### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GRADY EDWARD BYRD	) Supreme Court No. 80548
Appellant	Electronically Filed Jul 06 2020 04:03 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
v.	) Clerk of Supreme Cour
CATERINA ANGELA BYRD	)
Respondent	) )

### APPELLANT'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF - VOLUME V

### Submitted by:

DANIEL W. ANDERSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 9955 BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8191 MILLS & ANDERSON 703 S. 8<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 386-0030

attorneys@millsnv.com

Attorneys for Appellant

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 6th day of July, 2020, I caused to be served the instant APPELLANT'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF- VOLUME V to all interested parties as follows:

BY MAIL: Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I caused a true copy thereof to be placed in the U.S. Mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope, postage fully prepaid thereon, address as follows:

Anita A. Webster, Esq. WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 6882 Edna Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorneys for Respondent

XX BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: Pursuant to EDCR 7.26 and NEFCR Rule 9, I caused a true copy thereof to be served via electronic mail, via Odyssey, to the following e-mail address:

Anita Webster, Esq. - anitawebster@embarqmail.com

MILLS & ANDERSON

### The index of Appellants Appendix to Opening Brief is as follows:

DOCUMENT	BATES NO.
Decree of Divorce filed on June 5, 2014	AA001-012
Notice of Motion and Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2018	AA013-034
Exhibit Appendix for Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2018	AA035-063
Plaintiff's Errata to Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 29, 2018	AA064-068
Order Striking Exhibits filed on November 14, 2018	AA069
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for a Continuance of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's and for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Countermotion for Attorney Fees and Costs filed on December 19, 2018	AA070-091
Reply to Opposition and/or Countermotion filed on December 28, 2018	AA092-096
Transcript Re: Motion – January 23, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA097-138
Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing filed on April 5, 2019	AA139-147
Notice of Entry of Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing filed on April 5, 2019	AA148-158
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on April 8, 2019	AA159-177
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on April 8, 2019	AA178-198
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion filed on April 23, 2019	AA199-237

Transcript Re: Status Check – May 2, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA238-252
Defendant's Reply and Opposition filed on May 14, 2019	AA253-278
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion filed on May 17, 2019	AA279-308
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – May 22, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA309-353
Order of the Court filed on June 26, 2019	AA354-359
Notice to Appear Telephonically field on June 27, 2019	AA360-361
Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA362-365
Notice of Entry of Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA366-371
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – July 18, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA372-399
Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on September 30, 2019	AA400-436
Schedule Arrearages for Support filed on October 9, 2019	AA437-440
Request to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment filed on October 10, 2019	AA441-448
Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent filed on October 10, 2019	AA449-450
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 11, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA451-477
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment at the Trial Scheduled for October 21, 2019 filed on October 14, 2019	AA478-489
Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance Request filed on October 15, 2019	AA490-499
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Order Time to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance filed on October 15, 2019	AA500-507
Defendant's Pretrial Memo filed on October 16, 2019	AA508-517
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's Audiovisual Appearance Request and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2019	AA518-536
Exhibit Appendix filed on October 16, 2019	AA537-541
Plaintiff's Pretrial memorandum filed on October 16, 2019	AA542-562

Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and	AA563-578
Countermotion for Fees filed on October 18, 2019	
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's	AA579-603
Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to	
Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on October 20, 2019	
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 21, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA604-785
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on December 4, 2019	AA786-789
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Second memorandum of Fees and Costs from July 19, 2019 through the Date of the Evidentiary Hearing on October 21, 2019 filed on December 16, 2019	AA790-802
Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on December 16, 2019	AA803-814
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on January 2, 2020	AA815-821
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs During the Appeal filed on January 9, 2020	AA822-832
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on January 23, 2020	AA833-853
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on January 23, 2020	AA854-876
Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March 17, 2020	AA877-880
Notice of Entry of Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March 18, 2020	AA881-886
Order From February 27, 2020 Hearing filed on March 26, 2020	AA887-889
Notice of Entry of Order From the February 27, 2020 Hearing filed on March 27, 2020	AA890-894
Request for Continuance filed on November 16, 2018	AA895-896
Order From the November 27, 2018 Hearing filed on December 17, 2019	AA897-900

## The index of Appellants Appendix to Opening Brief is as follows:

DOCUMENT	BATES NO.
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Order Time to Reconsider	AA500-507
Denial of Audiovisual Appearance filed on October 15, 2019	
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on	AA178-198
April 8, 2019	
Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent filed	AA449-450
on October 10, 2019	
Decree of Divorce filed on June 5, 2014	AA001-012
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on April 8, 2019	AA159-177
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and	AA786-789
Costs filed on December 4, 2019	
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's	AA815-821
Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on January 2, 2020	
Defendant's Pretrial Memo filed on October 16, 2019	AA508-517
Defendant's Reply and Opposition filed on May 14, 2019	AA253-278
Exhibit Appendix filed on October 16, 2019	AA537-541
Exhibit Appendix for Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce,	AA035-063
for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered	
Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	
filed on October 16, 2018	
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on	AA833-853
January 23, 2020	
Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March 17, 2020	AA877-880
Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of	AA490-499
Audiovisual Appearance Request filed on October 15, 2019	
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	AA854-876
Order filed on January 23, 2020	
Notice of Entry of Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March	AA881-886
18, 2020	
Notice of Entry of Order From the February 27, 2020 Hearing	AA890-894
filed on March 27, 2020	
Notice of Entry of Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing	AA148-158
filed on April 5, 2019	

Notice of Entry of Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA366-371
Notice of Motion and Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2018	AA013-034
Notice to Appear Telephonically field on June 27, 2019	AA360-361
Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion for Fees filed on October 18, 2019	AA563-578
Order From February 27, 2020 Hearing filed on March 26, 2020	AA887-889
Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing filed on April 5, 2019	AA139-147
Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA362-365
Order From the November 27, 2018 Hearing filed on December 17, 2019	AA897-900
Order of the Court filed on June 26, 2019	AA354-359
Order Striking Exhibits filed on November 14, 2018	AA069
Plaintiff's Errata to Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 29, 2018	AA064-068
Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on December 16, 2019	AA803-814
Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on September 30, 2019	AA400-436
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment at the Trial Scheduled for October 21, 2019 filed on October 14, 2019	AA478-489
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion filed on April 23, 2019	AA199-237
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's Audiovisual Appearance Request and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2019	AA518-536

Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for a Continuance of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide	AA070-091
Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's and for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Countermotion for Attorney Fees	
and Costs filed on December 19, 2018	
Plaintiff's Pretrial memorandum filed on October 16, 2019	AA542-562
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion filed on May 17, 2019	AA279-308
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on October 20, 2019	AA579-603
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs During the Appeal filed on January 9, 2020	AA822-832
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Second memorandum of Fees and Costs from July 19, 2019 through the Date of the Evidentiary Hearing on October 21, 2019 filed on December 16, 2019	AA790-802
Reply to Opposition and/or Countermotion filed on December 28, 2018	AA092-096
Request for Continuance filed on November 16, 2018	AA895-896
Request to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment filed on October 10, 2019	AA441-448
Schedule Arrearages for Support filed on October 9, 2019	AA437-440
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – July 18, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA372-399
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – May 22, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA309-353
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 11, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA451-477
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 21, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA604-785
Transcript Re: Motion – January 23, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA097-138
Transcript Re: Status Check – May 2, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA238-252

MOFI

### DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION , CLARK COUNTY; NEVADA

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD	Case No.	D-18-577701-Z	
Plaintiff/Petitioner	Dept.	G	
v.			
GRADY EDWARD BYRD		N/OPPOSITION	ET
Defendant/Respondent	FEEIN	FORMATION SHE	E I
Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless speci Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legis Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing for the second s	fically excluded by NRS may be subject to an add lative Session.  See in the box below.	19.0312. Additionally, N litional filing fee of \$129	Aotions and or \$57 in
S25 The Motion/Opposition being file	d with this form is su	bject to the \$25 reope	en fee.
<ul> <li>S0 The Motion/Opposition being file fee because:         <ul> <li>□ The Motion/Opposition is being entered.</li> <li>□ The Motion/Opposition is being established in a final order.</li> <li>□ The Motion/Opposition is for rewithin 10 days after a final judgentered on □</li> <li>□ Other Excluded Motion (must see the content of the cont</li></ul></li></ul>	g filed before a Divor g filed solely to adjust econsideration or for gment or decree was	rce/Custody Decree h t the amount of child a new trial, and is be	nas been support ing filed
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing to	ee in the box below.		
☑ \$0 The Motion/Opposition being file	d with this form is no	at subject to the \$129	or the
\$57 fee because:	C1 11		to the annual at one
<ul> <li>☐ The Motion/Opposition is bei</li> <li>☑ The party filing the Motion/O</li> </ul>			
\$129 The Motion being filed with this to modify, adjust or enforce a fi		e \$129 fee because it	is a motion
S57 The Motion/Opposition being fill an opposition to a motion to mod and the opposing party has alrea	lify, adjust or enforce	a final order, or it is	
Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step 1 ar	nd Step 2.		
The total filing fee for the motion/opposit  ☐\$0 ☐\$25 ☐\$57 ☐\$82 ☐\$129 ☐\$1		nis form is:	
Party filing Motion/Opposition: <u>JEANNE</u> -Signature of Party or Preparer	LAMBERTSEN,	Date 9/3	30/19

**Electronically Filed** 10/9/2019 2:24 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT SCH WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. 4∥ Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embargmail.com Attorney for Caterina A. Byrd DISTRICT COURT 9 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD. CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z DEPT. NO.: G 12 Plaintiff. 13 ٧. 14 GRADY EDWARD BYRD, 15 Defendant.

### Schedule of Arrearages for Support

I, CATERINA ANGELA BYRD, Plaintiff, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

Defendant, GRADY EDWARD BYRD is to pay me support pursuant to the Decree of Divorce filed with the Court on or about June 5, 2014. GRADY EDWARD BYRD has failed to make those payments when due as set forth herein for mortgage assistance in the dollar amount of \$1,500.00 per month. Also, GRADY EDWARD BYRD is to pay me an additional dollar amount of \$1,500.00 for my interest in his military pay; a total dollar amount of \$3,000.00 per month. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada NRS

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\Schadule of Artears \$3,000 per month 10-09-19.wpd

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 6942 tidna Avenue 1 as Vaps, Neveds 85146 Telephone (102) 542-2300 • Facemite (102) 542-2303

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

MEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

WITH States that Vegat Never Blide

Telephone (1925 Sch. 2000 - Fractume (702) Sch. 2

53.045, that the following schedule is a true and accurate statement of all payment due dates and of any payments received by me during the months noted.

Due Date	Amt. Due support	Amt. Due Military pay	Date Rec'd	Amt. Rec.	d Arrears	Total Arrears
09/01/18	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$3,000
10/01/18	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$6,000
11/01/18	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$9,000
12/01/18	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$12,000
01/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$15,000
02/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$18,000
03/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$21,000
04/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$24,000
05/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$27,000
06/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$30,000
07/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$33,000
08/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$36,000
09/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$39,000
10/01/19	\$1,500	\$1,500	0	0	\$3,000	\$42,000

It is respectfully requested that the Court enter Judgment for the total arrears against the Defendant, GRADY EDWARD BYRD, plus statutory interest, collectable by any lawful means.

ATERINA BYRD

Date

//

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterine\Pleadings\Drafts\Schedule of Arrears \$3,000 per month 10-09-19.wpd

ANITA ATWEBSTER,

Ĭ

Ŭ

UEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

6882 Pána Avenue • Las Vegra, Nevads 89146 Telephone (702) 562-2300 • Facsimile (702) 562-2303

Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorneys for Plaintiff

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drefts\Schedule of Arrears \$3,000 per month 10-09-19.wpd

# WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 682 Edin Arrane 1.12 Vigas. Neral 8714 Tecphone (702) 562-2500 - Facsimile (703) 562-2333

### **Certificate of Service**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am employed in the Law Offices of WEBSTER ASSOCIATES, and that on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2019, I caused the above and foregoing document to be served as follows:

[X] by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;

To the attorney(s) listed below at the address, email address, and/or facsimile number indicated below:

Byron Mills, Esq. Modonnell@millsnv.com

An employee of Webster & Associates

**Electronically Filed** 10/10/2019 3:38 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 ATEAR BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #6745 DANIEL W. ANDERSON, ESQ. 3 Nevada State Bar #9955 MILLS & ANDERSON 703 S. 8th Street 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 6 (702) 386-0030 Attorney Defendant 8 IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 9 FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD, 11 12 Plaintiff, 13 CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z VS. 14 DEPT. NO.: G GRADY EDWARD BYRD, 15 16 Defendant, 17 18 REQUEST TO APPEAR BY AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT 19 20 Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Nevada Supreme Court's RULES GOVERNING 21 APPEARANCE BY AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT, GRADY 22 BYRD, by and through his counsel of record, BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., of the law 23 offices of MILLS & ANDERSON, requests that GRADY BYRD be permitted to 24 testify by remote court appearance via video conference for the trial scheduled to 25 begin on October 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 9 of the above-entitled 26 Court. 27 111 28

Page 1 of 3

Defendant, GRADY BYRD, by executing the Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "1", GRADY BYRD agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court Clerk, Eighth Judicial District Court and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes related to this testimony.

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., counsel to GRADY BYRD agrees to provide all exhibits to GRADY BYRD in advance in the same form as have been or will be submitted to the Court Clerk.

Mr. Byrd has health issues prevent him from flying from the Philippines to the United States for the Trial. Attached as Exhibit "2" is a copy of the Medical Certificate filed by Mayden Tagulo, Nursing Attendant, that explains Mr. Byrd's medical conditions and restrictions. Based upon the information provided, there is good cause for Mr. Byrd's request to appear by audio.

Any objection to this request must be made in writing within two (2) judicial days of service of this request.

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., counsel to GRADY BYRD, agrees that by submitting this request, the party and witness (or their respective representatives) will test and verify the functionality of video conference connectivity with the Court's IT department at least two (2) judicial days before the scheduled appearance. Contact information for the test is:

Name of Counsel/Party: MILLS & ANDERSON

Email Address: attorneys@millsnv.com

Phone Number: 702-386-0030

Name of Party: Grady Byrd

Skype: eddieusa2008

Phone Number: 063-927-626-2513

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., counsel to GRADY BYRD certifies that the video

1	BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., counsel to GRADY BYRD certifies that the video
2	connection has been successfully tested at Depo International, prior to submitting
3	this application.
4	Dated this Out day of Octobes, 2019.
5	MILLS & ANDERSON
6	D 1/1/2
7	BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ.
8	Nevada State Bar #6745
9	DANIEL W. ANDERSON, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #9955
10	703 S. 8 <sup>th</sup> Street
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
11	(702) 386-0030
12	Attorney Defendant
13	CEDEVELCA HIS ON BY ECHID ON C SERVICE
14	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
15	I hereby certify that on the day of October, 2019, service of the
16	above and foregoing Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Request
17	was made by Electronic service on Wiznet addressed to:
18	XX <u>anitawebster@embarqmail.com</u>
19	
20	MARY O'DOWNELL, an Employee
21	of Mills & Anderson
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	1
20	

# EXHIBIT "1"

1 2	ATEAR BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #6745
3	MILLS & ANDERSON
4	703 S. 8 <sup>th</sup> Street
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0030
6	Attorney Defendant
7	IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
8	FAMILY DIVISION
	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
9	CATERINA ANGELA BYRD, )
11	) Plaintiff, )
12	)
13	vs. ) CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z ) DEPT. NO.: G
14	GRADY EDWARD BYRD, )
15	Defendant, )
16	)
17	AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT APPEARANCE CONSENT
18	APPEARANCE CONSENT
19	By making this request for Audiovisual Transmission Equipment
20	Appearance, the undersigned agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court
21	Clerk over the video conference connection and to be subject to the jurisdiction of
22	this Court for purposes related to this testimony.
23	Date: October 21, 2019 Time: 9:00 a.m.
24	Courtroom No.: 9
25	
26	///
27	///
28	

1	D-18-577701-Z
2	Print Name: <u>Grady Byrd</u>
3	Date: October 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.
4	Skype: eddieusa2008
5	Phone Number: 063-927-626-2513
6	I, Grady Byrd, declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of
7	Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.
8	-
9	Executed on 111 (date)
10	lelland
11	GRADY BYRD
12	
13	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
14	-
15	I hereby certify that on the /// day of October, 2019, service of the
16	above and foregoing Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance
17	Consent
18	was made by Electronic service on Wiznet addressed to:
19	XX anitawebster@embarqmail.com
20	
21-	
22	WELL, an Employee Of Mills & Anderson
23	orivinis & Anderson
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

# EXHIBIT "2"

#### COMMUNITY MEDICAL SERVICES

### MEDICAL CERTIFICATE

### To Whom It May Concern:

This is to certify that Mr. Grady E. Byrd, 63 years old, a resident of Purok 2, Cangmating, Sibulan, Negros Oriental, Philippines has been examined and is being treated for Blood Clotting and Pulmonary disorders.

### Diagnosis:

Deep Vein Thrombosis with accompanying Pulmonary Embolism Symptoms

### Treatment / Medication:

Warfarin 2.5 mg daily

Treatment continues until conditions resolved

Monthly INR test

### Recommendations / Restrictions:

- 1. No alcohol due to interference with Warfarin.
- 2. No Flying due to high risk of pulmonary incident.
- 3. Wear compression socks daily to reduce post-thrombotic syndrome.
- 4. Walk 30 minutes daily if possible to assist in reducing overall risk factors.

