IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY BROWN

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Jul 08 2021 09:27 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

Docket No. 81962

Direct Appeal From A Judgment of Conviction Eighth Judicial District Court The Honorable Valerie Adair, District Judge District Court No. C-17-326247-1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 13 OF 18

Navid Afshar
State Bar #14465
Deputy Special Public Defender
JoNell Thomas
State Bar #4771
Special Public Defender
330 South 3rd Street
Las Vegas, NV 89155
(702) 455-6265
Attorney for Larry Brown

<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PLEADING</u> <u>PGS</u>
14	Amended Fourth Superseding Indictment (1/20/19)
18	Amended Judgment of Conviction (10/20/2020)3431-33
13	Amended Jury List (12/19/19)
18	Amended Notice of Appeal (11/18/2020)3451-52
5	Amended Third Superseding Indictment (12/9/19)
18	Criminal Court Minutes (unfiled)
13	Defendant's Objections to State's Proposed Jury Instructions (12/19/19)
4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion for Disclosure of Corrective Action Reports (11/18/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion for Disclosure of Favorable Evidence (7/31/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine Requesting This Court Preclude The State and its Witnesses from Referring To the Decedent as "The Victim" (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine Requesting This Court Preclude The State from Presenting as Evidence Specific Items Recovered from the Search of Angela Ryder's Residence On March 20, 2017 (8/9/19)
4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude All Cell Phone Information Obtained by Cellebrite and Response to State's Motion in Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining To Advanced Proprietary Software (8/12/19)

4	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting as Evidence Unclear Video Surveillance Of What Appears to be a White SUV (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting Details of the Condition of Decdent's Nissan Altima Located in a Business Complex at 7495 Azure Drive and Motion to Strike Experts L.Brown, H. Jarrad, S. Saucedo, and J. Sypniewicz (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting to the Jury any Evidence That Suggests Flight Prior to this Court Ruling on Whether to Allow a Flight Instruction (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting Unduly Prejudicial and/or Cumulative Photographs to the Jury (8/9/19)
3	Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Referring to the Trial Phase as the "Guilt" Phase" (8/9/19)
4	Defendant's Motion to Declare the Court's Order Finding that The State May Present Footwear Impression Evidence to the Jury Through Lay Witnesses Void as it Violates Mr. Brown's Due Process and Fair Trial Rights (11/15/19)
4	Ex Parte Motion for Clark County Detention Center Records (8/12/19)
4	Ex Parte Order for Clark County Detention Center to Produce Records Related to Defendant Anthony Carter (8/26/19) 767-68
15	Exhibit List and Exhibits by Court (unfiled)
15	Exhibit List and Exhibits by Defendant (unfiled)

16	Exhibit List and Exhibits by State (unfiled) [CONTINUED IN NEXT VOLUME]2916-3151
17	Exhibit List and Exhibits by State (unfiled) [CONTINUATION]
14	Guilty Plea Agreement (12/20/19)
1	Indictment (9/6/17)
15	Instructions to the Jury (12/20/19)
18	Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty-Alford) (9/23/2020) 3425-28
7	Jury List (12/11/19)1443
1	Motion for Order to Show Cause (2/21/18)
1	Motion to Compel Compliance with Subpoena (1/18/18)
2	Motion to Join Co-Defendant Anthony Carter's Motion to Sever And Defendant's Motion to Sever Co-Defendants (4/16/18) 261-70
1	Motion to Place on Calendar and Motion to Reduce Bail (10/5/17)
18	Motion to Unseal Minutes and Portion of Sealed Part of the Testimony on December 13, 2019 (10/21/2020)
2	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (4/11/18) 255-60
18	Notice of Appeal (10/19/2020)
3	Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (8/2/19) 514-15
4	Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (11/08/19) 817-35

4	Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (8/16/19)746-4
5	Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (11/26/19)
5	Notice of Motion and Motion to Suppress Information Obtained By a Constitutionally Deficient Warrant (12/3/19)956-5
2	Opposition to State's Motion to Compel Defendant Brown's Cellular Phone Passcode, or Alternatively, to Compel Fingerprint (5/18/18)
5	Order Denying Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude the State from Presenting as Evidence Specific Items Recovered from the Search of Angelisa Ryder's Residence on March 20, 2017 (11/27/19)
5	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Declare the Court's Order Finding that the State May Present Footwear Impression Evidence to The Jury Through Lay Witnesses Void as it Violates Mr. Brown's Due Process and Fair Trial Rights (11/27/19)
17	Order for JAVS via CD (1/17/2020)336
18	Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Unseal Minutes and Portion of Sealed Part of the Testimony on December 13, 2019 (11/6/2020)
4	Order Granting Defendant Larry Brown's Motion For Disclosure Of Corrective Action Reports (11/21/19)
2	Order Granting State's Motion to Compel Fingerprint and Denying State's Motion to Compel Defendant's Cellular Phone Passcode (5/31/18)

5	Order Reserving Ruling Until the Time of Trial for the State's Motion In Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining to Advanced Proprietary Software, and the Defendant Larry Brown's Motion in Limine to Preclude all Cell Phone Information Obtained by Cellebrite And Response to State's Motion in Limine (11/27/19)
1	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Dismiss (10/3/17)
18	Second Amended Judgment of Conviction (12/2/2020) 3453-57
18	Second Amended Notice of Appeal (12/10/2020)
1	Second Superseding Indictment (10/11/17)
5	Second Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (12/9/19)
18	Sentencing Memorandum (9/17/2020)
1	State's Motion and Notice of Motion to Revoke Bail (10/5/17) 109-18
3	State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Address Cellebrite Testimony Pertaining to Advanced Proprietary Software (8/2/19)
2	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Defendant Brown's Cellular Phone Passcode, or Alternatively, to Compel Fingerprint (4/23/18)
2	State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (5/8/18) 321-77
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material (8/2/19)

4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State And Witnesses from Referring to Kwame Banks as "The Victim (8/22/19)	n"
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Arson (9/3/19)	
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Flight (9/3/19)	
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Evidence of Shoes and Brass Knuckles (9/3/19)	
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Presenting Unduly prejudicial and/or Cumulative Photographs to the Jury (8/22/19)	
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State From Using the Term "Guilty Phase" (8/22/19)	
3	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (6/7/19)	467-74
5	State's Tenth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (11/25/19)	933-42
6	Stipulation and Order to Waive Penalty Hearing (12/9/19) 1	248-49
3	Supplement to Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (8/7/19)	553-71
4	Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses (11/15/19)	869-72
5	Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Witnesses (12/2/19)	954-55
1	Superseding Indictment (10/4/17)	97-100

1	Third Superseding Indictment (12/13/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 1 August 29, 2017 (9/9/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Vol 2 September 5, 2017 (9/12/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing September 6, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing September 14, 2017 (11/19/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Superseding Proceedings October 3, 2017 (10/17/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 4, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Second Superseding Proceedings October 10, 2017 (10/24/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 11, 2017 (12/1/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 19, 2017 (11/19/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing October 31, 2017 (11/20/2020) 147-51
1	Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Third Superseding Proceedings December 12, 2017 (12/28/17)
1	Transcript of Hearing December 13, 2017 (12/1/2020) 172-75
1	Transcript of Hearing December 19, 2017 (11/20/2020)
1	Transcript of Hearing February 27, 2018 (11/20/2020) 220-24
1	Transcript of Hearing March 6, 2018 (11/20/2020)

1	Transcript of Hearing March 20, 2018 (10/22/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 3, 2018 (11/20/2020)238-54
2	Transcript of Hearing April 17, 2018 (11/20/2020)271-82
2	Transcript of Hearing April 24, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing April 26, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing May 8, 2018 (11/20/2020)316-20
2	Transcript of Hearing May 22, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing May 31, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing June 7, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing August 9, 2018 (11/20/2020) 433-38
2	Transcript of Hearing August 30, 2018 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing November 27, 2018 (11/20/2020) 443-49
2	Transcript of Hearing January 17, 2019 (11/20/2020) 450-54
2	Transcript of Hearing March 21, 2019 (11/20/2020) 455-58
2	Transcript of Hearing April 25, 2019 (11/20/2020)
2	Transcript of Hearing May 30, 2019 (11/20/2020)
3	Transcript of Hearing June 27, 2019 (11/20/2020)
3	Transcript of Hearing August 1, 2019 (11/20/2020) 510-13
4	Transcript of Hearing August 15, 2019 (11/20/2020)740-45

4	Transcript of Hearing August 20, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing August 22, 2020 (11/20/2020) 762-66
4	Transcript of Hearing October 29, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing November 5, 2019 (11/20/2020)
4	Transcript of Hearing November 14, 2019 (11/20/2020)
5	Transcript of Hearing November 21, 2019 (11/25/2019) 883-932
5	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 December 9, 2019 Pages 1-55 (12/9/2020) [CONT'D IN NEXT VOLUME] 965-1019
6	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 December 9, 2019 Pages 56-283 (12/9/2020) [CONTINUATION]
7	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 December 10, 2019 (12/9/2020)
8	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 December 11, 2019 (12/9/2020)
9	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 December 12, 2019 (12/9/2020)
10	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 December 13, 2019 EXCERPT (12/9/2020)
11	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 December 13, 2019 EXCERPT (12/9/2020)
11	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 December 17, 2019 (12/9/2020)
12	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 December 18, 2019 Pages 1-173 (12/9/2020) [CONT'D IN NEXT VOLUME) . 2232-2404

13	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 December 18, 2019 Pgs 174-288 (12/9/2020) [CONTINUATION]2	405-2519
14	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8 December 19, 2019 (12/9/2020)	2533-2727
15	Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9 December 20, 2019 (12/9/2020)	2783-98
17	Transcript of Hearing August 6, 2020 (11/20/2020)	3363-68
18	Transcript of Hearing September 18, 2020 (11/3/2020) 3	398-3424
18	Transcript of Hearing November 5, 2020 (12/5/2020)	3443-46
17	Verdict (12/20/19)	3360-61

1		THE WITNESS: L-A-T-O-R-I-A.
2		THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
3		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Judge.
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:
6	Q	Hi, Ms. Brown.
7	А	Hi.
8	Q	What is your relationship with Larry Brown?
9	А	He's my father.
10	Q	Can you speak up just a little?
11	А	Oh. He's my father.
12	Q	Okay. And how old are you?
13	А	I'm 21.
14	Q	Where do you live?
15	А	I live in Atlanta, Georgia.
16	Q	And have you always lived in Atlanta, Georgia?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Do you also do you have any other siblings?
19	А	Yes, I do.
20	Q	How many other siblings do you have?
21	А	I have three brothers.
22	Q	Three brothers. Do they also live in Georgia?
23	А	Yes, ma'am.
24	Q	Okay. Do you remember when your dad moved to Las
25	Vegas?	
	1	4.74

1	Α	The beginning of 2016, I believe.
2	Q	After he moved away, did your dad come back and visit?
3	А	That summer we went up there, he came. He came down
4	here v	vell, he came down to Atlanta to get us and we came back
5	up here	for the summer.
6	Q	Okay. So that summer, you're referring to the summer
7	of 2016?	
8	А	Yes, ma'am.
9	Q	Okay. So he went to Atlanta to pick you up and then
10	return b	ack to Vegas?
11	Α	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q	Okay. Did he visit Atlanta at any other times that you can
13	recall?	
14	Α	Yes. He visited a little bit after Thanksgiving, and he was
15	there for	r Christmas.
16	Q	Okay. So I want to go back to the summer of 2017; when
17	he went	to pick you up, how was he acting?
18	Α	Normal, not out of the ordinary.
19	Q	Did he appear scared to you or stressed out?
20	Α	No, ma'am.
21	Q	Okay. And when you
22		MR. GIORDANI: And, Judge, I apologize. I don't mean to
23	interrup	t. I would object to the year. I think that
24		MS. TRUJILLO: I said summer 2017? 2016, sorry.
25		MR. GIORDANI: 2016.

1		MS. TRUJILLO: Yes.
2		THE COURT: Okay.
3		MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
4	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:
5	Q	So summer 2016, you said he was acting how?
6	Α	He was normal.
7	Q	Okay. And during your visit, you were actually here in
8	Vegas, r	ight?
9	Α	Yes, ma'am.
10	Q	And your brother was with you?
11	Α	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q	And how was he acting during the time in Vegas?
13	Α	Not out of the ordinary, nothing to question. He was the
14	same.	
15	Q	All right. And when did he next visit Atlanta?
16	Α	In 2016?
17	Q	Yes, in 2016.
18	Α	We went it was a little bit after my birthday. I think a
19	little bit	after, around Thanksgiving
20	Q	Okay.
21	Α	he came down. We had a we attended a funeral in
22	Alabama	а.
23	Q	And during that visit, you saw your dad, right?
24	Α	Yes, ma'am.
25	Q	And he was still living in Vegas at the time?
	1	

1	Α	Yes, ma'am.	
2	Q	Okay. And the next time after Thanksgiving and the	
3	funeral,	when is the next time you remember your dad visiting your	
4	in Atlan	ta?	
5	А	Christmas.	
6	Q	Christmas of 2016?	
7	А	Yes, ma'am.	
8	Q	And did you spend time with him during Christmas	
9	of 2016?		
10	Α	Yes. We yes, we	
11		MR. GIORDANI: And, Judge, I would object as to	
12	relevance at this point. The murder's in 2017.		
13		THE COURT: Oh. Counsel approach.	
14		[Off-record bench conference.]	
15		MS. TRUJILLO: Sorry about that, Ms. Brown.	
16	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:	
17	Q	Okay. So the last time you recall was around the holidays,	
18	right?		
19	А	Yes, ma'am.	
20	Q	Did you guys go out to eat during the time he visited	
21	during t	he holidays?	
22	Α	Yes, ma'am, we did.	
23	Q	Did you see him regularly during the time he visited? And	
24	l'm talki	ng about the 2016 holidays.	
25	Α	Regularly when he came to visit?	
	1	177	

1	Q	Yes.
2	А	Yes, ma'am.
3	Q	And do you recall how long he was there during that time
4	period w	hen he visited?
5	А	I would say a couple of weeks, a couple of weeks.
6	Q	Okay. Do you know where he stayed when he visited
7	Atlanta?	
8	А	To my knowledge, he stayed at my great-grandmother's
9	house.	
10	Q	Okay. Would have you visited him at your
11	great-gra	andmother's house?
12	Α	Yes, I went over there. He had luggage and things over
13	there.	
14	Q	Okay. And after that holiday time period, when is the next
15	time you	remember him visiting you in Atlanta?
16	Α	March.
17	Q	Okay. And now we're in March of 2017?
18	Α	Yes, ma'am.
19	Q	Okay. Did you see him when he visited that time?
20	Α	Yes, ma'am, I did.
21	Q	How often did you see him
22	Α	Rough
23	Q	during that time?
24	А	Roughly every day, almost every day. I was still in school,
25	so he cai	me and picked me up from school sometimes or from my

178

1	house o	or from work, because I had a job. Or he dropped me off at
2	work. S	So I'd see him almost every day.
3	Q	Do you remember how long he was in Atlanta from
4	March 2	2017 till whenever you remember?
5	А	All the way up until June.
6	Q	June? Okay.
7	А	Yes, ma'am.
8	Q	During that time period so, now, March 2017 to
9	June 20	017, when you said you saw him mostly every day, did you
10	guys go	o out to eat?
11	А	Yes, we went out to eat. We went to the mall. He took me
12	prom dress shopping, because my prom was in April of –	
13	April 15th. Yeah, I'd see him every day. We went out to eat. We	
14	went fe	stivals, malls. We
15	Q	Okay. And during that time period, how was your dad
16	acting a	around you?
17	А	He was normal to me.
18	Q	Did he appear scared to you?
19	А	No, ma'am.
20	Q	Did he appear stressed out?
21	А	No, ma'am.
22	Q	And you were going public places, fair to say?
23	А	Yes, ma'am.
24	Q	Okay. Like you just said, restaurants?
25	Α	[No audible response.]
		179

1	Q	And the mall?
2	А	Yes, ma'am.
3	Q	Okay. And he was acting completely normal?
4	А	Yes, ma'am.
5	Q	Was there any other event that he was that you know he
6	went to	while you were there? For example, with you, were there
7	any eve	nts that you guys went to together?
8	Α	I'm trying to remember. I'm not sure.
9	Q	It's okay if you don't remember.
10	Α	I'm not really sure.
11	Q	Okay.
12	А	Can't remember.
13	Q	When he visited during the same period, so March 2017
14	through	n, like you just said, June, I believe you said?
15	А	Yes, ma'am.
16	Q	Do you personally know if he saw your brother?
17	А	Yes. We had my we went places with my brother too.
18	He wen	t out to eat with us and we did everything.
19	Q	Okay. So there were times where you with your brother
20	and you	ır dad?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And do you know when he was your father was
23	arrested	d?
24	А	June 29th.
25	Q	June 29th, how do you know that date? Were you there?

1	А	That was the day before my brother's birthday. My
2	brother	's birthday is June 30th.
3	Q	And prior to June 29th, when is the last time you
4	rememl	per seeing your father?
5	А	I believe the day before. The day before. Because I had
6	summe	r school and I believe he took me to summer school. So the
7	day I	believe the day before.
8	Q	Okay. And how was he acting the day before?
9	А	He was normal to me.
10	Q	Okay. He didn't appear scared to you?
11	А	No, ma'am.
12	Q	Not nervous?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Okay. Thank you.
15		MS. TRUJILLO: No further questions, Judge.
16		THE COURT: All right. Cross?
17		MR. GIORDANI: No, thank you.
18		THE COURT: Any juror questions?
19		All right. I'll see counsel at the bench, please.
20		[Off-record bench conference.]
21		THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your testimony, and
22	please o	don't discuss your testimony with anybody else who may be
23	a witne	ss in this case.
24		THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
25	1	THE COLIBT: Thank you, and you are excused

181

1		THE WITNESS: Okay.
2		THE COURT: And the defense may call its next witness.
3		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you.
4		Defense calls Loshalonda Ford.
5		LOSHALONDA FORD,
6	[having	g been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
7		follows:]
8		THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat.
9	State an	d spell your first and last name for the record.
10		THE WITNESS: Loshalonda Ford, L-O-S-H-A-L-O-N-D-A,
11	F-O-R-D.	
12		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13		Ms. Trujillo?
14		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Judge.
15		DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:
17	Q	Hi, Ms. Ford. How are you?
18	Α	I'm good. How are you?
19	Q	Good, thank you.
20		How do you know Larry Brown?
21	Α	I met him at my kids' elementary school through the PTA
22	program	1.
23	Q	How would you characterize your are you guys friends?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. How long have you known Larry Brown?
		182

1	А	It's been a little bit over nine years.
2	Q	Okay. Do you remember when let me ask you this, I
3	apologiz	ze: Where do you live?
4	А	I live in Stone Mountain
5	Q	How long
6	Α	Georgia.
7	Q	How long have you lived in Georgia?
8	Α	About 25 years.
9	Q	Okay. Do you remember when Larry moved to Vegas?
0	Α	Yes.
1	Q	And when was that?
2	А	It was early part of 2016. It was either March or April.
3	Q	Okay. And while he was living in Vegas, did you remain
4	in conta	ct with him?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	After he moved to Las Vegas, did he visit Georgia?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And I'm going to talk about the different times he
9	visited (Georgia. When is the first time after he moved to Vegas that
20	you rem	nember he visited Georgia?
21	А	It was the end of May. He came and got his kids once
22	they got	out of school for the summer.
23	Q	So that would be May 2016?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And when he visited at that time, did you see him?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Did you do anything with him, go out to eat, anything?
3	Α	Yeah. We went out and had dinner, just hung out a little
4	bit.	
5	Q	Okay. And so after May of 2016, when is the next time
6	you rec	all him visiting Georgia?
7	Α	He brought them back, it was I want to say the
8	beginni	ng of August.
9	Q	So August 2016?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Did you see him when he visited in August?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Do you remember how long he stayed in August of 2016?
14	А	It was a few weeks, so about two or three weeks.
15	Q	And then after August 2016, when is the next time you
16	rememl	per him visiting Georgia?
17	А	He was back at the end of September.
18	Q	And how do you remember that?
19	Α	He stayed from September-October, I remember, because
20	me and	my girlfriend came out here to Vegas in October and he
21	was at r	my home.
22	Q	So during that time period, he stayed at your house?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	So when he visited during September and October, you
25	saw hin	n?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Did you hang out with him
3	А	Uh-huh.
4	Q	like you normally would?
5	А	Yes, uh-huh.
6	Q	Did you guys go to restaurants?
7	Α	Uh-huh. Went out, hung out, ate dinner, to the movies,
8	just the	usual.
9	Q	Okay. And after September of 2016, when is the next time
10	you remember him visiting Georgia?	
11	Α	It was after Thanksgiving, so it's like the beginning of
12	December.	
13	Q	Okay. Again, 2016?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And how long did he stay during that visit?
16	Α	He stayed to New Year's Eve.
17	Q	Do you know where he stayed during that time?
18	Α	He was at my house and his grandma's.
19	Q	Okay. So back and forth two places?
20	Α	Uh-huh.
21	Q	So you fair to say you hung out with Larry during that
22	time?	
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And after New Year's Eve, when is the next time
25	you reca	all him visiting?

1	Α	The next time I saw him, it was March.
2	Q	Okay. March?
3	Α	Uh-huh.
4	Q	And in March, did you visit here did you come to visit in
5	Vegas?	
6	Α	Yes, I flew back to Vegas flew to Vegas.
7	Q	Okay. And then when was the next time that Larry Brown
8	went to	Georgia that you know of?
9	Α	Well, we drove back to Georgia.
10	Q	Okay. And what date was that? Or around what time?
11	Α	It was the middle of March, so around the 15th or so.
12	Q	Around the 15th?
13	Α	Uh-huh.
14	Q	Okay. You drove back to Georgia
15	Α	Uh-huh.
16	Q	with Larry?
17	Α	Uh-huh.
18	Q	Okay. And during that drive, how was Larry acting?
19	Α	He was the normal, cheerful self. Just happened to be
20	going ba	ack, you know, he was in the process of moving back home,
21	so he wa	as happy about that. And we just talked about things that
22	we want	to do, the concert we were going to that following
23	weekend	d. So just a normal person.
24	Q	Okay. You said in the process of moving back home; did
25	he tell y	ou before that

1		MR. GIORDANI: Objection. Did he tell you?
2		MS. TRUJILLO: Approach?
3		THE COURT: Yeah.
4		[Off-record bench conference.]
5	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:
6	Q	Sorry, Ms. Ford.
7	А	Uh-huh.
8	Q	So you said that he was excited about moving back home.
9	When is	s the first time you learned that he intended to move back to
10	Georgia	n?
11	А	The before he moved here. It was only his plan to stay
12	here for	a year.
13	Q	Okay. So that would have been
14	А	In 2016.
15	Q	in 2016?
16	А	Yeah.
17	Q	Okay. So you indicated he was fine on the drive, he didn't
18	seemed	I stressed out to you?
19	А	Uh-uh.
20	Q	He didn't seem nervous to you?
21	А	No.
22	Q	What did you do when you got first of all, how long did
23	it take y	ou to get over there?
24	А	A day and a half. The longest ride ever.
25	Q	Okay. What did you do when you guys arrived to
l l	1	

1	Georgia	n?
2	А	We picked my car up from the airport. We went home,
3	normal	routine. That following weekend we went to a concert. Just
4	the nor	mal things that we were doing.
5	Q	Okay. Did so do you know where he stayed when he
6	visited t	that time?
7	А	He was between my house and his grandmother's.
8	Q	Okay. Between the time period of do you know when
9	he was arrested?	
0	А	It was the end of June of 2017.
1	Q	So between when you went there, you said, around
2	the 15th of March to June of 2017, how often did you see Larry?	
3	А	I saw him on a consistent basis. Sometime daily.
4	Q	Sometimes daily?
5	А	Uh-huh.
6	Q	And during that time period, how was he acting?
7	Α	Normal. Just the normal person.
8	Q	Okay. So you guys were still doing the same things you
9	have do	one on prior visits?
20	Α	Uh-huh.
21		MS. TRUJILLO: No further questions.
22		THE COURT: Cross?
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
25	Q	This little drive back to Georgia, this was just on a whim
1		188

1	or what?	
2	А	Well, we had discussed the year before that when he got
3	ready to	move back, that I would help him drive.
4	Q	Okay.
5	Α	And he would
6	Q	Didn't have to do with the fact he had just robbed and
7	killed so	mebody?
8	Α	I have no knowledge of what happens. I don't
9	Q	Okay.
10	А	can't speak on that.
11	Q	Okay. Was your understanding that he moved out here to
12	try to find some money or work?	
13	А	To work, uh-huh.
14	Q	Uh-huh. And he was hurting for money at the time?
15	Α	I don't know about his finances.
16	Q	Okay. Hurting so bad he had to rob somebody for
17	money?	
18		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection, Judge.
19		THE COURT: It's sustained.
20		MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
21	BY MR. (GIORDANI:
22	Q	Did when you went back to oh, well, let me ask you
23	this: You	u seem to know Mr. Brown pretty well, right?
24	А	I do.
25	Q	You've never met me, never talked to me before, never

1	talked to	any law enforcement in Vegas?
2	Α	No.
3	Q	Okay. You knew him back in February 2017, right?
4	Α	Uh-huh.
5	Q	And did you talk to him over the phone?
6	Α	Uh-huh.
7	Q	You
8	Α	Well, I February of yeah, that year. Uh-huh.
9	Q	Okay. You'd agree with me his phone number was a 404
10	area cod	de?
11	Α	I don't remember his number from then.
12	Q	That's convenient. For how about 404-808-2233?
13	Α	That may have been the number. I don't remember.
14	Q	But you communicated frequently?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Via text?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Does Mr. Brown have a nickname?
19	Α	I only call him Larry.
20	Q	You call him Larry?
21	Α	Uh-huh.
22	Q	You'd agree with me there's no documentation of this
23	drive, ri	ght?
24	Α	Not that I know of.
25	Q	I mean, you probably stopped for gas at some point?
		190

1	Α	Uh-huh.
2		THE COURT: And you have to answer yes or no
3		THE WITNESS: Yes.
4		THE COURT: for the taped record.
5		THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
7	Q	And what's your phone number, ma'am?
8	Α	770-899-0004.
9	Q	How long have you had that number?
10	Α	About 12 years or so.
11	Q	And you say 770-8
12	Α	899-0004.
13	Q	And you've had that number for how long?
14	Α	About 12 years.
15	Q	12 years?
16	Α	Uh-huh.
17	Q	Okay. I just didn't hear you.
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	I don't I'm not trying to trick you, I just didn't hear you.
20	Α	Oh, no. You're fine.
21	Q	And your testimony here today under oath, in front of this
22	jury, is t	hat Mr. Brown didn't talk to you about anything that was
23	going or	n in Vegas with regard to criminal activity whatsoever?
24	Α	No.
25	Q	Okay. Everything was peachy?
		191

1	A	I don't like he didn't talk to me about anything criminal,
2	so I don	't know. That's something I don't know.
3	Q	Okay. Did you help him get rid of the .40-caliber firearm?
4	А	The who?
5		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection, Judge.
6	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
7	Q	The .40
8		MS. TRUJILLO: May we approach?
9		THE COURT: She already said no. Approach.
10		[Off-record bench conference.]
11		MR. GIORDANI: May I?
12		THE COURT: Yeah, proceed.
13	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
14	Q	When Mr. Brown allegedly drove back with you to Atlanta,
15	did he h	ave cash on him?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	How much?
18	Α	I don't know.
19	Q	Couple thousand?
20	Α	No.
21		MR. GIORDANI: Court's brief indulgence.
22	Q	You sure you didn't know anything about this robbery?
23	Α	I'm sure.
24	Q	Do you agree with me that on February 21st, 2017
25		MR. GIORDANI: Give me a second.
	1	

1	Q	Would you agree with me or disagree that you spoke with
2	Mr. Bro	wn on February 21st, 2017?
3	А	I don't remember.
4	Q	Okay. Well, was it out of the norm to have phone
5	convers	sations?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Did there come a point in time on February 21st, 2017, or
8	after, w	here you attempted to contact him and his phone just
9	wasn't working?	
10	Α	I don't remember.
11	Q	Okay. When did you get a new number for Mr. Brown?
12	А	I don't remember receiving a new number for him, so I
13	can't sa	y you a date, so I don't.
14	Q	Okay. Are you telling this jury that you don't recall texting
15	Mr. Bro	wn and getting no response and then never hearing from
16	that nui	mber again?
17		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection. Asked and answered, Judge.
18	They're	referring to records.
19		THE COURT: Right.
20		MS. TRUJILLO: If he doesn't know, she doesn't know.
21		THE COURT: If she doesn't do you recall
22		MR. GIORDANI: That's fair.
23		THE COURT: What?
24		MR. GIORDANI: That's fair. She doesn't know, she
25	doesn't	know. I'm asking her a question.

1		THE COURT: Do you
2		MS. TRUJILLO: And I said asked and answered.
3		THE COURT: Okay. I think it was about a phone call.
4	Now he'	s asking about a text. Do you recall texting Mr. Brown and
5	getting r	no response on whatever the day it was you stated?
6	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
7	Q	February 22nd, 2017.
8	А	No, I don't recall.
9	Q	Okay. Do you recall that somehow communicating with
10	him on a	new number after February 21st, 2017?
11	Α	I don't remember the exact date. But we did.
12	Q	But you remember a new number coming up?
13	Α	Uh-huh.
14	Q	Okay. Would you agree that was in February of 2017?
15	Α	I don't agree, because I don't remember.
16	Q	How did you get that number?
17	Α	He called me.
18	Q	From that number?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. What is it?
21	Α	I don't I do not know.
22	Q	Do you have your phone?
23	Α	Yes, I do.
24	Q	Can you check?
25	А	I don't have my phone here.

1		MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, may we approach?
2		THE COURT: Yeah, that's sustained, if you're objecting.
3		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you.
4		THE COURT: Did your number remain the same?
5		THE WITNESS: For my cell phone?
6		THE COURT: Yes.
7		THE WITNESS: My cell phone has been the same.
8		THE COURT: Okay.
9	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
10	Q	How frequently did you see Mr. Brown between this
11	alleged	drive in March 2017 up until he was arrested June 29, 2017?
12	Α	I would see him on almost daily basis.
13	Q	Okay. Would you agree with me that he had cash on him
14	at that ti	me?
15	А	I would not agree, because I don't know what he had on
16	him. I d	idn't ask him about his finances.
17	Q	Okay. That's fair. Would you agree with me that he had a
18	large an	nount of marijuana with him when you left Las Vegas?
19	А	No, I would not, because I don't know.
20	Q	Okay. You don't know?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	You didn't check his bags; fair enough?
23	А	No.
24	Q	Okay. Did he pack heavily or lightly or what?
25	А	It was just the normal things to start moving.

