IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SUPERPUMPER, INC., an Arizona corporation; EDWARD BAYUK, individually and as Trustee of the EDWARD BAYUK LIVING TRUST; SALVATORE MORABITO, an individual; and SNOWSHOE PETROLEUM, INC., a New York corporation,

Petitioners,

VS.

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER,

Respondents,

and

WILLIAM A. LEONARD, Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of Paul Anthony Morabito,

Real Party in Interest.

Case No.

Electronically Filed
Dec 03 2020 01:34 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

<u>PETITIONERS' APPENDIX,</u> <u>VOLUME 43</u> (Nos. 7475–7615)

Micah S. Echols, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8437
CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM
4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
Telephone: (702) 655-2346
Facsimile: (702) 655-3763
micah@claggettlaw.com

Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 1607 HARTMAN & HARTMAN 510 West Plumb Lane, Suite B Reno, Nevada 89509 Telephone: (775) 324-2800 Facsimile: (775) 324-1818 jlh@bankruptcyreno.com

Attorneys for Petitioners, Superpumper, Inc.; Edward Bayuk, individually and as Trustee of the Edward Bayuk Living Trust; Salvatore Morabito; and Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.

INDEX TO PETITIONERS' APPENDIX

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Complai	nt (filed 12/17/2013)	Vol. 1, 1–17
Capital's	ion of Salvatore Morabito in Support of Snowshoe Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal ion (filed 05/12/2014)	Vol. 1, 18–21
Complai	nt Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss nt for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction NRCP 12(b)(2) /12/2014)	Vol. 1, 22–30
-	, Jerry Herbst, and Berry Hinckley Industries on to Motion to Dismiss (filed 05/29/2014)	Vol. 1, 31–43
Exhibits	to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Affidavit of John P. Desmond (filed 05/29/2014)	Vol. 1, 44–48
2	Fifth Amendment and Restatement of the Trust Agreement for the Arcadia Living Trust (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 1, 49–88
3	Unanimous Written Consent of the Directors and Shareholders of CWC (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 1, 89–92
4	Unanimous Written Consent of the Board of Directors and Sole Shareholder of Superpumper (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 1, 93–102
5	Plan of Merger of Consolidated Western Corporation with and into Superpumper, Inc. (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 1, 103–107
6	Articles of Merger of Consolidated Western Corporation with and into Superpumper, Inc. (dated 09/29/2010)	Vol. 1, 108–110
7	2009 Federal Income Tax Return for P. Morabito	Vol. 1, 111–153

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss (cont.)	
8	May 21, 2014 printout from New York Secretary of State	Vol. 1, 154–156
9	May 9, 2008 Letter from Garrett Gordon to John Desmond	Vol. 1, 157–158
10	Shareholder Interest Purchase Agreement (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 1, 159–164
11	Relevant portions of the January 22, 2010 Deposition of Edward Bayuk	Vol. 1, 165–176
13	Relevant portions of the January 11, 2010 Deposition of Salvatore Morabito	Vol. 1, 177–180
14	October 1, 2010 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed	Vol. 1, 181–187
15	Order admitting Dennis Vacco (filed 02/16/2011)	Vol. 1, 188–190
	Jerry Herbst, and Berry Hinckley Industries, Errata sition to Motion to Dismiss (filed 05/30/2014)	Vol. 2, 191–194
Exhibit	to Errata to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	
Exhibit	Document Description	
12	Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed for APN: 040-620-09, dated November 10, 2005	Vol. 2, 195–198
	to Complaint of P. Morabito, individually and as f the Arcadia Living Trust (filed 06/02/2014)	Vol. 2, 199–208
of Motio	nt, Snowshow Petroleum, Inc.'s Reply in Support on to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal ion NRCP 12(b)(2) (filed 06/06/2014)	Vol. 2, 209–216

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
	to Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss int for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction NRCP	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Salvatore Morabito in Support of Snowshow Petroleum, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (filed 06/06/2014)	Vol. 2, 217–219
Complai	nt, Superpumper, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss nt for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction NRCP 12(b)(2) (19/2014)	Vol. 2, 220–231
	to Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Jurisdiction NRCP 12(b)(2)	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Salvatore Morabito in Support of Superpumper, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (filed 06/19/2014)	Vol. 2, 232–234
	, Jerry Herbst, and Berry Hinckley Industries, on to Motion to Dismiss (filed 07/07/2014)	Vol. 2, 235–247
Exhibits	to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Affidavit of Brian R. Irvine (filed 07/07/2014)	Vol. 2, 248–252
2	Fifth Amendment and Restatement of the Trust Agreement for the Arcadia Living Trust (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 2, 253–292
3	BHI Electronic Funds Transfers, January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006	Vol. 2, 293–294

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss (cont.)	
4	Legal and accounting fees paid by BHI on behalf of Superpumper; JH78636-JH78639; JH78653-JH78662; JH78703-JH78719	Vol. 2, 295–328
5	Unanimous Written Consent of the Directors and Shareholders of CWC (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 2, 329–332
6	Unanimous Written Consent of the Board of Directors and Sole Shareholders of Superpumper (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 2, 333–336
7	Plan of Merger of Consolidated Western Corporation with and into Superpumper, Inc. (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 2, 337–341
8	Articles of Merger of Consolidated Western Corporation with and into Superpumper, Inc. (dated 09/29/2010)	Vol. 2, 342–344
9	2009 Federal Income Tax Return for P. Morabito	Vol. 2, 345–388
10	Relevant portions of the January 22, 2010 Deposition of Edward Bayuk	Vol. 2, 389–400
11	Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed for APN: 040-620-09, dated November 10, 2005	Vol. 2, 401–404
12	Relevant portions of the January 11, 2010 Deposition of Salvatore Morabito	Vol. 2, 405–408
13	Printout of Arizona Corporation Commission corporate listing for Superpumper, Inc.	Vol. 2, 409–414
Motion	ant, Superpumper, Inc.'s Reply in Support of to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal tion NRCP 12(b)(2) (filed 07/15/2014)	Vol. 3, 415–421
	Denying Motion to Dismiss as to Snowshoe m, Inc.'s (filed 07/17/2014)	Vol. 3, 422–431

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
	f Entry of Order Denying Motion to Dismiss as to be Petroleum, Inc.'s (filed 07/17/2014)	Vol. 3, 432–435
	to Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion to as to Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss as to Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s	Vol. 3, 436–446
Complai	enying Superpumper, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss nt for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction NRCP 12(b)(2)/22/2014)	Vol. 3, 447–457
Motion	of Entry of Order Denying Superpumper, Inc.'s to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal ion NRCP 12(b)(2) (filed 07/22/2014)	Vol. 3, 458–461
	to Notice of Entry of Order Denying imper, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying Superpumper, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction NRCP 12(b)(2) (filed 07/22/2014)	Vol. 3, 462–473
	to Complaint of Superpumper, Inc., and Snowshoe m, Inc. (filed 07/28/2014)	Vol. 3, 474–483
individua	to Complaint of Defendants, Edward Bayuk, ally and as trustee of the Edward William Bayuk rust, and Salvatore Morabito (filed 09/29/2014)	Vol. 3, 484–494
	f Bankruptcy of Consolidated Nevada Corporation (filed 2/11/2015)	Vol. 3, 495–498

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	nental Notice of Bankruptcy of Consolidated Corporation and P. Morabito (filed 02/17/2015)	Vol. 3, 499–502
	to Supplemental Notice of Bankruptcy of dated Nevada Corporation and P. Morabito	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Involuntary Petition; Case No. BK-N-13-51236 (filed 06/20/2013)	Vol. 3, 503–534
2	Involuntary Petition; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (06/20/2013)	Vol. 3, 535–566
3	Order for Relief Under Chapter 7; Case No. BK-N-13-51236 (filed 12/17/2014)	Vol. 3, 567–570
4	Order for Relief Under Chapter 7; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 12/17/2014)	Vol. 3, 571–574
Stipulation 05/15/20	on and Order to File Amended Complaint (filed 115)	Vol. 4, 575–579
Exhibit Compla	to Stipulation and Order to File Amended int	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	First Amended Complaint	Vol. 4, 580–593
	A. Leonard, Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of pito, First Amended Complaint (filed 05/15/2015)	Vol. 4, 594–607
-	on and Order to Substitute a Party Pursuant to 7(a) (filed 05/15/2015)	Vol. 4, 608–611
Substitut	tion of Counsel (filed 05/26/2015)	Vol. 4, 612–615
Defenda: 06/02/20	nts' Answer to First Amended Complaint (filed 115)	Vol. 4, 616–623

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
A ma an da a	1 Stimulation and Ondon to Substitute a Donty	Vol. 4, 624, 627
	Stipulation and Order to Substitute a Party to NRCP 17(a) (filed 06/16/2015)	Vol. 4, 624–627
Protective	o Partially Quash, or, in the Alternative, for a e Order Precluding Trustee from Seeking y Protected by the Attorney-Client Privilege (filed 16)	Vol. 4, 628–635
Alternati	to Motion to Partially Quash, or, in the ive, for a Protective Order Precluding Trustee eking Discovery Protected by the Attorneyivilege	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	March 9, 2016 Letter from Lippes	Vol. 4, 636–638
2	Affidavit of Frank C. Gilmore, Esq., (dated 03/10/2016)	Vol. 4, 639–641
3	Notice of Issuance of Subpoena to Dennis Vacco (dated 01/29/2015)	Vol. 4, 642–656
4	March 10, 2016 email chain	Vol. 4, 657–659
Minutes 03/17/20	of February 24, 2016 Pre-trial Conference (filed 16)	Vol. 4, 660–661
Transcrip	ot of February 24, 2016 Pre-trial Conference	Vol. 4, 662–725
Partially (Precluding	s (Leonard) Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Quash, or, in the Alternative, for a Protective Order of Trustee from Seeking Discovery Protected by ney-Client Privilege (filed 03/25/2016)	Vol. 5, 726–746
in the A Trustee	to Opposition to Motion to Partially Quash or, Iternative, for a Protective Order Precluding from Seeking Discovery Protected by the C-Client Privilege	

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Partially Quash (filed 03/25/2016)	Vol. 5, 747–750
2	Application for Commission to take Deposition of Dennis Vacco (filed 09/17/2015)	Vol. 5, 751–759
3	Commission to take Deposition of Dennis Vacco (filed 09/21/2015)	Vol. 5, 760–763
4	Subpoena/Subpoena Duces Tecum to Dennis Vacco (09/29/2015)	Vol. 5, 764–776
5	Notice of Issuance of Subpoena to Dennis Vacco (dated 09/29/2015)	Vol. 5, 777–791
6	Dennis C. Vacco and Lippes Mathias Wexler Friedman LLP, Response to Subpoena (dated 10/15/2015)	Vol. 5, 792–801
7	Condensed Transcript of October 21, 2015 Deposition of Dennis Vacco	Vol. 5, 802–851
8	Transcript of the Bankruptcy Court's December 22, 2015, oral ruling; Case No. BK-N-13-51237	Vol. 5, 852–897
9	Order Granting Motion to Compel Responses to Deposition Questions; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 02/03/2016)	Vol. 5, 898–903
10	Notice of Continued Deposition of Dennis Vacco (filed 02/18/2016)	Vol. 5, 904–907
11	Debtor's Objection to Proposed Order Granting Motion to Compel Responses to Deposition Questions; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 01/22/2016)	Vol. 5, 908–925

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Alternative Seeking	Support of Motion to Modify Subpoena, or, in the ve, for a Protective Order Precluding Trustee from Discovery Protected by the Attorney-Client (filed 04/06/2016)	Vol. 6, 926–932
Plaintiff's (filed 04/	s Motion to Compel Production of Documents 08/2016)	Vol. 6, 933–944
Exhibits Documen	to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of nts	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (filed 04/08/2016)	Vol. 6, 945–948
2	Bill of Sale – 1254 Mary Fleming Circle (dated 10/01/2010)	Vol. 6, 949–953
3	Bill of Sale – 371 El Camino Del Mar (dated 10/01/2010)	Vol. 6, 954–958
4	Bill of Sale – 370 Los Olivos (dated 10/01/2010)	Vol. 6, 959–963
5	Personal financial statement of P. Morabito as of May 5, 2009	Vol. 6, 964–965
6	Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Edward Bayuk (dated 08/14/2015)	Vol. 6, 966–977
7	Edward Bayuk's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production (dated 09/23/2014)	Vol. 6, 978–987
8	Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Edward Bayuk, as trustee of the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust (dated 08/14/2015)	Vol. 6, 988–997

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	s to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of ents (cont.)	
9	Edward Bayuk, as trustee of the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production (dated 09/23/2014)	Vol. 6, 998–1007
10	Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Edward Bayuk (dated 01/29/2016)	Vol. 6, 1008–1015
11	Edward Bayuk's Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production (dated 03/08/2016)	Vol. 6, 1016–1020
12	Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Edward Bayuk, as trustee of the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust (dated 01/29/2016)	Vol. 6, 1021–1028
13	Edward Bayuk, as trustee of the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust's Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production (dated 03/08/2016)	Vol. 6, 1029–1033
14	Correspondences between Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., and Frank Gilmore, Esq. (dated 03/25/2016)	Vol. 6, 1034–1037
	ion to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of ents (filed 04/25/2016)	Vol. 7, 1038–1044
	in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Compelion of Documents (filed 05/09/2016)	Vol. 7, 1045–1057
	s to Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Production of Documents	

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Gabrielle A. Hamm, Esq., in Support of Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (filed 05/09/2016)	Vol. 7, 1058–1060
2	Amended Findings, of Fact and Conclusion of Law in Support of Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 12/22/2014)	Vol. 7, 1061–1070
3	Order Compelling Deposition of P. Morabito dated March 13, 2014, in <i>Consolidated Nevada Corp.</i> , et al v. JH. et al.; Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 03/13/2014)	Vol. 7, 1071–1074
4	Emergency Motion Under NRCP 27(e); Petition for Writ of Prohibition, <i>P. Morabito v. The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for the County of Washoe</i> ; Case No. 65319 (filed 04/01/2014)	Vol. 7, 1075–1104
5	Order Denying Petition for Writ of Prohibition; Case No. 65319 (filed 04/18/2014)	Vol. 7, 1105–1108
6	Order Granting Summary Judgment; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 12/17/2014)	Vol. 7, 1109–1112
	endation for Order RE: <i>Defendants' Motion to Quash</i> , filed on March 10, 2016 (filed 06/13/2016)	Vol. 7, 1113–1124
Confirmi (filed 07/	ng Recommendation Order from June 13, 2016 06/2016)	Vol. 7, 1125–1126
	endation for Order RE: <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Production of Documents</i> , filed on April 8, 2016 (01/2016)	Vol. 7, 1127–1133

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	ng Recommendation Order from September 1, ed 09/16/2016)	Vol. 7, 1134–1135
Defendar	s Application for Order to Show Cause Why nt, Edward Bayuk Should Not Be Held in t of Court Order (filed 11/21/2016)	Vol. 8, 1136–1145
Cause W	to Plaintiff's Application for Order to Show hy Defendant, Edward Bayuk Should Not Be Contempt of Court Order	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order to Show Cause Why Defendant, Edward Bayuk Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court Order (filed 11/21/2016)	Vol. 8, 1146–1148
2	Confirming Recommendation Order from September 1, 2016 (filed 09/16/2016)	Vol. 8, 1149–1151
3	Recommendation for Order RE: <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents</i> , filed on April 8, 2016 (filed 09/01/2016)	Vol. 8, 1152–1159
4	Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents (filed 04/08/2016)	Vol. 8, 1160–1265
5	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents (filed 04/25/2016)	Vol. 8, 1266–1273
6	Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents (filed 05/09/2016)	Vol. 8, 1274–1342
7	Correspondences between Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., and Frank Gilmore, Esq. (dated 09/22/2016)	Vol. 8, 1343–1346
8	Edward Bayuk's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production (dated 10/25/2016)	Vol. 8, 1347–1352

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Cause W	on to Plaintiff's Application for Order to Show Thy Defendant Should Not Be Held in Contempt of order (filed 12/19/2016	Vol. 9, 1353–1363
Order to	to Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Show Cause Why Defendant Should Not Be Contempt of Court Order	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Edward Bayuk in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Order to Show Cause (filed 12/19/2016)	Vol. 9, 1364–1367
2	Declaration of Frank C. Gilmore, Esq., in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Order to Show Cause (filed 12/19/2016)	Vol. 9, 1368–1370
3	Redacted copy of the September 6, 2016, correspondence of Frank C. Gilmore, Esq.	Vol. 9, 1371–1372
	Show Cause Why Defendant, Edward Bayuk Not Be Held in Contempt of Court Order (filed 16)	Vol. 9, 1373–1375
Show C Contemp	e: (1) to Opposition to Application for Order to ause Why Defendant Should Not Be Held in ot of Court Order and (2) in Support of Order to ause (filed 12/30/2016)	Vol. 9, 1376–1387
	of January 19, 2017 Deposition of Edward Bayuk surance policies (filed 01/19/2017)	Vol. 9, 1388
	of January 19, 2017 hearing on Order to Show iled 01/30/2017)	Vol. 9, 1389
Protectiv	to Quash Subpoena, or, in the Alternative, for a re Order Precluding Trustee from Seeking ry from Hodgson Russ LLP (filed 07/18/2017)	Vol. 9, 1390–1404

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Alternat	to Motion to Quash Subpoena, or, in the rive, for a Protective Order Precluding Trustee eking Discovery from Hodgson Russ LLP	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Correspondence between Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., and Frank Gilmore, Esq., dated March 8, 2016	Vol. 9, 1405–1406
2	Correspondence between Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., and Frank Gilmore, Esq., dated March 8, 2016, with attached redlined discovery extension stipulation	Vol. 9, 1407–1414
3	Jan. 3 – Jan. 4, 2017, email chain from Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., and Frank Gilmore, Esq.	Vol. 9, 1415–1416
4	Declaration of Frank C. Gilmore, Esq., in Support of Motion to Quash (filed 07/18/2017)	Vol. 9, 1417–1420
5	January 24, 2017 email from Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq.,	Vol. 9, 1421–1422
6	Jones Vargas letter to HR and P. Morabito, dated August 16, 2010	Vol. 9, 1423–1425
7	Excerpted Transcript of July 26, 2011 Deposition of Sujata Yalamanchili, Esq.	Vol. 9, 1426–1431
8	Letter dated June 17, 2011, from Hodgson Russ ("HR") to John Desmond and Brian Irvine on Morabito related issues	Vol. 9, 1432–1434
9	August 9, 2013, transmitted letter to HR	Vol. 9, 1435–1436
10	Excerpted Transcript of July 23, 2014 Deposition of P. Morabito	Vol. 9, 1437–1441
11	Lippes Mathias Wexler Friedman LLP, April 3, 2015 letter	Vol. 9, 1442–1444

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	to Motion to Quash Subpoena (cont.)	
12	Lippes Mathias Wexler Friedman LLP, October 20, 2010 letter RE: Balance forward as of bill dated 09/19/2010 and 09/16/2010	Vol. 9, 1445–1454
13	Excerpted Transcript of June 25, 2015 Deposition of 341 Meeting of Creditors	Vol. 9, 1455–1460
Alternati Seeking (2) Cour	osition to Motion to Quash Subpoena, or, in the ve, for a Protective Order Precluding Trustee from Discovery from Hodgson Russ LLP; and attermotion for Sanctions and to Compel Resetting (3) Deposition of Hodgson Russ LLP (filed 117)	Vol. 10, 1461–1485
Subpoer Precludi Hodgsor Sanction	to (1) Opposition to Motion to Quash na, or, in the Alternative, for a Protective Order ing Trustee from Seeking Discovery from n Russ LLP; and (2) Countermotion for ns and to Compel Resetting of 30(b)(3) on of Hodgson Russ LLP	
Exhibit	Document Description	
A	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., in Support of (1) Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena, or, in the Alternative, for a Protective Order Precluding Trustee from Seeking Discovery from Hodgson Russ LLP (filed 07/24/2017)	Vol. 10, 1486–1494
A-1	Defendants' NRCP Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents (dated 12/01/2014)	Vol. 10, 1495–1598
A-2	Order Granting Motion to Compel Responses to Deposition Questions; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 02/03/2016)	Vol. 10, 1599–1604

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits Subpoer	s to (1) Opposition to Motion to Quash na; and (2) Countermotion for Sanctions (cont.)	
A-3	Recommendation for Order RE: <i>Defendants' Motion to Partially Quash</i> , filed on March 10, 2016 (filed 06/13/2016)	Vol. 10, 1605–1617
A-4	Confirming Recommendation Order from September 1, 2016 (filed 09/16/2016)	Vol. 10, 1618–1620
A-5	Subpoena – Civil (dated 01/03/2017)	Vol. 10, 1621–1634
A-6	Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable of Hodgson Russ LLP (filed 01/03/2017)	Vol. 10, 1635–1639
A-7	January 25, 2017 Letter to Hodgson Russ LLP	Vol. 10, 1640–1649
A-8	Stipulation Regarding Continued Discovery Dates (Sixth Request) (filed 01/30/2017)	Vol. 10, 1650–1659
A-9	Stipulation Regarding Continued Discovery Dates (Seventh Request) (filed 05/25/2017)	Vol. 10, 1660–1669
A-10	Defendants' Sixteenth Supplement to NRCP Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents (dated 05/03/2017)	Vol. 10, 1670–1682
A-11	Rough Draft Transcript of Garry M. Graber, Dated July 12, 2017 (Job Number 394849)	Vol. 10, 1683–1719
A-12	Sept. 15-Sept. 23, 2010 emails by and between Hodgson Russ LLP and Other Parties	Vol. 10, 1720–1723
Alternati Seeking	Support of Motion to Quash Subpoena, or, in the ive, for a Protective Order Precluding Trustee from Discovery from Hodgson Russ LLP, and ion to Motion for Sanctions (filed 08/03/2017)	Vol. 11, 1724–1734

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Compel	Support of Countermotion for Sanctions and to Resetting of 30(b)(6) Deposition of Hodgson Russ ed 08/09/2017)	Vol. 11, 1735–1740
Subpoen Precludii	of August 10, 2017 hearing on Motion to Quash a, or, in the Alternative, for a Protective Ordering Trustee from Seeking Discovery from Hodgson P, and Opposition to Motion for Sanctions (filed 17)	Vol. 11, 1741–1742
Quash S Order P	dendation for Order RE: Defendants' Motion to Subpoena, or, in the Alternative, for a Protective Precluding Trustee from Seeking Discovery from a Russ LLP, filed on July 18, 2017 (filed 117)	Vol. 11, 1743–1753
Motion f	For Partial Summary Judgment (filed 08/17/2017)	Vol. 11, 1754–1796
	nt of Undisputed Facts in Support of Motion for ummary Judgment (filed 08/17/2017)	Vol. 11, 1797–1825
	to Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of for Partial Summary Judgment	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Timothy P. Herbst in Support of Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Vol. 12, 1826–1829
2	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment in <i>Consolidated Nevada Corp., et al v. JH. et al.;</i> Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 10/12/2010)	Vol. 12, 1830–1846
3	Judgment in Consolidated Nevada Corp., et al v. JH. et al.; Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 08/23/2011)	Vol. 12, 1847–1849

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
4	Excerpted Transcript of July 12, 2017 Deposition of Garry M. Graber	Vol. 12, 1850–1852
5	September 15, 2015 email from Yalamanchili RE: Follow Up Thoughts	Vol. 12, 1853–1854
6	September 23, 2010 email between Garry M. Graber and P. Morabito	Vol. 12, 1855–1857
7	September 20, 2010 email between Yalamanchili and Eileen Crotty RE: Morabito Wire	Vol. 12, 1858–1861
8	September 20, 2010 email between Yalamanchili and Garry M. Graber RE: All Mortgage Balances as of 9/20/2010	Vol. 12, 1862–1863
9	September 20, 2010 email from Garry M. Graber RE: Call	Vol. 12, 1864–1867
10	September 20, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Dennis and Yalamanchili RE: Attorney client privileged communication	Vol. 12, 1868–1870
11	September 20, 2010 email string RE: Attorney client privileged communication	Vol. 12, 1871–1875
12	Appraisal of Real Property: 370 Los Olivos, Laguna Beach, CA, as of Sept. 24, 2010	Vol. 12, 1876–1903
13	Excerpted Transcript of March 21, 2016 Deposition of P. Morabito	Vol. 12, 1904–1919
14	P. Morabito Redacted Investment and Bank Report from Sept. 1 to Sept. 30, 2010	Vol. 12, 1920–1922
15	Excerpted Transcript of June 25, 2015 Deposition of 341 Meeting of Creditors	Vol. 12, 1923–1927
16	Excerpted Transcript of December 5, 2015 Deposition of P. Morabito	Vol. 12, 1928–1952

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
17	Purchase and Sale Agreement between Arcadia Trust and Bayuk Trust entered effective as of Sept. 27, 2010	
18	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement between Arcadia Trust and Bayuk Trust entered effective as of Sept. 28, 2010	Vol. 12, 1962–1964
19	Appraisal Report providing market value estimate of real property located at 8355 Panorama Drive, Reno, NV as of Dec. 7, 2011	Vol. 12, 1965–1995
20	An Appraisal of a vacant .977± Acre Parcel of Industrial Land Located at 49 Clayton Place West of the Pyramid Highway (State Route 445) Sparks, Washoe County, Nevada and a single-family residence located at 8355 Panorama Drive Reno, Washoe County, Nevada 89511 as of October 1, 2010 a retrospective date	Vol. 13, 1996–2073
21	APN: 040-620-09 Declaration of Value (dated 12/31/2012)	Vol. 14, 2074–2075
22	Sellers Closing Statement for real property located at 8355 Panorama Drive, Reno, NV 89511	Vol. 14, 2076–2077
23	Bill of Sale for real property located at 8355 Panorama Drive, Reno, NV 89511	Vol. 14, 2078–2082
24	Operating Agreement of Baruk Properties LLC	Vol. 14, 2083–2093
25	Edward Bayuk, as trustee of the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust's Answer to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories (dated 09/14/2014)	Vol. 14, 2094–2104
26	Summary Appraisal Report of real property located at 1461 Glenneyre Street, Laguna Beach, CA 92651, as of Sept. 25, 2010	Vol. 14, 2105–2155

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
27	Appraisal of Real Property as of Sept. 23, 2010: 1254 Mary Fleming Circle, Palm Springs, CA 92262	Vol. 15, 2156–2185
28	Appraisal of Real Property as of Sept. 23, 2010: 1254 Mary Fleming Circle, Palm Springs, CA 92262	Vol. 15, 2186–2216
29	Membership Interest Transfer Agreement between Arcadia Trust and Bayuk Trust entered effective as of Oct. 1, 2010	Vol. 15, 2217–2224
30	PROMISSORY NOTE [Edward William Bayuk Living Trust ("Borrower") promises to pay Arcadia Living Trust ("Lender") the principal sum of \$1,617,050.00, plus applicable interest] (dated 10/01/2010)	Vol. 15, 2225–2228
31	Certificate of Merger dated Oct. 4, 2010	Vol. 15, 2229–2230
32	Articles of Merger Document No. 20100746864-78 (recorded date 10/04/2010)	Vol. 15, 2231–2241
33	Excerpted Transcript of September 28, 2015 Deposition of Edward William Bayuk	Vol. 15, 2242–2256
34	Grant Deed for real property 1254 Mary Fleming Circle, Palm Springs, CA 92262; APN: 507-520-015 (recorded 11/04/2010)	Vol. 15, 2257–2258
35	General Conveyance made as of Oct. 31, 2010 between Woodland Heights Limited ("Vendor") and Arcadia Living Trust ("Purchaser")	Vol. 15, 2259–2265
36	Appraisal of Real Property as of Sept. 24, 2010: 371 El Camino Del Mar, Laguna Beach, CA 92651	Vol. 15, 2266–2292

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
D 1010		
Exhibits	s to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
37	Excerpted Transcript of December 6, 2016 Deposition of P. Morabito	Vol. 15, 2293–2295
38	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 15, 2296–2297
39	Ledger of Edward Bayuk to P. Morabito	Vol. 15, 2298–2300
40	Loan Calculator: Payment Amount (Standard Loan Amortization)	Vol. 15, 2301–2304
41	Payment Schedule of Edward Bayuk Note in Favor of P. Morabito	Vol. 15, 2305–2308
42	November 10, 2011 email from Vacco RE: Baruk Properties, LLC/P. Morabito/Bank of America, N.A.	Vol. 15, 2309–2312
43	May 23, 2012 email from Vacco to Steve Peek RE: Formal Settlement Proposal to resolve the Morabito matter	Vol. 15, 2313–2319
44	Excerpted Transcript of March 12, 2015 Deposition of 341 Meeting of Creditors	Vol. 15, 2320–2326
45	Shareholder Interest Purchase Agreement between P. Morabito and Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc. (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 15, 2327–2332
46	P. Morabito Statement of Assets & Liabilities as of May 5, 2009	Vol. 15, 2333–2334
47	March 10, 2010 email from Naz Afshar, CPA to Darren Takemoto, CPA RE: Current Personal Financial Statement	Vol. 15, 2335–2337
48	March 10, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Jon RE: ExxonMobil CIM for Florida and associated maps	Vol. 15, 2338–2339

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
49	March 20, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: proceed with placing binding bid on June 22nd with ExxonMobil	Vol. 15, 2340–2341
50	P. Morabito Statement of Assets & Liabilities as of May 30, 2010	Vol. 15, 2342–2343
51	June 28, 2010 email from P. Morabito to George R. Garner RE: ExxonMobil Chicago Market Business Plan Review	Vol. 15, 2344–2345
52	Plan of Merger of Consolidated Western Corp. with and into Superpumper, Inc. (dated 09/28/2010)	Vol. 15, 2346–2364
53	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 15, 2365–2366
54	BBVA Compass Proposed Request on behalf of Superpumper, Inc. (dated 12/15/2010)	Vol. 15, 2367–2397
55	Business Valuation Agreement between Matrix Capital Markets Group, Inc. and Superpumper, Inc. (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 15, 2398–2434
56	Expert report of James L. McGovern, CPA/CFF, CVA (dated 01/25/2016)	Vol. 16, 2435–2509
57	June 18, 2014 email from Sam Morabito to Michael Vanek RE: SPI Analysis	Vol. 17, 2510–2511
58	Declaration of P. Morabito in Support of Opposition to Motion of JH, Inc., Jerry Herbst, and Berry-Hinckley Industries for Order Prohibiting Debtor from Using, Acquiring, or Disposing of or Transferring Assets Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 303(f) Pending Appointment of Trustee; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 07/01/2013)	Vol. 17, 2512–2516

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
59	State of California Secretary of State Limited Liability Company – Snowshoe Properties, LLC; File No. 201027310002 (filed 09/29/2010)	Vol. 17, 2517–2518
60	PROMISSORY NOTE [Snowshoe Petroleum ("Maker") promises to pay P. Morabito ("Holder") the principal sum of \$1,462,213.00] (dated 11/01/2010)	Vol. 17, 2519–2529
61	PROMISSORY NOTE [Superpumper, Inc. ("Maker") promises to pay Compass Bank (the "Bank" and/or "Holder") the principal sum of \$3,000,000.00] (dated 08/13/2010)	Vol. 17, 2530–2538
62	Excerpted Transcript of October 21, 2015 Deposition of Salvatore R. Morabito	Vol. 17, 2539–2541
63	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 17, 2542–2543
64	Edward Bayuk's Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories (dated 09/14/2014)	Vol. 17, 2544–2557
65	October 12, 2012 email from Stan Bernstein to P. Morabito RE: 2011 return	Vol. 17, 2558–2559
66	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 17, 2560–2561
67	Excerpted Transcript of October 20, 2015 Deposition of Dennis C. Vacco	Vol. 17, 2562–2564
68	Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s letter of intent to set out the framework of the contemplated transaction between: Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.; David Dwelle, LP; Eclipse Investments, LP; Speedy Investments; and TAD Limited Partnership (dated 04/21/2011)	Vol. 17, 2565–2572

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
69	Excerpted Transcript of July 10, 2017 Deposition of Dennis C. Vacco	Vol. 17, 2573–2579
70	April 15, 2011 email from P. Morabito to Christian Lovelace; Gregory Ivancic; Vacco RE: \$65 million loan offer from Cerberus	Vol. 17, 2580–2582
71	Email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: \$2 million second mortgage on the Reno house	Vol. 17, 2583–2584
72	Email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Tim Haves	Vol. 17, 2585–2586
73	Settlement Agreement, Loan Agreement Modification & Release dated as of Sept. 7, 2012, entered into by Bank of America and P. Morabito	Vol. 17, 2587–2595
74	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 17, 2596–2597
75	February 10, 2012 email from Vacco to Paul Wells and Timothy Haves RE: 1461 Glenneyre Street, Laguna Beach – Sale	Vol. 17, 2598–2602
76	May 8, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Proceed with the corporate set-up with Ray, Edward and P. Morabito	Vol. 17, 2603–2604
77	September 4, 2012 email from Vacco to Edward Bayuk RE: Second Deed of Trust documents	Vol. 17, 2605–2606
78	September 18, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Edward Bayuk RE: Deed of Trust	Vol. 17, 2607–2611
79	October 3, 2012 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Term Sheet on both real estate deal and option	Vol. 17, 2612–2614
80	March 14, 2013 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: BHI Hinckley	Vol. 17, 2615–2616
81	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 17, 2617–2618

