IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY BROWN

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Jul 08 2021 09:22 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

Docket No. 81962

Direct Appeal From A Judgment of Conviction Eighth Judicial District Court The Honorable Valerie Adair, District Judge District Court No. C-17-326247-1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 9 OF 18

Navid Afshar
State Bar #14465
Deputy Special Public Defender
JoNell Thomas
State Bar #4771
Special Public Defender
330 South 3rd Street
Las Vegas, NV 89155
(702) 455-6265
Attorney for Larry Brown

<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PLEADING</u> <u>PGS</u>
14	Amended Fourth Superseding Indictment (1/20/19) 2728-30
18	Amended Judgment of Conviction (10/20/2020)3431-33
13	Amended Jury List (12/19/19)
18	Amended Notice of Appeal (11/18/2020)
5	Amended Third Superseding Indictment (12/9/19)
18	Criminal Court Minutes (unfiled)
13	Defendant's Objections to State's Proposed Jury Instructions (12/19/19)
4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion for Disclosure of Corrective Action Reports (11/18/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion for Disclosure of Favorable Evidence (7/31/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine Requesting This Court Preclude The State and its Witnesses from Referring To the Decedent as "The Victim" (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine Requesting This Court Preclude The State from Presenting as Evidence Specific Items Recovered from the Search of Angela Ryder's Residence On March 20, 2017 (8/9/19)
4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude All Cell Phone Information Obtained by Cellebrite and Response to State's Motion in Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining To Advanced Proprietary Software (8/12/19)

4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting as Evidence Unclear Video Surveillance Of What Appears to be a White SUV (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting Details of the Condition of Decdent's Nissan Altima Located in a Business Complex at 7495 Azure Drive and Motion to Strike Experts L.Brown, H. Jarrad, S. Saucedo, and J. Sypniewicz (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting to the Jury any Evidence That Suggests Flight Prior to this Court Ruling on Whether to Allow a Flight Instruction (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting Unduly Prejudicial and/or Cumulative Photographs to the Jury (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Referring to the Trial Phase as the "Guilt" Phase" (8/9/19)
4	Defendant's Motion to Declare the Court's Order Finding that The State May Present Footwear Impression Evidence to the Jury Through Lay Witnesses Void as it Violates Mr. Brown's Due Process and Fair Trial Rights (11/15/19)
4	Ex Parte Motion for Clark County Detention Center Records (8/12/19)
4	Ex Parte Order for Clark County Detention Center to Produce Records Related to Defendant Anthony Carter (8/26/19) 767-68
15	Exhibit List and Exhibits by Court (unfiled)2799-2912
15	Exhibit List and Exhibits by Defendant (unfiled)2913-15

16	Exhibit List and Exhibits by State (unfiled) [CONTINUED IN NEXT VOLUME]	2916-3151
17	Exhibit List and Exhibits by State (unfiled) [CONTINUATION]	3152-3359
14	Guilty Plea Agreement (12/20/19)	2731-40
1	Indictment (9/6/17)	62-65
15	Instructions to the Jury (12/20/19)	2741-82
18	Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty-Alford) (9/23/2020) 3425-28
7	Jury List (12/11/19)	1443
1	Motion for Order to Show Cause (2/21/18)	199-219
1	Motion to Compel Compliance with Subpoena (1/18/18)	182-98
2	Motion to Join Co-Defendant Anthony Carter's Motion to S And Defendant's Motion to Sever Co-Defendants (4/16/18)	
1	Motion to Place on Calendar and Motion to Reduce Bail (10/5/17)	101-08
18	Motion to Unseal Minutes and Portion of Sealed Part of the Testimony on December 13, 2019 (10/21/2020)	
2	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (4/11/18)	255-60
18	Notice of Appeal (10/19/2020)	3429-30
3	Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (8/2/19)	514-15
4	Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (11/08/19)	817-35

4	Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (8/16/19)746-4
5	Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (11/26/19)
5	Notice of Motion and Motion to Suppress Information Obtained By a Constitutionally Deficient Warrant (12/3/19)956-5
2	Opposition to State's Motion to Compel Defendant Brown's Cellular Phone Passcode, or Alternatively, to Compel Fingerprint (5/18/18)
5	Order Denying Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting as Evidence Specific Items Recovered from the Search of Angelisa Ryder's Residence on March 20, 2017 (11/27/19)
5	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Declare the Court's Order Finding that the State May Present Footwear Impression Evidence to The Jury Through Lay Witnesses Void as it Violates Mr. Brown's Due Process and Fair Trial Rights (11/27/19)
17	Order for JAVS via CD (1/17/2020)336
18	Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Unseal Minutes and Portion of Sealed Part of the Testimony on December 13, 2019 (11/6/2020)
4	Order Granting Defendant Larry Brown's Motion For Disclosure Of Corrective Action Reports (11/21/19)
2	Order Granting State's Motion to Compel Fingerprint and Denying State's Motion to Compel Defendant's Cellular Phone Passcode (5/31/18)

5	Order Reserving Ruling Until the Time of Trial for the State's Motion In Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining to Advanced Proprietary Software, and the Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude all Cell Phone Information Obtained by Cellebrite And Response to State's Motion in Limine (11/27/19)
1	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Dismiss (10/3/17)
18	Second Amended Judgment of Conviction (12/2/2020) 3453-57
18	Second Amended Notice of Appeal (12/10/2020)
1	Second Superseding Indictment (10/11/17)
5	Second Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (12/9/19)
18	Sentencing Memorandum (9/17/2020)
1	State's Motion and Notice of Motion to Revoke Bail (10/5/17) 109-18
3	State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining to Advanced Proprietary Software (8/2/19)
2	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Defendant Brown's Cellular Phone Passcode, or Alternatively, to Compel Fingerprint (4/23/18)
2	State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (5/8/18) 321-77
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material (8/2/19)

4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State And Witnesses from Referring to Kwame Banks as "The Victim" (8/22/19)	-61
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Arson (9/3/19)	-73
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Flight (9/3/19)774-	-79
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Shoes and Brass Knuckles (9/3/19)	-82
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Unduly prejudicial and/or Cumulative Photographs to the Jury (8/22/19)	-57
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Using the Term "Guilty Phase" (8/22/19)	-54
3	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (6/7/19)	-74
5	State's Tenth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (11/25/19)	-42
6	Stipulation and Order to Waive Penalty Hearing (12/9/19) 1248-	-49
3	Supplement to Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (8/7/19)	-71
4	Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (11/15/19)	-72
5	Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (12/2/19) 954-	-55
1	Superseding Indictment (10/4/17)	00

1	Third Superseding Indictment (12/13/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 1 August 29, 2017 (9/9/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 2 September 5, 2017 (9/12/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing September 6, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing September 14, 2017 (11/19/2020) 71-75
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Superseding Proceedings October 3, 2017 (10/17/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 4, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Second Superseding Proceedings October 10, 2017 (10/24/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 11, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 19, 2017 (11/19/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 31, 2017 (11/20/2020) 147-51
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Third Superseding Proceedings December 12, 2017 (12/28/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing December 13, 2017 (12/1/2020) 172-75
1	Transcript of Hearing December 19, 2017 (11/20/2020) 176-81
1	Transcript of Hearing February 27, 2018 (11/20/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing March 6, 2018 (11/20/2020) 225-29

1	Transcript of Hearing March 20, 2018 (10/22/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 3, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 17, 2018 (11/20/2020) 271-82
2	Transcript of Hearing April 24, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 26, 2018 (11/20/2020) 310-15
2	Transcript of Hearing May 8, 2018 (11/20/2020)316-20
2	Transcript of Hearing May 22, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing May 31, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing June 7, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing August 9, 2018 (11/20/2020) 433-38
2	Transcript of Hearing August 30, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing November 27, 2018 (11/20/2020) 443-49
2	Transcript of Hearing January 17, 2019 (11/20/2020) 450-54
2	Transcript of Hearing March 21, 2019 (11/20/2020) 455-58
2	Transcript of Hearing April 25, 2019 (11/20/2020) 459-62
2	Transcript of Hearing May 30, 2019 (11/20/2020)
3	Transcript of Hearing June 27, 2019 (11/20/2020)
3	Transcript of Hearing August 1, 2019 (11/20/2020) 510-13
4	Transcript of Hearing August 15, 2019 (11/20/2020) 740-45

4	Transcript of Hearing August 20, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing August 22, 2020 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing October 29, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing November 5, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing November 14, 2019 (11/20/2020)
5	Transcript of Hearing November 21, 2019 (11/25/2019) 883-932
5	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 December 9, 2019 Pages 1-55 (12/9/2020) [CONT'D IN NEXT VOLUME] 965-1019
6	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 December 9, 2019 Pages 56-283 (12/9/2020) [CONTINUATION]
7	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 December 10, 2019 (12/9/2020)
8	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 December 11, 2019 (12/9/2020)
9	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 December 12, 2019 (12/9/2020)
10	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 December 13, 2019 EXCERPT (12/9/2020)
11	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 December 13, 2019 EXCERPT (12/9/2020)
11	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 December 17, 2019 (12/9/2020)
12	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 December 18, 2019 Pages 1-173 (12/9/2020) [CONT'D IN NEXT VOLUME) . 2232-2404

13	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 December 18, 2019 Pgs 174-288 (12/9/2020) [CONTINUATION]2405-2519
14	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8 December 19, 2019 (12/9/2020)
15	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9 December 20, 2019 (12/9/2020)
17	Transcript of Hearing August 6, 2020 (11/20/2020)
18	Transcript of Hearing September 18, 2020 (11/3/2020) 3398-3424
18	Transcript of Hearing November 5, 2020 (12/5/2020) 3443-46
17	Verdict (12/20/19)

Electronically Filed 12/9/2020 9:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-17-326247-1) DEPT NO. XXI
vs.)
LARRY DECORLEON BROWN,) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Defendant.)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2019

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: JOHN L. GIORDANI III, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MONICA R. TRUJILLO, ESQ.

W. JEREMY STORMS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy Special Public Defenders

RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.

INDEX

WITNESSES

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:	
MITCHELL DOSCH	
Direct Examination by Mr. Giordani	22
Cross-Examination by Ms. Trujillo	88
Follow-Up Examination by Mr. Giordani	122
Follow-Up Examination by Ms. Trujillo	125
ROY WILCOX	
Direct Examination by Mr. Giordani	129
Cross-Examination by Mr. Storms	141
JEFFREY LOMAS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Giordani	145
Cross-Examination by Mr. Storms	152
EXHIBITS	
STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	
6	25
8	25
9–63	29
98-99	75
117-139	53
240-281	144
282–285	136
304	80

1	LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, DECEMBER 12, 2019, 12:39 P.M.	
2	* * * *	
3	(Outside the presence of the jury.)	
4	(Pause in the proceedings.)	
5	THE COURT: All right. We're on the record.	
6	Are we on the record?	
7	THE COURT RECORDER: Yes, ma'am.	
8	THE COURT: We're on the record out of the presence	
9	of the jury, and I understand there's some matters that need to	
10	be brought to the Court's attention.	
11	MR. GIORDANI: I think it's just one matter, Your	
12	Honor.	
13	If you'll recall, initially the State had indicated	
14	that we had scheduled all of our out-of-state witnesses for	
15	next Monday, which I think is the 16th. We subsequently, you	
16	know, learned we were going to be dark.	
17	THE COURT: Right.	
18	MR. GIORDANI: We rescheduled the majority of those.	
19	We also stipulated to some records to eliminate a few of those,	
20	but we're having a major issue with the Cellebrite witness.	
21	THE COURT: Okay.	
22	MR. DICKERSON: If you'll recall, that's the person	
23	from New Jersey.	
24	THE COURT: No. No, I know.	
25	MR. GIORDANI: It's my understanding that well,	
	JD Reporting, Inc.	

long story short, I believe if the Court allows it that we can 1 2 have that person available via Skype tomorrow. That's the hope 3 of the parties. I want -- I mean of the State. 4 MR. STORMS: Yeah. 5 I went and looked at the audiovisual, MR. GIORDANI: 6 you know, statute where it says audiovisual testimony is 7 favored for judicial resources, blah, blah, blah. There's a notice requirement of two days prior to the proceeding unless 8 9 good cause is shown. I think it's appropriate at this point. 10 Our good cause would be to allow that witness to testify via 11 Skype and audiovisual. 12 In addition, it's been the State's position all along 13 that this is a chain of custody issue and that really the 14 question at issue with this witness is, is the evidence what we 15 purport it to be. 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 MR. GIORDANI: Is the copy of the phone a copy of the 18 phone? And I think that that can be satisfactorily determined 19 based upon audiovisual testimony from the witness. 2.0 THE COURT: Okay. And then what's the issue with --21 I'm assuming he's refusing to travel? 22 MR. GIORDANI: Well, the --23 I mean, what's the difference between THE COURT:

JD Reporting, Inc.

MR. GIORDANI: In speaking with the lawyer for them,

being here Monday and being here Tuesday?

24

25

not speaking with the witness himself, but the lawyer is the one who we had on Court Call.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: And who I've been communicating with. Cellebrite has -- is a private company. They have very few employees who do what these witnesses -- what the witness does. He informed me that they have a hundred or so pending contracts to be closed out by the end of the year. So moving a day --

There's also someone who's on vacation for one of the days. I can't remember which one.

He informed me that moving the day would be an undue burden on their business. And in addition to that, they sent us a bill after I told them, hey, you know, we're trying to fly this person in on Tuesday instead. That bill was for 10 grand, \$10,000. I ran that bill up my chain in the event we had to do it. They weren't happy about it, but I think that ultimately I could get approval, but I think it's —

THE COURT: And that's what, like a witness fee or what's the \$10,000 for?

MR. GIORDANI: 2,500 of it was delineated for travel expense. 7500 was witness fee. It's like hourly, but we then reached out back to them and said, well, hey, if we do approve this, we're going to need an itemized breakdown of this.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: So that's kind of suspended because at

the end of the day, again, I don't think it serves any purpose 1 2 to fly this guy across the country that couldn't be served with 3 having him under oath over Skype. THE COURT: Okay. And are they still billing you 4 5 even if he just appears --6 MR. GIORDANI: No. 7 THE COURT: Oh, so it's free for the State if --8 So the issue is whether or not he can effectively 9 testify over Skype. 10 What's the defense's position? 11 MR. STORMS: Well, Judge, you know, our position 12 previously with the Cellebrite folks will --13 Right. Well, I understand you don't --THE COURT: 14 MR. STORMS: Well, can I -- if I can just --15 THE COURT: Oh. 16 You know, with our Crawford objection to MR. STORMS: 17 having this outside the presence hearing, we'd like to have 18 them live obviously and testify before the jury and let us 19 cross-examine there. Under the circumstances for this (indiscernible) limited hearing we're having, we'll do that via 2.0 21 Skype if that's what's necessary. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. STORMS: Okay. But I would say, you know, this

JD Reporting, Inc.

was a -- to me somewhat predictable by the way they approached

this from the very beginning when we had them on the call.

24

25

They weren't really inclined to come in the first place from the motion that they had the State file, whenever we talked on the phone, they were reluctant to do it.

2.0

You know, a company that is in the business of law enforcement essentially needs to come to court, period. And what they're doing is inappropriate. I mean, you can't outsource -- it can't be that my client's rights are violated by their money, their bottom line, and that's what's happening here, and that, you know, and that's a real problem for us. But it's --

THE COURT: Well, I mean, here's the issue. I'm not --

MR. STORMS: Yeah. And so you know you understand that I'm saying that if you do rule that this is something the jury hears, we obviously want him here for the jury to have live testimony.

THE COURT: Right. Here's the issue. You don't agree with the way we're proceeding, and that's all been litigated. So the issue today is whether or not he can effectively testify over Skype. Now, sometimes a witness cannot effectively testify over Skype if there's a lot of documents to look at and things of that nature. You have to show somebody something, and in this case, I think that's unlikely that that would be occurring. So I don't know why he can't testify over Skype as effectively as he could here.

1	So I'm going to allow him to testify over Skype.			
2	Like I said, if it was somebody where you're showing a lot of			
3	documents, that kind of thing, you can't do it. You know, like			
4	medical records, a physician. Sometimes it's impossible. But			
5	this kind of a witness I think is fine.			
6	MR. GIORDANI: Are we starting			
7	MR. STORMS: And, Judge			
8	MR. GIORDANI: I'm sorry.			
9	MR. STORMS: Oh, I had a record to make too about an			
10	issue. Did you want to			
11	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I was just going to say are we			
12	still planning on starting at 9:00 tomorrow?			
13	THE COURT: Yep.			
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.			
15	THE COURT: I was planning on starting at			
16	12:30 today, meaning whenever the jurors decide to show up.			
17	MS. TRUJILLO: And we've been			
18	MR. STORMS: That's been the story of our lives;			
19	right?			
20	MS. TRUJILLO: the habitually late one.			
21	THE COURT: All right. And, yes, Mr. Storms.			
22	MR. STORMS: I wanted to make an objection as far as			
23	authentication goes with respect to the cell phone network cell			
24	tower pinging that's going to be a lot of the substance it			
25	seems of the testimony here today. You know, they had to			

establish the relevancy of these cell phones and their use to the case meaning they have to identify the people using the phones as the people they are arguing are using the phones.

2.0

Now, we obviously had Kwame Banks's girlfriend here yesterday talking about him using that phone. I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about Anthony Carter establishing that he is POE ATL, that that phone — you know, she saw POE ATL come upon Banks's phone. She doesn't know who that is. She doesn't recognize his voice. We're going to — so how are we establishing that that is actually Mr. Carter?

Additionally, how are we establishing that these phone calls and these communications and these cell phone pingings that — or they're saying are Mr. Carter's and Mr. Brown's, how are they establishing that those two sets of data essentially are connected to people involved in the case that would allow for this evidence to come in, you know, under the rules about coconspirator statements and so on and so forth.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. STORMS: And, you know, so I think there's a real -- there's a serious issue with that. I know that part of their evidence today is going to be that when they searched Anthony Carter's home they find a box for the phone that's missing, that Anthony Carter was using at the time of the incident that's in his -- that's in the room where he was

staying. What looks like the room where he was staying essentially.

2.0

But again, I mean, think about this. If it was the 1980s, and we're having anonymous letters and phone calls between places that are, you know, the phone is registered to a man's name, and it's the address is his. I mean, that would not be evidence that he's the one that actually made those phone calls and so on. If other people lived at that address, which is the case here, there is some evidence that -- I mean, there's arguably a third person here. I mean, he's got -- Anthony Carter has a cousin that comes up as someone who's involved with him as well. So I think that they need to establish the ownership of this phone by Mr. Carter was some circumstantial evidence that's greater than the fact that the phone is registered to him. There's a box in his room.

There's a case called Rodriguez versus State. It was 128 Nevada 155 that dealt with this issue, and, of course, it's referencing older cases where you have things like deeds of trust that aren't certified and so on trying to be brought in for their contents, but we don't have a certified copy and no way of verifying it.

In this case of the cell phone, you need to be able to identify that the phone itself is being used by the user that is the target of investigation, or it's the State's theory of the case in this instance, and we don't have any of that

evidence for the jury yet. So to have this cell phone stuff brought up before the jury before they establish any of this — and I don't know that they are because in their opening statement they didn't really talk about Anthony Carter being in the other man's apartment and so on when this is happening. They didn't really get into all of those things.

2.0

I just don't see how they're going to establish this properly, establish that the phone number they say is Anthony Carter's is, in fact, his. And if we let all this in right now without establishing all this stuff, it's obviously prejudicial to us. It gives it the impression that it is, in fact, his, that we don't need to question this. I mean, this is not a situation like a Judge where a Judge can hear some evidence and then decide whether or not it will be admitted later on, you know, having evidence presented out of order and being able to kind of exclude those things as a Judge and a lawyer, and it's a confrontation clause issue that we object to as well. And that's more or less my record on that.

THE COURT: Mr. Giordani.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor. And the evidence that we are proffering or planning on putting forth today — which just for the record, I've been keeping the defense updated as to which witnesses we're going to call. So I refer — I mean, I assume they're referring to Detective Dosch and Geno Basilotta. We have four exhibits that were

previously discussed. That was 2, 3, 4 and I believe 5 that I 1 2 thought were stipulated to. 3 MS. TRUJILLO: Well, remember we reserved the right to object to authenticity. 4 5 THE COURT: On relevancy. 6 MR. STORMS: Yes. 7 MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, but --8 MR. GIORDANI: Relevance. 9 MS. TRUJILLO: But authenticity of content as well. 10 We made that record. 11 THE COURT: No. That's what the custodian of 12 Your object -- my understanding was you were 13 stipulating that they were authentic business records --14 MS. TRUJILLO: Correct. 15 THE COURT: -- but you can still object that it 16 wasn't made by your client; it wasn't relevant --17 MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. 18 MS. TRUJILLO: Right. That's --19 MR. STORMS: Yeah. And that's -- and that's the 2.0 authentication -- authentication we're talking about is that the records are the production of our client or Mr. Carter's 21 22 use of the phone that says it's --23 THE COURT: Right. So it's really relevance that 24 they -- oh, and foundation that they --25

JD Reporting, Inc.

MR. STORMS: Yes.

1	THE COURT: haven't laid a foundation. So you're			
2	really not objecting on authenticity			
3	MR. STORMS: Well			
4	MS. TRUJILLO: The actual breakdown			
5	THE COURT: you're objecting that foundational			
6	that they haven't			
7	MR. STORMS: Well, it			
8	THE COURT: that they're not linking it into your			
9	client, and you're objecting on relevance that it's not			
10	relevant because we don't know whose phone it is			
11	MR. STORMS: I'm objecting under NRS 52.015, which is			
12	the requirement of authentication or identification as a			
13	conditional precedent to admissibility being satisfied with the			
14	evidence. So			
	THE COURT: But I thought that's what you were			
15	THE COOK!. Dut I thought that 5 what you were			
1516	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records.			
16	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records.			
16 17	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business			
16 17 18	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business records from a company is different from saying that the			
16 17 18 19	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business records from a company is different from saying that the content is authentic by the user. It's two different things.			
16 17 18 19 20	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business records from a company is different from saying that the content is authentic by the user. It's two different things. THE COURT: Yeah. But that's not authentic by the			
16 17 18 19 20 21	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business records from a company is different from saying that the content is authentic by the user. It's two different things. THE COURT: Yeah. But that's not authentic by the user			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business records from a company is different from saying that the content is authentic by the user. It's two different things. THE COURT: Yeah. But that's not authentic by the user MS. TRUJILLO: Under			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stipulating to, that they're authentic business records. MS. TRUJILLO: Saying they're authentic business records from a company is different from saying that the content is authentic by the user. It's two different things. THE COURT: Yeah. But that's not authentic by the user MS. TRUJILLO: Under THE COURT: that's like relevancy			

The phone is only relevant if it's being 1 MR. STORMS: 2 used by a member of the conspiracy. 3 THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. It's only relevant if it's being used by your client. They're authentic 4 5 business records, and they're accurate business records --6 MR. STORMS: And I'm not challenging --7 THE COURT: -- but they're not relevant unless it's 8 being used by your client. 9 MR. STORMS: Uh-huh. 10 THE COURT: Right. So --MR. STORMS: And they need to establish --11 12 THE COURT: -- and they have to lay a foundation that 13 it's relevant and being used by your client. So to me your 14 objection is really relevance and foundation, not --15 I can say, Judge, that -- all I can say MR. STORMS: 16 is these are the statutes that the Supreme Court of Nevada 17 cited in Rodriguez is why -- why you need to establish this. 18 So I'm just following --19 THE COURT: Well, we're saying the same thing. 2.0 MR. STORMS: -- what they say. 21 We're using different language. THE COURT: 22 they have to lay a foundation that it's linked to the client or 23 linked to the case in some way --24 MS. TRUJILLO: Which that is the authentification

JD Reporting, Inc.

(sic) prong is what we're talking about.

25

THE COURT: And then they have to establish relevancy 1 2 before -- or relevance before they can admit it. So --3 MR. GIORDANI: And I --THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, do you want to respond? 4 5 MR. GIORDANI: Yes, as to that. I believe the phones 6 that were just referenced are the phone associated with Larry 7 Brown, and the phone associated with Anthony Carter, and those 8 would be Exhibits 2 and 3. 9 Included in the records from the cell phone companies 10 are subscriber information, the first one, Exhibit 2, the 11 Sprint phone is subscribed to Larry Brown. 12 The second one, Exhibit 3, the phone is subscribed to 13 Anthony Carter through T-Mobile. That in and of itself is 14 sufficient to establish that those phones are not -- are 15 registered to them, but not that they are being used by them. 16 The way to authenticate say a text message includes 17 content --18 THE COURT: Content. MR. GIORDANI: Right. And all of these other things. 19 2.0 Identification if somebody says, hey, THE COURT: it's, you know, whatever. 21 22 MR. GIORDANI: Right. And --23 THE COURT: Phil. 24 MR. GIORDANI: What? 25 THE COURT: Right. I said in case if somebody says,

hey, it's Phil, then you're establishing it's Phil. Or somebody by the content says, you know, hey, you know, whatever or something reflecting, hey, cousin, and you're the cousin, right, that's how you do it. So we're in agreement there.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. The phones that we just discussed or that I just discussed, Exhibit 2 and 3, Larry Brown and Anthony Carter, those phones are -- well, one of them was DFL examined, and that gets with this Cellebrite issue. Larry Brown's phone was dumped. The content of the text messages communicating with POE ATL, which is Anthony Carter's known alias.

MR. STORMS: And based upon what; right? I mean, that's what we're -- what evidence has the jury heard that POE ATL is Anthony Carter? What have they presented so far in this case that says that? That's my issue.

MR. GIORDANI: So far? Nothing.

MR. STORMS: Yeah. There's no -- I mean, we're just going to get into POE ATL is doing all this stuff, and you haven't established that they're one and the same person as Anthony Carter.

THE COURT: Well, he's going to have to -How are you going to link it up?

MR. GIORDANI: Why do I have to disclose that now? I mean, I'm trying -- we're talking about foundation. When -- when they come in and say --

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: -- we are objecting that it's our client using this phone, that's great argument for closing.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Foundation is, is the phone subscribed to Larry Brown; was it left at the crime scene; was Larry Brown's DNA also at the crime scene? I mean --

THE COURT: Right. I mean, a lot of this goes not to admissibility, but to weight. I mean, any time there's always the argument somebody else was using the phone.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

THE COURT: This phone was stolen. Somebody borrowed it or whatever, but that goes more to weight than whether or not it's admissible.

MR. STORMS: If I could just read from *Rodriguez*, it says,

We thus conclude that when there has been an objection to the admissibility of a text message, the proponent of the evidence must explain the purpose for which the text message is being offered and provide sufficient direct or circumstantial corroborating evidence of authorship in order to authenticate the text message as a conditional precedent to its admission.

And they cite NRS 52.015 --

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry to interrupt you, but refresh my memory. In that case, was the text message being sent from the defendant's phone, or are you using the recipient's phone to introduce the text message?

MR. STORMS: In that case, there was a phone that had been taken from someone that was texting to a contact --

THE COURT: Right. So it's a stolen phone essentially.

MR. STORMS: Yeah. It was a stolen phone. And there were something like 12 texts admitted, and they were -- and the Supreme Court said that only 2 of them should have been allowed because they could only establish in two of them that the person they admitted it against was sitting in a bus with a man who was texting and seemed to be involved in the composition. Okay.

THE COURT: Right. Well, that to me is a different situation because you don't have other indicia of ownership use and control in that case, whereas in this case you do have indicia of --

MR. STORMS: But --

THE COURT: -- ownership and control by virtue of the bills themselves that say it's the defendant's phone.

MR. GIORDANI: In addition, I think that respect -THE COURT: So to me those two cases are totally

different. It's just like if I from a burner phone send Mr. Giordani a text, right, and you try to admit it against me, well, now it's his phone. How the heck do we know it's me unless you go to content, like, I'm the Judge on this murder trial, Mr. Giordani, and I'm tired of your behavior. Okay. That may be indicia of content, but otherwise if it's my phone number, and he has the bill, and I'm sending a text message, Mr. Giordani, you know, knock it off in trial, I'm the Judge here, right. Okay. The argument is it could have been Tiffany the law clerk sending the text message, and that's an argument. But it's still enough of a foundation that it's my phone because I'm subscribed to that number.

2.0

Now, again if there's other -- if it's a burner phone, then you have to go to content. Or if it's a stolen phone, or if I'm using Susie Schofield's phone, and you want to admit it against me, now you have a second prong.

But I think my opinion is the fact that he's the subscriber is indicia of ownership and control, and so that is the first prong. That's the step. That's the --

MR. GIORDANI: In addition to that, I think, respectfully, that the defense is conflating two issues here. What we're dealing with today is not content. We're talking about the records that came from the cell company that show an outgoing call was made. An incoming call. A text was placed. A text was received, not content of any of that.

1	THE COURT: Not the content of the texts.		
2	MR. GIORDANI: So the foundation for these records		
3	that we're dealing with today, now I'm sure we'll have this		
4	argument again tomorrow, but today the foundation for these		
5	records is sufficient.		
6	THE COURT: Okay.		
7	MR. GIORDANI: The relevant		
8	THE COURT: I think, look, I'm satisfied you have		
9	enough of a foundation. We're all going around and around		
10	here.		
11	Kenny, are the jurors here?		
12	THE MARSHAL: They should be.		
13	THE COURT: All right. We'll get started in a		
14	minute.		
15	(Pause in the proceedings.)		
16	THE COURT: Everybody ready?		
17	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.		
18	THE COURT: Are they here finally?		
19	THE MARSHAL: We should be set. We're ready.		
20	THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, I really wasn't criticizing		
21	your behavior.		
22	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, thank you.		
23	THE COURT: I was saying that illustratively.		
24	MR. GIORDANI: I appreciate it.		
25	THE COURT: Just in case there was any doubt.		
	JD Reporting, Inc.		

1	MR. GIORDANI: I don't take things personally.			
2	THE COURT: What's that?			
3	MR. GIORDANI: I don't take anything personal.			
4	THE COURT: No. I meant			
5	MS. TRUJILLO: Except Batson issues.			
6	THE COURT: I was just being illustrative.			
7	MR. GIORDANI: Except that.			
8	(Jurors entering 1:04 p.m.)			
9	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session.			
10	The record should reflect the presence of the State through the			
11	deputy district attorneys, the presence of the defendant along			
12	with his counsel, the officers of the court and the ladies and			
13	gentlemen of the jury.			
14	And is the State ready to call its next witness?			
15	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor. The State calls			
16	Detective Mitchell Dosch.			
17	MITCHELL DOSCH			
18	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,			
19	testified as follows:			
20	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State and			
21	spell your first and last name for the record.			
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you. My name is Mitchell,			
23	M-i-t-c-h-e-l-l. Dosch, D-o-s-c-h.			
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.			
25	Mr. Giordani, you may proceed.			

1	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.		
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
3	BY MR. GIORDANI:		
4	Q And good afternoon, sir.		
5	A Good afternoon.		
6	Q What do you do for a living?		
7	A I'm a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan		
8	Police Department, currently assigned to the homicide section.		
9	Q How long have you been with the homicide section?		
LO	A It'll actually be seven years this next February.		
L1	Q What were you doing before the homicide section?		
L2	A I spent approximately five and a half years working		
L3	for the LVMPD Robbery Section which preceded my time in		
14	homicide. And then before that, I spent approximately three		
15	years in patrol, another two years in a pseudo-detective		
L6	position known as the problem-solving unit, and then I spent		
17	about five years with the Nevada Highway Patrol before I		
L8	started with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
L9	concluding my time with the Nevada Highway Patrol as a fatal		
20	investigator.		
21	Q As a homicide detective, can you describe what your		
22	general duties and responsibilities are to the jury.		
23	A Yes. It's not just limited to homicide		
24	investigations. It is also any suspicious deaths, fire deaths.		
25	We had the responsibility in Metro's jurisdiction to respond to		

any death that's deemed suspicious. Obviously a homicide investigation would be considered suspicious.

Q I want to bring you back to February 21st of 2017.

Did you along with detective or retired Detective Darin Cook respond to a homicide that occurred at approximately 10:47 p.m. at 5850 Sky Pointe Drive?

A Yes, I did.

2.0

Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the details of the call that you received and how you responded to the scene.

A Yes. How it works, and just to give you some background with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Homicide Section is that there are multiple squads within the unit, and then there's multiple teams within each squad. So at the time, I don't know if we were at full capacity with having 24 detectives, but if it was, it was — that breaks down to 12 teams.

And we work as in a, to use baseball parlance, we work off of somewhat of a batting rotation so that there is the up-team. There is a team that's in the hole, and then there's a team that's on deck. So the next murder investigation that comes out, the up-team will take responsibility for that, and then the on-deck team, if you will, becomes the up-team, and then that process repeats itself however many times throughout the year that it needs to go.

1	And on that particular night, then partner, Detective		
2	Cook and I were partners, and we were called to respond to the		
3	scene and take investigatory responsibility.		
4	Q Are	you part of the up-team right now as we speak?	
5	A Yes.		
6	Q Do you have coverage in case your phone goes off		
7	while you're testifying?		
8	A Ih	ave a little bit of a reprieve being in trial, but	
9	eventually I'll have to get to those duties.		
10	Q Tha:	nk you, Detective.	
11	Sho	wing you State's 6, do you recognize what we're	
12	looking at there?		
13	A Yes	. It's an aerial view.	
14	Q And	does it show generally the crime scene and then a	
15	couple of, I	guess, secondary scenes that you ultimately	
16	responded to	in the area of 5850 Sky Pointe Drive?	
17	A Yes	, it does.	
18	Q And	does that map fairly and accurately depict how	
19	those areas l	ooked back then when you responded in February	
20	of 2017?		
21	A Yes	, it does.	
22	Q Tha:	nk you.	
23	MR.	GIORDANI: I'd move for the admission of 6.	
24	THE	COURT: Any objection?	
25	MS.	TRUJILLO: No objection.	

1	THE COURT: That'll be admitted.			
2	(State's Exhibit Number(s) 6 admitted.)			
3	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.			
4	BY MR. GIORDANI:			
5	Q Is your screen on there, Detective, at your witness			
6	stand?			
7	A It is.			
8	Q I'm going to zoom in here. Is this 5850 Sky Pointe			
9	Drive where you responded that evening?			
10	A It is.			
11	Q And what is located at that address?			
12	A It's actually an apartment complex that's divided			
13	into two halves, an A and B half, if you will.			
14	Q I want to show you State's 8.			
15	MR. GIORDANI: The parties would stipulate to the			
16	admission of 8, Your Honor.			
17	THE COURT: Is that right?			
18	MS. TRUJILLO: That's correct.			
19	THE COURT: All right. 8 is admitted.			
20	(State's Exhibit Number(s) 8 admitted.)			
21	BY MR. GIORDANI:			
22	Q What are we looking at here, Detective?			
23	A This is the A portion of the apartment complex at			
24	5850 Sky Pointe Drive.			
25	Q And is the A portion the portion at which we're about			
	JD Reporting, Inc.			

-		
1	to discuss?	
2	А	It is.
3	Q	Okay. Is this, what I'm pointing at where it says
4	you are h	ere, is this the main entry to the apartment complex
5	off of Sk	y Pointe?
6	А	Yes, it is.
7	Q	And the name of the apartment complex did you say was
8	Sky Pointe Landing?	
9	А	No, I did not, but that's at the time is what it was
10	known as.	
11	Q	Okay. Thank you.
12		Once you enter into this main entry off Sky Pointe,
13	where do you go on that date?	
14	А	On that particular night, the crime scene was the
15	area in f	ront of Building 21, which if you'll note is at the
16	bottom of	that picture to the left.
17	Q	Let's see if I can get this
18		Is that Building 21 there?
19	А	It is.
20	Q	Big old 21 in the center of it?
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	When you responded to that location, Detective, was
23	the crime	scene already secure and static?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	What does that mean, "static," for the ladies and

gentlemen of the jury?

2.0

A So with the responding patrol officers and then sometimes patrol detectives, they are the ones responsible for going to the scene, conducting a preliminary investigation.

And if additional units or specialized units are needed, such as in the case of homicide, it is incumbent upon the patrol officers to secure the scene, identify witnesses and when he refers to static is to insure that there aren't any additional threats to additional law enforcement. Obviously that is going to be one of the priorities as well as attending to any victims that might be in that particular area.

Q So when you arrive, it's already static, and can I presume that there were several Metro personnel on scene, black and whites and tan uniforms?

A Yes. And then one step further is that the area that the patrol officers had deemed incorporate into the crime scene is marked with what is known as yellow crime scene tape. And then they'll post uniformed patrol officers so it's clear to the other citizens that these are patrol units. These are Metro officers inside the -- inside the area that's been cordoned off for scene security.

Q And you're the first law enforcement officer that the jury is hearing form. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what an event number is.

A Yes. At the time -- currently, Metro's event number,

the anatomy of Metro's current event number is different than what it was back then, but in 2017, the first six digits of the event number actually corresponded to the date that the incident occurred on. So in that case it was 17, 2017; zero two, February; and 21, the 21st of February. The last four numbers correspond with whatever number of police activity throughout the day, meaning that every day it starts all over with Number 1. So it would be 0001. And then if it's a busy day, then it can get up into the five thousands or higher.

Q And in this particular case, our event number is 170221-4563; is that right?

A That's correct, sir.

2.0

So the 4563 is the number of transactions for the 21st of February of 2017.

Q Showing you already admitted State's 7. Where is our main crime scene located on this map?

A So this is a satellite view of that same apartment complex. Obviously it includes a lot of vegetation and the buildings, but in — about centrally located to your photo you'll see the two adjacent carports. We want to go to the northern or the one on top, and it's going to be right under the middle of that carport.

Q Just before you walked in, did you have an opportunity to glance through Exhibits 9 through 63?

A Yes, I did.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Just give us your brief indulgence.		
2	(Pause in the proceedings.)		
3	MR. GIORDANI: Can we approach briefly, Your Honor?		
4	THE COURT: Sure.		
5	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)		
6	BY MR. GIORDANI:		
7	Q Detective, did you, when you reviewed these outside,		
8	9 through 63, recognize them?		
9	A I do.		
10	Q And were they all fair and accurate depictions of the		
11	crime scene that we're about to discuss and have discussed so		
12	far?		
13	A Yes, they were.		
14	Q As it appeared on February 21st, 2017, in the late		
15	evening hours and early morning of February 22nd?		
16	A That's correct.		
17	MR. GIORDANI: And I'd move for the admission of		
18	those, Your Honor.		
19	THE COURT: All right. Subject to the record, those		
20	will be admitted.		
21	(State's Exhibit Number(s) 9-63 admitted.)		
22	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.		
23	MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you.		
24	BY MR. GIORDANI:		
25	Q Starting with 9, pretty obvious, but please tell us		
	JD Reporting, Inc.		

what that is.

2.0

A That's the address marquee that is in front of the apartment complex adjacent to the front entrance from Sky Pointe Drive.

Q And I'm going to show you State's 10. What are we looking at here?

A That is a rendering of Building 21 just to involve Building 21 and then the parking lot to the east.