1	Q	Oh, so he was actually in the process of moving right
2	then?	
3	А	Some of his stuff, yes.
4	Q	Okay. And what date was this?
5	А	This was in March.
6	Q	Unsure on the date?
7	Α	Uh-huh.
8	Q	Is that a yes?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
11		MR. GIORDANI: I'll pass the witness.
12		THE COURT: Redirect.
13		MS. TRUJILLO: Just briefly.
14		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:
16	Q	It was normal for you to have regular conversations with
17	Mr. Brov	vn, right?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And that was during the entire time from when he left
20	Georgia	and moved to Las Vegas?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	You were in constant communication with him?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay.
25		MS. TRUJILLO: No further questions.
		196

1		THE COURT: Anything else from the State?
2		MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.
3		THE COURT: Do we have any juror questions for the
4	witness?	All right.
5		Counsel approach.
6		[Off-record bench conference.]
7		THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, we have a question here
8	from a ju	ror. A juror asks: Whose car was driven from Vegas to
9	Georgia?	
10		THE WITNESS: It was a rental car.
11		THE COURT: It was a rental car?
12		THE WITNESS: Yes.
13		THE COURT: All right. Ms. Trujillo, any follow-up?
14		MS. TRUJILLO: No follow-up.
15		THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, any follow-up?
16		MR. GIORDANI: Can I have the Court's brief indulgence?
17		THE COURT: Sure.
18		FURTHER EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. G	GIORDANI:
20	Q	Whose do you know who Angelisa Ryder is?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Who is that?
23		MS. TRUJILLO: Judge, may we approach?
24		THE COURT: Sure.
25		[Off-record bench conference.]
		197

1		THE COURT: All right. That's sustained. Counsel,
2	rephrase	э.
3	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
4	Q	Do you know who rented the white SUV Mr. Brown
5		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection.
6		THE COURT: Okay. Let me back up.
7		What kind of a car was it?
8		THE WITNESS: It was a SUV. I don't remember the exact
9	type.	
10		THE COURT: Okay. It was an SUV?
11		THE WITNESS: Yes. Uh-huh.
12		THE COURT: Do you recall what color it was?
13		THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
14		THE COURT: Mr. Giordani?
15	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
16	Q	Okay. So you're now describing the vehicle you drove to
17	Georgia	with Mr. Brown in it?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	How long prior to driving to Georgia was it rented?
20	Α	I have no knowledge of that. I came in and we left.
21	Q	Okay.
22		MR. GIORDANI: Can we approach?
23		THE COURT: Sure.
24		[Off-record bench conference.]
25		THE COURT: We need a break anyway. We're almost

1	[Jury recessed at 2:47 p.m.]
2	THE COURT: Okay. As soon as the door shuts. I was
3	going to do the canvass now and then give you an opportunity to
4	talk to him. Do you want to do it the other way around?
5	MS. TRUJILLO: I did that before. The other way around.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. TRUJILLO: And do you want me to put the objections
8	now or when we come back?
9	THE COURT: Either way.
10	MS. TRUJILLO: I'll do it when we come back. That's fine.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So, yeah
12	MS. TRUJILLO: Judge five minutes, Judge.
13	THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, I just sometimes I
14	admonish them first and then they can ask questions of their
15	lawyer. I don't care.
16	MS. TRUJILLO: I just want to go over what the Court's
17	going to say to him.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	[Court recessed at 2:48 p.m., until 3:02 p.m.]
20	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
21	THE COURT: Are you ready?
22	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, Judge.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Brown
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
25	THE COURT: if you'd stand up, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: I need to go over your Fifth Amendment rights.

You have the right to take the stand and testify on your own behalf; are you aware of this right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. If you choose to take the stand and testify on your own behalf, the deputy district attorneys -- or one of them -- will have the opportunity to cross-examine you. And anything you say, whether it's in response to a question from your lawyer, the deputy district attorney, one of the jurors, or even the Court, would be the subject of fair comment by the deputy district attorneys in their closing argument; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Also, if you choose to take the stand and you have been previously convicted of a felony crime, the deputy district attorney may be allowed to question you about that within certain limitations.

Does he have any prior felonies that could be used for impeachment purposes?

MR. GIORDANI: I don't believe so. There's a bank robbery, but it looks like it's outside of our --

THE COURT: It's outside? All right. We don't need to worry about that.

Conversely, you have the right not to take the stand and

testify. If you choose to avail yourself of your right not to testify, the deputy district attorneys are precluded or forbidden from commenting up on that in their closing arguments; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Also, if you choose to avail yourself of your right not to testify, the Court will give an instruction if asked to do so by your lawyer. The instruction, essentially, says that an accused in a criminal case may not be compelled to testify, thus the decision as to whether or not he should testify is left to the defendant on the advice of his counsel. And it also tells the jury that the jury cannot draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should that be discussed or considered by them in their deliberations in any way; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you had an opportunity to discuss your right to testify as well as your right not to testify with your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions you would like to ask me, the Court, about any of these -- either of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. And have you made a determination as to whether or not you wish to testify in this

1	matter?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: And what is that decision?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I choose to testify.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I choose to testify.
7	THE COURT: You are going to testify? Okay.
8	Did I cover the admonishment of rights to the satisfaction
9	of the defense?
10	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Did I cover the admonishment of rights to
12	the satisfaction of the State?
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. If everybody's ready, bring them in.
15	[Jury reconvened at 3:06 p.m.]
16	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session.
17	Everyone can be seated.
18	And the defense may proceed.
19	MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Judge.
20	The defense calls Larry Brown.
21	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Brown, just come on up here
22	to the witness stand. Just follow the marshal. And then just please
23	remain standing, facing our court clerk, who will administer the
24	oath to you.
25	///
	203

1		LARRY BROWN,
2	[havin	g been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
3		follows:]
4		THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
5	State ar	nd spell your first and last name for the record.
6		THE WITNESS: Larry Brown, L-A-R-Y, B-R-O-W-N.
7		THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.
8		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Judge.
9		DIRECT EXAMINATION
0	BY MS.	TRUJILLO:
1	Q	Larry, where are you originally from?
2	Α	Atlanta, Georgia.
3	Q	And how long did you live in Atlanta, Georgia?
4	Α	All my life.
5	Q	Okay. Do you have family there?
6	Α	All my family's there.
7	Q	Okay. When did you move to Las Vegas?
8	Α	I want to say April April of 2016.
9	Q	Why did you move to Las Vegas?
20	Α	Well, I was recently out of divorce, starting a new
21	relation	ship, just wanted a new life. Just wanted something to try
22	someth	ing different, new life.
23	Q	Who did you move to Las Vegas with?
24	А	Angelisa Ryder.
25	Q	And who did you live here in Vegas with?
		204

1	A	Angelisa Ryder.
2	Q	Did you personally buy a house or did you rent a house?
3	Α	She was renting, she was already renting the house.
4	Q	Was your name on that lease?
5	Α	No, ma'am.
6	Q	In February of 2017, how long had you been living here in
7	Las Veg	gas?
8	А	About a year.
9	Q	About a year?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. And during that year period that you lived in Las
12	Vegas,	did you ever return to Georgia to visit?
13	Α	Several times.
14	Q	Okay. Do you recall how many times you visited?
15	Α	I want to say maybe more than five. More than five.
16	Six, sev	ven times, maybe. Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And if you remember when you returned, who
18	were yo	ou there to visit?
19	Α	My kids and my family.
20	Q	So just various family members?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. Do you have friends here in Las Vegas?
23	Α	Well, I have Angelisa. I have Angelisa Ryder and I met a
24	well, I g	ot reconnected with a associate that I knew in Atlanta.
25	Q	Okay. And what associate are you talking about?
	İ.	200

1	Why we	re you guys acquainted, associates, however you phrased
2	it?	
3	Α	Well, like I said, we knew each other from Atlanta. So he
4	was the	only person besides Angelisa in Las Vegas that I knew. So
5	we just l	had a, I guess, a mutual bond through the past.
6	Q	Okay. And maybe I missed it, but how did you become
7	reconne	cted with him?
8	А	Well, I had a friend that knows both of us. He does the
9	same wo	ork that I do, except he industrial. He goes and cleans off
10	the top o	of roofs, the HVAC system. So he was passing through Las
11	Vegas a	nd he told me that Anthony was staying out here. So he
12	passed a	along he swapped our numbers out.
13	Q	Okay. Do you know a person by the name of Carnell
14	Cave?	
15	Α	No, I do not.
16	Q	So you never met a person by the name of Carnell Cave?
17	Α	No, ma'am.
18	Q	You never talked to a person by the name of Carnell Cave?
19	Α	No, ma'am.
20	Q	Do you know a person by the name of Kwame Banks?
21	Α	No, ma'am.
22	Q	Did you ever meet a person by the name of Kwame
23	Banks?	
24	А	No, ma'am.
25	Q	Did you ever talk to a person by the name of Kwame
		208

1	Banks?	
2	Α	No, ma'am.
3	Q	Okay. You know you're here because you're being
4	accused	of murder, right?
5	Α	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q	And it's your understanding that the murder happened on
7	February	y 21st, 2017?
8	А	That's my understanding, yes, ma'am.
9	Q	And were you here in Vegas you were here in Vegas
10	in 2017,	February, that month, right?
11	А	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q	Okay. And, specifically, I want to talk about that day. Did
13	you have contact with Anthony Carter on February 21st, 2017?	
14	А	I believe so. Yes, ma'am, I did. Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Why if you remember, why did you contact him
16	that day	?
17	Α	Well, to be honest with you, I indulge, I smoke marijuana.
18	He was i	in the business of selling marijuana. And through the
19	previous	s meetings that we had, he would give me marijuana. I
20	would p	robably buy some from him. That was it.
21	Q	Okay. So on that day, you contacted him for the purpose
22	of?	
23	Α	To buy some marijuana.
24	Q	Okay. Were you supposed to meet up with him?
25	А	Yes, I was.
		209

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

The store is -- it's off -- like I said, I'm not familiar with Vegas. I was only here maybe four months out of the year that I was here, despite going back and forth to Atlanta. So the store is off the highway. I'm familiar with the highways. I want to say it's the 95. I'm not -- the stores here, we don't have there. So it has any representation of a dinosaur at the -- you know, for the gas station. And the reason why, because it was directly off the highway and that was convenient for me, because I -- like I said, once I'm out, I don't like -- I don't like getting turned around. So it was convenient to just go there and just get right back on the highway.

- Q Okay. So you don't remember the name of the store?
- Α Not off the top of my head, no.
- Just that there was a dinosaur there? \mathbf{O}
- Α Yes.
- Okay. And what happened when you got to the store to Q meet Anthony Carter?

Α Well, when I got there, we exchanged texts. Het him know, you know, that I would be there. He told me that he was waiting for a couple of people. He said he had some other business to take care that same time that he wanted to meet me. I was, like, Okay, cool. And during that time, like I said, he was -- he text me -we text back and forth, because I was waiting on him. He wasn't -- I hadn't seen him. He wasn't there. So I was just sitting there

waiting on him. So I would text him back and forth. We would text, go back and forth and make phone calls.

So during that time, I was just, basically, just sitting there waiting and going back and forth, asking him, you know, how long he'll be or, you know, just will he be there.

Okay. So did he ever show up?

A No. He never showed up. Not that -- to be -- not that I know that he showed up, if I can say it like that.

Q Yeah, I only want to talk about what you know. So you're waiting for him, what did you do? Did you just wait there all night? What did you do?

A No, I waited there a few minutes. And then he sent the text. He told me that he'll be pulling in. So I was, like, okay. And at that time, that text went in, a car pulls in. So I'm thinking with the text, that was him. So I get out my vehicle, you know, just waiting to -- thinking that was Anthony, you know.

The passenger door opens, guy gets out of that side, he has -- they -- he has a hoodie on, he has his head down. By the time I'm looking, he has a mask on, brings out a gun. Two other guys get out of the car. I'm pistol whipped, my money's taken, I'm beaten -- I was beat at the -- I was beat at the gas station, pistol whipped, laid down on the ground. It was a very, very -- it was a terrifying night, because I didn't know what was going on. I thought it was really trying to kill me, because the way the beating went on, it was just, like, it just seems it's out of the norm.

1	just felt like the move was bad on my kids, they were missing me.			
2	So I dec	So I decided to move. I just I was deciding to move.		
3	Q	Okay. But you weren't were you actually moving back		
4	at that ti	me?		
5	Α	No, no. Not at that time. No, not at that time.		
6	Q	Okay. How did you get to Georgia on that time when you		
7	went in	March of 2017?		
8	А	I drove.		
9	Q	And who was with you?		
10	А	Ms. Ford.		
11	Q	Okay. When you got to Georgia, who did you stay with?		
12	Α	I stayed with my grandmother, I stayed with Ms. Ford.		
13	And those are the two places I I basically, like, stayed oh, and I			
14	rent I rented some rooms. I rented a couple of rooms too.			
15	Q	Okay.		
16	Α	Yes. I rented a couple of rooms, yes.		
17	Q	So you stayed at a few different places?		
18	А	Yes.		
19	Q	Okay. While you were there, did you visit your family?		
20	А	Yes, I visit all my family.		
21	Q	Okay. Did you see your children?		
22	Α	Yes.		
23	Q	How often did you see your children?		
24	Α	As much as I could.		
25	Q	Okay. While you were in Georgia, you found out about		

this case, right?

- Α Yes.
- How did you find out about this case? \mathbf{O}
- Α I was at the park with my kids. I got a phone call from Angelisa. She told me that the door had just got kicked in, a SWAT team had just came in and they searched the place.
- Q Okay. And after Angelisa told you about the search, what did you do next?
- Α I grabbed my kids and I went back home. But I called her back and I asked, Okay, did they leave any type of information, any type of card? Did they say what it was for? She told me that they said it was for a murder. At that time, I was -- I didn't know nothing about that, so I was, like, totally confused on the fact that, why this was happening. So in my mind, at that time, I just asked her if she could reach out to, you know, any type of representation.
- Q Okay. And what happened next, after you asked if she could reach out for some representation?
- Α Either she gave me the number or either she -- she made a few calls. And I checked on my phone too for some lawyers, because the -- what she told me, it was serious, you know. And they didn't leave a card for me to call to find out what was going on. She didn't say they left a warrant saying anything about, you know, did they wanted me. She just said they kicked in the door. So, yeah, she found somebody for me.
 - Q Okay. So did you hire an attorney?

1	Α	Yes, I paid an attorney, yes.
2	Q	Okay. And did you have to make some communications
3	with tha	at attorney?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	To your knowledge, did that attorney have
6	commu	nications with the police?
7	А	That's what I was told, yes.
8	Q	Okay. Before you were arrested when were you
9	arreste	d?
10	А	I was arrested the day before my son birthday, June 29th.
11	Q	Okay. Before you were arrested, did you come back to
12	Las Veg	jas?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Why didn't you come back to Las Vegas?
15	А	I was in the process of coming back to take care of this
16	situatio	n. Well, just to answer the questions for the situation,
17	because	e I had no problem coming back, trying to see what was
18	going o	on to clear my name. And, like, I said, in the process, I was in
19	contact	with the lawyer to find out what was going on.
20	Q	Okay. So do you remember the day you were arrested?
21	You jus	t said it was the day before your son's birthday, so
22	А	It's the 29th of June.
23	Q	So you remember that day?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. I want to talk about that day. Tell us what you were 217

doing that day before you were arrested.

A Well, I was at -- basically, I was in a -- I wanted to pick up my son, he was at -- I had enrolled him in a sports camp. Me and his mom, you know, we was -- we got divorced, so we had joint custody, but he was staying with her and her friend. So I was trying to see what time would she have him for me to come and get him. So that's -- that was my day. My day was to go pick up my son, to enjoy, you know, his birthday.

- Okay. And who is the friend that you're referring to?
- A You mean the friend I -- her friend or my friend?
- Q Yes, you said her -- your son and her stayed at a friend's house.

A Right. Oh, I call her Gigi. You know, Jamilah. Jamilah Wiggins, Miggins.

- O Okay. And you were actually arrested near her house, right?
 - A Yes, I was arrested right in front of her house.
- O Okay. I want to talk about -- what were you doing in that area?

A I was right -- I was in the subdivision across the street from -- I was at Ms. Ford's house. I was across the street from her subdivision, so all I had to do was just leave that subdivision and go down to -- go down just maybe not even a mile, half a mile, make a left into the subdivision, and I was there.

Okay. So what were you doing driving around at that

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

time?

Α Where I left the residence, I came out, I -- it's only one -well, it's two lights. It's a light leaving out the subdivision. I made that right. I went down to the next light, it's -- I have to make -- I have to get in the left-hand turn to go into that subdivision.

At that time, I have to say -- can I just -- okay. In Atlanta, it's not like here, how people can drive around with dark-tinted windows. That's, like, against the law. You can't have a tint on your window that's too dark where you can't see any -- I noticed that a car was -- it wasn't directly behind me, but I noticed that when I came out of the -- out of Ms. Ford's residence that the car was behind me. But once I got to that light, it was, like, maybe, like, two cars behind me. So it seemed kind of suspicious, especially after what I had just went through here. It was, like, I didn't know what was going on. You know, I didn't know --

Q Okay. Let me stop you. So you're talking about a car with dark-tinted windows. What else about the car? You said it was suspicious; what else about the car was suspicious?

Α It was just, like I said, it just did ever -- it was just doing, like, kind of like mirroring, like, kind of like mirroring what I was doing, coming out, and when -- and in that subdivision, there's no cars like that. I mean, the subdivision not that big, so the car didn't belong in a -- it didn't belong to anybody in the subdivision.

- Q Not like what -- what did the car look like?
- Α It was a gray -- it was a dark gray, I want to say, maybe a

Chevrolet Malibu, Chevrolet Impala.

Q Just plain?

A Yeah, it was just a plain, plain Jane dark-tinted window car.

O So you said suspicious, why did it bother you that this car was following you?

A It bothered me for the fact that I know that Anthony still has family there. I don't know if maybe some way, somehow that, you know, we was -- that -- I don't know what was going on. So I don't know if that was the case. I just know that the car stuck out. And when I made that turn, I was headed back to the residence, because at that time that I was -- I had something for my son that I want to, you know, that I wanted to give to him for his birthday. I thought that I left it at the residence, so I made a U-turn.

As I'm looking -- as I'm making the U-turn, I look in the back seat, I had it. I had what I wanted to give to my son. So it was just like a little short meeting. So I went up to the next meeting as I knew that I had it, I made another U-turn. That's when the car was, like, behind me. And I was, like, whoa.

So I went back to the light. The light was turned, it was in the process of turning, like, green, yellow, red, so I was trying to get through the light to get into the subdivision. And so I mashed the gas to get through the light. I didn't want to be caught at the light, like -- like my mom said, Dekalb County, they everywhere. So I just wanted to make sure to get through the light. So I sped through to

1	get thro	ugh the light into the subdivision.
2	Q	Okay. Did you see any police lights?
3	Α	No.
4	Q	Do you remember if you ran any red traffic lights?
5	А	No. Like I said, it was only two lights. And the first light
6	was a	it's a turn on right. So it's no matter what color that light is,
7	I can tui	n through that light. The second light, it's a U-turn light.
8	So thos	e are only two lights. So no.
9	Q	What is your ex-wife's name?
10	Α	I call her Mika, but her name is Douglisa, Douglisa Brown.
11	Q	And you
12	Α	Douglisa Braxton.
13	Q	And you said she lived with Jamilah Miggins?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And your son also lived with Jamilah Miggins?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	So before that day, you went to Jamilah's house that day,
18	right?	
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Before that day, had you visited Jamilah's house?
21	Α	Yes, I yes, my son stayed there and I would go there to
22	pick him	ı up.
23	Q	Okay. So you had been on more than one occasion to
24	Jamilah	's house?
25	А	More than one occasion. I matter of fact, before I
	1	221

daughter I took pictures, we took pictures there. My cousin was
there. I would go to the Falcon game, Hawks game, took pictures
with my son. Me and my son, everywhere I went, I would my
kids always would go with me. So I just did a lot of things with
them, to the park, paint ball. We went rock climbing. Took pictures
there, put it on Instagram.

- Q Was this the same type of stuff you were doing on other visits when you would visit your children?
- A Yes. Yes, yes. Even when I went and picked them up and brought them back out there, we went to San Diego, California, Wet'N'Wild, bought season tickets for Wet'N'Wild. My kids are my life, that's all I do.
- Q Okay. And when you were there between March and June, did you also see your mother?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Did you also see other family members?
- A Yes. My grandma, cousins, my dad. Matter of fact, I went and hung out with -- I'll go hang out with him, take him to the store. He doesn't have a car, so I would take him grocery shopping, him and his girlfriend. Yes, I saw all my family.
- Q Okay. During that same time period, March 2017 to June 2017, did you stay with multiple people?
- A Yes, I stayed with Ms. Ford, my grandmother, and when I had my son, when we would just -- just to have, you know, just some guy time, I rent a room just for me and him, so he can go

1	swimmir	ng. I mean, it's Atlanta. So it gets hot there in, like, April,
2	May. Yo	ou know, 90 degrees there is like double with the humidity.
3	So it gets	s hot.
4	Q	Okay. Mr. Brown, did you kill Kwame Banks?
5	А	No, I did not. No.
6		MS. TRUJILLO: No further questions, Judge.
7		THE COURT: All right. Cross, State?
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. (GIORDANI:
10	Q	You're the victim here, huh?
11	Α	Excuse me, sir?
12	Q	You're the victim?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	You're the robbery victim?
15	Α	Yes. I was
16	Q	Okay.
17	Α	I was robbed, sir.
18	Q	February 21st, 2017?
19	Α	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Okay. You seem to have a pretty good recollection of that
21	day; is th	nat right?
22	Α	Yeah. I know that, yes, sir, that what happened with me,
23	yes, I do.	. Yes.
24	Q	Okay. So let's walk back
25	А	Okay.
		224

1	Q	kind of glanced over it. You were planning on meeting
2	Anthony	y Carter that day, is your pitch?
3	Α	Right.
4	Q	Okay. And you had planned on that through, what, text
5	messag	e?
6	Α	Through text message, phone calls.
7	Q	Okay. And what were you planning to meet him for?
8	Α	To buy some marijuana.
9	Q	How much?
10	Α	I wanted, like, a few ounces.
11	Q	Okay. Your testimony was that you went to this gas
12	station t	to meet him, right?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	It just so happens the gas station falls in that cell tower
15	coverag	e area that we saw earlier this week, right?
16	Α	I guess so. Just so happened.
17	Q	Okay. Well, you're saying it's up in that area, right?
18	Α	Well, I know that it was when I saw that the map, it's
19	by that	highway, yes.
20	Q	Okay.
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. So what time of day is it that you go to this
23	conveni	ence store with the dinosaur on it?
24	А	I got there early, just to make sure that everything would
25	be tha	at's how I that's just what I do, I just make sure just to get

1	Α	I didn't say that. I didn't see who robbed me, so I didn't	
2	say he robbed me.		
3	Q	Okay. So the mystery men rob you, but you hear a voice	
4	that could be Anthony Carter?		
5	А	Could be.	
6	Q	That's fair. And you're communicating with Anthony	
7	Carter to set up this meeting for the gas station with your phone,		
8	right?		
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	The 404-808-2233 that you've been hearing about?	
11	А	That's the phone that I had, yes.	
12	Q	Yeah, that's your phone.	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	You're not disputing that?	
15	А	No.	
16	Q	Okay. You were communicating with the contact saved in	
17	your ph	one as Poke	
18	Α	Yes.	
19	Q	right? And you're saying you went to this gas station	
20	for an a	for an arranged meeting with Poke, and then you show up	
21	around	8:00, February 21st, 2017; we're on the same page there?	
22	Α	Yeah. No, no. It was after 8:00. Like I said, I had to drop	
23	Angelis	a off. I went to the house and I made my way up there, so it	
24	had to be it was after 8:00.		
25	Q	Okay. When you get to the gas station, where do you	

1	park?	
2	Α	I park at the far end of the gas station away from the
3	pumps.	Like I said, it I was I'm not I was there to buy
4	marijua	na, so I was there, but it was, like, when you pull into the
5	gas station, you can pull around to the left. So I parked over there	
6	away from the pumps.	
7	Q	Also away from the cameras?
8	Α	No, there was cameras there.
9	Q	Okay. There's cameras that capture this alleged robbery
10	of you?	
11	Α	I don't know.
12	Q	Okay. And you say that you
13		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection. Judge, can we approach?
14		THE COURT: That's sustained as to the phrasing.
15		MS. TRUJILLO: I still want to approach, Judge.
16		THE COURT: Uh-huh. Okay.
17		[Off-record bench conference.]
18		THE COURT: That objection is sustained. Defendant
19	wouldn	't know.
20	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
21	Q	This gas station that you show up to, it's, like, 8:00 or 9:00
22	or whatever it is on a Thursday; you'd agree with me there?	
23	Α	Thursday?
24	Q	February 21st, 2017.
25	А	No, I don't recall what day it was. But I
		229

1	O Okay. The judge instructed the jury earlier
2	THE COURT: Actually, I didn't. Counsel asked me to and
3	had to verify the date.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: And I did, in fact, verify that that date was a
6	Thursday. So we can take judicial notice of the date. And the
7	date I looked it up, and it now escapes me. The date was?
8	MR. GIORDANI: The date we asked you to take judicial
9	notice of earlier was June 29th was the arrest date. That was a
10	Thursday. February 21st, 2017, was a Tuesday.
11	THE COURT: All right. So
12	MR. GIORDANI: And we'd asked for judicial notice of
13	those two facts.
14	THE COURT: February 17th, 2019?
15	MR. GIORDANI: '17.
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
17	MR. GIORDANI: February 21st, 2017, was a Tuesday.
18	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you are
19	instructed that February 21st, 2017, was a Tuesday. You are also
20	instructed that July I'm sorry, I wrote July, but it's
21	June 29th, 2017, was a Thursday.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	BY MR. GIORDANI:
24	Q And Tuesday or Thursday, my point is were there people
25	around at the gas station?

1	Α	I don't recall.	
2	Q	Okay. When these people, these three men there are	
3	three men that allegedly robbed you, right?		
4	Α	Yes, sir.	
5	Q	When they pull up, you say they hop out of a vehicle?	
6	Α	Yes, sir.	
7	Q	Okay. Up until that point, you're actively communicating	
8	on your phone?		
9	Α	I'm sorry, I mean, I'm doing a lot on my phone.	
10	Q	Okay. Including communicating with Poke?	
11	Α	Yes.	
12	Q	Okay. And your testimony earlier was they get out and	
13	rob you.	I want a little more detail. Where are you when they	
14	arrive?		
15	Α	I was in my vehicle.	
16	Q	Okay. What were you driving?	
17	А	Well, it's not my vehicle I was in.	
18	Q	Oh.	
19	Α	Yes. Let me clarify, it is not my vehicle. I was in Angelisa	
20	Ryder's vehicle.		
21	Q	The white SUV?	
22	Α	Yes.	
23	Q	Okay. So we can agree on that point, you're driving the	
24	white SUV?		
25	Α	Yes.	

before you step out of your vehicle?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Not immediately. Might have been a -- maybe a -- I don't

1	where I can just feel comfortable.	
2	Q	Okay. Let's back up here. You said you dropped off
3	Angelis	a at her work?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	And you'd agree she worked at Summerlin Hospital at the
6	time?	
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	In the telemetry room?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And you would agree with me she worked
11	at 7:00 p.m. that night?	
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. So you would have dropped her off at or very close
14	to 7:00 p.m.?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. And then you said you went home?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Was that to get your gun or what?
19	Α	l don't own a gun, sir.
20	Q	You don't own a gun?
21	Α	No, sir.
22	Q	Okay. So you go home to get what?
23	Α	I just go home, just that's coming from her hospital,
24	that was	s direction of me going back from the Summerlin Parkway.
25	So my i	ncident was just to go back home, because, like I say, he
		234

1	wanted	to meet up he want to meet up a little later. So it was
2	that's just what I did. I just went back home.	
3	Q	And when you say home, you're referring to
4	Α	Well, to the house me and Angelisa share.
5	Q	The Sierra Bello address?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	2520 Sierra Bello, Number 103?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Where the white SUV was?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And your Polo shoes?
12	А	I have a lot of shoes, sir.
13	Q	Two pairs of Polo shoes, 12D?
14	Α	I have I actually
15	Q	13D?
16	Α	If that's yes.
17	Q	They're your shoes, right?
18	А	I have a lot of shoes, yes.
19	Q	Those shoes that we saw in the photos are your shoes?
20	Α	Oh, the shoe they took out my house, yes.
21	Q	Okay. So we can agree there. You go back to your home;
22	how lor	ng are you there after you drop off Angelisa at 7:00, how
23	long are	e you at your home?
24	Α	I don't really recall how long I was there.
25	Q	Okay. Less than an hour?
		235

1	Α	It would be hard for me to say to give you a timeframe. I
2	can't r	eally recall.
3	Q	Okay. Was it, like, five minutes?
4	Α	No, it was longer than five minutes.
5	Q	30 minutes?
6	А	Like I said, it's hard it was that's three years ago. To
7	be ho	nest with you, I don't know how long I was there, but I wasn't
8	there,	like, five or 30 minutes. I was there for about I was there
9	for a v	vhile.
10	Q	Okay. All I'm trying to get at I'm not trying to trick you.
11	Okay.	I just want to know, did you just stop to pick some things up
12	and th	en go to meet at this gas station you're talking about?
13	Α	I just went back home.
14	Q	Okay. And then later, you leave to go meet
15	Α	Right.
16	Q	Okay. So you're at home for whatever period of time, you
17	go to	this gas station.
18	Α	Right.
19	Q	And you find that gas station?
20	Α	Did I find it?
21	Q	Yeah.
22	Α	I saw it off the highway, yes.
23	Q	So had you been there before?
24	А	No.
25	Q	Okay. When you get to that gas station, does the guy
1	1	200

1	you're m	eeting, Poke, does he know where you are?
2	А	I called him and told him, yes.
3	Q	Okay. Not a text, you called and told him?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Did you give him the address or just say there's a
6	brontosa	urus outside or something?
7	А	Yeah. I described where I was. I told him it was off the
8	highway	•
9	Q	Okay. How long did it take him, after you told him where
10	you were	e, to get there?
11	А	I never saw him, so I can't say that.
12	Q	Okay. So you're not sure if he was one of these people
13	who allegedly robbed you?	
14	Α	I'm not sure.
15	Q	Fair enough. And then you say you're there, you park,
16	kind of tu	ucked back, because it's a marijuana deal, right?
17	Α	I wasn't, say, tucked back, but I was away from the gas
18	pumps.	
19	Q	Okay. Away from the gas pumps?
20	Α	Right.
21	Q	And then you're in your vehicle and these men pull up in a
22	vehicle?	
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. What happens from there, when they pull up in the
25	vehicle?	

1	Α	Well, like I just said, they pull up, they back in. As they're
2	backing	in, I'm getting out of my vehicle. Like I said, I'm thinking, in
3	my min	d, that from the text I just receive, that that was Poke.
4	Q	Okay. So you get out?
5	Α	Right.
6	Q	They get out?
7	Α	Right.
8	Q	They approach you?
9	А	Right.
10	Q	What happens from there?
11	А	That's when it
12		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection. Asked and answered about
13	four tim	nes.
14		THE COURT: Overruled.
15		MR. GIORDANI: This
16		THE COURT: Overruled.
17	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
18	Q	Go ahead.
19	А	That's when that's when, like I said, they approach me,
20	guns ar	e drawn, they start hitting on me. They ask me for I mean,
21	it was a	full-on assault, you know, like I said. They ask me for my
22	money,	they ask me for the phone. At first I put up a fight, but I
23	realized	it was futile. So I just gave them what they wanted. They
24	beat me	e. I was beaten. I was on the ground.
25	Ω	They pistol-whip you?

1	A	Yes, they pistol-whip me.
2	Q	And did you lose consciousness or
3	Α	No, I didn't lose consciousness, but I felt like I would.
4	Q	Okay. So you go to the ground?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	They take your what?
7	Α	They take my money and my phone.
8	Q	The phone, 404-808-2233, right?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Was that phone passcode protected?
11	Α	I had passcodes on the phone. I mean, once you're inside
12	the phone, yes.	
13	Q	Okay. So in order to access the phone, you need to put a
14	passwo	rd, or at least your thumbprint, right?
15	Α	Right.
16	Q	Okay. And so when this robbery is allegedly happening,
17	are we i	n the kind of the timeframe of, like, 9:00 p.m. now?
18	Α	No, it was later than that.
19	Q	Later than that?
20	Α	Yeah.
21	Q	Okay. And you give them the phone and then give them
22	what \	what else?
23	Α	My money.
24	Q	Okay. How much was that?
25	Α	Little bit over a thousand dollars.

1	Q	Okay. And that was the thousand you were allegedly
2	going to	spend with Anthony Carter?
3	Α	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Okay. And then from there, you get beat up, you're on the
5	ground;	does anyone come and help you?
6	Α	No. Nobody was
7	Q	Anyone scream?
8	А	l didn't see anybody else around. No. No.
9	Q	Okay. So no one was around?
10	А	No.
11	Q	Okay.
12	А	I didn't even, like I said, at that time, I wasn't even looking.
13	I was trying to get to safety and back away from what was going on	
14	after the	ey pulled out.
15	Q	Okay. So you get back in your vehicle?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What do you do from there?
18	A	I head directly to Angelisa job.
19	Q	Okay. So you go directly to Angelisa's job?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you stop anywhere?
22	А	No.
23	Q	Okay. Do you just show up at her job?
24	А	Yes. I've done that before, so yes, I did. Yes.
25	Q	Okay. You show up and, I guess, talk to her, tell her what
		240

1

Well, I pull in and I go up to the floor that I'm familiar that she works at. I see another nurse. Het her know, you know, because I didn't know exactly what room she worked in. So I see this nurse and once I get off the elevator, I ask her about her. She tells me that she can go get her. She asked me my name, I tell her my name. And I wait in the little -- it's like a little -- kind of like a little recess area where you get off the elevators, like, little seats right there.

10 11

9

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 At that time, I walk out of there, because it took a while

walk back out and I sit in the waiting area.

remember seeing -- I do -- I think I remember seeing Angelisa. So I

 \mathbf{O} Okay. So you see her; are you bleeding at this point?

before she even came out. So I walked in the direction where I'm

thinking that I saw the nurse go. I look in the doors, I'm -- I think I

Α I remember bleeding in the back of my head, yes.

Okay. From the pistol whips, I guess, right? Q

Yes. Α

O And so you're at a hospital; do you get treated?

Α She brings me something.

Q Okay. You treat your wounds however or she --

Α Yeah, she brings -- she brought --

Q -- pats them down?

Α Yeah, she brought me some aspirin and she brought me something, yes.

1	Q	How long did you stay there?
2	Α	I stayed there all night. I stayed there until she got off
3	from wo	ork.
4	Q	Where did you go the next morning?
5	Α	We went home.
6	Q	You and her?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Back to the Sierra Bello address?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	When is it that you learn of this murder?
11	А	When I was in Atlanta.
12	Q	So the first time you ever hear anything about this murder
13	of Kwame Banks	
14	Α	Right.
15	Q	is
16	Α	When
17	Q	over a month later?
18	Α	Right. When she calls me and she tells me that the door
19	had just	got kicked in and they came in, that's when they told her
20	about it	, yes.
21	Q	Okay. Would you agree with me it would be odd if
22	Angelis	a is searching this murder on her phone hours after it
23	happen	ed?
24		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection.
25		MR. GIORDANI: What's
		242

1		THE COURT: Well, counsel approach.
2		[Off-record bench conference.]
3		THE COURT: All right. That objection is sustained.
4	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
5	Q	So let me ask you a question about your phone, sir. You
6	would a	gree with me that the 404-808-2233 number, that phone,
7	physica	I phone, was in your possession on February 21st, 2017?
8	Α	Yes, prior to
9	Q	The entire day up until you're allegedly robbed?
10	Α	Yes, that's correct.
11	Q	You'd agree with me that's your phone?
12		THE COURT: And for the record, what are you showing?
13		MR. GIORDANI: State's 298.
14		THE COURT: All right.
15		THE WITNESS: It's hard to say. I had a Samsung.
16	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
17	Q	Okay. If there's text messages from this phone with the
18	contact	Poke, and it's the phone number 404-808-2233, you would
19	agree th	nat's your phone?
20	Α	Your rephrase that one more time?
21	Q	If there were text messages and phone calls and contacts
22	pulled f	rom this device that had Poke as a contact and is associated
23	with yo	ur number, 404-808-2233, you would agree that that's your
24	phone?	
25	Α	If I seen it pulled out of there, yes, I would.

1	Q	Okay. So you would agree with me that the phone
2	number	is yours, 404-808-2233?
3	А	That's the number that I had. I've always had that
4	number.	I've never had that number changed.
5	Q	Okay. And a forensic scientist pulled from this phone and
6	tells this	jury that's the phone number associated with this phone,
7	then you	would agree, if those facts were true, this is your phone?
8	Α	If those facts were true.
9	Q	Okay. That's all I need.
0		And you did indicate that it's password protected, right?
1	Α	I recall having a password on it, yes.
2	Q	Okay. So I want to bring you to another exhibit. And
3	that's St	ate's 302. Do you can you see on your screen,
4	Mr. Brov	vn?
5	Α	Yes, sir.
6	Q	You see this start with this first entry, 702-581-2072,
7	Poke, P-0	O-K-E
8	Α	Yes, I do.
9	Q	you see that?
20	Α	Yes, I do.
21	Q	And it appears that's a text from this guy saying:
22		This blue pokey let me know when you want to link up?
23		You'd agree with me there?
24	Α	Yes. That's what it say, yes.
25	Q	Yeah. I mean, that text probably doesn't stand out in your
	1	477

1	mind, right?	
2	А	That
3	Q	It's my g-day, player, oh, yeah, I stay off Rancho near
4	Texas S	Station.
5		G-day is another way of saying birthday, right?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. You indicated earlier that you don't know a person
8	by the r	name of Carnell Cave, right?
9	Α	No, I do not.
10	Q	And I should have asked this earlier, you go to the you
11	said yo	u went to this gas station that day and then you went
12	straight to Summerlin Hospital afterwards?	
13	Α	Yes, I did.
14	Q	Are you telling this jury that you never went to the scene
15	located at 5850 Sky Pointe Drive?	
16	Α	No, I did not.
17	Q	Had you been there before?
18	Α	No, I have not.
19	Q	Did you know if well, did you know who Carnell Cave
20	was?	
21	А	No, I did not.
22	Q	So you have no idea who this guy is?
23	А	No.
24	Q	Not that you just have never met him, but you don't know
25	who Carnell Cave is?	

1	Α	Never heard that	
2	Q	Okay.	
3	Α	No. Not until the name started coming up for this	
4	incident	•	
5	Q	Okay. And you've never been to 5850 Sky Pointe Drive in	
6	your life	?	
7	А	No, I have not.	
8	Q	Does that address, other than what you've you know,	
9	we've ta	lked about in this trial, does that have any independent	
10	significa	ince to you?	
11	Α	Oh, it might have been a address that I'm I drop like	
12	I said, I've taken Anthony Poke, I've taken him around to several		
13	different	t places. So that might have been one of the places that I	
14	dropped	I him off at or maybe picked him up from.	
15	Q	Okay.	
16	Α	Maybe.	
17	Q	And you indicated that you don't know who Carnell Cave	
18	is, right?	?	
19	Α	No, I do not.	
20	Q	Who's Nard?	
21	Α	Say that, Nart?	
22	Q	N-A-R-D, who's Nard?	
23	Α	Okay. That might be his that thing is Poke's brother.	
24	But I thi	nk I called him something else. I might have called him by	
25	his nam	e.	

1	Q	Okay. You've indicated you've always had this phone.
2	You nev	er let anyone borrow it or anything, right?
3	А	I mean, I've let Angelisa and my kids use it, yes.
4	Q	Okay. I want to show you a couple of things and ask you
5	about th	nem. January 19th, 2017, you see this text here at the top?
6	Α	Yes, I do.
7	Q	Boy, you know I'm a bloodhound for the money?
8	Α	Okay.
9	Q	Is that you or is that Mr. Carter?
10	Α	I don't know. It doesn't say send or received or I just
11	see his ı	name at the top and it's there.
12	Q	Okay. Halfway down here, you see this text, it says:
13		That's what's up, I just need some guidance, my n-word,
14	l'm r	new out here, so I need you to point your finger in the right
15	dired	ction.
16		You see that?
17	Α	No, not on my screen no.
18		MS. TRUJILLO: I don't see it, either, John.
19		MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I'm sorry.
20	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
21	Q	Right here.
22	Α	Okay.
23	Q	You see that now?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	You would agree that's you sending a text to Poke?
		247

1		I'm new out here, I need some guidance. Point me in the
2	right	t direction.
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And then you also send a follow-up text that says:
5		Never been to one for a real job, lol.
6	А	Well, because
7	Q	See that?
8	А	Yes. That's I've on my own, I've had my own
9	busines	s for the last 10 years.
10	Q	Oh, okay. So this is about legitimate business that you're
11	discussi	ng with Mr. Poke?
12	Α	Yes. He know I had a detail.
13	Q	Okay. Just for the record, we're on page 7 now. Do you
14	see this	text from Poke, on February 8th, so quite a while before the
15	murder:	
16		5850 Sky Pointe Drive.
17	Α	So let just to be clear, the text is coming in, that's with
18	the little	how do I know that I'm just trying to be clear.
19	Q	Oh, well, if the blue's on this side, it's Poke sending you
20	somethi	ng, and if it's you on this side or blue on this side
21	Α	Okay.
22	Q	it's you sending Poke something.
23	Α	Okay. Now
24	Q	Make sense?
25	А	Okay. Right.
	1	

1	Q	Okay. So you'd agree with me he sent you that Sky Pointe
2	address	back February 8th, 2017?
3	А	If that's there, yes.
4	Q	Okay. And I think it says coming or ciming? You see that?
5	Α	Yeah. I don't know.
6	Q	And your response: Ouche.
7	Α	I guess.
8	Q	And then Mr. Poke says:
9		Come up, fam, 2003.
10		You see that?
11	Α	I see that.
12	Q	Okay.
13		Door number, walk upstair.
14	Α	I see that.
15	Q	We're the charger facing.
16	Α	I see that.
17	Q	And you say:
18		Aight.
19	Α	I see that.
20	Q	Okay.
21		Oh, yeah, don't forget wrestling Monday night, bruh.
22	Α	I see that.
23	Q	Okay. And would you agree with me you then proceeded
24	up to Ap	partment 2003?
25	Α	No, I would not like I said, I've picked him up. He's
1	1	242

1	always	come to the vehicle. Either he's getting out of a car from
2	other p	eople that's dropping him off that was meeting me.
3	Q	Come up fam, 2003.
4		You know that's Mr. Cave's apartment, right?
5	А	No, I do not. I never met this Cave person, no.
6	Q	So do you want to change your testimony now that you
7	have be	een to 5850 Sky Pointe Drive in your path in your life?
8		MS. TRUJILLO: Objection. Judge, can we approach?
9		THE COURT: Sure.
10		[Off-record bench conference.]
11		THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer the question.
12		Or state the question again.
13	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
14	Q	I'll ask you again, Mr. Brown, do you want to change you
15	testimo	ny where you testified previously to this jury that you'd
16	never b	een to that address before?
17	А	I've never been to that address before.
18	Q	Okay. So you didn't show up, you didn't go up to 2003
19	fam?	
20	А	No, I'm not there's no way now I'm telling him I'm
21	coming	up. No. Like I said, I've picked him up from several
22	address	ses, I picked him up from several gas stations from getting
23	out of s	everal vehicles.
24	Q	Okay. Isn't it true that you and Mr. Carter had been
25	plannin	g to rob Mr. Banks for over a week?

1	Α	No, sir.
2	Q	Okay. Showing you page 11, same exhibit. See this text
3	at the to	p where Poke texted you:
4		Okay, I will hit him and play it off to see what's poppin'.
5	А	I see that.
6	Q	Do you see this one where he says:
7		Still no go.
8	Α	I see that.
9	Q	See this one where he says:
10		Fam, you going to meet me at apartment between 9:30
11	and 1	10:00?
12	А	I see that.
13	Q	And turns out your phone died that day, right?
14	А	I see that.
15	Q	So you didn't rob him that day. 2/15 or 2/15/17, you see
16	his text:	
17		He 5 minute away tomorrow cool?
18	А	I see that.
19	Q	You see where he's telling you:
20		I can keep him here.
21	А	I see that.
22	Q	Do you see where he's telling you:
23		How long you think?
24	А	I see that.
25	Q	See where he's telling you:
		251

1		Apartment fam?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Apartment; you see that?
4	Α	Yes. Yes, I see that.
5	Q	Come to apartment, he's still asleep.
6	А	I see that.
7	Q	Okay. You respond:
8		All right. Out here.
9	А	I see that.
10	Q	You see where he's texting you:
11		K still sleep, on my way out or OMW out?
12	А	I see that.
13	Q	See where he says:
14		I'm coming out, where are you at, fam?
15	А	I see that.
16	Q	Where he's saying:
17		This n-word still asleep.
18	А	I see that.
19	Q	And then you respond:
20		To your right, up against the wall by the dealership when
21	you 1	first come in.
22	Α	I see that.
23	Q	Is that these dealerships here?
24	Α	I don't know.
25	Q	Okay.
		252

1		MR. GIORDANI: And that was State's Exhibit 6 I was
2	referring	to.
3	Q	You would agree with me you text him:
4		That's good, he's tired.
5		Or:
6		That's good, he tire?
7	А	I see that.
8	Q	Onto page 12, a third of the way down the page. Well,
9	let's star	t at the top. You see where Poke texts you on
10	February	/ 15, 2017, at 10:50 p.m.:
11		Okay.
12	А	Yes, I see that.
13	Q	And then he text you:
14		He was talking to a girl and said he might come over?
15	А	I see that.
16	Q	And you say:
17		On him?
18	Α	I see that.
19	Q	He asked you:
20		Call back when you can.
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Fam, you should come get me and I look with you, that
23	woul	d help.
24	А	Yes, I see that.
25	Q	And, again, 5850 Sky Pointe Drive; you see that?
		252

1	Α	Yes, I see that.
2	Q	Okay. Apparently, nothing happened that day.
3	Februar	y 16th at 4:42 p.m., you have a new kind of a text thread
4	with Pol	ke, right?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And on and on, you continually talk with Poke up
7	until Fel	oruary 21st, 2017; you agree with me there?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And at 9:37 a.m., Poke texts you:
10		Tonight the night, my brother
11	А	Yes, I see that.
12	Q	And you respond:
13		Just seen your text. Okay, cool.
14	А	I see that.
15	Q	Going on, you text him, 9:38:
16		How are we looking?
17	А	I see that.
18	Q	And that's p.m.; do you see that?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And then 9:39 p.m., you text:
21		He's supposed to be pulling up, my man that want the
22	bag'	s not here either.
23		Right?
24	А	I see that.
25	Q	He text you that?

