

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALI SHAHROKHI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
KIZZY J. S. BURROW A/K/A KIZZY  
BURROW,  
Respondent.

No. 81978

**FILED**

**DEC 03 2020**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

**ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS**

Notwithstanding its untimeliness, appellant's pro se motion for an extension of time to file the transcript request form is granted to the following extent. NRAP 26(b)(1)(A). Appellant shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve the transcript request form. See NRAP 9(b)(1). Appellant's November 30, 2020, pro se motion for a five-day extension of time to file the docketing statement is moot given that appellant's telephonic request for an extension of time to file the docketing statement was granted and the docketing statement is not due to be filed until December 9, 2020. Thus, no action will taken on the motion.

Appellant has also filed pro se motions requesting that this court take judicial notice of opinions issued by courts in other jurisdictions and asking this court to disqualify and remove Judge Harter from the district court case. The motions include what appears to be argument related to the merits of this appeal.

This court will take judicial notice of *Wade v. Wade*, 124 So. 3d 369 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2013). See *Andolino v. State*, 99 Nev. 346, 351, 662 P.2d 631, 633 (1983) (stating "that the laws of sister states, as reported in court opinions, are . . . subjects for judicial notice"). This court declines to take judicial notice of *Hurles v. Ryan*, 650 F.3d 1301 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2011) as that

opinion was withdrawn and superseded by *Hurles v. Ryan*, 706 F.3d 1021 (9th Cir. 2013), which was itself withdrawn and replaced by *Hurles v. Ryan*, 752 F.3d 768 (9th Cir. 2014).<sup>1</sup> The request to remove Judge Harter is improperly made in a motion and is denied. Appellant should present any arguments relating to the merits of this appeal in his briefs. Any such arguments included only in the motions for judicial notice will not be considered at the time of disposition of this appeal.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Ali Shahrokhi  
Standish Law

---

<sup>1</sup>This court notes that motions requesting judicial notice of statutes and of opinions entered by Nevada courts or courts of foreign jurisdictions are unnecessary. Appellant may cite to properly citable authorities in his briefs without leave of court.