Now, if I may, Sky Pointe Drive in the area of the crime scene runs a century -- excuse me, essentially southeast to northwest. That road parallels U.S. 95 in that particular area. The building, Building 21 thus parallels the road in that. So while the building is oriented to a southeast to northwest, for purposes of explanation, if it would just be easier, like assume that the building runs south to north, and then we can just orient north, south, east and west if you don't mind.

Q Understood. Now, this eventually, once you respond, you have a briefing and meeting with crime scene analysts; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And are there several crime scene analysts that respond to an individual homicide scene?

A Many.

Q As opposed to a single one that might respond to a

residential burglary, whatever it may be? 1 2 That's correct. 3 In this particular case, did -- were one of the crime scene analysts Gabrielle Guerrero? 4 5 Yes, that was her name, her last name at the time. 6 believe it's since changed. 7 Okay. And is this a diagram that was ultimately 8 created once she walked through, documented the crime scene 9 along with other crime scene analysts? 10 A portion of the crime scene. Understand that 11 there's going to be a section to the north that's not depicted. 12 I'm assuming it's going to be covered on another diagram or 13 another picture, but that is most of the crime scene. 14 Got it. So when I go back to my map -- I don't mean Q 15 to be redundant, but I just want to be clear -- we're looking 16 at State's 7. We're talking about this awning here? 17 Yes. It's actually for a carport. So there's two 18 carports there with a small break in between the two of them. Got it. And the one we're referring to in the 19 2.0 diagram is this one on top? 21 Yes. And again that's the more northern of the two 22 carports. 23 Got it. Just so the jury is clear, we're talking 24 about Building 21 and the carport would be here? 25 Α They have just -- for purposes of the Yes.

illustration, know that the carport has been removed to show that.

Q Got it. Thank you.

2.0

I'm going to show you a first look at the crime scene itself, State's 12. Just describe what we're looking at there.

A So this is a photograph taken from the east side of the parking lot toward the west, and that would be -- the backdrop is the east facing side of Building 21, a small landscaped area, a sidewalk and then the aforementioned northern carport. And then in between the two vehicles -- one is blue and one is white -- is our victim.

- Q And what was the victim's name?
- A Kwame Banks.
- Q I want to ask you about this orange cone that's just to the left of the victim's body. What is that depicting?

A So as part of patrol, patrol officers' response to crime scenes, part of their securing of the crime scene sometimes will include the officers placing cones down as a reminder to other patrol officers and responding detectives that there's evidence in that particular area and be aware. So in that case --

And what you'll see is, as we get into this a little bit deeper, is that the crime scene analysts use much smaller cones with numbers typically. The patrol officers, just as a friendly way of marking evidence, they'll put out the much

larger cones, which you can tell is actually a traffic cone.

- Q And then I'm going to show you State's 13. There's actually several cones in addition to that first one we saw in the last photo; is that right?
 - A That's correct.

- Q Where did -- well, let me show you 14. Where did that trail of cones lead to?
- A So this is now from the north part of that parking lot looking toward the south. Those lights that you see in the distant area is a dealership, a former Dodge dealership that no longer is active, but what you're seeing is the cones go in a southerly direction toward that second, the southern carport area.
- Q And what is represented by each one of those orange cones?
- A The evidence that the patrol officers were trying to bring awareness to was actually footwear and apparent blood.
- Q I'm going to show you State's 15. On the bottom right here, do you see this blood pooling?
- 20 A Correct.
 - Q Would that mean that we're very near Mr. Banks's body?
 - A Yes. We are literally feet away.
 - Q And is this the first of the series of footprints that was being documented by the crime scene analysts?

1 Α It is. 2 Zoom in there. As we move down, State's 19, is this Q 3 the second of the series of bloody prints, footprints? 4 Yes, footwear and apparent blood, yes. Α 5 And just for reference, State's 17, you can see the 6 white vehicle Toyota there. And then going back, does that 7 appear to be the same vehicle so that would be the second 8 footwear impression? 9 Α Yes. 10 State's 21, are we continuing to follow the path away Q 11 from the body here? 12 So we're just moving in a southerly direction, but 13 these photographs are being taken from the east side facing 14 west. 15 And then State's 25, is this the last of those series 16 of cones depicting the trail? 17 It was. It appeared to end at carport Space 301. Ιf 18 you'll notice that the carports are marked. 19 Q And 301 is obviously empty; correct? 2.0 Α It's vacant, yes. 21 Due to the fact that you had apparent bloody 22 footprints at the scene, did you along with crime scene 23 analysts document a fire department employee who had gone to check on Kwame to see if he was still alive?

JD Reporting, Inc.

24

25

Α

Yes.

Showing you State's 29, is that the fire personnel that's back there? But is that -- can you tell? Is that the 3 fire personnel that you took prints from? It is. A Showing you State's 30, is that the bottom of one of his boots or one of the photos taken of his boots? So when he's referring to taking prints of -taking photographs to try to line up the treadwear of the 9 footwear to see if, in fact, it was emergency responding 10 personnel that had stepped in the blood. 11 Okay. Now, I'm going to show you 31, and if you 12 could, just reorient the jury where we're looking now. 13 So again going to the south, looking in a northerly direction, just to the left above the cars that are -- there's 15 a couple cars that are backed in. That's that southern carport. Just north of that there's a slight break, and then 16 17 you can pick up with the cones and then that northern carport. 18 So we're just at the south end of the scene looking 19 north. So just to be clear, this cone here by the empty car 21 Space 301 was the end of the trail, and now we're looking back 22 in the direction of where Kwame was; correct?

Α Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

14

2.0

23

24

25

And in the distance there, there are three additional cones that weren't included in the trail; is that right?

1	A That's correct. And that's what I was referring to		
2	is additional points of emphasis or areas of concern.		
3	Q State's 32, are those those same three cones?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q And to the left of those cones, is that the		
6	entrance-exit that we just referenced earlier with the map?		
7	A Yes. Unfortunately it's a little washed out because		
8	of the white light.		
9	Q Showing you State's 33, is that just a different		
10	angle but showing those same three cones in the foreground		
11	here?		
12	A Again, this is on the east side of that front		
13	entryway looking toward the west. You'll see the sound wall in		
14	the distant, which or that separates Sky Pointe Drive from		
15	U.S. 95. And the entrance and the exit sides of that front		
16	entryway are marked by a median with trees.		
17	Q I'm just trying to fix that glare. Bear with me a		
18	second. Well, that's the best I can do.		
19	What do those three cones in the foreground		
20	represent, Detective?		
21	A So the three counts excuse me, the three cones in		
22	the foreground are three parts of the same cell phone.		
23	Q Was that of interest to you?		
24	A Indeed.		
25	Q And why is that?		

- A Because it's potential evidence.
 - Q Showing you State's -- well, before I move on, in that same photo there appears to be two additional cones further down the driveway; is that right?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Does that mark two additional pieces of evidence?
- A It did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q State's 37, is that those same cones?
- A Yes.
 - Q State's 39, what are we looking at here?
 - A So that the red curve that you see at the top of the photo, that is the median that I had referenced in separating the entrance and exit from the main entrance. Just a few inches away, it's not the flossing device. We're focused on the black nitrile glove.
 - Q And that is a torn latex glove, correct, not a complete --
 - A Yes. It may not be quite visible in this photo, but the glove itself is actually torn.
 - Q And then State's 42, is that the other cone?
 - A It is.
 - Q Well, you know what that is; right?
- 23 A A \$10 bill folded in half.
- Q Yeah. You can't really see the 10 on there. There
 we go.

Now I want to bring you back to Mr. Banks's body in Showing you State's 43, is this how the main crime scene. Mr. Banks appeared when you arrived on scene? Α Yes. Was there anything of evidence you value above his body? As it relates to the carport --State's 44? Α You see that little red evidence marker, that was placed there by the crime scene analyst, and it's basically a sticker that has an arrow and then a place for the crime scene analyst to denote it with a letter designation. What you just -- forward of that sticker is actually an apparent bullet hole to the roof or the ceiling, if you will, of the carport. Moving back to Mr. Banks's body, State's 45, based upon your experience, years in the robbery section as well as the homicide section, what initially stood out to you when you looked at Mr. Banks's body? Α It appeared that someone had gone through his pockets. And can you see that in this photo? Unfortunately it's not very clear. I am very aware of these photos.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

JD Reporting, Inc.

right pants pocket, and the internal portion of the pocket has

But centrally located to that photo is a -- is the

been removed, rabbit eared, if you will for an explanation. 1 2 And then just forward of the pocket are a lighter and a 3 Chapstick. Okay. I want to zoom in here a moment, and bear with 4 5 me here. 6 Do you see this little tab here? 7 I do. Α 8 Is that his front pocket, meaning like his thigh? 9 Yeah. I guess I would describe it as right front Α 10 pants pocket. 11 Okay. And then this zipper pocket is a little more 12 on his back or his hip; is that right? 13 I thought that that portion had come out from within Α 14 that right front pants pocket. 15 Okay. And looking at this portion here, can you see 16 that there is some U.S. currency that appears to be behind like 17 a film or a -- some form of material? 18 Yeah. It looks -- it's a little subdued, but that's Α 19 actually U.S. currency. It's a pocket within a pocket. 2.0 And a zipper as well? Q 21 And that mesh is what's used to contain the 22 hidden pocket. 23 Okay. Now, just in the foreground, you indicated 24 there was a lighter and Chapstick; right?

JD Reporting, Inc.

25

Α

Correct.

1	Q	And as I zoom out well, let me just move on to the
2	next photo, and you can orient us.	
3		State's 46, what are we looking at here?
4	А	A cartridge case.
5	Q	Was that just down under where that Chapstick and
6	lighter were located?	
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Just near Mr. Banks's body?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And what was the caliber of that cartridge case?
11	А	.40 caliber.
12	Q	Now we're going to go to State's 48. We're back to
13	Mr. Banks's right pocket area, right hip area. Is that right?	
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	Just for the record.
16		I don't know if this will help you.
17		In this photo can you see the front pocket
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	and the side pocket?
20		And then within the side pocket is the zipper
21	portion;	correct?
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	Okay. What is this right here in the waistband?
24	А	It's not very clear, but it's you can actually see
25	the torso	of Mr. Banks and then the waistband of his pants.

But that little red item that's poking out is actually a 1 2 folding knife, and it's clipped to his waistband, the front 3 portion of his waistband. And it's clearly not open? 4 5 No, it is not open. It is folded and inside the Α 6 waistband. 7 Okay. There's one more of these with the right side, and I'll move on. 8 9 State's 49, let me back out. Now, we're still on the 10 right side of Mr. Banks's body; correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 This would be the front pocket on the top right here, 13 and then it appears now we've moved the U.S. currency to the 14 left, and what are we looking at here? 15 Jewelry and coins. Α Within that what you referred to as a hidden pocket? 16 17 It's in that same area of the pocket. Α 18 Q Understood. Now, State's 51, we have now moved to the other side 19 2.0 of Mr. Banks's body. 21 Yes. We are on the west side of his body. 22 What are we looking at here? Q There is a torn black nitrile glove adjacent to the 23 Α 24 left side of his body.

JD Reporting, Inc.

And is Mr. Banks's left pocket a little bit rabbit

25

eared as well? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Okay. 4 5 6 Yes. Α 7 8 portion of his belly? 9 Α 10 missing. 11 12 13 14 15

- That torn latex or nitrile is the proper term, I guess, glove that we see here, is that the same color as the one or the portion of the one that we saw out by the exit?
- State's 52, what did you note at Kwame's lower
- Well, what -- first off is that his right shoe is

And on the backside of his left leg, you can see some dots. They're actually apparent blood drops.

- Before I move on, typically, where there is a decedent at a crime scene, would crime scene analysts be either directed by you or on their own accord bag that body along with coroner personnel as it is and then transport it for an autopsy?
 - Α Yes, that's the protocol.
- In this particular case, did you and crime scene personnel make the decision to remove the pants from Mr. Banks before placing him into the sealed bag for the autopsy?
 - Yes, we did. Α

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- And was that based upon these blood spots that were of interest to you?
 - Absolutely based upon those. We were trying to Α

preserve that evidence ensuring that there's not going to be commingling of biological material in the chance that the aforementioned blood drops belonged to a suspect and not the victim.

2.0

Q Okay. In addition to your decision to remove the pants before transporting Mr. Banks, showing you State's 53, did you and crime scene analysts not want to disturb any potential biological materials from the pockets who were obviously disturbed?

A Yes. And in that particular matter, if, in fact, somebody had gone into the pants pockets, in this case

Mr. Banks, is that there can be a transfer of skin cells from the suspect to the victim's pocket. So again another potential area for evidence.

Q Moving now to State's 56, what are we looking at here?

A This large structure is actually a support beam for the carport, and then just off to the right and forward, the right front wheel of the aforementioned Toyota Solara is the front portion of Kwame's other shoe.

Q State's 57, what are we looking at here?

A Now, this is the -- in the top left corner of this particular photograph is the same Toyota -- white Toyota Solara. Just between the front-end of that car and the curb to the sidewalk in front of Building 21, there is a black Hardy

cloth glove.

2.0

Q And surrounding the glove are all of these...

A The little dots, not very clearly represented in that photo, but what they actually are are the pea-sized rocks that the then apartment complex used to occupy their landscaped areas, and --

Q I'll show you another photo.

A -- which is just a short distance away.

Q State's 59. That is really washed out. I apologize. Give me your indulgence.

Was there anything of evidentiary note for you in this foreground of this photograph?

A Very much so. So this photo is taken in front of Building 21 looking to the east, and in the bottom of that photograph where the landscape area meets the sidewalk are those small rocks that the apartment complex had used to fill in their landscaped areas. So these small rocks that you see on the asphalt portion are a continuation from the rocks in the landscaped area.

What's extremely noteworthy to me as a detective, as an investigator, is that those rocks also appear to be on the sidewalk suggesting that there was some kind of recent disturbance in the rocks that caused those rocks to move from where they were originally located on to the sidewalk.

Q State's 61, is that that area we were just

describing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes. So we're just turning that photograph around, looking sort of east to west and at the base of that tree and the rocks that surround that tree.

Q And is there something right smack in the middle of that that was of evidentiary concern for you?

A Yes. This will be the second of three cell phones recovered from there. That is a cell phone that's in a case.

Q You indicated that's the second of three cell phones, and I believe earlier we saw the one phone broken into three pieces at the front entrance.

- A Correct.
- O Would that be Cell Phone 1?
- 14 A It is.
 - Q And then the phone in the rocks is the second of three?
- 17 A We just viewed, yes.
 - Q And where was the third cell phone?

A So the third one, if we could go back to the left side of Mr. Banks's body, there was an opportunity that I had to discuss a torn nitrile glove. Well, just forward of that glove, underneath Mr. Banks's left arm was a third black cell phone.

- Q I was hoping I didn't have to go back, but I will.
- A Sorry.

Q No, it's -- one second.

For now, Detective, let me refer you to 51. Can you, even if you can't see it in this photo, was the cell phone tucked under Mr. Banks's body, kind of near his arm pit area?

A It was.

2.0

Q Okay. And I apologize. I think it's in another stack, and I want to save some time.

All of those items of evidentiary value that you just referenced, were those collected by a crime scene analyst and impounded under Event Number 1702214563?

A Most of them were. The cell phones were actually collected by my partner, Detective Cook.

Q And what was the purpose of collecting those separately from the other evidence at the crime scene?

A So part of our process as homicide detectives is that we come out, and there is a division of labor that occurs on these scenes. And what I mean by that is that after we receive a briefing from the patrol officers and the patrol detectives of the preliminary investigation, we respond as many detectives as our sergeant or our supervisor deems necessary for that particular investigation.

And then amongst the detectives, as instructed by Detective Cook and myself, is that we give different people assignments.

In this particular case, I wanted to take possession

Q And we've discussed everything that's been taken from the crime scene. Were there a couple items that were not at the crime scene that you thought might or should have been there? And I'll refer you to a car key or car keys.

A One of the things that we did not find spilled out, and then subsequently at autopsies we never found a key fob, you know, at that particular moment with the possibility that Mr. Banks had a vehicle.

Q Okay. So at the time, just so the jury is clear, when you're looking at this crime scene, you don't know one way or another if Mr. Banks had arrived in a vehicle. Fair enough?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. And I want to bring you kind of into the next morning. And you're at the scene for several hours. Is that fair?

A Yes.

2.0

Q Do you, after looking at the initial crime scene, then canvass the area for potential video surveillance around the apartments?

A Not just additional sources of video surveillance, but also other witnesses.

Q Let's refer to video surveillance first. With regard to that, did you locate any video surveillance cameras within

our area?

2.0

A Yes. The video surveillance that we did recover from 5850 Sky Pointe Drive was limited to the office, which as it relates to the crime scene is east and north of it, and it's actually in line with the front entryway.

The front office I believe had two cameras. One depicted the interior of their office and directed its view, if you will, to the front door. And then the second one I believe was an exterior camera, but unfortunately it only depicted the rear looking to the east, which is the pool area. So areas of potential liability to the apartment complex.

Q With regard to the one camera that faced toward the entrance area, was that an interior camera?

A Yes. It's an interior camera with a primary focus of the interior of the front office.

Q But in the backdrop can you see kind of the front door which would point towards the entry exit of the apartment complex?

A Yes. But unfortunately there's a slight elevation change. So its job is to depict the front door, people coming in and out. Sometimes in cases like that we believe that it will overshoot, especially if there's glass in the door and allow us to depict some of the exterior portion, but it is limited, especially at night.

Q And unfortunately in this case, did that basically

not give you anything of evidentiary value? 1 2 There was nothing to be gleaned from it. 3 Q No other cameras in the area that you found or 4 anything such as that? 5 Unfortunately, no. 6 You indicated that you canvassed the area for 7 witnesses as well? 8 Α Correct. 9 What, just generally, what is a witness canvass? 10 Meaning you're knocking on doors? 11 That's what we're doing, and unfortunately sometimes 12 it happens at 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning. 13 Okay. Were there numerous ear witnesses to this 0 14 event? 15 Yes. And what that means is witnesses that heard, Α 16 but didn't see what had happened. 17 Some that did see it a little bit or a portion of the 18 event? 19 Α Correct. 2.0 And then I want to draw your specific attention to 21 Apartment 2003, going back to State's 8. Did you and other 22 officers attempt to make contact with the occupant or occupants 23 of 2003? 24 Α Yes. 25 Where is that located on this diagram? 0

A And if I can draw your attention back to Building 21.
Building 21, it consists of almost two halves. The southern
half of it covers Apartments 1001 -- or correction, 1001, 1002,
1003, 1004.

And then repeating that process for the second floor.
So 2003 is located on the second floor of that southern portion
of 21, so just below the actual number of 21. And the

And then repeating that process for the second floor So 2003 is located on the second floor of that southern portion of 21, so just below the actual number of 21. And the apartment is west facing. So it faces — windows or the balcony portion of that particular apartment faces Sky Pointe Drive.

Q Okay. So it doesn't face our crime scene, but you did canvass it, tried to make contact with the witnesses there; correct?

A Yes. Because that building is the building that presents itself to where our victim was shot. So that would be the most likely source of potential witnesses.