#### Follow-up:

Refer to United States Department of Veterans Affairs Appointment 03/16/2020, 1 p.m.

This certificate was issued at request of Grady E. Byrd and may be used for the purposes he determines.

MAYDEN TAGULO NURSING ATTENDANT 09562103541

0111-7-191323-2

SUBSCRIPTO AND SWOON TO LOPORD ME

THIS DAY OF OCT 0 4 2019 QUMAGUETE CITY, PHILIPPINES.

ATTY RAYMUND 3.8. MER (ADO

NOTARY PUBLIC FOR CITY OF DUMASCHETE & THE MUN, OF

SIBULAN BACONG, VV. SIGLADA (N. ZAYSOANGUITA & SIATON

UNTIL DE GEDER SER SIL 2020

NOTARIAL CALLUS LION BL. 2019-001

AND ELB. BM BLDG. BANAYAN DUNAGUETE GIT

Electronically Filed 10/10/2019 3:38 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 ATEAR BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. 2 Nevada State Bar #6745 MILLS & ANDERSON 3 703 S, 8<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0030 5 Attorney Defendant б IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 7 FAMILY DIVISION 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD, 10 Plaintiff, 11 12 CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z VS. DEPT. NO.: G 13 GRADY EDWARD BYRD, 14 Defendant, 15 16 AUDIOVISUAL TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT APPEARANCE CONSENT 17 18 By making this request for Audiovisual Transmission Equipment 19 Appearance, the undersigned agrees to be bound by the oath given by the Court 20 Clerk over the video conference connection and to be subject to the jurisdiction of 21 this Court for purposes related to this testimony. 22 Date: October 21, 2019 23 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom No.: 9 24 ///25 /// 26 27 28

Page 1 of 2

1	D-18-577701-Z
2	Print Name: Grady Byrd
3	Date: October 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.
4	Skype: eddieusa2008
5	Phone Number: 063-927-626-2513
6	I, Grady Byrd, declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of
7	Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.
8	OCT 9, 2019
9	Executed on
10	ll ll X
11	GRADYBYRD
12	
13	-
14	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
15	I homoly contifue that an the 10 day of October 2010 comics of the
16	I hereby certify that on the day of October, 2019, service of the
17	above and foregoing Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance  Consent
18	was made by Electronic service on Wiznet addressed to:
19	XX anitawebster@embarqmail.com
20	2422 dilita woostongomon qinan.com
21-	
22	MARKED DONNELL, an Employee
23	of Mills & Anderson
24	
25	
26	
27	No.
28	

TRANS 2 3 4 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 5 FAMILY DIVISION 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 IN THE MATTER OF THE 9 CASE NO. D-18-577701-Z JOINT PETITION FOR DIVORCE OF: 10 DEPT. G CATERINA BYRD and 11 APPEAL NO. 80548 GRADY E. BYRD. 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE HOLLY FIC 13 DISTRICT COURT COMMISSIONER 14 TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING MOTIONS 15 FRIDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2019 16 17 APPEARANCES: CATERINA BYRD (Not Present) The Petitioner: 18 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. For the Petitioner: ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. 19 6882 Edna Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 20 (702) 562-2300 21 GRADY E. BYRD (Not Present) 22 The Petitioner: BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. For the Petitioner: 703 S. Eighth Street 23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0030 24 D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

2

1

### 3

4

5

6

8

0

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

22

24

PROCEEDINGS

(THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 12:57:01)

THE COURT: This is case number D-18-577701-Z, in the matter of Caterina Byrd versus Grady Byrd.

Welcome, Counsel. Please state your name for the record.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jeanne Lambertsen, bar number 9460, on behalf of Caterina Byrd, and also present is Anita Webster. Let me see. Is it 1221?

MS. WEBSTER: That's it.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. MILLS: Good afternoon. Byron Mills, 6745, here on behalf of Grady Byrd, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Welcome. I will let you know I have reviewed everything extensively, so if you want to — like I said, I know the arguments. I've got my sense of what I want to do. If there's anything additional that you want to add to it, I'm open to that. And I will let you all — you, since it's your motion, but — and I'll just tell you my inclination is in reviewing this that definitely the medical is relevant because of the attorney fee issue. So I would say his records from September of 2018 — is that what we're

1 talking about -- it's relevant to the attorney fee issue because he was claiming certain things and you guys have taken delays and he hasn't appeared at those kind of things. So I think -- I think that is definitely relevant. I don't think anything beforehand -- I actually think the June, when they got divorced, which was June 2014? MR. MILLS: Uh-huh (affirmative). MS. LAMBERTSEN: Yes. THE COURT: I think that's relevant because of the disability versus retirement, but I don't think anything after that. So just about the time of the joint petition because if the disability retirement -- I know that question's going to be resolved by the judge. If he was disabled at the time, I feel like those medical records would be relevant, but nothing post-divorce until September of 2018. So that's what my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The other thing is, is that -- the life insurance and that he did not make payments. And there are court minutes that say the financials are relevant. So I think those --

MR. MILLS: I think we resolved the life insurance issue though.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Yeah.

inclination is that I'm looking at.

THE COURT: Okay. That's why. Because I was

struggling with the bank issues because in light of the fact that he's not disputing that he owes this money and he's not disputing that he's, you know, not paid it in accordance, why would you need to dig into his financials, but in the sense that I reviewed the court minutes and they were saying that he's making a claim to the life insurance because he made the payments on it. So that — that's where I saw that it would be relevant. So if you guys want to address that, and then you can do the medical as well, I'm just — that's where I'm at.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Okay. If -- so talking -- so we appreciate the assessment on the medical. That's even come to light more recently because he's still trying to assert medical conditions. So we appreciate the Court's recognition that it's very relevant to our case and really the onset of the case when he first declared to her in July of 2018 "I've got cancer. I have to get surgery." I mean, he's put it out there.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Now, regarding -- regarding the financials, Mr. Mills is correct. We are trying to resolve -- that has yet to be viewed by them and accepted that there is a \$225,000 life insurance benefit policy. Our client, Caterina, is willing to be the beneficiary of 200,000, which is 89

percent.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Because Mr. Byrd has listed his new wife as the beneficiary of the 25,000.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: The reason I am hesitant to say this is totally resolved is because Mr. Mills hasn't seen the stipulation order. We're asking that he give Prudential, the administrator of the plan, permission to speak to Caterina to note the payments are being paid timely and to just ensure that he hasn't fiddled with the percentages and that she still stays 200 percent [sic].

Mr. Mills has not seen that, and of course Mr. Byrd has not seen it, and we're asking him to sign an authorization that Prudential can have a limited scope to do these things.

If that falls apart, then this issue could be right there in front of the judge for trial.

THE COURT: Well, and the other reason I saw the bank and financ -- because and I don't know if all of the -- it was Kathy Hardcastle. I don't know -- I know there was a motion for reconsideration, but in her -- in those orders it says Defendant shall provide copies of statements from last year of all accounts in which he received money, and the annuity was an issue.

So to me -- and I don't know if that was set aside.

I didn't track it that close. But if that is still an issue,
then that -- the financials are definitely relevant.

So, like I said, I was struggling on the bank records because of the admissions -- or the bank and the financials because of the admissions that he's -- owes this. He's not disputing that he owes it and because, you know, he's, you know, he's making these admissions, but at the same time there's court orders addressing the financials. So that's where I was --

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Right. And another court order, if I may, Your Honor, that addresses the financials -- I have it. I know there's like six pleadings I know that you're viewing for today.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: But ours filed October 4th. It's a reply, and it is --

THE COURT: Yes, I did. I have that.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: -- on page 4. We have two significant financial concerns. One has to do with the order to show cause. Now, that is true that he had -- has made an admission that he has the funds to do it; he just hasn't, you know, paid per orders.

But if you look at the top of page 5, we're

addressing part of the order that says if counsel can determine the bank where the annuity originates or any other source of money for the Defendant, Mr. Byrd, counsel may obtain garnishment for the totality of the arrears.

Mr. Byrd right now is refusing to release information on the banks that he has in the Philippines. He provided us information in one of his -- let's see where it is here -- in his response to our first request for production of documents back in April of this year, and he very kindly provided a sheet that looks like this.

Byron, do you remember this sheet?

MR. MILLS: No.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: But this is a sheet that he provided that lists a number of banks and the ones that he did provide -- a couple that are here in the United States have a balance of maybe a thousand dollars or so. It's the ones in the Philippines that we have not received, you know, anything on. And then there's a couple others that we haven't received. You know, he has multiple accounts at one particular bank and we only got a few of the accounts.

So given this language regarding what the Court ordered, given that arrears are a trial issue, funds, what's in the bank account, and can we go garnish? We already have an order to go get this \$11,000.

1	THE COURT: Right.
2	MS. LAMBERTSEN: We need the bank account
3	information.
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MS. WEBSTER: Well, and then it's another added
6	thing just real quickly. Because this is all disability
7	income, we cannot directly garnish from the military.
8	THE COURT: Right.
9	MS. WEBSTER: So the only recourse that we have with
10	bank accounts
11	THE COURT: To see what's out there.
12	MS. WEBSTER: the only recourse, yeah, we cannot
13	get it directly from his military pay
14	THE COURT: Right.
15	MS. WEBSTER: because it is all disability
16	income.
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MS. WEBSTER: We can't get it from his Social
19	Security, because that's protected.
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	MS. WEBSTER: So really the only recourse we have
22	are the banks, and I guess he's got these very minuscule
23	amounts and it's in a bank in Florida.
24	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Yes, I think one them is in Florida

and one's in Kentucky.

2

3

10

11

12

13

1.4

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. WEBSTER: They're very minuscule amounts.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Right. Uh-huh (affirmative).

MS. WEBSTER: And then all -- it looks like the bulk of his money is in the Philippines, and that's where we have zero information about -- aside from what was provided, but zero information about what's in there, you know, and being able to go after that and being able to garnish. Because he's not paid since September of 2018 and apparently has no intention of paying.

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm --

MS. WEBSTER: For the foreseeable future, so.

THE COURT: Yeah, I see.

MS. WEBSTER: You know, so that's a problem. And then with the medical, you know, where that stands right now is Byron has asked that his client be able to appear by telephonic equipment. The Court has said, no, he has to be here. And the basis for saying that he has to -- you know, wants to appear by telephonic is a letter from a nurse practitioner saying that he can't appear because of some medical conditions.

You know, our problem is that if Byron challenges this and says, no, he shouldn't have to go, we don't know, is this a long-standing -- I mean, all we have is this one sheet

1 from --2 THE COURT: And it's a nurse practitioner. It's not 3 even --4 MS. WEBSTER: It's a nursing attendant actually. 5 MS. LAMBERTSEN: Attendant. 6 THE COURT: Yeah. 7 MS. WEBSTER: Nursing attendant. So, I mean, we 8 have --9 THE COURT: [Cross-talk] physician's certificate, 10 so. MS. WEBSTER: We can't even address it because we 11 have zero medical records. Is this something ongoing? Is 12 this somebody that he just saw to get this medical excuse? Is 13 this somebody that he's seen for a long period of time? 14 THE COURT: And here's the thing. If we're 15 concerned about dissemination, I can make it for attorneys' 16 eyes only. I mean, that's the thing. I understand the 17 medical and the financial, we don't want it, and they are 18 post-divorce. So I can make it for attorneys' eyes only so 19 they can at least view and assess those type of things if 20 we're concerned about, you know, post-divorce, you know, 21 vengeance, dissemination type things. So I could do that. 22 And just to clarify for the clerk's records, we're 23

D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

here -- there's two motions on for today. So right now we're

24

hearing Plaintiff's motion to compel. So and Defendant has a motion for protective order on for today. So just to -- so that we're on part one. I just grabbed it. I'm like, oh, yeah, there's two parts. Because I'm like all this -- I'm trying to keep everything together, even though all the issues are related.

I mean, so with that argument and with everything, I mean, it just -- I do. I'm inclined to say that the medical

mean, it just -- I do. I'm inclined to say that the medical -- for the period of the June 14th, nothing post-divorce up until the September 2018 surgery that I see, you know, going forward that's -- I think that's relevant. And then I do think the financials are relevant and I can hold it for attorneys' eyes only.

So, Counsel, if you want to address --

MR. MILLS: No, yeah, I'm definitely going to be able to address, Your Honor, because --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MILLS: -- first of all, the accounts were requesting not just on his bank accounts --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLS: -- the -- if you looked at what they requested, they requested not only his bank accounts but his wife's bank accounts, his stepdaughter's bank accounts.

Really? How is that even --

THE COURT: Because he could be fun --1 -- in the realm of --MR. MILLS: THE COURT: He could be funneling money through 3 4 there --5 MR. MILLS: Who cares? THE COURT: -- and somehow they can --6 MR. MILLS: They can't. And I know you don't have 7 all my documents for all my other pleadings. 9 THE COURT: Right. Right. MR. MILLS: They can say they need it to be able to 10 go garnish. They can't pursuant to federal law. You can't 11 get around the disability law by going and taking the money 12 out of the bank account once it gets there. You can't. And 13 so -- and he's provided bank accounts, he's provided things, 14 but it's really not reas -- relevant. 15 The bank accounts just aren't relevant. And they're 16 not because the only issue before the Court -- well, there's a 17 18 couple, but the main issue is was there a contract? And the Court's right. That's everything around 2014. Not current, 19 not since then. 20 21

And then his ability to pay. We're not making an argument that he doesn't have the ability to pay. We've never made that argument that he doesn't have the ability to pay. So then why would any bank records be necessary? Why would

22

23

24

any --

1

3

5

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Because if --

MR. MILLS: -- I mean, they're asking for not just bank records but all kinds of information but --

THE COURT: The concern is, I can see, is about the garnishment issue. Because if he's not disputing that -- that was -- I was thinking that, too, is that he's admitting that he's -- he has the ability to pay, but he hasn't paid anything. And I get there's questions out there --

MR. MILLS: Yeah, but this is not a judgment debtor examination pack.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLS: That would happen later.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLS: That's not relevant now. I mean, if they want to do a judgment debtor examination later to try to collect, they can, but that's not relevant to the trial that we've got going, and that is does he -- you know, is he in contempt for not paying and was there a contract? And none of those records are needed for that, for those issues.

Again, if they want to do the whole try to collect later, that's a whole 'nother case, a whole 'nother issue, a whole 'nother event. They can do the judgment debtor examination. They're trying to squeeze this judgment debtor

examination in now and it's not timely. This isn't the time to do a judgment debtor examination, and that's what they're trying to do.

As to the medical, we understand we've got to provide and have and are trying to provide all the medical records from the Philippines regarding his current situation.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MILLS: He does -- and we've provided more than just the letter that was prior productions, the original documents that came in May when it was first diagnosed. What we don't think is relevant is anything that happened before this incident that caused him now not to fly. Because again, we're not saying, hey, he's not paying because of his health.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLS: We're not saying that either.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLS: So how is his cancer, for example, they want -- why is that relevant? Why is any medical records related to his cancer relevant to this case?

THE COURT: Because he's delayed the proceedings and he's used this as an excuse not to appear and it's got to -- I find that that's -- the medical, I'm going to find that the medical is relevant, like I said, for June 2014 and from September 2018 forward because that was -- it's an issue of

attorney's fees.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MILLS: Okay,

THE COURT: That's how I see that, so.

MR. MILLS: All right.

THE COURT: I'm also -- I'm going to find that -- I appreciate -- I get the judgment debtor. But from reviewing the entirety of the file, the life insurance we don't have -- as it stands today, I understand you're going to resolve that issue, but it said, you know, there was an issue about the payments made and that they could look into the financials for that. I will make it attorneys' eyes only.

MR. MILLS: Well, for that issue, Your Honor, that's my burden. If I don't prove it then they win that issue. The judge made that clear. It's my burden to prove that he's making a separate payment for the 25,000.

THE COURT: True.

MR. MILLS: Which I believe we've already provided those records to counsel. So if -- again, they're not trying to get it for that.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MILLS: They're doing a fishing expedition, not just trying to prove whether the extra 25,000 there's been a separate payment.

THE COURT: Well, I'm just saying, because I know

D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 Kathy Hardcastle said that he had to provide copies -- I mean, this is back to January, and I know you guys filed a motion for reconsideration and it's --MR. MILLS: Yeah, he represented himself at that point. THE COURT: Yeah. And it said provide copies of

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

statements from the last year of all accounts in which Defendant had received money, including annuity payments. So, I mean, that to me -- it might not be admissible at trial, but I think it's discoverable because it's -- this foundation is out there about the financials.

> Now, as to the wife and daughter's accounts --MS. LAMBERTSEN: Oh, may I address that, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: I'm not sure where Mr. Mills is getting that because in our request to produce, number 36, it talks about a joint owner or have a --

THE COURT: If his name is on something, yes.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: -- a joint -- yeah, with Pinky Byrd, also known as Pinky Noble (phonetic) or Ashley Mae Noble (phonetic) from June of 2014. So I'm not certain -- your representation was that we were solely going after Pinky Byrd's accounts. But this is where --

THE COURT: No. I would say if his name is on

D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	something			
2	MS. LAMBERTSEN: This is joint. This is joint.			
3	MR. MILLS: Okay.			
4	THE COURT: Yeah, no.			
5	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Joint. And			
6	THE COURT: If his name is not on the wife's or			
7	daughter's account and he's funneling money through there,			
8	that's something			
9	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Yeah.			
10	THE COURT: You'll have to figure that out. But if			
11	he his name is on something now, if he goes back and			
12	tries to take it off real quick, no. I mean, like if his name			
13	was on it since this started and he's removed his name he			
14	should still produce it because that's almost that's not -			
15	destruction of evidence			
16	MR. MILLS: And from what time frame then are you			
17	7 wanting?			
18	THE COURT: God			
19	MR. MILLS: Just what was ordered in January?			
20	THE COURT: Because it doesn't it just okay.			
21	"Defendant shall provide copies of statements from the last			
22	year of all accounts." So 20 so this was in 2019 so			
23	MS. LAMBERTSEN: So 2018.			
24	MR. MILLS: So all of 2018.			

24

1	THE COURT: 2018, uh-huh.		
2	MS. LAMBERTSEN: And may I ask		
3	MS. WEBSTER: Well, all of 2018 and then going		
4	forward to the present?		
5	THE COURT: Forward, yes.		
6	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Forward, yes.		
7	THE COURT: January 2018		
8	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Forward.		
9	THE COURT: going forward.		
10	MS. WEBSTER: And then do we have a date certain		
11	when that would be produced? Because we've got the trial		
12	coming up. October 21st is our trial date.		
13	THE COURT: Yeah.		
14	MR. MILLS: Again, that's tough. My guy's in the		
15	Philippines, right?		
16	THE COURT: Yeah.		
17	MR. MILLS: I don't know.		
18	MS. LAMBERTSEN: These were requested in June.		
19	THE COURT: And so		
20	MS. LAMBERTSEN: And he can go online.		
21	THE COURT: Right. Here's the thing. And I know		
22	I'm falling in Erin Truman's steps. She gives one week and		
23	that's the you know, and you guys are up against trial. So		

24 I'll give you the 18th and then what's that? Monday you're in

trial. 2 MS. LAMBERTSEN: But our trial notebooks are due on the 17th. Can we --3 THE COURT: God, I know this is -- wow. I know. 4 I'm up against a -- well, counsel will endeavor his best to 5 get everything to you by the 17th and then let the judge address if you guys don't have whatever else is out there. MR. MILLS: And I understand you've got a motion to 8 continue, and obviously if my guy who wants to go forward 10 doesn't provide --THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 MR. MILLS: -- then you're likely to get that granted, so. 13 THE COURT: I would just say you'd need a short 14 continuance just to get those financials in, because you don't 15 want to delay it anymore and you guys have been going at it 16 almost a full year. 17 MS. LAMBERTSEN: May I ask another clarification, 18 19 Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. Sure. 20 MS. LAMBERTSEN: Regarding the time frame of the 21 medical records, we're going to respectfully ask July 16th of 22 2018, you mentioned another date but --23 THE COURT: Because I said September --24

> D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. LAMBERTSEN: But July --

THE COURT: -- because that was the surgery.

What --

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Yeah, July was the date -- and Mr. Mills -- it was the email that precipitated this whole existence of this case where on July 16th, 2018, he says to Caterina, you know, I hate to bother you. I'm having some serious health issues. I have to have cancer surgery -- I have -- I had -- I had to have cancer surgery earlier this year and now I need two more surgeries. I can't afford to pay.

It was this email that made her say I don't have insurance polies. I don't know what to do --

THE COURT: I get that, but he's not admitting -he's not admitting he doesn't owe this money. I mean, he
admits he owes this money. I don't want to say it in the
negative.

MR. MILLS: Pursuant to the current orders what he admits.

THE COURT: Yeah. So, I mean, the thing is I only see relevant is the September surgery, which he didn't come to the first hearing or something to that effect. So I get that he stopped paying at that time, but the admission is there that he's supposed to -- that he's not disputing that he

D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	doesn't owe the money, correct? I mean	
2	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Well, he just	
3	MR. MILLS: He's not disputing that	
4	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Yeah.	
5	MR. MILLS: if the orders stand he will owe the	
6	money. He's not disputing that he didn't pay	
7	THE COURT: So that's	
8	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Well, I was just saying this as t	
9	the medical record condition. Because he says I must come to	
10	.0 Las to LV to use the VA. So it seems to be around this	
11	1 time he's starting to put his medical condition and why he ca	
12	2 or can't appear. So if the medical records stop in September	
13	3 but he came here in August, we're missing a critical possible	
14	4 surgical event that may or may not have happened.	
15	THE COURT: It says this letter in your his	
16	6 letter mentioned that he had surgery in Las Vegas in Septembe	
17	2018 and three follow-up examinations. Let's see. And that	
18	he'd need	
19	MR. MILLS: What was the hearing date that he	
20	missed?	
21	MS. LAMBERTSEN: Our motion was filed in October. I	
22	think it was November.	
23	MR. MILLS: Okay. I just know about the January	
24	one. Okay.	

1	MS. LAMBERTSEN: And it was because of I think		
2	yeah. And then there was and then it was reset to December		
3	and he didn't come to that either, even though he was I think		
4	in town.		
5	MR. MILLS: Gotcha.		
6	THE COURT: He didn't stop paying though until		
7	September.		
8	MR. MILLS: That's correct.		
9	THE COURT: So Grady left Caterina		
10	MS. LAMBERTSEN: He stopped paying September 1.		
11	THE COURT: September 1. Yeah. So I'm yeah, I'm		
12	not going to go back because I'm going to say September		
13	2018 forward's relevant		
L 4	MR. MILLS: Okay.		
15	THE COURT: because, you know, I get that there		
16	was communications before then, but he paid those. And so		
17	that's why I felt that was that was in line with it,		
18	because he stopped paying there and then he said he had a		
9	surgery and that's what prevented him from does he appear		
20	telephonically? I think that was for was that Bixler's		
21	or		
22	MS. LAMBERTSEN: That was in May, uh-huh, with the		
23	new judge. It was a status check they set with Bixler.		
21	THE COURT. I mean it was like a trickle down.		

Yeah, I'm going to say September.

.21

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And then on the protective order, Counsel, if you want to argue, but I pretty much covered that.

MR. MILLS: You covered all my arguments, so.

THE COURT: Yes. So that's what the findings are going to be. So for the medical I find that the June 2014, at the time of signing the joint petition, his medical condition's relevant because of that ominous whether it's disability, retirement, however it was called, because he was calling it disability but it was retirement and that's relevant to your trial going forward.

And that from September 1st, 2018 forward the medical records are relevant as to the attorney fee issue and that I will -- I'm finding that the financials are relevant pursuant to reading the January 23rd court minutes, and we're going to go from January 2018 forward on the financials, and we can keep that for -- everything can be kept for attorneys' eyes only if we're concerned about dissemination.

And then I'm not going to award attorney's fees on either side because you each had valid -- I struggled and I tried to review everything and did want to hear oral argument and you guys -- I see that you're trying to resolve these issues, but there were valid arguments.

MR. MILLS: Okay. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. WEBSTER: And, Your Honor, it was -- I'm sorry. It was September 1st, 2018 forward to the present date on the financials? THE COURT: January --6 7 MS. WEBSTER: I'm sorry, on the medical. THE COURT: January. 8 MS. WEBSTER: On the medical. 9 THE COURT: Medical. 10 MS. WEBSTER: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Medical is September 1st, 2018 forward, 12 13 yes, forward. MS. WEBSTER: Thank you. 14 MS. LAMBERTSEN: As well as June -- the month of 1.5 June of 2014? 16 THE COURT: Oh, yes. Yes. Because at the time of 17 contemplating it -- because I know you guys are -- the whole 18 disability, retirement thing, that he was calling it 19 disability back then, so if he was disabled at the time and 20 the retirement -- I just -- I know that's the up-in-the-air 21 issue, so that's -- that would be relevant for the month of 22 June, yes. 23

D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Okay.

24

D-18-577701-Z BYRD 10/11/2019 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

before then we'll vacate that date.

MS. LAMBERTSEN: Your Honor, I understand that you've made a recommendation that neither party be awarded fees.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS, LAMBERTSEN: But the findings and the rulings were clearly in favor of our motion and denial of [cross-talk] --

THE COURT: Okay. So what I'll do -- I'm -- I'll -- MR. MILLS: Remember, they requested everything from 2014 on.

THE COURT: Yeah. And there was --

MR. MILLS: And '15, '16, and '17, and they didn't get that.

THE COURT: Here's what I'll do. I'll let you each reserve the right for attorney's fees for having to deal with the discovery based on whatever the judge is -- looks at, decides and whatnot with you guys having to do it. I'll let you -- because you both had -- you had valid arguments and I did cut it down somewhat so -- okay. I won't -- so taking that back, I won't deny attorney's fees.

