

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NATHAN ECHEVERRIA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 82030

FILED

JUN 25 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant filed a motion for this court to take judicial notice of three petitions for judicial review filed in separate district court proceedings, which appellant references in his reply brief. Respondents have filed a response opposing this court taking judicial notice, and appellant has filed a reply. As a general rule, this court “will not take judicial notice of records in another and different case, even though the cases are connected.” *Mack v. Estate of Mack*, 125 Nev. 80, 91, 206 P.3d 98, 106 (2009). While “this rule is not so inflexible in its application that under no circumstances can judicial notice be invoked,” *Occhiuto v. Occhiuto*, 97 Nev. 143, 145, 625 P.2d 568, 569 (1981), the circumstances here do not support this court taking judicial notice. The cases in appellant’s request are not connected to this case and involve different parties, claims, and courts. Accordingly, the motion is denied. The clerk shall strike Exhibits A, B, and C from the motion filed May 27, 2021.

It is so ORDERED.

[Signature], C.J.

cc: Thierman Buck LLP
Attorney General/Las Vegas
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas