.
13	MR. GIORDANI: That's Badge 18 189.
14	THE COURT: It's 189. So do you care if we
15	interlineate the Court interlineates on your fifth and makes
16	that Badge Number 189, Gunnell?
17	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Right. Because the person there
19	before so that should be Badge Number 189, Mr. Gunnell, in
20	Seat 19.
21	State's sixth is Allen, Badge 454. So 454 is where?
22	MR. STORMS: 2.
23	THE COURT: In Seat 2. Okay.
24	And then defense's sixth is the Bayne, Badge 408.
25	MR. STORMS: 21.

1	THE COURT: In Seat 21 and then the State waived. So
2	we don't do anything; right?
3	And we go to defense's seventh which is Cruz, Badge
4	361.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Seat 9.
6	THE COURT: In Seat 9 and then Badge 354, Vargas in
7	Seat 15.
8	MR. GIORDANI: No.
9	MR. STORMS: Seat 8.
10	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Badge 354.
12	THE COURT: In Seat 8. And that was the State's, and
13	then defense's eight is Cadieux, Badge 413.
14	MS. TRUJILLO: Seat 23.
15	THE COURT: And that person's in Chair 23, and then
16	the State waived again.
17	And the defense exercised 443, and that person is in
18	Seat 29. And then based on the State's two waivers, that would
19	be 32 and the person in Chair 32, 512, Fuentes.
20	And the person in Chair 31, 446, Eugene. Is that
21	right?
22	MR. GIORDANI: Are gone.
23	THE COURT: Oh. The person in Chair 31 is who?
24	MS. TRUJILLO: Deborah Eugene.
25	THE COURT: That's what I've got.

1	MR. STORMS: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, that means those two are gone.
3	THE COURT: Yeah, they're going to be excused.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
5	THE COURT: All right. I guess that's
6	MS. TRUJILLO: But what but the problem was that I
7	used my ninth sorry, Judge my ninth peremptory based on
8	the fact that I thought the Court said we could only use the
9	ninth one on the two last ones in the
10	THE COURT: Well, it is now on the alternate so
11	that's fair and appropriate.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
13	THE COURT: And the State waived their ninth.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Correct.
15	THE COURT: So now
16	MS. TRUJILLO: But I'm saying it's not fair and
17	appropriate to restrict it; that's why I was confused because
18	you said that it could be anywhere. So are you is that a
19	change in position? I'm confused.
20	MR. GIORDANI: No, no. I don't mean to interrupt,
21	but our whole calculus, and what Mr. Dickerson and I both
22	remember was like in every other case where we do this type of
23	process, the ninth is restricted to whoever are in there two
24	alternate seats. That's how we calculated this whole thing.
25	THE COURT: Well, the ninth should be the two

alternates because it's your alternate --1 2 MR. GIORDANI: Perempt. 3 THE COURT: It your alternate perempt. So had you 4 not exercised it, your two alternates would be Kenneth Campbell 5 and Deborah Reese. Since you did exercise it, your two 6 alternates are now Kenneth Campbell and Philip Davis. 7 MR. GIORDANI: No, it would have been --8 THE COURT: Who is it? 9 MR. GIORDANI: Had it --10 THE COURT: Who does it this way? Everybody else --11 are you saying every other Judge does it this way? 12 MR. GIORDANI: Well, the way you're saying is 13 I was just correcting the numbers. Had they not 14 exercised nine on Number 443, it would have been 500 and 443 as 15 the alternates. Because they did, it eliminate --16 THE COURT: Right. I just said Number 500 Philip 17 Davis is the alternate; right? 18 MR. GIORDANI: Yep. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 2.0 Kenny, bring them all back in. I'm just going to 21 excuse them as I've marked on my chart, and that way, of 22 course, they won't know how they were challenged or who 23 challenge them. And this will be made a court's exhibit of

JD Reporting, Inc.

Who are all these so-called Judges that do it this

24

25

your perempts.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

way?

MS. TRUJILLO: I was going to take note.

MR. GIORDANI: Well --

THE COURT: I'm serious. All right. Kenny's coming. (Panel of prospective jurors entering 12:06 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. The record should reflect the presence of the State through the deputy district attorneys, the presence of the defendant along with his counsel, and the ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury panel.

And ladies and gentlemen, jury selection is now complete.

At this time Badge Number 183, Ms. Simon, you are excused from these proceedings. Thank you very much for being here and your willingness to serve as a juror. Yes, you're ready to leave, and Officer Hawkes will direct you from the courtroom.

Badge Number 454, Ms. Allen, you are excused from these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve.

Badge Number 465, Mr. Peries, you are excused from these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve as a juror.

Badge Number 338, Ms. Devine, you are excused from these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve.

Badge Number 522, Mr. Thurgood, you are excused from

these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to be a 1 2 juror. 3 Badge Number 509, Ms. Gebretensie --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: 4 Yeah. 5 THE COURT: -- you are excused from these 6 proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve. 7 And Badge Number 354, Ms. Vargas, you are also 8 excused, and thank you for your willingness to serve as a 9 juror. 10 Badge Number 361, Mr. Cruz, you are excused from 11 these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve. 12 Badge Number 362, Mr. Williams, you are excused, and 13 thank you for your willingness to serve. 14 Badge Number 367, Mr. Flangas, you are excused from 15 these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve 16 as a juror. 17 Badge Number 521, Ms. Blankenship, you are excused 18 from these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to 19 serve. 2.0 Badge Number 515, Ms. Mazzarella, you are excused 21 from these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to 22 serve as a juror. 23 Badge Number 189, Mr. Gunnell, you are excused from 24 these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve

JD Reporting, Inc.

25

as a juror.

Badge Number 408, Mr. Bayne, you are excused from these proceedings, and thank you for your willingness to serve as a juror.

2.0

Badge Number 413, Mr. Cadieux -- I'm not sure if I'm saying your name correctly.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 413: Yep, that's fine.

THE COURT: You are excused, and thank you for your willingness to be a juror.

Badge Number 443, Ms. Reese (sic), you are excused from these proceedings. Thank you for your willingness to serve as a juror.

Badge Number 446, Ms. Eugene, you are excused, and thank you for your willingness to serve.

And finally, Badge Number 512, Mr. Fuentes, thank you for your willingness to serve as a juror, and you are excused from these proceedings.

And to the ladies and gentlemen seated in the audience, you folks are all excused as well, and thank you for your being here and participation in the jury selection process. And Officer Hawkes will direct you from the courtroom.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you are the 14 members of our jury. And what I would like everyone to do is to kind of move down. So basically, wait a minute. I'd like, Mr. Campbell, you're in Chair -- if you could move down one

chair -- just one chair the other way.

2.0

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 444: Okay.

THE COURT: And then if everyone in the back row would just move down so that there's -- everybody's seated next to somebody. There's no empty chairs in between.

All right. And then if Ms. Selby, if you would please have the second to the last chair in the back row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 418: That way?

THE COURT: Yes. And, Ms. Johnson, if you'd have the corner chair in the back row. I'm doing Kenny's work here. I don't know what he's doing out in the hallway.

THE MARSHAL: I'm taking care of the leaving jurors.

THE COURT: I know. I'm kidding.

And then, Mr. Williams, if you would move all the way down -- let's see, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven -- second to the last chair. And then if the other two jurors would move down next to, I'm sorry, Mr. Williams, yes. Have a seat.

THE MARSHAL: Would you like me to take over my job?

THE COURT: And then if Ms. Arkenberg, would have the first chair.

THE MARSHAL: Right over here, ma'am, in the back over here.

THE COURT: If Ms. Rodriguez-Vallejo would have the second chair. If Mr. Pursell would have the third chair, and

if Ms. Wallace would have the fourth chair.

2.0

All right, ladies and gentlemen, this is the order that you will be seated in the jury box throughout these proceedings from now on. So please remember what chair we have you seated in. Okay.

I'm going to go ahead and direct the clerk to administer the oath to the jury which she will do in a moment, and Officer Hawkes is going to be passing out blue badges that identify you as Department 21 jurors. It's important that you wear those badges when you're in and around the building so that people can recognize you as Department 21 jurors and someone doesn't inadvertently start talking about the case or something like that in your presence.

So every day from now on wear the badges when you go to lunch, when you're in and around the building.

Officer Hawkes is also going to hand out some notepads for you that you can utilize to take notes throughout these proceedings. And while he's doing that we'll have the court clerk administer the oath to the jury in a second.

All right. And then, ladies and gentlemen, in a moment I'm going to have the court clerk administer the oath to you. After that I'll be reading to you some introductory comments and then we'll take our lunch break.

So, Ms. Trujillo, if you would please administer the oath to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

And, ladies and gentlemen, if you would please all rise for the oath.

2.0

(Jury sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm now going to take a few

minutes to talk to you about what to expect in this trial. My

comments are intended to serve as an introduction to the trial.

At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed

instructions in writing, and those detailed instructions will

control your deliberations.

This is a criminal case brought by the State of Nevada against the defendant. The case is based on an Amended Information. The clerk will now read that document to you and state the plea of the defendant.

(Clerk reads Amended Third Superseding Indictment.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, you should distinctly understand that the Amended Indictment just read to you is simply a description of the charges made by the State against the defendant. It is not evidence of anything. It does not prove anything.

Therefore, the defendant starts out with a clean slate. The defendant has pled not guilty and is presumed innocent.

This is a criminal case, and there are two basic

rules you must keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to present any evidence or prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

2.0

Second, to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime was committed and that the defendant is the person who committed the crime.

It will be your duty to decide from the evidence to be presented whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You are the sole judges of the facts. You will decide what the facts are from the evidence which will be presented. The evidence will consist of testimony of witnesses and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

You must apply the facts to the law which I shall give you and in that way reach your verdict. It is important that you perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously. For ordinarily there is no way of correcting an erroneous determination of facts by the jury.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts. At times I may even ask questions, excuse me, questions of witnesses. If I do so, it is for the purpose of

bringing out matters which should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or value you should give to the testimony of a witness.

2.0

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony about what the witness personally saw, heard or did.

Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. It's proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact. By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see that the ground, the sidewalks and the streets are all wet and water is running down the gutters, you may find from those facts that it rained during the night. This proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact.

Conversely, if you were awake during the night and saw the rain fall, that would be direct evidence, which is something you personally saw.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight or value to both, but it's for you to decide how much consideration to give to any evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you must not consider them as evidence when deciding the facts of this case.

They include statements and arguments by the attorneys, questions and objections of the attorneys, testimony I instruct you to disregard and everything you see or hear if

the court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses. Remember, evidence is sworn testimony by a witness from the witness stand while court is in session and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

2.0

There are rules of law which control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and the lawyer on the other side thinks it is not permitted by the rules, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered or the exhibit cannot be received.

Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, ignore the question, and do not guess what the answer might have been. Sometimes I may order evidence stricken from the record and tell you to disregard or ignore such evidence. This means that when you're deciding the case you must not consider the evidence which I have told you to disregard.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which the lawyer believes may not be permitted under the rules. You should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party the lawyer represents.