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	to Statement of Undisputed Facts (cont.)	
82	November 11, 2011 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Trevor's commitment to sign	Vol. 17, 2619–2620
83	November 28, 2011 email string RE: Wiring \$560,000 to Lippes Mathias	Vol. 17, 2621–2623
84	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 17, 2624–2625
85	Page intentionally left blank	Vol. 17, 2626–2627
86	Order for Relief Under Chapter 7; Case No. BK-N-13-51236 (filed 12/22/2014)	Vol. 17, 2628–2634
87	Report of Undisputed Election (11 U.S.C § 702); Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 01/23/2015)	Vol. 17, 2635–2637
88	Amended Stipulation and Order to Substitute a Party to NRCP 17(a) (filed 06/11/2015)	Vol. 17, 2638–2642
89	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, entered into as of Oct. 6, 2010 between P. Morabito and Edward Bayuk	Vol. 17, 2643–2648
90	Complaint; Case No. BK-N-13-51237 (filed 10/15/2015)	Vol. 17, 2649–2686
91	Fifth Amendment and Restatement of the Trust Agreement for the Arcadia Living Trust (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 17, 2687–2726
	n to Recommendation for Order filed August 17, ed 08/28/2017)	Vol. 18, 2727–2734
Exhibit to Objection to Recommendation for Order		
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Plaintiff's counsel's Jan. 24, 2017, email memorializing the discovery dispute agreement	Vol. 18, 2735–2736

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
	on to Objection to Recommendation for Order filed 7, 2017 (filed 09/05/2017)	Vol. 18, 2737–2748
Exhibit for Orde	to Opposition to Objection to Recommendation er	
Exhibit	Document Description	
A	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq., in Support of Opposition to Objection to Recommendation for Order (filed 09/05/2017)	Vol. 18, 2749–2752
	Opposition to Objection to Recommendation for ed August 17, 2017 (dated 09/15/2017)	Vol. 18, 2753–2758
	nts' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial y Judgment (filed 09/22/2017)	Vol. 18, 2759–2774
Support	nts' Separate Statement of Disputed Facts in of Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial y Judgment (filed 09/22/2017)	Vol. 18, 2775–2790
Facts in	to Defendants' Separate Statement of Disputed Support of Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Judgment in <i>Consolidated Nevada Corp., et al v. JH. et al.</i> ; Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 08/23/2011)	Vol. 18, 2791–2793
2	Excerpted Transcript of October 20, 2015 Deposition of Dennis C. Vacco	Vol. 18, 2794–2810
3	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss Involuntary Chapter 7 Petition and Suspending Proceedings Pursuant to 11 U.S.C §305(a)(1); Case No. BK- N-13-51237 (filed 12/17/2013)	Vol. 18, 2811–2814

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits Facts (c	s to Defendants' Separate Statement of Disputed	
4	Excerpted Transcript of March 21, 2016 Deposition of P. Morabito	Vol. 18, 2815–2826
5	Excerpted Transcript of September 28, 2015 Deposition of Edward William Bayuk	Vol. 18, 2827–2857
6	Appraisal	Vol. 18, 2858–2859
7	Budget Summary as of Jan. 7, 2016	Vol. 18, 2860–2862
8	Excerpted Transcript of March 24, 2016 Deposition of Dennis Banks	Vol. 18, 2863–2871
9	Excerpted Transcript of March 22, 2016 Deposition of Michael Sewitz	Vol. 18, 2872–2879
10	Excerpted Transcript of April 27, 2011 Deposition of Darryl Noble	Vol. 18, 2880–2883
11	Copies of cancelled checks from Edward Bayuk made payable to P. Morabito	Vol. 18, 2884–2892
12	CBRE Appraisal of 14th Street Card Lock Facility (dated 02/26/2010)	Vol. 18, 2893–2906
13	Bank of America wire transfer from P. Morabito to Salvatore Morabito in the amount of \$146,127.00; and a wire transfer from P. Morabito to Lippes for \$25.00 (date 10/01/2010)	Vol. 18, 2907–2908
14	Excerpted Transcript of October 21, 2015 Deposition of Christian Mark Lovelace	Vol. 18, 2909–2918
15	June 18, 2014 email from Sam Morabito to Michael Vanek RE: Analysis of the Superpumper transaction in 2010	Vol. 18, 2919–2920
16	Excerpted Transcript of October 21, 2015 Deposition of Salvatore R. Morabito	Vol. 18, 2921–2929

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits Facts (co	s to Defendants' Separate Statement of Disputed ont.)	
17	PROMISSORY NOTE [Snowshoe Petroleum ("Maker") promises to pay P. Morabito ("Holder") the principal sum of \$1,462,213.00] (dated 11/01/2010)	Vol. 18, 2930–2932
18	TERM NOTE [P. Morabito ("Borrower") promises to pay Consolidated Western Corp. ("Lender") the principal sum of \$939,000.00, plus interest] (dated 09/01/2010)	Vol. 18, 2933–2934
19	SUCCESSOR PROMISSORY NOTE [Snowshoe Petroleum ("Maker") promises to pay P. Morabito ("Holder") the principal sum of \$492,937.30, plus interest] (dated 02/01/2011)	Vol. 18, 2935–2937
20	Edward Bayuk's wire transfer to Lippes in the amount of \$517,547.20 (dated 09/29/2010)	Vol. 18, 2938–2940
21	Salvatore Morabito Bank of Montreal September 2011 Wire Transfer	Vol. 18, 2941–2942
22	Declaration of Salvatore Morabito (dated 09/21/2017)	Vol. 18, 2943–2944
23	Edward Bayuk bank wire transfer to Superpumper, Inc., in the amount of \$659,000.00 (dated 09/30/2010)	Vol. 18, 2945–2947
24	Edward Bayuk checking account statements between 2010 and 2011 funding the company with transfers totaling \$500,000	Vol. 18, 2948–2953
25	Salvatore Morabito's wire transfer statement between 2010 and 2011, funding the company with \$750,000	Vol. 18, 2954–2957
26	Payment Schedule of Edward Bayuk Note in Favor of P. Morabito	Vol. 18, 2958–2961

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	to Defendants' Separate Statement of Disputed	
Facts (co	ont.)	
27	September 15, 2010 email from Vacco to Yalamanchili and P. Morabito RE: Follow Up Thoughts	Vol. 18, 2962–2964
	Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 0/10/2017)	Vol. 19, 2965–2973
Order Recomm 12/07/20	Regarding Discovery Commissioner's tendation for Order dated August 17, 2017 (filed 17)	Vol. 19, 2974–2981
	Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment /11/2017)	Vol. 19, 2982–2997
Defenda	nts' Motions in Limine (filed 09/12/2018)	Vol. 19, 2998–3006
Exhibits	to Defendants' Motions in Limine	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Plaintiff's Second Supplement to Amended Disclosures Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(A)(1) (dated 04/28/2016)	Vol. 19, 3007–3016
2	Excerpted Transcript of March 25, 2016 Deposition of William A. Leonard	Vol. 19, 3017–3023
3	Plaintiff, Jerry Herbst's Responses to Defendant Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s Set of Interrogatories (dated 02/11/2015); and Plaintiff, Jerry Herbst's Responses to Defendant, Salvatore Morabito's Set of Interrogatories (dated 02/12/2015)	Vol. 19, 3024–3044
	n Limine to Exclude Testimony of Jan Friederich /20/2018)	Vol. 19, 3045–3056

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits Jan Frie	to Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of derich	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Defendants' Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure (dated 02/29/2016)	Vol. 19, 3057–3071
2	Condensed Transcript of March 29, 2016 Deposition of Jan Friederich	Vol. 19, 3072–3086
Opposition	on to Defendants' Motions in Limine (filed 18)	Vol. 19, 3087–3102
Exhibits Limine	to Opposition to Defendants' Motions in	
Exhibit	Document Description	
A	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq. in Support of Opposition to Defendants' Motions in Limine (filed 09/28/2018)	Vol. 19, 3103–3107
A-1	Plaintiff's February 19, 2016, Amended Disclosures Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(A)(1)	Vol. 19, 3108–3115
A-2	Plaintiff's January 26, 2016, Expert Witnesses Disclosures (without exhibits)	Vol. 19, 3116–3122
A-3	Defendants' January 26, 2016, and February 29, 2016, Expert Witness Disclosures (without exhibits)	Vol. 19, 3123–3131
A-4	Plaintiff's August 17, 2017, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (without exhibits)	Vol. 19, 3132–3175
A-5	Plaintiff's August 17, 2017, Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of his Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (without exhibits)	Vol. 19, 3176–3205
Defendar 10/08/20	nts' Reply in Support of Motions in Limine (filed 18)	Vol. 20, 3206–3217

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibit Limine	to Defendants' Reply in Support of Motions in	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Chapter 7 Trustee, William A. Leonard's Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories (dated 05/28/2015)	Vol. 20, 3218–3236
	nts' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motions in Limine to the Testimony of Jan Friederich (filed 10/08/2018)	Vol. 20, 3237–3250
	to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Janch	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Excerpt of Matrix Report (dated 10/13/2010)	Vol. 20, 3251–3255
2	Defendants' Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure (dated 02/29/2016)	Vol. 20, 3256–3270
3	November 9, 2009 email from P. Morabito to Daniel Fletcher; Jim Benbrook; Don Whitehead; Sam Morabito, etc. RE: Jan Friederich entered consulting agreement with Superpumper	Vol. 20, 3271–3272
4	Excerpted Transcript of March 29, 2016 Deposition of Jan Friederich	Vol. 20, 3273–3296
Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures (filed 10/12/2018)		Vol. 20, 3297–3299
Objection 10/12/20	ns to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures (filed 18)	Vol. 20, 3300–3303
	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion in o Exclude the Testimony of Jan Friederich (filed 18)	Vol. 20, 3304–3311

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Minutes 10/19/20	of September 11, 2018, Pre-trial Conference (filed 18)	Vol. 20, 3312
Stipulate	ed Facts (filed 10/29/2018)	Vol. 20, 3313–3321
Admissi	on of Documents in Conjunction with the ons of P. Morabito and Dennis Vacco (filed 118)	Vol. 20, 3322–3325
	s Points and Authorities Regarding Authenticity rsay Issues (filed 10/31/2018)	Vol. 20, 3326–3334
Clerk's	Trial Exhibit List (filed 02/28/2019)	Vol. 21, 3335–3413
Exhibits	to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Certified copy of the Transcript of September 13, 2010 Judge's Ruling; Case No. CV07-02764	Vol. 21, 3414–3438
2	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment; Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 10/12/2010)	Vol. 21, 3439–3454
3	Judgment; Case No. CV07-0767 (filed 08/23/2011)	Vol. 21, 3455–3456
4	Confession of Judgment; Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 06/18/2013)	Vol. 21, 3457–3481
5	November 30, 2011 Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release	Vol. 22, 3482–3613
6	March 1, 2013 Forbearance Agreement	Vol. 22, 3614–3622

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
8	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss Involuntary Chapter 7 Petition and Suspending Proceedings, Case 13-51237. ECF No. 94, (filed 12/17/2013)	Vol. 22, 3623–3625
19	Report of Undisputed Election– Appointment of Trustee, Case No. 13-51237, ECF No. 220	Vol. 22, 3626–3627
20	Stipulation and Order to Substitute a Party Pursuant to NRCP 17(a), Case No. CV13-02663, May 15, 2015	Vol. 22, 3628–3632
21	Non-Dischargeable Judgment Regarding Plaintiff's First and Second Causes of Action, Case No. 15-05019-GWZ, ECF No. 123, April 30, 2018	Vol. 22, 3633–3634
22	Memorandum & Decision; Case No. 15-05019-GWZ, ECF No. 124, April 30, 2018	Vol. 22, 3635–3654
23	Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law in Support of Judgment Regarding Plaintiff's First and Second Causes of Action; Case 15-05019-GWZ, ECF No. 122, April 30, 2018	Vol. 22, 3655–3679
25	September 15, 2010 email from Yalamanchili to Vacco and P. Morabito RE: Follow Up Thoughts	Vol. 22, 3680–3681
26	September 18, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Vacco	Vol. 22, 3682–3683
27	September 20, 2010 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Spirit	Vol. 22, 3684–3684
28	September 20, 2010 email between Yalamanchili and Crotty RE: Morabito -Wire	Vol. 22, 3685–3687
29	September 20, 2010 email from Yalamanchili to Graber RE: Attorney Client Privileged Communication	Vol. 22, 3688–3689

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
E 1.11.14	Cl 1 2 T. C. 1 E. 1. 2 1 2 4 (
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
30	September 21, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Vacco and Cross RE: Attorney Client Privileged Communication	Vol. 22, 3690–3692
31	September 23, 2010 email chain between Graber and P. Morabito RE: Change of Primary Residence from Reno to Laguna Beach	Vol. 22, 3693–3694
32	September 23, 2010 email from Yalamanchili to Graber RE: Change of Primary Residence from Reno to Laguna Beach	Vol. 22, 3695–3696
33	September 24, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Superpumper, Inc.	Vol. 22, 3697–3697
34	September 26, 2010 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Judgment for a fixed debt	Vol. 22, 3698–3698
35	September 27, 2010 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: First Amendment to Residential Lease executed 9/27/2010	Vol. 22, 3699–3701
36	November 7, 2012 emails between Vacco, P. Morabito, C. Lovelace RE: Attorney Client Privileged Communication	
37	Morabito BMO Bank Statement – September 2010	Vol. 22, 3704–3710
38	Lippes Mathias Trust Ledger History	Vol. 23, 3711–3716
39	Fifth Amendment & Restatement of the Trust Agreement for the Arcadia Living Trust dated September 30, 2010	Vol. 23, 3717–3755
42	P. Morabito Statement of Assets & Liabilities as of May 5, 2009	Vol. 23, 3756–3756

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibit	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
43	March 10, 2010 email chain between Afshar and Takemoto RE: Current Personal Financial Statement	Vol. 23, 3757–3758
44	Salazar Net Worth Report (dated 03/15/2011)	Vol. 23, 3759–3772
45	Purchase and Sale Agreement	Vol. 23, 3773–3780
46	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement	Vol. 23, 3781–3782
47	Panorama – Estimated Settlement Statement	Vol. 23, 3783–3792
48	El Camino – Final Settlement Statement	Vol. 23, 3793–3793
49	Los Olivos – Final Settlement Statement	Vol. 23, 3794–3794
50	Deed for Transfer of Panorama Property	Vol. 23, 3795–3804
51	Deed for Transfer for Los Olivos	Vol. 23, 3805–3806
52	Deed for Transfer of El Camino	Vol. 23, 3807–3808
53	Kimmel Appraisal Report for Panorama and Clayton	Vol. 23, 3809–3886
54	Bill of Sale – Panorama	Vol. 23, 3887–3890
55	Bill of Sale – Mary Fleming	Vol. 23, 3891–3894
56	Bill of Sale – El Camino	Vol. 23, 3895–3898
57	Bill of Sale – Los Olivos	Vol. 23, 3899–3902
58	Declaration of Value and Transfer Deed of 8355 Panorama (recorded 12/31/2012)	Vol. 23, 3903–3904
60	Baruk Properties Operating Agreement	Vol. 23, 3905–3914
61	Baruk Membership Transfer Agreement	Vol. 24, 3915–3921
62	Promissory Note for \$1,617,050 (dated 10/01/2010)	Vol. 24, 3922–3924

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
63	Baruk Properties/Snowshoe Properties, Certificate of Merger (filed 10/04/2010)	Vol. 24, 3925–3926
64	Baruk Properties/Snowshoe Properties, Articles of Merger	Vol. 24, 3927–3937
65	Grant Deed from Snowshoe to Bayuk Living Trust; Doc No. 2010-0531071 (recorded 11/04/2010)	Vol. 24, 3938–3939
66	Grant Deed – 1461 Glenneyre; Doc No. 2010000511045 (recorded 10/08/2010)	Vol. 24, 3940–3941
67	Grant Deed – 570 Glenneyre; Doc No. 2010000508587 (recorded 10/08/2010)	Vol. 24, 3942–3944
68	Attorney File re: Conveyance between Woodland Heights and Arcadia Living Trust	Vol. 24, 3945–3980
69	October 24, 2011 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Attorney Client Privileged Communication	Vol. 24, 3981–3982
70	November 10, 2011 email chain between Vacco and P. Morabito RE: Baruk Properties, LLC/Paul Morabito/Bank of America, N.A.	Vol. 24, 3983–3985
71	Bayuk First Ledger	Vol. 24, 3986–3987
72	Amortization Schedule	Vol. 24, 3988–3990
73	Bayuk Second Ledger	Vol. 24, 3991–3993
74	Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Declaration of Edward Bayuk; Case No. 13-51237, ECF No. 146 (filed 10/03/2014)	Vol. 24, 3994–4053
75	March 30, 2012 email from Vacco to Bayuk RE: Letter to BOA	Vol. 24, 4054–4055

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
76	March 10, 2010 email chain between P. Morabito and jon@aim13.com RE: Strictly Confidential	Vol. 24, 4056–4056
77	May 20, 2010 email chain between P. Morabito, Vacco and Michael Pace RE: Proceed with placing a Binding Bid on June 22nd with ExxonMobil	Vol. 24, 4057–4057
78	Morabito Personal Financial Statement May 2010	Vol. 24, 4058–4059
79	June 28, 2010 email from P. Morabito to George Garner RE: ExxonMobil Chicago Market Business Plan Review	Vol. 24, 4060–4066
80	Shareholder Interest Purchase Agreement	Vol. 24, 4067–4071
81	Plan of Merger of Consolidated Western Corporation with and Into Superpumper, Inc.	Vol. 24, 4072–4075
82	Articles of Merger of Consolidated Western Corporation with and Into Superpumper, Inc.	Vol. 24, 4076–4077
83	Unanimous Written Consent of the Board of Directors and Sole Shareholder of Superpumper, Inc.	Vol. 24, 4078–4080
84	Unanimous Written Consent of the Directors and Shareholders of Consolidated Western Corporation	Vol. 24, 4081–4083
85	Arizona Corporation Commission Letter dated October 21, 2010	Vol. 24, 4084–4091
86	Nevada Articles of Merger	Vol. 24, 4092–4098
87	New York Creation of Snowshoe	Vol. 24, 4099–4103
88	April 26, 2012 email from Vacco to Afshar RE: Ownership Structure of SPI	Vol. 24, 4104–4106
90	September 30, 2010 Matrix Retention Agreement	Vol. 24, 4107–4110

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
91	McGovern Expert Report	Vol. 25, 4111–4189
92	Appendix B to McGovern Report – Source 4 – Budgets	Vol. 25, 4190–4191
103	Superpumper Note in the amount of \$1,462,213.00 (dated 11/01/2010)	Vol. 25, 4192–4193
104	Superpumper Successor Note in the amount of \$492,937.30 (dated 02/01/2011)	Vol. 25, 4194–4195
105	Superpumper Successor Note in the amount of \$939,000 (dated 02/01/2011)	Vol. 25, 4196–4197
106	Superpumper Stock Power transfers to S. Morabito and Bayuk (dated 01/01/2011)	Vol. 25, 4198–4199
107	Declaration of P. Morabito in Support of Opposition to Motion of JH, Inc., Jerry Herbst, and Berry- Hinckley Industries for Order Prohibiting Debtor from Using, Acquiring or Transferring Assets Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 303(f) Pending Appointment of Trustee, Case 13-51237, ECF No. 22 (filed 07/01/2013)	Vol. 25, 4200–4203
108	October 12, 2012 email between P. Morabito and Bernstein RE: 2011 Return	Vol. 25, 4204–4204
109	Compass Term Loan (dated 12/21/2016)	Vol. 25, 4205–4213
110	P. Morabito – Term Note in the amount of \$939,000.000 (dated 09/01/2010)	Vol. 25, 4214–4214
111	Loan Agreement between Compass Bank and Superpumper (dated 12/21/2016)	Vol. 25, 4215–4244
112	Consent Agreement (dated 12/28/2010)	Vol. 25, 4245–4249
113	Superpumper Financial Statement (dated 12/31/2007)	Vol. 25, 4250–4263

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
114	Superpumper Financial Statement (dated 12/31/2009)	Vol. 25, 4264–4276
115	Notes Receivable Interest Income Calculation (dated 12/31/2009)	Vol. 25, 4277–4278
116	Superpumper Inc. Audit Conclusions Memo (dated 12/31/2010)	Vol. 25, 4279–4284
117	Superpumper 2010 YTD Income Statement and Balance Sheets	Vol. 25, 4285–4299
118	March 12, 2010 Management Letter	Vol. 25, 4300–4302
119	Superpumper Unaudited August 2010 Balance Sheet	Vol. 25, 4303–4307
120	Superpumper Financial Statements (dated 12/31/2010)	Vol. 25, 4308–4322
121	Notes Receivable Balance as of September 30, 2010	Vol. 26, 4323
122	Salvatore Morabito Term Note \$2,563,542.00 as of December 31, 2010	Vol. 26, 4324–4325
123	Edward Bayuk Term Note \$2,580,500.00 as of December 31, 2010	Vol. 26, 4326–4327
125	April 21, 2011 Management letter	Vol. 26, 4328–4330
126	Bayuk and S. Morabito Statements of Assets & Liabilities as of February 1, 2011	Vol. 26, 4331–4332
127	January 6, 2012 email from Bayuk to Lovelace RE: Letter of Credit	Vol. 26, 4333–4335
128	January 6, 2012 email from Vacco to Bernstein	Vol. 26, 4336–4338
129	January 7, 2012 email from Bernstein to Lovelace	Vol. 26, 4339–4343
130	March 18, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Vacco	Vol. 26, 4344–4344

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
	,	
131	April 21, 2011 Proposed Acquisition of Nella Oil	Vol. 26, 4345–4351
132	April 15, 2011 email chain between P. Morabito and Vacco	Vol. 26, 4352
133	April 5, 2011 email from P. Morabito to Vacco	Vol. 26, 4353
134	April 16, 2012 email from Vacco to Morabito	Vol. 26, 4354–4359
135	August 7, 2011 email exchange between Vacco and P. Morabito	Vol. 26, 4360
136	August 2011 Lovelace letter to Timothy Halves	Vol. 26, 4361–4365
137	August 24, 2011 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Tim Haves	Vol. 26, 4366
138	November 11, 2011 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Getting Trevor's commitment to sign	Vol. 26, 4367
139	November 16, 2011 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Vacco's litigation letter	Vol. 26, 4368
140	November 28, 2011 email chain between Vacco, S. Morabito, and P. Morabito RE: \$560,000 wire to Lippes Mathias	Vol. 26, 4369–4370
141	December 7, 2011 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Moreno	Vol. 26, 4371
142	February 10, 2012 email chain between P. Morabito Wells, and Vacco RE: 1461 Glenneyre Street - Sale	Vol. 26, 4372–4375
143	April 20, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Bayuk RE: BofA	Vol. 26, 4376
144	April 24, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: SPI Loan Detail	Vol. 26, 4377–4378

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
145	September 4, 2012 email chain between Vacco and Bayuk RE: Second Deed of Trust documents	Vol. 26, 4379–4418
147	September 4, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Wire	Vol. 26, 4419–4422
148	September 4, 2012 email from Bayuk to Vacco RE: Wire	Vol. 26, 4423–4426
149	December 6, 2012 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: BOA and the path of money	Vol. 26, 4427–4428
150	September 18, 2012 email chain between P. Morabito and Bayuk	Vol. 26, 4429–4432
151	October 3, 2012 email chain between Vacco and P. Morabito RE: Snowshoe Properties, LLC	Vol. 26, 4433–4434
152	September 3, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Wire	Vol. 26, 4435
153	March 14, 2013 email chain between P. Morabito and Vacco RE: BHI Hinckley	Vol. 26, 4436
154	Paul Morabito 2009 Tax Return	Vol. 26, 4437–4463
155	Superpumper Form 8879-S tax year ended December 31, 2010	Vol. 26, 4464–4484
156	2010 U.S. S Corporation Tax Return for Consolidated Western Corporation	Vol. 27, 4485–4556
157	Snowshoe form 8879-S for year ended December 31, 2010	Vol. 27, 4557–4577
158	Snowshoe Form 1120S 2011 Amended Tax Return	Vol. 27, 4578–4655
159	September 14, 2012 email from Vacco to P. Morabito	Vol. 27, 4656–4657

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
160	October 1, 2012 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: Monday work for Dennis and Christian	Vol. 27, 4658
161	December 18, 2012 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Attorney Client Privileged Communication	Vol. 27, 4659
162	April 24, 2013 email from P. Morabito to Vacco RE: BHI Trust	Vol. 27, 4660
163	Membership Interest Purchases, Agreement – Watch My Block (dated 10/06/2010)	Vol. 27, 4661–4665
164	Watch My Block organizational documents	Vol. 27, 4666–4669
174	October 15, 2015 Certificate of Service of copy of Lippes Mathias Wexler Friedman's Response to Subpoena	Vol. 27, 4670
175	Order Granting Motion to Compel Responses to Deposition Questions ECF No. 502; Case No. 13-51237-gwz (filed 02/03/2016)	Vol. 27, 4671–4675
179	Gursey Schneider LLP Subpoena	Vol. 28, 4676–4697
180	Summary Appraisal of 570 Glenneyre	Vol. 28, 4698–4728
181	Appraisal of 1461 Glenneyre Street	Vol. 28, 4729–4777
182	Appraisal of 370 Los Olivos	Vol. 28, 4778–4804
183	Appraisal of 371 El Camino Del Mar	Vol. 28, 4805–4830
184	Appraisal of 1254 Mary Fleming Circle	Vol. 28, 4831–4859
185	Mortgage – Panorama	Vol. 28, 4860–4860
186	Mortgage – El Camino	Vol. 28, 4861
187	Mortgage – Los Olivos	Vol. 28, 4862
188	Mortgage – Glenneyre	Vol. 28, 4863

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
189	Mortgage – Mary Fleming	Vol. 28, 4864
190	Settlement Statement – 371 El Camino Del Mar	Vol. 28, 4865
191	Settlement Statement – 370 Los Olivos	Vol. 28, 4866
192	2010 Declaration of Value of 8355 Panorama Dr	Vol. 28, 4867–4868
193	Mortgage – 8355 Panorama Drive	Vol. 28, 4869–4870
194	Compass – Certificate of Custodian of Records (dated 12/21/2016)	Vol. 28, 4871–4871
196	June 6, 2014 Declaration of Sam Morabito – Exhibit 1 to Snowshoe Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction – filed in Case No. CV13-02663	Vol. 28, 4872–4874
197	June 19, 2014 Declaration of Sam Morabito – Exhibit 1 to Superpumper Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction – filed in Case No. CV13-02663	Vol. 28, 4875–4877
198	September 22, 2017 Declaration of Sam Morabito – Exhibit 22 to Defendants' SSOF in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff's MSJ – filed in Case No. CV13-02663	Vol. 28, 4878–4879
222	Kimmel – January 21, 2016, Comment on Alves Appraisal	Vol. 28, 4880–4883
223	September 20, 2010 email from Yalamanchili to Morabito	Vol. 28, 4884
224	March 24, 2011 email from Naz Afshar RE: telephone call regarding CWC	Vol. 28, 4885–4886
225	Bank of America Records for Edward Bayuk (dated 09/05/2012)	Vol. 28, 4887–4897

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
226	June 11, 2007 Wholesale Marketer Agreement	Vol. 29, 4898–4921
227	May 25, 2006 Wholesale Marketer Facility Development Incentive Program Agreement	Vol. 29, 4922–4928
228	June 2007 Master Lease Agreement – Spirit SPE Portfolio and Superpumper, Inc.	Vol. 29, 4929–4983
229	Superpumper Inc 2008 Financial Statement (dated 12/31/2008)	Vol. 29, 4984–4996
230	November 9, 2009 email from P. Morabito to Bernstein, Yalaman RE: Jan Friederich – entered into Consulting Agreement	Vol. 29, 4997
231	September 30, 2010, Letter from Compass to Superpumper, Morabito, CWC RE: reducing face amount of the revolving note	Vol. 29, 4998–5001
232	October 15, 2010, letter from Quarles & Brady to Vacco RE: Revolving Loan Documents and Term Loan Documents between Superpumper and Compass Bank	Vol. 29, 5002–5006
233	BMO Account Tracker Banking Report October 1 to October 31, 2010	Vol. 29, 5007–5013
235	August 31, 2010 Superpumper Inc., Valuation of 100 percent of the common equity in Superpumper, Inc on a controlling marketable basis	Vol. 29, 5014–5059
236	June 18, 2014 email from S. Morabito to Vanek (WF) RE: Analysis of Superpumper Acquisition in 2010	Vol. 29, 5060–5061
241	Superpumper March 2010 YTD Income Statement	Vol. 29, 5062–5076

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
244	Assignment Agreement for \$939,000 Morabito Note	Vol. 29, 5077–5079
247	July 1, 2011 Third Amendment to Forbearance Agreement Superpumper and Compass Bank	Vol. 29, 5080–5088
248	Superpumper Cash Contributions January 2010 thru September 2015 – Bayuk and S. Morabito	Vol. 29, 5089–5096
252	October 15, 2010 Letter from Quarles & Brady to Vacco RE: Revolving Loan documents and Term Loan documents between Superpumper Prop. and Compass Bank	Vol. 29, 5097–5099
254	Bank of America – S. Morabito SP Properties Sale, SP Purchase Balance	Vol. 29, 5100
255	Superpumper Prop. Final Closing Statement for 920 Mountain City Hwy, Elko, NV	Vol. 29, 5101
256	September 30, 2010 Raffles Insurance Limited Member Summary	Vol. 29, 5102
257	Equalization Spreadsheet	Vol. 30, 5103
258	November 9, 2005 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed; Doc #3306300 for Property Washoe County	Vol. 30, 5104–5105
260	January 7, 2016 Budget Summary – Panorama Drive	Vol. 30, 5106–5107
261	Mary 22, 2006 Compilation of Quotes and Invoices Quote of Valley Drapery	Vol. 30, 5108–5116
262	Photos of 8355 Panorama Home	Vol. 30, 5117–5151
263	Water Rights Deed (Document #4190152) between P. Morabito, E. Bayuk, Grantors, RCA Trust One Grantee (recorded 12/31/2012)	Vol. 30, 5152–5155

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
265	October 1, 2010 Bank of America Wire Transfer –Bayuk – Morabito \$60,117	Vol. 30, 5156
266	October 1, 2010 Check #2354 from Bayuk to P. Morabito for \$29,383 for 8355 Panorama funding	Vol. 30, 5157–5158
268	October 1, 2010 Check #2356 from Bayuk to P. Morabito for \$12,763 for 370 Los Olivos Funding	Vol. 30, 5159–5160
269	October 1, 2010 Check #2357 from Bayuk to P. Morabito for \$31,284 for 371 El Camino Del Mar Funding	Vol. 30, 5161–5162
270	Bayuk Payment Ledger Support Documents Checks and Bank Statements	Vol. 31, 5163–5352
271	Bayuk Superpumper Contributions	Vol. 31, 5353–5358
272	May 14, 2012 email string between P. Morabito, Vacco, Bayuk, and S. Bernstein RE: Info for Laguna purchase	Vol. 31, 5359–5363
276	September 21, 2010 Appraisal of 8355 Panorama Drive Reno, NV by Alves Appraisal	Vol. 32, 5364–5400
277	Assessor's Map/Home Caparisons for 8355 Panorama Drive, Reno, NV	Vol. 32, 5401–5437
278	December 3, 2007 Case Docket for CV07-02764	Vol. 32, 5438–5564
280	May 25, 2011 Stipulation Regarding the Imposition of Punitive Damages; Case No. CV07-02764 (filed 05/25/2011)	Vol. 33, 5565–5570
281	Work File for September 24, 2010 Appraisal of 8355 Panorama Drive, Reno, NV	Vol. 33, 5571–5628
283	January 25, 2016 Expert Witness Report Leonard v. Superpumper Snowshoe	Vol. 33, 5629–5652

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
284	February 29, 2016 Defendants' Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure	Vol. 33, 5653–5666
294	October 5, 2010 Lippes, Mathias Wexler Friedman, LLP, Invoices to P. Morabito	Vol. 33, 5667–5680
295	P. Morabito 2010 Tax Return (dated 10/16/2011)	Vol. 33, 5681–5739
296	December 31, 2010 Superpumper Inc. Note to Financial Statements	Vol. 33, 5740–5743
297	December 31, 2010 Superpumper Consultations	Vol. 33, 5744
300	September 20, 2010 email chain between Yalmanchili and Graber RE: Attorney Client Privileged Communication	Vol. 33, 5745–5748
301	September 15, 2010 email from Vacco to P. Morabito RE: Tomorrow	Vol. 33, 5749–5752
303	Bankruptcy Court District of Nevada Claims Register Case No. 13-51237	Vol. 33, 5753–5755
304	April 14, 2018 email from Allen to Krausz RE: Superpumper	Vol. 33, 5756–5757
305	Subpoena in a Case Under the Bankruptcy Code to Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust issued in Case No. BK-N-13-51237-GWZ	Vol. 33, 5758–5768
306	August 30, 2018 letter to Mark Weisenmiller, Esq., from Frank Gilmore, Esq.,	Vol. 34, 5769
307	Order Granting Motion to Compel Compliance with the Subpoena to Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust filed in Case No. BK-N-13-51237-GWZ	Vol. 34, 5770–5772
308	Response of Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust's to Subpoena filed in Case No. BK-N-13-51237-GWZ	Vol. 34, 5773–5797

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits	s to Clerk's Trial Exhibit List (cont.)	
309	Declaration of Frank C. Gilmore in support of Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust's Opposition to Motion for Order Holding Robison in Contempt filed in Case No. BK-N-13-51237-GWZ	Vol. 34, 5798–5801
Minutes 11/08/20	of October 29, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 1 (filed 018)	Vol. 35, 5802–6041
Transcri	pt of October 29, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 1	Vol. 35, 6042–6045
Minutes 11/08/20	of October 30, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 2 (filed 018)	Vol. 36, 6046–6283
Transcri	pt of October 30, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 2	Vol. 36, 6284–6286
Minutes 11/08/20	of October 31, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 3 (filed 018)	Vol. 37, 6287–6548
Transcri	pt of October 31, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 3	Vol. 37, 6549–6552
Minutes 11/08/20	of November 1, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 4 (filed 018)	Vol. 38, 6553–6814
Transcri	pt of November 1, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 4	Vol. 38, 6815–6817
Minutes 11/08/20	of November 2, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 5 (filed 018)	Vol. 39, 6818–7007
Transcri	pt of November 2, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 5	Vol. 39, 7008–7011
Minutes 11/08/20	of November 5, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 6 (filed 018)	Vol. 40, 7012–7167
Transcri	pt of November 5, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 6	Vol. 40, 7168–7169

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Minutes 11/08/20	of November 6, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 7 (filed 18)	Vol. 41, 7170–7269
Transcri	ot of November 6, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 7	Vol. 41, 7270–7272 Vol. 42, 7273–7474
Minutes 11/08/20	of November 7, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 8 (filed 18)	Vol. 43, 7475–7476
Transcrip	pt of November 7, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 8	Vol. 43, 7477–7615
	of November 26, 2018, Non-Jury Trial, Day 9 /26/2018)	Vol. 44, 7616
_	ot of November 26, 2018, Non-Jury Trial – Closing nts, Day 9	Vol. 44, 7617–7666 Vol. 45, 7667–7893
Plaintiff'	s Motion to Reopen Evidence (filed 01/30/2019)	Vol. 46, 7894–7908
Exhibits	to Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Evidence	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Gabrielle A. Hamm, Esq. in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen	Vol. 46, 7909–7913
1-A	September 21, 2017 Declaration of Salvatore Morabito	Vol. 46, 7914–7916
1-B	Defendants' Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment (Nov. 26, 2018)	Vol. 46, 7917–7957
1-C	Judgment on the First and Second Causes of Action; Case No. 15-05019-GWZ (Bankr. D. Nev.), ECF No. 123 (April 30, 2018)	Vol. 46, 7958–7962

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Exhibits (cont.)	to Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Evidence	
1-D	Amended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Judgment Regarding Plaintiffs' First and Second Causes of Action; Case No. 15- 05019-GWZ (Bankr. D. Nev.), ECF No. 126 (April 30, 2018)	Vol. 46, 7963–7994
1-E	Motion to Compel Compliance with the Subpoena to Robison Sharp Sullivan Brust; Case No. 15-05019-GWZ (Bankr. D. Nev.), ECF No. 191 (Sept. 10, 2018)	Vol. 46, 7995–8035
1-F	Order Granting Motion to Compel Compliance with the Subpoena to Robison Sharp Sullivan Brust; Case No. 15-05019-GWZ (Bankr. D. Nev.), ECF No. 229 (Jan. 3, 2019)	Vol. 46, 8036–8039
1-G	Response of Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust[] To Subpoena (including RSSB_000001 - RSSB_000031) (Jan. 18, 2019)	Vol. 46, 8040–8067
1-H	Excerpts of Deposition Transcript of Sam Morabito as PMK of Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc. (Oct. 1, 2015)	Vol. 46, 8068–8076
Errata to 01/30/20	e: Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Evidence (filed 19)	Vol. 47, 8077–8080
Exhibit Evidence	to Errata to: Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Evidence	Vol. 47, 8081–8096

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Motion	Motion for Order Shortening Time on Plaintiff's to Reopen Evidence and for Expedited Hearing /31/2019)	Vol. 47, 8097–8102
	hortening Time on Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen e and for Expedited Hearing (filed 02/04/2019)	Vol. 47, 8103–8105
Supplem 02/04/20	ent to Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Evidence (filed 19)	Vol. 47, 8106–8110
Exhibits Evidenc	to Supplement to Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Supplemental Declaration of Gabrielle A. Hamm, Esq. in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Evidence (filed 02/04/2019)	Vol. 47, 8111–8113
1-I	Declaration of Frank C. Gilmore in Support of Robison, Sharp Sullivan & Brust's Opposition to Motion for Order Holding Robison in Contempt; Case No. 15-05019-GWZ (Bankr. D. Nev.), ECF No. 259 (Jan. 30, 2019)	Vol. 47, 8114–8128
Defenda: (02/06/2	nts' Response to Motion to Reopen Evidence 019)	Vol. 47, 8129–8135
	s's Reply to Defendants' Response to Motion to Evidence (filed 02/07/2019)	Vol. 47, 8136–8143
	of February 7, 2019 hearing on Motion to Reopen e (filed 02/28/2019)	Vol. 47, 8144
_	Oraft Transcript of February 8, 2019 hearing on o Reopen Evidence	Vol. 47, 8145–8158

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
_	s's Proposed] Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Judgment (filed 03/06/2019)	Vol. 47, 8159–8224
	ants' Proposed Amended] Findings of Fact, ons of Law, and Judgment (filed 03/08/2019)	Vol. 47, 8225–8268
	of February 26, 2019 hearing on Motion to ongoing Non-Jury Trial (Telephonic) (filed 19)	Vol. 47, 8269
Findings 03/29/20	of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment (filed 19)	Vol. 48, 8270–8333
	f Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, ment (filed 03/29/2019)	Vol. 48, 8334–8340
Memorar 04/11/20	· ·	Vol. 48, 8341–8347
Exhibit	to Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Ledger of Costs	Vol. 48, 8348–8370
	ion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to 8 (filed 04/12/2019)	Vol. 48, 8371–8384
	to Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs to NRCP 68	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz In Support of Plaintiff's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRCP 68 (filed 04/12/2019)	Vol. 48, 8385–8390
2	Plaintiff's Offer of Judgment to Defendants (dated 05/31/2016)	Vol. 48, 8391–8397

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
3	Defendant's Rejection of Offer of Judgment by Plaintiff (dated 06/15/2016)	Vol. 48, 8398–8399
4	Log of time entries from June 1, 2016 to March 28, 2019	Vol. 48, 8400–8456
5	Plaintiff's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements (filed 04/11/2019)	Vol. 48, 8457–8487
Motion t	o Retax Costs (filed 04/15/2019)	Vol. 49, 8488–8495
Plaintiff' 04/17/20	's Opposition to Motion to Retax Costs (filed 19)	Vol. 49, 8496–8507
Exhibits Costs	to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Retax	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Teresa M. Pilatowicz In Support of Opposition to Motion to Retax Costs (filed 04/17/2019)	Vol. 49, 8508–8510
2	Summary of Photocopy Charges	Vol. 49, 8511–8523
3	James L. McGovern Curriculum Vitae	Vol. 49, 8524–8530
4	McGovern & Greene LLP Invoices	Vol. 49, 8531–8552
5	Buss-Shelger Associates Invoices	Vol. 49, 8553–8555
Reply is 04/22/20	n Support of Motion to Retax Costs (filed 119)	Vol. 49, 8556–8562
	on to Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs to NRCP 68 (filed 04/25/2019)	Vol. 49, 8563–8578
	to Opposition to Application for Attorneys' Fees ts Pursuant to NRCP 68	

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Plaintiff's Bill Dispute Ledger	Vol. 49, 8579–8637
Inc., and to Alter of	nts, Salvatore Morabito, Snowshoe Petroleum, Superpumper, Inc.'s Motion for New Trial and/or or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 52, 59, and 04/25/2019)	Vol. 49, 8638–8657
to Alter of	nt, Edward Bayuk's Motion for New Trial and/or or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 52, 59, and 04/26/2019)	Vol. 50, 8658–8676
	to Edward Bayuk's Motion for New Trial o Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP nd 60	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	February 27, 2019 email with attachments	Vol. 50, 8677–8768
2	Declaration of Frank C. Gilmore in Support of Edward Bayuk's Motion for New Trial (filed 04/26/2019)	Vol. 50, 8769–8771
3	February 27, 2019 email from Marcy Trabert	Vol. 50, 8772–8775
4	February 27, 2019 email from Frank Gilmore to eturner@Gtg.legal RE: Friday Trial	Vol. 50, 8776–8777
	s Reply in Support of Application of Attorneys' Costs Pursuant to NRCP 68 (filed 04/30/2019)	Vol. 50, 8778–8790
	to Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Application of ys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRCP 68	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Case No. BK-13-51237-GWZ, ECF Nos. 280, 282, and 321	Vol. 50, 8791–8835

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
	s Opposition to Defendants' Motions for New /or to Alter or Amend Judgment (filed 05/07/2019)	Vol. 51, 8836–8858
Inc., and for New	nts, Salvatore Morabito, Snowshoe Petroleum, Superpumper, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Motion Trial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant 52, 59, and 60 (filed 05/14/2019)	Vol. 51, 8859–8864
	ion of Edward Bayuk Claiming Exemption from n (filed 06/28/2019)	Vol. 51, 8865–8870
	to Declaration of Edward Bayuk Claiming on from Execution	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Copy of June 22, 2019 Notice of Execution and two Write of Executions	Vol. 51, 8871–8896
2	Declaration of James Arthur Gibbons Regarding his Attestation, Witness and Certification on November 12, 2005 of the Spendthrift Trust Amendment to the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust (dated 06/25/2019)	Vol. 51, 8897–8942
Notice 0 06/28/20	of Claim of Exemption from Execution (filed 19)	Vol. 51, 8943–8949
	Bayuk's Declaration of Salvatore Morabito Exemption from Execution (filed 07/02/2019)	Vol. 51, 8950–8954
	to Declaration of Salvatore Morabito Claiming on from Execution	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Las Vegas June 22, 2019 letter	Vol. 51, 8955–8956
2	Writs of execution and the notice of execution	Vol. 51, 8957–8970

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	of June 24, 2019 telephonic hearing on Decision on ed Motions (filed 07/02/2019)	Vol. 51, 8971–8972
	e Morabito's Notice of Claim of Exemption from n (filed 07/02/2019)	Vol. 51, 8973–8976
	Bayuk's Third Party Claim to Property Levied RS 31.070 (filed 07/03/2019)	Vol. 51, 8977–8982
	ranting Plaintiff's Application for an Award of s' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRCP 68 (filed 19)	Vol. 51, 8983–8985
	ranting in part and Denying in part Motion to Retax led 07/10/2019)	Vol. 51, 8986–8988
Executio Upon, an	s Objection to (1) Claim of Exemption from n and (2) Third Party Claim to Property Levied d Request for Hearing Pursuant to NRS 21.112 and (filed 07/11/2019)	Vol. 52, 8989–9003
Exempti to Prop	to Plaintiff's Objection to (1) Claim of ion from Execution and (2) Third Party Claim erty Levied Upon, and Request for Hearing it to NRS 21.112 and 31.070(5)	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Gabrielle A. Hamm, Esq.	Vol. 52, 9004–9007
2	11/30/2011 Tolling Agreement – Edward Bayuk	Vol. 52, 9008–9023
3	11/30/2011 Tolling Agreement – Edward William Bayuk Living Trust	Vol. 52, 9024–9035
4	Excerpts of 9/28/2015 Deposition of Edward Bayuk	Vol. 52, 9036–9041

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	s to Plaintiff's Objection (cont.)	
5	Edward Bayuk, as Trustee of the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production, served 9/24/2015	Vol. 52, 9042–9051
6	8/26/2009 Grant Deed (Los Olivos)	Vol. 52, 9052–9056
7	8/17/2018 Grant Deed (El Camino)	Vol. 52, 9057–9062
8	Trial Ex. 4 (Confession of Judgment)	Vol. 52, 9063–9088
9	Trial Ex. 45 (Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated 9/28/2010)	Vol. 52, 9089–9097
10	Trial Ex. 46 (First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated 9/29/2010)	Vol. 52, 9098–9100
11	Trial Ex. 51 (Los Olivos Grant Deed recorded 10/8/2010)	Vol. 52, 9101–9103
12	Trial Ex. 52 (El Camino Grant Deed recorded 10/8/2010)	Vol. 52, 9104–9106
13	Trial Ex. 61 (Membership Interest Transfer Agreement, dated 10/1/2010)	Vol. 52, 9107–9114
14	Trial Ex. 62 (\$1,617,050.00 Promissory Note)	Vol. 52, 9115–9118
15	Trial Ex. 65 (Mary Fleming Grant Deed recorded 11/4/2010)	Vol. 52, 9119–9121
	f Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motions for ial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment (filed 119)	Vol. 52, 9122–9124

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Defenda	to Notice of Entry of Order Denying nts' Motions for New Trial and/or to Alter or Judgment	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying Defendants' Motions for New Trial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment (filed 07/10/2019)	Vol. 52, 9125–9127
for an A	f Entry of Order Granting Plaintiff's Application ward of Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to 8 (filed 07/16/2019)	Vol. 52, 9128–9130
Applicat	to Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiff's tion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs to NRCP 68	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Granting Plaintiff's Application for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRCP 68 (filed 07/10/2019)	Vol. 52, 9131–9134
	f Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in ion to Retax Costs (filed 07/16/2019)	Vol. 52, 9135–9137
	to Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and in Part Motion to Retax Costs	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Retax Costs (filed 07/10/2019)	Vol. 52, 9138–9141
Executio	s Objection to Notice of Claim of Exemption from n Filed by Salvatore Morabito and Request for (filed 07/16/2019)	Vol. 52, 9142–9146
	Objection to Claim of Exemption and Third Party Property Levied Upon (filed 07/17/2019)	Vol. 52, 9147–9162

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
	to Reply to Objection to Claim of Exemption rd Party Claim to Property Levied Upon	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	March 3, 2011 Deposition Transcript of P. Morabito	Vol. 52, 9163–9174
2	Mr. Bayuk's September 23, 2014 responses to Plaintiff's first set of requests for production	Vol. 52, 9175–9180
3	September 28, 2015 Deposition Transcript of Edward Bayuk	Vol. 52, 9181–9190
1 0	o Plaintiff's Objection to Notice of Claim of on from Execution (filed 07/18/2019)	Vol. 52, 9191–9194
	ion of Service of Till Tap, Notice of Attachment Upon Property (filed 07/29/2019)	Vol. 52, 9195
	f Submission of Disputed Order Denying Claim of on and Third Party Claim (filed 08/01/2019)	Vol. 52, 9196–9199
	to Notice of Submission of Disputed Order Claim of Exemption and Third Party Claim	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Plaintiff's Proposed Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim	Vol. 52, 9200–9204
2	Bayuk and the Bayuk Trust's proposed Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim	Vol. 52, 9205–9210
3	July 30, 2019 email evidencing Bayuk, through counsel Jeffrey Hartman, Esq., requesting until noon on July 31, 2019 to provide comments.	Vol. 52, 9211–9212

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	
Exhibits (cont.)	to Notice of Submission of Disputed Order		
4	July 31, 2019 email from Teresa M. Pilatowicz, Esq. Bayuk failed to provide comments at noon on July 31, 2019, instead waiting until 1:43 p.m. to send a redline version with proposed changes after multiple follow ups from Plaintiff's counsel on July 31, 2019	Vol. 52, 9213–9219	
5	A true and correct copy of the original Order and Bayuk Changes	Vol. 52, 9220–9224	
6	A true and correct copy of the redline run by Plaintiff accurately reflecting Bayuk's proposed changes	Vol. 52, 9225–9229	
7	Email evidencing that after review of the proposed revisions, Plaintiff advised Bayuk, through counsel, that Plaintiff agree to certain proposed revisions, but the majority of the changes were unacceptable as they did not reflect the Court's findings or evidence before the Court.		
_	n to Plaintiff's Proposed Order Denying Claim of on and Third Party Claim (filed 08/01/2019)	Vol. 53, 9237–9240	
Exhibits to Objection to Plaintiff's Proposed Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim			
Exhibit	Document Description		
1	Plaintiff's Proposed Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim	Vol. 53, 9241–9245	
2	Defendant's comments on Findings of Fact	Vol. 53, 9246–9247	
3	Defendant's Proposed Order Denying Claim of Vol. 53, 9248–9252 Exemption and Third-Party Claim		

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	of July 22, 2019 hearing on Objection to Claim for on (filed 08/02/2019)	Vol. 53, 9253
Order De	enying Claim of Exemption (filed 08/02/2019)	Vol. 53, 9254–9255
Bayuk's	Case Appeal Statement (filed 08/05/2019)	Vol. 53, 9256–9260
Bayuk's	Notice of Appeal (filed 08/05/2019)	Vol. 53, 9261–9263
Morabito	nts, Superpumper, Inc., Edward Bayuk, Salvatore o; and Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s, Case Appeal at (filed 08/05/2019)	Vol. 53, 9264–9269
Defendants, Superpumper, Inc., Edward Bayuk, Salvatore Morabito; and Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc.'s, Notice of Appeal (filed 08/05/2019)		Vol. 53, 9270–9273
Bayuk,	to Defendants, Superpumper, Inc., Edward Salvatore Morabito; and Snowshoe Petroleum, otice of Appeal	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment (filed 03/29/2019)	Vol. 53, 9274–9338
2	Order Denying Defendants' Motions for New Trial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment (filed 07/10/2019)	
3	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Retax Costs (filed 07/10/2019)	Vol. 53, 9342–9345
4	Order Granting Plaintiff's Application for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRCP 68 (filed 07/10/2019)	Vol. 53, 9346–9349

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
	s Reply to Defendants' Objection to Plaintiff's l Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Thirdnim	Vol. 53, 9350–9356
Order De (08/09/20	enying Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim 019)	Vol. 53, 9357–9360
	f Entry of Order Denying Claim of Exemption and rty Claim (filed 08/09/2019)	Vol. 53, 9361–9364
	to Notice of Entry of Order Denying Claim of on and Third-Party Claim	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim (08/09/2019)	Vol. 53, 9365–9369
	of Entry of Order Denying Claim of Exemption (12/2019)	Vol. 53, 9370–9373
Exhibit to Notice of Entry of Order Denying Claim of Exemption		
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying Claim of Exemption (08/02/2019)	Vol. 53, 9374–9376
Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, in the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration (filed 08/19/2019)		Vol. 54, 9377–9401
Findings	to Motion to Make Amended or Additional Under NRCP 52(b), or, in the Alternative, for Reconsideration	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third Party Claim (filed 08/09/19)	Vol. 54, 9402–9406

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits	to Motion to Make Amended (cont.)	
2	Spendthrift Trust Amendment to the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust (dated 11/12/05)	Vol. 54, 9407–9447
3	Spendthrift Trust Agreement for the Arcadia Living Trust (dated 10/14/05)	Vol. 54, 9448–9484
4	Fifth Amendment and Restatement of the Trust Agreement for the Arcadia Living Trust (dated 09/30/10)	Vol. 54, 9485–9524
5	P. Morabito's Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosures (dated 03/01/11)	Vol. 54, 9525–9529
6	Transcript of March 3, 2011 Deposition of P. Morabito	Vol. 55, 9530–9765
7	Documents Conveying Real Property	Vol. 56, 9766–9774
8	Transcript of July 22, 2019 Hearing	Vol. 56, 9775–9835
9	Tolling Agreement JH and P. Morabito (partially executed 11/30/11)	Vol. 56, 9836–9840
10	Tolling Agreement JH and Arcadia Living Trust (partially executed 11/30/11)	Vol. 56, 9841–9845
11	Excerpted Pages 8–9 of Superpumper Judgment (filed 03/29/19)	Vol. 56, 9846–9848
12	Petitioners' First Set of Interrogatories to Debtor (dated 08/13/13)	Vol. 56, 9849–9853
13	Tolling Agreement JH and Edward Bayuk (partially executed 11/30/11)	Vol. 56, 9854–9858
14	Tolling Agreement JH and Bayuk Trust (partially executed 11/30/11)	Vol. 56, 9859–9863
15	Declaration of Mark E. Lehman, Esq. (dated 03/21/11)	Vol. 56, 9864–9867

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		<u>LOCATION</u>
Exhibits to Motion to Make Amended (cont.)		
16	Excerpted Transcript of October 20, 2015 Deposition of Dennis C. Vacco	Vol. 56, 9868–9871
17	Assignment and Assumption Agreement (dated 07/03/07)	Vol. 56, 9872–9887
18	Order Denying Morabito's Claim of Exemption (filed 08/02/19)	Vol. 56, 9888–9890
Under N	Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings JRCP 52(b), or, in the Alternative, Motion for deration (filed 08/20/2019)	Vol. 57, 9891–9893
Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, In the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration, and Countermotion for Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 7.085 (filed 08/30/2019)		Vol. 57, 9894–9910
Errata to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, In the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration, and Countermotion for Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 7.085 (filed 08/30/2019)		Vol. 57, 9911–9914
Exhibits to Errata to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, In the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration, and Countermotion for Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 7.085		
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Gabrielle A. Hamm, Esq.	Vol. 57, 9915–9918
2	Plaintiff's Amended NRCP 16.1 Disclosures (February 19, 2016)	Vol. 57, 9919–9926

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>		
Exhibits	s to Errata (cont.)			
3	Plaintiff's Fourth Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosures (November 15, 2016)	Vol. 57, 9927–9930		
4	Plaintiff's Fifth Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosures (December 21, 2016)	Vol. 57, 9931–9934		
5	Plaintiff's Sixth Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosures (March 20, 2017)	Vol. 57, 9935–9938		
Addition Alternati	n Support of Motion to Make Amended or nal Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, In the ive, Motion for Reconsideration, and motion for Fees and Costs (filed 09/04/2019)	Vol. 57, 9939–9951		
Amende or, In th	s to Reply in Support of Motion to Make ed or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), ne Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration, and emotion for Fees and Costs			
Exhibit	Document Description			
19	Notice of Submission of Disputed Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third Party Claim (filed 08/01/19)			
20	Notice of Submission of Disputed Order Denying Claim of Exemption and Third Party Claim (filed 08/01/19)			
Addition Alternati Plaintiff	enying Defendants' Motion to Make Amended or nal Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, in the ive, Motion for Reconsideration and Denying 's Countermotion for Fees and Costs Pursuant to 85 (filed 11/08/2019)	Vol. 57, 10011–10019		
Bayuk's	Case Appeal Statement (filed 12/06/2019)	Vol. 57, 10020–10026		

	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	<u>LOCATION</u>
Bayuk's	Notice of Appeal (filed 12/06/2019)	Vol. 57, 10027–10030
Exhibits	to Bayuk's Notice of Appeal	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Denying [Morabito's] Claim of Exemption (filed 08/02/19)	Vol. 57, 10031–10033
2	Order Denying [Bayuk's] Claim of Exemption and Third Party Claim (filed 08/09/19)	Vol. 57, 10034–10038
3	Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, in the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration and Denying Plaintiff's Countermotion for Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 7.085 (filed 11/08/19)	
Make Ar or, in the Denying	of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to mended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration and Plaintiff's Countermotion for Fees and Costs at to NRS 7.085 (filed 12/23/2019)	Vol. 57, 10049–10052
Exhibit to Notice of Entry of Order		
Exhibit	Document Description	
A	Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Make Amended or Additional Findings Under NRCP 52(b), or, in the Alternative, Motion for Reconsideration and Denying Plaintiff's Countermotion for Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 7.085 (filed 11/08/19)	Vol. 57, 10053–10062

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		<u>LOCATION</u>
District Court Docket Case No. CV13-02663		Vol. 57, 10063–10111
Notice of Claim of Exemption and Third-Party Claim to Property Levied Upon, Case No. CV13-02663 (filed 08/25/2020)		,
	to Notice of Claim of Exemption and Third- aim to Property Levied Upon	
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Writ of Execution, Case No. CV13-02663 (filed 07/21/2020)	Vol. 58, 10123–10130
2	Superior Court of California, Orange County Docket, Case No. 30-2019-01068591-CU-EN-CJC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	Spendthrift Trust Amendment to the Edward William Bayuk Living Trust (dated 11/12/2005)	Vol. 58, 10140–10190

FILED Electronically CV13-02663

CASE NO. CV13-02663

TITLE: WILLIAM A. LEONARD, Trustee for the Bankruptcy Jacqueline Bryant Estate of Paul Anthony Morabito VS. SUPERPUMPER, INC. Clerk of the Court EDWARD BAYUK, EDWARD WILLIAM BAYUK LIVING TRUST action # 6969656 SALVATORE MORABITO and SNOWSHOE PETROLEUM, INC.

DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF **PAGE ONE**

COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES-HEARING CONT'D TO

11/7/18 HONORABLE CONNIE DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) J. Kernan (Reporter)

NON-JURY TRIAL – DAY EIGHT Plaintiff William A. Leonard, Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of Paul Anthony Morabito, present with counsel, Teresa Pilatowicz, Esq., Erika Turner, Esq., and STEINHEIMER Gabrielle Hamm, Esq. Defendant Edward Bayuk present, individually and as representative for Edward William Bayuk Living Trust, Superpumper, Inc., and Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc., and Defendant Salvatore Morabito present, individually and as representative for Superpumper, Inc., and Snowshoe Petroleum, Inc., with counsel, Frank Gilmore, Esq.

Chris Kemper, Esq., counsel for the Herbst Family present in the gallery. 9:05 a.m. Court convened.

Jennifer Prokop, heretofore sworn, resumed stand to read the deposition testimony of Stanton Bernstein and Michael Sewitz.

***Depositions of Stanton Bernstein dated May 17, 2017 opened and published. Designated portions read into the record. Respective counsel advised the Court of the number of the trial exhibit that correlates with the deposition exhibits.

EXHIBIT 296 offered by counsel Gilmore; stipulation by counsel Pilatowicz; ordered admitted into evidence.

Respective counsel stipulated regarding the Mark Justmann appraisal as follows: The date of Mr. Justman's appraisal is the date the appraisal was delivered; the date of valuation is the appraisal's effective date; and to the date of inspection. Those dates are reflected in trial exhibits 180 – 184.

***Depositions of Michael Sewitz previously opened and published. Designated portions read into the record. Respective counsel advised the Court of the number of the trial exhibit that correlates with the deposition exhibits.

EXHIBIT 261 (Exhibit 1 to deposition of Michael Sewitz) offered by counsel Gilmore; no objection by counsel Pilatowicz; ordered admitted into evidence.

EXHIBIT 236 (Testimony of Dennis Vacco) offered by counsel Gilmore; no objection by counsel Pilatowicz; ordered admitted into evidence.

EXHIBIT 247 (Testimony of Salvatore Morabito) offered by counsel Gilmore; no objection by counsel Pilatowicz; ordered admitted into evidence.

EXHIBIT 295 (Testimony of Stanton Bernstein) offered by counsel Gilmore; no objection by counsel Pilatowicz; ordered admitted into evidence.

Defendants' rested.

CASE NO. CV13-02663 TITLE: WILLIAM A. LEONARD, Trustee for the Bankruptcy

Estate of Paul Anthony Morabito VS. SUPERPUMPER, INC., EDWARD BAYUK, EDWARD WILLIAM BAYUK LIVING TRUST, SALVATORE MORABITO and SNOWSHOE PETROLEUM, INC.

DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF

PAGE TWO

trial shall be returned to the party that marked the exhibit.

COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES-HEARING	CONT'D TO

11/7/18
J. Kernan
(Reporter)
Plaintiff had no rebuttal evidence to present.

11/26/18
The Clerk reviewed the admitted exhibits for the record.

EXHIBIT 148 re-offered by counsel Pilatowicz; standing objection by counsel Non-Jury Turner; ordered admitted into evidence over objection.

COURT ENTERED ORDER that all exhibits that were not offered during this Arguments

10:57 a.m. Court recessed until 9:00 a.m. on November 26, 2018, wherein closing arguments will be presented.

```
4185
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
     SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
 7
                  IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
 8
        THE HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE
 9
                             ---000---
10
     WILLIAM A. LEONARD, Trustee)
11
     for the Bankruptcy Estate )
     of Paul Anthony Morabito,
                                      Case No. CV13-02663
12
                                      Dept. No. 4
13
                  Plaintiff,
           vs.
14
                                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
     SUPERPUMPER, INC., et al., )
15
                  Defendants.
16
17
                           NONJURY TRIAL
                  NOVEMBER 7, 2018, RENO, NEVADA
18
     APPEARANCES:
                            GARMAN, TURNER, GORDON, LLP
     For the Plaintiff:
19
                            Attorneys at Law
20
                            By: Erika Pike Turner, Esq.
                            By: Teresa Pilatowicz, Esq.
                            By: Gabrielle A. Hamm, Esq.
21
                            650 White Drive
22
                            Suite 100
                            Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
23
     The Plaintiff:
                            WILLIAM A. LEONARD, Trustee
24
     Reported by:
                         JULIE ANN KERNAN, CCR #427, CP, RPR
```

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018

1	CONTINUATION OF APPEA	Page 2
2	For the Defendants:	ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST Attorneys at Law
3		By: Frank C. Gilmore, Esq. 71 Washington Street
4		Reno, Nevada 89503
5	The Defendant:	EDWARD BAYUK SALVATORE MORABITO
6		DIEVITORE PORTE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
1		

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

1	Page 3
2	EXAMINATION DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	For the Defendants:
4	THE WITNESS: STANTON R. BERNSTEIN, C.P.A.
5	By Mr. Gilmore 5
6	THE WITNESS: MICHAEL SEWITZ
7	By Mr. Gilmore 66
8	
9	
10	EXHIBITS MARKED ADMITTED
11	Exhibit 296 20
12	Exhibit 291 93
13	Exhibit 236 95
14	Exhibit 247 97
15	Exhibit 9 97
16	Exhibit 148 101
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
1	

1	Page 4 RENO, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2018; 9:05 A.M.
2	000
3	
4	THE COURT: Good morning. I understand we had
5	some elves come and remove some documents.
6	MR. GILMORE: It looks like.
7	THE COURT: Always thankful for that.
8	So are we ready to go forward?
9	MR. GILMORE: Your Honor, we are.
10	THE COURT: You may continue.
11	MR. GILMORE: The next reading will be Stanton
12	Bernstein. We ask that the deposition transcript be
13	open and published.
14	COURT CLERK: I have to open this one to get
15	to it. Deposition of Stanton Bernstein dated May 17th,
16	2017, opened and published.
17	THE COURT: Ma'am, I am going to remind you
18	you're still under oath. Welcome back.
19	MS. PROKOP: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: And we'll start with her name on
21	the record just so the record's clear.
22	MS. PROKOP: It's Jennifer Prokop,
23	P-r-o-k-o-p.
24	THE COURT: You may proceed.

1	j	Page 5 MR. GILMORE: Thank you. Ms. Prokop, if you
2	would open	to the Stan Bernstein deposition transcript
3	dated May	17, 2017, commencing at 10:06 a.m. Are you
4	there?	
5	j	MS. PROKOP: Yes.
6	j	MR. GILMORE: We'll commence on page 5, line
7	22.	
8	BY MR. GIL	MORE:
9	Q	"Mr. Bernstein, can you state and spell your
10	name for t	he record?
11	A	Stanton Bernstein, S-t-a-n-t-o-n; Bernstein,
12	B-e-r-n-s-	t-e-i-n.
13	Q	Page 21, line 5. "What kind of work do you
14	currently	do?
15	A	I do general accounting, tax work and general
16	accounting	. I do not do audits.
17	Q	Have you ever done audits?
18	А	Too many years ago.
19	Q	Is there a reason you stopped?
20	A	I don't like them.
21	Q	How long ago did you stop?
22	А	16-ish years ago I'm going to say.
23	Q	When were you first introduced to Paul
24	Morabito?	

1	А	Page 6 I'm going to say 1999, 2000.
2	Q	How did you first meet?
3	А	I acquired a practice and he was part of the
4	acquisitio	ons at the time.
5	Q	Was it him individually or also entities he
6	was affil:	iated with?
7	А	It was both. He had some business
8	enterprise	es, as well as him individually.
9	Q	Do you recall what those business enterprises
10	were at tl	ne time?
11	А	I believe they were Jiffy Lube franchisees.
12	Q	How long did you work for Paul Morabito?
13	А	From that time, roughly '99/2000, to I think
14	we termina	ated in October of '14.
15	Q	Was there something that prompted the
16	termination	on?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	What was that?
19	А	He gave me information to prepare his I
20	can't reme	ember if it was his '13 return; I don't
21	remember	the year so I could off a year.
22	Q	Okay.
23	А	But the information that I received, I didn't
24	feel comfo	ort preparing that return.
1		

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 7 Q What about the information you received made
2	you feel uncomfortable?
3	A I thought the expenses were too high.
4	Q Were they expenses related to any specific
5	company?
6	A No, to him personally. He was operating as a
7	sole proprietor.
8	Q So I understand, he came to you, showed you
9	his expenses, asked you to address them on the tax
10	return, and you felt that they were too high and were
11	they inappropriate?
12	A I felt they were.
13	Q Why?
14	A I felt they were too high.
15	Q Had you ever had that concern in the past
16	with Mr. Morabito?
17	A There were certain expenses on some of the
18	returns I don't remember which ones but we always
19	talked about that, yeah.
20	Q When you discussed with Mr. Morabito that his
21	expenses were too high in either 2013 or 2014, tell me
22	about that conversation.
23	A It was very short. He asked me to prepare
24	the return. I told him I wouldn't and that was it. I

		NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	terminated	Page 8 services.
2	Q	How did he respond to that?
3	A	He moved on. I mean
4	Q	Did he say anything?
5	A	He may have. I don't remember.
6	Q	Do you recall if he was angry?
7	A	Well, I'm sure he was not happy."
8	Q	Page 35, line 13. Yeah. Do you know if Mr.
9	Paul Morab	ito had any relationship with Snowshoe
10	Properties	?
11	A	He did not."
12	Q	Page 43, line 16. "To your knowledge, did
13	Mr. Morabi	to have any involvement in Snowshoe
14	Petroleum?	п
15	(Question by Mr. Bernstein's attorney.
16		"MR. WRIGHT: Which Morabito?
17	BY MR. GILI	MORE:
18	Q	Oh, I'm sorry. Paul Morabito.
19	A	No."
20	Q	Page 55, line 16. "Mr. Bernstein, you've
21	been handed	d what's been marked as Exhibit 6." Your
22	Honor, my	records reflect Exhibit 6 is Exhibit 62 in the
23	trial binde	ers.
24	I	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.

