

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALI SHAHROKHI,
Appellant,
vs.
KIZZY J. S. BURROW A/K/A KIZZY
BURROW,
Respondent.

No. 81978

ALI SHAHROKHI,
Appellant,
vs.
KIZZY BURROW,
Respondent.

No. 82245

FILED

NOV 18 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS

Appellant has filed, in pro se, a motion for stay pending appeal due to substantial changes in circumstances and newly found information, as well as a motion for an injunction requiring the minor child to be placed in private school.¹ Having considered the motions as well as the supplement to the motion for stay, the motions are denied without prejudice. In particular, appellant does not demonstrate that he sought relief in the district court in the first instance and the district court denied relief or did not grant the requested relief, and this court is not convinced that moving

¹Both motions were titled as emergencies and requested relief by October 29, 2021. However, the motions do not comply with NRAP 27(e) and appellant does not explain why relief was necessary by that date. Accordingly, the motions were not treated as emergencies.

for relief in the district court in the first instance is impracticable. See NRAP 8(a)(2)(a).

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sardeshy, C.J.

cc: Ali Shahrokhi
Kizzy Burrow