Also, I may find it necessary to admonish a lawyer.

If I do, you should not be prejudiced toward the lawyer or

client because I have found it necessary to admonish him or her.

2.0

You are not to concern yourself in any way with the sentence which the defendant might receive if you should find the defendant guilty. Your function is to decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charges. If and only if you find the defendant guilty, then because the duty of the Court to pronounce sentence.

Until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or about anything, I'm sorry, or about anyone having anything to do with it until you go into the jury room to deliberate on the case and all of you are present in the jury deliberation room.

Do not talk with anyone else about this case or anyone having anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors by me. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but do not tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged by me.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone having anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting the bailiff. Do not read any news stories, listen to any radio broadcast or watch any television reports about the case or

about anyone having anything to do with it.

2.0

Do not do any research on your own or make any investigation about this case. You may be tempted to visit the location. Please do not do so. In view of the time that has elapsed since this case has come to trial, substantial changes may have occurred at the location in question.

Also, in making an unauthorized visit without the benefit of explanation you may get erroneous impressions.

Therefore, please avoid going near or past the location until after the case has been completed.

At the end of the trial you'll have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult, and it's difficult and time-consuming for the court recorder to play back lengthy testimony. Therefore, I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by witnesses. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of the other jurors.

Do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide

the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. It is important that you keep an open mind.

2.0

A juror may not declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of which the juror has knowledge. If any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that that juror or other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, that juror shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of the other jurors. This means that if you learn during the course of the trial that you have personal knowledge of any fact which is not presented by the evidence in this case, you must declare that fact to me. You communicate to the Court through one of the bailiffs.

During the course of this trial the attorneys for both sides and all court personnel other than the uniformed bailiff are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial. They are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

The trial will proceed in the following manner. The deputy district attorney will make an opening statement which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the defendant's attorney may but does not have to make an opening statement. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove.

The State will then present its evidence, and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the defendant may present evidence, and the deputy district attorney may cross-examine those witnesses. However, as I have already said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or to call any witnesses.

2.0

After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to both open and close the closing arguments. After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.

Jurors are permitted to ask questions of the witnesses. If you have a question for one of the witnesses, I ask that you write it down using a full sheet of your notebook paper, and then wait until the attorneys for both sides have had an opportunity to question the witness because very often one of the lawyers will ask your question. If not, please get my attention or the bailiff's attention, and he will retrieve the question from you.

Please do not be offended if I don't ask one of your

questions. The questions from the jury are governed by the same rules of evidence that control what the lawyers can ask. So if your question were to call for hearsay or some other type of inadmissible evidence, I may not ask it. It doesn't mean it's not an interesting question or something like that, but I do have to follow the rules of evidence with respect to the juror questions.

2.0

That concludes my introductory remarks. We're going to go ahead and take our lunch break. We'll take an hour. That will put us at 1:30.

During the lunch break -- a couple minutes after 1:30. During the lunch break you're all reminded, you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Do not visit the location at issue, and please do not form or express an opinion on the trial.

Officer Hawkes will give you instructions on where to meet after the lunch break. Any questions, please address
Officer Hawkes in the hallway. He'll also be talking to you at some point today about where you'll be parking from now on and things of that nature. So if you have questions, please address Officer Hawkes.

And please leave your notepads in your chairs and 1 2 follow Officer Hawkes through the double doors. We'll see 3 everyone back after the lunch break. (Jury recessed at 12:31 p.m.) 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So who did -- and this is totally 6 off the record. 7 (Proceedings recessed 12:32 p.m. to 1:41 p.m.) 8 (In the presence of the jury.) 9 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. 10 The record should reflect the presence of the State through the 11 deputy district attorneys, the presence of the defendant along 12 with his counsel, the officers of the court and the ladies and 13 gentlemen of the jury. 14 Is the State ready to proceed with their opening 15 statement? 16 MR. DICKERSON: We are, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. DICKERSON: Thank you. 19 MR. DICKERSON: Ladies and gentlemen, you'll hear 2.0 that the events that bring us here were not random. There was 21 a plan in place, and the plan that was in place was for Larry 22 Brown to be the gunman. 23 On February 21st, 2017 --24 (Audio playing.) 25 MR. DICKERSON: You'll hear from Ms. Nelson. She'll

tell you what she saw. She'll tell you exactly what she heard that evening as she was in her room in apartment Number 2005. She was awoken with the commotion outside. She saw the victim ultimately being tossed around on top of her vehicle and then winds up dead on that pavement after being shot.

2.0

You will also hear from other neighbors within the neighborhood including — the victim in this case is Kwame Banks. What you're going to hear about him is that he was 26 years old at the time that he died. He was killed by a single close range bullet that entered his chest and exited out of his back. We know the bullet was close range because what you're going to hear from the coroner, Dr. Diloreto who's going to testify that there's soot on the wound. We also know that based upon the witness testimony. He was ultimately pronounced dead at the scene.

Officers responded, conducted a canvass of the area. During their canvass they found Jakhai Smith.

MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, can we approach?

THE COURT: Yeah, I was just having a moment with the bailiff but --

(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

MR. DICKERSON: Jakhai Smith at the time was 15 years old. He was asleep in his bedroom in Apartment 2008, there at 5850 Sky Pointe. It's an apartment complex as you can see, and this what you're looking at here is his vehicle. When he was

awoken like Ms. Nelson next door to a commotion outside, he looked out. He saw the victim, Mr. Banks, and a black male adult wearing all black fighting right in that same area. Ultimately, what he sees is Mr. Banks on his back on the ground and the suspect in all black pointing a firearm at him. As Mr. Banks tries to get the firearm from the suspect he's shot.

2.0

Mr. Smith then turns, looks away and when he comes back to the window there's Mr. Banks has now flipped over on to his stomach, and the suspect in all black is gone. But that's when sees him reappear, and he sees the suspect then start picking Mr. Banks' pockets, and taking what he has.

As the officers continue their investigation, the crime scene was cordoned off. The crime scene investigators arrived. The homicide detectives, Detective Dosch and Detective Cook arrive to take lead on the investigation. On the scene what they noticed was that there was one bullet hole into the awning right above where Mr. Banks was killed. There was one cartridge case on the ground, a .40 caliber cartridge case. Another cartridge case, a .40 caliber cartridge case was ultimately found when they rolled Mr. Banks over. It was stuck to his chest as his shirt was up.

What they also noticed when they were on scene is that both of Mr. Banks' pockets were, in fact, pulled out of his pants, an indication to them that he had been robbed.

Next to his left-hand pocket they find a piece of a

torn rubber glove. This black rubber glove right next to his pocket and then up there was marked as Number 5 is a cell phone. Ultimately, you'll hear about who owns the cell phones here and that's not the only one.

2.0

In addition to that, in front of that white vehicle that you've seen in those previous pictures and near Mr. Banks' body was this black Hardy work glove that was found right there as well. And then in the rocks right in front of that same area was another cell phone. That cell phone was also collected along with the evidence they'd previously seen.

In addition to that, these are just two of them, but there was a series of footprints leading away from Mr. Banks' body, bloody footprints that had a distinctive feature as to their soles. Looking at this, this is a crime scene diagram and what you can see in the lower right-hand corner is the area where Mr. Banks was, where all the arrows are pointing and where that is. Up to the top is an entrance exit to the apartment complex; there was additional evidence found. Another cell phone that was broken into three pieces, this is one of the pieces. A \$10 bill right there at marker 17 and at marker 18 another foreign black rubber glove.

You're going to hear that officers then went and made contact with Tiffany Seymour. Tiffany Seymour was Mr. Banks' girlfriend. At the time they had one son together, and she was pregnant with their other. Mr. Banks spent the entire day with

them doing things with the kids and things that needed to get done. She'll tell you that for a living Mr. Banks sold marijuana. That's what he did and that he carried phones for work and phones for personal. He usually carried two to three phones on him.

2.0

Specifically on this day, February 21st, 2017, there was a contact on her phone — on his phone, on Mr. Banks' phone had been calling him excessively and trying to get in touch with him to set up some sort of marijuana deal. That contact was POE [phonetic] ATL. That's how it was listed in Mr. Banks' phone.

You will hear that as the day went on ultimately Mr. Banks went out to go meet Mr. POE ATL. This was late at night after Mr. Banks usually doesn't go out to do work. Sometime around 10:15 at night, Mr. Banks at leaves the house. He loads the back of his vehicle up with marijuana and drives away in his black Nissan Altima.

At that point in time detectives had not found Mr. Banks' vehicle. They didn't even know that he had one until they interviewed Ms. Seymour. So at that moment is when they said, well, where's that vehicle. What are we doing without that anywhere in this crime scene. They did an ATL of the area, ultimately not far at all from the crime scene which is located on the map to the right, 5850 Sky Pointe Drive. They end up finding Mr. Banks' black Nissan Altima. They find

it two days later, February 23rd, 2017, parked in the business complex at 7495 West Azure. You'll see that that's just right down the road from 5850 Sky Pointe. It was parked right where it is in the picture that's on the left-hand side of the screen in that spot with no license plate fixed with it.

2.0

On inspection of the interior, they find that it had been burned, obviously, not the way that it had been left before by Mr. Banks.

Officers then canvassed the area. You'll see all these businesses up to the right, Findlay Volkswagen, Findlay Honda, One Stop Auto. They looked for surveillance footage, and then they were able to find surveillance footage which shows the Nissan Altima entering on the 21st at 11:32 p.m., entering that business complex just across the street from Findlay Volkswagen, Findlay Honda.

A short time later on those videos you'll see a white SUV entering the same complex. And then ultimately, early in the morning on the 23rd we have this video fire that ends up burning Mr. Banks' vehicle. I'll show it to you.

[Video played]

MR. DICKERSON: And shortly after that fire we can see that white SUV driving by. From that moment on, detectives turn their attention to the other evidence that they had on the scene. Looking at the multiple cell phones that they've located, determining who those belong to and what information

can we get related to those. Ultimately, the phone that is under Mr. Banks, determined to belong to him. The phone at the entrance that's broken into three pieces also determined belonged to Mr. Banks having these two separate phone numbers.

2.0

In Mr. Banks' phone was the contact POE ATL. From there, detectives got that phone Number 702-581-2072 and subpoenaed the phone company for records of who that phone number belonged to. You'll see that that phone Number 702-581-2072 belonged to Anthony Carter. That's according to T-Mobile's records. And in furtherance of that, the detectives got additional records to determine who was calling who and what calls were made.

They also determined that the subscriber of the phone that was located in the rocks just near Mr. Banks' body was Larry Brown with this 404-808-2233 number. So in looking at the records that the police were able to find, they were able to determine one thing right away. Mr. Brown had never contacted Mr. Banks. But the same wasn't true for Mr. Carter.

Early in the morning, February 21st, 2017, a call between Mr. Carter and Mr. Banks, ultimately a text and then a call. Mr. Banks called Mr. Carter. Mr. Carter texts Mr. Banks back at 9:34 a.m. 9:36 a.m. Mr. Carter called Mr. Larry Brown and then a text to Larry Brown immediately following that call. Mr. Banks, 9:38 a.m., texts Mr. Carter and a call shortly thereafter.

Larry Brown and Mr. Carter trade text messages and calls in the short period between 11:40 and 11:42 and another call later on in the afternoon. Then after 7:00 o'clock is when things really start to get into motion. 7:10 p.m. we have a call to Mr. Banks from Mr. Carter, and then immediately after that a call from Mr. Carter to Mr. Brown, and then 7:57 p.m. a call to Mr. Banks, 7:58 immediately after that call, a call to Mr. Brown.

2.0

Now, what you'll hear is that associated with these records is location data. Location data of the cellular -- cellular towers that are being used to make these calls which tell you generally where those persons' phones were at the time these calls were made. You'll hear that during this period, 7:35 to 7:58 p.m. is a very specific time that these two individuals, Mr. Brown and Mr. Carter were moving.

First, Mr. Carter from his residence goes into the area of 5850 Sky Pointe Drive. Then shortly thereafter, 7:58 Mr. Brown appears in the area of 5850 Sky Pointe Drive. You'll hear about that time period, Mr. Brown's calls that were made during that time, where he was, and what you'll see is that that entire time Larry Brown stays at that location taking us into after 10:00 p.m. when Kwame Banks arrives into the same location.

You'll hear that at that point in time detectives got search warrants approved for several residences including

Mr. Brown's residence which was at 2540 Sierra Bello. Outside the front of his residence they located this vehicle, white Jeep SUV. Inside the residence they located two pairs of Polo size 13 D boots with this distinctive sole. Upon further comparison, looking to the bloodied foot print that was found on scene you can see exactly —

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: Objection, Judge. Can we approach? THE COURT: Sure.

(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

MR. DICKERSON: And ladies and gentlemen, you can see exactly what that is. In addition to that, forensic testing was ordered on the evidence that was found at the crime scene. Those two cartridge cases, the one found next to Mr. Banks' body and the one found underneath his body, both .40 caliber cartridge cases were compared to each other. Those two were determined to have been fired from one single firearm.

In addition to that, DNA evidence was processed on the gloves that were located on the scene. That piece of rubber glove located just outside of Mr. Banks' left pocket, as well as the Hardy work glove that's located just by that white vehicle, right next to Mr. Banks as well. Both come back to Larry Brown.

You're going to hear that it took some time to get Mr. Brown in custody. Ultimately he was located in Decatur, Georgia, and you're going to hear from an FBI agent who

insisted in taking him into custody and several witnesses that were present at the time. You're going to hear that he was aware the police were after him, and he was trying to get away.

2.0

And based upon all the evidence in this case and even more than I've discussed here, at the end of this trial we're going to come back here, Mr. Giordani and myself, and ask you to find the defendant guilty of first-degree murder, robbery with use of a deadly weapon and conspiracy to commit robbery. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Does the defense wish to make an opening statement at this time?

MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, Judge. May I approach the jury? THE COURT: You may.

MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you.

MS. TRUJILLO: Whoever is careless in small matters cannot be trusted in important affairs. This case is about careless moves during an investigation, about an incomplete investigation and about witnesses who say different things, but ultimately see nothing. We're here today for one reason and one reason only, and for one person and one person only. We're here because of Anthony Carter.

This case is about carelessness. Anthony Carter is the only tie to Kwame Banks. He was friends with Kwame Banks for at least a year. The evidence will show you that the only

reason he went over to Sky Pointe Apartments that night was because Anthony Carter needed more drugs.

2.0

In addition to just being friends, Kwame Banks was Anthony Carter's drug supplier, and he called him there that night because he needed more drugs. Anthony Carter was often at the Sky Pointe Apartments, even though he didn't live there.

The evidence will show you that on that night he was at his friend's apartment at Sky Pointe Apartments, that he stayed there overnight and that was unusual. He usually didn't stay the night with his friends. But on the night of the 21st he stayed there.

You'll also hear that when the police arrived at Sky Pointe to do their own investigation into the death of Kwame Banks, that no one answered the door where Anthony and his friend were staying. Police knocked on that door. Nobody answered.

During this trial you will learn that Larry Brown is from Georgia. He moved here about 2016, and he didn't know many people. He had previously met Anthony Carter in Georgia, and they had a mutual friend. So that mutual friend when they realized they were both in Vegas reacquainted them.

In February of 2017, Larry Brown had only been in contact with Anthony Carter for a short period of time. He also regularly went back to Georgia; that was his home state. He had only been in Vegas for about a year. His mother lives

in Georgia. His kids live in Georgia. His friends live in Georgia. And most of his family is still there. So he regularly went back to Georgia to visit his friends.

2.0

You're also going to hear that shortly after February 21st, Metro put a surveillance team on Mr. Brown, March 8th to be specific. He was still here in Las Vegas. He had not left the area. He was living his normal life, driving around. You're going to hear that from Metro. So in addition to Anthony Carter being the only link to Kwame Banks, you're going to hear about the carelessness in this case.

The evidence will show you that DNA was found in a place where it shouldn't have been with no explanation. You're going to hear about incomplete investigation. You're also going to hear about the search at Larry Brown's house. Nothing related to Kwame Banks was found at that house.

But let's talk about what was found on Kwame Banks' person when the crime scene went to the scene. He had about \$256 on him, a yellow metal necklace with a lions pendant, a yellow metal ring, multiple cell phones and then later it was determined that he had \$1900. He had all that stuff on him at the time of his death.

Carelessness leads to wrong conclusions. As you listen to the evidence here, pay close attention to the evidence that the State brings forth. Pay close attention to the witness testimony, but most importantly pay close attention

1	to the evidence you don't hear. This case is about incomplete
2	investigations, carelessness during the investigation and
3	witnesses who don't really say much.
4	After you consider all of the evidence, you will find
5	Larry Brown not guilty. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Trujillo.
7	Is the State ready to call its first witness?
8	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor. The State calls
9	Tiffany Seymour.
10	TIFFANY SEYMOUR
11	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
12	testified as follows:
13	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State and
14	spell your first and last name for the record.
15	THE WITNESS: Tiffany Seymour. S-e-y-m-o-u-r.
16	THE COURT: If you'd spell your first name too,
17	please.
18	THE WITNESS: T-i-f-f-a-n-y.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Giordani.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. GIORDANI:
23	Q Good afternoon, ma'am.
24	A Good afternoon.
25	Q At the time of his death were you in a relationship

with Kwame Banks? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Do you have of couple kids together? Α Yes. 4 5 When he died were both of those kids born yet? Q 6 Α No, I was seven months pregnant with the baby. 7 Did you live together? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 I want to talk to you about February 21st of 2017, Q the day he died. 10 11 Α Okay. 12 During the course of the day were you with Kwame? 13 Yes, the whole day. 14 What types of things were you doing throughout the Q 15 day? 16 I had two doctor appointments for the baby. 17 first one was just the heartbeat, the check up. The second 18 appointment was our last ultrasound. We left there. out to eat, went home, took a nap and just hung out the rest of 19 2.0 the day -- the rest of the night. And how old was your -- your first child at that 21 22 point. 23 3. Α 24 Okay. Q 25 Α 3 years old.

1	Q	A little boy; right?
2	А	Uh-huh.
3	Q	Was he with you throughout the course of the day?
4	А	Yes, the whole day.
5	Q	Were you driving in a vehicle?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Whose vehicle was that?
8	А	His car.
9	Q	Was that his Nissan?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	What color was that?
12	А	Black.
13	Q	Were there car seats in the vehicle?
14	А	Yes, just the one.
15	Q	Okay. Is that for the 3 year old?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	At some point in time during the course of the day,
18	did you notice Kwame getting calls from a particular contact in	
19	his phone?	
20	А	Later on that night I did.
21	Q	Go ahead, what do you mean by that?
22	А	He did get a phone call that he answered. He got
23	phone cal	ls throughout the day but he didn't answer. At night
24	when he f	inally did it was it said POE ATL.
25	Q	POE ATL as in P-O-E space A-T-L?

	.[,
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Had you interacted with that person POE ATL
3	before?	
4	А	No.
5	Q	When when he got that call, did you actually see
6	the phone?	?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And was the contact on the face of the phone?
9	А	I saw the name.
10	Q	Okay. That's what I meant. The name POE ATL?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Did you hear the content of that conversation?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And what was that generally?
15	А	He was just telling him to come over. He had some
16	guy coming	g over for work.
17	Q	Okay. And what did Kwame do for work?
18	А	Sold marijuana.
19	Q	When you say he told him to come over, are you
20	referring	to the POE, the person on the other end of the phone?
21	А	Told Kwame to come.
22	Q	And then POE had a third person that was waiting to
23	potential?	ly get something?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Did you hear a number associated with that
		JD Reporting, Inc.

transaction? 1 2 I don't remember. 3 Okay. Do you remember giving a statement to the police close in time to Kwame's death? 4 5 Yes. Α 6 Would looking at that statement refresh your 7 recollection as to the number? 8 Α It could, yeah. 9 MR. GIORDANI: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: You may. You may move freely. 11 MR. GIORDANI: And counsel has page 14. 12 MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. 13 BY MR. GIORDANI: 14 Do you see your name in the top right there? Q 15 Α Yes. 16 Go ahead and read this Q and A right here. 17 He did and what you hear --Α 18 No. Not into the record, I'm sorry. Q 19 Α Oh. 2.0 Just to yourself --Q 21 Α Okay. 22 -- to refresh your recollection. Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Does that refresh your recollection as to a number? Q 25 Α Yes.

1	Q	What was that number?
2	А	19.
3	Q	Can I presume Kwame didn't sold sell \$19 bags of
4	marijuan	na?
5	А	I couldn't tell you. I don't know how much or
6	anything	g about that.
7	Q	Okay. During the course of that conversation, you
8	said it	was at night?
9	А	Uh-huh.
10	Q	Do you recall around when it was at night?
11	А	The first call was around 8:00, 8:00 at night.
12	Q	Okay. And did Kwame stay home after the first call?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	At some point in time did he leave your home?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Did he load some things up into the car before he
17	left?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	What was that?
20	А	Marijuana.
21	Q	And how was it packaged?
22	А	Bags.
23	Q	Just for the jurors who might not be familiar with
24	bags of	marijuana, how large are we talking?
25	А	Kind of like that.

1	Q	Okay.
2		MR. GIORDANI: For the record, Your Honor, the
3	witness h	eld out her hands about a foot apart.
4		THE COURT: All right.
5	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
6	Q	So we're not talking about little dime bags here.
7	А	No.
8	Q	It's a good amount.
9	А	Uh-huh.
10	Q	Do you know how many bags there were?
11	А	I have no idea.
12	Q	And do you know where they would have gone in the
13	vehicle?	
14	А	The trunk.
15	Q	Okay. When he left, did he leave for good or did he
16	come back	real quick?
17	А	He when he initially left he came back within like
18	5, 10 min	utes.
19	Q	And what did he do when he came back?
20	А	Dropped off the car seat.
21	Q	Okay.
22	А	And ate some food.
23	Q	So he dropped the car seat back off?
24	А	Uh-huh.
25	Q	Is that a yes?
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	I forgot to ask you earlier, ma'am, did Kwame have
3	multiple]	phones?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Off the top of your head do you remember those phone
6	numbers a	s you sit here?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Okay. If I were to represent some numbers to you, do
9	you think	you may recognize them as his phones?
10	А	Possibly.
11	Q	702-755-2805, 702-786-9811, 702-277-4856?
12	А	The second one I recognize.
13	Q	Okay. Think you recognize that number?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Were some of those phones used for his
16	business a	and then some or one for family stuff?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	When Kwame left, do you remember generally what he
19	was weari	ng?
20	А	An olive green sweatsuit and red and white Nike's
21	with a whi	ite T-shirt.
22	Q	And he left once again for the final time in the
23	vehicle th	nat you've described already?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Do you remember if that that vehicle had a key to

it or, like, a electric starter? 1 2 The car was a push to start. Α 3 Q Okay. With a key associated -- a key fob, I guess, associated with it? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you remember that key fob getting lost or Q 7 something to that effect? 8 The whole day he didn't have it. Α 9 Okay. Q 10 But he knew it was in the car because we could still Α 11 start the car. 12 Okay. So just in case the jurors might not be 13 familiar with it, is it something where you have a little key 14 device and as long as that's in the car you can push the button 15 on the dash? 16 Α Right. 17 Okay. Q 18 And the car will start. Α 19 And the car was starting, no problem? 2.0 Uh-huh. Α 21 Is that a yes? 22 Α Yes. 23 At some point in time later that evening did you get Q 24 a little concerned about Kwame? 25 Α Yes.

1	Q	And did you attempt to contact him on his phone?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Did you get any response?
4	А	No.
5	Q	Okay. All right. Thank you very much, ma'am.
6		MR. GIORDANI: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8		Mr. Storms.
9		MR. STORMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. ST	ORMS:
12	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Seymour.
13	А	Good afternoon.
14	Q	I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay.
15	А	Okay.
16	Q	Talking about this keyless fob this is this is
17	a fob that if you have in your purse, then you can just push	
18	the button and the vehicle starts?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And this thing was lost in the vehicle is what you
21	understoc	d?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	So that means that the vehicle was unlocked?
24	А	Yeah.
25	Q	And that anyone could go on and push the button and
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1	it would start at that point in time?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And you had had the same problem with your vehicle
4	too; right, where you had lost the fob?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And it was the same exact model the vehicle?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you had stated that before Kwame had left you had
9	seen him take calls on his phone?
10	A Uh-huh. Yes.
11	Q And the ID was for POE ATL; is that right?
12	A Yes.
13	MR. STORMS: Okay. Thank you. No more questions.
14	THE COURT: Any redirect?
15	MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Any juror questions for this witness?
17	All right, ma'am, I see no additional questions.
18	Thank you for your testimony. Please do not discuss your
19	testimony with anyone else who may be a witness in this case.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Thank you and you are excused.
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
24	MR. GIORDANI: The State would call Dereka Nelson.
25	DEREKA NELSON

1	[having	been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
2		testified as follows:
3		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State and
4	spell you	ar first and last name for the record.
5		THE WITNESS: Dereka Nelson. D-e-r-e-k-a, last name
6	Nelson, N	I-e-l-s-o-n.
7		THE COURT: Thank you.
8		Mr. Giordani, you may proceed.
9		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. GI	CORDANI:
12	Q	Good afternoon, ma'am.
13	А	Good afternoon.
14	Q	I want to bring you back to February 21st of 2017.
15	On that d	late were you living in 5850 Sky Pointe?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And were you living in an apartment building?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Do you remember the name of the complex?
20	А	At the time, I believe it was Sky Pointe Landing.
21	Q	Okay.
22		MR. GIORDANI: And by stipulation the parties are
23	going to	admit 7.
24		THE COURT: Objection?
25		MS. TRUJILLO: No objection.
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1		THE COURT: All right. That will be admitted.
2		(State's Exhibit No. 7 admitted.)
3	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
4	Q	I'm going to show you Exhibit 7 here, ma'am, and I
5	believe y	our screen is on in front of you?
6	А	Yeah.
7		THE COURT: It's really blurry.
8		MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I'm going to focus it.
9	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
10	Q	Does that look familiar to you, ma'am?
11	А	Yes, it does.
12	Q	And is this outlined in pink the Sky Pointe Landing
13	Apartments?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Is there main entry here in the front?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And for the record I'm pointing to the middle of the
18	exhibit.	Just to the just to the right of the main entry,
19	was your	apartment just in here?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And that's for the record, the first building
22	on the ri	ght when you enter from Sky Pointe Drive.
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. I want to bring you to the late evening hours
25	around 10	:30, 10:40 in the evening. Did something outside your

1	window draw your attention?	
2	A Yes. I heard a gunshot, and I heard a young boy	
3	saying help me.	
4	Q Okay. You said you heard a gunshot and then a young	
5	boy saying help me. Was that the order in which you heard it?	
6	A I believe I heard help me first followed by the	
7	gunshot.	
8	Q What did you do in response to that?	
9	A I was scrambling for my phone.	
10	Q All right. Once you got your phone, I presume you	
11	got your phone?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q What did you do?	
14	A I called 9-1-1.	
15	Q Okay.	
16	MR. GIORDANI: And by stipulation, Your Honor, we're	
17	going to move to admit Exhibit 1.	
18	THE COURT: All right.	
19	MR. GIORDANI: The 9-1-1 call.	
20	THE COURT: No objection?	
21	MS. TRUJILLO: No objection, Judge.	
22	THE COURT: All right. That will be admitted.	
23	(State's Exhibit No. 1 admitted.)	
24	MR. GIORDANI: I'm just going to briefly publish	
25	THE COURT: You may publish.	

1	M	TR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
2		(Audio played.)
3	BY MR. GIOR	DANI:
4	Q M	Ma'am, I'm just going to stop that for a moment. You
5	heard the l	ittle time stamp at the beginning, that robotic
6	sounding vo	pice?
7	A Y	es.
8	Q 1	.0:49 p.m.
9	А ((Witness nods head.)
10	QI	s that a yes?
11	A Y	es.
12	Q W	Mould you agree that's when you scrambled for your
13	phone and p	placed the 9-1-1 call?
14	A Y	es.
15	Q A	and obviously, what we're hearing, is that your
16	voice?	
17	A Y	es.
18		(Audio played.)
19	BY MR. GIOR	DANI:
20	Q L	et me stop that for a moment, ma'am. So when you
21	scrambled t	to get your phone, at some point do you approach your
22	window?	
23	A I	do approach my window. After I heard the first
24	gunshot and	d grabbed my phone, I looked out.
25	Q W	That do you see when you look out at that point?
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1	А	I see two men tussling on top of my car.
2		MR. GIORDANI: May I approach?
3		THE COURT: Sure. You can move freely.
4		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
5	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
6	Q	I'm showing you State's Proposed 68; do you recognize
7	that?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Does that appear to be your apartment?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	The front door?
12	А	(Witness nods head.)
13	Q	State's 69.
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Do you recognize that?
16	А	My vehicle.
17	Q	And your vehicle.
18	А	(Witness nods head.)
19		MR. GIORDANI: I'd move for the admission of State's
20	68 and 69.	
21		THE COURT: Any objection?
22		MS. TRUJILLO: No objection.
23		THE COURT: Those will be admitted.
24		(State's Exhibit Nos. 68, 69 admitted.)
25		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
		JD Reporting, Inc.

BY MR. GIORDANI: 1 2 Just for the record, showing you State's 68, is that 3 your front door? 4 Α Yes. 5 Is your apartment on the first floor or the second 6 floor? 7 Second floor. 8 State's 69, is that your view out into the parking 9 structure area? 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. You said that you saw two men tussling over 12 your vehicle; which one is your vehicle? 13 The pearl white Toyota on the left. 14 Okay. And obviously just to the left of that you see Q one individual down on the ground? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 On that evening that we were discussing, is this what 18 you ultimately end up seeing? 19 Α Yes. 2.0 When you -- when you look out, you said you Okay. 21 saw two men tussling. Was -- well, describe what you saw. 22 I saw a pretty heavyset man on top of another body. The body underneath was concealed. 23 24 The individual that you see in this photo, could you Q 25

JD Reporting, Inc.

tell if that's the person who's on the bottom or the top?

- 1 Α The person on the bottom. 2 Was the person on top, did he appear to be the Q 3 aggressor or the one in control of the situation as you saw it. 4 Α Yes, the aggressor. 5 Okay. And you indicated you called 9-1-1, but at 6 that point had you seen the tussling on your vehicle? 7 During the 9-1-1 call, yes, I did. 8 Okay. And then you indicated on the 9-1-1 call what we just heard, he's picking his pockets is what your words 9 10 were. What did you mean by that? 11 When I heard the second gun shot, I went into my 12 closet; as I was on the phone with dispatch she had asked me to 13 come out of my closet and look back out of my window. 14 looked back out of my window, there was just the body that you 15 see there laying on the ground, and then about five seconds 16 later I saw someone come back and pick his pockets. That someone that came back and picked his 17 Okav. 18 pockets, was it the same person that you had just seen earlier 19 tussling and overpowering the person in the photo? 2.0 Α Yes. 21 When you hear the second gunshot, are you still 22 looking out or at that point had you already jumped in your 23 closet?
 - A I jumped in my closet.

24

25

Q Okay. Do you recall after the other individual is

1	picking this individual's pockets, seeing where he went?		
2	А	I saw him heading on going south.	
3	Q	And which direction is that in this photo?	
4	A	In this photo, it would be to the right.	
5	Q	Okay. And what did you hear or see after that?	
6	А	I saw a vehicle. First I saw feet and that it	
7	converted	into vehicle wheels.	
8	Q	Did you see the color or make of that vehicle?	
9	A	It was pretty dark; I assume it was maybe midnight	
10	blue or black.		
11		MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you very much,	
12	ma'am.		
13		I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.	
14		THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Cross.	
15		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
16	BY MS. TRUJILLO:		
17	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Nelson.	
18	А	Good afternoon.	
19	Q	You never actually saw anyone's face; right?	
20	А	That is correct.	
21	Q	Your only comment well, one of your comments to	
22	the police were that the person could have been between 5-10		
23	and 6 foot; is that correct?		
24	А	Correct.	
25	Q	And you made a statement to officers the night or	
		JD Reporting, Inc.	

going into the morning of the 22nd; right? 1 2 Yes. Α 3 And you made two statements; is that right? 4 Α One statement on paper. 5 One handwritten statement. Q 6 Α And then one recorded. 7 Okay. So two statements; right? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 And at that time you told officers you were uncertain Q 10 whether the person picking the pockets was the same person 11 tussling earlier; do you recall that? 12 Α I do. 13 Okay. But now you're saying that it's absolutely the 0 14 same person? 15 I believe it is the same person. 16 You believe, but you could be wrong? 17 Α I could be. 18 Okay. And just to be clear, the person went to your Q 19 right, if we're looking at the screen now towards where the 2.0 blue car is? 21 That is correct. Α 22 Okay. And you never actually saw a van; right? Q 23 That is correct. Α 24 And you never actually saw anyone get into a vehicle; Q 25 right?

1	А	That is correct.
2	Q	So your memory is that a person was walking away
3	after pic	king the decedent's pockets; right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And then the next thing you know you saw a vehicle?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	Never saw anyone get into a vehicle?
8	А	Correct.
9	Q	And you've mentioned it looked like a Mazda. Did you
10	tell the	police earlier that that was a Mazda 626?
11	А	I did.
12		MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Nothing further, Judge.
13		THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any redirect?
14		MR. GIORDANI: Yes, real briefly.
15		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
17	Q	Ma'am, Ms. Trujillo, just asked you the question
18	about not	seeing anyone get into a vehicle; do you recall that
19	question?	
20	А	I do.
21	Q	Do you recall indicating in your statement, he then
22	moved fro	m view, and I heard him get into a vehicle?
23	А	Yes, I did.
24	Q	So you heard it not saw it?
25	А	I heard it.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
2	I'll pass.
3	THE COURT: Any follow-up?
4	MS. TRUJILLO: No follow-up.
5	THE COURT: Any juror questions for this witness?
6	All right, ma'am. Thank you for your testimony.
7	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
8	THE COURT: Please do not discuss your testimony with
9	anyone else who might be a witness in this case.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Thank you and you're excused.
12	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
13	THE COURT: And just follow the bailiff.
14	State, call your next witness.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Court's brief indulgence.
16	THE COURT: Sure.
17	MR. DICKERSON: State calls Jakhai Smith.
18	THE COURT: Just right up here by me. Okay.
19	JAKHAI SMITH
20	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
21	testified as follows:
22	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state and spell
23	your first and last name for the record.
24	THE WITNESS: Jakhai Smith.
25	THE COURT: And can you spell that for us, please.

1		THE WITNESS: J-a-k-h-a-i.
2		THE COURT: And your last name?
3		THE WITNESS: Smith. S-m-i-t-h.
4		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
5		Mr. Dickerson, you may proceed.
6		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
7		DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. DI	CKERSON:
9	Q	How you doing today?
10	А	Good.
11	Q	How old are you?
12	А	18.
13	Q	Back in February of 2017, how old were you?
14	А	15.
15	Q	15?
16	А	Uh-huh.
17	Q	And at that point in time where were you living?
18	А	House, apartment.
19	Q	In an apartment?
20	А	Uh-huh.
21	Q	And was it located at 5850 Sky Pointe?
22	А	Yeah.
23	Q	Is that here in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Was it a specific apartment there in that complex?
		JD Reporting, Inc.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Uh-huh.

Can everybody on the jury hear the THE COURT: All right. You have a quiet voice and those 14 people need to be able to hear you. So just kind of try to speak towards the microphone.

And, Kenny, maybe if you put the books under the

THE MARSHAL: Sure.

That will put it closer to your mouth. THE COURT:

MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then also you see this gal here in the, I don't know, the teal green colored blouse, she's our court recorder and everything that is said during these proceedings is recorded, and then she has to make a transcript. So when you answer questions, don't say ahhh because she doesn't know how to transcribe that. So make sure you say, yes or no; okay?

THE WITNESS: All right.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dickerson, go ahead.

MR. DICKERSON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. DICKERSON:

So, Jakhai, you were 15 years old in February of 2017; right?

Α Yes.

Were you living in Apartment 2008 there at that Q

apartment complex? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 You see what we have up here on this screen right in front of you? It's going to be State's Exhibit 7; do you see 4 5 that? 6 Α Yes. 7 Is that the apartment complex that you were living at 8 at the time? 9 Α Yes. 10 Q And your apartment 2008; is that somewhere right 11 around here? 12 Α Yes. 13 Now, I want to draw your attention specifically to 0 14 February 21st, 2017, the reason we're here; do you remember 15 that night? 16 Α Yeah. 17 And what were you doing that evening?

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A I was asleep in my bed, and I overheard some stuff, people arguing and then I looked out my window. I seen two grown men arguing, you know, and then the dude that was fighting, and then they was on the floor, and then I heard gunshots well, a gunshot and then I went back in my room and told my mom that somebody got shot.
 - Q Okay. I'm going to show you a couple of photos here.

 MR. DICKERSON: May I approach, Your Honor?

1	THE COURT: Sure. You can move freely.
2	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
3	BY MR. DICKERSON:
4	Q I have in my hand State's Proposed 64, 65, 66, and
5	67. First, 64, do you recognize that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Does that appear to be a fair and accurate depiction
8	of your front door there at that apartment complex?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And now, 65 through 67, can you take a look at all
11	three of those. Do you recognize those?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Does this appear to be a fair and accurate depiction
14	of the view that you had from your window there in that
15	apartment?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay.
18	MR. DICKERSON: State State's going to move for
19	the admission of 64 through 67.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, can we approach?
21	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
22	THE COURT: Those will be admitted.
23	(State's Exhibit Nos. 64-67 admitted.)
24	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
25	/ / /

1	BY MR. DI	CKERSON:
2	Q	Okay. So you're asleep around 10:00 p.m.; is that
3	right?	
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And then some time just before 11:00 p.m. you start
6	to hear s	omething?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	What is the things you start hearing?
9	А	I start hearing voices, like two people just saying
10	stop, you	know.
11	Q	Okay. So could you tell whether they were male or
12	female vo	ices?
13	А	Male.
14	Q	And could you tell where they were coming from?
15	А	No.
16	Q	What was it that you were hearing?
17	А	I just heard, like, somebody saying no and then, you
18	know, for	like a lot of noise, like somebody was like on top of
19	somebody'	s car or something.
20	Q	Okay. Ultimately, do you then go and look outside
21	your wind	ow?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And I'm going to show you here Grand Jury Exhibit 66.
24		THE COURT: You mean Trial Exhibit 66.
25		MR. DICKERSON: I'm sorry. I'm sorry, State's

Exhibit 66. 1 2 BY MR. DICKERSON: 3 Do you see that there? 4 Α Yes. 5 This is your view that you have from your Okay. 6 window? 7 Α Yes. 8 And when you first look out the window, what do you 9 see there in that area? 10 I see the guy that was -- the guy that approached him Α 11 on that car, the white car, and then that's when the dude threw 12 him on the floor, and that's when they was fighting and then 13 that's when he got shot. 14 Okay. So the guy that is here shot in that parking 15 space right there, is that how it looks at the end of the night 16 when you last saw him? 17 Α Yes. 18 And is that what you recall him wearing? Q 19 Α Yes. Red shoes? 2.0 Q 21 Α Yes. 22 And what you described as gray sweatpants? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 The other guy, what did he look like? Q 25 Α I don't know. I didn't see him. I didn't see his

face or nothing. I just saw his clothes and that was it. 1 2 Okay. And what did his clothes look like? 3 It was just black. All black? 4 0 5 Α Yes. 6 And when you first saw them before the victim with Q 7 the red shoes had been shot, where exactly were they? 8 Just right here in this empty parking space. Α 9 Okay. And what was going on in that moment? 10 Α They was just fighting and that was it. They was 11 just fighting. 12 And how was it that they were fighting? 13 You know, just slamming each other in the car like Α 14 that. 15 And who did you see getting slammed? 16 The dude that got shot. 17 The guy that's laying right there in this 0 18 photograph? 19 Α Yeah. 2.0 And from that point when you saw that individual 21 getting slammed into the vehicle, what occurred? 22 Α I just kept looking, and then that's when I heard --23 that's when I seen it and then I just told somebody. 24 So you kept watching it; is that right? Q 25 (Witness nods head.) Α

1 Q Is that a yes? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q And at some point in time do you see a gun? 4 Α No. 5 Do you remember telling the police that you saw a Q 6 gun? 7 Oh, yes, yes, yes. My bad. Α Yes. 8 Okay. When was it that you saw a gun? Q 9 Α I probably said before. 10 Before this guy that's laying down here in the Q 11 photograph was --12 Α No, after. I seen it after. 13 Okay. After. And do you remember how you described 0 14 that gun? 15 No. No, I didn't. I don't remember. Α 16 Do you remember who was holding that gun? 17 Α Yes. 18 Who was it that was holding that gun? Q 19 Α The guy in the all-black. 2.0 Okay. And where was he standing when he was holding Q 21 that gun? 22 I don't -- I don't really know. I just know he was 23 just on top of him. 24 Okay. When you say he was on top of him, are you 25

JD Reporting, Inc.

talking about on top of this guy that's laying here in this

photograph?

2.0

A Yes.

MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, I'm going to object and ask that the exhibit be removed. We're not even really referring to it in the last five questions.

MR. DICKERSON: We are --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DICKERSON: -- referring to it. That's how he's describing the scene.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, unless you're actually asking him to point something out on the photo, then take it off the monitor. If your -- if he's pointing things out and describing the photo, then obviously, leave it on the monitor.

MR. DICKERSON: Okay.

BY MR. DICKERSON:

Q So, sir, you're going to have a mouse in front of you. Okay. You see it to your left? Go down to the bottom of the screen, and you see that red thing, go ahead and click on that. Okay.

Now, I'm sure you're -- you've used computers before; right? So this isn't going to be a problem.

A Yeah.

Q You're able to draw on the screen. So when I ask you to point where something was, go ahead and use that. Okay.

A Okay.