You'll each reserve it till the time of trial, since you'll be in trial, on each of yours, on your motion for protective order and your opposition, and then also for your

motion to compel. Because the judge knows more about the issues and what she'll need, and maybe even though I'm saying the financials are discoverable they might not be admissible 3 or relevant at trial. I don't know. So that's an issue you all can reserve for the trial. 5 MR. MILLS: Okay. Deal. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I'll do that. 7 8 MR. MILLS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 9 (Proceedings concluded at 1:21:00) 10 11 12 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and 13 correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the 14 above-entitled case to the best of my ability. 15 16 17 /s/ Lee Ann Nussbaum LEE ANN NUSSBAUM 18 Certified Electronic Transcriber 19 20 21 22 23 24

Electronically Filed 10/14/2019 11:52 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUP

CLERK OF THE COURT 11 OBJ **WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES** ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: ilambertsen@embargmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Unbundled 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z CATERINA ANGELA BYRD. 12 DEPT NO.: G 13 Plaintiff. Trial: 10/21/19 14 Time: 9:00 a.m. 15 GRADY EDWARD BYRD. 16 Defendant 17 Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual 18 <u>Transmission Equipment at the Trial Scheduled for October 21, 2019</u> 19 COMES NOW Plaintiff, CATERINA ANGELA BYRD, by and through her 20 attorneys, ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ., and JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ., 21 of the law offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES, and hereby objects to 23 Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment at the Trial 24 Scheduled for October 21, 2019, or such other date if the hearing is 25 rescheduled. 26

///

28 ///

27

Law Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

6882 Edna Avenue • Las Vegus, Nerada 89146 Telephone (702) 562-2300 • Fussimle (702) 562-2303

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterine\Pleadings\Drafts\Obj to OP appear by Audio Visual 10-11-.19.wpd

Law Offices of
WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
6882 Edits Avenue • Law Veges, Norwals 89148
Telephone (702) 542,2300 • Fazsimile (702) 542,2303

3

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Objection is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein and the following Points and Authorities.

Dated: October 4, 2019.

#### **WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES**

By:

AMITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1221

JEANNE LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9460

6882 Edna Ave.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Unbundled

#### **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

The parties divorced after 31 years of marriage on or about June 5, 2014. Grady has resided in the Philippines since 2008. He's 63 years old and recently married a 25-year-old women. Caterina has resided in the martial residence awarded to her in the decree and relies on monthly support from Grady. On September 1, 2018, Grady stopped paying Caterina \$3,000.00 per month for assistance with her house mortgage and for her interest in his military pay. He did this in retaliation for Caterina asking for copies of the life insurance, Survivor Benefit Plan and other assets awarded to her in the joint petition for summary decree of divorce. She is emotionally and financially destitute.

At the hearing on or about January 23, 2019, with Senior Judge K. Hardcastle, the \$1,500.00 per month payments that Grady was making to Caterina for her home mortgage assistance was deemed alimony, Grady was

Law Offices of
WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
6892 Edna Avenue • Las Vega, Newada 89146
Telephone (102) 562-2500 • Fazienile (102) 562-2393

ordered to pay her arrears and ongoing payments. The other \$1500.00 per month that Grady was paying Caterina was deemed her interest in his military pay, Grady was ordered to pay her arrears and ongoing payments. Attorney fees of \$7,000 were also awarded to Caterina. A status check was set for May 2, 2019, in part to assess his compliance with Court orders. The Court ordered that Grady appear at the May 2, 2019, hearing or a no-bail bench warrant would be issued. Grady did not pay Caterina as ordered and he did not appear at the May 2, 2019 status check hearing before Senior Judge Bixler. Grady submitted a single sheet of paper, a prescription pad type paper from the Philippines, saying that he could not fly in an airplane due to leg issues. No other medical records, proof of ongoing care or authentication on the medical person's letter head stationary was provided. Caterina objected to his absence.

Grady filed a motion for reconsideration of Senior Judge K. Hardcastle's orders issued at the January 23, 2019 hearing, and Caterina filed an opposition and countermotion to enforce the orders. At the hearing on May 22, 2019, Judge R. Forsberg overturned Senior Judge K. Hardcastle's ruling as to the characterization of the \$1,500 house assistance payment. Judge R. Forsberg found that the \$1,500.00 house assistance was a property settlement, not alimony and ordered Grady to continue paying Caterina \$3,000 per month pending an Evidentiary Hearing on Grady's burden to show that Caterina's financial condition changed so that he does not have to pay her the \$1,500.00 per month for her home mortgage assistance and Grady's burden to show that the parties did not form a contract as to the payment of the \$1,500 military payment

Law Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES GREEN ACTUAL VER., North 1974. Telephone (702) 502-1200 - Frazimile (702) 502-1203

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

amount until he dies. Caterina filed a motion for reconsideration of Judge R. Forsberg's ruling wherein she overturned Senior Judge K. Hardcastle's ruling that the \$1,500.00 for Caterina's mortgage assistance was deemed alimony. This is set for a hearing on October 21, 2019.

Meanwhile, Grady continues to refuse to comply with court orders requiring him to pay Caterina as ordered at the January 23, 2019 hearing, as ordered at the May 22, 2019 hearing, and as ordered at the July 18, 2019 hearing. On October 21, 2019, Caterina's Order to Show Cause why Grady Should Not be Held In Contempt of Court is set to be heard. Grady has admitted that he has the funds to pay Caterina (he earns over \$116,000.00 annually) and admits that he has refused to do so. As such, on October 21, 2019, Caterina is seeking that the Court:

Summarily find that Grady Byrd is in Contempt of Court for failure to pay Caterina Byrd as ordered and that he be sanctioned based on the following:

- i. \$3,000 per month from September 1, 2018 to October 1, 2019 (14 months, \$42,000);
- ii. \$7,000 in attorney fees ordered April 5, 2019;
- iii. \$5,000 in attorney fees ordered June 26, 2019;
- iv. \$1,500 in attorney fees ordered August 9, 2019;
- v. Sanction \$500 for each month (14, \$7,000);
- vi. Sanction \$500 for each incident of failing to pay attorney fees (3, \$1,500); and
- vii. That a warrant for Grady Byrd's arrest be issued and that he be let go for his appearance on a hearing on the warrant in the amount for his release set at no less than \$64,000.

#### II. Argument

There are multiple reasons why Grady should appear in person at the

W:\Family\By/d, Calerina\Pleadings\Drafts\Obj to OP appear by Audio Visual 10-11-.19.wpd

October 21, 2019 Evidentiary Hearing;

1) The medical paper Grady provided in his Notice of Appearance by Audiovisual Equipment is unreliable; it is not on letterhead, it is not signed by a physician, no other medical records accompany the paper to explain the onset, the diagnosis, the testing, the treatment, Grady's compliance to treatment, if this is an acute or chronic condition, how long he is expected to remain in this condition, or his prognosis. Caterina requested medical records from Grady through Discovery back on June 17, 2019, and he has refused to provide his medical records. If his claims of poor health are to be believed, he should have eagerly produced the records. He did not. It is also curious that Grady could fly to Las Vegas Nevada in July 2018, January 2019 and other times, travel in Nevada, and California where he abandoned his Chevrolet Cruze at the Los Angeles International Airport. Grady is not credible and his alleged medical paper should not be trusted;

- Grady has threatened Caterina "good luck finding me" referring to her ability to obtain any money that he owes her;
- 3) Per Supreme Court Rules, he is required to be present for the adjudication of an Order to Show Cause;
- Grady is simply trying to avoid his responsibilities and he is using federal government money to evade his payments to Caterina;
- 5) Grady was ordered by Judge R. Forsberg to appear at the Trial on October 21, 2019; and
  - 6) Grady is behaving as if he has no intention of returning to Las Vegas

Law Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 6822 Ear Avente : La Yega, North 89146 Telephone (703) 562-2003 Nevada, for the Court or anybody else. For example, on or about April 15, 2019, Caterina received mail for Grady. The Airport Police, Los Angeles California sent a "Notice of stored vehicle" that Grady's 2018 Chevy Cruze was found at the L.A. Airport with no license plates attached. Another piece of mail was from Clear Choice Lien Service, Inc. Grady's Chevrolet Chevy is being stored by Bruffy's Del-Rey Tow, Los Angeles California. Past due notices are also coming to her home showing that Grady is not paying his loans. Combined, it appears that Grady has walked away from over \$51,000.00 in loan debt.

It is important that Grady personally appear to ascertain his understanding of the Court's orders, to obtain compliance and that communications are clear and there is no "lost in translation" problems or other communication problems.

Essential to the Order to Show Cause issue, Grady must appear so that the Court has full access to all available NRS 22. 0100 penalties for contempt, such as imprisonment:

2. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 22.110, if a person is found guilty of contempt, a fine may be imposed on the person not exceeding \$500 or the person may be imprisoned not exceeding 25 days, or both.

Supreme Court Rule, Part IX-B

- (B) Rules Governing Appearance by Simultaneous Audiovisual Transmission Equipment for Civil and Family Court Proceedings, in pertinent part:
- 2. In addition, except as provided in Rule 4(1), a personal appearance is required for the following persons or parties:

W:\Family\Byru, Caterina\Pieadings\Drafts\Oby to OP appear by Audio Visual 10-11-.19.wpd

- (b) Persons ordered to appear to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for violation of a court order or a rule; or
- (c) Persons ordered to appear in an order or citation issued under NRS Title 12 or Title 13.

#### 3. Court discretion to modify rule.

- (a) Applicable cases. In exercising its discretion under this provision, the court should consider the general policy favoring simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment appearances in family court proceedings.
- (b) Court may require personal appearances. Upon a showing of good cause either by motion of a party or upon its own motion, the court may require a party or witness to appear in person at a proceeding listed in Rule 4(1) or (2) if the court determines on a hearing-by-hearing basis that a personal appearance would materially assist in the resolution of the particular proceeding or that the quality of the simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment is inadequate.
- 6. "Good cause" may consist of one or more of the following factors as determined by the court:
- (a) Whether a timely objection has been made to parties or witnesses appearing through the use of simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment:

Caterina has timely objected to Grady's appearance through use of simultaneous audiovisual equipment.

(b) Whether any undue surprise or prejudice would result:
Undue prejudice would result for Caterina because the court is unable to fully exercise all the penalties for Contempt that are available under Nevada law.

Law Offices of
WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
6802 East Armire: La Vega, Nevad 8144
Telephone (102) 562-2300 - Pacimize (703) 542-3303

(c) The convenience of the parties, counsel, and the court:
It is not convenient for Caterina's counsel, Caterina or the Court to determine Grady's demeanor, if there are interferences in the room where he is sitting, who else is present, who else is with him, who may be influencing or tampering with this sworn statements and what else Grady may be looking at during trial examination or cross-examination.

(d) The cost and time savings: Grady travels to Clark

- (d) The cost and time savings: Grady travels to Clark County Nevada for medical treatment that is free of charge to him at the VA hospital which he had been doing regularly until this instant litigation.
- (e) The importance and complexity of the proceeding: This Trial is extremely important to Caterina since she has been deprived of Grady's support since September 1, 2018. The issues of alimony and contract formation are complex.
- (f) Whether the proponent has been unable, after due diligence, to procure the physical presence of a witness: Caterina has diligently maintained that Grady's presence is needed. On April 23, 2019, she even filed a Ex parte Application for Grady's appearance at the May 2, 2019

UAW Offices of
WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
AGE EAST ACTOR - La Vegal, Noved 18146
Talephone (703) 562-2000 - Facilinate (703) 562-2000

hearing, his request to appear telephonically was denied and he still failed to appear. The Court has even ordered him to appear at the October 21, 2019 trial.

- (g) The convenience to the parties and the proposed witness, and the cost of producing the witness in relation to the importance of the offered testimony:

Grady is the Defendant in this action. He has the burden of proving the issues for Trial, his testimony is important and he must be present for adjudication of the Order to Show cause against him.

(h) Whether the procedure would allow effective cross-examination, especially where documents and exhibits available to the witness may not be available to counsel:

Cross-examination of Grady will be less effective with audiovisual equipment. Caterina's interest is harmed by this.

(i) The importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses in open court, whether the finder of fact may observe the demeanor of the witness, and where the solemnity of the surroundings will impress upon the witness the duty to testify truthfully:

Open court is important to the presentation of Grady's testimony, his demeanor, who else is in the room with him, what other factors are influencing him, the ability of counsel to cross-examine him and fully see his demeanor

UABSTER & ASSOCIATES
6882 Edm Avenue - Lar Vega, Nerada 89146
Tekphone (702) 562-2303 - Fassimile (702) 562-2303

and responses are important.

(j) Whether the quality of the communication is sufficient to understand the offered testimony:

The court has tried to connect with Grady in the Philippines twice before by telephone and neither connection worked; at the hearing on May 22, 2019 and again on July 18, 2019. Caterina has withstood terrific financial and emotional hardship by repeated delays by Grady and this case is now a year old. An equipment malfunction or poor connections cannot be tolerated.

(k) Such other factors as the court may, in each individual case, determine to be relevant:

Grady's blatant non-compliance with Court orders dating back to the hearing on January 23, 2018, reinforce that Grady's personal appearance is necessary.

#### CONCLUSION

Caterina is respectfully requesting that the court Deny the Defendant's notice/request to appear by simultaneous audiovisual equipment at the Trial and Order to Show Cause hearing scheduled for October 21, 2019, or other such date if the hearing is moved, and order the Defendant's personal appearance.

Dated: October , 2019.

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff

W;\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Orafts\Obj to OP appear by Audio Visual 10-11-, 19.wpd

# VEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 682 Edea Aremer La Vegs, Need 89146 Telephone (702) 562-2300 - Faramile (702) 562-2303

#### **DECLARATION OF CATERINA BYRD**

- 1. I, Caterina Byrd am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action.
- 2. I have read the foregoing *Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment* at the Trial Scheduled for October 21, 2019. The factual averments contained therein and incorporated herein as if set forth in full, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.
- 3. I do not believe that Grady can be trusted to follow any of the court rulings if not physically present due to Grady's untrustworthy behavior and not complying with the court orders to pay me; his fraudulently obtaining an official NV Drivers License; abandoning his personal vehicle at LAX and removing the license plates to conceal his identity as the owner of the Chevrolet Cruze vehicle; and use of my home address as his official residence, which is the reason I received communications from the police as well as the company he financed the car through.
- 4. Based upon the foregoing, I respectfully request an Order that the Defendant personally appear at the hearing on October 21, 2019, or other such date if the hearing is moved.

I declare under penalty of perjury in the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this <u>///</u> day of October, 2019

ATERINA BYRD

# WEBSTER SOCIATIES 682 Edna Avenue - La Vega, Norad 89146 Telephone (103) 562-2500 - Fazimile (103) 563-2503

#### **Certificate of Service**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am employed in the Law Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES, and that on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2019, I caused the above and foregoing to be served as follows:

[X] Electronic Service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system; and

To counsel listed below at the address, email address, and/or facsimile number indicated:

Byron Mills Counsel for Defendant, Grady Byrd Modonnell@millsnv.com

An employee of Webster & Associates

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\Obj to OP appear by Audio Visual 10-11-, 19.wpd

Electronically Filed 10/15/2019 2:04 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada Bar #6745 MILLS & ANDERSON 703 S. 8th Street Las Vegas NV 89101 (702) 386-0030 Attorney for Defendant

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z

DEPT. NO.: G

GRADY EDWARD BYRD,

Defendant.

Defendant.

DORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z

DEPT. NO.: G

DATE OF HEARING: 10/21/19

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M.

### MOTION ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO RECONSIDER DENIAL OF AUDIOVISUAL APPEARANCE REQUEST

COMES NOW the Defendant, GRADY EDWARD BYRD, by and through his attorney, BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., of the law firm of MILLS & ANDERSON, and moves this honorable court for the following:

1. An Order of the Court reconsidering its denial of Defendant's request to appear for trial on October 21, 2019 via audiovisual equipment.

-1-

2. For such other relief as the Court deams appropriate in the premises.

DATED this day of C

Ctober, 2019.

MILLS & ANDERSON

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar #6745 703 S. 8th Street

Las Vegas NV 89101 Attorney for Defendant

## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

GRADY EDWARD BYRD (hereinafter "Grady") and CATERINA ANGELA BYRD (hereinafter "Caterina") were divorced by Decree of Divorce dated June 5, 2014. The parties currently have a trial in this matter set for October 21, 2019. As the Court is aware, Grady currently resides in the Philippines, making the cost and time needed to travel to Nevada for the trial prohibitive. Additionally, Grady has health issues that prevent him from taking long flights. These are documents in Medical notes from Grady's physician in May 9, 2019 (Exhibit A) a letter from his Nursing Attendant dated October 4, 2019 (Exhibit B) and a subsequent letter from his Physician dated October 10, 2019. All three documents indicated Grady is prohibited or should refrain from long flights due to the risk of a "pulmonary incident".

On October 10, 2019, Grady submitted a request/notice to appear by audiovisual equipment for the trial. On October 11, 2019, the law clerk sent an email to counsel stating: "please be advised that Mr. Byrd is not allowed to appear by audiovisual equipment for trial. Thank you." No reasoning or explanation was provided for the denial.

As more fully stated below, the Court should reconsider its denial of Mr. Byrd's timely request to appear by audiovisual equipment. The rules governing his requested appearance are clear in stating that the Court should accommodate the request when possible and should favor such appearances in family law proceedings. Grady therefore requests that the Court reconsider its denial of Mr. Byrd's request as more fully set forth below.

#### II

#### ARGUMENT

A. The Court should Reconsider its Order denying Grady's Audiovisual Request and Allow him To Appear Via Audiovisual Communication at Trial.

This Court has the authority to reconsider its previous orders pursuant to EDCR 2.24, and NRCP 59e, which read as follows:

#### Rule 2.24. Rehearing of motions.

- (a) No motions once heard and disposed of may be renewed in the same cause, nor may the same matters therein embraced be reheard, unless by leave of the court granted upon motion therefor, after notice of such motion to the adverse parties.
- (b) A party seeking reconsideration of a ruling of the court, other than any order which may be addressed by motion pursuant to N.R.C.P. 50(b), 52(b), 59 or 60, must file a motion for such relief within 10 days after service of written notice of the order or judgment unless the time is shortened or enlarged by order. A motion for rehearing or reconsideration must be served, noticed, filed and heard as is any other motion. A motion for reconsideration does not toll the 30-day period for filing a notice of appeal from a final order or judgment.
- (c) If a motion for rehearing is granted, the court may make a final disposition of the cause without reargument or may reset it for reargument or resubmission or may make such other orders as are deemed appropriate under the circumstances of the particular case.

#### NRCP 59:

(a) Grounds. A new trial may be granted to all or any of the parties and on all or part of the issues for any of the following causes or

grounds materially affecting the substantial rights of an aggrieved party: (1) Irregularity in the proceedings of the court, jury, master, or adverse party, or any order of the court, or master, or abuse of discretion by which either party was prevented from having a fair trial; (2) Misconduct of the jury or prevailing party; (3) Accident or surprise which ordinary prudence could not have guarded against; (4) Newly discovered evidence material for the party making the motion which the party could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered and produced at the trial; (5) Manifest disregard by the jury of the instructions of the court; (6) Excessive damages appearing to have been given under the influence of passion or prejudice; or, (7) Error in law occurring at the trial and objected to by the party making the motion. On a motion for a new trial in an action tried without a jury, the court may open the judgment if one has been entered, take additional testimony, amend findings of fact and conclusions of law or make new findings and conclusions, and direct the entry of a new judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

...

(e) Motion to Alter or Amend a Judgment. A motion to alter or amend the judgment shall be filed no later than 10 days after service of written notice of entry of the judgment.

Grady respectfully submits that this Court should reconsider its decision denying Grady's request to appear by audiovisual communication pursuant to EDCR 2.24c based on a clear error in application of the law governing such requests. The rules governing such requests are states as follows:

Rule 2. Policy favoring simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment appearances. The intent of this rule is to promote uniformity in the practices and procedures relating to simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment appearances. To improve access to the courts and reduce litigation costs, courts shall permit parties, to the extent feasible, to appear by simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment at appropriate proceedings pursuant to these rules.

### Rule 4. Appearance by simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment.

1. Appearances by parties or witnesses through the use of simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment may be made as follows:

#### 3. Court discretion to modify rule.

...

(a) Applicable cases. In exercising its discretion under this provision, the court should consider the general policy favoring simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment appearances in family court proceedings.

The foregoing rules clearly state that 1) parties may appear for trial via audiovisual equipment 2) the Court should use the rule to permit access to the court, and 3) the policy of the Court should be to favor audiovisual appearances in family court proceedings. As the request is for Grady to appear at trial via audiovisual appearance, the Court should consider if good cause exists to allow or deny the appearance based on the factors outlined under Rule 1(6) as follows:

- 6. "Good cause" may consist of one or more of the following factors as determined by the court:
- (a) Whether a timely objection has been made to parties or witnesses appearing through the use of simultaneous audiovisual transmission equipment;

Opposing party submitted her objection within the time allowed.

(b) Whether any undue surprise or prejudice would result;

Grady's appearance in these proceedings has been an issue for consideration from its outset. Counsel has informed the Court multiple times that Grady has health issues that preclude him flying long distances without jeopardizing his health. There is no element of surprise or prejudice that should prevent the Court from allowing Grady's request.

#### (c) The convenience of the parties, counsel, and the court;

It is clearly vastly more convenient for Grady to appear by audiovisual equipment. His health precludes the necessary travel, which is expensive and incredibly time consuming. Conversely, whether Grady is present physically or via audiovisual has no impact on the convenience of the opposing party or the Court.

#### (d) The cost and time savings;

With a minimum travel time of over 20 hours in the air and the cost associated with such travel, requiring Grady to appear personally will have a significant impact on him in terms of costs and time savings.

#### (e) The importance and complexity of the proceeding;

There is nothing particularly complex about the proceedings before the Court. There are only two principle issues before the Court 1) Whether the parties have a contract for Grady to pay a portion of his disability to Caterina and 2) whether Grady is in contempt of court for failing to pay.

(f) Whether the proponent has been unable, after due diligence, to procure the physical presence of a witness;

There is nothing that Grady can do to change his current health status that would allow him to travel without significantly jeopardizing his health.

(g) The convenience to the parties and the proposed witness, and the cost of producing the witness in relation to the importance of the offered testimony;

Again, there is nothing particularly unique about the testimony that Grady will offer that would be any different if he was present in the courtroom.

11/

(h) Whether the procedure would allow effective cross-examination, especially where documents and exhibits available to the witness may not be available to counsel;

The only physical evidence necessary will be documents, which can easily be provided via email and marked for ease of reference by both Grady and counsel.

(i) The importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses in open court, whether the finder of fact may observe the demeanor of the witness, and where the solemnity of the surroundings will impress upon the witness the duty to testify truthfully;

Grady will appear via audiovisual equipment, allowing the Court and counsel to view his demeanor during the trial and during his testimony.

(j) Whether the quality of the communication is sufficient to understand the offered testimony; and

Counsel has tested the connection and Grady is able to be seen and heard clearly.

(k) Such other factors as the court may, in each individual case, determine to be relevant.

It is no exaggeration to say that Grady's life will be in danger if he is forced to take a 16 plus transpacific flight to appear at the trial. Grady's swelling in his legs could easily result in a life-threatening blood clot. There is absolutely no justification for requiring him to appear under these circumstances. While the foregoing rules "require" appearances on show cause orders, the Court still has the discretion to modify the rules under rule 3 and should do so in this case based on Grady's health. As such, Grady requests that the Court reconsider its denial of his request and allow him to appear at trial via audiovisual transmission.

#### III

#### CONCLUSION

Wherefore, based on the above and foregoing, the Defendant respectfully requests the following:

- 1. An Order of the Court reconsidering its denial of Defendant's request to appear for trial on October 21, 2019 via audiovisual equipment.
- 2. For such other relief as the Court deems appropriate in the premises.

DATED this \_ 15 day of October 2019.

 MILLS & ANDERSON.

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ

Newada Bar #6745 703 S. 8th Street Las Vegas NV 89101

Attorney for Defendant

-8-

#### AFFIDAVIT OF GRADY EDWARD BYRD

STATE OF	)
	) ss:
COUNTY OF	)

GRADY EDWARD BYRD, being first duly sworn according to law, deposes and says:

- 1. I am the Defendant in the above-entitled action;
- I have provided all the information, dates and incidents for use in this
  Motion and state under oath that the information contained therein and
  which I have read, corrected and approved, is true and correct to the best
  of my knowledge;
- That based on my knowledge, belief and information and as though repeated herein by my affidavit, I incorporate the facts and incidents of the opposition as though fully reprinted in this affidavit.

WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that this Court grant the relief requested.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

GRADY EDWARD BYRD

State of Melie Charling Lifeting 200 ELE RM BLDG. EMTAYAN. DUMA

## DISTRICT COURT

	DIVISION INTY, NEVADA		
Plaintiff/Petitioner	Case Nd 18 577701-2		
Defendant/Respondent	MOTION/OPPOSITION FEE INFORMATION SHEET		
Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a fi subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specificall Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legislative			
Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing fee in	-		
S25 The Motion/Opposition being filed wi			
The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$25 reopen fee because:			
	ed before a Divorce/Custody Decree has been		
<ul> <li>The Motion/Opposition is being filestablished in a final order.</li> </ul>	ed solely to adjust the amount of child support		
The Motion/Opposition is for reconsideration or for a new trial, and is being filed within 10 days after a final judgment or decree was entered. The final order was			
entered on  Other Excluded Motion (must spec	city) ROCONS, Clercheson.		
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee i	in the box below.		
	with this form is not subject to the \$129 or the		
☐ The Motion/Opposition is being f	filed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. sition previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.		
	m is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion order.		
☐ \$57 The Motion/Opposition being filing w	with this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is a notion paid a fee of \$129.		
Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step 1 and S	Step 2.		
The total filing fee for the motion/opposition	I am filing with this form is:		
Party filing Motion/Opposition: Macl	Ly Ball) Date 1715/		
Signature of Party or Preparer	Y By Man Smills		