- Q Did anyone answer the door at Apartment 2003?
- A No, no one did.
- Q And later in your investigation, did you learn that a person by the name of Carnell Cave lived at that apartment?
 - A We did.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q There were two witnesses who were interviewed in the early morning hours named Dereka Nelson and Jakhai Smith?
 - A Correct.
 - Q And real briefly, they were the occupants of 2008,

and I'm showing you State's 64; and 2005, State's 68? 1 2 Correct. 3 And did you glean information from those two folks? 4 Α Yes. 5 Did that support your theory that a robbery had Q 6 potentially occurred? 7 Α Yes. 8 Based upon what you had at that point in time, did 9 you attempt to make contact with or identify the victim's next 10 of kin or decedent's next of kin? As we are now transitioning into the next day? 11 12 Okay. Q Yes. 13 Yes, that will take place. Yeah. 14 And did you make contact with a person by the Okay. Q 15 name of Tiffany Seymour? 16 I did not, but going back to the delegation of work, 17 that division of labor, is one of my co-detectives met with her 18 and conducted an interview. 19 I assume that when you're delegating that other 2.0 detectives are conveying information back to you, and your -- I 21 mean, you're having an open line of communication with other 22 detectives working your case; correct? 23 Oh, without a doubt. And sometimes that Α 24 communication is realtime. 25 Did you learn through the course of your fellow

detective's interview of Tiffany that Kwame had sold marijuana? 1 2 Α Yes. And that's kind of what he did. 3 Did you learn that a person by the name or a phone 4 5 contact by the name of POE ATL had placed an order with Kwame 6 that -- earlier that evening? 7 MS. TRUJILLO: Objection, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Approach. 9 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 10 BY MR. GIORDANI: 11 And, Detective, do you recall my question, or should 12 I restate it? 13 I do recall. And that's correct. 14 So you learn through Tiffany that Kwame sold 15 This person or contact by the name of POE ATL had 16 placed an order and that Kwame had left their home to meet POE 17 ATL at approximately 10:15? 18 That's correct. 19 Did you also learn he had driven -- Kwame had driven 2.0 a vehicle? 21 Α Yes. 22 Is that the first time that you realize there Q 23 should've been a vehicle associated with him at the scene? 24 Α Indeed. 25 Based upon all that information you received, did 0

you, yourself, attempt to locate the victim's vehicle? 1 2 That's correct. 3 And did Ms. Seymour give you the make and model so you could know what you're looking for? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 While we're going through these photos, Detective, 7 did you learn that Mr. Banks drew -- drove a Nissan Altima 8 bearing California plates 7PME614? 9 Α Yes. And it's black in color. 10 MR. GIORDANI: I believe by stipulation we are going 11 to move to admit 117 through 139. 12 MS. TRUJILLO: That's correct. 13 THE COURT: All right. Those will all be admitted. 14 (State's Exhibit Number(s) 117-139 admitted.) 15 BY MR. GIORDANI: 16 And before I publish those, Detective, I think I 17 skipped a step. Before going to canvass for the victim's 18 vehicle, did you attend the autopsy of Kwame Banks? 19 Α I did. 2.0 During the course of attending that autopsy, did you 21 see the bullet holes or apparent bullet holes to his chest? 22 Α Yes. 23 And then did you obtain, you and crime scene analysts 24 obtain a buccal swab from Kwame Banks? 25 Α Yes.

1 And a buccal swab is a way that we get our DNA 2 samples. 3 So crime scene analysts would have swabbed the inside 4 of Kwame's cheek? 5 Α Correct. 6 Now, moving to February 23rd, so the following day, 7 did you go out and basically drive around and look for that 8 vehicle? 9 Α That's what we did. 10 Show you State's 6. Did your canvass for the vehicle Q 11 include what's depicted in the aerial map here? 12 Α Yes. 13 And where did you ultimately locate that vehicle? 14 So we located the vehicle at the top of this map, Α 15 almost centrally located. It's denoted as 7495 West Azure 16 Drive. 17 And that general area, is that kind of a business 18 center? 19 Α I would describe it as like a business complex, yes. And is that adjacent to houses? 2.0 Q 21 There appears to be a residential area to the south. Α 22 All right. And just for the jury's reference, 23 approximately how far away is where the victim's vehicle 24 located from 5850 Sky Pointe? 25 I'd say that's less than half a mile.

JD Reporting, Inc.

Α

Okay. I want to show you State's 117. What are we 1 2 looking at here? 3 So where I located the vehicle or where Darin and I Α 4 located the vehicle, 7495 West Azure Drive, the particular 5 building that it's next to, this is the west side of that 6 building. So we are almost at the western end of this business 7 complex. 8 And that first parking spot where you see the black sedan that does not appear to have license plates, that's the 9 10 victim's vehicle. 11 Okay. I'm going to back up to State's 6. 12 generally, are we talking about the left side of this building 13 on the map? 14 The left side of the west side of that building Α Yes. 15 where you're marking with your pen. 16 This business complex here, is there one Got it. main thoroughfare for vehicles or more than one? 17 18 Α No. You can actually access this business complex 19 from multiple locations along Azure Drive. 2.0 Q Then there's one thoroughfare entryway just to the 21 right of the building in the map? 22 Α That's correct. 23 When you came upon the victim's vehicle, you 24 indicated it did not have plates on it at that time; correct? 25 Α Correct.

Q State's 118. Is that how it appeared when you came upon it?

2.0

A Yes. And not just to the rear of the vehicle. There wasn't a license plate on the front end of the vehicle either.

Q Okay. Immediately upon observing this vehicle, did you make some observations?

A Yes. Well, as you — it's not necessarily — I mean, other than the fact that these license plates are removed from the vehicle and you can see that typically this vehicle has a license plate on it because there's so much residue and dirt behind there, so that's not typically how the vehicle is going to present itself.

Then as you get closer, you begin to see, in my case that day was that there was areas of like almost for lack of a better term, scorch marks along the top roofed portion where the doors connected with the roof.

Q And showing you State's 121, what are we looking at here?

A So this is actually the left front or driver's door, and it's closed. And at the bottom there appears to be remnants of some kind of liquid or something that's dripped to the ground.

Q 122, what have we got there?

A This is the rear end of the vehicle and that same dripping of sorts.

Could you see inside the vehicle? 1 2 No. At certain parts of the windows were smoked. Α 3 There was actually obstructed by apparent smoke. 4 Okay. As a result of your observations on the scene Q 5 and the scorch marks, did you and crime scene analysts cause 6 that car to be towed back to the crime scene lab? 7 Correct. 8 Just real briefly, 123, is that the vehicle back at 9 the lab? 10 So this vehicle is now inside the LVMPD crime Α Yes. 11 lab parking area. 12 State's 124, is that a better shot of the scorch 13 marks you were referring to earlier? 14 And this is going to be the right side of that Α 15 vehicle. 16 Was that vehicle thoroughly searched by crime Okay. 17 scene analysts? 18 And myself and Detective Cook. Α 19 And in addition, did you enlist arson investigators? 2.0 Yes. And because the -- where the vehicle was 21 located, we enlisted the help of the Las Vegas Fire and Rescue 22 Investigators. 23 Did you ever locate a key fob anywhere within that 24 vehicle? 25 There was pretty significant damage in the interior

JD Reporting, Inc.

Α

of the vehicle, and we never located an apparent fob. 1 2 And did you also check the trunk of that vehicle? 3 Yes. As part of the search of the entire vehicle, we would be remiss if we didn't look in the trunk. 4 5 State's 125, is that the trunk as it appeared when 6 you opened it, or you and crime scene analysts opened it? 7 Α Yes. 8 A couple, like, kids toys down here. There's a 9 football and then an old salad in the back? 10 And a frisbee and a bag of bags. 11 Okay. Excuse me. And then State's 126, that's the 12 other angle, the left side of the trunk? 13 Correct. Α 14 Fair to say that this particular bag didn't contain a 15 large quantity of marijuana? 16 Α No. 17 And there was, in fact, no bags of marijuana found 18 within the vehicle? 19 We recovered no marijuana from the vehicle. 2.0 Just real briefly, State's 127, is that the interior 21 of the vehicle of the front? 22 Α With the driver's door open. 23 State's 128, the interior driver compartment? 24 Α Yes. 25 State's 129, more of the front seat of the driver Q

compartment?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A And the right front passenger seat as well.
- Q State's 130, the right passenger seat?
- A Correct.
- Q And then 133, the rear seating area?
- 6 A Correct.
 - Q Detective, what is an off-line search?
 - A And off-line search as through the Las Vegas

 Metropolitan Police Department is that we can reach out to our

 communications bureau and ask them has a particular item or in

 some cases a person been run or checked through law enforcement

 records within a particular date range.
 - Q Did you ultimately conduct an off-line search to determine whether that vehicle had been run at some point?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did you learn that it had been run by a black and white -- well, by a police officer?
 - A Yes. We learned that it was run by a Metro employee.
 - Q And was that -- the time that he ran that close in time -- well, when was it?
 - A It was actually on February 21st, 2017, right before midnight.
 - Q Okay.
 - A Or actually, you know what, take that back. I believe it was into the 22nd, minutes into the 22nd of

1 February. 2 Okay. Either way, very close in time to when the 3 9-1-1 call is out -- calls came out? Indeed. 4 Α 5 At that point in time, obviously there would be no 6 notification that there's a vehicle involved in this case; 7 right? 8 Yeah. Unfortunately, it took us, you know, almost 24 9 hours to find out that there was a vehicle in play. 10 When you learned that there was a vehicle in play, 11 you ultimately would have entered that vehicle into your 12 databases so other officers who pull it over could -- would be 13 aware it might be involved in a homicide? 14 Not only would we, but we did. Α 15 Okay. But at the point in time when this patrol 16 officer comes upon this vehicle, you hadn't done that yet? 17 Α No. 18 Did you go out, learn who that officer was and Q 19 conduct an interview with him? 2.0 It was a K-9 unit by the name of Mel English. Α Yes. 21 And did Mr. -- Officer English --22 Now retired; correct? 23 He is. Α 24 Did retired Officer English indicate that when he Q

JD Reporting, Inc.

came upon the vehicle there was a black male that he saw near

25

the vehicle?

2.0

A Yes.

Q But when he proceeded to the vehicle, there was a white SUV leaving?

A Correct.

Q As a result of those statements, did you pull video from -- let me go back to State's 6 -- all of these locations that are marked with yellow dots? And I'm excluding the crime scene 5850 at the bottom here, but all of these locations here?

A Yes. If I could -- if I could just make one correction though.

Q Sure.

A The seeking out of the video surveillance wasn't because of finding out the information on the off-line search.

Q Okay.

A When Darin and I found that vehicle, the first thing that we did after ensuring that it was unoccupied was to go to that neighboring building where it's parked next to and look for sources of video surveillance. Unfortunately, none of the businesses had video that depicted any portion of the parking lot from that business.

So the next best thing is across the street we have two very sizable car dealerships, a Volkswagen dealership and a Honda dealership, and we believed that it would be likely that those companies would actually have cameras that would probably

catch Azure and just beyond perhaps into the business complex.

So sorry about that little note. I just wanted to make sure that we clarify that.

- Q No, I appreciate that. And the one that you said unfortunately didn't have cameras is the building that's actually right next to the vehicle; correct?
- A Yeah. So 7495 at that particular time was devoid of any video surveillance, again, which depicted the parking lot, especially that west side parking lot. There are other businesses within the complex that have video, but would not have depicted that portion because it is a fairly lengthy business complex.
- Q And that vehicle, although it did not have plates on it, the registered owner of that vehicle was, in fact, Kwame Banks; correct?
 - A It is, yes.
- Q Without going into too much detail because we have another witness for videos, but did you review the majority -- or the entirety of the videos that were obtained from those locations?
 - A Yes.
- Q And did you develop a suspect vehicle at that point in time?
- 24 A Yes.

2.0

O What kind of vehicle was that?

1 It appeared to be a white newer model, almost a 2 midsize SUV. 3 Okay. Based upon what you saw in the video, did you have a -- or did you form the conclusion that whoever was in 4 5 that SUV had picked up the black male adult who had dropped off 6 that vehicle? 7 Α Correct. 8 So did you then attempt to at a later time, I mean, Q 9 identify that video -- vehicle? 10 Α Yes. 11 I'm stepping over my words here. I'm sorry. 12 I want to move now to the following day, February 13 24th of 2017. On that date did you receive the phone 14 examination information for those three phones you referenced 15 that were found at the scene? 16 Yes. 17 The phone in the rocks that's, like, 5 or 6 feet away 18 from the body, was that phone at that time determined to be 19 locked and was unable to be accessed? 2.0 Α The technicians were unable to get into the Yes. 21 phone because of its encryption device. 22 Okay. As a result of that, were you or DFL 23 detectives able to just pop the little SIM card out of the 24 phone and at least get an ID number for the phone? 25 Α All of the forensic examinations occurred by the DFL.

At the time it was CFL, the computer forensics lab, which has just changed to the digital forensics lab.

But, yes, the technicians there were able to access what is known as an ICC ID, which is the integrated circuit card identifier which is basically the serial number to a SIM card. So although they couldn't get into the actual device itself, they were able to access the SIM card, get the serial number for that, which was extremely useful in this investigation.

- Q Equipped with that information, the ICC ID, did you also know the phone company associated with that particular phone?
 - A I did.

2.0

- Q And would that be stamped on the SIM card as well?
- A I'd have to -- I mean, every one might be a little bit different, but I believe it's denoted somewhere on the card itself.
- Q Well, let me just ask you this: As a result of the ICC ID that was initially pulled from that phone from the rocks, did you cause subpoenas or search warrants to be issued for phone numbers associated with that ICC ID?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did you receive back during the course of your investigation Sprint cell phone records associated with that ICC ID and a particular phone number?

1	А	Yes. Basically the subscriber information.
2		MR. GIORDANI: May I approach?
3		THE COURT: You may.
4	BY MR. GIO	ORDANI:
5	Q	I'm showing you State's 2, but just the second and
6	third page	e, not the disc. Does that appear to be a portion of
7	what you	received back from Sprint, reference that ICC ID we
8	just talk	ed about?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And is that a phone that's registered to a particular
11	person?	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And who is that?
14	А	Larry Brown.
15	Q	And is there an Atlanta, Georgia, address associated
16	with Mr. 1	Brown there?
17	А	There is.
18	Q	With regard to well, I'm sorry. Before I move on,
19	let me sh	ow you State's 2, page 2 of the written document.
20	Sorry, pag	ge 2. Is this the subscriber info sheet associated
21	with phone	e number (404)808-2233?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And then is this the account billing address and
24	subscribe	r you just referenced?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	Now, there were two other phones that were taken from
2	the crime	scene, one underneath the victim's body and one
3	broken in	the driveway; correct?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	Did you receive information back with regard to those
6	from your	DFL detectives?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And just for the record, DFL, digital forensic lab?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	Did the digital forensic lab detectives convey the
11	information	on or the cell phone numbers associated with those two
12	phones to	you as well?
13	А	Yes, they did.
14	Q	And then did you ultimately cause subpoenas or search
15	warrants ·	to issue to Verizon?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And were those phones (702)277-4856 and
18	(702) 755-2	2805?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And were those phones during the course of your
21	investiga [.]	tion connected to the victim Kwame Banks?
22	А	Yes, they were.
23	Q	Did you review the DFL returns or the reports
24	associate	d with those two phones?
25	А	I did.

1	Q	Did both of those phones have a contact saved in them
2	by the na	ame of POE ATL?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And that contact, was that associated with phone
5	number (7	702) 510-2072?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	As a result of finding that in the victim's phones,
8	did you d	cause subpoenas and/or search warrants to be issued to
9	T-Mobile?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And was T-Mobile the company that was associated with
12	the POE A	ATL 510-2072 number?
13	А	It was.
14	Q	Showing you State's 3, but I'm showing you the second
15	page, not	the disc, for the record, is that the T-Mobile return
16	that you	received in response to your request?
17	А	Yes, showing subscriber information.
18	Q	And is that who is the subscriber for this phone?
19	А	Anthony Carter.
20	Q	And does that have a Las Vegas address associated
21	with it?	
22	А	It does.
23	Q	Page 2, this is a T-Mobile record we're referring to
24	now. The	e last one was Sprint; right?
25	А	Correct.

This is the record for, bear with me, (702) 581-2072? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. And then the subscriber, you indicated Anthony Carter 3 Q 4 just under that? 5 Α Yes. Did you also learn during your course of requesting 6 7 records from Verizon, which is the third company associated 8 with the victim's phones, that he had a third phone, (702)786-9811?9 10 Α Yes. 11 And did you also follow your procedures we've been 12 talking about for those phones from Verizon? 13 Α Yes. 14 With regard to the (702)510-2072 associated with Q 15 Anthony Carter, did you ever locate the actual physical device? 16 No. And just as a matter of note that the subscriber 17 paperwork you just showed me I believe was the 581 number, not 18 the 510 number. 19 Q Let me back up. 2.0 The T-Mobile, Detective? 21 Α Yes. 22 State's Exhibit 3, that's the 581 number you said? Q 23 Yeah. I thought we were -- sorry. I'm just trying Α 24 to make sure that I've got all my numbers right. 25 0 I understand.

Sorry. The 581-2072 does come back to an Anthony 1 Α 2 Carter. 3 Q Okay. We're on the same page here? Yes. Sorry about that. 4 Α 5 That's all right. Q 6 Α There's a lot of numbers. 7 Q I'm with you. 8 So to be clear, the phone associated with Anthony 9 Carter, the physical device, you never located that in the 10 course of your investigation? 11 No. Unfortunately it has never been located. 12 Okay. Did you, however, ultimately execute a search 13 warrant on Anthony Carter's residence? 14 Α Yes. 15 And during the course of the execution of that search 16 warrant, was there a box for a cell phone with the same make and model as the records that we have just referenced? 17 18 Α It appeared to be the same make and model, but 19 unfortunately the box was empty. 2.0 Got it. Did you also make efforts to obtain cell 21 phone records associated with Carnell Cave? 22 A Yes. 23 And so the jurors recall, is that the individual 24 associated with Apartment 2003? 25 Α So it's in the same building, Apartment 21, Yes.

just on the southern half if you will.

- Q Showing you State's 4, page 2, not showing you the disc contained on page 1, is that the records returned associated with Carnell Cave from T-Mobile?
 - A Yes, it is.
- Q And just so the record is clear, we're talking about T-Mobile return for phone number (702)517-3499; is that right?
 - A Correct.

2.0

- Q And that's Carnell Cave with a Las Vegas address as the subscriber?
 - A Yes.
- Q Once you received all these various phone records back, do you, as a homicide detective, along with your partner look at those records and attempt to find associations between the various numbers?
 - A Yes.
- Q During the course of that review -- can I assume it took a while?
 - A There was quite a bit of data.
- Q Okay. Can we, just to kind of streamline things, can you confirm the victim's phones had no communication with the phone associated with Larry Brown, the 404 number?
- A Yes. In the date range that the records were sought, there did not appear to be any communication between the phones, the two, the 755 and the 277 number with Larry Brown's

1 number. 2 Okay. And I apologize. I'm going to step back a 3 I may have misspoken earlier. You indicated you were having some issues with the number associated with Anthony 4 5 Carter. 6 Α I was, yes. 7 To be clear, the contact saved in the victim's phone 8 says POE ATL? 9 Α Correct. 10 Q That would have been the same number that you saw the 11 records for? 12 Α Yes. 13 So if I misspoke and said 510, I was wrong? Q 14 Yeah, I'm sorry. It was just --Α 15 No. Thank you for catching that. Q 16 -- turning it around in my mind, and there was Α 17 something that wasn't right. 18 Thank you for catching that. Q 19 To be clear, the contact saved in the victim's phone 2.0 as POE ATL was (702)581-2072? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Thank you. 23 During the -- so you've clarified that the victim's

JD Reporting, Inc.

records don't have communication with Larry, with the Larry

Brown associated phone; correct?