So when you saw the man in black with the gun, can 1 2 you just show us where it was that he was at that time. 3 Where he was? Yeah. 4 5 Like, right here. Just right here where he's Α 6 sitting. 7 Okay. And for the record, you drew a red line circle 8 what is to the left of the victim's body in the photograph. 9 Α Yes. 10 And where was he facing at that moment? You can just Q 11 describe it for us. 12 Α All right. Straight towards this blue car. 13 Okay. And at that moment where was the victim? 0 14 Like, what do you mean? Α 15 Where was the victim? Was he on the ground? Was he 0 16 standing up? 17 Oh, he was on the ground. Α 18 Okay. Q 19 Α And then he stood up. 2.0 And how was it that he was on the ground, on his Q stomach or on his back? 21 22 Α On his stomach. 23 Okay. And so you saw the gun come out? Q 24 Α Yes. 25 Do you remember describing it as a chromish --Q

-		
1		MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, may we approach?
2		THE WITNESS: Yes.
3		THE COURT: Sure.
4		(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
5	BY MR. DI	CKERSON:
6	Q	Do you remember describing it as a chromish
7	semiautom	atic handgun?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And that was after seeing the detective's gun
10	who was t	alking to you?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And that was also a semiautomatic?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	You don't know a lot about guns?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Now, when when you saw that gun come out, where
17	was the v	ictim laying?
18	А	Just still right there
19	Q	Okay.
20	А	where he was.
21	Q	And did you hear anybody say anything at that time?
22	А	Yes. After he got shot, the dude ran towards the
23	left, and	he heard somebody say, hey, and he ran back the other
24	way.	
25	Q	Okay. Did you hear the guy in all-black say
		JD Reporting, Inc.

anything? 1 2 Α No. 3 Q Do you -- you remember talking to the police officers about this; right? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 And do you remember telling the police officers 7 anything that you heard him say to the victim? 8 No, I didn't. Α 9 Is it something you're uncomfortable saying? 10 Α No. 11 Okay. There is a transcript of your interview with 12 the police; right? 13 Α Yes. 14 Would looking at that transcript help refresh your Q 15 recollection as to whether you said that he said something? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Okay. 18 MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, I don't believe that was the 19 testimony, so I'm going to object. I believe he said I didn't. 2.0 Not that he doesn't remember. So it's inappropriate to --21 THE COURT: I think it was ambiguous. Is it that you 22 didn't say that or you don't recall if you said that? 23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall if I said that. 24 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and --25 MR. DICKERSON: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Dickerson's going to show you the transcript, and you can just read that quietly to yourself and see whether or not that refreshes your memory. BY MR. DICKERSON: It's all right here, page 3. Take a look at this. Okay. Feel free to turn the next page. Read through that and then flip it over when you're done reading through it. Α I'm done. Did that refresh your recollection? Α Yes. What did you hear the guy in all black say? He said, don't move nigga, and then just took him Α down. Maybe that's when he was trying to fight -- fight him off and that's when it happened. That's when the victim was trying to fight him off? Yeah. And at that point in time, what was the victim doing? Α He was just trying, like, help, just like, you know, just trying to just keep his life. Okay. And then what happened? Q And that's when he got shot. That's when the guy in black shot the victim? Q Α Yeah. After the victim was shot, did you have an Q opportunity to see him laying there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And what happened as he was laying there?
3	А	He was it was that was just it. He just he
4	tried; he	just couldn't do it.
5	Q	What do you mean he tried but he couldn't do it?
6	А	Like he tried to move and then after that he just
7	he was go	ne.
8	Q	Okay. And what part of his body did you see the
9	victim tr	y to move?
10	А	His arm.
11	Q	Okay. And how was it that he tried to move?
12	А	Like, reach out.
13	Q	And he just didn't reach that far?
14	А	Uh-huh.
15	Q	And was that the last movement that you saw?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	When the victim was shot was he he was on his
18	back?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And then ultimately, he had rolled over to his front?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	After he rolled over and tried to move, what did you
23	see?	
24	А	Nothing, really.
25	Q	Did you see anybody come back over?
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1	А	Oh, yes, I did. I seen him come back to check his
2	pockets a	nd he took something.
3	Q	Okay. The guy in black?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	The same guy?
6	А	Yes. Same guy.
7	Q	What did you see him take it?
8	А	I seen him take some money, and then he left after
9	that.	
10	Q	Pockets that he was checking, what pockets of the
11	victim's	were those?
12	А	Both pockets.
13	Q	On his pants?
14	А	Yes.
15		MR. DICKERSON: Okay. The State will pass the
16	witness.	
17		THE COURT: All right. Cross.
18		CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. ST	ORMS:
20	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Smith.
21	А	Good afternoon.
22	Q	I just have a few questions for you, okay?
23	А	Okay.
24	Q	You remember that evening after this happened you
25	talked to	the detective for a while at your house; right?
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And you gave them a statement.
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Your mom was there.
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	At that time, I mean, this had just happened; right?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Do you remember at that time that you told the
9	detective	that the two men were slamming each other back and
10	forth?	
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And, you know, you were when it comes to
13	the man th	hat you described in black clothes
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	you didn't see his face?
16	А	No.
17	Q	You can't say what his build was?
18	А	No.
19	Q	How tall he was?
20	А	No.
21	Q	Okay. Did you see this man get into any vehicle or
22	anything	like this?
23	А	No.
24	Q	You talked about seeing a gun?
25	А	Yes.
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1	Q	Did you see a struggle over the gun?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Did you see both men with their hands on the
4	gun?	
5	А	No.
6	Q	But they were there in that ground in that area on
7	the grour	nd you were shown earlier?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	With the gun between them?
10	А	Yes.
11		MR. STORMS: Court's indulgence.
12		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
13	BY MR. ST	TORMS:
14	Q	And you didn't see this person get in any vehicle?
15	А	No.
16	Q	And did you and you didn't hear any vehicle
17	either, c	did you?
18	А	No.
19		MR. STORMS: Thank you.
20		THE COURT: Any redirect?
21		MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
22		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. D	ICKERSON:
24	Q	Now, you did describe the guy with the gun; right?
25	А	Yes.
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1		Q	He was wearing all black?
2		А	Yes.
3		Q	And being a black male?
4		A	Yes.
5		Q	And, in fact, you only saw one person holding the
6	gun;	right	: ?
7		A	Yes.
8		Q	And was that the individual wearing all black?
9		A	Yes.
10		Q	The guy who ended up dying there that night, you
11	never	saw	him with the gun?
12		A	No.
13		Q	You never saw him with any weapon?
14		A	No.
15		Q	The only thing that you saw him do was reach for the
16	gun?		
17		A	Yes.
18		Q	While the guy in black was holding it?
19		A	Yes.
20		Q	When he hit him?
21		A	Yes.
22			MR. DICKERSON: The State will pass the witness.
23			THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Storms?
24			MR. STORMS: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
25			THE COURT: Any juror questions for this witness?
			JD Reporting, Inc.

1	All right. Counsel, approach.
2	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
3	THE COURT: All right. We have some juror questions
4	here.
5	A juror asks, did you see the shoes of the man
6	dressed in black?
7	THE WITNESS: No.
8	THE COURT: Did you see the man dressed in black
9	wearing anything on his head?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, just a hoodie.
11	THE COURT: A what?
12	THE WITNESS: A hoodie.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So he had a hoodie?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	THE COURT: How many shots did you hear?
16	THE WITNESS: Just one.
17	THE COURT: Just one? All right.
18	Follow up from the State?
19	MR. DICKERSON: Nothing, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Follow up from the defense?
21	MR. STORMS: No, thank you.
22	THE COURT: Any additional juror questions?
23	All right. Thank you for your testimony. Please
24	don't discuss your testimony with anybody else who may be a
25	witness in this case. All right. Thank you. And you are

excused and just follow the bailiff out of the courtroom. 1 2 Okay. 3 THE WITNESS: All right. THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, I think 4 5 it's time for our break. We'll go ahead and take a break 6 until, I don't know, is 10 minutes enough for everybody? That 7 will put us right at five minutes after 3:00. 8 During the brief break you're all reminded that 9 you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case 10 with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch 11 or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person 12 or subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any 13 independent research by way of the Internet or any other 14 medium. Please don't form or express an opinion on the trial. 15 Please leave your notepads in your chairs and follow 16 the bailiff through the double doors. 17 (Jury recessed at 2:55 p.m.) 18 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 19 THE COURT: We can make your record now. 2.0 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Okay. So I have --21 THE COURT: Wait till the door shuts. All right. 22 There were four objections. 23 MS. TRUJILLO: Yes. 24 THE COURT: You can -- I made notes, but if you want 25 to do them -- okay. Go ahead.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. So -- wow, I can't read my 1 2 very -- okay. So my first objection was the family, rightfully 3 so was crying, but they were just a little loud, and I noticed a few jurors looked over. So obviously that's prejudicial to 4 5 my client. We approached the bench, and I had mentioned my 6 concern, but the Court was already handling that with her 7 marshal --8 THE COURT: With my bailiff. 9 MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, bailiff. Sorry. 10 THE COURT: Or marshal. 11 MS. TRUJILLO: Whichever you prefer. 12 THE COURT: Either one. I use them interchangeably. 13 MS. TRUJILLO: I do too, but other people don't like 14 it so I'm not sure. 15 I had, before you asked to THE COURT: Yes. 16 approach, asked Officer Hawkes to go tell the family that even though, you know, they could cry quietly or whatever, not to be 17 18 too emotive, and he went over and addressed that and then 19 they --2.0 MS. TRUJILLO: Were able to contain themselves a 21 little bit. 22 THE COURT: A little bit more; right. So that one. 23 MS. TRUJILLO: And then my second objection was to 24 during the State's opening statement their -- they put up

JD Reporting, Inc.

photographs of the shoes that was the basis of one of my

25

motions in limine that were recovered from the search of Angelisa Ryder's house, and right within it they put the photo of the bloody impression, again, the basis of my motion in limine and much argument and the writ to the Supreme Court.

2.0

And then the State made the statement of these very distinctive soles -- shoes with soles were found at the search of Larry Brown's home, and then you can see by comparison that it is -- and then that's when I jumped in and we walked up. And I mentioned this is the exact inference that I said that the State was going to make. I also said it was an argument in opening statement which is improper. It wasn't in -- it's not in evidence yet so I think that --

THE COURT: Well, none of the photos were in evidence. Nothing they showed in their PowerPoint was in evidence.

MS. TRUJILLO: Right. And it -- and -- but it's my job if I want to strategically object to certain things and not others, so I objected to that on the basis that one, it was argumentative. It's prejudicial and it's improper.

THE COURT: Well, the Court told him it's fine. They have a reasonable belief that it's going to be admitted. I'd already denied the motion in limine, and I think I said as long as they don't, you know, make argument or their own conclusions they could say that the jury was going to be able to compare them for themselves or something like that. But Mr. Dickerson

didn't say that; he just kind of moved on after the objection 1 2 was made at the bench. 3 Anything to add on that one? MR. DICKERSON: All I said was that you can see 4 5 exactly what that is. 6 MS. TRUJILLO: No. He said and after comparison --7 look, we can run the tape, but the record --8 Yeah, we don't need --THE COURT: 9 MR. DICKERSON: And after comparison you can see 10 exactly what --11 MS. TRUJILLO: Exactly, which is the actual argument 12 which is what the Court said they couldn't do. 13 THE COURT: I never said they couldn't do that. 14 said that they could --15 MR. DICKERSON: No. not at all. 16 THE COURT: -- the jury could compare with their own 17 eyes. 18 MR. DICKERSON: Right. 19 MS. TRUJILLO: And then if you recall, Giordani sat 2.0 here and said -- held up the photos and said I'm just going to 21 do this and say you can, you know, you can decide for yourself. 22 Use your common sense. They specifically said they were not 23 going to argue. MR. GIORDANI: That's what I'm going to do in 24 25 rebuttal.

MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: See. Okay. The State is one person --

THE COURT: All right. Well --

MR. DICKERSON: A lay witness --

MS. TRUJILLO: -- and for the record the State now is in argument in opening statements.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I told them to rephrase it, but Mr. Dickerson just moved on from that point. He didn't rephrase it the way I told, you know, and he just moved on to another topic. So -- and then I think the next two related to the photos of the victim in the parking lot.

MS. TRUJILLO: That's correct. So the third objection is to the photographs that were, quote, unquote, the bird's-eye view of Jakhai Smith's view which is from the second floor down. And the discussion, obviously, when you're saying bird's-eye view you're saying this was your view; however, the photos that the State sought and the Court allowed them to admit into evidence over my objection was -- had the decedent in the photo. And in my opinion it's overly prejudicial to Mr. Brown. It violates his right to have a fair trial. It's cumulative. We're already going -- already going to have gruesome photos, autopsy photos and presumably crime-scene photos. We don't need additional photos of a dead body for the jurors to take back.

And just because the next portion also deals with it, my next objection was to the photo remaining on the Elmo during questioning. So we had went through about five questions, if I remember correctly, where Mr. Dickerson had completed using that photograph but nonetheless left it on the screen. And the reason I noticed is because Mr. Pursell looked over and kept looking at the photograph. So I said, you know, may we approach. I approached, and I said we should take the photos off. And the Court said, well, if you're using it, then they can remain on the Elmo.

THE COURT: Otherwise take it down.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: Correct. So then we come back and, of course, now he's going to use the photo. So he starts using the photo, and then thereafter we return back to the gun which was the original questioning and the original basis for my objection. And then I then asked again can we take it off.

So on those basis and really for the last two reasons it's my position that I need to move for a mistrial. I don't think any of those errors can be corrected, and that's something I have to do so that Mr. Brown has a fair trial.

THE COURT: Now, I think -- Okay. First of all -- first of all I don't think they're errors, and second of all if they were errors they're incredibly minor.

First of all, I think you're putting the cart before the horse to object on cumulative grounds because the autopsy

photos and all those other photos, crime scene photos haven't been admitted yet. So the time to object to cumulative is later on not now. You're kind of anticipating they'll be admitted, Number 1.

2.0

Number 2, I think up here at the bench you sort of argued, I don't remember your word, but the idea that it's redundant because the witness is testifying to seeing the body in the parking lot. Well, if he didn't testify to seeing the body in the parking lot, we couldn't admit the photo through the witness. So any time you admit a photo, you are also getting witness testimony about the content of the photo. Otherwise, you couldn't admit the photo.

So I don't find that that's a valid objection in this case. I don't think the photo is what — the photos will speak for themselves. But that's the way the body looked lying in the parking lot. It was a distant shot of the body. It looked like there was blood, but it wasn't, you know, kind of off to the side. It wasn't really clear what color it was, and it was a faraway look.

So I don't think that as, you know, photos of bodies go, it was unduly gruesome or prejudicial or anything like that, and as I said, it wasn't redundant because how do you admit the photo unless the witness testifies about what's in the photo.

So on the photo on the Elmo, the Court agreed that

leaving it up can be prejudicial. I told the State, look you can put it up there as long as he's pointing to it or referring to it; otherwise, take it down. He didn't -- Mr. Dickerson left it up there when he transitioned to the gun. He was then told to take it off, which he did, but he didn't push the blue button to clear the screen.

2.0

Mr. Giordani then popped up realizing what had happened and pushed the blue button, and it was taken off the screen.

So I agreed with you. It wasn't up there that long. They've been admonished at this point not to do it.

Mr. Dickerson, now you know that you've got to take the photo off or you gotta put -- and then push the blue button.

MR. DICKERSON: I understand.

and it's still going to be on the screen. So going forward just be mindful of that. You know, to me it wasn't a big deal. The jury's going to have all the photos in the back anyway, and like I said, as photos of victims — dead victims go this one really is not gruesome, and you can't see the wound or anything from this distance vantage point of the body.

Although, you can generally see the clothes and the red sneakers and the fact that the sneaker is off the foot, and I think it was against the tire of the car in the next space.

So there are some points to the photo that I saw. 1 2 State, you want to respond? 3 MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. It's a -- I mean, the photo's incredibly relevant and probative given the fact that it is 4 5 that witness's actual point of view. I did want to use that 6 photo for him to describe the entire scene and what he was 7 seeing. He was -- it was just like pulling teeth with that 8 witness to get him to go in the appropriate direction. 9 Oh, I think he -- my assessment was he THE COURT: 10 was, like, terrified. 11 MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: I didn't know if he's terrified because 13 it's a murder trial or terrified because it's a court 14 proceeding and he's a young man --15 MR. DICKERSON: I think it's both. 16 THE COURT: -- and that's just kind of scary anyway. Or he's afraid of some kind of retali -- I don't know. And 17 18 there's many reasons somebody might be afraid to come into 19 court and testify. But to me he seemed very frightened and/or 2.0 nervous. 21 Right. MR. DICKERSON: 22 MR. GIORDANI: If we're all -- if we're done with 23 that issue I would just --

JD Reporting, Inc.

THE COURT: I don't know that we're done with it

24

25

because --

1 MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I'm sorry. 2 THE COURT: -- Mr. Dickerson --3 MR. DICKERSON: That -- that's what I have, Your It was my intent to continue using that particular 4 5 photo for him to describe the locations where he was seeing the 6 suspect and the victim during that entire action where they're 7 moving, but it was just a product of his overall testimony that 8 didn't make it something that I could constantly do throughout. 9 MS. TRUJILLO: And, Judge, I believe since I 10 requested a mistrial you have to rule on the mistrial. 11 THE COURT: Oh, it's denied. I thought I already did 12 implicitly by saying, A, there's no errors. 13 MS. TRUJILLO: I need a clean record. 14 THE COURT: And B, if there are any errors, they're 15 minor errors. 16 MR. GIORDANI: May I? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. GIORDANI: While the jury's out, the next witness 19 is the medical examiner, Dr. Diloreto. We met with her for 2.0 pretrial and whittled down the couple hundred autopsy photos or 21 whatever it was to 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. 22 I'm going to show defense in a second. I just want to make a 23 record. Three of those only show property -- the victim's 24 property. 25

JD Reporting, Inc.

Okay.

THE COURT:

1	MR. GIORDANI: So that brings us down to 10. I then
2	did ask Dr. Diloreto, if what photos are necessary for you
3	to describe what you're going to describe in these remaining 10
4	or what indicated would assist in her testimony. So they have
5	been marked for a couple of days, but I don't think the defense
6	has looked at them yet.
7	THE COURT: All right. So you two can look those
8	over and then if you have objections to make you can make them
9	when we come back. We're going to go.
10	(Recess taken 3:07 p.m. to 3:18 p.m.)
11	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
12	THE COURT: Is everyone ready?
13	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, Judge, we're ready.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. Everybody ready?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Bring them in.
18	THE CLERK: We don't
19	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I forgot. On the record.
20	MR. GIORDANI: We showed 104 through 115 to defense
21	counsel, and by stipulation we're going to admit all of these.
22	MS. TRUJILLO: That's correct.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	(State's Exhibit No. 104-115 admitted.)
25	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you can bring them in.

1	(Jury entering 3:19 p.m.)
2	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session,
3	and the State may call its next witness.
4	MR. DICKERSON: The State calls Dr. Di Loreto.
5	CHRISTINA DI LORETO
6	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
7	testified as follows:
8	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State and
9	spell your first and last name for the record.
10	THE WITNESS: My name is Christina Di Loreto.
11	C-h-r-i-s-t-i-n-a. The last name is D-i, space, L-o-r-e-t-o.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Dickerson,
13	you may proceed.
14	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. DICKERSON:
17	Q What do you do for a living, ma'am?
18	A I am a forensic pathologist with the Clark County
19	Coroner's Office.
20	Q Okay. And how long have you been employed in that
21	capacity?
22	A I have been with the office since July 30th of
23	2018.
24	Q What is a forensic pathologist?
25	A I am a physician that is trained to do postmortem
	JD Reporting, Inc.

examinations to determine cause of death. 1 2 So what sort of training and experience do you have 3 that's brought you to this point? 4 So I received my medical degree from Boston Α 5 University. I completed a residency in anatomic and clinical 6 pathology followed by a fellowship in forensic pathology and 7 then a fellowship in neuropathology. 8 And prior to starting here with the Clark County 9 Office of Coroner Medical Examiner what were you doing? 10 Α I was completing my fellowship training in 11 neuropathology. 12 Okay. You're here today to testify about a specific 13 case of decedent Kwame Banks; is that right? 14 Correct. Α 15 And is that somebody that you, in fact, examined 16 yourself? 17 I did not. Α 18 Okay. That was somebody else in your office? Q 19 Α Yes. She has -- it's Dr. Elaine Olsen, but she has 2.0 retired. 21 Okay. And so you're here to testify about the 22 findings that your office made; is that right? 23 Α Correct. 24 What did you review to get up to speed on this 25 particular case?

I reviewed the coroner investigator's report, the 1 2 scene photos taken by the coroner investigator, the examination 3 photos at the coroner's office, Dr. Olsen's autopsy report, the x-rays that were completed at the coroner's office. 4 5 Q Okay. 6 Α And the toxicology report. 7 Okay. After reviewing everything, do you concur with the findings that your office made? 8 9 Α I do. 10 And is it common for experts in your field to review 11 matters such as this and be able to testify about them to the 12 juries here today? 13 Yes, it is. Α 14 Okay. So let's specifically turned to the decedent Q 15 in this case, Kwame Banks. What was the determination about 16 his age, height, weight? 17 He was a 26-year-old male. 71 inches and 204 pounds. Α 18 And when he came in did he come in with any property? 19 Α He did. 2.0 And when I say come in, where is it that his body is Q 21 coming into? 22 So from the scene where he was found deceased, the --23 his body was placed in a bag that was then sealed with a tamper 24 evident seal. And I should say prior to that, his hands were

JD Reporting, Inc.

also -- bags were placed over his hands, and then the bag was

25

sealed. It was then taken to the coroner's office for 1 2 examination. 3 Q And so the property that he would've had with him would have come with the body to the coroner's office? 4 5 Α Correct. 6 When he arrived, what property did Mr. Banks have? 7 He had a pair of earings, a bracelet and cash. Α 8 Okay. I'm going to show you here Grand Jury Q Exhibit 104. 9 10 THE COURT: No, State's Exhibit. 11 State's Exhibit -- I did it again, I MR. DICKERSON: 12 apologize. This has been admitted by stipulation, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 BY MR. DICKERSON: 15 Do you recognize this? 16 This isn't a photo taken from our office, but it is 17 similar in appearance to our photograph. 18 Okay. These are photos that were taken at your 19 office? 2.0 The photograph does appear similar. Photographs from Α 21 our office typically have a placard with the name -- with the 22 case number. 23 Let's try this. State's Exhibit 106, does this look 24 familiar? 25 Yes, it does. Α

Is this, in fact, a photo that you reviewed? 1 Q 2 Α Yes, it is. 3 Q Does this photo fairly represent the property that Mr. Banks, his body came into the coroner's office with? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. As far as the examination of Mr. Banks' body, 7 what particular injuries were noted? 8 So on the external examination, he had an abrasion on 9 the inside of his lower lip. There were abrasions on the back 10 of his right hand, and he had some abrasions on his right lower 11 extremity. Additionally, there was a gunshot wound on the 12 chest and another gunshot wound on the back. 13 Okay. I'm going to show you here Grand Jury 14 Exhibit 107. 15 MR. GIORDANI: State's Exhibit. 16 BY MR. DICKERSON: 17 State's Exhibit 107. I don't know why I keep doing 0 18 that. Do you recognize that? 19 Α Yes, I do. 2.0 Is that a photo of Mr. Banks as he came into your Q 21 office? 22 Α Yes, it is. 23 Showing you State's Exhibit 116. Do you recognize Q 24 that? 25 Α Yes.