1	A	He text that yes, I see that.
2	Q	Okay. He text you:
3		I told him be here at 9:30.
4	А	I see that.
5	Q	And you respond at 9:40:
6		Okay.
7	А	No, you got to pull it up. Okay.
8	Q	Okay? And you send a text at 9:43 that says:
9		On standby.
10	А	I see that.
11	Q	Poke sends you a text that says:
12		K.
13		And he says:
14		His girl he having problem with work at UMC Medical.
15	А	I see that.
16	Q	10:14 p.m., you send a text that says:
17		Now.
18	Α	I see that.
19	Q	10:15, Poke sends a text that says:
20		Yes.
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	10:17, you send a text that says:
23		You on the way, right?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. So you'd agree with me that with your version of
		255

1	events, if you're robbed on this night, you still haven't seen Poke
2	and you haven't been robbed by 10:17?
3	A That's what the phone say. And like I said before, it was
4	between the times of 10:30 and 11:00. Yes.
5	Q Okay. The answer's yes, right?
6	A Yes.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, I'm sorry to interrupt you, but
8	we have to take a quick break.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
10	THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to
11	take a 10-minute break. During the brief recess, that'll put us
12	at 4:30, you are all reminded you're not to discuss the case or
13	anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else.
14	Do not read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentaries on
15	the case, person, or subject matter relating to the case. Don't do
16	any independent research by way of the Internet or any other
17	medium. And please do not form or express an opinion on the trial
18	Please leave your notepads in your chairs and follow the
19	bailiff through the double doors.
20	[Jury recessed at 4:19 p.m.]
21	THE COURT: And, Mr. Brown, you can return to your seat
22	next to counsel.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	THE COURT: You can go back to sitting next to
25	Mr. Storms.
	256

1	Quickly, on the we're off the record.
2	[Court recessed at 4:20 p.m., until 4:29 p.m.]
3	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is everyone ready?
5	MS. TRUJILLO: Yes. Are we going to wait to make our
6	record
7	MR. GIORDANI: We will be ready, Your Honor.
8	MS. TRUJILLO: after everyone
9	THE COURT: Yeah.
10	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
11	THE COURT: I thought the jury okay. Two of the jurors
12	can't stay past 5:00.
13	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
14	THE COURT: So we're going to go to 5:00 and then we
15	will stay late and you can make your record of everything.
16	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
17	THE COURT: And then I don't think I don't feel well. Do
18	I don't want to settle jury instructions tonight.
19	MR. STORMS: Okay.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, did you want to settle jury
22	instructions?
23	MR. GIORDANI: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll do the record tonight and
25	then we'll leave, and then we'll figure out, obviously, before we
	257

1	leave, we'll let actually, we need to do that now.
2	So I have a calendar in the morning. And I'm assuming
3	the State has a rebuttal case.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
5	THE COURT: How long
6	MR. GIORDANI: Via Skype
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. GIORDANI: for one. And then
9	THE COURT: And then are you calling
10	MR. GIORDANI: Well, it depends on what happens.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Carter or anybody else?
12	MR. GIORDANI: Depends on what happens from here on
13	out. I can't really say.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to tell the jurors to
15	come back at 1:00. Unless you think the whole day is going to be
16	filled up, the whole afternoon is going to be filled up with
17	witnesses. Because if we're closing tomorrow, lawyers, we all have
18	to be here at 12:30 to settle jury instructions.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
20	THE COURT: That's because I have calendar. So that's
21	what I'm saying. Otherwise, they'll come back at 12:30, but if I have
22	them come back at 1:00, then we can settle jury instructions. Do we
23	still feel like we're going to close tomorrow?
24	MR. GIORDANI: I can't really say now.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll have them come back at 1:00

1	then.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Because I don't want them to come back
4	at 12:30 and sit in the hallway because we have nothing to do.
5	MR. GIORDANI: No, I think we can all agree we can settle
6	instructions at 12:30, for sure.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, definitely.
9	THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.
10	MS. TRUJILLO: And I'm fine with if we want to agree to
11	close I don't know if you want to do that already, or you know, or
12	you're going to let us know?
13	MR. GIORDANI: No, I mean, we're going to be prepared
14	THE COURT: You have to
15	MR. GIORDANI: to close tomorrow for sure right? For
16	sure.
17	THE COURT: Well, depending on who you have. I mean,
18	if you have Anthony Carter and the Skype if it's just the Skype
19	guy
20	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, it might be 15 minutes
21	THE COURT: then right, that's why we
22	MR. GIORDANI: and we can close.
23	THE COURT: have to settle jury instructions. So okay.
24	Bring them in. Thanks.
25	[Jury reconvened at 4:32 p.m.]
- 1	

1		THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session.
2		And, Mr. Brown, just come on back up here to the witness
3	stand ar	nd have a seat. And you are still under oath; do you
4	underst	and that?
5		THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
6		THE COURT: All right. Mr. Giordani, you may proceed
7	with you	ur cross-examination or continue, rather.
8		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
9		CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT.)
10	BY MR. GIORDANI:	
11	Q	I'm showing page 16 of I'm sorry, 15 of Exhibit 302.
12	Let's pick up where we left off, Mr. Brown. On the top here, you see	
13	where it says:	
14		But you on the way, right?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	That's a text from you, correct?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	To Poke? So you'd agree with me this alleged robbery of
19	you had not occurred by that point?	
20	Α	No.
21	Q	You wouldn't agree or you would?
22	Α	I hadn't been robbed yet, no.
23	Q	Okay. 10:17 p.m., February 21st, Poke texts you:
24		Yes, yes.
25	Α	Yes.
	1	260

_		
1	Q	And then he says:
2		I'm here.
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	And then he says:
5		I've been here, fam, you on the way?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And then you respond:
8		Yeah, I saw go in okay?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	And to this point, you still haven't been robbed, correct?
11	Α	No.
12	Q	Correct or not?
13	А	Yes. Well, I'm saying
14	Q	You have not been robbed yet
15	Α	nothing right.
16	Q	yes or no?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	I have not been robbed yet?
19	Α	I have not been robbed yet, yes.
20	Q	Okay. 10:18 p.m., Poke texts you:
21		If you need Nard, he on standby.
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	At the hou.
24		H-O-U.
25	Α	Yes.
		261

1	Q	Right?
2	А	Yes. Yes.
3	Q	And then you respond:
4		I'm on it.
5	А	You got to bring it up.
6	Q	10:21 p.m.?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	You would agree with me at that point you'd not been
9	robbed yet?	
10	Α	At that time, I don't like I said, that time, no.
11	Q	Okay. 10:22 p.m., Poke texts you:
12		He have money in middle console to sometime, mostly on
13	him and in trunk in bags, if he riding heavy, he keep small	
14	pocket knife on right side.	
15	Α	Yes, I see it.
16	Q	Okay. And then he says:
17		Okay, fam?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And you respond at 10:22:
20		Okay.
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	So you'd agree with me you hadn't been robbed by that
23	point in	time?
24	А	No.
25	Q	Okay. And then he texts you at 10:40 that says:
		262

1		Pulling in.	
2		Is that right?	
3	А	Yes, I see it.	
4	Q	Okay. Up until that point, you'd not been robbed?	
5	А	No.	
6	Q	Okay. Is that the text, Pulling in, at 10:40, that you referred	
7	to earlie	er when you said you're waiting at the gas station, I got a	
8	text to know he was coming, and then a vehicle pulled up?		
9	Α	Yes.	
10	Q	Okay. So that's the text?	
11	Α	Yes.	
12	Q	Okay. Have your indulgence for a moment.	
13		[Pause in proceedings.]	
14	Q	So there's no confusion, State's 274, who's that?	
15	А	That looks like Poke, yes.	
16	Q	State's 238?	
17	А	I don't know who that is.	
18	Q	Okay. State's 43; your testimony here today under oath,	
19	Mr. Bro	wn	
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	is that you didn't do that to Kwame Banks?	
22	А	Yes. No, I didn't do that. No.	
23	Q	Your testimony here is that you were just robbed at the	
24	gas stat	ion, and from there, you left to Summerlin Hospital?	
25	А	Yes.	
	i	0.00	

1	Q	Your testimony here today under oath is that you never	
2	went to	that location?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	And we're talking about never went there in your life?	
5	Α	Like I said, I dropped him off, I picked him up from several	
6	places.	I don't remember that place, no.	
7	Q	Okay.	
8	Α	This other texts that he takes me from other places that he	
9	wanted me to pick him up from.		
10	Q	And those would be contained in your phone, then, right?	
11	Α	Yes.	
12	Q	Want to go back through this?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	Okay.	
15	А	Okay.	
16	Q	So	
17	А	I know it was a place off of near my house.	
18	Q	Your address, 5420 Sierra Bello?	
19	А	5420?	
20	Q	The Sierra Bello address; is that right?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Okay. I'm showing you State's 2, page 3; you see this	
23	Sprint re	ecord	
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	Mr. Brown?	
1	1		

1	Α	Yes.		
2	Q	And you see your name as the billing address I'm sorry,		
3	the subs	scriber associated with 404-808-2233?		
4	А	Yes.		
5	Q	And you didn't have any other phones at that time, to		
6	throw av	throw away or burner or whatever they're called?		
7	А	No.		
8		MR. GIORDANI: All right. I'll pass the witness.		
9		THE COURT: All right. Redirect.		
10		MS. TRUJILLO: Thank you. Just briefly.		
11		REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
12	BY MS. TRUJILLO:			
13	Q	That billing address he just showed you, that was a		
14	Georgia address, right?			
15	Α	That's my grandmother's address.		
16	Q	Okay. But it was a Georgia address?		
17	Α	Yes.		
18	Q	Okay. And I know we talked about it a few times, but the		
19	people who robbed you, were they wearing gloves?			
20	Α	Yes.		
21		MS. TRUJILLO: No further questions.		
22		THE COURT: Anything else based on that?		
23		MR. GIORDANI: No, thank you.		
24		THE COURT: Any juror questions? All right.		
25		Counsel approach.		
ı	I .	0.65		

1	[Off-record bench conference.]
2	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Brown
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: we have a couple of juror questions here.
5	A juror asks: You said you've always had the same phone
6	number
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: why did you change it?
9	THE WITNESS: I never changed my phone number.
10	THE COURT: All right. Another juror asks: If you know, in
11	the texts, who is being referred as he when talking about the man
12	with the knife and pants?
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Trujillo, do you have any
15	follow-up to those last two juror questions?
16	MS. TRUJILLO: No, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Giordani, did you have any follow-up
18	just to those last two juror questions?
19	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, thank you.
20	FURTHER EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. GIORDANI:
22	Q Page 15 of Exhibit 302; you see this text from Poke
23	at 10:22 p.m.?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q He have money in middle console to sometime mostly on

11

21

25

267

says: Okay, fam. And you respond: Okay. Within 30 seconds. You

1	see the times?			
2	Α	Yes.		
3	Q	So you're saying a phone call happened in between there		
4	to expla	in away this statement?		
5	Α	It's been, like I say, there'd been phone calls prior to that		
6	to expla	in what was going on to that. So yes, it was a phone call.		
7	Yes, I ha	Yes, I have made phone calls to address why he's text me random		
8	texts ou	texts out of the blue.		
9	Q	Okay. So there should be a phone call between you two		
0	between	n, I guess, 10:13 p.m. and this last text which occurred		
1	at 10:40	?		
2	А	Yes, should.		
3		MR. GIORDANI: Pass the witness.		
4		THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Trujillo?		
5		MS. TRUJILLO: No, Judge.		
6		THE COURT: Any other questions? No additional		
7	questio	ns.		
8		Mr. Brown, you may return to your seat at counsel table		
9	next to	Mr. Storms.		
20		Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go ahead and take		
21	our eve	ning recess at this point. The Court has a calendar on		
22	various	unrelated matters in the morning. So we will not		
23	reconve	ene until 1:00. 1:00. Once again, because of the last start,		
24	we won	't be taking a lunch break, so make sure you eat lunch or		
25	bring a	snack or do whatever you need to do.		

Before I excuse you for the evening recess, I must admonish you that you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch, listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person, or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Do not visit the locations at issue. And please do not form or express an opinion on the case.

Please leave your notepads in your chairs and follow the bailiff through the double doors. 1:00 tomorrow.

[Jury recessed at 4:47 p.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to make a record of various objections at this time. And then for the benefit of the corrections officers, we will resume at 12:30.

Attorneys, do you want Mr. Brown here for jury instructions?

MS. TRUJILLO: Yes, please.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Brown needs to be here at 12:30 tomorrow. And then he can -- we're doing a little bit more, so don't take him yet, but 12:30 for Mr. Brown. And, obviously, us.

All right. Ms. Trujillo, go ahead.

MS. TRUJILLO: All right. I don't know if I can remember my notes, but during the testimony of Loshalonda Ford on cross-examination, I objected to I believe it was the information about a gun and, basically, accusing her of a crime and whether or

not she dumped the .40-caliber gun with him, as beyond the scope of direct. The Court sustained it. I don't know if you want to do additional commentary on that?

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Are we doing --

THE COURT: Let's just go one by one.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: Because that's easier on me.

MR. GIORDANI: As to that statement, this is the first time the State has ever heard that Mr. Brown drove with this woman, Loshalonda Ford, to Georgia. It's perfectly within my right to cross-examine on whether he had the fruits of the robbery and/or the firearm used in the homicide. And that's why I asked the question.

THE COURT: I said it -- I think I said the State can ask about if she saw the fruits of the crime or a gun, and I didn't think it was implicating her in anything if he had a gun or -- and she said he didn't, so there's no prejudice there, because she said she didn't see marijuana, she didn't see a gun. All right.

MS. TRUJILLO: The second objection during Ms. Ford's testimony as well was also beyond the scope of the juror question. Which the juror question was, How did you get to Georgia? Was it a rental or Mr. Brown's car? The response was it was a rental car. The follow-up question was, do you know Angelisa Ryder? And then I objected as beyond the scope of the juror's question.

And then at the bench we kind of went back and forth

about whether it was safe to get into the fact that it was a white Jeep SUV and that's -- that was memory.

THE COURT: I sustained the objection. I let the State ask the follow-up as to who rented the car. And I thought the talk about the white SUV that wasn't the rental car was beyond the scope. So that pretty much what happened?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Next?

MS. TRUJILLO: During Mr. Brown's testimony, I objected to the State's burden shifting, my perception of it, after he was asking questions about whether or not there were cameras at the location of the convenience store where Mr. Brown says he was robbed. To me that's burden shifting, because then that's putting on we should have gotten the cameras. And, obviously, we have no burden.

THE COURT: I don't -- as I --

MS. TRUJILLO: So --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. But as I recall, you didn't object to that. You objected when he asked the next question, which was:

So there would be film of the robbery or something like that. And you objected that question to burden shifting, which I sustained your objection for different reasons --

MS. TRUJILLO: For different reasons.

THE COURT: -- because I felt like how on Earth is

Mr. Brown going to know if the cameras were working, what angles

1	they were facing or anything like that.
2	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
3	THE COURT: So I sustained the objection for different
4	reasons.
5	MS. TRUJILLO: Right. And the commentary on, so there
6	would be footage, also suggests if there were, we should have
7	gotten it. Which is burden shifting in my opinion. And object the
8	next
9	MR. GIORDANI: Can I respond?
10	THE COURT: Sure.
11	MS. TRUJILLO: She already
12	MR. GIORDANI: It's not burden shifting. I didn't imply
13	that. I think Ms. Trujillo is taking leave to get to that next argument,
14	which I would never make, in that they had to do something or
15	collect something. I'm perfectly permitted to ask him during the
16	course of this alleged robbery, you were at a public place, and I
17	think everyone can infer that gas stations have video cameras, and
18	that's the line I was going with.
19	THE COURT: Well, like I said
20	MR. GIORDANI: I think
21	THE COURT: I sustained it for other reasons, because
22	Mr. Brown would have no way of knowing half the time they
23	don't even work.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, fair enough.
25	THE COURT: And you don't know what angle they're

facing and everything. I myself, I digress, but I've started, was recently the victim of a hit-and-run. And my husband and I went to try to collect the videotape. We talked to the owner of the convenience store, who was very helpful. But when we looked at the videotape, it's all, you know, like, really bad quality and stuff like that. So just because there's videotape doesn't mean that it's capturing anything or anybody could see it or anything like that.