24

25

That's correct. 1 Α 2 Did you also look to see if the phone associated with 3 Anthony Carter had contacts with any other people? Α 4 Yes. 5 And what were you kind of focusing on? What time 6 frame are you focusing on when you're looking at this? 7 In terms of the date range? 8 Yes. Q 9 Or -- obviously at the day of the 21st because when 10 the murder occurs, it's at the, pretty much the end of the day. 11 So we as detectives are interested in the days leading up that 12 day, and, of course, even afterward. 13 Okay. When looking at the victim's phones, was it 14 apparent to you that he was frequently communicating with 15 Anthony Carter in the moments leading up -- I mean, in the 16 hours leading up to his death? 17 Indeed. Α 18 Were there, upon review of Mr. Carter's records, other contacts with another number that kind of intermingled 19 2.0 with the contacts with the victim? 21 Α Yes. 22 And was that the phone (404)808-2233? Q 23 Yes, which was Larry Brown's number. Α Okay. Did you also note, armed with the information 24 Q

JD Reporting, Inc.

that Carnell Cave didn't answer his door, that Mr. Carter had

25

some communications with Carnell Cave in his phone records? 1 2 That's correct. 3 Based upon what you reviewed in the phone records, 4 and I'm not going to go into detail of the calls and the texts, 5 but did you obtain search warrants from a Judge, not 6 necessarily this Judge, but from a Judge in Clark County for 7 three different residences? 8 Α Yes. 9 And were those residences 6828 Rosinwood? 10 Α Yes. 11 And whose residence was that? 12 Anthony Carter. Α 13 During the course of the execution of that search Q 14 warrant, were there items of potential evidentiary value found? 15 Α Yes. 16 And what were those items generally? 17 Namely marijuana and a 9 millimeter semiautomatic Α 18 handgun. 19 Okay. You had indicated earlier that there weren't 2.0 9 millimeter cartridge cases found at the scene; correct? 21 They were .40 caliber. 22 So when you find that firearm at Mr. Carter's 23 residence, you're not concerned it's the murder weapon; fair? 24 Α Yes, but, you know, we have to make sure that we take 25 a double look at that. That's important.

1	Q 0:	f course. Of course. And let me back up a minute
2	because I tl	hink I skipped over something, and correct me if I'm
3	wrong.	
4	I	showed you a photo earlier of a single cartridge
5	case at the	scene near Mr. Banks's body?
6	A Co	orrect.
7	Q Wa	as there another cartridge case that was found?
8	A Ye	es.
9	QI	apologize. Where was that located?
10	A I	t was caught up in his clothing, and it wasn't until
11	later on the	at we were able when he was moved that we were
12	able to loca	ate it. It's a it's a different head stamp,
13	meaning it's	s a different manufacturer of ammunition, but it's
14	the same cal	liber, .40 caliber.
15	Oı	ne was Winchester, and then the other one was
16	Federal.	
17	QI	apologize.
18	М	R. GIORDANI: Should we approach? Can we approach?
19	T	HE COURT: Sure.
20		(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
21	M	R. GIORDANI: May I approach the witness?
22	T	HE COURT: You may.
23	BY MR. GIOR	DANI:
24	Q SI	howing you State's 98 and 99, do you recognize
25	those?	

1 Α I do. 2 And what are we looking at there? 3 So one is a 90-degree photograph to Mr. Banks's torso from a little higher elevation. 4 5 And then the other one is a closer photograph 6 depicting a cartridge case -- that means a spent round --7 that's on his chest. 8 MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I'd move for the admission of 9 those. 10 THE COURT: Subject to the record, those will be 11 admitted. (State's Exhibit Number(s) 98-99 admitted.) 12 13 MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. 14 MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Judge. 15 BY MR. GIORDANI: 16 State's 98. Describe what we're looking at here. 17 So this is a 90 degree photograph of Mr. Banks's 18 torso, his shirt, undershirt, jacket lifted up to expose the 19 torso. On the left and right-hand side you have his nipples. 2.0 In between there you have an apparent gunshot wound. And then in between the gunshot wound and the left nipple is a cartridge 21 22 case. 23 State's 99, that's a close-up of that cartridge case 24 with evidence marker?

JD Reporting, Inc.

25

Α

Correct.

Just to be clear, Mr. Banks obviously was found face 1 2 down at the scene? 3 Α Yes, he was. And ultimately his body is flipped, and then you 4 5 locate this second cartridge case; correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 You indicated previously that these two cartridge cases at the scene were the same caliber. What was that 8 caliber? 9 10 .40. Α 11 And you indicated also that they were of different 12 head stamps. What does that mean, briefly? 13 So a head stamp on ammunition, where the primary is, Α 14 is the manufacturer of the ammunition and then the caliber of 15 the ammunition. So in this case, the two cartridge cases that 16 are found, one is Federal; so the manufacturer is Federal. 17 other one was Winchester; so the manufacturer is Winchester. 18 And then below is the caliber because firearms come in multiple 19 calibers. 2.0 Got it. So essentially these were made by different 21 companies, but they're the same size? 22 Α Yes. 23 THE COURT: Are we done with the picture? 24 MR. GIORDANI: Yes. I apologize. 25

1	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
2	Q	So you had indicated earlier that a search warrant
3	was execu	ted on Anthony Carter's residence. There was
4	marijuana	found and then a 9mm firearm found at his residence;
5	correct?	
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	In addition to that was a buccal swab taken from
8	Mr. Carte	r's cheek?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Did you also execute a search warrant at 5850 Sky
11	Pointe Dr	ive, Building 21, Apartment 2003?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Was that was Carnell Cave home when that warrant
14	was executed?	
15	А	He was.
16	Q	Okay. Within that apartment, was there marijuana as
17	well?	
18	А	Marijuana was recovered.
19	Q	And was a buccal swab or a cheek swab taken from
20	Mr. Carnell Cave as well?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Did you also execute a search warrant on 2520 Sierra
23	Bello Ave	nue, Unit 103?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And did you associate that residence with Mr. Larry

1	Brown?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	As well as a person by the name of Angelisa Ryder?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	When that search warrant was executed, was Ms. Ryder
6	home at t	the time?
7	А	She was.
8	Q	Was Mr. Brown home at the time?
9	А	He was not.
10	Q	Okay. Within the driveway of the Sierra Bello
11	address,	what did you find?
12	А	A white 2015 Jeep Compass, which is a midsize SUV.
13	Q	Okay. As a result of your investigation in May
14	of 2017,	did you issue arrest warrants for Larry Brown and
15	Anthony C	Carter?
16	А	Yes, for Larry Brown. I can't remember when it was
17	done for	Anthony Carter. I don't well, go ahead.
18	Q	Ultimately, with regard to Mr. Brown, did you enlist
19	the servi	ces of the FBI to attempt to locate him?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	To take him into custody.
22		And ultimately did you learn the FBI took him into
23	custody c	n June 29th, 2017, in Georgia?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Once you have Mr. Brown and Mr. Carter in custody, do

1	you do wh	at we refer to as forensic follow-up requests?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Did you do requests on various items of evidence
4	collected	from various scenes for fingerprints?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Ultimately, is the only fingerprint that comes back a
7	victim fi	ngerprint?
8	А	Yes. Unfortunately the only suitable latent print
9	came back	to Mr. Banks.
10	Q	And was that from his vehicle, the burnt vehicle?
11	А	Yes, it was.
12	Q	So not much evidentiary value from prints.
13		Did you cause requests to go to the DNA forensic lab
14	of Metro	for DNA examination on several items of evidentiary
15	value?	
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Ultimately well, that initial request, at the time
18	you made	the initial DNA request, did you only have the DNA or
19	buccal sw	ab of Mr. Carter and the victim Mr. Banks?
20	А	That is correct.
21	Q	Once Mr. Brown is arrested in Georgia, do you
22	ultimatel	y make contact with him to obtain a buccal swab?
23	А	Yes.
24		MR. GIORDANI: May I approach?
25		THE COURT: Sure.

1 BY MR. GIORDANI: 2 Showing you State's 304, who is that? Q 3 Α Larry Brown. MR. GIORDANI: And I'd move for the admission is 304. 4 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 MS. TRUJILLO: No objection. 7 THE COURT: All right. 304 is admitted. 8 (State's Exhibit Number(s) 304 admitted.) 9 MR. GIORDANI: Do you --10 This might be a good time --THE COURT: 11 MR. GIORDANI: I have, like, three questions left. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Sure. 13 MR. GIORDANI: I can just wrap it up. 14 THE COURT: We'll finish up, and then before cross 15 we'll take a break. 16 MR. GIORDANI: Okay. 17 BY MR. GIORDANI: 18 Do you see Mr. Larry Brown here in the courtroom? 19 Α I do. 2.0 Can you please point to that person and describe an 21 article of clothing he's wearing today. 22 Yes. He's wearing a black suit, blue shirt and a multicolored tie. 23 MR. GIORDANI: Would the record reflect 24 25 identification of the defendant?

1		THE COURT: It will.
2	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
3	Q	And you indicated that you obtained and impounded a
4	buccal sw	ab from his cheek as well?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	After doing that and obtaining a buccal swab from
7	Mr. Cave,	did you submit a subsequent request to the DNA lab
8	for furth	er DNA comparison?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	In addition, did you submit a firearm examinations
11	request,	or was one submitted for the two cartridge cases found
12	at the sc	ene to compare them with one another?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And did you ultimately submit for an additional
15	search wa	rrant and search of that phone that was found in the
16	rocks wit	h the (404)808-2233 number?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And was that done through the digital forensics lab
19	at Metro?	
20	А	It was.
21		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Detective.
22		And at this time I'll pass.
23		THE COURT: All right. Before we go into cross,
24	let's go	ahead and take our afternoon recess.
25		Ladies and gentlemen, we'll go ahead and take a

recess, just about to 2:45.

2.0

During the brief recess, you're all reminded you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium, and please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

Please leave your notepads in your chairs and follow the bailiff through the double doors.

And then, Detective, please don't discuss your testimony with anybody else during the break.

(Jury recessed at 2:31 p.m.)

THE COURT: As soon as the door shuts.

MS. TRUJILLO: I'd like to make a record --

THE COURT: I know. I'm waiting for the door to

shut.

MS. TRUJILLO: No. I meant without the presence of --

THE COURT: What?

MS. TRUJILLO: Without the presence of...

THE COURT: Okay. The detective can stay. We're not really going to be talking about you. So you can stay.

THE WITNESS: If Monica wants to kick me out, I'll leave.

MS. TRUJILLO: You can hang out all day.

THE COURT: All right. I wanted to put on the record there was an objection to some of the photos made by the defense.

I think you were objecting to the two with the cartridge casing.

MS. TRUJILLO: Well, I'm going to start from my -- my first.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

MS. TRUJILLO: So my first objection was to the big group of photos involving the crime scene, and it's kind of the same objection. I think it's cumulative, and they're pretty gruesome. I understand that we are desensitized, but they still are pictures of a dead body with blood, and I think that some of them portray, you know, just different angles of other things that are zoomed in. But I understand the Court said that there needs to be context. So that was my first objection.

The second objection was for that this detective learned information from Detective Cook that was relayed to Detective Cook by Tiffany Seymour. So my objection obviously was hearsay and, in fact, double hearsay. And then the State said it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but instead for what happened next in the investigation. And then the Court ruled that it was admissible.

1 And then my third --

2.0

THE COURT: For that purpose only.

MS. TRUJILLO: Correct.

And then my -- oh --

MR. STORMS: And just for the record, Judge, on that issue, ultimately, after that happened, they went into the search for the car, and the POE ATL was who was involved really didn't have much to do with what they were going towards. There's a case — there was a unpublished case by the Nevada Supreme Court, Santana versus State, Number 655144, citing Ocampo versus Vail, 649, F.3D 1098, which is a Ninth Circuit case saying the confrontation applies to out—of—court statements when the jury can infer facts from them like this. And, you know, the idea of using what happens next for that when it ultimately wasn't a significant fact is prejudicial to us.

THE COURT: And didn't -- didn't -- we already had a witness though that testified live about the same information.

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

THE COURT: So they'd already heard it. You'd already had a right to confront the original source of the information and cross-examine the original source of the information, and it was offered just with this detective to say what he did next.

On the photos, anything else on the photos?

MS. TRUJILLO: And then my third objection was to State's 98, which depicted the cartridge case on Kwame Banks's stomach which I thought was excessively gruesome.

2.0

THE COURT: Well, first of all, I don't think the photos were very gruesome. Most of the crime scene body photos were taken at some distance, and you couldn't really see much blood or anything.

The one more gory photo, I don't recall the number, but where you can see the blood trail, Mr. Giordani said the point of that was that's where you had the footprints. So you have to establish the proximity through the blood and the location of the body. So to me that seemed relevant.

On the cartridge casing and location of the cartridge casing is, of course, relevant, and it is what it is. I mean, he happened to be on top of the cartridge casing. So it's going to be embedded into his stomach. I mean, you can't change the way the evidence comes out. And like I said, you know, that's unusual, yes. Usually they're scattered about, but the fact that he happened to be on top of it and it kind of pushed into his stomach, that's how it was.

And again, I didn't find the, you know, as murder cases go, these pictures were not unduly gory or gruesome in any way. There's not a lot of blood.

And as I already said, Mr. Giordani pointed out the relevance of the blood is to put proximity for the footprint

that's going to come up later over defense's objection, but, you know, it's coming in, and so they get to show where it is.

Anything else the State wants to say about the photos?

MR. GIORDANI: Three quick points. Murder is gruesome. It is what it is.

Second, I have already narrowed down the photographs substantially, and I think I made that record earlier, but I just want to be clear. There are, you know, hundreds of crime scene photos that show different angles of the victim's body, and I narrowed it down to as many as I thought was appropriate to show the evidence was scattered around him.

Third, the two photos that do show the cart case in his chest, one shows the location of it. It's a further out photo.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

MR. GIORDANI: The second one has the evidence marker on it that shows close up with measurements on the -- on the cartridge case.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. Let's take our -Yes.

MS. TRUJILLO: I have one more issue. I would request that the Court or the State admonish the decedent's family. They were crying earlier. I was going to approach. I let it go. But then there was a big -- they've been talking

1	and making comments throughout the testimony.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. TRUJILLO: One of the comments was when the
4	detective testified identifying the white SUV at the Sierra
5	Bello residence. The comment was, Boom. Okay. I don't really
6	need any commentary from the galley, from the decedent's
7	family, especially if jurors can hear.
8	THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't hear the commentary. I
9	know Mr. Giordani has said that he's admonished them. I'd ask
10	him to admonish them again, and I can tell them they can't make
11	comments.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah
13	THE COURT: about the evidence, and they really
14	shouldn't be nodding and all of that kind of thing.
15	MR. GIORDANI: I will. Just for the record, I didn't
16	hear it, and Mr. Dickerson says he didn't hear it.
17	THE COURT: I didn't hear it.
18	MR. GIORDANI: They have been very controlled
19	throughout this whole day. So, but I will tell them again.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you.
22	(Proceedings recessed at 2:37 p.m. until 2:43 p.m.)
23	(Pause in the proceedings.)
24	(Jury entering at 2:52 p.m.)
25	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session.

1		And, Ms. Trujillo, you may begin your questioning of
2	the detec	tive.
3		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. Thank you.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. TR	UJILLO:
6	Q	Good afternoon, Detective Dosch.
7	А	Good afternoon.
8	Q	How are you today?
9	А	I'm well.
10	Q	Good. So you just testified a little bit about some
11	of the basics when homicide detectives arrive on the scene;	
12	right?	
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	And one of the initial things you said was that you
15	are briefe	ed by a crime scene analyst?
16	А	Not necessarily the crime scene analyst, but we
17	receive a	briefing from the patrol officers and occasionally
18	patrol de	tectives if they happen to go to that particular
19	scene.	
20	Q	Okay. So your and you mentioned that patrol
21	officers'	main duty when they start is, one, to secure the
22	scene; right?	
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	And then to contact witnesses?
25	A	Correct.

And so they already begin documenting statements, for 1 2 example? 3 Α Yes. So when you arrive, they're relaying witness 4 5 information to you? 6 Α Correct. 7 Fair to say you're the lead detective in this case, 8 or are you co-lead detective? 9 Α Co-leads. 10 And you're co-lead was Detective Cook; right? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. So as part of your -- you arrive on the scene. 13 You're gathering information. Your specific duties in this 14 case were to determine and talk to witnesses; correct? 15 It was kind of as a dual role. Unfortunately, at Α 16 that particular time, but you can't just give somebody 17 experience, Detective Cook was relatively new to the section, 18 and he -- we had just been made partners back in December. 19 just a couple months prior to that. So Darin, Detective Cook 2.0 was new to the unit and new to homicide investigations as a 21 full-time assignment. 22 Okay. So you didn't, and you used the word division 23 of labor. So you detectives did not divide the labor, or did 24 you?

JD Reporting, Inc.

25

We did.

Α

Okay. And part of the crime scene analyst's duty is

to document evidence; right?

24

25

1 Α Correct. 2 And they're documenting what they collect? Q 3 Α Correct. And that could be through notes or reports; is that 4 Q 5 right? 6 Α Yes. 7 And that information, you often co-author or author 8 what's called an officer's report; right? 9 Α

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A Well, there's only one -- one of the two of us is going to author that particular report, but obviously it has the input from the other detectives as well as and myself.
 - Q And what is included in the officer's report?

 A There's -- the detective -- or excuse me. It

almost like an investigative outline of all the steps that we took from the moment that in this case Detective Cook and I were assigned investigatory responsibility to ultimately the conclusion. Unfortunately, some murders don't get solved, and they'll end up at a point where essentially all of the investigative leads have been exhausted, and there's really nothing more to do. But on most cases, it's an outline of the entire events, people contacted, people interviewed.

Q Okay. And so you mentioned on direct examination that one of your duties is also to request forensic testing; correct?

A Yes.

And you did that in this case in several areas? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. 3 Q And you also mentioned you attended the autopsy in 4 this case. 5 I did. Α 6 And was that performed by Dr. Olson? 7 Without looking at my notes, I couldn't tell you who Α 8 the medical examiner is. 9 0 Okay. You don't recall. 10 But while you were at the autopsy, did you notice that Mr. Banks's hands were bagged? 11 12 Α Oh, yes. 13 And do you recall requesting forensic testing on his 14 fingernail clippings? 15 I believe that was done, but, yes, that's -- the bags 16 are to protect any potential forensic evidence that may exist 17 on the decedent's hands. 18 You believe that was done. So you're not sure? 19 Without looking at the notes, I believe in the most 2.0 recent report that I had received from the DNA biological unit 21 is that it does make reference to the fingernails. 22 Okay. And then at that autopsy, you also -- you 23 personally witnessed the autopsy; correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 So also the recovery of evidence; is that right?