1	Q	What is it that we're seeing there?
2	А	So this is the lower lip opened, and in the bottom
3	left corn	er you can see a portion of an abrasion or scrape on
4	the lower	lip.
5	Q	Showing you State's Exhibit 108. What is it that
6	we're see	ing there?
7	А	This is the back of his right hand, and there or two
8	abrasions	on the back of the hand next to the second digit.
9	Q	And you can actually use the mouse in front of you,
10	go ahead	and circle those.
11	А	Right in this area here.
12	Q	Okay. Showing you State's Exhibit 109. What is it
13	that we're	e seeing there?
14	А	This is the a photograph of the palm of the right
15	hand.	
16	Q	State's Exhibit 110.
17	А	This is a photograph of the left hand.
18	Q	State's Exhibit 111.
19	А	This is a photograph of the palm or aspect of the
20	left hand	•
21	Q	And these are all photos that you have reviewed?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And photos of Mr. Banks' body as it came into your
24	office?	
25	А	Correct.
	ĺ	

I'm going to show you here State's Exhibit 112; what 1 2 is it that we're seeing there? 3 This is a photograph of the anterior aspect of the chest. And there's an abrasion on the chest here that I'm 4 5 circling and then down here is a gunshot wound. 6 Is that the only gunshot wound that you found on 7 Mr. Banks' body? 8 This is one wound. There is a corresponding wound on Α the back. 9 10 Okay. Let me show you here State's Exhibit 113. 11 What is that? 12 Α This is a close-up view of the gunshot wound on the 13 anterior aspect of the chest. 14 Okay. So what if anything is notable about this Q 15 wound? 16 So this wound has a circumferential rim of abrasion along its edges, meaning all the way around. And there is soot 17 18 deposited in and around the defect. 19 And so based on your training and experience what does that indicate? 2.0 21 So this is an entrance wound. 22 Okay. And would this be a close-contact wound? Q 23 This -- this wound would be close range, yes. Α 24 Okay. That soot with the wound -- inside the wound, 25 what does that indicate?

A Correct.

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

that we're seeing there?

A This is the gunshot exit wound.

Q Okay. And this wound right here is corresponding to the wound we were just looking at on the chest?

Okay. Showing you State's Exhibit 114. What is it

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	So in looking at that, what were you able to
3	determine	about the travel of the bullet from the front to the
4	back?	
5	А	The projectile traveled from front to back, left to
6	right and	upward.
7	Q	After the bullet entered Mr. Banks' chest, where did
8	it go ins:	ide his body?
9	А	So it injured the second rib on the left side. It
10	entered th	he pericardial sac which is the sack that is around
11	the heart	. It injured the aorta and the esophagus and exited
12	through tl	he soft tissues of the back.
13	Q	The aortic arch, what is that?
14	A	So the aorta is the major blood vessel arising from
15	the heart	that carries oxygenated blood to the rest of the
16	body.	
17	Q	Okay. And so this is a piece of the heart as a
18	whole?	
19	A	It's it's sort of just past the heart. It's the
20	major blo	od vessel that's coming off of the heart that's
21	carrying	the blood.
22	Q	What, in your expertise, would you say would be the
23	time of de	eath after having a perforation of the aortic arch?
24	А	Death would occur quickly, within minutes.
25	Q	Okay. And I should clarify that. How is it that

you're able to determine the path of this bullet as it travels 1 2 through the body? 3 So it -- in reviewing the photographs, familiarity with the anatomy and in reviewing the autopsy report. 4 5 And there's actually an internal examination of 6 Mr. Banks' body that occurred? 7 Α Yes. 8 Where the forensic pathologist looks at -- opened him 9 up and looked at his organs and looked at the travel of that particular bullet; is that correct? 10 11 Correct. And documented it photographically and in 12 their report. 13 Okay. And the photos of the internal examination 14 were not included today; is that right, in what you've seen? 15 In what I've seen, no. Α 16 But they were included in what you prepared for --17 for your testimony today? 18 Α Correct. 19 So based upon everything that you've reviewed, 2.0 what -- were you able to determine what the cause of Mr. Banks' 21 death was? 22 Α Yes. 23 And what was that? Q 24 A qunshot wound to the chest. Α 25 And were you able to determine the manner of his Q

1	death?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And what was that?
4	А	Homicide.
5		MR. DICKERSON: The state will pass the witness.
6		THE COURT: All right. Cross.
7		CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. SI	ORMS:
9	Q	Good afternoon, Dr. Di Loreto, how are you?
10	А	Good afternoon.
11	Q	I just have a couple questions for you if I could.
12	As part c	of the processing that you do at the coroner's office,
13	you take	samples whenever there is an autopsy performed; is
14	that fair	to say?
15	А	Correct. Typically, our samples are collected.
16	Q	So you mentioned earlier the hands are bagged to
17	prior to	being put in the bag and transported to the office;
18	right?	
19	А	Correct.
20	Q	And when the when the body gets to the office,
21	those bag	s are removed and fingernail clippings are taken?
22	А	They can be. That's that's part of the police
23	processin	g. So if they determined that they would like nail
24	clippings	, then that could be done.
25	\circ	Do you remember from this particular case if that was

performed? 1 2 Α I do not. 3 Would looking at the report refresh your recollection Q 4 on that point? 5 Yes. Α 6 Although, it looks like actually this is something 7 that maybe was -- that had been done by your -- your 8 investigator is the one that normally does the bagging? 9 Α Yes. 10 And does samples? 11 Well, they -- they do the bagging. But if nail 12 clippings are taken, that's done by the crime scene analyst. 13 Okay. When you're talking about the directionality 14 of the wound, you're talking about it in terms of the track 15 through the -- through the body itself; correct? 16 Correct. And I should also state that it's with 17 reference to the body in anatomical position, meaning, standing 18 upright with the palms and feet facing forward. 19 So -- so you're not to say when you're talking about 2.0 moving upwards and so on, it's through the direction of the 21 body, but not commenting on how the body was when it was --22 when they received the wound essentially? 23 Α Correct. 24 Okay. Q 25 Court's indulgence.

JD Reporting, Inc.

MR. STORMS:

1		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
2	BY MR. ST	ORMS:
3	Q	And you noted a number of abrasions in this in
4	this auto	psy?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And there was one in the chest area, and then you
7	talked ab	out the hand and the extremities?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And those abrasions are abrasions are essentially
10	kind of t	ears in the skin?
11	А	Yes, scrapes in the skin.
12	Q	Okay. Thank you.
13	А	Okay.
14		THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
15		MR. DICKERSON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: All right. Any juror questions for the
17	witness?	All right. I'll see counsel at the bench.
18		(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
19		THE COURT: All right. We have a couple of juror
20	questions	here, Doctor.
21		A juror asks, what were the toxicology results?
22		THE WITNESS: So on toxicology a Delta 9 THC, which
23	is the ma	in ingredient the active ingredient of marijuana
24	and its m	etabolites were detected.
25		THE COURT: And another juror asks, could you

1	determine	if the shooter was right-handed or left-handed?
2		THE WITNESS: I cannot tell that based on the
3	injuries.	
4		THE COURT: Does the State have any follow up to
5	those las	t juror questions?
6		MR. DICKERSON: No, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: Does the defense have any follow up?
8		FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. ST	ORMS:
10	Q	Doctor, do you recall there being another test of
11	codeine?	
12	А	I do not.
13		MR. STORMS: If I could approach.
14		THE COURT: Sure.
15		MR. STORMS: With the NMS report. Actually, strike
16	that.	
17		THE COURT: Okay.
18		MR. STORMS: This isn't
19		THE COURT: Nothing else?
20		MR. STORMS: No.
21		THE COURT: Any other juror questions?
22		MR. GIORDANI: Well, then we just ask to strike that
23	question.	
24		THE COURT: Right. The question's stricken from the
25	record and	d not to be considered.

1		MR. GIORDANI: Can we ask any follow up?
2		THE COURT: Sure.
3		FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. DIG	CKERSON:
5	Q	There was no coding found in Mr. Banks' system; is
6	that right	t?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Okay. Just THC metabolites
9	А	And THC.
10		MR. DICKERSON: Okay. Thank you.
11		THE COURT: Any follow-up to that?
12		MR. STORMS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
13		THE COURT: Any additional juror questions for the
14	witness?	
15		All right, Doctor, I see no additional questions.
16	Thank you	for your testimony. You are excused at this time.
17		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18		THE COURT: State, do you have any additional
19	witnesses	for today?
20		MR. GIORDANI: Not scheduled for today, no.
21		THE COURT: All right. Well, we're moving right on
22	track.	
23		Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go ahead and
24	take our e	evening recess as there are no other witnesses
25	scheduled	for today.

The Court has a very lengthy calendar on various unrelated matters in the morning so we will not reconvene until 12:30 tomorrow. Since we're starting so late, we won't be taking a lunch break. So once again make sure you eat lunch or bring a snack or do whatever you need to do in that regard.

2.0

Just to give you a heads up, on Friday we will be starting at 9:00 a.m. 9:00 a.m. on Friday so we'll have a full day on Friday, but tomorrow it's just an afternoon.

So before I excuse you for the evening recess I must advise you that you are not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You are not to read, watch, listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Do not visit the location at issue, and please do not form or express an opinion on the trial.

Please leave your notepads in your chairs and Officer Hawkes will be giving you directions on where to meet tomorrow and where to park tomorrow. And any other questions please address Officer Hawkes in the hallway. And we'll see everyone back tomorrow.

(Jury recessed for the evening at 3:43 p.m.)

THE COURT: We didn't have anything to put on the record.

1	MS. TRUJILLO: Not on behalf of Mr. Brown, Your
2	Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I didn't think so. All right.
4	(Proceedings recessed for the evening 3:45 p.m.)
5	-000-
6	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
7	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled
8	case.
9	D. O. Williams
10	Dana P. Williams
11	Dana L. Williams Transcriber
12	Transcriber
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	