## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PLATTE RIVER INSURANCE COMPANY, No. 81974

Appellant, vs. SUSAN JACKSON; AND LANCE A. JACKSON,

Respondents.

FEB 08 2021 FEB 08 2021 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY CLEDY

## ORDER REINSTATING BRIEFING

This court entered an order directing appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because it appeared to challenge an order that merely set the priorities in a claim of exemption. See Settelmeyer & Sons v. Smith & Harmer, 124 Nev. 1206, 197 P.3d 1051 (2008). Appellant has responded and explains that the appeal challenges a postjudgment order that alters the substantive rights and obligations of the parties arising from the judgment by ruling that the \$10,000 "Wildcard Exemption" provided in NRS 21.090(1)(z) can be stacked on top of the wage exemption in NRS 21.090(1)(g). This court agrees. See Gumm v. Mainor, 118 Nev. 912, 920, 59 P.3d 1220, 1225 (2002) (holding that, to be appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(2), a special order made after final judgment "must be an order affecting the rights of some party to the action, growing out of the judgment previously entered"); Hardy & Hardy v. Wills, 114 Nev. 585, 958 P.2d 78 (1998); Smith v. Crown Fin. Servs., 111 Nev. 277, 280 n.2, 890 P.2d 769, 771 n.2 (1995) (attorney fees and costs); Morrell v. Edwards, 98 Nev. 91, 640 P.2d 1322 (1982) (amended judgment); see also NRAP 4(a)(5).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA This appeal may proceed and the briefing is reinstated as follows. Appellant shall have 60 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Jandesty, C.J.

cc: Dubowsky Law Office, Chtd. Millward Law, Ltd.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA