

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MOHAMAD ABULHAKIM ALHULAIBI,  
Appellant,

vs.

AHED SAID SENJAB,  
Respondent.

MOHAMAD ABULHAKIM ALHULAIBI,  
Appellant,

vs.


AHED SAID SENJAB,  
Respondent.

No. 82114 ✓

No. 82121

**FILED**

JAN 22, 2021

ELIZABETH S. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE*

These appeals are from the same order entered in separate district court cases. Our preliminary review of the docketing statement and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears the orders appealed from involve substantively non-appealable matters. *See* NRAP 3A(b).

The district court in both cases below denied appellant's "Ex Parte Petition/Motion for an Order Requiring Production of the Minor Child;" motion for the "Issuance of a Warrant for the Pick-Up of the Minor Child;" motion for an "Order Preventing Abduction of the Minor Child Pursuant to NRS 125D;" motion for a "Return order for the Minor Child to his Home Country of Saudi Arabia;" and respondent's "Countermotion/Petition for Abduction Prevention Measures; for Orders Prohibiting Removal of Child from Las Vegas, for Court Safeguard of Child's Passport, for Limited Visitation by a Perpetrator of Domestic Violence, for Stay of Order for Dismissal of Case;" and for attorney's fees and costs. The district court determined that it lacked jurisdiction over the parties' motions because an appeal from the underlying divorce is pending in Docket No.

81515. This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). No statute or court rule appears to permit an appeal from any of the above motions.

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to show cause why these appeals should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in this court's dismissal of these appeals. The deadlines for filing documents in these appeals shall be suspended pending further order of this court. Respondent may file any reply within 14 days from the date that appellant's response is served.

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sanderby, C.J.

cc: Markman Law  
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.  
Willick Law Group