		NONUOKI IKIALI II/U//2010
1		Page 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
2	BY MR. GIL	MORE:
3	Q	"Do you recognize Exhibit 6?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	What is Exhibit 6?
6	A	This is a Promissory Note from Mr. Bayuk to
7	basically	Mr. Paul Morabito.
8	Q	Have you seen this document before?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	When did you see it?
11	A	This time right now, and when I prepared the
12	2010 retur	n.
13	Q	Who provided it to you?
14	A	I can't remember if it was Mr. Bayuk or Mr.
15	Morabito o	r both.
16	Q	Did you have any involvement in drafting the
17	Promissory	Note?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Did you give any tax advice related to the
20	Promissory	Note?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Did you track payments that were to be
23	received o	n the Promissory Note?
24	A	I did not it track payments, no.
1		

1	Q Was there somebody who did track payments
2	that you're aware of?
3	A I believe Mr. Bayuk tracked payments of this
4	and then provided it to our office when we prepared
5	subsequent returns in order to track this.
6	Q Do you recall how that information was
7	provided to you?
8	A It was provided, I believe, by Mr. Bayuk
9	himself, personally.
10	Q Was it a chart of payments; was it a summary
11	of payments, do you recall?
12	A It was, from the best of my recollection, it
13	was a month-by-month, the dollars he gave to Mr.
14	Morabito."
15	Q Continuing page 57, line 16.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So I have oh, line 16
17	is. I do not have lines 1 and 2.
18	MR. GILMORE: Neither did I, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. But they're read and they
20	were still going on that page, correct?
21	MR. GILMORE: Still on page 57, line 16.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	BY MR. GILMORE:
24	Q "Do you recall what years payments were

1	received in?
2	A It's shortly after the inception of this
3	November note there was I don't remember. I don't
4	remember.
5	MR. WRIGHT: That's fine.
6	MS. PILATOWICZ. This is 7?
7	REPORTER: Yes."
8	MR. GILMORE: Exhibit 7 is marked for
9	identification. My records reflect that's Exhibit 72 in
10	the trial binders.
11	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13	BY MR. GILMORE:
14	Q "You've been handed Exhibit 7 which you
15	indicate looks familiar. Can you tell me what it is?
16	A Yeah. It was a standard loan amortization
17	schedule that our office prepared.
18	Q Why did you prepare this?
19	A So I could track hopefully track the
20	patients paid by Mr. Bayuk to Mr. Morabito.
21	Q Do you know when you prepared this?
22	A I would say by the footer, October 13th,
23	2011.
24	Q And you'll see on the first page there's
I	

	NONUORI IRIALI II/U//2010
1	Page 12 handwriting that says, 'Paul,' and then, 'Follow up for
2	2011. No payments received in 2010; do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you know whose handwriting that is?
5	A Mine.
6	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to
7	when payments may have been received?
8	A No.
9	Q Would that suggest to you that there were no
10	payments received in 2010?
11	A Yes.
12	Q If you could turn to the final page of
13	Exhibit 7?
14	A (Witness complies.)
15	Q Do you recognize what the final page is?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What is it?
18	A That would probably be the schedule payments
19	that were provided and the dates that were provided, so,
20	instead of using the original document that our office
21	prepared, we used the subsequent document and we
22	reflected the principal and interest payments in those
23	years.
24	Q And who provided the information to create

	,,
1	this chart?
2	A Mr. Bayuk.
3	Q Did you independently verify any of the
4	information?
5	A No.
6	Q So fair to say that Mr. Bayuk handed you a
7	chart and you just input the information in this chart?
8	A That's correct."
9	MR. GILMORE: Page 72, line 20. Exhibit 10
10	has been marked, which my records reflect is Exhibit 121
11	in the trial binders.
12	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14	BY MR. GILMORE:
15	Q "Mr. Bernstein, you've been handed what's
16	been marked as Exhibit 10. Do you recognize Exhibit 10?
17	A I know what it is, yes.
18	Q What is it?
19	A It looks like a printout of receivables that
20	were on Superpumper Inc.'s books as of 9/30/2010.
21	Q Do you know who prepared this document?
22	A I would assume Don Whitehead.
23	Q Did you have any input regarding the notes
24	receivable as of September 30th, 2010?
1	

	1010 0112 111112 121, 017, 2020
1	Page 14 A No.
2	Q Do you recognize these amounts, accounts
3	receivable?
4	A I don't believe our office did any of this
5	work for 2010.
6	Q Would you have used this information in
7	completing the 2010 tax return for Consolidated Western
8	Corporation?
9	A Yes.
10	Q How would you have used this information?
11	A The inter-company receivables and payables
12	would merge together and some of these may or may not
13	have gone away.
14	Q Can you explain let's start with
15	inter-company receivables?
16	A Okay.
17	Q What inter-company receivables is it that
18	you're referring to?
19	A The Snowshoe/CWC. When you merge the two
20	together, if there's a receivable on one end, there's a
21	payable on the other, so it should net out. I can only
22	make the assumption that it did. Without having work
23	papers in front of me, I can't answer the question.
24	Q Would that be reflected anywhere on the tax
1	

	=,,
1	returns?
2	A On the CWC, yes.
3	Q Where would it be reflected?"
4	A Probably in I'm not sure if you have it
5	in the balance sheet.
6	MR. GILMORE: You misread that. Please read
7	line 8 again.
8	A "Probably in I'm sure you have it in
9	the balance sheet.
10	Q Would be easier for you to take other look at
11	the 2010 tax return?
12	A It may be. 2432."
13	MR. GILMORE: Exhibit 11 was then marked.
14	Exhibit 11, by my records, is trial binder 156.
15	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.
16	THE COURT: Okay. 11's actually 156.
17	MR. GILMORE: Correct.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MR. GILMORE:
20	Q "Let me start with you let me start with
21	you've been handed what's been marked Exhibit 11. Do
22	you recognize Exhibit 11?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What is it?
1	

	NONUORI IRIALI II/0//2010
1	Page 16 A It's the Consolidated Western Corporation's
2	2010 income tax return.
3	Q Did you prepare this document?
4	A Our office did prepare this document.
5	Q Did you file this document?
6	A We did.
7	Q Okay. With we were discussing the
8	reconciliation, I believe you referred to it as, of the
9	inter-company receivables and the approximately \$5.5
10	million note that's reflected on Exhibit 10 or
11	account receivable, I'm sorry and you indicated that
12	if you had the tax returns you might be able to locate
13	where it's reflected. Have you located that?
14	A Yes. It's not on there because, once again,
15	if there's a is receivable on one end, the corresponding
16	payable would be on the other and they would weigh each
17	other out. So, for the due from CWC slash Snowshoe it's
18	not going to appear.
19	Q Okay. So what happens to that in the merger?
20	A It goes away.
21	Q And it's not reflected anywhere on the tax
22	returns?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Is it reflected on any would it be

	NONUURI IRIAH 11/0//2010
1	reflected Superpumper didn't file tax returns in
2	2010?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q Okay. What about the other amounts listed
5	for the notes receivables on about the 10 or the
6	accounts receivable, the PAMAZ?
7	A Well, there is loans to shareholders of a
8	1,814,000 and change. The composition, there's no
9	breakdown. That is line 7 of the balance sheet.
10	Q And does line 7 match up with Exhibit 10?
11	A I'm sorry, this was 10 (indicating)?
12	Q Correct.
13	A Okay. No.
14	Q Should it?
15	A Not necessarily, no.
16	Q Why not?
17	MR. GILMORE: And then I climbed in "I'm
18	sorry, I'm just going to look over your shoulder.
19	A Absolutely; absolutely; because, once again,
20	there may have been some inter-company things that
21	zeroed each other out. Without having the documents I
22	can't answer the question."
23	Q Page 78, line 1. What does the loans to
24	shareholders identified in line 7 of 2434 reflect?

1	Page 18 A Loans to either Paul, Edward or Sam.
2	Q Where would a break down of those amounts be?
3	A In the work papers.
4	Q Nowhere in the tax return.
5	A Other than the line item, no.
6	Q Were those loans actual amounts due to the
7	company?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Would they be reflected by notes?
10	A Probably.
11	Q Do you generally review the notes in order to
12	complete the tax returns?
13	A Generally. Sometimes.
14	Q Now, there was no loans to shareholders at
15	the beginning of the tax year, but then the 1.8 million
16	at the end of the year.
17	Do you know what the increase was for?
18	A I'm assuming money was taken out of the
19	company during the year."
20	MR. GILMORE: Your Honor, Exhibit 12 was
21	marked the bottom of page 78. I think it's referred to
22	on the following page, 81.
23	MS. PILATOWICZ: No, I don't have anything
24	marked off to that.

	NONUOKI IKIALI 11/0//2010
1	Page 19 MR. GILMORE: Oh, I've got Exhibit 12 is
2	Exhibit 296 in the trial binders. And it's discussed in
3	the following page on 81.
4	MS. PILATOWICZ: Oh. Okay, I see what you're
5	doing.
6	MR. GILMORE: Are you with me?
7	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yeah.
8	MR. GILMORE: Yeah. So marked Exhibit 12,
9	which is trial binder 296, and then a minute or so later
10	there are some questions that are being asked about that
11	document.
12	Do know if 296 is in evidence? Would you
13	check these for me?
14	MS. PILATOWICZ: 296 is not in evidence.
15	COURT CLERK: 296 has not been submitted.
16	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yeah, what happened was 296
17	was the page that was incorporated it was part of the
18	2010 financials so we had previously just been referring
19	to the 2010 off the financials.
20	MR. GILMORE: Oh, yes. But this is the
21	versions that he has Stan's notes to
22	MS. PILATOWICZ: We'll stipulate to its
23	admission.
24	MR. GILMORE: I'll offer Exhibit 296 into

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 20 evidence.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And you're stipulating.
3	Correct?
4	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 296 is admitted.
6	(Exhibit 296 is admitted into evidence.)
7	MR. GILMORE: Madam Clerk, would you do we
8	know if Exhibit 121 which was referred to by Mr.
9	Bernstein, has that been admitted into evidence?
10	COURT CLERK: Let me look. She's faster than
11	
12	MS. PILATOWICZ: Ms. Clerk, she has it as been
13	by stipulation, so.
14	MR. GILMORE: Thank you. My expectation, for
15	the record, that would be any of the documents that are
16	identified in Mr. Bernstein's, which have not been
17	offered, it will be my intention to offer.
18	BY MR. GILMORE:
19	Q We are continuing page 81, line 24. "Okay.
20	There's also notes here from Edward Bayuk and Sam
21	Morabito in the approximate amount of \$5 million. Do
22	you know what those notes were?
23	A I know how some of that note arose. There
24	was a requirement of, I believe, it was Spirit that they

1	Page 21 have a certain amount of equity in the company, and I
2	don't remember how much, but the lion's share of these
3	notes were put on the books in order to create equity in
4	the company so they would fit within Spirit's covenant.
5	And I think that was on the 2010 return or financial.
6	Q But these notes would be notes that were
7	actually due?
8	A That's a vague term.
9	Q Well, can you represent to Spirit that there
10	are notes that are due in account receivables when
11	there's not actually notes that are receivables?
12	A Well, if you sell the company and there's
13	equity left in the company, you would get rid of the
14	equity against the notes first. So, the notes would go
15	away, which is what I'm assuming is going to happen when
16	I prepare the 2016 income tax return.
17	Q But my question was could you represent to
18	Spirit that these were notes that were due if you're
19	saying that they were just put on the books for equity?"
20	Note objection. "You can answer. If you understand the
21	question you can answer.
22	THE WITNESS: Well, they were notes that were
23	put on the books. I'm assume they satisfied Spirit
24	because they were still paying on the leases and Spirit

Page 22 seemed to be a happy camper. 1 Well, I'm not asking if that satisfied 2 I'm asking if that's proper." Note objection. 3 Spirit. "I don't know. Our office didn't prepare it 4 Α 5 so --6 Q Who prepared it? 7 Whoever did the financials for 2010. Α So, this information would have been provided 8 to you as notes receivable to the company? 9 10 Α Correct. And, I'm sorry, did you say who provided it? 11 I forgot the name the film. I told you 12 13 I'm not real good at names. names. 14 Q Well, would it have been management? 15 Would have been management that provided the notes. I believe I got the notes from the prior CPA 16 firm, copies of the notes." 17 MR. GILMORE: Page 84. Exhibit 11 had been 18 marked previously which I in my records reflect is 19 20 Exhibit 156 in the trial binders. 21 MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct. BY MR. GILMORE: 22 23 Page 4, line 5. "Let's go back to Exhibit 11, which is the 2010 tax return for Consolidated 24

	,
1	Western Corporation. Page 23
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q I believe this is indicated as the final
4	return?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And was there any amendments prepared after
7	this return?
8	A No.
9	Q If you look at SBCPA2485
10	A Okay.
11	Q there's total assets of approximately
12	\$9.8 million?
13	A Okay.
14	Q Should this have been zeroed out?
15	A Well, it sold, so the answer is yes. It
16	should be zeroed out. It's the same answer that is here
17	(indicating).
18	Q And when you say 'here,' what are you
19	referring to?
20	A Balance sheet, 2432.
21	Q Okay.
22	A For all intents and purposes, CWC no longer
23	exists. So, to answer your question it should go away,
24	yes. It goes away on Paul's personal return and then he
1	

1	Page 24 is when the sale transaction goes down.
2	Q Okay. Why wasn't it done on this tax return?
3	A Well, in essence it is when it goes to Paul's
4	personal return. In essence it does show a zero ball
5	because he picks up whatever the equity ball of the this
6	company was.
7	Q Can you point out to me in Paul Morabito's
8	2010 tax return where that's reflected, which Exhibit 9
9	is Paul Morabito's 2010 tax return?
10	A Capital gains"
11	MR. GILMORE: Your Honor? Exhibit 9 I have
12	it 156.
13	THE COURT: You showed 11, 156.
14	MS. PILATOWICZ: I have 295 is the 2010 tax
15	returns.
16	MR. GILMORE: You're right. It is. I have
17	295 as well.
18	THE COURT: So Exhibit 9 to the Bernstein
19	deposition is trial Exhibit 295, correct?
20	MR. GILMORE: Correct.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	MR. GILMORE: I'll ask that question again.
23	MR. PROKOP: Okay.
24	Q "Can you point out to me in Paul Morabito's
1	

1	Page 25 2010 tax return where that's reflected, which Exhibit 9
2	is Paul Morabito's 2010 tax return?
3	A Capital gains, Schedule D, 126.
4	Q I'm sorry?
5	A Schedule D, Morabito, (341).000126. I called
6	it Superpumper; it really should have been Consolidated
7	Western Corp.
8	Q Can you explain to me how the essentially
9	zeroing out of the \$9.8 million is shown on Schedule D?
10	A You've got to reduce it by the debt.
11	Q So, it's the two million that's reflected on
12	the bottom of the balance sheet?
13	A It's basically the paid in capital plus
14	retained earnings.
15	Q So if you could just explain to me how these
16	numbers on SBCPA2485 transferred over to Schedule D, or
17	can you explain it to me?
18	A Well, there's a basis scheduled that follows
19	each individual taxpayer. So I'm assuming and that's
20	what I looked for. I don't happen to see it here. But
21	I'm assuming that's how the 2,852,250 was derived. The
22	vast majority of that number is the retained earnings
23	plus the capital stock. There's a small difference.
24	Off the top of my head, I can't tell you what it is.

	,
1	Q Do you have any idea what it would be?
2	A No.
3	Q Where would the tax basis sheets that you
4	were referring to be?
5	A Good question. I'm looking for it. It's
6	kind of like the history; it follows the return. So,
7	it's just not here and I don't know why.
8	Q Are they generally attached to the tax
9	return?
10	A No.
11	Q Then why are you surprised that it's not
12	there?
13	A Because it came from my office and I'm
14	surprised it's not here. What can I tell you.
15	Q Going back to Exhibit 11, which is
16	Consolidated Western's 2010 tax return
17	A Okay.
18	Q Can you turn to SBCPA2445?
19	A Okay.
20	Q There's a reference. Well, can you explain
21	to me what is on page 2445?
22	A I believe Consolidated Western Corp had an
23	interest in an insurance they had an insurance
24	interest in insurance and they were receiving dividends
1	

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 27 annually. I don't remember when it started or stopped.
2	Let me see if I can find that.
3	Q Was it an asset of Consolidated Western
4	Corporation?
5	A I believe there was, if we look at the trial
6	balance, there was an investment in Raffles on the books
7	of 31,000.
8	Q So is that an asset of Consolidated Western?
9	A Yes."
10	MR. GILMORE: Question from me. "Can you tell
11	me what you're referring to, 2048?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, 2048. Sorry, Frank.
13	And that would also appear on the balance
14	sheet, I'm assuming, probably under 'See Statement 9,'
15	2432 that's probably it and 31,000 would have
16	appeared there.
17	I don't know how it was valued, if that's your
18	next question."
19	BY MR. GILMORE:
20	Q Page 88, line 13. "Was it ever reflected on
21	Paul Morabito's individual tax returns that you can
22	recall?
23	A Was what ever?
24	Q Raffles.

	NONUURI IRIAL - II/U//2016
1	Page 28 A He was never the owner of Raffles, to my
2	knowledge."
3	MR. GILMORE: Page 91, line 3.
4	MS. PILATOWICZ: This was removed.
5	MR. GILMORE: Right. Oh, it was?
6	MS. PILATOWICZ: 91 or 93. I sent my amended
7	ones that I sent you prior to the trial starting.
8	MR. GILMORE: One moment, your Honor.
9	MS. PILATOWICZ: The next one starts at page
10	95.
11	MR. GILMORE: Was it removed in first?
12	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yes. Page 95, line 13 is the
13	next one.
14	THE COURT: And so page 91 you are not going
15	to be reading?
16	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. GILMORE: Should have been replaced. My
19	apologies.
20	THE COURT: And page 92 you will not be
21	reading?
22	MS. PILATOWICZ: No, your Honor. There's no
23	reading until page 95.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

	,,
1	Page 29 MR. GILMORE: Commencing on page 95, line 13.
2	Exhibit 15 has just been marked which my records reflect
3	is Exhibit 224; is that correct?
4	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.
5	MR. GILMORE: Which I believe has been
6	admitted.
7	THE COURT: Okay. 15 is 224.
8	COURT CLERK: 224? It has been admitted by
9	stipulation.
10	BY MR. GILMORE:
11	Q You've been handed Exhibit 15 which appears
12	to be at the top an email from Naz Afshar to Darren
13	Takemoto and Gary Krausz, but the second e-mail in the
14	chain is an e-mail from you to Naz Afshar, CPA; do you
15	see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And, again, stan@bernstein-cpabiz.com, that's
18	your e-mail address?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do you recall sending this e-mail?
21	A No.
22	Q Do you have any reason to believe you didn't
23	send it?
24	A No.

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Q And this appears to be a discussion well,
2	let me back up.
3	Who is Naz Afshar?
4	A She's a partner at Gursey Schneider.
5	Q Okay. And this e-mail indicates an e-mail
6	memorializing a conversation you had with Naz regarding
7	the Superpumper financial statement as of December 31st,
8	2010; do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q The first line indicates that there was a
11	note removed from the books that should have remained.
12	Do you recall what that note was?
13	A No. I think it was on the return from what I
14	remember. Let me double-check.
15	This (indicating).
16	10; Exhibit 10?
17	THE WITNESS: 10.
18	Q So, Exhibit 10, the note as of September
19	30th, 2010, still should remain on the books as of
20	December 31st, 2010; is that what it says?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know ultimately what happened with
23	that note after 2010?
24	A A million six?

	Page 31
1	Q Yes.
2	A I don't remember what year, but it was
3	written off against it was a receivable and a payable on
4	Snowshoe and Superpumper, and I don't remember the net
5	of the transaction.
6	But I think your question refers back to this
7	document, or at least some of it's looking that it
8	refers back to this (indicating).
9	Q Okay. Well, the e-mail discusses the
10	financial statements as of 12/31/2010, and Exhibit 10
11	reflects the notes receivable as of 9/30/2010.
12	So, does that tell you that December 31st,
13	2010 that a note that's on Exhibit 10 still existed as
14	of the end of the year?
15	A Yes."
16	MR. GILMORE: Page 104, line 15. Exhibit 18
17	has been marked. My records reflect that's trial binder
18	Exhibit 108.
19	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.
20	BY MR. GILMORE:
21	Q "You've been handed Exhibit 18 which purports
22	to be an e-mail from you to Paul Morabito on October
23	12th, 2012. 'Subject, 2011 return;' do you see that?
24	A Yes.

1	Page 32 Q And that's your e-mail in the from line?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Do you recall sending this e-mail?
4	A No.
5	Q Do you have any reason to believe you didn't
6	send it?
7	A No.
8	Q And do you know if your office produced this?
9	A Apparently it came from me, yes.
10	Q On the number 3 of your e-mail it says 'On
11	the income statement provided by Virginia, there was
12	\$100,000 received from Sam. I assumed that this was a
13	repayment of a loan from you to him; is that correct?'
14	And is that your handwriting after it?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Does that say 'I am correct'?
17	A Yes."
18	Q Page 124, line 9. "Did you know what the
19	parties' intent was with respect to the transfers that
20	are reflected on Exhibit 5?
21	A Yes."
22	MR. GILMORE: Your Honor, my records reflect
23	Exhibit 5 is trial Exhibit 257.
24	MS. PILATOWICZ: Correct.

1	Page 33 THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 5 is 257.
2	BY MR. GILMORE:
3	Q What did you understand the intent of the
4	transferor and the transferee to be with respect to
5	these transactions?
6	A That this would be a sale from let's call it
7	Paul Morabito to Edward Bayuk.
8	Q Okay. Do you know where the parties wanted
9	to effectuate that sale?
10	A No.
11	Q You've never had conversations with anybody
12	with respect to why these transfers were occurring?
13	A We did receive some vague information as to
14	why, but we were not apprised of the specifics.
15	Q Okay. Tell me what vague information you
16	received that led you to make a conclusion one way or
17	the other.
18	A That they were going to sell all this all
19	these assets and Edward was going to end up owning it
20	and Paul was not. And I didn't know if it was going to
21	create a taxable event, wasn't going to create a taxable
22	event; I had no way of knowing. And it happened like
23	that (indicating)."
24	Q Page 133, line 1. In your experience does

D 2 1
Page 34 the concept of cost basis have any relationship to fair
market value?
A It may.
Q Okay. In what circumstances?
A I mean, if you're in an industry that's going
nowhere, I mean, what's your fair market value could be
less than your cost basis today. I mean, look, what's
happen to all these retail stores. So, on the other
hand, if you're loaded up with a ton of assets that are
appreciating, you know, then your fair market value
could be significantly liar than what's on your books.
Q Okay. Let me ask you a more pointed
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of the company?
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of the company? A Well, it's fairly close to what the equity of
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of the company? A Well, it's fairly close to what the equity of the company was worth.
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of the company? A Well, it's fairly close to what the equity of the company was worth. Q Well, I'm asking you to take I'm asking
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of the company? A Well, it's fairly close to what the equity of the company was worth. Q Well, I'm asking you to take I'm asking you take a look only at that number, 2.8 million in cost
question. What does this number, \$2.8 million in cost basis, what relationship does that number have in it particular instance to fair market value of the quit of the company? A Well, it's fairly close to what the equity of the company was worth. Q Well, I'm asking you to take I'm asking you take a look only at that number, 2.8 million in cost basis.

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 35 to what the fair market value of those assets are?
2	A No.
3	Q That's not a concept that can be done in your
4	practice, is it?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. So if somebody said to you 'I want you
7	to look at someone's cost basis in this asset,' at any
8	particular point in time, that would not be an indicator
9	in any way of the fair market value of those assets,
10	would it?
11	A No.
12	Q Okay. Did you understand when the stock in
13	Superpumper was sold by Mr. Morabito to the holding
14	company that Mr. Sam Morabito and Mr. Bayuk created,
15	that part of the consideration for that purchase was
16	cash and note?
17	A I saw that, yes.
18	Q Okay. So, you understood at some point in
19	time that part of the purchase transaction of the
20	acquisition of the Superpumper stock included a cash
21	component whereby Mr. Morabito, Paul Morabito, took
22	cash; right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And he took back a note?

	NONUOKI IKIALI II/U//2010
1	Page 36 A Correct.
2	Q Okay. Seller-carried financing?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay. So doesn't it then make sense to you
5	that post-transfer, post-sale, Snowshoe Petroleum would
6	be paying Paul Morabito money?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Why?
9	A Because they owed on the million-four, or
10	whatever that note was. I don't remember what the note
11	was.
12	Q Whatever the documents reflect with respect
13	to the amount of the carryback note that Mr. Paul
14	Morabito took back after the sale, you would anticipate
15	seeing Snowshoe Petroleum paying that note over time;
16	correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q In other words, under that set of facts it
19	wouldn't surprise you if the Snowshoe Petroleum bucks
20	reflected payments from Snowshoe Petroleum to Paul
21	Morabito in 2011 or '12, would it?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Because the books reflected that Paul
24	Morabito carried a note from that transaction?
1	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 37 A Correct.
2	Q Okay. Please turn to Exhibit 11."
3	Which is Exhibit 156 in the trial binders.
4	"Actually, let's take a look at 10" which is
5	Exhibit 121 in the trial binders. "And 11 at the same
6	time.
7	A (Witness complies.)
8	Q I think this is where we are going to spend
9	the bulk of our time
10	A Okay.
11	Q because there are some concepts here I
12	need you to clarify for us.
13	A Okay.
14	Q Prior to September 30, 2010 Superpumper was a
15	subsidiary carried on the books of Consolidated Western;
16	correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. So help me diagram this for purposes
19	of understanding.
20	CWC is what we call the parent company; right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Because it owned all or substantially all of
23	the common equity stock Superpumper; correct?
24	A Right.

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 38 Q Okay. Which I will refer to as SPI.
2	A Right.
3	Q Okay. Now we've talked a lot about you've
4	talked a lot today about mergers, and you've heard this
5	concept that CWC and SPI, there was a merger. Do you
6	know what the terms of that merger were?
7	A No.
8	Q Okay. But did you understand that WCW and
9	Superpumper ultimately were merged?
10	A I believe the answer is yes.
11	Q Okay. So, in other words, we have what you
12	people might call a parent subsidiary merger?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Now, please take a look at Exhibit 10.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Are you with me?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Assuming that the numbers on this
19	sheet are accurate, which I'm just going to ask you to
20	assume that
21	A Okay.
22	Q can you identify on these note receivable
23	balance any notes receivable which are due to
24	Superpumper from its parent company?

		,,,
1	A	Page 39 Due to Superpumper, yes, the last one.
2	Q	Okay. In what amount?
3	A	5,670,000 and change.
4	Q	So, let's draw arrows here.
5	А	Okay.
6	Q	The beneficiary of those notes receivable is
7	Superpumpe	er, Inc. Right?
8	А	Correct.
9	Q	So SPI would be the beneficiary under a note
10	or a combi	nation of several notes?
11	А	Uh-huh.
12	Q	The obligor would be CWC, the parent; right?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	Okay. 5.6 million and change?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Fair enough?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Okay. So, in the world of dual accounting,
19	dual entry	accounting, in other words, we have a
20	receivable	e carried on the books of Superpumper, Inc., a
21	subsidiary	r; right?
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	And we have \$5.6 million carried on the books
24	of CWC as	a payable?

	Page 40
1	A Correct.
2	Q Okay. Let's talk conceptually. What happens
3	when the parent and the subsidiary merge?
4	A It goes away.
5	Q Tell me why.
6	A Because one party owes the other party and
7	it's gone. The other party is gone. So, if CWC is
8	gone, the note is gone.
9	Q Because, practically speaking, you are
10	collapsing the obligor and the beneficiary into one?
11	A Right.
12	Q Okay. So the obligor, the beneficiary,
13	parent subsidiary, they become one.
14	Tell me how you account for that for tax
15	preparation.
16	A There's no tax benefit or tax advantage. The
17	receivable and payable just merely disappear.
18	Q Okay. So, when Ms. Pilatowicz is sitting
19	here looking through all these tax returns looking for
20	where these receivables and payable go, your testimony
21	is bye-bye.
22	A They go away.
23	Q Okay. So, we wouldn't find them on the tax
24	return, would we?
ı	

		NONOURI IRIAL II/0//2010
1	А	Page 41 Correct.
2	Q	And we wouldn't even nowhere to look because
3	they are z	eroed out?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 12.
6	А	Exhibit 12?
7		MR. GILMORE: Which, your Honor, is trial
8	Exhibit 29	6.
9	BY MR. GIL	MORE:
10	Q	Yeah. Do you have it?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. I will represent to you that these are
13	the notes	to the audited financial statements prepared
14	by the Gur	sey Schneider firm.
15	А	Sounds reasonable.
16	Q	Okay. You would recognize this as something
17	that would	be attached to the audited financial
18	statement;	right?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Which then would have been provided to you at
21	some time.	
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	Because it has your Bates stamp on it.
24	А	Correct.
1		

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 42 Q And it has some notations that you've made.
2	A Correct.
3	Q So, let's assume for purposes of this
4	discussion that these are the related party transactions
5	notes to the 2010 audited financial statement; okay?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now, if I told you that Gursey Schneider
8	issued a qualified opinion with respect to the 2010
9	financial statements of Superpumper, Inc., what would
10	that mean to you?
11	A That would mean that there was something that
12	raised question as to something they couldn't wrap their
13	hands around.
14	Q Okay. In other words, qualified opinion
15	means that there is something in this audit report which
16	they are not certifying to be fairly presented?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And what if I told you"
19	MR. GILMORE: Mr. Bernstein's lawyer.
20	"Mr. Wright: Free of a material misstatement.
21	MR. GILMORE: Fair. That's fine. I don't
22	mean to misstate what that means.
23	BY MR. GILMORE:
24	Q But what if I told you that in the 2010
i	

Page 43 financial statement the audit report issued by Gursey 1 Schneider they said, and I'm referring to the 2 independent auditor's report on the first page of the 2010 audited financial statements. 'The scope of our examination did not include an analysis of the valuation 5 6 of notes receivable from related parties, paren, see note nine, ' (indicating). 7 8 Α Okay. 9 -- 'and we have not been able to otherwise satisfy ourselves as to their valuation of that date. 10 The notes receivable from related parties comprise 57 11 percent of the total assets and 129 percent of 12 13 stockholders' equity at December 31, 2010. The interest 14 earned from these notes comprises 24 percent of net 15 income during the year ended December 31, 2010'. 16 Am I going too fast for you? THE REPORTER: No. 17 18 BY MR. GILMORE: 'In our opinion, except for the effects of 19 Q any adjustment that might have resulted had we been able 20 21 to analyze the valuation of notes receivable from 22 related parties as of December 2010, the financial 23 statements referred to above present fairly in all 24 material respects the financial position of Superpumper,

1	Inc.' Okay?
2	A Okay.
3	Q Please assume that I read that correctly from
4	the audited financials report.
5	A Okay.
6	Q Tell me what that would mean to you with
7	respect to the related party transactions note that
8	Gursey Schneider prepared as Exhibit 12?
9	A Well, they didn't have any confidence in the
10	numbers.
11	Q Okay. Did you ever have that problem when
12	you were preparing the tax returns for Superpumper,
13	Inc.?
14	And by that problem, I mean lack of confidence
15	in either the valuation of these notes receivables or
16	the probability that these notes were collectible?
17	A The answer is yes because we ended up writing
18	up the Superpumper notes. And, once again, I can't
19	remember if it was '14 or '15, the Paul
20	Morabito/Superpumper notes.
21	Q When you say 'the Paul Morabito/Superpumper
22	notes,' you're meaning the four or the three that
23	I've highlighted here on Exhibit 12?
24	A Correct.
I	

1	Page 45 Q \$944,000, \$686,000, and 285,000?
2	A That's correct.
3	MR. GILMORE: Mr. Wright says "The ones with
4	the checkmarks?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MR. GILMORE:
7	Q Okay. Fair enough. So hold that thought and
8	let's talk about some Economics 101 again. Do you have
9	in front of you please pull Exhibit 11 which is the
10	2010 Consolidated Western return.
11	A Okay.
12	Q And I'd like you to turn to page 2432, which
13	is the CWC balance sheet.
14	A Okay.
15	Q There were some questions that were asked of
16	you with respect to retained earnings and additional
17	paid in capital; do you see that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And there were questions about why the number
20	retained earnings and additional paid in capital, which
21	is in essence stockholder equity; right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. Why the number went from a little over
24	5 million at the beginning of the year 2010 and at the

1	end of the year 2010 was 2.2 million; do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And you were asked some questions, and I
4	think your answers were answer was you weren't sure
5	why that occurred.
6	A Okay.
7	Q Give me some Accounting 101 on the kinds of
8	things that could have happened, the events that could
9	have occurred in 2010 that would have resulted in the
10	reduction of those numbers.
11	A Let's go down to Schedule M-2 on the same
12	page. You'll see beginning let's call it beginning
13	equity accumulated of the \$5 million, which is very
14	similar to the one right above it. You'll see a loss
15	for the year of roughly a million dollars.
16	Q Which is reflective of the net income of the
17	company?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay.
20	A You'll see the distributions made to the
21	1,745,000.
22	Q Let me stop you there. In what way what
23	events could occur that would require you to reflect
24	distributions of 1 of 1.745?