1	A Correct.
2	Q And there was \$1900 found at the autopsy on Kwame
3	Banks?
4	A I think it was a little bit more, but around there,
5	yes.
6	Q Around \$1900. Yes?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. And so you also mentioned obviously as part of
9	your job in interviewing witnesses at the Sky Pointe Apartments
10	that day, you mentioned on direct that you met with a witness
11	named Jakhai Smith; is that right?
12	A I didn't do the interview with Jakhai Smith. That
13	was performed by Detective Fred Merrick.
14	Q Okay. Were you privy to the information that
15	Mr. Smith provided?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And did you include that in your officer's report?
18	A I did not author of this particular officer's report.
19	This was conducted by Detective Cook.
20	Q I apologize. Was it included in the officer's
21	report?
22	A A summary of it, yes.
23	Q Okay. And you also mentioned you're aware of a
24	witness that was located at Sky Pointe Apartments by the name
25	of Dereka Nelson?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. But, in fact, there were several other
3	witnesses	interviewed; correct?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	I'm going to just go down the list and identify them.
6		Do you recognize the name Victoria Nordstrom?
7	А	I do.
8	Q	And she was interviewed at the Sky Pointe Apartments?
9	А	She was.
10	Q	And the name Alex Turner?
11	А	That name doesn't sound familiar.
12	Q	Do you not remember?
13	A	Yeah, I'm not remembering. That person could have
14	been, but	I'll need to see
15	Q	Would it help if I approached with his witness
16	statement	?
17	А	Please.
18		MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, may I approach?
19		THE COURT: You can move freely.
20		THE WITNESS: Yes. Alex Turner.
21	BY MS. TRI	JJILLO:
22	Q	Okay. So Alex Turner also gave a written statement?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	And then a witness by the name of Brandon Kohler?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And he also gave a statement?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	And a witness by the name of Aireonte Reed?
4	А	That name does sound vaguely familiar.
5	Q	Would it help if I provided you with his statements?
6	А	Please.
7		Yes.
8	Q	So Aireonte Reed actually provided two statements;
9	correct?	
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	And do you recall that he is the security officer at
12	Sky Pointe?	
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Do you recognize the name Chaz Shoenbeck?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Would it help if I provided you with his statement?
17	А	Please. Thank you.
18		Yes.
19	Q	So Mr. Shoenbeck provided two statements; correct?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	Do you recognize the name Kelly Kohler?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And do you recall that she was interviewed?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Do you recognize the name Steven Wallace?
		JD Reporting, Inc.
•	-	95

1	A	No.
2	Q	Would it help if I provided you with his statement?
3	А	Please.
4		MS. TRUJILLO: Court's indulgence.
5		May I approach?
6		THE COURT: You may move freely.
7		MS. TRUJILLO: You said that already.
8		THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MS. TRUJILLO:	
10	Q	So Steve Wallace also provided two statements?
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	Do you recognize the name Eric Smith?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And he also provided a statement?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	What about Landen Davenport?
17	А	That name also sounds familiar.
18	Q	Would it help to refresh your recollection with a
19	copy of y	our officer's report?
20	А	Please.
21	Q	Let me just get the page so I can tell Mr. Giordani.
22		MR. GIORDANI: I trust you. I'll stipulate.
23	BY MS. TRUJILLO:	
24	Q	[Inaudible] officer's report.
25	А	Smith and then Davenport, yes.
		JD Reporting, Inc.

1 So Mr. Davenport was also interviewed? Q 2 Contacted. 3 Okay. So is your testimony that he wasn't 4 interviewed? 5 As it relates to -- that falls under the witness Α 6 canvass where I think I had made reference to it as going door 7 to door trying to locate additional information, additional 8 witnesses, perhaps even sources of video surveillance. In that 9 case, those two last individuals, I believe it was Mr. Smith 10 and Davenport, those individuals were contacted during the 11 So it is a conversation that takes place at the 12 doorway. 13 Nonetheless, he provided information to Metro 0 14 relative to the incident? 15 Α Oh, yes. Okay. And then what about Nelson Gonzalez? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 He also provided information? Q 19 Α Correct. 2.0 And Stephanie Morton? Q 21 Yes. Α 22 Also provided information? Q 23 Correct. Α 24 And all those witnesses live at Sky Pointe; correct? 25 Α If there was some --

Well, at the time? 1 Q 2 At the time, that's what I would believe unless they Α 3 were visitors. 4 Okay. They were at least contacted and interviewed Q 5 by Metro at Sky Pointe? 6 Α Correct. 7 Okay. And you weren't present, as you've already 8 testified, to all the interviews; right? 9 Α That is correct. 10 But you are receiving the information; you indicated Q 11 on direct? 12 Α Yes. 13 And as a lead detective, to conduct a thorough 0 14 investigation, that's the reason they tell you what the 15 witnesses are saying? 16 Α Yes. 17 Because it's an ongoing investigation; correct? Q 18 Α Correct. 19 Especially when there's not a suspect at the scene? Q 2.0 A named suspect that's correct. Α 21 Correct. So you're trying to get information to find 22 out who the suspect is? 23 Α Yes. 24 And in gathering that information, it often leads you 25 to new information; right?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And possibly evidence?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Okay. So you were actually present for Victoria
5	Nordstrom	's statement. Do you recall that?
6	А	I'd have to take a look at the transcript, but the
7	name sound	ds familiar.
8	Q	Let me grab it. She actually provided two. So let
9	me give yo	ou the second one.
10		MS. TRUJILLO: Court's indulgence and jurors'
11	indulgence	e.
12		THE WITNESS: Yes, I did do this interview.
13	BY MS. TRUJILLO:	
14	Q	So Ms. Nordstrom actually provided two separate
15	pieces of	information; right?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	And one is a handwritten statement?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And the second is a transcribed statement which you
20	were prese	ent for?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Sorry about that.
23		Do you recall that she told you the suspect who
24	walked awa	ay
25		MR. GIORDANI: Objection. Objection.

1		MS. TRUJILLO: Can we approach?
2		THE COURT: Sure.
3		(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
4		MS. TRUJILLO: Sorry about that, Detective.
5		So we were talking about your interview with Victoria
6	Nordstrom	
7	А	We were.
8	Q	And that happened on February 22nd?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	And as a result of that interview, did you learn that
11	a suspect	walked away, on his phone?
12	А	I believe that was what she had stated, but I'd like
13	to review	the transcript if that's okay.
14	Q	Sure.
15	А	Thank you, Counselor. I'm on page 11
16		MS. TRUJILLO: 11 and 13 for counsel.
17		THE WITNESS: What would you like me to pay attention
18	to?	
19		I read the portion.
20	BY MS. TR	UJILLO:
21	Q	Thank you. So you just reviewed a copy of Victoria
22	Nordstrom	's transcribed statement; right?
23	A	I did.
24	Q	As a result of that interview, did you learn that a
25	suspect h	ad walked away, on his phone?

A In the course of that interview is that she had made reference to an individual walking in that same parking lot where the murder took place and who appeared to be on their phone.

Q Okay. What did you do as a result of that information?

A Well, the -- the process is the gathering of information, and we don't know how many witnesses that we're going to spend down there interviewing, reinterviewing potentially, also comparing their written voluntary statements to that which they provide us in the course of a recorded interview. So you never want to rush to judgment on these things.

That scene is secure. We have these witnesses. We know how to contact them. We know how to recontact them, but it's just constantly taking the information back and discussing it amongst the detectives. This is what I just heard.

Now, unfortunately, sometimes people are spot on accurate, and sometimes they are not.

Q And so in this case, when you received that information, what did you do next?

A It was a matter of documenting that information and then moving on to the next series of witnesses.

Q So is it my understanding you did nothing to follow up with that information?

1	А	Oh, no. I can tell you that that whole entire
2	parking lo	ot from the south end to the north end where the
3	entrance :	is was cordoned off and that we were able to walk that
4	way.	
5		Part of the process is also identifying all of the
6	vehicles t	that are in there. Granted, we have some vacant spots
7	as depicte	ed in those photographs, but there's cars left there,
8	and we do:	n't know whose belongs to who. So it's important that
9	we documen	nt those, at least through the course of photographs.
10	Q	Were you able to recover a fourth cell phone?
11	А	At the scene?
12	Q	Yes.
13	А	No.
14	Q	Did you receive information from other witnesses that
15	were there	e that night that a person was walking away on his
16	phone?	
17	А	Yes. We heard it from that particular witness that
18	you were	just referring to.
19	Q	In addition to Ms. Nordstrom, did you find out that a
20	suspect wa	as walking away on his phone?
21	А	I don't recall the person that would have provided
22	that info	rmation.
23	Q	Okay. So you don't recall Brandon Kohler making the

Did -- was I the one that interviewed Brandon Kohler?

same statement?

1	Q	No.
2	А	Then, no.
3	Q	Okay. But as lead detective, you just testified
4	multiple	times that you are given witness information to
5	conduct y	our investigation; right?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	So are you familiar with Brandon Kohler's statement?
8	А	I was after the fact, yes. Correct.
9	Q	Okay. May I approach with the statement in case you
10	need to r	review it?
11	А	You may.
12		Okay. Thank you.
13	Q	So Mr. Kohler also indicated that he saw a person
14	walking a	way, on his cell phone; correct?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	And he also Court's indulgence.
17		Do you recall receiving information about Aireonte
18	Reed's st	catement?
19	А	I have I have reviewed his statement, but as far
20	as the ex	act moment, I do not recall it, no.
21	Q	Would you like a copy of his statement?
22	А	I would.
23	Q	Can I bring you both the handwritten and the
24	transcrik	ped?
25	А	Thank you. Where do you want me to go on this one?

1	Q	[No audible response.]	
2	А	Thank you.	
3	Q	7.	
4	А	7?	
5	Q	Uh-huh.	
6		[Inaudible.]	
7	А	What's that?	
8	Q	[Inaudible] portion.	
9	А	I know, but I've got to I have to understand the	
10	context.		
11	Q	I know. I was just giving you	
12	А	I appreciate it.	
13		Okay.	
14	Q	Thank you. Did you have an opportunity to review	
15	Aireonte	Reed's two statements?	
16	А	I did in the area that you had mentioned.	
17		MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Page 7 for counsel.	
18	BY MS. TRUJILLO:		
19	Q	Do you recall that Aireonte Reed, who was a security	
20	guard at	Sky Pointe told the officers that he did not think the	
21	suspect had on boots?		
22	А	Correct.	
23	Q	And, in fact, he said he believed the suspect wore	
24	tennis shoes?		
25	А	Correct.	

Q What did you do as a result of that information?

A If there was evidence, like for instance in the case where Mr. Banks had fallen out of a -- or he'd come out have his shoe, we collected that shoe. In the apparent footwear -- or excuse me, blood or footwear in apparent blood, we want to document those. If another shoe had turned up in that particular crime area that was cordoned off, we would have collected it. If there was more apparent -- footwear in apparent blood or even in soft material that we could recover and document, we absolutely would. But unfortunately we're limited to the evidence that was present that night.

Q So your testimony is there were no additional tennis shoes at the scene?

A Correct.

2.0

Q And then as a result of the three search warrants that you executed -- well, Metro personnel, did you find or recover any tennis shoes?

A Are you talking about at the -- on the 20th of March when we do our search warrants?

O Correct.

A Um --

Q And let me -- that was a bad question.

At the search of -- at Rosinwood, which is Anthony Carter's residence, did you recover any tennis shoes?

A No.

Q At the search of Sierra Bello, which you associate with Larry Brown, did you recover any tennis shoes?

A I guess it depends on your definition of tennis shoes.

Q Okay.

THE COURT: Footwear.

BY MS. TRUJILLO:

2.0

Q What did you -THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. TRUJILLO:

Q I was going to just say what did you recover?

A Yes. Footwear was recovered from Mr. Brown's residence.

Q Can you describe the footwear.

A I believe that there were -- one pair was in the garage, and they almost looked like thicker black boots that had a high ankle. I don't know what the proper nomenclature. So forgive me, but a high up on the ankle. And then another, they were Polo, Ralph Lauren Polo. And then another pair that we documented within the house, they were a lower type of shoe, not like a -- for lack of a better term, a high top, but again also with apparently the same treadwear, a Polo, Ralph Lauren Polo I believe.

Q Thank you. And we also talked about a Steve Wallace who was also interviewed by Metro that night. Do you remember

1 that?
2 .
3 he sa

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes. You did bring his name up.

Q Do you recall that he told officers that the person he saw walk away went northbound?

A What officers?

Q Let me provide you with the statement -- two statements.

A So referring to the written voluntary statement?

Q Correct.

A Okay. So the written voluntary statement, just as a matter of note, these are actually completed by the witnesses as presented to them by responding patrol officers and patrol detectives. So typically these are done in advance of the homicide section, in our case, arriving.

Yes.

Q So that statement that you just indicated was made prior to your arrival; right?

A Correct.

Q It indicates that a suspect went northbound?

A A subject went northbound, yes.

Q Okay. And just for orientation, we talked about -- you previously testified that the suspect went southbound; correct?

A The -- what I testified to was the footwear in apparent blood lead from where Mr. Banks's body was to the

It looks like it ended somewhere near space Number 301. 1 south. 2 Thank you. And I apologize if I mischaracterized 3 your testimony. I didn't mean to do that. And you also collected video surveillance; right? 4 5 From which location? Α 6 From Sky Pointe Apartments. Q 7 Α Yes. 8 And you indicated, I believe your direct quote was, Q 9 there was no information to be gleaned from the video? 10 Unfortunately it did not -- it did not show any Α 11 relevant aspects of the murder. 12 Is it your testimony that the -- did you view the 13 video? 14 I have. Α 15 Is it your testimony that there was not a large black 16 male suspect in one of the videos provided by Sky Pointe 17 Apartments that night? 18 Is it inside the office? No. 19 Outside of the office. 2.0 Yes. At a different location a large frame black Α 21 male was depicted. 22 A different location being? 23 The 76 gas station which is actually on Sky Pointe Α 24 Drive, north of the apartment complex in between where the 25 apartment complex is and Azure Drive.

Okay. So I'm going to repeat my question. 1 2 your testimony that the video from Sky Pointe Apartments does 3 not depict a large black male? I don't recall ever seeing a large black male in the 4 5 Sky Pointe Apartment footage. 6 Okay. Thank you. Now, as part of your investigation 7 in this case, you just testified that Larry Brown became a 8 suspect; correct? 9 Α Correct. 10 Q And as part of the investigation, you being Metro and 11 as lead detective initiated surveillance on Mr. Brown? 12 At one point, yes, surveillance was initiated. Α 13 Okay. And what was the purpose of that surveillance? The purpose of that surveillance -- and it wasn't 14 Α 15 just on Mr. Brown; it involved another individual as well, but 16 in that particular case was to marry individuals to a 17 particular residence and/or vehicles. 18 And when did that surveillance take place? 19 Α I don't recall the exact date that it was initiated 2.0 by the surveillance detail. 21 Would it help refresh your memory if I provided you 22 with your officer's report? 23 Α Please. MS. TRUJILLO: Page 20 for counsel. 24 25 MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.

1		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
2	BY MS. TRUJILLO:		
3	Q	So you reviewed a copy of your officer's report?	
4	A	I did.	
5	Q	And what was the date of that surveillance?	
6	A	So the initiation, if you will, of the surveillance	
7	or the re	equest of it was performed on March 7th. The actual	
8	detective	es that performed the surveillance went out and started	
9	that proc	cess on the 8th of March, 2017.	
10	Q	And that was after the day of Kwame deaths Kwame	
11	Banks's d	death? I apologize?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	And that was here in Las Vegas?	
14	A	It was.	
15	Q	And do you recall where Mr. Brown was when he was	
16	being fol	lowed?	
17	A	I can't because I did not perform the actual	
18	surveilla	ance of him, but it's we were well, how do I want	
19	to say th	nis?	
20		That information was brought to us by the	
21	surveilla	ance detail. You'd have to review their logs to see	
22	exactly w	where they were in their documentation of individuals.	
23	Q	Okay. Understood. If you don't have personal	
24	knowledge	e, it's fine.	
25		Okay. So I want to go back to the actual processing	

at the scene which is at Sky Pointe Apartments; right? 1 2 Α Correct. 3 Okay. You were actually present with a CSA 4 K. Thomas. Do you recall that? 5 Krissy Thomas, yes. Α 6 Okay. So that's one of the crime scenes (sic) that 7 was called there to do the scene processing? 8 Α Correct. 9 And that's to collect evidence; right? 10 Correct. Α 11 And to your knowledge, if you know personally, was 12 there \$256.85 recovered at the scene? 13 Α Yes. 14 Was there also a metal chain with a lion pendant Q 15 recovered at the scene? 16 Α Yes. 17 Was there also a yellow metal ring recovered from the Q 18 scene? 19 Α Yes. 2.0 Was there also two Visa debit credit cards in the 21 name of Kwame Banks recovered at the scene? 22 I believe we got into the cards the following day 23 during the autopsy. 24 Okay. You were also present at the autopsy? 25 Α I was.

1	Q So your recollection is two Visa debit cards in the
2	name of Kwame Banks were recovered at some point in those two
3	days?
4	A The U.S. currency, yes. The gold chains, yes. I
5	believe some loose change as well. All were taken within the
6	pants. I guess that the cards would have been in those pants
7	as well.
8	Q Okay. So I want to move to the so-called, I guess,
9	second scene on Azure where the black Altima was found. Okay,
10	you recall testifying about that?
11	A I do.
12	Q And you were present there?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And the vehicle was actually moved, you testified, to
15	the CSI garage; correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you, maybe you didn't go with the vehicle, but at
18	some point you arrived at the CSI garage?
19	A I did.
20	Q And part of that, the reason that it was at the
21	garage was for evidence collection; right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And processing?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And to follow up with forensic testing?

A Well, the forensic testing that they may perform as part of their duties utilizing chemicals, that's all potentially going to occur, but the crime scene analyst, that's their area of expertise, and they don't know what they're going to do until they're evaluating the object in front of them.

Q But you can direct them to do forensic testing; correct?

A I guess I could, but again, that is their area of expertise. So while I might be familiar with some of the chemical applications, I couldn't tell them when they need to apply one technique versus the alternate light source versus the luminol. So it's not — it would not be appropriate for me to give them direction.

Now, the searching of the vehicle, we would assist them in that process. You bet.

Q Okay. So in this case, did you assist them with the processing of the vehicle?

A Not necessarily the processing, no. But if we -- we stand in close proximity listening to what they're saying.

Q So fair to say if they -- if a CSA, and I'm talking about in this case, was grabbing evidence from the video -- sorry, from the car, you were there watching?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So you're aware of the things that were recovered from that video -- from that car?

1	А	I know that there were items of evidence that were
2	recovered	from the vehicle, but I couldn't tell you exactly
3	without lo	poking at a list of them.
4	Q	Okay. Let me grab that list.
5		MS. TRUJILLO: Court's indulgence.
6	BY MS. TRI	JJILLO:
7	Q	And you said that was K. Thomas; correct?
8	А	I don't know if
9	Q	Actually, Claire Browning.
10	А	Krissy was two days. I think that might have been
11	Claire Bro	owning.
12	Q	I believe it was actually Fletcher. I'm going to
13	approach.	Would it help you remember if I approached with
14	Fletcher's	s CSA report?
15	А	It is, but I think she worked in concert with Claire.
16		MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Page 2, Counsel.
17		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
18		THE WITNESS: Okay. I can see it on page 2. Yes.
19	BY MS. TRI	JJILLO:
20	Q	So the following evidence was collected from the
21	Altima at	the CSA CSI garage:
22		Samples of charred seat cushion. Do you recall that?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Burnt fabric towels?
25	A	Yes.

A beanie from the trunk? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. 3 A baseball cap? 4 Α Yes. 5 Lighters? Q 6 Α Yes. 7 Swisher Sweets wrapper with green leafy substance? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 Swabs of blood from the accelerator pedal and the 0 10 brake? 11 Yes. Α 12 And finally, DNA swabs from several bottles? Q 13 Α Yes. 14 To your knowledge, was there any forensic testing Q 15 done on any of these items? 16 Α No. 17 Throughout your investigation and as you were 18 gleaning information from the witnesses at Sky Pointe, was it 19 always your opinion that there was only one suspect? 2.0 Oh, at that point I'd be speculating. I can tell you Α 21 that based on much of the witness testimony is that there were 22 two people involved in a physical altercation that resulted in 23 one person losing their life. 24 As a detective in the homicide section and with the 25 robbery section before is it's possible that somebody else

could be working in concert with the suspect. So the mind has to be open for the possibility of one, two, three, four or more suspects.

But in this case that we know absolutely that we have one suspect. But now that's in the infancy in this investigation.

- Q Right. So you it's your testimony that at the time you were receiving information, and I'm talking about a day or two within the incident?
 - A Yes.

2.0

- Q You only understood there to be one suspect?
- A In using the information based on the witnesses, at that point, yes, but the potential exists that there were other people involved.
- Q Okay. Let me ask it this way. At any point in your investigation, did you take measures with the mindset that there were more than one suspect?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. What did you do?
 - A We delved into those phone records.
- Q Okay. I mean more than one suspect at the actual scene?
 - A I'm not following your question.
- Q So we talked about witness testimony, and many witnesses testified that there was only one suspect; right?

You just indicated a person died, and then there was one suspect.