So that is why, again, I sustained the objection, because unless he went and looked at the videotape or talked to the owner, how on Earth is Mr. Brown going to know anything about it?

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

THE COURT: So that was my basis. I -- you know, I don't know that it was burden shifting, but like I said, it would -- it calls for speculation because he doesn't know what a particular convenience store would or wouldn't have.

MR. GIORDANI: That's fair. Let me just add to the record.

The intent of the question was not to elicit what Ms. Trujillo thinks it was to elicit or said she thinks I was eliciting. The intent of the question was, were you in a public place where there could be video? It may be phrased in a way that wasn't perfect, but that certainly wasn't the intent, and the State's well aware that we can't shift the burden on them to collect evidence years later. That wouldn't make any sense.

THE COURT: So you're saying your point was to suggest that somebody wouldn't have robbed him in the parking lot --

1	MR. GIORDANI: A public
2	THE COURT: of a convenience store where there's all
3	this video; is that was that point of that?
4	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. In addition, on a Tuesday night
5	around 8:00 p.m., I mean
6	THE COURT: It looked busy.
7	MR. GIORDANI: I've made my record. Yeah.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. TRUJILLO: Because people never commit robberies
0	on video. I mean, come on. That's a little outrageous. But I'll let it
1	go.
2	While you are still up there, I also objected to his
3	commentary on the mystery men as disparaging Mr. Brown's
4	testimony, which I think is inappropriate. And the Court just made
5	a suggestion that he change his wording. Is that fair?
6	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
7	MS. TRUJILLO: Okay.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And I did.
9	THE COURT: Yeah, and he
20	MS. TRUJILLO: There and he did. Yes.
21	And my next objection was asked and answered. We
22	were on, I believe, the third time of him asking Mr. Brown and what
23	happened at the robbery again? As I said, asked and answered.
24	The Court overruled the objection.
25	THE COURT: I over yeah, I mean, I think he's allowed to

try to elicit details. I felt like that's what he was doing, trying to, you know, get more details on the case or, you know, on the alleged robbery. And so he can -- you know, he can do that, in my opinion.

MS. TRUJILLO: Okay. And then the fourth objection was to the assuming facts not in evidence when he asked, and I don't remember how it was phrased, but it was phrase as something like, Would it be weird or would it surprise you that Angelisa searched under her phone about the murder? And I objected. That was assuming facts not in evidence. There's been no testimony. Angelisa has not testified nor any other person has testified that there was a search that occurred.

We had a colloquy at the bench. Obviously, unless -- if the State doesn't produce that evidence in rebuttal, the in will be moving for a mistrial plea, because I think that now the jury is going to infer that my -- Mr. Brown was aware of that and somehow imputed to him, which I think is inappropriate.

THE COURT: Well, they -- okay. Apparently, the State has the records. The Court said they'd better be prepared to produce them. So since they have the records, they had a good-faith basis to ask the question. Not like they're -- and Mr. Giordani, based on our conversation at the bench, withdrew the question or said he didn't -- it was fine if the Court sustained the objection. And --

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And let me -- if I may? Just add -- I think the Court's right. The standard is whether the State has a good-faith basis to ask the question. Same standard applies to the

defense.

In this particular case, I think we can all agree that there is a DFL dump of Angelisa's phone in which there are searches for this event. The reason I said go ahead and sustain the objection, and I'll move on, is because at the time, we didn't know if this out-of-state witness who did the DFL examination was available or not. So that's why I think --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: -- to cure it, that was the remedy the Court saw fit to do, and at that point, you did sustain it and he didn't even answer the question.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: So even if -- I'm not saying we're not calling him, because I think that we have him available, but even if we did not call him, the State's position would be the question itself is not grounds for a mistrial, especially considering the fact that the State [sic] sustained it and he never answered it.

With that said, it's my understanding that we're going to be able to set him up for Skype tomorrow afternoon. I just haven't spoken to him personally.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TRUJILLO: And, Judge, for the record, obviously, I disagree. I'm going to start with I don't think it was a cure that you sustained the objection and that he moved on, because the inference is already out there, the question is out there. And the

 question was clearly prejudicial to Mr. Brown.

Secondly, the good-faith basis is that you have a good faith that you are going to introduce this evidence, not just that it exists somewhere in the world. And therein lies the problem. So --

THE COURT: Well, I think the State is going to be introducing the evidence. They're making, what, earnest efforts to get the witness who's out of state to appear by Skype. They're -- I mean, they're not just making stuff up. They've got the records that show the texting or the communicating back and forth. I'll say the same thing to the defense that I said to the State, you know, we have to presume that, you know, that the jury will disregard the questions if we say an objection was sustained.

So right now you have a question and an objection that was sustained. You don't have Mr. Brown answering the question. So I think it's okay, even if they don't produce the evidence.

MR. GIORDANI: And Craig and Ivy I just add, I hate to draw it out, but Ms. Trujillo just said the standard is whether the State has a good-faith basis that the evidence is going to be admitted in order to ask question. I completely disagree. It's the same thing with their cross-examination questions of all our people. The detective, Didn't you talk to this person and they said this? The thing I objected to hearsay.

They didn't have a good-faith basis that was going to later be admitted. They have a good-faith basis that the evidence existed. That's why they ask the question. That's the same thing

that applies here. I ask the question, because I had a good-faith basis, because I've seen Angelisa's phone. So I understand the Court's ruling --

THE COURT: Well, it's a little different, because she's asking the detectives questions based on their personal knowledge, like, didn't you talk to Sylvia and --

MR. GIORDANI: No, it was --

THE COURT: -- you talked to Bob. As opposed to the question you asked was, Would you be surprised? The inference is not necessarily he knew that she was searching, maybe he directed her to do it, or the inference is, well, he said, Hey, my God, I've just been involved in this murder. I hope the police aren't looking for me. And she starts doing this searching, whether he said -- see if they're looking -- you know, that's -- the inference is that he told her. But not that he would be able to ask -- answer the question, necessarily, the way it was phrased. Because you said would it surprise you?

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And I'm --

THE COURT: As opposed, Did you tell -- did you ask, you know, Annalee -- whatever her name is, to do a search.

MR. GIORDANI: Right.

THE COURT: In any event, like I said, Ms. Trujillo believed that they had personal knowledge of this, because it's probably in the report or in a statement or something.

Are there any other objections we need to put on the

1	record?
2	MS. TRUJILLO: No, Judge, that was it.
3	THE COURT: For the State, anything?
4	MR. GIORDANI: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. TRUJILLO: Oh, wait, I apologize. One more
7	objection. Sorry.
8	My fifth objection was to when we were going through
9	the text messages and Mr. Giordani kept saying, And you went up
10	to 2003, and I we approached. And I said, also assuming facts not
11	in evidence. He had already testified he had never been to that
12	apartment and the fact that the text messages give that indication
13	of the address doesn't mean that he actually went up there. So.
14	THE COURT: I felt like he has the opportunity to say no, I
15	didn't know what he was talking about, or no, I haven't. I mean, it's
16	cross. So, I mean, he said I no, I haven't been there, I don't know.
17	I didn't know what it was or it was his grandmother's place or
18	something. I don't remember.
19	All right.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: And, Judge, wait I apologize.
21	THE COURT: Oh.
22	MS. TRUJILLO: Well, there was one more objection from
23	the beginning that I forgot to write down, and it was in reference to
24	the State referring to an exhibit and asking Mr. Brown to say, you

know, you -- we heard testimony that in this area, I don't remember

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

exactly what it was, but it was referencing the radius of the cell tower pinging.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. TRUJILLO: And I said that was also commenting -- or the inference was that Mr. Brown was tailoring his testimony, because he has been sitting here and previously listening to the evidence. So I objected to that.

MR. GIORDANI: And I --

THE COURT: At the bench, I told the State. They said that wasn't their intent. I said, Well, that's an inference that could be drawn, and I directed the State to rephrase the question, that, like, you acknowledge you were in this area where it was a cell tower, you acknowledge A, B, and C, which I think they can ask him.

Because, I mean, on cross, they can get him to admit, you know, say there's 12 facts. If they can get him to admit 10 of them, that's perfectly acceptable. So I told Mr. Giordani to rephrase and he did, and --

MR. GIORDANI: And just --

THE COURT: -- anything you want to add?

MR. GIORDANI: Very briefly. That particular exhibit, which did the mapping, you'll recall, took, you know, 20 minutes or so to load on the computer. And it's all digitized, it's not, like, a screenshot. So I couldn't just show him and say, Are you acknowledging you were in this area? That's what I was saying, Remember the mapping? Because I logistically could not show him

•

it?

that exhibit.

THE COURT: Right. And as I said, I mean, he can get him to acknowledge what he'll acknowledge to confirm other facts that they've established or tried to establish other evidence. So I think that was okay. And, like I said, I asked him to rephrase so that inference wouldn't be drawn, like, okay, you're saying this now, because you know what the evidence is, is obviously what they can't do.

Anything else?

MR. GIORDANI: One real quick thing. I asked your recorder if it would be permissible to burn a disc of the testimony of Mr. Brown, so we can review it for closings. She indicated the Court would -- the judge would have to allow it.

THE COURT RECORDER: Well, I said you don't release JAVS, that he would have to talk to you about that.

THE COURT: I don't really release the JAVS.

MR. GIORDANI: Well, the problem is, is we don't have a transcript, the dailies. And in order to accurately --

THE COURT: I saw Mr. Dickerson over there taking excellent notes.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, but he was also searching on the Internet and trying to help me out.

MR. DICKERSON: There's a lot going on over here.

MR. GIORDANI: Can we just burn a disc so we can review

THE COURT: Are you requesting a disc, as well?

MS. TRUJILLO: No.

THE COURT: Oh, you know, years ago, Mr. Giordani, they didn't have this technology. They had women -- and they were predominantly women, but not exclusively, who sat at little chairs with little things and they typed up transcripts. And you had to rely on your own memory of what was said.

MR. GIORDANI: I think it's more accurate to rely on a video recording, and that's what I'd like.

THE COURT: I'm just saying, it's like a new technology.

The reason I don't like to -- is, I mean, I know you didn't do it, but then it -- some people make a separate record of the JAVS and stuff like that I don't really like.

MR. GIORDANI: A separate --

THE COURT: Yeah, we've had problems with civil lawyers.

MR. GIORDANI: Oh.

THE COURT: So it's an across-the-board policy. But that's how it started. Some people have wondered why I started the policy of the JAVS, and we've had lawyers who've made their own -- not them themselves, but rather -- that's where the policy started.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: And it's kind of a blanket policy. And that's the reason, people have speculated as to what the reason is for not

releasing the JAVS, that's where it all started, because we had seen people preparing their own transcripts and not going through the transcripts of the court recorder, like they're supposed to.

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, no.

THE COURT: Because -- no, I know you wouldn't do it, but it's, like, an across-the-board policy.

MR. GIORDANI: Can you just order us --

THE COURT: You can release the transcript -- I mean, we'll release the JAVS to both sides, but then you have to give it back to us and not copy it or anything like that. And I know you wouldn't do your own transcript, but I feel like it's unfair to single out certain lawyers and say, Oh, you can't have the transcript, but -- or the JAVS, but this other lawyer can have it.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

THE COURT: That's kind of the genesis of the policy and a couple civil -- because civil lawyers have to pay a lot more for these transcripts, and that's where it all started. We found a couple of things where lawyers were citing to the record that had never been actually made by way of an official transcript. So then I said forget it, no one's getting the JAVS of anything unless it goes to, like, UNLV, Boyd Law School, or something like that, or some kind of, you know, Clark County Bar CLE, that's different. But I'll make an exception, you can have the -- but, again --

MR. GIORDANI: Just for closing and then --

THE COURT: -- give it back --

I just -- I have a broad policy, because I don't like to say, Well, this

1	lawyer's a good, fair lawyer and he wouldn't do something wrong.
2	But this other lawyer would do you know, you can't
3	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Understood.
4	THE COURT: start picking and choosing among lawyers
5	who's trustworthy and who's not.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: All right. So burn a disc for both of them.
8	MS. TRUJILLO: And, Judge, for tomorrow, 12:30 for jury
9	instructions and then, depending on Stat's witnesses, anticipate
10	closing in the afternoon?
11	THE COURT: Right. Depending on how, you know, late it
12	goes, probably closing in the afternoon.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Understood.
14	THE COURT: I mean, are you just thinking of the one
15	witness or maybe more?
16	MR. GIORDANI: I'm not I haven't talked to him
17	personally. We were trying to play telephone with our out-of-state
18	desk, but I don't know. I mean, we might have to call the detective,
19	we've just go to review this and, I mean, lots have happened over
20	the last two hours.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm only interested for
22	scheduling, because, say we go to testimony till 3:30, we're not
23	going to be closing
24	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I don't think
25	THE COURT: tomorrow, tomorrow afternoon,

1	because how long I mean, you're closing, I'm guessing, is,
2	what, an hour?
3	MR. DICKERSON: That probably, tops.
4	THE COURT: Huh?
5	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, it would probably be around
6	THE COURT: Your 30 by the way, you're 30 minutes
7	with the DNA expert was actually, like, an hour.
8	MS. TRUJILLO: I told him that already.
9	MR. GIORDANI: I know. Because I had the time.
10	THE COURT: Well, no
11	MS. TRUJILLO: So their closings are going to be three
12	and a half hours.
13	THE COURT: and I said to them, thank God we didn't
14	stay, because it would have been 6:30 and would have had to leave
15	anyway, or it would have been
16	MR. GIORDANI: If we had stayed, I would hammered
17	through that to get it done.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Trujillo said an hour, but I
19	think hers was only 40 minutes.
20	MS. TRUJILLO: Because he ended up asking a lot, and I
21	wasn't going to repeat everything.
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	MS. TRUJILLO: So.
24	THE COURT: So I'm just saying we couldn't have finished
25	it the night before, anyway, because we would have been here

1	till 7:30 or 8:00.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Fair enough.
3	MR. DICKERSON: For sure.
4	THE COURT: In any event
5	MS. TRUJILLO: But, Judge, if they end up calling Anthony
6	Carter, my cross is going to be pretty lengthy.
7	THE COURT: It's whatever it is.
8	MS. TRUJILLO: So
9	THE COURT: I just am saying if we take testimony till,
10	like, 3:30, I'll probably read them the instructions, send them
11	home
12	MS. TRUJILLO: And that's it.
13	THE COURT: for the evening, and then we'll close first
14	thing Friday. Because I there's no point in trying to close
15	MR. GIORDANI: Agreed.
16	MR. STORMS: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Right?
18	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: But I'll do everything we can before we start
20	the closings.
21	MR. GIORDANI: There's a chance our witnesses
22	THE COURT: If it's 2:00 or whatever, then I think we can
23	close. But if it's, you know
24	MR. GIORDANI: There's a chance our witnesses will be 10
25	minutes, there's a chance it'll be two to three hours. So we'll know

1	in the morning.
2	MS. TRUJILLO: Really?
3	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
4	MS. TRUJILLO: In the morning?
5	[Court recessed at 5:10 p.m.]
6	///
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
16	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
17	Shawna Ortega, CET*562
18	onawna ontoga, oz 1 302
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	288

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

DEC 1 9 2019

JURL

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9 | Larry Brown

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Plaintiff(s),

-VS-

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. C326247

DEPT. NO. 21

AMENDED JURY LIST

- 1. Joanne Calderon Arkensburg
- 2. Diana Vallejo-Rodriguez
- 3. Chris Purcell
- 4. David Marshall

State of Nevada

- 5. Ellen Becker
- 6. Gavin Williams
- 7. Nicole Pucci-Johnson

- 8. Nancy Selby
- 9. Brooke O'Neill
- 10. Edwin Herrera
- 11. Jennifer Hackett
- 12. Phillip Davis

ALTERNATES

13. Dawn Wallace

14. Kenneth Campbell

C-17-326247-1 AJUR Amended Jury List 4883297



OBJ 1 JONELL THOMAS FILED IN OPEN COURT SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER STEVEN D. GRIERSON 2 Nevada Bar #4771 CLERK OF THE COURT MONICA R. TRUJILLO 3 DEC 1 9 2019 Chief Deputy Special Public Defender 4 Nevada Bar #11301 W. JEREMY STORMS 5 Chief Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #10772 6 330 So. Third Street, Suite #800 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265 8 FAX: (702) 455-6273 EMAIL: monica.trujillo@clarkcountynv.gov EMAIL: jeremy.storms@clarkcountynv.gov Attorneys for Larry Decorleon Brown 10 11 DISTRICT COURT 12 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 13 STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-17-326247-1 14 DEPT. NO. 21 Plaintiff, 15 VS. 16 17 LARRY DECORLEON BROWN. ID 8376788, 18 Defendant. 19 20 **DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO** 21 STATE'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS 22 23 24

Comes now the Defendant, Larry Decorleon Brown, by and through his counsel and objects on the following grounds to the State's proposed jury instructions. Each of the objections made herein is based upon the Nevada Constitutional and the United States Constitutional guarantees of due process and a fair trial under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments; the right to accurate and complete instructions on the law under the Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth

25

26

27

28

C - 17 - 326247 - 1 OBJ Objection 4883298

Amendments; the right of equal protections of the laws under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Malice

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

Malice aforethought means the intentional doing of a wrongful act without legal cause or excuse or what the law considers adequate provocation. The condition of mind described as malice aforethought may arise, not alone from anger, hatred, revenge or from particular ill will, spite or grudge toward the person killed, but may result from any unjustifiable or unlawful motive or purpose to injure another, which proceeds from a heart fatally bent on mischief or with reckless disregard of consequences and social duty. Malice aforethought does not imply deliberation or the lapse of any considerable time between the malicious intention to injure another and the actual execution of the intent but denotes rather an unlawful purpose and design in contradistinction to accident and mischance.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction as it is confusing, misleading and archaic. This instruction will not assist the jury in its determination as to whether the State has established the element of malice. Mr. Brown recognizes that the Nevada Supreme Court has found that a defendant is not deprived of a fair trial if this instruction is given. See Leonard v. State, 114 Nev. 1196, 1208, 969 P.2d 288, 296 (1988). He raises this issue so as to preserve this issue for reconsideration by the Nevada Supreme Court and to preserve it for review by the federal courts. He also notes that the Nevada Supreme Court has not mandated this instruction, so this Honorable Court remains free to refuse this instruction as a matter of discretion.

Implied Malice

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

Express malice is that deliberate intention unlawfully to take away the life of a human being, which is manifested by external circumstances capable of proof.

Malice may be implied when no considerable provocation appears, or when all the circumstances of the killing show an abandoned and malignant heart.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction on the grounds that it relieves the State of its burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt the element of malice and uses terms that are archaic, without rational content and of no real assistance to the jury in determining whether the State has met its burden of proving that Mr. Brown acted with malice. He recognizes that the Nevada Supreme Court has found that a defendant is not deprived of a fair trial if this instruction is given. See Leonard v. State, 117 Nev. 53, 78-79, 17 P.3d 397, 413 (2001). He raises this issue so as to preserve this issue for reconsideration by the Nevada Supreme Court and to preserve it for review by the federal courts. He also notes that the Nevada Supreme Court has not mandated this instruction, so this Court remains free to refuse this instruction as a matter of discretion.

Alternative Theories of First Degree Murder

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

Although your verdict must be unanimous as to the charge, you do not have to agree on the theory of guilt or liability. Therefore, even if you cannot agree on whether the facts establish the defendant is guilty of Premeditated and Deliberate Murder or Felony Murder, or is liable as a principle, aider and abettor, or co-conspirator, so long as all of you agree that the evidence establishes the defendant's guilt of murder in the first degree, your verdict shall be Murder of the First Degree.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction on the following grounds:

- (1) The instruction misstates the burden of prove by speaking in the passive voice rather than active voice. The instruction must be changed to reflect that it is the State of Nevada which bears the burden of proving each of the alternative theories.
- (2) Mr. Brown recognizes that the Nevada Supreme Court has held that the jury need not be unanimous on the alternative theories of liability. He raises this issue so as to preserve this issue for reconsideration by the Nevada Supreme Court and to preserve it for review by the federal courts.

Deadly Weapon Enhancements for Robbery

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

You are instructed that if you find a defendant guilty of Robbery, you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of this crimes.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed Robbery With the Use of a Deadly Weapon, then you are instructed that the verdict of Robbery With the Use of a Deadly Weapon is the appropriate verdict.

If however, you find that a Deadly Weapon was not used in the commission of the Robbery, but you do find that a Robbery was committed, then you are instructed that the verdict of Robbery is the appropriate verdict.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction on the following grounds:

- (1) The deadly weapon enhancement is unconstitutional under the Second, Fifth, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the federal constitution and Article I, Section 11 of the Nevada Constitution. Mr. Brown has a fundamental constitutional right to possess arms and that right may not be infringed in the manner provided for by Nevada's deadly weapon enhancement.
- (2) This instruction erroneously suggests that Mr. Brown may receive the weapons enhancement for the use of weapons, even if Mr. Brown did not know of their weapons and did not exercise dominion and control over those weapons. <u>See Anderson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 625, 629, 600 P.2d 241, 243 (1979); <u>Walters v. State</u>, 108 Nev. 186, 189, 825 P.2d 1237, 1239-40 (1992).
- (3) The State should not be allowed to seek enhancement of multiple offenses for use of a single weapon. It should be limited to a single enhancement based upon the single incident.
- (4) As a matter of public policy and statutory construction, the State should not be permitted to seek weapons enhancements for acts committed by co-defendants as doing so encourages each participant to an offense to bring a weapon as there is no discouragement for bringing fewer weapons. There is no indication that the Legislature intended this absurd result and no language in the aiding and abetting or conspiracy statute which suggests that enhancements, as opposed to primary offenses, may be imputed to a co-defendant.

Deadly Weapon Enhancements for Murder

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

You are instructed that if you find a defendant guilty of First or Second Degree Murder you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of this crime.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of such an offense, then you shall return the appropriate guilty verdict reflecting "With Use of a Deadly Weapon."

If, however, you find that a deadly weapon was not used in the commission of such an offense, but you find that it was committed, then you shall return the appropriate guilty verdict reflecting that a deadly weapon was not used.

Mr. Brown incorporates by reference the objection listed above for the robbery deadly weapon enhancement here.

Definition of Deadly Weapon

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

"Deadly weapon" means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design and construction, will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death, or, any weapon, device, instrument, material or substance which, under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death.

The State is not required to have recovered the deadly weapon used in an alleged crime, or to produce the deadly weapon in court at trial, to establish that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of the crime.

Mr. Brown objects to these instructions on the following grounds:

- (1) The deadly weapon enhancement is unconstitutional under the Second, Fifth, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the federal constitution and Article I, Section 11 of the Nevada Constitution. Mr. Brown has a fundamental constitutional right to possess arms and that right may not be infringed in the manner provided for by Nevada's deadly weapon enhancement.
- (2) The second paragraph erroneously suggests that the identity of the defendant who owned and used the weapon is immaterial and that the weapons enhancement should be applied to all participants in the offense, without regard to whether they each had knowledge, dominion and control over the weapon.

Conspiracy

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons for an unlawful purpose. To be guilty of conspiracy, a defendant must intend to commit, or to aid in the commission of, the specific crime agreed to. The crime is the agreement to do something unlawful; it does not matter whether it was successful or not.

A conspiracy to commit a crime does not end upon the completion of the crime. The conspiracy continues until the co-conspirators have successfully gotten away and concealed the crime.

Mr. Brown objects to the third paragraph of this instruction as there are other means of terminating a conspiracy, such as withdrawal or arrest, as a conspiracy does not live on indefinitely in cases where the co-conspirators are unsuccessful in escaping or concealing the offense.

Conspiracy: Agreement

2.7

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons for an unlawful purpose. To be guilty of conspiracy, a defendant must intend to commit, or to aid in the commission of, the specific crime agreed to. The crime is the agreement to do something unlawful; it does not matter whether it was successful or not.

The last sentence of this instruction is misleading and erroneous. To be guilty of the crime of conspiracy to commit murder, the State must prove that the defendant agreed to commit murder, not to do something unlawful. <u>Bolden v. State</u>, 121 Nev. 909, 921, 124 P.3d 191, 200 (2005). The instruction should state "the crime is the agreement to commit the offense of murder; it does not matter whether it was successful or not."

Evidence of Conspiracy

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

It is not necessary in proving a conspiracy to show a meeting of the alleged conspirators or the making of an express or formal agreement. The formation and existence of a conspiracy may be inferred from all circumstances tending to show the common intent and may be proved in the same way as any other fact may be proved, either by direct testimony of the fact or by circumstantial evidence, or by both direct and circumstantial evidence.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction on the ground that it improperly minimizes the State's burden of proving the specific intent element of conspiracy and erroneously suggests that a conspiracy may be found based upon the mere showing of a common objective, even in the absence of an agreement.

Conspiracy: Members

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

Each member of a criminal conspiracy is liable for each act and bound by each declaration of every other member of the conspiracy if the act or the declaration is in furtherance of the object of the conspiracy.

The act of one conspirator pursuant to or in furtherance of the common design of the conspiracy is the act of all conspirators. Every conspirator is legally responsible for a specific intent crime of a co-conspirator so long as the specific intent crime was intended by the Defendant. A conspirator is also legally responsible for a general intent crime that follows as one of the reasonably forseeable consequences of the object of the conspiracy even if it was not intended as part of the original plan and even if he was not present at the time of the commission of such act.

Mr. Brown objects to paragraph two of this instruction. This portion will not assist the jury in determining whether a criminal conspiracy existed. Rather, the discussion of specific and general intent crimes will confuse the jury.

Co-conspirator Statements

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

Whenever there is slight evidence that a conspiracy existed, and that the defendant was one of the members of the conspiracy, then the statements and the acts by any person likewise a member may be considered by the jury as evidence in the case as to the defendant found to have been a member, even though the statements and acts may have occurred in the absence and without the knowledge of the defendant, provided such statements and acts were knowingly made and done during the continuance of such conspiracy, and in furtherance of some object or purpose of the conspiracy.

Mr. Brown objects to this entire instruction as confusing to the jury.

Reasonable Doubt

Mr. Brown objects to the State's proposed instruction on the presumption of innocence. The State proposes to instruct the jury as follows:

The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction because the first paragraph is not supported by Nevada statutory authority and Nevada statutes provide a better definition of this concept. Mr. Brown urges this Court to instruct the jury in accordance with the applicable statutes.

The portion of the instruction at issue here is the first paragraph and not the second paragraph. Mr. Brown recognizes that NRS 175.211 mandates the second paragraph of the instruction and recognizes that the Nevada Supreme Court has repeatedly affirmed the constitutionality of the second paragraph of this instruction. See e.g. Buchanan v. State, 119 Nev. 201, 221, 69 P.3d 694, 708 (2003); Lord v. State, 107 Nev. 28, 38, 806 P.2d 548, 554 (1991).

It does not appear that the Nevada Supreme Court has directly addressed the first paragraph of the instruction in light of the statutory definitions of the presumption of innocence, which are different than the instruction given here.

The first paragraph of this instruction is not mandated by statute. Either of Nevada's two instructions on the presumption of innocence are more appropriate instructions. NRS 175.191 provides the following:

A defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proved; and in case of a reasonable doubt whether the defendant's guilt is satisfactorily shown, the defendant is entitled to be acquitted.

NRS 175.201 provides the following:

Every person charged with the commission of a crime shall be presumed innocent until the contrary is proved by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt; and when an offense has been proved against the person, and there exists a reasonable doubt as to which of two or more degrees the person is guilty, the person shall be convicted only of the lowest.

In light of the clear statute on point, it is appropriate to instruct the jury in the statutory terms rather than the State's proposed instruction. Mr. Brown's state and federal constitutional rights to due process of law require that the jury be instructed in accord with Nevada's statutory authority.

Mr. Brown submits that the jury must be instructed in accord with statutory authority and that the first paragraph of the State's proposed instruction be replaced with the statutory language.

Guilt or Innocence

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

You are here to determine whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty from the evidence in the case. You are not called upon to return a verdict as to whether any other person is guilty or not guilty. So, if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the Defendant, you should so find, even though you may believe one or more other persons are also guilty.

Mr. Brown objects to this instruction on the ground that it misstates the jury's role. The jury's sole function in this phase of the trial is to determine whether the State has met its burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Brown is guilty is each of the offenses charged in the Indictment. He also objects to this instruction on the ground that it informs the jurors that they are to return a guilty verdict if they find Mr. Brown is guilty and also believe that other persons are guilty, but it fails to give the jury any guidance as to what to do if they find Mr. Brown not guilty, even though others are guilty.

Equal and Exact Justice

The State proposes that the jury be instructed as follows:

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.

Mr. Brown objects to the last line of this instruction, which requires the jury to do "equal and exact justice" because this instruction is confusing, it shifts the burden of proof, it relieves the State of its burden of proof, and it is misleading. He recognizes that the Nevada Supreme Court has rejected arguments concerning this instruction, see Thomas v. State, 120 Nev. 37, 46, 83 P.3d 818, 824 (2006), but he nevertheless raises this issue so as to provide the Nevada Supreme Court with the

opportunity to overrule its prior decision and to preserve this issue for federal court review. In addition, Mr. Brown notes that the Nevada Supreme Court has not required that the district courts

give this instruction and this Court therefore retains the discretion to reject the objectionable language.

Premeditation:

The State proposes to instruct the jury as follows:

"Premeditation need not be for a day, an hour, or even a minute. It may be as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind. For if the jury believes from the evidence that the act constituting the killing has been preceded by and has been the result of premeditation, no matter how rapidly the act follows the premeditation, it is premeditated."

The State does not cite to any legal authority in their proposed instruction but the relevant Nevada case they most likely rely on is <u>Byford v. State</u> 994 P.2d 700, 714 (Nev. 2000). Mr. Brown objects to this instruction because it removes the burden on the State to prove premeditation and blurs the distinction between Murder in the 1st and 2nd degrees. Although <u>Byford</u> is still valid law in Nevada, other jurisdictions have addressed this issue in a different light. In <u>State v. Thompson</u>, the Arizona Supreme Court noted:

We conclude, as did the court of appeals, that if the only difference between first and second degree murder is the mere passage of time, and that length of time can be "as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind," then there is no meaningful distinction between first and second degree murder. Such an interpretation would relieve the state of its burden to prove actual reflection and would render the first degree murder statute impermissibly vague and therefore unconstitutional under the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

State v. Thompson, 204 Ariz. 471, 478, 65 P.3d 420, 427 (2003).

Although the Second Degree Murder instruction notes that Murder in the Second Degree does not require premeditation and deliberation, Mr. Brown finds that the language of "instantaneous" and "successive" is misleading, violates his rights under the U.S. Constitution, and

requests that this language be removed from the instruction or that the instructions include more concrete language that adequately explains the State's burden to prove 1st and 2nd degree murder.

Premeditation Continued

The State proposes to instruct the jury as follows:

The law does not undertake to measure in units of time the length of the period during which the thought must be pondered before it can ripen into an intent to kill which is truly deliberate and premeditated. The time will vary with different individuals and under varying circumstances.

The true test is not the duration of time, but rather the extent of the reflection. A cold, calculated judgment and decision may be arrived at in a short period of time, but a mere unconsidered and rash impulse, even though it includes an intent to kill, is not deliberation and premeditation as will fix an unlawful killing as murder of the first degree.

Mr. Brown objects to this entire instruction as cumulative and repetitive to the previous instruction.