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 47 A (No audible response.)
2	Q There's a couple of things that could have
3	occurred; right?
4	A Cash would have left either Consolidated
5	Western or Superpumper and gone to one of the
6	principals, one or all of the principals, I should say.
7	Q In other words, there could be cash sitting
8	in the account?
9	A Yep.
10	Q The partners say to themselves 'Hey, we've
11	had a great year,' or whatever. 'Let's pay ourselves,'
12	cash leaves the accounts of the company and then becomes
13	reflected on line 7 of Schedule M-2?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Now, there are other things that could occur
16	during the year other than just the parties' principals,
17	taking cash from the company; correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Give me some examples.
20	A But this is the line lion's what happened
21	just so you're aware.
22	Well, there could be money infused by the
23	principals. There could be.
24	Q What would assumption of a liability do with

	NONUORI IRIAL II/0//2010
1	respect to the number on line item 7 of M-2?
2	A Assumption of liability.
3	Q Let me give you one more fact to insert into
4	that analysis.
5	A Okay.
6	Q You're aware that in 2010 there was a merger?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you're aware that in 2010 CWC was
9	carrying on its books a significant liability payable
10	owed to Superpumper, Inc.; right?
11	A Yeah. But it went away in merger so
12	Q Okay. And you're aware based on Exhibit 12
13	that there were other liabilities that were being
14	carried on the Superpumper books pre-merger. Right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Is there any way that the merger could have
17	impacted line item seven of Schedule M-2 other than the
18	parties taking cash out of the business?
19	A Possibly.
20	Q Like what?
21	A Like your example would make sense. If they
22	assumed a liability, and the liability would be removed
23	from CWC and either one or both of the principals that
24	are coming in either paid it off of the books, you know,
1	

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 49 they could have done something like that; I don't know.
2	Q Okay. If they assumed the note would reflect
3	paid in capital? It could; right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So, if they assumed a liability, for whatever
6	reason they decided to, that could be booked as a paid
7	in capital to the equity column of the shareholders;
8	right?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Okay. Can you think of any reason why the
11	new principals of Snowshoe Petroleum would want to
12	assume a liability like that in order to show
13	stockholder equity on the balance sheet?
14	A No.
15	Q Okay. So, for example, what if they had
16	alone covenants or lease covenants?
17	A (No audible response.)
18	Q You're nodding. Do you know where I'm going
19	go with this?
20	A Yes.
21	Q So, in a merger there may well be reasons why
22	on Exhibit 12 do you have it in front of you
23	A I do.
24	Q Mr. Bayuk and Mr. Morabito might be

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 50 willing to assume obligations through the merger; right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Which would then do what to the stockholder
4	equity number?
5	A Would increase the equity.
6	Q The stockholder equity number would go up if
7	they assume those obligations, they assume those
8	liabilities in a merger; correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Okay. Can you think of any reason I think
11	you've already testified to it why Mr. Bayuk or Mr.
12	Morabito would assume liabilities in the amount in
13	excess of \$5 million so that they could show on the
14	company balance sheet a certain level of stockholder
15	equity?
16	A Well, they had a covenant with Spirit, and I
17	believe its my the audit report if you want to look at
18	it, what the requirement was. And I believe it was \$6
19	million of equity.
20	Q Okay. You know that because you remember
21	that having read the Gursey Schneider notes to the
22	audited financial statement; right?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Okay. I'm not going to mark it for today's

1	Page 51 purposes, but I want you to look at it.
2	The document is what I referred to before, the
3	financial statements of Superpumper, December 31, 2010,
4	Bates stamped specifically page Superpumper 343."
5	Which, your Honor, in this case is marked as Exhibit 121
6	in trial binders.
7	COURT CLERK: I'm sorry, can you say that
8	again?
9	MR. GILMORE: The document we're referring to
10	in this section of the deposition was not marked in Mr.
11	Bernstein's deposition, but Superpumper 343 is Trial
12	Exhibit 120.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry, you said 121. Did you
14	mean 120?
15	MR. GILMORE: I meant 120. 120.
16	COURT CLERK: That's why.
17	THE COURT: That's why.
18	MR. GILMORE: If I misspoke that was me not
19	paying attention.
20	THE COURT: And Superpumper 343 is Exhibit
21	120?
22	MR. GILMORE: Correct.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	BY MR. GILMORE:

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 52 Q "Take a look at the highlight to note five of
2	the audited financial statements. Read that out loud,
3	would you?
4	A 'Maintenance of a minimum \$6 million of book
5	value of stockholder equity and provisions of changes to
6	control of company or guarantors.'
7	Q Okay. So, Gursey Schneider in doing their
8	work recognized that a covenant in the master lease of
9	Superpumper's leasehold require that Superpumper
10	maintain a minimum of \$6 million of the book value of
11	stockholder equity?"
12	MS. PILATOWICZ: Note objection.
13	BY MR. GILMORE:
14	Q Well, we just read that; right?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q In other words, we don't have to have the
17	lease here, but you recognize that the auditors in
18	giving their audit opinion indicated based on their
19	notes that the leases required a maintenance of a
20	minimum of \$6 million book value stockholder equity?"
21	MS. PILATOWICZ: Note objection.
22	"THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	MR. GILMORE: Agreed; okay.
24	BY MR. GILMORE:

	NONOURI IRIALI II/U//2010
1	Page 53 Q And that's consistent with your memory;
2	right.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Your memory from the time you first heard of
5	it until the time you're sitting here today was that the
6	stockholders or whoever it was that were the principal
7	owners of Superpumper, Inc. were required by covenants
8	to maintain \$6 million book value of stockholder equity;
9	right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. So back to my sheet.
12	When CWC and SPI merge, there's a reason why
13	CWC is carrying \$5.6 receivable a payable on its
14	books; right?
15	A Payable, yes.
16	Q It's carrying a payable?
17	A Yes.
18	Q The dual entry obviously means that SPI is
19	carrying that as a receivable on its books?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And when SPI is carrying that receivable on
22	its books, what does that do to the stockholder equity
23	number?
24	A When SPI

1	Q	SPI. Page 54
2	А	is carrying the receivable it increases
3	the equity	у.
4	Q	Increases the book value of the stockholder
5	equity of	Superpumper.
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	Okay. So then the decision to merge CWC and
8	Superpump	er together, as we've just discussed, results
9	in that p	ayable and receivable being zeroed out; agreed?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Then do the stockholders of the merge entity
12	have to d	o in order to maintain the lease covenants that
13	we just r	ead about in the audit report?
14	А	I think we're get back to number 12; they
15	made note	s.
16	Q	They could do one of two things. I can think
17	of maybe	more but primarily there's two
18		
1 10	А	That's what happened.
19	A Q	That's what happened there's two things they could do.
19	Q	there's two things they could do.
19 20	Q A Q	there's two things they could do. Right.
19 20 21	Q A Q existing	there's two things they could do. Right. Number one, they could assume part of the
19 20 21 22	Q A Q existing	there's two things they could do. Right. Number one, they could assume part of the liabilities of the parent company, even though

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 55 Q Most people don't want to assume liabilities
2	that they don't otherwise have to have; agreed?
3	A Agreed.
4	Q Okay. But from a tax perspective, they could
5	choose to do so; right?
6	A Uh-huh.
7	Q Yes?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. Another way, of course, of increasing
10	stockholder equity is to just infuse cash; right?
11	A Correct.
12	Q So, they could have, Mr. Bayuk and Mr. Sam
13	Morabito could have taken \$5 million out of their
14	pockets and put it into the company, and this would hit
15	the cash account shall debit to cash; right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And then it would credit to stockholder
18	equity; yes?
19	A Paid in capital, yes.
20	Q The other way they could have done it, they
21	could have assumed one of the one of the obligations of
22	the parent company, even though they didn't have to;
23	yes?
24	A Yes.

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 56 Q And in doing so, right, it becomes a
2	receivable on the balance sheet of Superpumper; yes?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And increases the stockholder equity on the
5	balance sheet.
6	A Assuming CWC is the owner; is that what
7	you're saying?
8	Q No, assuming that the stockholders of
9	Superpumper
10	A Got it.
11	Q assume the liability
12	A Which is what happened, yes.
13	Q then that would kick up the number of the
14	stockholder equity on the balance sheet.
15	A Correct.
16	Q Which would then put the company in a
17	position where it's not defaulted on its loan payments.
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. So have we just established to your
20	satisfaction that the \$2.5 million from Mr. Bayuk
21	reflected on Exhibit 12 and the \$2.56 million from Sam
22	Morabito reflected on Exhibit 12, these were not cash
23	transactions?
24	A That's correct.
1	

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 57 Q What were they?
2	A If I had this Snowshoe Petroleum financials,
3	you'd probably see the equity in Snowshoe Petroleum,
4	Inc. that shows the corresponding number of equity or
5	paid in capital, for lack of better words.
6	Q You happen to know that this was assumption
7	of liability; right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Instead of signing a promissory note in
10	exchange for cash?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You have no doubt in your mind, do you?
13	A I have no doubt."
14	Q Page 178, line 19. Let's take a look at
15	Exhibit 19 real quick."
16	MR. GILMORE: Your Honor, my records reflect
17	that's Exhibit 272 in the binders.
18	THE COURT: Counsel, do you agree?
19	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yes. Sorry.
20	MR. GILMORE: And I believe it has been
21	admitted.
22	COURT CLERK: It has.
23	BY MR. GILMORE:
24	Q "Let's take a look at Exhibit 19 real quick.

	NONUOKI IKIALI II/U//2010
1	Page 58 I'm just about done.
2	A (Witness complies.)
3	Q In this e-mail, Mr. Bayuk indicates that he
4	knows the amount that should be the appropriate 1099 to
5	Paul for the 2011 Snowshoe Petroleum tax return; yes?
6	A I believe so, yes.
7	Q And Edward Bayuk says that he knows the
8	amount to be \$658,000 and change; right?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Didn't we already establish that you
11	recognized that part of the Superpumper stock purchase
12	included cash-and-carry note?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. So, does it strike you as unreasonable
15	that Snowshoe would be paying Mr. Morabito in 2011?
16	A No.
17	Q Okay. If I told you that the testimony
18	elicited in this case so far was that the Raffles
19	insurance asset and the dividends resulting therefrom
20	were retained by Paul Morabito during the asset
21	division. I want you to assume that that's been
22	testified to previously; okay?
23	A Okay.
24	Q That the amount of dividend received by
1	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 59 Consolidated Western Corporation would then have been
2	paid out to Paul Morabito; do you understand?
3	A This is '11 so it would have been Snowshoe.
4	Q Okay. I'm sorry.
5	A Okay.
6	Q You recognize because Ms. Pilatowicz showed
7	you that Consolidated Western is carrying the Raffles
8	asset on its books.
9	A It was, yes.
10	Q And you know that.
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. So, if Raffles is paying a dividend in
13	2011, and the parties have already testified that Paul
14	Morabito owns the rights to those dividends
15	A Okay.
16	Q does it strike you as unusual that
17	Snowshoe Petroleum would be writing checks to Paul
18	Morabito in 2011?
19	A Assuming the 568,000 was the Raffles number
20	and Paul had the rights to it, it would not be unusual.
21	Q Okay. So, we don't know just by looking at
22	Exhibit 19 if the \$658,000 Mr. Bayuk is reflecting is a
23	repayment of note, Raffles dividends, or anything else
24	that Snowshoe Petroleum might have owed to Paul Morabito
1	

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	as of that time; correct?
2	A That's correct."
3	MR. GILMORE: Page 194, line 13. This is Ms.
4	Pilatowicz' redirect of Mr. Bernstein.
5	Q "First, you said at the beginning of your
6	testimony when you were answering Mr. Gilmore's
7	questions that you received vague information that
8	through the transfers Edward Bayuk would own everything
9	and Paul Morabito wouldn't; do you recall that?
10	A Are you talking about the personal assets.
11	Q We were looking at I believe it was Exhibit 5
12	that had the summary of some of the transfers.
13	A Yes.
14	Q Yeah, Exhibit 5.
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you recall that testimony?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Who provided you that information that you're
19	referring to?
20	A I think it was a conversation in passing. I
21	don't remember if it was Mr. Bayuk or Mr. Morabito.
22	Q But it would have been either Mr. Bayuk or
23	Mr. Morabito?
24	A One or the other, yes."
1	

1	Page 61 MR. GILMORE: That concludes the deposition
2	transcript of Stan Bernstein. Your Honor, we have
3	defendants have previously identified the deposition of
4	Mark Jessman to be read onto the record. The parties
5	have entered into a stipulation which will obviate the
6	need for Mr. Jessman to be read in the record.
7	The terms of the stipulation are very
8	straightforward. And that is that the dates reflected
9	in the Jessman appraisals are stipulated to
10	stipulated facts.
11	MS. HAMM: Say that again.
12	MR. GILMORE: The dates that are contained in
13	the Jessman appraisal reports with respect to the
14	effective date and the inspection date are stipulated
15	facts.
16	MS. HAMM: That's correct. And also that the
17	date the reports were executed is the date that they
18	were delivered.
19	MR. GILMORE: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: And do we have those marked as an
21	exhibit?
22	MR. GILMORE: We do.
23	MS. HAMM: Yes, ma'am. They begin, I think,
24	at Exhibit 180, 181, 182, 183, and 184, all of which

1	Page 62 have been admitted by stipulation.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And so would you repeat
3	what you're stipulating to? It was the dates that are
4	reflected on the reports are the dates they were
5	delivered.
6	MR. GILMORE: There are four dates in question
7	that we're stipulating to.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. GILMORE: The first is that the date of
10	the report, in other words, the cover letter on the
11	report that would say September 28, or whatever date it
12	might reflect, that was the date that appraisal was
13	delivered to the client, number one. And in
14	MS. HAMM: And your Honor, I think, in four of
15	the five we're referring to it might have been September
16	28th and another one was September 27th.
17	MR. GILMORE: But we are stipulating that
18	those dates speak for themselves and that they are
19	stipulated facts.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. GILMORE: Contained within each of the
22	reports is the valuation date, or in some cases referred
23	to as the effective date of the appraisal. The reports
24	contain those dates, and the parties are stipulating

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 63 that those dates reflected in those reports are the
2	dates are stipulated facts.
3	THE COURT: Who's going off?
4	MR. GILMORE: Somebody's phone's going off.
5	Is it in here?
6	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yeah, it sounds like.
7	MS. HAMM: So, for example, your Honor, if an
8	appraisal is an effective date as of September 24th of
9	2010, then that was the date of the inspection, we
10	stipulate to that.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So the valuation date,
12	you're stipulating to the valuation date and the
13	effective date of the appraisals. And you're also
14	stipulating that that date, the effective date of the
15	appraisal is the date of the inspection.
16	MR. GILMORE: Correct.
17	MS. HAMM: Yes, ma'am.
18	THE COURT: That's three.
19	MR. GILMORE: And the last is that the date of
20	the the date of the inspection report is the day it
21	was delivered to the client.
22	MS. HAMM: No. We already addressed the
23	delivery date is the date of the date of the document
24	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 64 MR. GILMORE: So you're right.
2	MS. HAMM: signed September 28th was
3	delivered September 28.
4	
	THE COURT: The date of the report was the
5	date the appraisal was delivered to the client?
6	MR. GILMORE: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Two, you're stipulating to the
8	evaluation date of the effective date of the appraisal
9	in the appraisal reports, those dates you're stipulating
10	that that is the date it occurred.
11	MS. HAMM: Correct.
12	MR. GILMORE: That's correct.
13	THE COURT: You're further stipulating if it
14	says effective date of appraisal that was the date of
15	the inspection.
16	MR. GILMORE: Yes.
17	MS. HAMM: Yes, ma'am, that's
18	THE COURT: So just three.
19	MR. GILMORE: I believe that encompasses all
20	the stipulations we need.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And that's all relating to
22	Exhibits 180, 181, 182, 183 and 184.
23	MR. GILMORE: That is correct.
24	MS. HAMM: Yes, your Honor.

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 65 MR. GILMORE: Generally characterized as the
2	appraisals of the California properties.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. GILMORE: That leaves us only with the
5	transcript of Michael Sewitz, which is around about 30
6	pages or so. At the very end, Ms. Prokop, it's been
7	added to the binder at the very end.
8	MS. PROKOP: I see.
9	COURT CLERK: Whose deposition was opened and
10	published yet.
11	MR. GILMORE: I believe it was, yes.
12	THE COURT: I see his name is spelled a couple
13	of different ways. How is his name properly spelled?
14	MR. GILMORE: He reads it as Michael, common
15	spelling, Sewitz, S-e-w-i-t-z.
16	THE COURT: That's his spelling.
17	MR. GILMORE: That's how he spells it in his
18	deposition.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may
20	proceed.
21	MR. GILMORE: Ms. Prokop, do you have in front
22	you the deposition transcript of Michael Sewitz, March
23	22, 2016?
24	MS. PROKOP: Yes.
1	

	,
1	Page 66 MR. GILMORE: Please turn to page 5, line 10.
2	MS. PROKOP: Okay.
3	BY MR. GILMORE:
4	Q "Good afternoon.
5	A Hi.
6	Q My name is Frank Gilmore and I represent the
7	defendants in the action that brings us here today.
8	Do you understand that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. You and I have spoken one time.
11	Is that correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And I called you and asked you if you would
14	be willing to host us at your shop to discuss some work
15	that you did for a client of mine; is that correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q All right.
18	Would you please state your name and spell
19	your last name for the record.
20	A Michael Sewitz. S-e-w-i-t-z.
21	Q And what is your current business address?
22	A 16616 Schoenborn Street, North Hills 91343.
23	Q Thank you. Can I call you Michael?
24	A Sure.

	NONUORI IRIALI II/0//2010
1	Page 67 Q Michael, how are you employed?
2	A I don't understand what you mean.
3	Q What is your present occupation?
4	A I own a drapery manufacturing company.
5	Q Okay. And how long have you been in the
6	drapery manufacturing business?
7	A Nearly 30 years.
8	Q All right. How long have you owned your own
9	drapery company?
10	A The same amount.
11	Q Okay. And what is your day-to-day work life
12	like?
13	Can you describe for us what you do on a
14	day-to-day basis?
15	A Well, I go out on appointments and meet with
16	decorators or their clients. That's half the day. And
17	the rest of the day is running this business.
18	Q Okay. And what types of services does your
19	company provide generally?
20	A Anything that goes on windows, beds, walls,
21	upholstery, custom draperies.
22	Basically anything that covers a window.
23	Q Okay. And would you say in the drapery
24	business that you have a specific specialty?

1	Page 68 A We cater to the high-end design trade, for
2	the most part. We do very little retail, if at all.
3	Q When you say 'high-end' do you mean high-end
4	residential?
5	A High-end residential. We do a little bit of
6	commercial, but 90 percent is residential.
7	Q Okay. And you, personally, what would you
8	say is your specialty in the drapery business? Is it
9	the design? Is it the manufacturing? Is it the
10	salesmanship, or what?
11	A I do a lot of things here and hopefully I do
12	a things good because I've been around a long time. But
13	I do sales and, basically, do the financials. I have a
14	partner who does other things.
15	Q Okay. Are you involved in any respect with
16	the design elements of your business?
17	A If called upon usually designers know what
18	they want, but many times they don't know what they want
19	and I give my opinion.
20	Q Okay. Give us an example of a scenario where
21	somebody would say to you 'Michael, we don't have good
22	design ideas, we need design ideas Diaz.' How would you
23	go about facilitating them?
24	A Firstly, I would kind of get a fix of what

1	Page 69 they wanted. Do they want drapes. Do they want shades.
2	Do they want Romans. Is it contemporary. Is it
3	traditional. Is it anything in between.
4	Do they want motorization. Because we
5	specialize in motorization as well.
6	And once I know, then I can go forward and
7	give my ideas.
8	Q Okay. And would you
9	A Most designers know what they want to do.
10	Q Okay. In the scenario where they don't would
11	you have meetings with the clients and ask them
12	questions and have some sort of question-and answer
13	session so you can get an understanding of what they're
14	looking for?
15	A Definitely.
16	Q Okay. And then would you do an on-site
17	inspection of the property to get a good feel for the
18	dimensions?
19	A Yeah. I go out to the different people
20	here in sales; we each have our stable or group of
21	decorators that we work with.
22	So my decorators calls me. She's got a
23	project. I go and meet at the house. Sometimes the
24	clients are there. Sometimes they're not there. But

1	Page 70 usually the decorator is.
2	We meet at the house. She'll either tell me
3	what she wants or asks me what I think. I'll measure
4	the house, measure the windows. Come back; give a
5	quote. Tell them how much fabric they need.
6	And hopefully the quote becomes an order and
7	we execute the order."
8	Q Top of page 12, line 1. "You mentioned that
9	oftentimes price is not a consideration. Is that simply
10	because the projects that you're working on the
11	customersthe end users are typically not
12	A Exactly.
13	Q price conscious?
14	A I mean, we're doing the top of the barrel of
15	homeowners. That's what we've decided to be our
16	specialty. And for that, we give good service and
17	installations. IF there's a problem on Friday at 5:00
18	the producer showing a movie at his house at 6:00 we
19	manage to get somebody to fix the motor if it's not
20	working.
21	It's a different business. And, with that,
22	comes the extra price or cost or whatever to it.
23	Q The premium associated with
24	A The premium, that's the word.

1	Page 71 Q associated with the delivery of that type
2	of service?
3	A Yes, exactly.
4	Q Okay. And so would you agree with the
5	characterization that you now primarily deal in only
6	high-end, exclusively, clientele?
7	A Pretty have most of all I mean, I would
8	say that's what with are known for.
9	Q All right. Very briefly, what's your
10	background?
11	A I came from South Africa. Went to school in
12	Philadelphia. I have a degree in textiles. Came to
13	California. I have an MBA from Pepperdine.
14	I was recruited out of the college in
15	Philadelphia to one of the department stores, May
16	Company. Which was, in its heyday, a big chain. Did
17	that for a while.
18	A few years later my brother and I had a bit
19	of money and we bought The Linen Tree.
20	Q Okay. Where did you get your MBA? I'm
21	sorry.
22	A I got a MBA from Pepperdine.
23	Q From Pepperdine. And did it have a
24	specialty?
l	

1	Page 72 A No. Just a business.
2	Q Okay.
3	A But I have a degree in a BS degree in
4	textiles.
5	Q Yes. Tell us about some of the places that
6	you travel to employ your trade. Where do you go?
7	A I've been all over the world. I've been to
8	India. I've done work in Istanbul. I've done work in
9	London. I've done work on the Hawaiian Islands. And
10	I've probably been to 20 states in the U.S. doing work.
11	Q Okay. What types of projects would you do
12	inn Istanbul?
13	A A very Rich man's house.
14	Q Tell a little bit about it.
15	A The decorators were American they wanted
16	an American decorator. I flew to Istanbul. On the
17	plane with me was an audiovisual guy. They took us from
18	the airport to the man's house. We sat down like this
19	and he said 'my sister has the best sound system and
20	drapes in Istanbul. I want better.' shook our hands and
21	we never saw him again.
22	Q You went to his place?
23	A To his office. That's what he told us. And
24	then we went and visited his house.
1	

	Page 73
1	Q Tell us about the house.
2	A Well, for Istanbul standards, it was a very
3	expensive how.
4	He's a if you remember, um, the guy who got
5	killed with Diana? Well, he's the Turkish version of
6	that Dodi. They own the banks. They own the hotels.
7	The family, they're extremely, extremely wealthy. And
8	he just wanted the best that money could buy.
9	Q And
10	A We measured the windows. We went back over
11	there. We installed. We got paid. With was good.
12	And that wasthat was that.
13	The other big, big project I was starting to
14	tell you about was a Mumbai; largest house in the world.
15	It was 600,000 square feet. My legacy to the drapery
16	world.
17	Q And we can see the picture (indicating) of
18	what loose to be about a 50-story skyscraper.
19	A It's close. It'sit's like a it's like
20	a Manhattan Avenue Trump Tower, but for a family of
21	five.
22	Q Surrounded in glass.
23	A Surrounded in glass.
24	Q With all kind of open decks.

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	Page 74 A There is, in the middle of the sky, a
2	one-acre garden built out, protruding in the middle of
3	the sky.
4	There is a zoo. There is everything and
5	anything imaginable in that house.
6	Q Have you ever seen anything like it?
7	A No. And probably never will.
8	Q And tell us go on.
9	A An anomaly of a house. Everybody and anybody
10	in our architecture knows this house. It'sit's
11	extremely well-Googled. And information is available on
12	this house.
13	Q What did you call it?
14	A The actual house is called Antilla.
15	Q Spell it?
16	A A-n-t-i-l-la.
17	Q And it's owned by some
18	A It's owned by Mukesh Ambani, A-m-b-a-n-i.
19	It's the largest residential house in the world.
20	Q And this gentleman is some Indian
21	billionaire?
22	A He's the equivalent of Warren Buffet.
23	Q Okay.
24	A But things cost a lot less there. So he's

	Page 75
1	even way richer.
2	Q In comparison.
3	A In comparison.
4	Q How did you get that job?
5	A There's a very large American design firm
6	that specializes in hotels and they do all the hotels in
7	Dubai. Theythey were hired by Ambani to do the
8	house, Ambani's people came out to my little factory in
9	Los Angeles and sat around like this, and asked us
10	questions, and gave us a test on the bottom floor.
11	Which was the theatre. And we did the theatre. And the
12	rest was history.
13	Q They liked your work?
13	Q They liked your work? A Yes.
	-
14	A Yes.
14 15	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the
14 15 16	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house?
14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house? A Yeah. Eacheach floor was built each
14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house? A Yeah. Eacheach floor was built each floor is 35,000 square foot. So each floor was built,
14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house? A Yeah. Eacheach floor was built each floor is 35,000 square foot. So each floor was built, done yeah. Each floor was 35,000. And that's how
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house? A Yeah. Eacheach floor was built each floor is 35,000 square foot. So each floor was built, done yeah. Each floor was 35,000. And that's how you get to 600,000. Each floor was built, decorated,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house? A Yeah. Eacheach floor was built each floor is 35,000 square foot. So each floor was built, done yeah. Each floor was 35,000. And that's how you get to 600,000. Each floor was built, decorated, completed and then sealed off. And so we did it floor
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q And so you did the window coverings and the wall coverings for the whole house? A Yeah. Eacheach floor was built each floor is 35,000 square foot. So each floor was built, done yeah. Each floor was 35,000. And that's how you get to 600,000. Each floor was built, decorated, completed and then sealed off. And so we did it floor by floor.

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 76 Q Is it permissible for you to give us an
2	estimate of the size of the contract to your company?
3	A I really don't want to. But it was very
4	large.
5	Q I imagine. Okay.
6	A The whole house, they said, cost these
7	billion.
8	Q Okay. So you've seen what may be the most
9	impressive house in the world. You've worked on it.
10	You spent three years working on this amazing project.
11	You've seen other projects in the world, that are of the
12	top class.
13	A We just completed probably the most expensive
14	house in Los Angeles in Bel-Air. It was just valued at
15	120 million. And we did all the window coverings for
16	that house.
17	Q And how you did you get that project?
18	A Through a decorator.
19	Q Okay. And that was a large contract for you?
20	A Uh-huh.
21	Q Yes?
22	A Yeah.
23	Q Okay. You've seen some of the most amazing
24	houses in the middle east and places like that.
1	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 77 A As I tell my wife, 'I went today and saw a
2	more amazing house than I saw yesterday.' And I been
3	doing it for a long time.
4	Q All right. Now, for the reasons you're here,
5	do you recognize this gentleman to my right?
6	A Yep.
7	Q And who do you recognize him to be?
8	A (Indicating to Mr. Bayuk.) I forgot your
9	first name."
10	MR. GILMORE: Mr. Bayuk says "Edward.
11	THE WITNESS: Edward. Of course. I met him
12	through Mark Paul, who was a decorator I've known for
13	literally 30 years.
14	Q Is that Paul, Paul with the common spelling?
15	A P-a-u-l.
16	Q All right.
17	A (Indicating to Mr. Bayuk.) And I've done a
18	bunch of project for you guys, I think in Laguna and,
19	um, Palm Springs, and I want to say one other place. I
20	don't quite remember exactly. But I think this may have
21	been the fourth house third or fourth house.
22	Q When was the last time you saw Edward prior
23	to today?
24	A Probably at the time of the installation.
1	

1	Page 78 Q Okay. Now, we are here today to talk about
2	the house in Reno. When was the first time that you can
3	recall a conversation or discussion about the design
4	work that was going to happen at Edward's house in Reno?
5	A It was probably when I flew up there. I'm
6	trying to think if Mark Paul was there at the time with
7	me. I can't remember. But either he was there or he
8	had me he had laid the ground work of what we were
9	doing. But I have to think that that has to be about
10	maybe 2007 or '-6.
11	Q Okay.
12	A I don't remember the exact date.
13	Q And were you invited to Reno to view the
14	house?
15	A Yes. I went to view the house and to
16	measure.
17	Q Okay. And when you viewed the house what was
18	the condition of the house? At the time, I mean, in
19	terms of the construction, was it complete?
20	A I don't think so. It wasn't fully complete.
21	I know there was a lot of stuff going on, but a lot of
22	it was complete because I can't really measure until all
23	the areas that I'm measuring are complete.
24	Q Okay.
ı	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 79 A Windows are in andand moldings. And I
2	think I think I think there what a lot a lot of
3	stuff that had already been done.
4	I remember a completed theatre, I think. And
5	I think the kitchen area was done. But I think a lot of
6	it was done. May not have been completely done. The
7	outside; I don't know it had been done yet.
8	Q Okay.
9	A The backyard.
10	Q All right. And what had you been asked to do
11	in terms of the scope of your work?
12	A If I remember correctly, somebody was
13	providing the motorization, the actual motors, that got
14	sent to me here out in Los. Angeles and I turned them
15	into the finish product, drapes and shades andRoman
16	shades and stuff like that, I think.
17	And then we went and installed all of that in
18	Reno. I think we did all of the beds and the bedding as
19	well. But certainly all of the drapes and the shades.
20	Q Did you do the padded walls?
21	A I don't remember. I could look at our notes.
22	But I don't remember if my company did the padded walls.
23	Q Okay.
24	A They may have because we do that. But it's
1	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 80 possible that somebody locally that I don't know.
2	Q Okay.
3	A Would have to go to the folder.
4	Q If I were to show you some pictures that I
5	brought with me today just to refresh your recollection
6	here
7	A I'll come closer.
8	Q And I'm not going to have you look at all
9	these. Do you recognize (indicating) this?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Have you ever seen this house before.
12	A Yeah.
13	Q Is this the house we were talking about?
14	A Yes.
15	Q We all it the Panorama House.
16	A Okay.
17	Q And there are some pictures here of the
18	curtilage andthe outside and the pastures. And then
19	we're starting to get into the entry.
20	A Right.:
21	MR. GILMORE: Ms. Pilatowicz enters an
22	objection.
23	MS. PILATOWICZ: The objection is noted.
24	MR. GILMORE: It's not on here, I'm sorry.
1	

1	Page 81 MS. PILATOWICZ: Sorry.
2	MR. GILMORE: Page 23, line 22.
3	BY MR. GILMORE:
4	Q "When you walked in the house
5	A I remember the house having lots of intricate
6	carving and paneling andI remember (indicating) this
7	kitchen withhere's all the (indicating). Here's
8	all the paneling that I remember. So I've seen
9	(indicating) this.
10	Q Have tell me about the aesthetic quality of
11	the mill work of the house that you recall.
12	A I was amazed that somebody out in Reno would
13	put a house together like this. Because I didn't think
14	that anybody could ever buy it from them based on what
15	the quality and the" experts that I assume a house like
16	this must cost.
17	MS. HAMM: Your Honor?
18	MR. GILMORE: I think you misread that.
19	MS. PROKOP: Want me to repeat it?
20	MR. GILMORE: Read starting line 5, page 24,
21	yeah.
22	A "I was amazed that somebody out in Reno would
23	put a house together like this. Because I didn't think
24	that anybody could ever buy it from them based on what

	,,
1	Page 82 the quality and the expense that I assume a house like
2	this must cost.
3	Q Okay. Did you make that statement in regard
4	to the general market price of Reno property?
5	A Yes. Exactly.
6	Q Okay.
7	A Exactly. I mean, if I saw this house in Los
8	Angeles I would say it's you know, it's
9	top-of-the-line house. I see houses like this. I
10	couldn't believe that I'd ever see a house like this in
11	Reno.
12	Q Okay. And you wouldn't suspect to go out
13	into the ranch properties in Reno and walk into a ranch
14	house that has this type of finish work?
15	A I would be amazed.
16	Q Okay. Where do you see this type of finish
17	work in your line of work?
18	A In, you know, in Bel-Air, in Beverly Hills,
19	in maybe the Palisade. You know, one of our fancy Los
20	Angeles communities.
21	Q Multi-million-dollar homes?
22	A Yeah, for sure.
23	Q Okay. And you can see some of the detail
24	A I mean, I remember remarking that I couldn't

	Davis 02
1	Page 83 believe that they would be doing this.
2	Q All right. Did you ever say that to Edward?
3	Or
4	A We went to lunch so we must have talked about
5	the house. I don't know Edward to talk about anything
6	else. So that anything we could have been talking
7	about, is his house.
8	Q Do you recall remarking to Edward or anyone
9	else who might have been in the house with you,
10	something to the effect that you are amazed by the
11	quality?
12	A You know what; we had to have come up with a
13	discussion about this amazing house and they were
14	building."
15	Q That?
16	A "That they were building. Eight years later
17	it was, or nine years later, I can't exactly remember.
18	I remember II go to four houses a day every day of my
19	life and I can remember this house. I mean
20	Q Okay.
21	A It's pretty
22	Q Did the quality of the workmanship for
23	example, take a look at the theatre, you mentioned.
24	A Yes.
l .	

1	Q So you've seen it.
2	A I mean, this I keep saying the same thing.
3	It pretty much amazed me that somebody out in Reno were
4	to me, I didn't feel like it was in I mean, I know
5	why they were there. Because they were in business down
6	there. And I am assuming that's where they were gonna
7	stay. So this built whatever they wanted ask they could
8	afford it. I couldn't believe that such a house was
9	being built.
10	Q When you say that a room like (indicating)
11	this theatre room would rival any of the houses that
12	you've seen in the upscale neighborhoods in Los Angeles
13	or Malibu?
14	A I would bet you a room like this, here in Los
15	Angeles, is easily a 400,000 or 500,000-dollar home
16	entertainment setup.
17	Q Okay.
18	A Now, I don't know what the equipment or all
19	of the (indicating) that stuff. But this would be in
20	any major theatre in Los Angeles.
21	Q Okay. And you're referring to the AV
22	equipment, TVs, and all that. You're talking about
23	furniture?
24	A Everything. I don't even like I say, I

	NONUORI IRIAL II/0//2010
1	Page 85 don't know about the quality of the AV equipment. But
2	the quality of (indicating) this room as a whole, you're
3	not gonna find much difference in major home movie
4	theaters."
5	Q Page 28, line 18. What do you call
6	(indicating) this, the piping or whatever they call it?
7	A Welt. A double welt.
8	Q Okay. And that's standard way of finishing,
9	to hide"
10	MR. GILMORE: Sorry.
11	MS. PROKOP: That's okay.
12	A "And that's standard way of finishing, to
13	hide the staples off of where it's stapled.
14	Q Okay. And you see the trim work
15	A Yeah.
16	Q on the walls in the hallway. Did you
17	happen to see the den?
18	A I don't remember. I don't remember that.
19	Q All right. I'm not going to belabor the
20	point. Do you remember what the total amount of
21	contract for this house was, on the draperies and the
22	other upholstery, if you did it?
23	A I mean, I could tell you exactly if you
24	needed it exactly. I would just have to pull the

1	folder. Page 86
2	To be honest, I never really looked at this
3	folder since. I believe it was a \$50,000 range. That
4	was my contract. And I don't provide the fabric. We
5	just do the labor and installation, basically.
6	Q Okay.
7	A And the hardware.
8	Q Can we take a quick how long would it take
9	you to pull your notes on this?
10	A Two minutes, I suppose
11	Q Let's take a two-minute break.
12	A to see exactly what my contract is.
13	Q I'm basically done?
14	A Oh.
15	Q I would just like to have you check your
16	notes and give me any feedback that you have.
17	A Let me find the folder.
18	MR. GILMORE: Let's go back on the record.
19	BY MR. GILMORE:
20	Q Michael, you've had a chance to look at your
21	file. Can you tell us what you just said before we went
22	back on the record, total amount of your contract?
23	A It looks like this adds up to about \$90,000.
24	Q Okay. And is there any way for you to
1	

1	Page 87 estimate, based on that, what the cost of the fabric
2	would have been that you installed?
3	A Okay. We don't provide the fabric.
4	Q I understand that. But what I'm saying is,
5	is do you have any idea as to what the cost of the
6	fabric might have been to the client, had you installed?
7	Can you give me I an estimate?
8	A I'm trying to remember. Those walls were
9	silk, I think, and silk, you know, anywhere from 70 to a
10	hundred dollars a yard. Yeah. That is silk. I mean,
11	that could be 75 to a hundred dollars a yard, depending
12	on the way they got it there.
13	Q Do you know how much you installed of the
13 14	Q Do you know how much you installed of the silk?
14	silk?
14 15	silk? A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes.
14 15 16	silk? A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I
14 15 16 17	silk? A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I mean, this is and Jim Thompson is a very expensive
14 15 16 17 18	silk? A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I mean, this is and Jim Thompson is a very expensive silk fabric. This was in the kitchen.
14 15 16 17 18	A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I mean, this is and Jim Thompson is a very expensive silk fabric. This was in the kitchen. Q And how was that per yard?
14 15 16 17 18 19	A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I mean, this is and Jim Thompson is a very expensive silk fabric. This was in the kitchen. Q And how was that per yard? A I have no idea. My pricing doesn't influence
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I mean, this is and Jim Thompson is a very expensive silk fabric. This was in the kitchen. Q And how was that per yard? A I have no idea. My pricing doesn't influence fabric.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I'm trying to see if I can find the quotes. (indicating) this is all the folder ofof Reno. I mean, this is and Jim Thompson is a very expensive silk fabric. This was in the kitchen. Q And how was that per yard? A I have no idea. My pricing doesn't influence fabric. Q Okay.

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 88 the master bedroom? Yeah, I see wall upholstery in the
2	master bedroom. And the headboard, a ceiling. So that
3	was so there's a picture of that. This was, like, 36
4	yards of fabric. My cost to do the labor to that was
5	\$5700 in the master.
6	Q Is there any way I can take a copy of that
7	right (indicating) there?
8	A I mean, that's one of the invoices. I mean,
9	I know there is a bunch of furniture. Let's see.
10	Q Can I ask you a question there, Mark? On
11	this quote, these numbers; that's the amount
12	A That's the yardage that the decorators would
13	have bought.
14	Q Okay. And time frame for these installations
15	looks to be about November of 2006 through early to mid
16	2007?
17	A Well, the final check I got was the beginning
18	of May, '07.
19	Q Well, the final check okay.
20	A And they were right.
21	Q Okay.
22	A And itit started the first deposits
23	came in around January of '07.
24	Q Okay. Mark, is there think way

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 89 A Michael.
2	Q Michael?
3	A Yeah.
4	Q Is there any way for you to be able to
5	estimate what the total upholstery project in a house
6	like this would have cost, including your cost,
7	including the cost of the material, including the
8	possible cost of the designer? Is there any way for you
9	estimate that?
10	A There isn't. But it's a lot. It's I am
11	impossible nor me I'm trying to
12	Q Is this a several-hundred-thousand-dollar
13	project or
14	A Probably. With the fabrics I mean, if I'm
15	close to 80 and there is lots of yardage and this
16	fabric can be close to a hundred dollars a yard it could
17	easily be a couple hundred.
18	Q Okay. Well
19	A I'm just trying to see if I did those walls.
20	Because thatthat may be separate (Indicating.) These
21	are all silks. Let me just give me two minutes
22	Q Sure.
23	A to see what if I really haven't
24	see, I'm wondering if someone else did the wall
1	

Page 90 upholstery if the passageway that's right by the 1 2 theatre. Oh. But not necessarily because (indicating) here is a 23,000-dollar bill. You mind if I look at it? 5 Yeah. But without me, unfortunately, looking 6 for what the backup is to that -- I'm trying to see -now (indicating) here's the master bedroom which we've 7 8 talked about that. 9 Okay. Here we go. I did it (indicating). Here it is. So we did all of the upholstery in that den 10 and my cost to Mark -- (indicating to Mr. Bayuk) which I 11 have no eye key what his cost is to you, was 26,000, not 12 13 including the fabric. 14 Does that invoice or purchase order indicate the amount of fabric that was needed for the 15 installation? 16 I should be able to find that because I would 17 Α have told him what to buy. 18 So there's probably a packing slip in here 19 20 with the yardage, but my labor was 26,000. Oh. 21 (Indicating) here we go, 110 yards. Yep, 110 yards. 22 And it's probably easy enough to get the price of this, 23 if it still exists. It was -- it was from Pindler? 24 P-i-n-d-l-e-r. All right. What would you

	
1	Page 91 estimate wide range for that?
2	A I have no idea. But it should be I mean,
3	I could have my lady just give them a call and see if
4	Pindler's still around.
5	Q I don't think it's important.
6	A Right.
7	Q I'm just trying to get a ballpark?
8	A Mark Paul would have this price because he
9	would have bought it, you see.
10	Q Uh-huh.
11	A So I can't tell you.
12	Q Could that have been a hundred dollars a
13	yard?
14	A Lots of fabric costs a hundred dollars a
15	yard. I don't know. We actually bought 230 yards
16	(indicating). Here we go. 230 yards. Because we used
17	it, I think in the living room, dining room, gallery
18	wall, main foyer, hall.
19	Q Okay. Michael, I don't have any other
20	questions for you.
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q But I would like
23	A He has the other packing slips. So hundred
24	twenty yards; hundred ten. (Indicating) Here's the
1	

	NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
1	other. That's 230 yards.
2	Q Perfect. I would like, if possible, to make
3	photocopies of these three?
4	A That's fine.
5	Q Is that possible?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you have a photocopy machine here?
8	A Uh-huh.
9	MR. GILMORE: So what I would like to do is
10	mark (indicating) these three as Exhibit 1 to the
11	deposition, once they're copied. Okay?"
12	Court reporter says "okay."
13	MR. GILMORE: And unless I have some follow-up
14	questions after Ms. Pilatowicz asks you some questions
15	
16	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
17	MR. GILMORE: I don't have anything else
18	for you, okay?"
19	Now, your Honor, Exhibit 1 is marked in our
20	deposition binders, but I don't have
21	THE COURT: Meaning your trial binders?
22	MS. PILATOWICZ: 261.
23	MR. GILMORE: 261. I'm being helped by
24	counsel that Exhibit 1 of the Sewitz deposition is
1	

	NONUORI IRIAH 11/07/2010
1	Page 93 Exhibit 261. And I would like to offer those in
2	evidence.
3	MS. HAMM: No objection.
4	THE COURT: Exhibit 261 is admitted.
5	(Exhibit 261 is admitted into evidence.)
6	BY MR. GILMORE:
7	Q "By Ms. Pilatowicz: I have just a couple
8	quick questions.
9	A Sure.
10	Q You mentioned earlier that you couldn't
11	believe someone in Reno was doing this and you didn't
12	think anyone else could buy it from him.
13	A Uh-hum.
14	Q Do you remember that? What did you mean by
15	that?
16	A That I didn't think there were that many
17	people who could buy a seven- or eight- or ten-million
18	dollar house in Reno. When I drove through Reno and saw
19	houses, you know, crappy apartments in Los Angeles cost
20	more than you know a 3,000-square-foot house over
21	there.
22	Q So the market in Reno is substantially less
23	than the markets in L.A.
24	A Yes.

1	Q And generally houses, no matter what they
2	look like, tend to sell for a lot less. Is that right?
3	A Houses in Reno.
4	Qin Reno.
5	A I would have to imagine that a house in
6	Renothe exact same house that's in Los Angeles would
7	be way different prices. I mean, it's like I've been
8	all over most of the country.
9	Q Did you ever do any analysis or could you
10	do any analysis of what value, on a market level, the
11	work you do brings to a house?
12	A I don't think so. I mean, I see ridiculous
13	things. I you know, I see people spending incredible
14	amounts of money on stuff that I find hard to imagine
15	why anyone would. But you've got the money, and you
16	want to do it, you do it.
17	Q Uh-huh.
18	A As far as value, the house itself; if someone
19	picked that up house and that piece of land and put it
20	in Malibu I don't know what that house cost, but if
21	that house was in Malibu that would be a 15- or
22	20-million dollar property. In Reno, I would have no
23	idea. Other than I know that other than I know it had
24	to have been an expensive house. But I don't know what
l	

	D 05
1	the value of the house and property are.
2	Q Okay. Thank you. That's all I have."
3	MR. GILMORE: Your Honor, that concludes the
4	deposition readings. Before defendants rest their case
5	I believe there are a few exhibits I would like to offer
6	which were referred to in Mr. Vacco's deposition. They
7	were discussed, but were not marked.
8	THE COURT: Were not marked or admitted?
9	MR. GILMORE: They were not marked in his
10	deposition. They were simply discussed.
11	THE COURT: Are they marked in the trial?
12	MR. GILMORE: They are, yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. GILMORE: So I'm getting those numbers.
15	There might be only one, if I can remember. There are
16	two exhibits I don't have identified as admitted yet
17	that I would like to offer.
18	The first is Exhibit 236, which is the
19	Christian Lovelace calculation of the Superpumper
20	acquisition.
21	THE COURT: Okay. 236 was referred to as
22	Exhibit 13 in the Vacco deposition.
23	MR. GILMORE: Right.
24	MS. PILATOWICZ: No objection, your Honor.

1	Page 96 THE COURT: Exhibit 236 is admitted.
2	(Exhibit 236 is admitted into evidence.)
3	MR. GILMORE: During Sam Morabito's
4	cross-examination he referred to the forbearance
5	agreements between Superpumper and Compass Bank. I
6	neglected to offer the third amendment to the
7	forbearance agreement which has been marked as Exhibit
8	247. And I would now offer 247.
9	MS. PILATOWICZ: No objection.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I don't have my note on
11	Morabito right now. So what was it marked in his
12	deposition?
13	MR. GILMORE: In Sam Morabito's live testimony
14	
15	THE COURT: Oh, we're talking about Sam.
16	MR. GILMORE: Correct.
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
18	MR. GILMORE: He made reference to it.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. GILMORE: But I did not mark it. I did
21	not offer it at that time. That is Exhibit 247, your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: And you're saying no objection?
24	MS. PILATOWICZ: No objection, your Honor.
1	

1	Page 97 THE COURT: Okay, Exhibit 247 is admitted.
2	(Exhibit 247 is admitted into evidence.)
3	MR. GILMORE: Exhibit 295 was referenced in
4	the Bernstein deposition.
5	COURT CLERK: I'm sorry, did you say 295?
6	MR. GILMORE: 295. I would offer that.
7	MS. PILATOWICZ: No objection to 295.
8	THE COURT: And what was it called in the
9	Bernstein deposition?
10	MR. GILMORE: In the Bernstein deposition it
11	was Paul Morabito's 2010 income tax return.
12	THE COURT: So it's 295.
13	MS. HAMM: It was Bernstein Exhibit 9, I
14	believe.
15	THE COURT: Exhibit 9.
16	MR. GILMORE: Correct. It was Exhibit 9.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. That's admitted.
18	(Exhibit 9 is admitted into evidence.)
19	MR. GILMORE: Other than that, your Honor, the
20	defendants rest.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And yesterday I think the
22	plaintiff told me there would be no rebuttal.
23	MS. PILATOWICZ: There is no rebuttal, your
24	Honor.

1	Page 98 THE COURT: I understand the clerk is giving
2	you an updated list of the exhibits that were admitted
3	as of Monday. And I just thought it might make sense
4	for us to make sure that everything you think has been
5	admitted she has. She wanted to update her notes,
6	though, with regard to what you just admitted.
7	COURT CLERK: Okay. Just let me know if you
8	guys believe something is admitted that I haven't seen
9	it. I'm just going to read the numbers and those
10	numbers are admitted. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 19, 20, 21,
11	22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36,
12	37, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52,
13	53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67,
14	68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81,
15	82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 103, 104, 105,
16	106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116,
17	117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 125, 126, 127, 128,
18	129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139,
19	140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152,
20	153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163,
21	164, 174, 175, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186,
22	187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 196, 197, 198,
23	222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232,
24	233, 235, 236, 241.
1	

	NONCORT IRIAL II/0//2010
1	Page 99 THE COURT: Wait. 236?
2	COURT CLERK: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	COURT CLERK: 236 was done
5	MR. GILMORE: Was done. Yes, ma'am.
6	COURT CLERK: just now. I'm sorry. 236,
7	241, 244, 247, 248, 252, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 260,
8	261, 262, 263, 265, 266, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 276,
9	277, 278, 280, 281, 283, 284, 294, 295, 296, 297, 300,
10	301, 302, 303, 304.
11	THE COURT: Any other exhibits anyone thinks
12	that you've offered?
13	MR. GILMORE: Not here, your Honor.
14	MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, it appears that
15	Exhibit 148 was, I believe, offered during Mr. Bayuk's
16	testimony. It is one of the Lippes Mathias
17	communications. I don't believe we offered it.
18	COURT CLERK: I show that it was offered and
19	the objection was sustained.
20	MS. PILATOWICZ: Right. I believe the
21	objection was sustained because we were seeking
22	initially to offer it through Mr. Bayuk. We should have
23	read it again when we offered the group of
24	communications as a business record of the trustees.
1	

1	Page 100 THE COURT: Okay. So you believe you need to
2	offer it
3	MS. PILATOWICZ: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: at this time?
5	COURT CLERK: That's 148. Correct?
6	THE COURT: 148. Counsel, do you stipulate to
7	that, or do you want her to call a witness?
8	MR. GILMORE: No, your Honor. I would simply
9	raise the same objections to the other Lippes Mathias
10	supplemental bulk production that we talked about
11	yesterday. So renew the same objection.
12	It's being offered, as I understand it, as
13	part of the bulk production that was offered primarily
14	through Ms. Pike Turner on Friday, and then again
15	yesterday, so I don't believe there's a need for any
16	further witnesses. I would just simply like to renew my
17	objection on the same basis.
18	THE COURT: Counsel, did you want to reply?
19	MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, Mr. Gilmore's
20	correct. We are offering it through the bulk of the
21	email the exhibits are offered in bulk through what
22	would be the same testimony through Mr. Leonard as to
23	his maintenance of the files and how he obtained the
24	Lippes Mathias communications.

1	Page 101 THE COURT: For the same reasons I overruled
2	the objection previously, the objection's overruled.
3	Because I understand that the defense is waiving making
4	the record with Mr. Leonard, but not waiving as to the
5	fact that it would come in even if Mr. Leonard
6	testified; is that correct?
7	MR. GILMORE: Correct. Make sure I box that.
8	I would expect that the testimony from Mr. Leonard that
9	he offered previously would be consistent with respect
10	to this particular exhibit, I would raise the same
11	objection that I did to the entire bulk Lippes Mathias
12	production. That objection was noted and then
13	overruled. And so for that reason I think the same
14	basis for it being offered and the same objections
15	should be noted, but that if as the Court pointed out
16	because the objection was previously overruled my
17	expectation is that this objection would be similarly
18	overruled, not needing any further testimony from any
19	witness.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So based upon the
21	stipulation that the witness would testify and support
22	the admission under the theory of the plaintiff, the
23	objection as to even with that on the record, an
24	objection to the admissibility of the evidence is

1	Page 102
	overruled and 148 is admitted.
2	(Exhibit 148 is admitted into evidence.)
3	MS. PILATOWICZ: Thank you, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?
5	MS. PILATOWICZ: Nothing from the plaintiff.
6	MR. GILMORE: Nothing further, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So what I intend to do is
8	direct the clerk to release all exhibits that have not
9	been admitted to you, to the party who produced them,
10	except those that were offered and objection was
11	sustained. So the record of this trial will be all
12	documents that have been offered and those that were
13	offered and admitted. But all documents that have not
14	been offered, not been ruled on will be returned to you
15	by the clerk. Any objection?
16	MS. PILATOWICZ: No objection, your Honor.
17	MR. GILMORE: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Then that will be that order. So
19	I guess that's it for now.
20	You had to sit up there, but so patient. You
21	may step down.
22	MS. PROKOP: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. I kind of forgot about
24	you you're so quiet.

1	Page 103 MS. PROKOP: Didn't want to interrupt.
2	THE COURT: So I think our next hearing is set
3	for the 26th of November, at nine a.m., so we'll see you
4	back then.
5	In the interim you will provide at that
6	hearing your updated findings of the fact, conclusions
7	of law with cites to the transcript that will be
8	provided to you by on or before the 19th.
9	So is there anything else we can do today?
10	MR. GILMORE: No, I think that's it from us,
11	your Honor.
12	MS. PILATOWICZ: No, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll see you back on
14	the 26th. Thank you.
15	MS. PILATOWICZ: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Court's now recess.
17	(Proceedings continued until November 7, 2018,
18	at 9:00 a.m.)
19	000
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 104
1	STATE OF NEVADA)
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE)
3	I, JULIE ANN KERNAN, official reporter of
4	the Second Judicial District Court of the State of
5	Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That as such reporter I was present in
8	Department No. 4 of the above court on Wednesday,
9	November 7, 2018, at the hour of 9:05 a.m. of said day,
10	and I then and there took verbatim stenotype notes of
11	the proceedings had and testimony given therein upon the
12	Nonjury Trial of the case of WILLIAM A. LEONARD, Trustee
13	for the Bankruptcy Estate of Paul Anthony Morabito,
14	Plaintiff, vs. SUPERPUMPER, INC., at al., Defendants,
15	Case No. CV13-02663.
16	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of
17	pages numbered 1 through 103, both inclusive, is a full,
18	true and correct transcript of my said stenotype notes,
19	so taken as aforesaid, and is a full, true and correct
20	statement of the proceedings of the above-entitled
21	action to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
22	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 21st day of November, 2018.
23	/s/ Julie Ann Kernan
24	JULIE ANN KERNAN, CCR #427

Index: #427..13

		<u> </u>	-	•
#	\$686,000 45:1	46:21	1099 58:4	6 44:8,23 48:12 49:22
#427 1:24	\$9.8 23:12 25:9	1,814,000 17:8	10:06 5:3	54:14 56:21, 22 70:8
\$	\$90,000 86:23	1.745 46:24	11 15:13,14, 21,22 22:18, 24 24:13	12/31/2010 31:10
\$100,000 32:12	\$944,000 45:1	1.8 18:15	26:15 37:2,5 45:9 59:3	120 51:12,14, 15,21 76:15
\$2.5 56:20		10 13:9,16 16:10 17:5,	11's 15:16	98:17
\$2.56 56:21	(341).000126 25:5	10,11 30:16, 17,18 31:10, 13 37:4 38:14 66:1	110 90:21 98:16	121 13:10 20:8 37:5 51:5,13 98:17
\$2.8 34:13	-	100 1:22	111 98:16	122 98:17
\$5 20:21 46:13 50:13	00o 1:9	101 3:16 45:8	112 98:16	123 98:17
55:13	4:2 103:19	46:7	113 98:16	124 32:18
\$5.5 16:9	-6 78:10	103 98:15	114 98:16	125 98:17
\$5.6 39:23 53:13	O	104 31:16 98:15	115 98:16	126 25:3 98:17
\$50,000 86:3	07 88:18,23	105 98:15	116 98:16	127 98:17
\$5700 88:5	1	106 98:16	117 98:17	128 98:17
\$6 50:18 52:4,	4 40:47 47:00	107 98:16	118 98:17	129 43:12
10,20 53:8	1 10:17 17:23 33:24 46:24 70:8 92:10,	108 31:18	119 98:17	98:18
\$658,000 58:8 59:22	19,24 98:10	98:16	12 18:20 19:1, 8 36:21 41:5,	12th 31:23
	1,745,000	109 98:16		13 6:20 8:8

Index: 130..2007

27:20 28:12 29:1 60:3	143 98:19	158 98:20	180 61:24 64:22 98:21	194 60:3 98:22
95:22	144 98:19	159 98:20	181 61:24	196 98:22
130 98:18	145 98:19	16 8:12,20 10:15,16,21	64:22 98:21	197 98:22
131 98:18	147 98:19	16-ish 5:22	182 61:24 64:22 98:21	198 98:22
132 98:18 133 33:24	148 3:16 99:15 100:5,6 102:1,2	160 98:20	183 61:24 64:22 98:21	1999 6:1
98:18	149 98:19	161 98:20	184 61:24	19th 103:8
134 98:18	15 29:2,7,11	162 98:20	64:22 98:21	2
135 98:18	31:16 44:19	163 98:20	185 98:21	2 40:47 00:40
136 98:18	15- 94:21	164 98:21	186 98:21	2 10:17 98:10
137 98:18	150 98:19	16616 66:22	187 98:22	2,852,250 25:21
138 98:18	151 98:19	17 5:3	188 98:22	2.2 46:1
139 98:18	152 98:19	174 98:21	189 98:22	2.8 34:20
13th 11:22	153 98:20	175 98:21	19 57:14,15, 24 59:22 98:10	20 3:11 13:9 72:10 98:10
14 6:14 44:19	154 98:20	178 57:14	190 98:22	20-million
140 98:19	155 98:20	179 98:21	191 98:22	94:22
141 98:19	156 15:14,16 22:20 24:12,	17th 4:15		2000 6:1
142 98:19	13 37:3 98:20	18 31:16,21	192 98:22	2006 88:15
	157 98:20	85:5	193 98:22	2007 78:10

Index: 2010..28th

88:16	2018 1:17 4:1 103:17	232 98:23	252 99:7	26th 103:3,14
2010 9:12		233 98:24	254 99:7	27 98:11
12:10 13:24	2048 27:11,12	200 50.24	204 55.7	27 30.11
14:5,7 15:11	2040 27.11,12			
16:2 17:2		235 98:24	255 99:7	270 99:8
19:18,19 21:5	21 5:13 98:10			
22:7,24 24:8,		236 3:13	256 99:7	271 99:8
9,14 25:1,2	22 5:7 65:23	95:18,21	230 33.7	271 33.0
26:16 30:8,	81:2 98:11	96:1,2 98:24		
,	01.2 90.11	99:1,4,6	257 32:23	272 57:17
19,20,23		99.1,4,0	33:1 99:7	99:8
31:13 37:14	222 98:23			
42:5,8,24		24 20:19	258 99:7	276 99:8
43:4,13,22	223 98:23	43:14 81:20	236 99.7	270 99.0
45:10,24	223 90.23			
46:1,9 48:6,8		244 00:04	26 98:11	277 99:9
51:3 63:9	224 29:3,7,8	241 98:24		
97:11	98:23	99:7	20.000 00.40	270 00:0
			26,000 90:12,	278 99:9
2010' 43:15	005 00:00	2432 15:12	20	
	225 98:23	23:20 27:15		27th 62:16
		45:12	260 99:7	
2010;' 12:2	226 98:23			20 00:44 04:0
		0404 47.04	004 00:00 00	28 62:11 64:3
2011 11:23	007 00:00	2434 17:24	261 92:22,23	85:5 98:11
12:2 31:23	227 98:23		93:1,4,5 99:8	
36:21 58:5,15		244 99:7		280 99:9
59:13,18	228 98:23		262 99:8	
		0445 00 04		204 00:0
	220 00:00	2445 26:21	202 00:0	281 99:9
2012 31:23	229 98:23		263 99:8	
		247 3:14 96:8,		283 99:9
2013 7:21	23 81:2 98:11	21 97:1,2	265 99:8	
		99:7		204 00:0
	00 000 1:11:		000 000	284 99:9
2014 7:21	23,000-dollar		266 99:8	
	90:3	248 99:7		285,000 45:1
2016 21:16			268 99:8	I '
65:23	230 91:15,16	24th 63:8		0045 00 40
33.23	92:1 98:23		l 	28th 62:16
		l 	269 99:8	64:2
2017 4:16 5:3		25 98:11		
	231 98:23			

Index: 29..7

				111dex · 29/
29 98:11	304 99:10	4	39:3	6
291 3:12	30th 13:24 30:19	4 1:12 22:23	5.6 39:14	6 8:21,22 9:3,
294 99:9	04 40 40 45	98:10	50 98:12	5 98:10
295 24:14,17, 19 97:3,5,6,7,	31 43:13,15 51:3 98:11	400,000 84:15	50-story 73:18	60 98:13
12 99:9	31,000 27:7,	4185 1:1	73.10	600,000 73:15 75:20
296 3:11 19:2,	15	42 98:12	500,000-dollar 84:15	75.20
9,12,14,15, 16,24 20:5,6	31st 30:7,20 31:12			61 98:13
41:8 99:9	01.12	43 8:12 98:12	51 98:12	62 8:22 98:13
297 99:9	32 98:11	44 98:12	52 98:12	63 98:13
3	33 98:11	45 98:12	53 98:13	64 98:13
	34 98:11	46 98:12	54 98:13	65 98:13
3 28:3 32:10 98:10	343 51:4,11, 20	47 98:12	55 8:20 98:13	66 3:7 98:13
3,000-square- foot 93:20	35 8:8 98:11	48 98:12	56 98:13	67 98:13
30 37:14 65:5 67:7 77:13	35,000 75:18,	49 98:12	568,000 59:19	68 98:14
98:11	36 88:3 98:11	5	57 10:15,21 43:11 98:13	69 98:14
300 99:9	37 98:12	5 3:5 5:6,13 22:23 32:20,	58 98:13	6:00 70:18
301 99:10	38 98:12	23 33:1 45:24 60:11,14 66:1 81:20 98:10	5:00 70:17	7
302 99:10	39 98:12	01.20 30.10		7 1:17 4:1
303 99:10		5,670,000		1.17 4 .1

Index: 70..advantage

11:6,8,14 12:13 17:9,	81 18:22 19:3 20:19 98:14	91 28:3,6,14 98:15	above 43:23 46:14	87:23
10,24 47:13 48:1 103:17	82 98:15	91343 66:22	absolutely 17:19	actually 15:16 21:7,11 37:4 91:15
70 87:9 98:14	83 98:15	92 28:20 98:15	account	added 65:7
71 98:14	84 22:18 98:15	93 3:12 28:6	16:11 21:10 40:14 47:8	additional
72 11:9 13:9 98:14	85 98:15	95 3:13 28:10,	55:15	45:16,20
73 98:14	86 98:15	12,23 29:1	accounting 5:15,16 39:18,19 46:7	address 7:9 29:18 66:21
74 98:14		97 3:14,15		addressed
75 87:11	87 98:15	99/2000 6:13	accounts 14:2 17:6 47:12	63:22
98:14	88 27:20 98:15	9:00 103:18	accumulated	adds 86:23
76 98:14	89119 1:22	9:05 4:1	46:13	adjustment 43:20
77 98:14	89503 2:4	Α	accurate 38:19	admissibility 101:24
78 17:23 18:21 98:14	9	A-M-B-A-N-I	acquired 6:3	admission
79 98:14	9 3:15 24:8,	74:18	acquisition 35:20 95:20	19:23 101:22
8	11,18 25:1 27:14 32:18 97:13,15,16,	A-N-T-I-L-LA 74:16	acquisitions	admitted 3:10 20:5,6,9 29:6,
8 15:7 98:10	18	a.m. 4:1 5:3 103:3,18	6:4	8 57:21 62:1 93:4,5 95:8, 16 96:1,2
80 89:15	9/30/2010 13:20 31:11	able 16:12	action 66:7	97:1,2,17,18 98:2,5,6,8,10
98:14	90 68:6 98:15	34:24 43:9,20 89:4 90:17	actual 18:6 74:14 79:13	102:1,2,9,13
				advantage

Index: advice..apartments

40:16 advice 9:19	agree 57:18 71:4	also 6:5 20:20 27:13 61:16 63:13	21:1 36:13 39:2 50:12 58:4,8,24	anomaly 74:9
aesthetic	agreed 52:23 54:9 55:2,3	always 4:7 7:18	67:10 85:20 86:22 88:11 90:15	62:16
affiliated 6:6	agreement 96:7	amazed 81:12,22 82:15 83:10	amounts 14:2 17:4 18:2,6 94:14	60:6 answers 46:4
afford 84:8 Africa 71:11	agreements 96:5	84:3	analysis 43:5 48:4 94:9,10	Anthony 1:11
Afshar 29:12,	airport 72:18 all 23:22	amazing 76:10,23 77:2 83:13	analyze 43:21	anticipate 36:14
14 30:3 after 11:2	33:18 34:8 37:22 40:19 43:23 47:6	Ambani 74:18 75:7	andand 79:1	Antilla 74:14
23:6 30:23 32:14 36:14 92:14	61:24 64:19, 21 66:17 67:8 68:2 71:7,9 72:7 73:24	Ambani's 75:8	andi 81:6	anybody 33:11 74:9 81:14,24
afternoon 66:4	75:6 76:15 77:4,16 78:22 79:10,17,18, 19 80:8,15	amended 28:6	androman 79:15 andthe	anyone 83:8 93:12 94:15 99:11
again 15:7 16:14 17:19 24:22 29:17	81:7,8 83:2 84:18,22 85:19 87:16	amendment 96:6	80:18	anything 8:4 18:23 59:23
44:18 45:8 51:8 61:11 72:21 99:23 100:14	89:21 90:10, 24 94:8 95:2 102:8,11,13	amendments 23:6	Angeles 75:9 76:14 79:14 82:8,20 84:12,15,20	67:20,22 69:3 74:5,6 83:5,6 92:17 102:4 103:9
against 21:14 31:3	alone 49:16	American 72:15,16 75:5	93:19 94:6 angry 8:6	anywhere 14:24 16:21
ago 5:18,21,	already 50:11 58:10 59:13 63:22 79:3	amortization 11:16	ANN 1:24	87:9 apartments
		amount 20:21	annually 27:1	•

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
Index: apologies..ballpark

		_		
93:19	33:14	33:19 34:9	Attorneys	away 14:13
		35:1,9 43:12	1:19 2:2	16:20 21:15
apologies	appropriate	60:10		23:23,24
28:19	58·4		audible 47:1	40:4,22 48:11
20.13	30.4	associated	49:17	
		70:23 71:1	49.17	В
Apparently	approximate	10.23 11.1		<u> </u>
32:9	20:21		audiovisual	
		assume	72:17	
1C:10		13:22 21:23		B-E-R-N-S-T-
appear 16:18	approximatel	38:20 42:3	. "4 40 45	E-I-N 5:12
27:13	y 16:9 23:11	44:3 49:12	audit 42:15	
		50:1,7,12	43:1 50:17	1 - 1 440
APPEARANC	architecture	54:21 55:1	52:18 54:13	back 4:18
ES 1:18 2:1	74:10	56:11 58:21		22:23 26:15
		81:15 82:1	audited	30:2 31:6,8
		01.10 02.1	41:13,17 42:5	35:24 36:14
appeared	area 79:5		43:4 44:4	53:11 54:14
27:16		assumed	50:22 52:2	70:4 73:10
	areas 78:23	32:12 48:22	30.22 32.2	86:18,22
onnooro	aleas 10.23	49:2,5 55:21		103:4,13
appears 29:11 30:1			auditor's 43:3	
	arose 20:23			haaleesaassaal
99:14		assuming		background
		18:18 21:15	auditors	71:10
appointments	around 42:13	25:19,21	52:17	
67:15	65:5 68:12	27:14 38:18		backup 90:6
	75:9 88:23	56:6,8 59:19	audits 5:16,	
	91:4	84:6	17	
appraisal			l ''	backyard
61:13 62:12,	arrows 39:4	assumption		79:9
23 63:8,15	unono 00.7	14:22 47:24	AV 84:21 85:1	
64:5,8,9,14				balance 15:5,
	asks 70:3	48:2 57:6	available	9 17:9 23:20
appraisals	92:14		74:11	25:12 27:6,13
61:9 63:13		attached 26:8	/4.11	38:23 45:13
	0000t 07:2 0	41:17		
65:2	asset 27:3,8		Avenue 73:20	49:13 50:14
	58:19,20 59:8			56:2,5,14
appreciating		attention	40.0	
34:10	asset,' 35:7	51:19	aware 10:2	ball 24:4,5
J 1 .10			47:21 48:6,8,	,-
J 4 .10			4.0	
apprised	assets 23:11	attorney 8:15	12	ballpark 91:7

Index: Bank..brother

				BallkDI Other
Bank 96:5	Bayuk's 99:15	82:18	72:19 73:8	book 52:4,10, 20 53:8 54:4
Bankruptcy 1:11	become	belabor 85:19	bet 84:14	booked 49:6
	40:13	believe 6:11	better 57:5	
banks 73:6 barrel 70:14	becomes 47:12 56:1 70:6	10:3,8 14:4 16:8 20:24 22:16 23:3 26:22 27:5	better.' 72:20	books 13:20 21:3,19,23 27:6 30:11,19 34:11 36:23
based 48:12 52:18 81:14, 24 87:1	bedding 79:18	29:5,22 32:5 38:10 50:17, 18 57:20 58:6 60:11 64:19	between 69:3 96:5	37:15 39:20, 23 48:9,14,24 53:14,19,22 59:8
101:20	bedroom	65:11 82:10 83:1 84:8	Beverly 82:18	
basically 9:7 25:13 67:22	88:1,2 90:7	86:3 93:11 95:5 97:14 98:8 99:15,	big 71:16 73:13	both 6:7 9:15 48:23
68:13 86:5,13	beds 67:20 79:18	17,20 100:1, 15	bill 90:3	bottom 18:21 25:12 75:10
basis 25:18 26:3 34:1,7, 14,21,24 35:7	before 9:8 51:2 80:11	beneficiary	billion 76:7	bought 71:19 88:13 91:9,15
67:14 100:17 101:14	86:21 95:4 103:8	39:6,9 40:10, 12	billionaire 74:21	box 101:7
Bates 41:23 51:4	begin 61:23	benefit 40:16	binder 15:14	break 18:2
Bayuk 2:5	beginning 18:15 45:24	Bernstein 3:4 4:12,15 5:2,9,	19:9 31:17 65:7	86:11
9:6,14 10:3,8 11:20 13:2,6 20:20 33:7	46:12 60:5 88:17	11 8:20 13:15 20:9 24:18 60:4 61:2	binders 8:23 11:10 13:11 19:2 22:20	breakdown 17:9
35:14 49:24 50:11 55:12 56:20 58:3,7	being 19:10 48:13 54:9	97:4,9,10,13 Bernstein's	37:3,5 51:6 57:17 92:20,	briefly 71:9
59:22 60:8, 21,22 77:8, 10,17 90:11	84:9 92:23 100:12 101:14	8:15 20:16 42:19 51:11	21 bit 68:5 71:18	brings 66:7 94:11
99:22	Bel-air 76:14	best 10:12	72:14	brother 71:18

Index: brought..clients

brought 80:5	40:21	capital 24:10 25:3,13,23	ceiling 88:2	45:4
BRUST 2:2	c	45:17,20 49:3,7 55:19 57:5	certain 7:17 21:1 50:14	checks 59:17
BS 72:3	C.P.A. 3:4		certainly	choose 55:5
bucks 36:19	calculation	carried 36:24 37:15 39:20, 23 48:14	79:19	Christian 95:19
Buffet 74:22	95:19	carryback	certifying 42:16	circumstance
building 83:14,16	California 65:2 71:13	36:13	chain 29:14	s 34:4
built 74:2	call 33:6	carrying 48:9 53:13,16,19,	71:16	cites 103:7
75:17,18,20 84:7,9	37:20 38:12 46:12 66:23	21 54:2 59:7	chance 86:20	clarify 37:12
bulk 37:9	74:13 85:5,6 91:3 100:7	carving 81:6	change 17:8 39:3,14 58:8	class 76:12
100:10,13,20, 21 101:11	called 25:5 66:13 68:17 74:14 97:8	case 1:11 51:5 58:18 95:4	changes 52:5	clear 4:21
bunch 77:18 88:9	calls 69:22	cases 62:22	characterizati on 71:5	clerk 4:14 19:15 20:7, 10,12 29:8
business 6:7, 9 48:18 66:21 67:6,17,24	came 7:8 26:13 32:9 71:11,12 75:8	cash 35:16, 20,22 47:4,7, 12,17 48:18	characterized 65:1	51:7,16 57:22 65:9 97:5 98:1,7 99:2,4, 6,18 100:5
68:8,16 70:21 72:1 84:5 99:24	88:23	55:10,15 56:22 57:10	chart 10:10 13:1,7	102:8,15
buy 73:8	camper 22:1	cash-and- carry 58:12	cheap 87:24	client 62:13 63:21 64:5 66:15 87:6
81:14,24 90:18 93:12, 17	can't 6:20 9:14 14:23 17:22 25:24 44:18 78:7,22	cater 68:1	check 19:13 86:15 88:17, 19	clientele 71:6
bye-bye	83:17 91:11	CCR 1:24		clients 67:16
		<u> </u>	checkmarks	<u> </u>

Index: climbed..copies

				TilibedCopies
69:11,24	commencing 5:3 29:1	18:12 78:19, 20,22,23	concern 7:15	78:19
climbed 17:17	commercial	completed	concludes 61:1 95:3	contain 62:24
close 34:17 73:19 89:15,	68:6	75:21 76:13 79:4	conclusion 33:16	contained 61:12 62:21
16	37:23 65:14 77:14	completely 79:6	conclusions	contemporary 69:2
closer 80:7	communicati ons 99:17,24	completing 14:7	34:24 103:6 condition	CONTINUATI ON 2:1
40:10	100:24	complies 12:14 37:7	78:18	continue 4:10
collectible 44:16	82:20	58:2	confidence 44:9,14	continued 103:17
college 71:14	company 7:5 18:7,19 21:1, 4,12,13 22:9	component 35:21	CONNIE 1:8	continuing
column 49:7	24:6 34:16,18 35:14 37:20	composition 17:8	conscious 70:13	10:15 20:19
combination 39:10	38:24 46:17 47:12,17 50:14 52:6 54:22 55:14,	comprise 43:11	consideration 35:15 70:9	contract 76:2, 19 85:21 86:4,12,22
come 4:5 70:4 80:7 83:12 101:5	22 56:16 67:4,9,19 71:16 76:2	comprises 43:14	consistent 53:1 101:9	control 52:6
comes 70:22	79:22 comparison 75:2,3	concept 34:1 35:3 38:5	Consolidated 14:7 16:1 22:24 25:6	conversation 7:22 30:6 60:20 78:3
comfort 6:24 coming 48:24	75.2,3 Compass 96:5	concepts 37:11	26:16,22 26:16,22 27:3,8 37:15 45:10 47:4 59:1,7	conversation s 33:11
commence	complete	conceptually		copied 92:11
5:6	<u> </u>	40:2	construction	copies 22:17

Index: copy..day

				lex. copyday
сору 88:6	correct' 32:16	7,10,14,17, 20,24 9:1	cover 62:10	custom 67:21
Corp 25:7 26:22	correct?' 32:13	10:16,19,22 11:12 13:13 15:16,18	coverings 75:15,16 76:15	customers the 70:11
Corporation 14:8 23:1 27:4 59:1	correctly 44:3 79:12	19:15 20:2,5, 10 24:13,18, 21 28:14,17, 20,24 29:7,8	covers 67:22	CV13-02663 1:11
Corporation's	correspondin g 16:15 57:4	33:1 51:7,13, 16,17,20,23 57:18,22	CP 1:24	CWC 15:2 16:17 23:22
16:1 correct 8:24	cost 34:1,7, 13,20,24 35:7	61:20 62:2,8, 20 63:3,11,18 64:4,7,13,18,	CPA 22:16 29:14	37:20 38:5 39:12,24 40:7 45:13 48:8,23
10:20 11:11 13:8,12 15:15,17	70:22 74:24 76:6 81:16 82:2 87:1,5,	21 65:3,9,12, 16,19 92:12, 21 93:4 95:8,	crappy 93:19	53:12,13 54:7 56:6
16:23 17:3,12 20:3 22:10,21 24:19,20	23 88:4 89:6, 7,8 90:11,12 93:19 94:20	11,13,21 96:1,10,15, 17,19,23	create 12:24 21:3 33:21	D
28:16 29:3,4 31:19 32:24 36:1,3,16,22	costs 91:14	97:1,5,8,12, 15,17,21 98:1,7 99:1,2,	created 35:14	Darren 29:12
37:1,16,21,23 39:8,13,17,22 40:1 41:1,4,	counsel 57:18 92:24	3,4,6,11,18 100:1,4,5,6, 18 101:1,15,	credit 55:17	date 43:10 61:14,17 62:9,11,12,
22,24 42:2,17 44:24 45:2 47:14,17,18	100:6,18	20 102:4,7, 18,23 103:2, 13,16	CROSS 3:2	22,23 63:8,9, 11,12,13,14, 15,19,20,23
48:15 49:9 50:8,9,23 51:22 52:15	country 94:8 COUNTY 1:7	Court's	examination 96:4	64:4,5,8,10, 14 78:12
54:6 55:11 56:15,18,24 58:9 60:1,2	couple 47:2	103:16 covenant	current 66:21	dated 4:15 5:3
61:16 63:16 64:11,12,23	65:12 89:17 93:7	21:4 50:16 52:8	currently 5:14	dates 12:19 61:8,12 62:3,
66:11,12,15, 16 96:16 97:16 100:5,	course 55:9 77:11	covenants 49:16 53:7	curtilage 80:18	4,6,18,24 63:1,2 64:9
20 101:6,7	Court 1:6 4:4,	54:12		day 63:20

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
Index: day-to-day..done

			2	- co daydone
67:16,17 83:18	56:17	24:19 51:10, 11 61:1,3	25:23 85:3	DISTRICT 1:6,8
day-to-day 67:11,14	Defendant 2:5	65:9,18,22 92:11,20,24 95:4,6,10,22 96:12 97:4,9,	different 65:13 69:19 70:21 94:7	dividend 58:24 59:12
deal 71:5	defendants 1:15 2:2 3:3 61:3 66:7	10 deposits	dimensions 69:18	dividends 26:24 58:19
debit 55:15	95:4 97:20	88:22	dining 91:17	59:14,23
debt 25:10	defense 101:3	Dept 1:12	direct 3:2	division 58:21
December 30:7,20 31:12	Definitely 69:15	derived 25:21	102:8	document 9:8 12:20,21
43:13,15,22 51:3	degree 71:12	describe 67:13	disappear 40:17	13:21 16:3,4, 5 19:11 31:7 51:2,9 63:23
decided 49:6 70:15	72:3 delivered	design 68:1, 9,16,22 75:5	discuss 66:14	documents
decision 54:7	61:18 62:5,13 63:21 64:3,5	78:3	discussed 7:20 19:2	4:5 17:21 20:15 36:12 102:12,13
decks 73:24	delivery	designer 89:8	54:8 95:7,10	Dodi 73:6
decorated 75:20	63:23 71:1 den 85:17	designers 68:17 69:9	discusses 31:9	dollar 93:18
decorator	90:10	detail 82:23	discussing 16:7	94:22
70:1 72:16 76:18 77:12	department 71:15	diagram 37:18	discussion	dollars 10:13 46:15 87:10, 11 89:16
decorators 67:16 69:21, 22 72:15	depending 87:11	Diana 73:5	30:1 42:4 78:3 83:13	91:12,14 Don 13:22
88:12	deposition	Diaz.' 68:22	distributions 46:20,24	
defaulted	4:12,15 5:2	difference		done 5:17

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018 Index: double..equivalent

				.eequivalent
24:2 35:3 49:1 55:20	drove 93:18	earnings 25:14,22	Eight 83:16	enough 39:16 45:7 90:22
58:1 72:8,9 75:19 77:17 79:3,5,6,7	dual 39:18,19 53:18	45:16,20 easier 15:10	eight- 93:17	entered 61:5
86:13 99:4,5 double 85:7	Dubai 75:7	easily 84:15	either 7:21 18:1 44:15 47:4 48:23,24	enterprises 6:8,9
double-check 30:14	due 16:17 18:6 21:7,10, 18 38:23 39:1	89:17 east 76:24	60:22 70:2 78:7	enters 80:21
doubt 57:12,	during 18:19 43:15 47:16	easy 90:22	elements 68:16	entertainment 84:16
13 down 18:2	58:20 96:3 99:15	Economics 45:8	elicited 58:18	entire 101:11
24:1 46:11 72:18 84:5	E	Edward 2:5	elves 4:5	entities 6:5
102:21 drafting 9:16	e-mail 29:13, 14,18,20 30:5	18:1 20:20 33:7,19 58:7 60:8 77:10, 11,22 83:2,5,	email 29:12 100:21	entity 54:11 entry 39:19
draperies 67:21 85:21	31:9,22 32:1, 3,10 58:3	8	employ 72:6 employed	53:18 80:19
drapery 67:4,	each 16:16 17:21 25:19	Edward's 78:4	67:1	equipment 84:18,22 85:1
6,9,23 68:8 73:15	62:21 69:20 75:17,18,19, 20	effect 83:10	encompasses 64:19	equity 21:1,3, 13,14,19 24:5 34:17 37:23
drapes 69:1 72:20 79:15, 19	Eacheach 75:17	effective 61:14 62:23 63:8,13,14 64:8,14	end 14:20 16:15 18:16 31:14 33:19 46:1 65:6,7	43:13 45:21 46:13 49:7,13 50:4,5,6,15, 19 52:5,11,20
draw 34:24 39:4	earlier 93:10	effects 43:19	70:11	53:8,22 54:3, 5 55:10,18 56:4,14 57:3,
Drive 1:21	early 88:15	effectuate 33:9	ended 43:15 44:17	4
	earned 43:14			equivalent

Index: Erika..fact

				· Elikalacu
74:22	22	examples 47:19	41:5,6,8 44:8, 23 45:9 48:12	7:3,4,9,17,21
Erika 1:20	events 46:8, 23	except 43:19 102:10	49:22 51:5, 12,20 56:21, 22 57:15,17,	expensive 73:3 76:13 87:17 94:24
Esq 1:20,21 2:3	every 83:18	excess 50:13	24 59:22 60:11,14 61:21,24	experience
essence 24:3, 4 45:21	Everybody 74:9	exchange	92:10,19,24 93:1,4,5 95:18,22	33:24
essentially	everything	57:10	96:1,2,7,21 97:1,2,3,13,	experts 81:15
25:8 establish	60:8 74:4 84:24 98:4	exclusively 71:6	15,16,18 99:15 101:10 102:2	explain 14:14 25:8,15,17 26:20
58:10	evidence 19:12,14	execute 70:7	exhibits 3:10	extra 70:22
established 56:19	20:1,6,9 93:2, 5 96:2 97:2, 18 101:24 102:2	executed 61:17	64:22 95:5,16 98:2 99:11 100:21 102:8	extremely 73:7 74:11
Estate 1:11	exact 78:12	exhibit 3:11, 12,13,14,15, 16 8:21,22	existed 31:13	eye 90:12
estimate 76:2 87:1,7 89:5,9 91:1	94:6	9:3,5 11:8,9, 14 12:13	existing 54:22	F
et al 1:14	exactly 70:12 71:3 77:20 82:5,7 83:17 85:23,24	13:9,10,16 15:13,14,21, 22 16:10 17:10 18:20	exists 23:23 90:23	fabric 70:5 86:4 87:1,3,6,
evaluation 64:8	86:12 examination	19:1,2,8,24 20:5,6,8 22:18,20,23	expect 101:8	18,21 88:4 89:16 90:13, 15 91:14
even 41:2 54:22 55:22	3:2 43:5	24:8,11,18,19 25:1 26:15 29:2,3,11	expectation 20:14 101:17	fabrics 89:14
75:1 84:24 101:5,23	example 48:21 49:15 63:7 68:20	30:16,18 31:10,13,16, 18,21 32:20,	expense 82:1	facilitating 68:23
event 33:21,	83:23	23 33:1 37:2, 3,5 38:14	expenses	fact 48:3

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018 Index: factory..gallery

				corygarrery
101:5 103:6	felt 7:10,12, 14	103:6	21,22	frame 88:14
factory 75:8	few 71:18 95:5	fine 11:5 42:21 92:4	folder 80:3 86:1,3,17 87:16	franchisees 6:11
61:10,15 62:19 63:2	file 16:5 17:1 86:21	finish 79:15 82:14,16	Follow 12:1	Frank 2:3 27:12 66:6
fair 13:6 34:1, 6,10,15 35:1,	files 100:23	finishing 85:8,12	follow-up 92:13	Free 42:20
9 39:16 42:21 45:7	film 22:12	firm 22:17 41:14 75:5	following 18:22 19:3	Friday 70:17 100:14
fairly 34:17 42:16 43:23	final 12:12,15 23:3 88:17,19	first 5:23 6:2 11:24 21:14	follows 25:18 26:6	front 14:23 45:9 49:22 65:21
familiar 11:15	financial 21:5 30:7 31:10	28:11 30:10 43:3 53:4 60:5 62:9	foot 75:18	fully 78:20
family 73:7, 20	41:13,17 42:5,9 43:1,4, 22,24 50:22	77:9 78:2 88:22 95:18	footer 11:22	furniture 84:23 88:9
fancy 82:19	51:3 52:2	Firstly 68:24	forbearance 96:4,7	further 64:13
far 58:18 94:18	financials 19:18,19 22:7 44:4 57:2 68:13	fit 21:4	forgot 22:12 77:8 102:23	100:16 101:18 102:4, 6
fast 43:16	financing	five 52:1 62:15 73:21	forward 4:8	
faster 20:10	36:2	fix 68:24 70:19	69:6	Och sielle
feedback 86:16	find 27:2 40:23 85:3 86:17 87:15	flew 72:16	four 44:22 62:6,14 83:18	Gabrielle 1:21
feel 6:24 7:2 69:17 84:4	90:17 94:14	78:5	fourth 77:21	gains 24:10 25:3
feet 73:15	findings	floor 75:10, 17,18,19,20,	foyer 91:18	gallery 91:17

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
Index: garden..hearing

				irdennearing
garden 74:2	31:16,20 32:22 33:2	98:1	50:21 52:7	happen 21:15 25:20 34:8
GARMAN 1:19	41:7,9 42:19, 21,23 43:18 45:3,6 51:9,	glass 73:22, 23	guy 72:17 73:4	57:6 78:4 85:17
Gary 29:13	15,18,22,24 52:13,23,24 57:16,20,23 60:3 61:1,12,	goes 16:20 23:24 24:1,3 40:4 67:20	guys 77:18 98:8	happened 19:16 30:22 33:22 46:8
gave 6:19 10:13 75:10	19,22 62:6,9, 17,21 63:4, 16,19 64:1,6,	gone 14:13	Н	47:20 54:18 56:12
general 5:15 82:4	12,16,19,23 65:1,4,11,14, 17,21 66:1,3,	40:7,8 47:5 good 4:4	half 67:16	happens 16:19 40:2
generally 18:11,13 26:8	6 77:10 80:21,24 81:2,3,18,20	22:13 26:5 54:23 66:4 68:12,21	hall 91:18	happy 8:7 22:1
65:1 67:19 94:1	85:10 86:18, 19 92:9,13, 17,23 93:6	69:17 70:16 73:11	hallway 85:16	hard 94:14
gentleman	95:3,9,12,14,	 	Hamm 1:21	l
74:20 77:5	23 96:3,13,	GORDON	61:11,16,23	hardware
getting 95:14	16,18,20 97:3,6,10,16, 19 99:5,13 100:8 101:7	1:19 great 47:11	62:14 63:7, 17,22 64:2, 11,17,24 81:17 93:3 97:13	86:7 having 14:22 17:21 50:21
Gilmore 2:3	102:6,17	ground 70.0	97.13	81:5
3:5,7 4:6,9,11	103:10	ground 78:8		
5:1,6,8 8:17			hand 34:9	 Hawaiian
9:2 10:18,21,	Gilmore's	group 69:20		72:9
23 11:8,13	60:6 100:19	99:23	handed 8:21	, 2.5
13:9,14 15:6,	00.0 100.13		11:14 13:6,15]
13,17,19		guarantors.'	15:21 29:11	head 25:24
17:17 18:20	give 9:19 46:7	52:6	31:21	
19:1,6,8,20,	47:19 48:3			headboard
24 20:7,14,18 22:18,22	68:19,20 69:7	guess 102:19	hands 42:13	88:2
24:11,16,20,	70:4,16 76:1	guess 102.18	72:20	
22 27:10,19	86:16 87:7 89:21 91:3		1	heard 38:4
28:3,5,8,11,	03.2131.3	Gursey 30:4	 	53:4
18 29:1,5,10		41:14 42:7	handwriting	33
	giving 52:18	43:1 44:8	12:1,4 32:14	hearing

Index: help..income

				neipincome
103:2,6	highlighted	63:7 64:24	95:1	94:5,14
103.2,0			95.1	94.5,14
	44:23	81:17 92:19		
help 37:18		95:3,24	houses 76:24	impacted
11 cip 37.10	Hills 66:22	96:22,24	82:9 83:18	48:17
		97:19,24		40.17
helped 92:23	82:18	99:13,14	84:11 93:19	
		100:3,8,19	94:1,3	important
	himself 10:9			91:5
here 20:20	minsen 10.9	102:3,6,16,17		91.5
23:16,18		103:11,12	hundred	
25:20 26:7,14	hired 75:7		87:10,11	impossible
37:11 39:4	illied 75.7	HONODARIE	89:16,17	89:11
		HONORABLE	91:12,14,23,	09.11
40:19 44:23	history 26:6	1:8	24	
52:17 53:5	75:12		- '	impressive
63:5 66:7	70.12	honofulls:		76:9
68:11 69:20		hopefully	ĺ	76.9
77:4 78:1	hit 55:14	11:19 68:11		
79:14 80:6,		70:6		inappropriate
				7:11
17,24 84:14	hold 45:7		Ii 83:18	7.11
90:3,9,10,19,		host 66:14		
21 91:16 92:7				Inc.' 44:1
99:13	holding 35:13	hotels 73:6	idea 26:1	
			87:5,20,23	
		75:6	91:2 94:23	Inc.'s 13:20
here's 81:7	home 84:15			
90:7 91:24	85:3	house 69:23		
			ideas 68:22	inception
	•	70:2,4,18	69:7	11:2
Hey 47:10	homeowners	72:13,18,24		
	70:15	73:1,14 74:5,		
hovdov 71:46		9,10,12,14,19	identification	include 43:5
heyday 71:16	hamaa 00:04	75:8,16 76:6,	11:9	
	homes 82:21	9,14,16 77:2,		1
hide 85:9,13		21 78:2,4,14,		included
inde 00.3,10	honest 86:2		identified	35:20 58:12
	11011631 00.2	15,17,18	17:24 20:16	
high 7:3,10,		80:11,13,15	61:3 95:16	
14,21	Honor 4:9	81:4,5,11,13,		including
,	8:22 10:18	15,23 82:1,7,		89:6,7 90:13
	18:20 20:4	9,10,14 83:5,	identify 38:22	
high-end		7,9,13,19		income 40:0
68:1,3,5 71:6	24:11 28:8,22	84:8 85:21		income 16:2
20,0,00	32:22 41:7	89:5 93:18,20	imaginable	21:16 32:11
	51:5 57:16		74:5	43:15 46:16
		94:5,6,11,18,		97:11
highlight 52:1	61:2 62:14			31.11
highlight 52:1	61:2 62:14	19,20,21,24	imagine 76:5	97.11

Index: incorporated..Jiffy

incorporated 19:17	indicating 17:11 23:17	inn 72:12	intention 20:17	invoices 88:8
increase	30:15 31:8 33:23 43:7 73:17 77:8,17	input 13:7,23	intents 23:22	involved 68:15
18:17 50:5	80:9 81:6,7,9 84:10,19	insert 48:3	inter-	involvement
increases 54:2,4 56:4	85:2,6 87:16 88:7 89:20	inspection 61:14 63:9,	company 14:11,15,17	8:13 9:16
increasing	90:2,7,9,11, 21 91:16,24 92:10	15,20 64:15 69:17	16:9 17:20	Islands 72:9
55:9 incredible	indicator 35:8	installation 77:24 86:5	interest 12:22 26:23,24 43:13	issued 42:8 43:1
94:13	individual	90:16	interim 103:5	Istanbul 72:8, 12,16,20 73:2
independent 43:3	25:19 27:21	installations 70:17 88:14	interrupt	lt'sit's
	individually 6:5,8		103:1	73:19 74:10
independentl y 13:3	industry 34:5	installed 73:11 79:17 87:2,6,13	into 19:24 20:6,9 40:10 48:3 55:14	itit 88:22
INDEX 3:1 India 72:8	influence 87:20	instance 34:15	61:5 79:15 80:19 82:13 93:5 96:2	item 18:5 48:1,17
	information	instead 12:20	97:2,18 102:2	
Indian 74:20	6:19,23 7:1 10:6 12:24	57:9	intricate 81:5	
indicate 11:15 90:14	13:4,7 14:6, 10 22:8 33:13,15	insurance 26:23,24 58:19	introduced 5:23	January 88:23
indicated 16:11 23:3	60:7,18 74:11	intend 102:7	investment	Jennifer 4:22
52:18	infuse 55:10		27:6	Jessman 61:4,6,9,13
indicates 30:5,10 58:3	infused 47:22	intent 32:19 33:3	invited 78:13	Jiffy 6:11
	initially 99:22		invoice 90:14	Jan. y 5.11

Index: Jim..Lippes

Jim 87:17	33:22	74:19	Leonard 1:10, 23 100:22	49:1,12 63:6 67:12 72:18
job 75:4	knowledge 8:12 28:2	Las 1:22	101:4,5,8	73:19 74:6 75:9 76:24
JUDGE 1:8	known 71:8	last 39:1 63:19 66:19	less 34:7 74:24 93:22	79:16 81:13, 15,23 82:1,9, 10 84:4,10,
JUDICIAL 1:6	77:12	77:22	94:2	14,24 86:15, 23 88:3 89:6
JULIE 1:24	knows 58:4,7 74:10	later 19:9 71:18 83:16,	let 15:20 20:10 27:2 30:2,14 34:12	91:22 92:2,9 93:1 94:2,7 95:5,17
K	Krausz 29:13	17	46:22 48:3 86:17 89:21	100:16
keep 84:2		law 1:19 2:2 103:7	98:7	liked 75:13
KERNAN		lawyer 42:19	letter 62:10	line 5:6,13 8:8,12,20
1:24	L.A. 93:23	lease 49:16	level 50:14 94:10	10:15,16,21 13:9 15:7
key 90:12	labor 86:5 88:4 90:20	52:8,17 54:12	liabilities	17:9,10,23,24 18:5 20:19 22:23 27:20
kick 56:13	lack 44:14 57:5	leasehold 52:9	48:13 50:8,12 54:22 55:1	28:3,12 29:1 30:10 31:16
killed 73:5	lady 91:3	leases 21:24 52:19	liability 47:24 48:2,9,22	32:1,18 33:24 47:13,20 48:1,17 57:14
kind 5:13 26:6 68:24 73:24 102:23	Laguna 77:18	least 31:7	49:5,12 56:11 57:7	60:3 66:1 70:8 81:2,20 82:17 85:5
kinds 46:7	laid 78:8	leaves 47:12 65:4	liar 34:11	Linen 71:19
kitchen 79:5 81:7 87:18	land 94:19	led 33:16	life 67:11 83:19	lines 10:17
knew 34:23	large 75:5 76:4,19	left 21:13 47:4	like 4:6 5:20 13:19 26:6 33:22 45:12	lion's 21:2 47:20
knowing	largest 73:14	legacy 73:15	48:20,21	Lippes 99:16

Index: list..may

	1		•	1
100:9,24 101:11	6:12 67:5,8 68:12 75:23 77:3 86:8	Lovelace 95:19	make 14:22 33:16 36:4 48:21 82:3	8:21 11:8 13:10,16 15:13,21
list 98:2	longer 23:22	Lube 6:11	92:2 98:3,4 101:7	18:21,24 19:8 22:19 29:2 31:17 51:5,10
listed 17:4	looked 25:20	lunch 83:4	making 101:3	61:20 92:19 95:7,8,9,11
literally 77:13	86:2	м	Malibu 84:13 94:20,21	96:7,11
little 45:23 68:2,5 72:14 75:8	looking 26:5 31:7 40:19 59:21 60:11 69:14 90:5	M-2 46:11 47:13 48:1,17	man's 72:13,	market 34:2, 6,10,15 35:1, 9 82:4 93:22 94:10
live 96:13	looks 4:6 11:15 13:19	machine 92:7	manage 70:19	markets 93:23
living 91:17	86:23 88:15	Madam 20:7		
LLP 1:19	loose 73:18	made 7:1 42:1 46:20	management 22:14,15	master 52:8 88:1,2,5 90:7
loaded 34:9	Los 75:9 76:14 79:14	54:15 96:18	Manhattan 73:20	match 17:10
loan 11:16 32:13 56:17	82:7,19 84:12,14,20 93:19 94:6	main 91:18 maintain 52:10 53:8	manufacturin g 67:4,6 68:9	material 42:20 43:24 89:7
loans 17:7,23 18:1,6,14	loss 46:14	54:12	many 5:18 68:18 93:16	Mathias 99:16 100:9,
locally 80:1	lot 38:3,4 54:23 68:11 74:24 78:21	maintenance 52:4,19 100:23	March 65:22	24 101:11
locate 16:12	79:2,5 89:10 94:2		mark 50:24	matter 94:1
located 16:13	lots 81:5	major 84:20 85:3	61:4 77:12 78:6 88:10,24	may 4:10,15, 24 5:3 8:5
London 72:9	89:15 91:14	majority 25:22	90:11 91:8 92:10 96:20	12:7 14:12 15:12 17:20 34:3 49:21
long 5:21	loud 52:2		marked 3:10	

Index: maybe..motor

65:19 71:15	measuring	Michael 3:6	66:15	Morabito 1:11
76:8 77:20	78:23	65:5,14,22		2:5 5:24 6:12
79:6,24 88:18		66:20,23 67:1	minimum	7:16,20 8:9,
89:20 102:21	meet 6:2	68:21 86:20	52:4,10,20	13,16,18 9:7,
		89:1,2 91:19	32.4, 10,20	15 10:14
1 . 54.47	67:15 69:23			11:20 20:21
maybe 54:17	70:2		minute 19:9	25:5 31:22
78:10 82:19		mid 88:15		33:7 35:13,
	meetings			14,21 36:6,
MBA 71:13,	69:11	middle 74:1,2	minutes	14,21,24
20,22	00.11	76:24	86:10 89:21	49:24 50:12
20,22		70.24		55:13 56:22
	memorializing		misread 15:6	
mean 8:3	30:6	might 16:12		58:15,20
34:5,6,7		38:12 43:20	81:18	59:2,14,18,24
42:10,11,22		49:24 59:24		60:9,21,23
44:6,14 51:14	memory 53:1,	62:12,15 83:9	misspoke	96:11
67:2 68:3	4	87:6 95:15	51:18	
70:14 71:7		98:3	00	Morabito's
78:18 82:7,24	mentioned	30.0		24:7,9,24
83:19 84:2,4	70:8 83:23		misstate	24.7,9,24 25:2 27:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	93:10	mill 81:11	42:22	
85:23 87:10,	93.10			96:3,13 97:11
17 88:8 89:14		!!!!a 40:40		
91:2 93:14	merely 40:17	million 16:10	misstatement	Morabito/
94:7,12	•	18:15 20:21	42:20	superpumper
		23:12 25:9,11		44:20,21
meaning	merge 14:12,	30:24 34:13,	moldings	11.20,21
44:22 92:21	19 40:3 53:12	20 39:14,23	79:1	
77.22 32.21	54:7,11	45:24 46:1,	70.1	more 34:12
		13,15 50:13,		48:3 54:17
means 42:15,	merged 38:9	19 52:4,10,20	moment 28:8	77:2 93:20
22 53:18	inergeu 36.9	53:8 55:13		
		56:20,21	Monday 98:3	
	merger 16:19	76:15 [°]	INIUIIUAY 90.3	morning 4:4
meant 51:15	38:5,6,12			
	48:6,11,16		money 18:18	most 55:1
measure	49:21 50:1,8	million-four	36:6 47:22	68:2 69:9
70:3,4 78:16,		36:9	71:19 73:8	71:7 76:8,13,
22			94:14,15	23 94:8
	mergers 38:4	mind 57:12	'	1 2000
		90:4	_	
measured	met 77:11	JU. 4	month-by-	motor 70:19
73:10			month 10:13	
		mine 12:5		

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018 Index: motorization..obviate

motorization 69:4,5 79:13	Naz 29:12,14 30:3,6	74:7 86:2	noted 80:23 101:12,15	numbers 25:16 38:18
		new 49:11		44:10 46:10
motors 79:13	Nearly 67:7	11CW 43.11	notes 13:23	88:11 95:14
11101013 79.13	incarry 07.7		17:5 18:9,11	98:9,10
		next 4:11	19:21 20:20,	
moved 8:3	necessarily	27:18 28:9,13	22 21:3,6,10,	0
	17:15 90:2	103:2	11,14,18,22	l
movie 70:18			22:9,16,17	
85:3	need 37:12	nine 83:17	31:11 38:23	
00.0	61:6 64:20	103:3	39:6,10 41:13	oath 4:18
	68:22 70:5	100.0	42:5 43:6,11,	
much 21:2	100:1,15		14,21 44:15,	objection
70:5 84:3	100.1,10	nine,' 43:7	16,18,20	21:20 22:3
85:3 87:13			50:21 52:19	52:12,21
	needed 85:24	nodding	54:15 79:21	80:22,23 93:3
Mukesh	90:15	49:18	86:9,16 98:5	95:24 96:9,
74:18			00.0,10 00.0	23,24 97:7
'	needing			99:19,21
	101:18	NONJURY	notes,' 44:22	100:11,17
Multi-million-		1:17		101:2,11,12,
dollar 82:21			Nothing	16,17,23,24
	neglected	North 66:22	102:5,6	102:10,15,16
Mumbai	96:6	1101111 00:22	102.0,0	
73:14				l
. •	neighborhood	notations	November	objection's
	s 84:12	42:1	1:17 4:1 11:3	101:2
must 81:16			88:15 103:3,	
82:2 83:4		note 9:6,17,	17	objections
	Neither 10:18	20,23 11:3		100:9 101:14
l N		16:10 20:23	nowhere 18:4	
	net 14:21	21:20 22:3	34:6 41:2	 ab aat a
	31:4 43:14	30:11,12,18,		obligations
name 4:20	46:16	23 31:13		50:1,7 55:21
		35:16,24	number 25:22	
5:10 22:12	Name de 4.0	36:10,13,15,	32:10 34:13,	obligor 39:12
65:12,13	Nevada 1:6,	24 38:22 39:9	14,20,23	40:10,12
66:6,18,19	17,22 2:4 4:1	40:8 43:7	45:19,23 48:1	1
77:9	104:1	44:7 49:2	50:4,6 53:23	ahtaine d
		52:1,12,21	54:14,21	obtained
names 22:13	never 28:1	57:9 58:12	56:13 57:4	100:23
	33:11 72:21	59:23 96:10	59:19 62:13	
				obviate 61:5

Index: obviously..parent

				louslyparenc
obviously 53:18	13,14	28:7 45:3	45:23 72:7 73:10 93:20	P-R-O-K-O-P 4:23
occupation 67:3	offering 100:20	only 14:21 34:20,23 65:4 71:5 95:15	94:8 overruled	packing 90:19 91:23
occur 46:23 47:15	office 10:4 11:17 12:20 14:4 16:4 22:4 26:13	open 4:13,14 5:2 73:24	101:1,2,13, 16,18 102:1 owed 36:9	padded 79:20,22
occurred 46:5,9 47:3	32:8 72:23	opened 4:16 65:9	48:10 59:24	pages 65:6
64:10	oftentimes 70:9	operating 7:6	owes 40:6 own 60:8	paid 11:20 25:13 45:17,
occurring 33:12	on-site 69:16	opinion 42:8, 14 43:19	67:4,8 73:6	20 48:24 49:3,6 55:19 57:5 59:2
October 6:14 11:22 31:22	once 16:14 17:19 44:18 69:6 92:11	52:18 68:19	owned 37:22 67:8 74:17,18	73:11 Palisade
ofof 87:16	one 4:14	order 10:5 18:11 21:3 49:12 54:12	owner 28:1 56:6	82:19
off 6:21 18:24 19:19 25:24 31:3 48:24	14:20 16:15 28:8,9,13 33:16 39:1	70:6,7 90:14 102:18	owners 53:7	Palm 77:19 PAMAZ 17:6
63:3,4 75:21 85:13	40:6,10,13 46:14 47:5,6 48:3,23	original 12:20	owning 33:19	paneling
offer 19:24 20:17 93:1	54:16,21 55:21 60:24 62:13,16	otherwise 43:9 55:2	owns 59:14	81:6,8
95:5,17 96:6, 8,21 97:6 99:22 100:2	66:10 71:15 77:19 82:19 88:8 95:15	ourselves 43:10 47:11	Р	Panorama 80:15
offered 20:17	99:16	outside 79:7 80:18	P-A-U-L 77:15	papers 14:23 18:3
99:12,15,17, 18,23 100:12, 13,21 101:9,	one-acre 74:2	over 17:18	P-I-N-D-L-E-R 90:24	paren 43:6
14 102:10,12,	ones 7:18	25:16 36:15	30.24	parent 37:20

Index: part..plus

		1	1	I
38:12,24	pastures	paying 21:24	8:14 36:5,15,	22:21 24:14
39:12 40:3,13	80:18	36:6,15 51:19	19,20 49:11	28:4,6,9,12,
54:22 55:22		58:15 59:12	57:2,3 58:5	16,22 29:4
			59:17,24	31:19 32:24
	patient			40:18 52:12,
part 6:3 19:17	102:20	payments		21 57:19 59:6
35:15,19		9:22,24 10:1,	Philadelphia	63:6 80:21,23
54:21 58:11	patients	3,10,11,24	71:12,15	81:1 92:14,22
68:2 100:13	11:20	12:2,7,10,18,		93:7 95:24
	-	22 36:20	phone's 63:4	96:9,24 97:7,
particular		56:17		23 99:14,20
34:15 35:8	Paul 1:11			100:3,19
101:10	5:23 6:12 8:9,	people 38:12	photocopies	102:3,5,16
101.10	18 9:7 12:1	55:1 69:19	92:3	103:12,15
	18:1 24:7,9,	75:8 93:17		103.12,13
parties 33:8	24 25:2 27:21	94:13	photocopy	
43:6,11,22	31:22 33:7,20	94.13	92:7	Pilatowicz'
48:18 59:13	35:21 36:6,		92.1	60:4
61:4 62:24	13,20,23	Pepperdine		
	44:19,21	71:13,22,23	picked 94:19	Pindler 90:23
	58:5,20 59:2,			Pinaler 90:23
parties' 32:19	13,17,20,24		nielse 04.5	
47:16	60:9 77:12,14	percent	picks 24:5	Pindler's 91:4
	78:6 91:8	43:12,14 68:6		
partner 30:4	97:11		picture 73:17	05.0
68:14		Perfect 92:2	88:3	piping 85:6
	Paul's 23:24	l		place 72:22
partners	24:3	permissible	pictures 80:4,	77:19
47:10		76:1	17	
	pay 47:11			
party 40:6,7	[,	personal	piece 94:19	places 72:5
42:4 44:7		23:24 24:4	"	76:24
102:9	payable	60:10	 	
102.0	14:21 16:16] 55.15	Pike 1:20	plaintiff 1:13,
	31:3 39:24		100:14	19,23 97:22
passageway	40:17,20 48:9	personally		101:22 102:5
90:1	53:13,15,16	7:6 10:9 68:7	Pilatowicz	101.22 102.3
	54:9		1:20 8:24	
passing		perspective	11:6,11 13:12	plane 72:17
60:20	navables	55:4	15:15 18:23	
00.20	payables 14:11	35.4	19:4,7,14,16,	plus 25:13,23
	14:11		22 20:4,12	pius 25:13,23
past 7:15		Petroleum	22 20.4,12	
				I

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018
Index: pockets..published

				etspublished
pockets 55:14	40:15	primarily 54:17 71:5	1:14 103:17	proper 22:3
point 24:7,24 35:8,18 85:20	prepare 6:19 7:23 11:18 16:3,4 21:16	100:13 principal	produced 32:8 102:9	properly 65:13
pointed 34:12 101:15	22:4 prepared	12:22 53:6 principals	producer 70:18	properties 8:10 65:2 82:13
position	9:11 10:4 11:17,21 12:21 13:21	47:6,16,23 48:23 49:11	product 79:15	property
43:24 56:17 possible 80:1	22:6 23:6 41:13 44:8	printout 13:19	production 100:10,13	69:17 82:4 94:22 95:1
89:8 92:2,5	preparing 6:24 44:12	prior 22:16 28:7 37:14	101:12	proprietor 7:7
Possibly 48:19	present 43:23 67:3	77:22	project 69:23 73:13 75:23 76:10,17	protruding 74:2
post-sale 36:5	presented	probability 44:16	77:18 89:5,13 projects	provide 67:19 86:4 87:3 103:5
post-transfer 36:5	42:16 pretty 71:7	probably 12:18 15:4,8 18:10 27:14,	70:10 72:11 76:11	provided 9:13
practically 40:9	83:21 84:3 previously 19:18 22:19	15 57:3 72:10 74:7 76:13 77:24 78:5 89:14 90:19, 22	Prokop 4:19, 22 5:1,5 24:23 65:6,8, 21,24 66:2	10:4,7,8 12:19,24 22:8,11,15 32:11 41:20 60:18 103:8
practice 6:3 35:4	58:22 61:3 101:2,9,16	problem	81:19 85:11 102:22 103:1	providing 79:13
pre-merger 48:14	price 70:9,13, 22 82:4 90:22 91:8	44:11,14 70:17	promissory 9:6,17,20,23 57:9	provisions 52:5
premium 70:23,24	prices 94:7	proceed 4:24 65:20	prompted 6:15	published
preparation	pricing 87:20	proceedings		4:13,16 65:10

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018 Index: pullredirect				
pull 45:9 85:24 86:9	question-and 69:12	range 86:3 91:1	rebuttal 97:22,23	recognize 9:3 12:15 13:16 14:2 15:22
purchase 35:15,19 58:11 90:14	questions 19:10 45:15, 19 46:3 60:7	read 10:19 15:6 44:3 50:21 52:2,14	recall 6:9 8:6 10:6,11,24 27:22 29:20	41:16 52:17 59:6 77:5,7 80:9
purports 31:21	69:12 75:10 91:20 92:14 93:8	54:13 61:4,6 81:20 98:9 99:23	30:12 32:3 60:9,16 78:3 81:11 83:8	recognized 52:8 58:11
purposes 23:22 37:18 42:3 51:1	quick 57:15, 24 86:8 93:8	reading 4:11 28:15,21,23	receivable 13:24 14:3,20 16:11,15 17:6	recollection 10:12 12:6 80:5
put 21:3,19, 23 55:14	quiet 102:24 quit 34:15	readings 95:4 reads 65:14	22:9 31:3,11 38:22,23 39:6,20 40:17 43:6,11,21	reconciliation 16:8
56:16 81:13, 23 94:19	quite 77:20	ready 4:8	53:13,19,21 54:2,9 56:2	record 4:21 5:10 20:15 61:4,6 66:19
Q	quote 70:5,6 88:11	real 22:13 57:15,24	receivables 13:19 14:11, 15,17 16:9 17:5 21:10,11	86:18,22 99:24 101:4, 23 102:11
qualified 42:8,14	quotes 87:15	really 25:6 76:3 78:22 86:2 89:23	40:20 44:15	record's 4:21
quality 81:10, 15 82:1 83:11,22 85:1,2 question 8:15	Raffles 27:6, 24 28:1 58:18 59:7,12,19,23	reason 5:19 29:22 32:5 49:6,10 50:10 53:12 101:13	receive 33:13 received 6:23 7:1 9:23 11:1 12:2,7,10 32:12 33:16 58:24 60:7	records 8:22 11:9 13:10 15:14 22:19 29:2 31:17 32:22 57:16
14:23 17:22 21:17,21 23:23 24:22 26:5 27:10,18	raise 100:9 101:10	reasonable 41:15	receiving 26:24	RECROSS 3:2
31:6 34:13 42:12 62:6 88:10	raised 42:12	reasons 49:21 54:23 77:4 101:1	recess 103:16	recruited 71:14
	ranch 82:13		103.10	redirect 3:2

Index: reduce..resulted

60:4 reduce 25:10	reflected 12:22 14:24 15:3 16:10, 13,21,24 17:1	release 102:8 remain 30:19	4:1 78:2,4,13 79:18 81:12, 22 82:4,11,13 84:3 87:16	represent 21:9,17 41:12 66:6
reduction 46:10	18:9 24:8 25:1,11 27:20 32:20 36:20,	remained 30:11	93:11,18,22 94:3,4,22	require 46:23 52:9
refer 38:1	23 47:13 56:21,22 61:8 62:4 63:1	remarking 82:24 83:8	Renothe 94:6	required 52:19 53:7
reference 26:20 96:18	reflecting 59:22	remember 6:20,21 7:18	repayment 32:13 59:23	requirement 20:24 50:18
referenced 97:3	reflective 46:16	8:5 9:14 11:3, 4 21:2 27:1 30:14 31:2,4 36:10 44:19	repeat 62:2 81:19	residential 68:4,5,6 74:19
referred 16:8 18:21 20:8 43:23 51:2	reflects 31:11	50:20 60:21 73:4 77:20 78:7,12 79:4,	replaced 28:18	respect 32:19
62:22 95:6,21 96:4	refresh 12:6 80:5	12,21,22 81:5,6,8 82:24 83:17,	reply 100:18	33:4,12 36:12 42:8 44:7 45:16 48:1 61:13 68:15
referring 14:18 19:18 23:19 26:4	regard 82:3 98:6	18,19 85:18, 20 87:8 93:14 95:15	report 42:15 43:1,3 44:4 50:17 54:13	101:9
27:11 43:2 51:9 60:19 62:15 84:21	regarding 13:23 30:6	remind 4:17	62:10,11 63:20 64:4	respects 43:24
refers 31:6,8	related 7:4 9:19 42:4	remove 4:5	Reported 1:24	respond 8:2 response
reflect 8:22 11:9 13:10	43:6,11,22 44:7	removed 28:4,11 30:11 48:22	reporter 11:7 43:17 92:12	47:1 49:17
17:24 22:19 29:2 31:17 32:22 36:12	relating 64:21	renew 100:11,16	reports 61:13,17	rest 67:17 75:12 95:4 97:20
46:23 49:2 57:16 62:12	relationship 8:9 34:1,14	Reno 1:17 2:4	62:4,22,23 63:1 64:9	resulted
	ı			I

Index: resulting..seeking

43:20 46:9	Rich 72:13	65:15 66:20	satisfaction 56:20	23:9 25:16
resulting 58:19	richer 75:1	S-T-A-N-T-O- N 5:11	satisfied 21:23 22:2	scenario 68:20 69:10
	rid 21:13		21.20 22.2	
results 54:8 retail 34:8 68:2	ridiculous 94:12	said 35:6 43:2 51:13 60:5 72:19 76:6 86:21	satisfy 43:10 saw 35:17	schedule 11:17 12:18 25:3,5,9,16 46:11 47:13
retained 25:14,22	rights 59:14, 20	sale 24:1 33:6,9 36:14	72:21 77:1,2, 22 82:7 93:18	48:17
45:16,20 58:20	rival 84:11	sales 68:13 69:20	say 5:22 6:1 8:4 11:22 13:6 22:11	25:18 Schneider
return 6:20, 24 7:10,24	ROBISON 2:2	salesmanship	23:18 32:16 44:21 47:6,10 51:7 61:11	30:4 41:14 42:7 43:2 44:8 50:21
9:12 14:7 15:11 16:2 18:4 21:5,16	Romans 69:2 room 84:10,	68:10 SALVATORE	62:11 67:23 68:3,8,21 71:8 77:19	52:7
22:24 23:4,7, 24 24:2,4,8,9 25:1,2 26:6,9,	11,14 85:2 91:17	2:5	82:8 83:2 84:10,24 97:5	Schoenborn 66:22
16 30:13 31:23 40:24 45:10 58:5	roughly 6:13 46:15	Sam 18:1 20:20 32:12 35:14 55:12	saying 21:19 56:7 84:2	school 71:11
97:11	RPR 1:24	56:21 96:3, 13,15	87:4 96:23	scope 43:4 79:11
returned 102:14	ruled 102:14	same 23:16 37:5 46:11	says 12:1 30:20 32:10 45:3 58:7	sealed 75:21
returns 7:18 10:5 15:1 16:12,22 17:1	running 67:17	67:10 84:2 94:6 100:9, 11,17,22	64:14 77:10 92:12	second 1:6 29:13
18:12 24:15 27:21 40:19	s	101:1,10,13, 14	SBCPA2445 26:18	section 51:10
44:12 review 18:11	S-E-W-I-T-Z	sat 72:18 75:9	SBCPA2485	seeking 99:21

Index: seemed..somebody

seemed 22:1	67:18	sheet 15:5,9 17:9 23:20	70:18	sitting 40:18 47:7 53:5
seen 9:8 74:6 76:8,11,23 80:11 81:8	session 69:13	25:12 27:14 38:19 45:13 49:13 50:14	shown 25:9	six 30:24
84:1,12 98:8	set 36:18 103:2	53:11 56:2,5, 14	shows 57:4 signed 64:2	size 76:2
sell 21:12 33:18 94:2	setup 84:16	sheets 26:3	significant	sky 74:1,3
Seller-carried 36:2	seven 48:17	shook 72:20	48:9	skyscraper 73:18
send 29:23	seven- 93:17	shop 66:14	significantly 34:11	slash 16:17
32:6 sending	several 39:10	short 7:23 shortly 11:2	signing 57:9	slip 90:19
29:20 32:3	several- hundred-	should 14:21	silk 87:9,10, 14,18	slips 91:23
sense 36:4 48:21 98:3	thousand- dollar 89:12	17:14 23:14, 16,23 25:6 28:18 30:11,	silks 89:21	small 25:23
sent 28:6,7 79:14	Sewitz 3:6 65:5,15,22 66:20 92:24	19 47:6 58:4 90:17 91:2 99:22 101:15	similar 46:14	Snowshoe 8:9,13 16:17 31:4 36:5,15,
separate 89:20	shades 69:1	shoulder	similarly 101:17	19,20 49:11 57:2,3 58:5, 15 59:3,17,24
September 13:24 30:18	79:15,16,19 share 21:2	17:18 show 24:4	simply 70:9 95:10 100:8, 16	Snowshoe/ cwc 14:19
37:14 62:11, 15,16 63:8 64:2,3	shareholders 17:7,24 18:14	49:12 50:13 80:4 99:18	since 86:3	sold 23:15 35:13
service 70:16	49:7	showed 7:8 24:13 59:6	sister 72:19	sole 7:7
71:2	SHARP 2:2 She'll 70:2	showing	sit 102:20	somebody
services 8:1	Jile II / U.Z	I	I	I

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018 Index: Somebody's..stipulating

sounds 41:15 63:6	65:15,16 77:14	Stan 5:2 61:2	statement 27:14 30:7
South 71:11	spells 65:17	Stan's 19:21	32:11 41:18 42:5 43:1 50:22 82:3
speak 62:18	spend 37:8	bernstein- cpabiz.com	statements 31:10 41:13
speaking 40:9	spending 94:13		42:9 43:4,23 51:3 52:2
specialize 69:5	spent 76:10	11:16 85:8,12	states 72:10
specializes	SPI 38:1,5 39:9 53:12,	standards 73:2	stay 84:7
specialty		Stanton 3:4 4:11,15 5:11	STEINHEIME R 1:8
67:24 68:8 70:16 71:24	21:9,18,23,24 22:3 50:16	stapled 85:13	step 102:21
specific 7:4 67:24	Spirit's 21:4	staples 85:13	still 4:18 10:20,21 21:24 30:19
specifically	spoken 66:10	start 4:20 14:14 15:20	31:13 90:23 91:4
specifics	Springs 77:19	started 27:1 88:22	stipulate 19:22 63:10
spell 5:9	square 73:15 75:18	starting 28:7 73:13 80:19	100:6 stipulated
66:18 74:15	stable 69:20	81:20	61:9,10,14 62:19 63:2
65:12,13	stamp 41:23	starts 28:9 state 1:6 5:9	stipulating 20:2 62:3,7,
spelling	sampea 51:4	66:18 104:1	17,24 63:12, 14 64:7,9,13
	63:6 South 71:11 speak 62:18 speaking 40:9 specialize 69:5 specializes 75:6 specialty 67:24 68:8 70:16 71:24 specific 7:4 67:24 specifically 51:4 specifics 33:14 spell 5:9 66:18 74:15 spelled 65:12,13	South 71:11 spells 65:17 speak 62:18 spend 37:8 speaking 40:9 spending 94:13 specialize 69:5 specializes 75:6 SPI 38:1,5 39:9 53:12, 18,21,24 54:1 specialty 67:24 68:8 70:16 71:24 specific 7:4 67:24 spoken 66:10 specifically 51:4 specifics 33:14 square 73:15 75:18 spell 5:9 66:18 74:15 stable 69:20 spelled 65:12,13 stamped 51:4	63:6 77:14 South 71:11 spells 65:17 speak 62:18 spend 37:8 stan@ bernstein-cpabiz.com 29:17 speaking 40:9 spending 94:13 standard 11:16 85:8,12 specialize 69:5 spent 76:10 standard 11:16 85:8,12 specializes 75:6 SPI 38:1,5 39:9 53:12, 18,21,24 54:1 standards 73:2 specialty 67:24 68:8 70:16 71:24 Spirit 20:24 21:9,18,23,24 22:3 50:16 stapled 85:13 specific 7:4 67:24 Spirit's 21:4 staples 85:13 staples 85:13 specifically 51:4 spoken 66:10 start 4:20 14:14 15:20 specifics 33:14 square 73:15 75:18 starting 28:7 73:13 80:19 81:20 spell 5:9 66:18 74:15 stable 69:20 starts 28:9 spelled 65:12,13 starts 28:9

NONJURY TRIAL - 11/07/2018 Index: stipulation..terms

				ziacionccimb
stipulation	Street 2:3	Superpumper	82:12	tax 5:15 7:9
20:13 29:9	66:22	1:14 13:20		9:19 14:7,24
61:5,7 62:1		17:1 25:6		15:11 16:2,
101:21		30:7 31:4	sustained	12,21 17:1
101.21	strike 58:14	35:13,20	99:19,21	•
	59:16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	102:11	18:4,12,15
stipulations		37:14,23		21:16 22:24
64:20		38:9,24 39:1,		24:2,8,9,14
020	stuff 78:21	7,20 42:9	system 72:19	25:1,2 26:3,8,
	79:3,16 84:19	43:24 44:12,		16 27:21
stock 25:23	94:14	18 47:5	_	40:14,16,19,
35:12,20		48:10,14	T	23 44:12 55:4
37:23 58:11		51:3,4,11,20		58:5 97:11
07.20 00.11	Subject 31:23	52:9 53:7		00.0 07.11
			take 15:10	
stockholder	submitted	54:5,8 56:2,9	34:19,20 37:4	taxable 33:21
45:21 49:13		58:11 95:19	•	
50:3,6,14	19:15	96:5	38:14 52:1	
52:5,11,20			57:14,24	taxpayer
53:8,22 54:4	subsequent	Cuparnumnar	75:23 83:23	25:19
	10:5 12:21	Superpumper	86:8,11 88:6	
55:10,17	10.5 12.21	's 52:9		
56:4,14			l	ten 91:24
	subsidiary	supplemental	Takemoto	
stockholders	37:15 38:12	100:10	29:13	ten-million
		100.10		
53:6 54:11	39:21 40:3,13		taken 18:18	93:17
56:8		support		
	substantially	101:21	55:13	tend 94:2
stockholders'	37:22 93:22	101.21		tona 54.2
	31.22 93.22		taking 47:17	
43:13		suppose	_	Teresa 1:20
	such 84:8	86:10	48:18	
stop 5:21				
46:22			talk 40:2 45:8	term 21:8
40.22	suggest 12:9	surprise	78:1 83:5	
		36:19	10.100.0	
stopped 5:19	0 14 4 22			terminated
27:1	Suite 1:22		talked 7:19	6:14 8:1
27.1		surprised	38:3,4 83:4	
	SULLIVAN	26:11,14	90:8 100:10	termination
stores 34:8			30.0 100.10	
71:15	2:2	l <u>.</u>		6:16
		Surrounded	talking 60:10	
	summary	73:22,23	80:13 83:6	terms 38:6
straightforwar	10:10 60:12		84:22 96:15	61:7 78:19
d 61:8	10.10 00.12	l augment	07.22 30.10	01.7 70.19
		suspect		
	•	•		1

Index: test..Trump

				t. testII unip
79:11	43:10 52:7,18 55:13 67:16	92:3,10	97:22	33:5 42:4 44:7 56:23
test 75:10	95:4	through	ton 34:9	
		40:19 50:1		transcript
testified	themselves	60:8 76:18	took 35:21,24	1:14 4:12 5:2
50:11 58:22	47:10 62:18	77:12 88:15	36:14 72:17	61:2 65:5,22
59:13 101:6		93:18 99:22	00.1172.17	103:7
	theory 101:22	100:14,20,21,	4 05.04	
404.04		22	top 25:24	transferee
testify 101:21	4b a no fu		29:12 70:8,14	33:4
	therefrom	time 6:4,10,	76:12	
testimony	58:19	13 9:11 35:8,		transforer
40:20 58:17		19 36:15	top-of-the-line	transferor 33:4
60:6,16 96:13	Theythey	37:6,9 41:21	82:9	33.4
99:16 100:22	75:7	53:4,5 60:1		
101:8,18		66:10 68:12	total 23:11	transferred
	thing 84:2	77:3,22,24	43:12 85:20	25:16
textiles 71:12	g •	78:2,6,18	86:22 89:5	
72:4	47.00	88:14 96:21		transfers
	things 17:20	100:4	Tower 73:20	32:19 33:12
than 18:5	46:8 47:2,15		1 ower /3:20	60:8,12
20:10 34:7,11	54:16,19 68:11,12,14	times 68:18		
47:16 48:17	74:24 94:13		track 9:22,24	travel 72:6
77:2 93:20,23	17.27 37.13	today 34:7	10:1,5 11:19	1 4 4 61 / 2.0
94:23 97:19		38:4 53:5		
31.2007.10	thinks 99:11	66:7 77:1,23	tracked 10:3	Tree 71:19
		78:1 80:5		
thankful 4:7	third 77:21	103:9	4 made CO:4	trial 1:17 8:23
	96:6		trade 68:1	11:10 13:11
thatthat		todovic FO:04	72:6	15:14 19:2,9
89:20	Thompson	today's 50:24		22:20 24:19
	87:17		traditional	27:5 28:7
theaters 85:4	57.17	together	69:3	31:17 32:23
		14:12,20 54:8		37:3,5 41:7
41 4 == 44	thought 7:3	81:13,23	transaction	51:6,11 92:21
theatre 75:11	45:7 98:3		24:1 31:5	95:11 102:11
79:4 83:23		told 7:24	35:19 36:24	
84:11,20 90:2	three 44:22	22:12 42:7,		trim 85:14
	63:18 64:18	18,24 58:17	transactions	
their 42:12	75:24 76:10	72:23 90:18		Trump 73:20
L				

Index: Trustee..want

				Trusteewant
Trustee 1:10, 23	types 67:18 72:11	understandin g 37:19 69:13	users 70:11	version 73:5
trustees	typically	understood	using 12:20	versions 19:21
99:24	70:11	35:18	usually 68:17 70:1	view 78:13,15
trying 78:6 87:8,15 89:11,19 90:6	U	unfortunately 90:5	v	viewed 78:17
91:7	U.S. 72:10	unless 92:13	Vacco 95:22	Virginia 32:11
Turkish 73:5	Uh-huh 23:2 39:11 55:6	unreasonable 58:14	Vacco 95.22 Vacco's 95:6	visited 72:24
turn 12:12 26:18 37:2 45:12 66:1	76:20 91:10, 21 92:8 94:17	until 28:23	vague 21:8	w
turned 79:14	Uh-hum 93:13	53:5 78:22 103:17	33:13,15 60:7	Wait 99:1
Turner 1:19, 20 100:14	ultimately 30:22 38:9	unusual 59:16,20	valuation 43:5,10,21 44:15 62:22 63:11,12	waiving 101:3,4
TVS 84:22	uncomfortabl e 7:2	update 98:5	value 34:2,6,	walk 82:13
twenty 91:24	under 4:18	updated 98:2 103:6	10,15 35:1,9 52:5,10,20 53:8 54:4	walked 81:4
two 14:19 25:11 54:16, 17,19 64:7 86:10 89:21	27:14 36:18 39:9 101:22 understand	upholstery 67:21 85:22 87:24 88:1	94:10,18 95:1 valued 27:17 76:14	wall 75:16 87:24 88:1 89:24 91:18
95:16	4:4 7:8 21:20 33:3 35:12 38:8 59:2	89:5 90:1,10 upscale	vast 25:22	walls 67:20 79:20,22
two-minute 86:11	66:8 67:2 87:4 98:1	84:12	Vegas 1:22	85:16 87:8 89:19
type 71:1 82:14,16	100:12 101:3	used 12:21 14:6,10 91:16	verify 13:3	want 35:6 49:11 50:17

Index: wanted..yard

			Index	wantedyard
	<u> </u>		I	<u> </u>
51:1 54:23	wealthy 73:7	whereby	67:20 70:4	66:14 67:11
55:1 58:21		35:21	73:10 79:1	69:21 72:8,9,
68:18 69:1,2,	WEDNEODAY			10 75:13
4,9 72:20	WEDNESDAY			78:4,8 79:11
76:3 77:19	4:1	while 71:17	withhere's	81:11 82:14,
81:19 94:16			81:7	17 85:14
100:7,18	weigh 16:16	White 1:21		94:11
103:1	wongn 10.10	1.21	within 21:4	34.11
103.1			62:21	
	Welcome	Whitehead	02.21	worked 76:9
wanted 33:8	4:18	13:22		
69:1 72:15			without 14:22	
73:8 84:7		1	17:21 90:5	working
98:5	well-googled	whoever 22:7		70:10,20
33.3	74:11	53:6		76:10
			witness 3:4,6	
wants 70:3	welt 85:7	whole 75:16	12:14 21:22	workmanship
	WCIL 00.7	76:6 85:2	27:12 30:17	83:22
Warren 74:22		70.0 03.2	37:7 45:5	03.22
Warren 74.22	went 45:23		52:22 58:2	
	48:11 71:11	wide 91:1	77:11 92:16	world 39:18
wasthat	72:22,24		100:7 101:19,	72:7 73:14,16
73:12	73:10 77:1	16. 77.4	21	74:19 76:9,11
	78:15 79:17	wife 77:1		
	83:4 86:21		_	
Washington		will 4:11	witnesses	worth 34:18
2:3		20:17 28:20	100:16	
	Western 14:7	38:1 41:12		wrap 42:12
WASHOE 1:7	16:1 23:1	61:5 74:7	wondering	WIAP 42.12
WASHOL 1.7	25:7 26:22	102:11,14,18	89:24	
	27:3,8 37:15	102:11,14,18	09.24	Wright 8:16
way 33:16,22	45:10 47:5	103.5,7		11:5 42:20
35:9 46:22	59:1,7		word 70:24	45:3
48:16 55:9,20		WILLIAM		
75:1 85:8,12	Wastanda	1:10,23		
86:24 87:12	Western's	·	words 36:18	writing 44:17
88:6,24 89:4,	26:16	<i></i>	38:11 39:19	59:17
8 94:7		willing 50:1	42:14 47:7	
00	whatever	66:14	52:16 57:5	written 31:3
	24:5 36:10,12		62:10	
ways 65:13	47:11 49:5	window 67:22		
	62:11 70:22	75:15 76:15	work 5:13,15	Υ
MCM 20.0		73.13 70.13	· ·	l ———
WCW 38:8	84:7 85:6		6:12 14:5,22	
		windows	18:3 52:8	yard 87:10,
				yaiu 07.10,

Index: yardage..zoo

			2	
11,19 89:16 91:13,15	z			
yardage 88:12 89:15 90:20	zero 24:4 zeroed 17:21			
yards 88:4 90:21 91:15,	23:14,16 41:3 54:9			
16,24 92:1	zeroing 25:9			
year 6:21 18:15,16,19 31:2,14 43:15 45:24 46:1,15 47:16	zoo 74:4			
year,' 47:11				
years 5:18,22 10:24 12:23 67:7 71:18 75:24 76:10 77:13 83:16, 17				
yesterday 97:21 100:11, 15				
yesterday.' 77:2				
yet 65:10 79:7 95:16				
[I		