A Well, as a matter of note, all they're talking — they're not going to speak necessarily to how many suspects. They're just going to reiterate what they saw.

Q Right.

2.0

A So using and not -- and wanting to avoid them speculating about what happened before or after, we want to be limited to what did you see, hear, taste, smell and touch. And using that information is initially we've got one suspect, but a good investigator keeps his or her mind open that there are potential suspects who may have been worked -- and that could be before the incident happened. It was in a conspiracy. Then and possibly after, say the disposal of evidence.

- Q Did you ever receive information that there were multiple people seen leaving the scene from Kwame Banks's body?
 - A The area around his body, yes.
 - Q You did?
 - A Yes.
- Q How many? How many suspects or people leaving the body were you told about?
- A There was talk of a car that I believe went southbound, which at the time a car could go southbound and exit out of that southern gate.

There was talk of an individual potentially going

northbound, as we previously discussed.

2.0

So the question becomes, and no one at that point can answer that question, you know, of course, absent any video surveillance is, is this a very involved apartment complex with people who are completely oblivious to something bad happening just driving through? Because again that main entrance to get to the back portion, you have to come through off of Sky Pointe. So they either have to elect to go right, or they have to go left.

A person now viewing that person driving through has nothing to do with it may not want to leave that out as a detail for law enforcement, but they could be getting it wrong. Like, that person is just driving through. So our minds have to be open.

MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: All right. Redirect?

MR. GIORDANI: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any juror questions for the witness?

All right. I'll see counsel the bench, please.

(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: Detective, we have a number of juror questions here, and I'm going to ask these in no particular order.

A juror asks, Was the .40 caliber firearm recovered? THE WITNESS: No. A .40 caliber semiautomatic

handgun was not recovered during this investigation. 1 2 What was the approximate distance between THE COURT: 3 the footprints and the blood? THE WITNESS: I just want to clarify. The blood is 4 5 that the source of the blood? 6 THE COURT: I think we're talking about the blood in 7 the parking area. 8 THE WITNESS: Without being grim in those details --9 THE COURT: If you don't know... 10 THE WITNESS: It's -- where it appears that an 11 individual had stepped into the blood that was coming from the 12 decedent's body was I would say the first apparent footprint 13 was less than 6 feet. 14 THE COURT: From the body? 15 THE WITNESS: From the body. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And then who were the occupants of 17 apartments 1005 and 1008? 18 THE WITNESS: I don't have that information 19 available. 2.0 THE COURT: Do you know what compelled Officer 21 English to do an off-line search on the Nissan and not the 22 Jeep? 23 THE WITNESS: The off-line search was performed by 24 I initiate the off-line search. The off-line search goes

JD Reporting, Inc.

through our communications. They go out, and they find where

25

that particular item, in this case the license plate, has been 1 2 run. 3 Officer English conducted a -- or he ran the license plate on the Altima. But I would only be speculating as to why 4 5 he didn't run the one on the SUV. 6 THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know. 7 I don't know. THE WITNESS: 8 THE COURT: All right. Why did detectives subpoena 9 Carnell Cave's phone records? 10 THE WITNESS: Because that was an individual that 11 there was communications with and in Anthony Carter's phone. 12 THE COURT: All right. And could you elaborate or 13 clarify for us: Which phone was found at which location? 14 And if you need the photos to aid you, you can just 15 let the State know. 16 So sort of moving from north to THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 south, on the north end, the phone that's broken into three 18 pieces, like a battery cover, the battery and the actual phone 19 device, that phone, I believe it's the 277 number. 2.0 And going south, now we're at the body on the left 21 side of Mr. Bank's body, under his left armpit I believe is the 22 755. Both of these are like -- are black LG phones. 23 24 Now, to the west near the tree, a very large pine

JD Reporting, Inc.

tree, is the phone in a case which was Larry Brown's phone with

25

1	the 404 area code, 808 number.
2	THE COURT: All right. And another juror asks, Was
3	blood found on the larger portion of the latex glove?
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. Because the nitrile glove had
5	been torn into two halves. I don't recall.
6	THE COURT: All right. And that goes right into the
7	next question which is, Did the pieces of the latex gloves or
8	the latex glove match?
9	THE WITNESS: I
10	THE COURT: Do they appear to be like the same glove
11	or
12	THE WITNESS: They appeared to be the same glove.
13	THE COURT: All right. And why was no blood analysis
14	on the blood I'm sorry. Why was there no blood analysis
15	done of the blood on the car pedal?
16	THE WITNESS: It just was not requested.
17	THE COURT: Okay. State, you may follow up
18	MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, may we approach first?
19	
	THE COURT: Sure.
20	
	THE COURT: Sure.
20	THE COURT: Sure. (Conference at the bench not recorded.)
20 21	THE COURT: Sure. (Conference at the bench not recorded.) THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, do you have any
202122	THE COURT: Sure. (Conference at the bench not recorded.) THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, do you have any follow-up
20212223	THE COURT: Sure. (Conference at the bench not recorded.) THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, do you have any follow-up MR. GIORDANI: Yes. Thank you.

1 Honor. 2 FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. GIORDANI: 4 One of the jurors asked about the off-line search of Q 5 the SUV. 6 Α Correct. 7 I want to clarify just a little bit. I understand 8 you're limited to the question as it comes from the Court. 9 When you receive the information that Officer English 10 had run the plate of the Nissan, that is through an off-line 11 search you're conducting; correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. As a result of learning that information, do 14 you then follow up and interview Officer English? 15 As a matter of note yes, but we were also aware that 16 there was a Metro vehicle in that vicinity where the victim's 17 vehicle was parked because it was depicted on the video 18 surveillance from across the street. 19 0 Thank you. So in addition to reviewing the video 2.0 surveillance in which you do see a Metro vehicle around that 21 time frame --22 Α Yes. 23 -- you learn that Officer English has run the plate, 24 the known plate of the Nissan, which isn't on there later? 25 Α Correct.

And then you interview Officer English? 1 Q 2 We did have a communication. I believe it might have Α 3 been over the phone. 4 Okay. Well, interview you spoke to him? 5 I did. Α 6 And I believe the juror's question was directed at 7 why he wouldn't have run the SUV. So let me clarify something. 8 When you interview Officer English, he indicates to you, as you 9 said earlier, he saw a black male adult near the suspect -- I 10 mean, I'm sorry, the victim's vehicle; correct? 11 Yes. 12 When he saw that, it was from a distance up on that 13 dirt hill; correct? 14 He's literally on Azure, you know, whatever the Α 15 distance is from Azure to where that parking lot is. 16 Okay. And from Azure you have to drive around, and 17 when he comes down, he sees a white SUV leaving? 18 Α Correct. Obviously there's no black male adult that he 19 2.0 contacts at the victim's vehicle, or that would have been 21 documented? 22 A Yes. 23 Did he indicate to you that the white SUV leaving, he 24 didn't see the black male adult in that white SUV?

JD Reporting, Inc.

25

Α

He did.

1	Q Okay. So did he also indicate to you he didn't get
2	the plate of the white SUV because he was focused on getting to
3	the BMA at the victim's vehicle?
4	A Yes. I have no indication from Officer English that
5	he captured in any way, shape, or form the license plate of the
6	SUV that's literally exiting the business complex as he's
7	entering with intent to go to where the vehicle was.
8	Q Got it. There was another juror question that I
9	should have asked earlier, and it was why a subpoena or why
10	obtain Carnell Cave's phone records. Do you remember that?
11	A I do.
12	Q And I believe your response was it was contacts in
13	there was contacts with Anthony Carter's phone?
14	A Yes.
15	Q So just to clarify it step by step, you obtained the
16	records associated with Anthony Carter's phone first?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Before Cave's obviously?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And you're reviewing those. You're seeing contacts
21	between Carter and the victim; correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Carter and the phone associated with Larry Brown?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And then there's also contacts with a third number

1	that you	take interest in?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And that would be the number of Carnell Cave?
4	А	Which is later identified to Carnell Cave?
5	Q	And you realize obviously Apartment 2003 is Carnell
6	Cave, and	you've got some questions; right?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	So is that why you ultimately get Mr. Cave's records?
9	А	That is correct.
10		MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you, Detective.
11		I'll pass, Your Honor.
12		THE COURT: Ms. Trujillo, follow up.
13		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Judge.
14		FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. TR	UJILLO:
16	Q	So just to stay on the Carnell Cave subject, did you
17	determine	during your investigation that Anthony Carter was, in
18	fact, at	Carnell's (sic) Cave apartment that night?
19		MR. GIORDANI: We should probably approach.
20		THE COURT: All right.
21		(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
22		MS. TRUJILLO: Sorry about that, Detective.
23	BY MS. TR	UJILLO:
24	Q	You had an opportunity to interview Carnell Cave;
25	correct?	

1	A Correct.	
2	Q You were actually present for that interview?	
3	A I was not.	
4	Q Okay. But you learned the information gleaned from	mc
5	that interview?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Did you determine from Carnell Cave that Anthony	
8	Carter was with him that night at Sky Pointe Apartments?	
9	A I don't know if the source of that information had	ł
10	come with the interview that Detective Cody did with Mr. Cav	<i>7</i> e
11	or through another source. I don't want to say absolutely a	and
12	then be wrong on that.	
13	Q Would it help if I provided you a copy of Mr. Cave	è's
14	statement?	
15	A Yes, please.	
16	MS. TRUJILLO: Court's indulgence. Court's	
17	indulgence.	
18	BY MS. TRUJILLO:	
19	Q So this is a copy of Carnell's Cave statement.	
20	So as a result of investigation, you just testified	èd
21	you did learn that he was there. You're just not sure of the	1e
22	source?	
23	A I'm not sure of the source. Correct.	
24	Q Okay. And then I'm going to go back to the licens	se
25	plate that Officer English ran that night, which I believe y	/ou

said was the 22nd because it was the early morning; correct? 1 2 Yes. If my memory serves me well, it's right at 3 midnight. So it could have tipped into the 22nd. So at least on February 22nd, which was shortly 4 0 5 after the incident, the license plate was on the Altima? 6 Α Presumably. 7 MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. No further -- actually one. Court's indulgence. 8 9 BY MS. TRUJILLO: 10 Just to clarify, there was a juror question about why 11 there was not an off-line search done on the Jeep. But with 12 regard to Officer English, he never identified the make of the 13 white vehicle that he saw that night; right? I don't recall him giving me the details other than a 14 Α white SUV. 15 16 Other than a what? 17 White SUV. Α 18 Okay. So you don't recall him -- would it help if I Q 19 provided you a copy of your officer's report? 2.0 Α Yes, please. 21 MS. TRUJILLO: Page 20 for counsel. 22 MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 BY MS. TRUJILLO: 25 So Officer English never identified the make or model 0

1	of that car?
2	A No. He did say newer model. I neglected to say
3	that.
4	Q Newer model white SUV?
5	A White SUV, yes.
6	MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. No further questions.
7	THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
8	MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Any other juror questions for the
10	witness?
11	(No audible response.)
12	THE COURT: All right, Detective, I see no additional
13	questions. Thank you for your testimony. Please don't discuss
14	your testimony with any other witnesses.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: You are excused.
17	And the State may call its next witness.
18	MR. GIORDANI: The State would call Roy Wilcox.
19	ROY WILCOX
20	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
21	testified as follows:]
22	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State and
23	spell your first and last name for the record.
24	THE WITNESS: My name is Roy Wilcox. R-o-y,
25	W-i-l-c-o-x.

1	MR. GIORDANI: May I?
2	THE COURT: You may proceed, yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. GIORDANI:
6	Q Good afternoon, sir.
7	A Hi.
8	Q Sorry to keep you waiting.
9	A It's okay.
10	Q What you do for a living?
11	A I am a forensic firearms examiner with the Las Vegas
12	Metropolitan Police Department, currently assigned in the
13	firearms detail of the forensics lab.
14	Q And how long have you been with Metro doing that?
15	A I started with Metro in January of 2016.
16	Q Where were you prior to that?
17	A Before then, I went through all my training and then
18	worked for a number of years with Phoenix Police Department in
19	their crime lab doing the very same thing.
20	Q What type of training and experience did you have to
21	go through in order to do what you do with the Metro firearms
22	lab?
23	A I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Zoology from
24	Brigham Young University. And then when I was hired at
25	Las Vegas oh, I'm sorry, at Phoenix Police Department in

their crime lab, then I went through approximately two years of very dedicated and specific training on firearms function, ammunition components, tool marking. That is a creation also of manufacturing of these firearms and understanding what these individual marks might mean.

I am a member of the Association of Firearms and Tool Mark Examiners, which is AFTE. And I am also AFTE certified, which means I have taken all the proficiency tests, plus some additional testing that AFTE provides, and I am now -- I now have a certification as a firearms examiner with AFTE.

- Q Thank you, sir. As a result of your work with the firearms lab or the forensic lab at Metro, did you receive some items of evidence under Event Number 1702214563?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit about the basics or the general idea behind what we're going to talk about here.
 - A Okay.

2.0

- Q What, sir, is a firearm?
- A A firearm is an item that is designed to contain pressures and direct them behind a projectile using a variety of mechanisms. In this case, there was a pistol, and that particular type of mechanics involve using the energies from a cartridge, from the gases expanding within a cartridge to propel a bullet down a barrel, and then for a slide to move and

creation of tool marks all along the way.

Q You referenced a cartridge. Is that the -- or did you bring with you a little model of a cartridge here today?

A I did.

2.0

Q Can you please briefly describe the components of a firearm -- or a cartridge with the [inaudible]?

A Sure. This is what we refer to as a cartridge. That is an unfired piece of ammunition. So prior to firing, there's a bullet that's sitting in the top, the mouth of the cartridge case, and inside here behind the bullet is some smokeless gunpowder. That is very inert until intense heat is applied. That heat is provided by means of a primer in the back.

And during the functioning of this, of a firearm, of a normally operating and well-designed firearm, a firing pin impacts the primer, presses on a compound, a primer compound, that creates a little burst of flame which starts the burning of the gunpowder inside.

And if the firearm is adequately locked up, then those pressures rise very quickly and propel the bullet down and out of the barrel.

So the barrel, cartridge case after firing, and then an unfired piece of ammunition here is called the cartridge.

- Q Okay. So cartridge case is the entire piece, the cart -- I'm sorry.
 - A Cartridge case is what's left over after it gets

ejected out of the side of a semiautomatic pistol.

The bullet is what has gone down the barrel.

And a cartridge is all of it unfired, before firing.

- Q Thank you. It's been a long day. If I misspeak, I apologize.
 - A Yeah, I understand.

2.0

Q The cartridge case, is there a difference between what happens with the cartridge case when it comes to a revolver firearm and a semiautomatic firearm?

A Yes. A cartridge case in a -- they're designed very similarly for each firearm. A revolver, however, has a number of positions that all act as a chamber for that firearm, and it rotates one position at a time. So with each pull of the trigger you can have multiple shots, but the cartridge case never comes out the side until the firearm is reloaded. However, the difference between the two is with a semiautomatic pistol, then there's only one chamber behind the barrel, and that chamber is actually attached to the barrel. There's no gap like with a revolver, and this cartridge case stays in there until the firing happens, and these intense pressures very quickly and savagely pull this backwards out of the chamber as the bullet has left the barrel.

And as this is yanked out of the slide area or from the chamber by means of a slide moving backwards on a firearm, then as it comes back, there are a few parts that hold onto

this. And as it comes out, there's a piece called an extractor that extracts it from the chamber, and then there's another piece called an ejector that hits this cartridge case as the side of the slide opens up, and it comes flipping out of the side. As the slide travels forward, the next cartridge, if there is one inside of the magazine, will load into the chamber for the next pull of the trigger.

Q And the extractor and the ejector, are those typically metal pieces or components of a firearm?

2.0

A Yes. What's happening inside of a firearm is there are tool marks being imparted by tools, and so we look at an extractor and an ejector and a firing pin and a breech face and a chamber itself as all different tools that can create marks on the bullet if it's going down the barrel or on the cartridge case, and each one of them has to be very, very hard, harder than this material, or this material would break it. So those are tools within the firearm that leave marks that are very hard, and it's leaving an impression of itself on the cartridge case for me to look at later.

Q Okay. So I'm not talking about our evidence quite yet, but in the general scheme, if you have two different cartridge cases that are the same caliber, what is it that you do in order to determine whether or not they're fired from the same firearm?

A The first step that I would do is I would have to

look at class. You mentioned that it would be the same caliber. That's actually a class that I would look at. I could immediately stop looking if one is a .45 auto and the other is a 9mm.

Q Why is that?

2.0

A Because they are just chambered differently. The chambers have to be a very specific size in order for it to chamber — the cartridge to chamber — and lock up and the gases to be contained properly. So that would be a class I would look at.

But if they were the same caliber, for example, 9mm, then I can proceed to look at other things, like, for example, the shape of the firing pin. Some firearms, some pistols, some semiautomatic pistols have a round firing pin, and others have more of an oval. Some of them also, as the motion comes back and it unlocks, and there's an additional touch of the firing pin, based on just the design of the pistol.

So the pistols themselves impart what we call class characteristics that allow me to start grouping cartridge cases together. So I can look at two cartridge cases and immediately understand that there are two firearms. Or if all the class characteristics are the same, then what I would do is start looking deeper than just class characteristics.

Q And again, speaking in general terms, not about our case quite yet, are there times or cases in which officers or

detectives have recovered a actual firearm as well as cases and submitted them to you for examination?

2.0

A Yes. In that case, the only thing that would be different would be that now I have the firearm which holds the tools, like we talked about, the extractor, ejector and the firing pin, and then I would simply do a function test, and part of that would include creating test fires, exemplars, of what marks I can expect to see off of this known firearm.

So then once I've created that, I would have a cartridge case, plus an unknown that I've been given, and then I can do a comparison in the very same way that I just described.

Q Okay. In the event you don't have a firearm, are you still capable of taking two different cartridge cases and at least saying that they were fired from the same firearm even if you don't know what that firearm specifically is?

A Yes, I can. Part of my training is to be able to look at the firearms and understand the value of the marks I'm looking at, the randomness that's there and then the individual separate marks and how they're made on different machines.

So if I do not have a firearm, then looking at two, I would need to look at various areas of these two cartridge cases to recognize patterns within various tools that would have been associated with a common firearm.

So yes, I can do a comparison without a firearm.

1	Q Thank you. And in this particular case, did you
2	receive two .40 caliber cartridge cases to examine and compare
3	to one another?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q Were those two cartridge cases of different makes?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And what were the head stamps on those two different
8	cartridge cases?
9	A One was a Winchester, and the other one was federal.
10	Q Okay. Both .40 caliber obviously?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Once you receive those two items of evidence, did you
13	conduct a microscopic comparison between the two?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q And I want to
16	MR. GIORDANI: Well, Your Honor, I believe by
17	stipulation we're going to move to admit 282 through 285.
18	THE COURT: Is that right?
19	MR. STORMS: That's correct.
20	THE COURT: All right. Those will all be admitted.
21	(State's Exhibit Number(s) 282-285 admitted.)
22	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	BY MR. GIORDANI:
24	Q Why don't I approach, and you tell me, sir, in which
25	order you'd like to go through these so it's easier.

A These are similar. You can just -- either one of them or both of them. That's fine.

2.0

Q All right. Thank you. What -- when I put this up, and this is 282, how is this image being captured? Describe what we're looking at.

A I took this picture through a comparison microscope. Now, the comparison microscope that I use is actually two microscopes that have a optical bridge in the middle that I can look through with eyepieces. And on either side I have one cartridge case and then the other cartridge case. And then using the same magnification — and lighting has to be the same — I can start looking for common characteristics within tool marks. So what you see here is a line right down the center which shows the right side and the left side. So the little note at the bottom tells me which one is on either side. And then the line where I orient it is to show me the correspondence between the common tool marks that are made by various tools within the firearm.

So these pictures that I took include two cartridge cases. And in this one, this area is out towards the rim. So the value of a picture like this is that it tells me about a movement. As the slide is coming backwards, there's a movement and a touch on this rim area of the breech face as it's either coming undone or coming unlocked and being ejected or as it's loading in. I'm not sure which one. I don't have the firearm

to compare. But that is a touch somewhere on the breech face which is a common, which also touched this rim area of this cartridge case.

Q So just real quickly, one of these photos depicts the Winchester, and one of them depicts the Federal; correct?

A Yes.

2.0

Q And obviously because they have different head stamps, the word Winchester is longer than Federal, stuff like this, this is an actual head stamp here in the bottom left; correct?

A That's part of the head stamp. I'm not sure what it is. It could be the 40 part of .40 Smith & Wesson, but those can be oriented in any way just simply based on how they were put into the firearm. They have no meaning to me or no value to me in comparison.

Q Understood. But there are some things that do have meaning and value to you on this photo; correct?

A Yes.

O And what are those?

A If you can see the marks in this area, the top, and then just underneath that little void right in the center where the two lines come together, there are some individual characteristics that have value showing the two cartridge case rims touched and moved across a machine surface within a common firearm.

Q And that's the center portions here?

2.0

A Yes. As it goes across the line and then just above that void there's quite a bit more.

Q Okay. I'm showing you now State's 283. What are we looking at here?

A This particular image is of even more interest to me on this particular kind of firearm. The reason I say that is I don't have a firearm, but this is a Glock-type firing pin. And it's more of a rectangular shape on the aperture that the firing pin comes through. And so this shear, these lines that I've highlighted are on the primer, but what is of interest to me here is they have a great deal of individuality.

And the reason for that is during manufacturing there is a machine that creates, within the breech face, it creates a hole for this aperture, for the aperture to exist. So there's a machine that comes in and cuts that rectangular hole. And then there's a different machine that creates a surface of the breech face where that hole is sitting. So with two machines coming together, that edge, which creates that scrape, the scrape on the very soft primer material has a great amount of individuality because there's two tools creating that one area.

So for me, when I see this kind of correspondence, that's very helpful for me.

Q And I don't want to speak too frankly, but each of these marks are individual, individualized on every single

cartridge case; correct?

2.0

A Well, I don't -- I have not tested and examined every individual cartridge case, but on these two, they share individuality between the two.

- Q Pretty clear when it comes to at least this portion of the two cartridge cases?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And then State's 285, what are we looking at here?

A This is the oval firing pin shape right in the center of the primer. This is the tool that impacted the primer, pressed in and created these — the initial firing of the firearm. And one of the reasons I look into the firing pin impression is once again it's a harder object hitting a very soft object. And within these two images there's quite a bit of individuality that I can see.

Firing pins are, once again, of interest to me because they are another tool, and in this case from a common firearm, made by a completely different machine somewhere else in the factory. So it creates even more individuality to see all of these various individual characteristics coming together in one set of tools, and these are different tools, but they show individuality.

And also with firing pins on these Glocks, they are prone to flake and break. So with time they can become even more individualized.

1	Q	Fair enough. Now, based upon your examination of all
2	these dif	ferent individual characteristics, did you come to a
3	conclusion	n as to the two submitted cartridge cases?
4	А	Yes, I did.
5	Q	What was your conclusion?
6	А	These two cartridge cases were identified as having
7	been fire	d in a similar firearm, in the same firearm.
8		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. I'll pass.
9		THE COURT: All right. Cross.
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. STO	ORMS:
12	Q	Mr. Wilcox, another thing you did with these
13	cartridge	cases is run them through the NIBIN network. Is that
14	correct?	
15	А	Correct. Yes.
16	Q	And so NIBIN is a National Integrated Ballistics
17	Information	on Network?
18	А	Yes, it is. Good.
19	Q	I just want to make sure I got that right.
20	А	You got it.
21	Q	And that is essentially firearms that have been
22	confiscate	ed or shell casings from an event like this that have
23	been logge	ed in a network for law enforcement?
24	A	Potentially.
25		And just as a correction that I know of, only

1	Item 1 was put into the NIBIN database.
2	Q Okay. But then you you determined that
3	Item 1 matched to Item 2?
4	A Later, yes.
5	Q Okay. And nothing came out of that, that search of
6	the network?
7	A I didn't do the results on the search. I simply put
8	it in. So I'm not aware.
9	Q So you don't know of anything?
10	A I do not.
11	Q And then otherwise you don't know the firearm that
12	shot these shells?
13	A I do not.
14	Q And, of course, you wouldn't know who shot the gun
15	that shot these shells?
16	A Correct.
17	MR. STORMS: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Any redirect?
19	MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Any juror questions for the witness?
21	(No audible response.)
22	THE COURT: All right, sir, I see no additional
23	questions. Thank you for your testimony. You are excused at
24	this time.
25	Do we need a break?

MR. GIORDANI: Break.

2.0

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to take a quick 10-minute break, just a little, right at 4:20.

And during the brief break you're all reminded that you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium, and please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

Please leave your notepads in your chairs and follow the bailiff through the double doors.

(Jury recessed at 4:12 p.m.)

THE COURT: I just wanted to put on the record that Ms. Trujillo objected to the Court rephrasing the juror question about the latex glove, and I overruled it and said I'm allowed to ask questions. So I'll just put that on the record because we approached.

MS. TRUJILLO: That's correct. And just to supplement what the Court has already said and my basis for the objection was it appeared because the witness, and it was Detective Dosch, responded exactly how the Court rephrased it. I was -- that's why I wasn't happy with it. So it appeared --

1	THE COURT: I thought it was a little unclear, the
2	question from the juror. So I was just attempting to make the
3	question a little bit clearer. So.
4	MS. TRUJILLO: Understood.
5	THE COURT: All right, then. Take a break.
6	Who's next?
7	MR. GIORDANI: I don't quite know yet. There's two
8	out there. I just need a break for a second.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. We'll go till 5:00.
10	(Proceedings recessed at 4:13 p.m. until 4:17 p.m.)
11	(Pause in the proceedings.)
12	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
13	THE COURT: Is everybody ready?
14	(No audible response.)
15	THE COURT: I mean, if they don't respond, it's
16	like
16 17	like MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense
17	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense
17 18	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense counsel has reviewed State's Proposed 240 through 281. At this
17 18 19	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense counsel has reviewed State's Proposed 240 through 281. At this point in time they're going to be stipulating to their
17 18 19 20	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense counsel has reviewed State's Proposed 240 through 281. At this point in time they're going to be stipulating to their admission.
17 18 19 20 21	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense counsel has reviewed State's Proposed 240 through 281. At this point in time they're going to be stipulating to their admission. THE COURT: Okay. Is that right, Defense?
17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense counsel has reviewed State's Proposed 240 through 281. At this point in time they're going to be stipulating to their admission. THE COURT: Okay. Is that right, Defense? MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, for the record, defense counsel has reviewed State's Proposed 240 through 281. At this point in time they're going to be stipulating to their admission. THE COURT: Okay. Is that right, Defense? MS. TRUJILLO: Yes. MR. STORMS: That is correct, Your Honor.

1	(Jury entering at 4:21 p.m.)
2	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session,
3	and the State may call its next witness.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Jeffrey Lomas.
5	JEFFREY LOMAS
6	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
7	testified as follows:
8	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State and
9	spell your first and last name for the record.
10	THE WITNESS: First name is Jeffrey, J-e-f-f-r-e-y.
11	Last name is spelled Lomas, L-o-m-a-s.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13	Mr
14	MR. GIORDANI: Giordani.
15	THE COURT: You there, Mr. State. Giordani.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. GIORDANI:
18	Q Good afternoon, sir.
19	A Good afternoon.
20	Q I'm sorry to keep you waiting out there.
21	What do you do for a living?
22	A I am employed with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
23	Department as a detective. And at the time of this incident, I
24	was a digital forensic examiner.
25	Q And what does a digital forensic examiner do, sir?

1

2

4

7

24

25

Α

Yes.

JD Reporting, Inc.

different cell phones under Event Number 1702214563?

Two of those cell phones you ultimately examined 1 2 using your software. Is that right? 3 That's correct. Was one of the phones essentially locked? You were 4 5 unable to do anything with it initially? 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. The two cell phones that you received, was Q 8 that two LG VS425PP model devices? 9 Α Yes. 10 Q So both of those devices had the same -- they were 11 the same model and make? 12 Same phone, same model, different serial numbers. 13 Okay. And how -- just describe briefly. What is a 0 14 serial number for a phone and where is it found? 15 So there's different types of serial numbers and Α 16 phones, but generally speaking the model number will say what 17 kind of phone it is as to manufacture and type of make, and 18 then also the serial number will be the individual number given 19 by the factory so that when they issue them out to people they 2.0 can tell the difference for warranty purposes. 21 Okay. With regard to these two LG phones, did you 22 label them essentially found in driveway and found under body? 23 Α Yes. 24 And would that have been information that you

JD Reporting, Inc.

received from the case agents involved?

25

2	recollection, is what was written on the packages as I had
3	originally received and that's for my own purposes so I could
4	help the detectives understand which phone was which because
5	they were the same model. That's why I had labeled them such.
6	Q Perfect. And to your recollection were as to both
7	of those phones, were the screens shattered or cracked pretty
8	extensively?
9	A At least one of them was. I'm not sure about the
10	other.
11	Q Did you author a report in this case?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Would it refresh your memory to look at that?
14	A It would. Thank you.
15	That one's pretty bad. They're both smashed pretty
16	good. Yes, they were both smashed pretty good.
17	Q Thank you. Notwithstanding the fact that they were
18	smashed or the screens were broken on them, are you still able
19	to analyze the contents of the phone, arguably?
20	A In some cases yes, we are able to pull data from the
21	phone without actually interacting with the screen.
22	Q Okay. When you received these two particular phones
23	for examination, do the detectives or investigators in the case
24	provide you maybe subject or I'm sorry, suspect phone
25	numbers or things to look at or keep an eye out for during the

1

Α

Yes. That would -- that was actually, to my

JD Reporting, Inc.

course of your examination?

A Sometimes yes. But usually what we have is either keywords, phone numbers, or we'll have a general idea of when the incident occurred so we have a timeline to look on the phone so we're not looking from maybe two years back. We're looking right around the time of the incident.

- Q Okay. Did you conduct Cellebrite examinations and extractions from both of these phones I just referenced?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Under this particular event number?
- 11 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q During the course of your extract, did you search both phones for contacts titled POE, or P-O-E A-T-L?
- A Yes.
 - Q Did both of those phones have contacts inside them associated with POE ATL?
- 17 A Yes.
 - Q I want to bring your attention to -- oh, I'm sorry.
 I should reference one of these phone numbers had a phone
 number (702)277-4856?
 - A Yes. I'd have to look at the report again. I don't have the numbers memorized.
 - Q Okay. Well, does that sound accurate?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And the other one is (702)755-2805?

1	А	You're referring to the phone number that belongs to
2	the phone	itself?
3	Q	Correct.
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Now, during the course of your searches of
6	Cellebrite	e extractions on these two phones, you found the
7	contact sa	aved as POE ATL in both; correct?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And I want to draw your specific attention to that
10	first phor	ne I referenced. Were you able to extract was your
11	attention	drawn to the morning of February 21st, 2017?
12	А	So just so I understand the context and what you're
13	talking ak	pout, you're referring to the phone that was under the
14	body?	
15	Q	No. The one in the driveway.
16	А	The one in the driveway. Okay.
17	Q	Yes, sir. Was your attention drawn, did you find
18	text messa	ages from the morning of February 21st, 2017?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And at 9:26 a.m., do you recall extracting a text
21	from that	phone in its inbox from phone number (702)581-2072?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And that phone I'm sorry, that text message was in
24	the inbox	of phone (702)755-2805?
25	А	Yes.

1 Q And --2 So it was located in the phone within the database Α 3 where SMS messages are stored. Yes. Okay. Thank you. And to be clear, when you do an 4 Q 5 extract on a phone, you can extract call history, text history, 6 sometimes content of text messages, like the words that are 7 texted; correct? 8 Yes. And so this data is not logical data like a Α 9 user would see on a phone. The data is coming from the actual 10 databases contained on the phone. So they're in what we call 11 SQLite databases, and so the user doesn't see those databases, 12 but we see those when we do the extractions, and that's where 13 the data actually comes from. 14 Understood. And bringing you back to that text Q 15 message in the inbox at 9:26 a.m. on February 21st, 2017, did 16 that state, Fam Bros --17 MR. STORMS: Objection. 18 MR. GIORDANI: What's the basis? 19 THE COURT: What's the basis? 2.0 MR. STORMS: Can we approach? 21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 23 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. 24 Mr. Giordani, you may proceed.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.

25

1	D. J. D. G.	
1	BY MR. GI	
2	Q	And, Detective, just to be clear, we're talking about
3	an inbox	text at 9:26 a.m. on February 21st, 2017.
4		It said, Fam Bros B-r-o-s Bros called me for
5	bags stil	1. Should I tell him today or tomorrow, my dawg,
6	d-a-w-g?	
7		Do you recall that?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Was there another incoming text from the contact POE
10	ATL at (7	02)581-2072, at 9:34 a.m. saying, Brodie, B-r-o-d-i-e,
11	he just t	ext me. He get off at 7:30. Then he ready.
12		Do you recall that?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And then an outbox text four minutes later at
15	9:38 a.m.	from (702)755-2805, the subject phone, to POE ATL
16	stating,	Okay?
17	А	Yes.
18		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Detective.
19		I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: All right. Cross.
21		MS. TRUJILLO: Court's indulgence.
22		CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. ST	ORMS:
24	Q	Good afternoon.
25	А	Good afternoon.
		JD Reporting, Inc.

Examiner Wilmax, is that --1 Q 2 Α Lomas, L-o-m-a-s. 3 Q Lomas. Excuse me. Uh-huh. 4 Α 5 You mentioned this was a rush examination; correct? Q 6 Α I did not say that was a rush examination. 7 Oh, the State did. The State called it a rush Q 8 examination. 9 This happened on February 22nd; is that correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 And the incident in question was February 21st; 12 correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 And so you got this phone directly from the Q 15 detective? 16 Α Yes. 17 And the phone went from the scene to the detective to Q 18 you? 19 Α Yes. 2.0 So there was no other forensic testing of the phones 21 that you tested, like DNA or fingerprints or anything along 22 those lines? 23 That's not what I do. No. Α 24 And you're unaware if that happened? Q 25 I'm not privy to that information. Α

1	O The detective gave the phone directly to you from
	Q The detective gave the phone directly to you from
2	taking it from the scene; isn't that true?
3	A So the detective so I got the packages, and so I
4	don't know where it was in the middle of that.
5	Q Okay.
6	A I have no idea.
7	MR. STORMS: All right. Well, thank you very much.
8	That's it.
9	THE COURT: Nothing else.
10	Any redirect?
11	MR. GIORDANI: No. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Any juror questions for the witness?
13	All right, sir, I see no additional questions. Thank
14	you for your testimony. You are excused at this time.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
16	THE COURT: And the State may call its next witness.
17	MR. GIORDANI: May we approach?
18	THE COURT: Sure.
19	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
20	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
21	apparently that's all for today. We're going to go ahead and
22	take our evening recess. We will reconvene tomorrow at
23	10:00 a.m. 10:00 a.m. tomorrow.
23 24	10:00 a.m. 10:00 a.m. tomorrow. During the evening recess, you're all reminded that

with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Do not visit the location at issue, and please do not form or express an opinion on the trial.

Please leave your notepads in your chairs and follow Officer Hawkes through the double doors, and we'll see everyone back at 10:00 a.m. tomorrow.

(Jury recessed for the evening at 4:35 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Officers, we are having a hearing at 9:00 on this case. So the defendant needs to be back at 9:00. All right. So 9:00 a.m. for all of us.

What?

2.0

MR. STORMS: Oh, are we still on the record for --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. STORMS: Okay. Great. I want to make a record about that one objection I made, Your Honor, if I can.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STORMS: I made a contemporaneous objection to the examiner talking about the contents of the text messages based upon the authenticity, the *Rodriguez* argument that I made earlier that this quoted, you know, Fam Bros called for a bag and so on and so forth as at this point they haven't established that it's something produced by Anthony Carter and

```
that it's relevant essentially.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Except --
 3
               MR. STORMS: Like I've said before --
               THE COURT: Except it's his phone. Well, it's
 4
 5
     someone, a subscriber with the same name. Right. And it could
 6
     be --
 7
               MR. STORMS: It's that the case is that he --
 8
               THE COURT: It could be County Commissioner Larry
 9
             It could be any other Larry Brown. I'm just saying
10
     it's --
11
               MR. STORMS: Well, I'm talking about --
12
               THE COURT: -- an individual by the name of Larry
13
     Brown.
14
               MR. STORMS: Well, it was actually an individual by
15
     the name of Anthony Carter --
16
               THE COURT: You're right.
17
               MR. STORMS: -- texting Kwame Banks or -- excuse
18
    me --
19
               THE COURT: I just had --
2.0
               MR. STORMS: -- an individual -- the phone is
21
     registered to an individual named Anthony Carter texting the
22
     Kwame Banks.
23
               THE COURT: Right.
24
               MR. STORMS: And that the -- who actually sent the
25
     text message, you know our position on that.
```

1	THE COURT: Well, that goes to it's just like
2	anything else. You know, who wore the bloody sneaker? I don't
3	know. Well, it's not a sneaker.
4	MR. GIORDANI: No.
5	THE COURT: Actually, it's not a tennis shoe.
6	MS. TRUJILLO: I mean, a witness said it was.
7	MR. DICKERSON: It depends on your definition, I
8	guess.
9	THE COURT: Well, you know, maybe he's being you
10	know, some people there's a tennis shoe; there's a running
11	shoe; there's a cross-training shoe. There's, you know,
12	whatever other sport that person might do.
13	Did you need to say anything else on that point,
14	State?
15	MR. GIORDANI: No. I think I made the same record,
16	the response yesterday or
17	THE COURT: Yeah.
18	MR. GIORDANI: whenever that was that we argued
19	this extensively, and I think the same analysis applies.
20	THE COURT: All right. I agree.
21	MR. STORMS: I'm just going to when these things
22	come in
23	THE COURT: Do you want to just say objection, or do
24	you want the record to note
25	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. Continuing objection.

1	THE COURT: that you have a standing continuing
2	objection
3	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.
4	THE COURT: to the use of the phone records for
5	content?
6	MS. TRUJILLO: Sure.
7	MR. STORMS: That's fine by me.
8	THE COURT: That way you don't have to keep
9	MR. STORMS: That would make it easier, and that way
10	we don't have to storm up there and do all that.
11	THE COURT: State, do you care if we just note on the
12	record their ongoing objection?
13	MR. GIORDANI: Not at all.
14	THE COURT: All right. So it's noted.
15	MR. STORMS: Well, I'm storming up there. I'm
16	Storms.
17	THE COURT: No. No. I mean, it's noted on the
18	record you're objecting to all of this, just like you have a
19	continuing objection to anything regarding the footprint.
20	MR. STORMS: Yes.
21	MR. GIORDANI: That's fair.
22	THE COURT: I think it's understood, but that way we
23	don't have to keep popping up and running up and
24	MR. GIORDANI: Sounds great.
25	MR. STORMS: Sounds good to us.

1	THE COURT: All right. 9:00 a.m.
2	MR. GIORDANI: All right.
3	MR. STORMS: Thank you.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
5	(Proceedings recessed for the evening at 4:39 p.m.)
6	-000-
7	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
8	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled
9	case.
10	\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim
11	Dana P. Williams
12	Dana L. Williams Transcriber
13	ITALISCITUEL
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	