1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9807 FRIZELL LAW FIRM, PLLC 400 N. Stephanie St., Suite 265 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Office (702) 657-6000 Facsimile (702) 657-0065 DFrizell@FrizellLaw.com Attorney for Appellants/ Cross-Respondents IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA DETTY CHANK and ASIAN	
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	BETTY CHAN; and ASIAN AMERICAN REALTY &§APPOPERTY MANAGEMENT,§Appellants/Cross-Respondents,§Vs.§Vs.§WAYNE WU; JUDITH SULLIVAN; NEVADA REAL ESTATE CORP.; and JERRIN CHIU;§Respondents/Cross-Appellants.§	
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	APPELLANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE COMBINED REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL AND ANSWERING BRIEF ON CROSS-APPEAL (THIRD REQUEST) Appellants/Cross-Respondents BETTY CHAN ("Chan") and ASIAN AMERICAN REALTY & PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ("Asian American") (collectively "Appellants" or "Cross-Respondents") seek an <u>extension of seven (7)</u> <u>days</u> to file their combined reply brief on appeal and answering brief on cross- appeal ("Appellants' Combined Brief"). To that end, they now file this,	

1

Docket 82208 Document 2022-10260

1	Appellants' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Combined Reply	
2	Brief on Appeal and Answering Brief on Cross-Appeal (Third Request). In support	
3	of the Motion, Appellants would respectfully show the Court as follows:	
4 5	NRAP 31(b)(3)(A) provides, in pertinent part, that "[a] motion for extension	
6		
7	of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and	
8	must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27." Rule 31(b)(3)(A) also	
9	requires that five items $(i-v)$ be included in the motion. Those items are set forth	
10	and addressed below.	
11	(i) The date when the brief is due;	
12	Appellants' Combined Brief is currently due <u>April 4, 2022</u> . (Order Granting	
13		
14	Motion (filed Mar. 8, 2022) [hereafter "Motion Order" or "Mot. Ord."]).	
15	(ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a	
16	14-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;	
17		
18	The Court has previously granted Appellants two (2) extensions of time to	
19	file their Combined Brief. The Combined Brief was originally due <i>February 18</i> ,	
20	2022 (Order Denving Motion to Digmigg (filed Ion 10, 2022) [hereafter "Non]	
	2022. (Order Denying Motion to Dismiss (filed Jan. 19, 2022) [hereafter "Non-	
21	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant	
21 22	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant	
	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant to a telephonic request, which extension continued the deadline to <u>March 4, 2022</u> .	
22	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant	
22 23	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant to a telephonic request, which extension continued the deadline to <u>March 4, 2022</u> .	
 22 23 24 25 26 	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant to a telephonic request, which extension continued the deadline to <u>March 4, 2022</u> . (<i>Order Granting Telephonic Extension</i> (filed Feb. 16, 2022) [hereafter "Extension	
22 23 24 25	Dismissal Order" or "Non-Dism. Ord."]). The first extension was made pursuant to a telephonic request, which extension continued the deadline to <u>March 4, 2022</u> . (<i>Order Granting Telephonic Extension</i> (filed Feb. 16, 2022) [hereafter "Extension Order" or "Ext. Ord."]). The second extension was granted pursuant to a motion,	

1	(iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;		
2 3	None.		
4	(iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary (including		
5	demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances under Rule 26(b)(1)(B), if required); and		
6 7	NRAP 26(b)(1)(A) provides: "For good cause, the court may extend the		
8	time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an		
9	act to be done after that time expires." NRAP 26(b)(1)(B) provides:		
10	Except as otherwise provided in these Rules, a party may, on or before the due date sought to be extended, request by telephone a single 14-		
11			
12	day extension of time for performing any act except the filing of a notice of appeal. If good cause is shown, the clerk may grant such a request by telephone or by written order of the clerk. The grant of an extension of time to perform an act under this Rule will bar any further extensions of time to perform the same act unless the party		
13			
14 15			
16	files a written motion for an extension of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances why a further extension of time is necessary.		
17	For the reasons discussed below, Appellants would submit that, with this		
18 19	filing, they have satisfied the requirements of "good cause" and "extraordinary and		
20	compelling circumstances" under both subsections (A) and (B) of NRAP 26(b)(1).		
21	The reason for the current extension request is explained in an email from		
22			
23	Appellants' attorney to Respondents' counsel dated <u>April 3, 2022</u> , which states as		
24	follows:		
25	Dear Mike:		
26	I know I sound like a broken record, but I really need to ask, once		
27	again, for additional time to file our combined brief. I have been		
28	bedridden since last Tuesday due to some pulmonary infection/condition. Rapid tests have ruled out COVID, but I guess 3		

1 2	before	still a possibility. I was hoping to have some sort of diagnosis asking for the extension, but since tomorrow is the deadline, I vait any longer.
3	That said, I am much better today and am able to breathe easier than I	
4	have in a week. I feel like I should be able to start working at home	
5 6	again tomorrow. So, long story short, I'm asking for another seven (7) days to file Appellants' combined brief, for a new deadline of 4/11. Please let me know if this is acceptable to you.	
7		
8	Much	appreciated.
9	Dua	ne
10	(Exhibit 1).	Respondents' counsel replied as follows: "Of course, get well my
11	friend." (Id.)). Therefore, the present motion is <u>unopposed</u> . (Id.).
12		
13		The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.
14	Appell	ants are requesting a seven (7) day extension, which would make the
15		
16	new deadline	e <u>April 11, 2022</u> .
17	WHEF	REFORE, Appellants/Cross-Respondents BETTY CHAN ("Chan")
18	and ASIAN	AMERICAN REALTY & PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ("Asian
19	American") (collectively "Appellants" or "Cross-Respondents") hereby request the	
20	Court as follows:	
21		
22		to grant Appellants' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File
23		Combined Reply Brief on Appeal and Answering Brief on Cross-
24		Appeal (Third Request);
25		
26	2.	to extend the deadline for Appellants to file their Combined Brief
27	:	from <u>April 4, 2022 (old deadline)</u> to <u>April 11, 2022 (new deadline)</u> ;
28		and
		4

1	3.	to grant Appellants all such other and further relief that they justly
2		deserve or to which they may be entitled at law or in equity.
3		deserve of to which they may be chutted at law of m equity.
4		DATED: <u>April 3, 2022</u> .
5		FRIZELL LAW FIRM, PLLC
6		400 N. Stephanie St., Suite 265
7		Henderson, NV 89014
8		By: <u>/s/ R. Duane Frízell</u> R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ.
9		Nevada Bar No. 9807
10		Attorney for Appellants/ Cross-Respondents
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		5

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	I hereby certify pursuant to NRAP 25(c), that on April 3, 2022, I served a			
3	true and correct copy of the forgoing APPELLANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION			
4	FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE COMBINED REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL AND ANSWERING BRIEF ON CROSS-APPEAL (THIRD			
5	REQUEST), together with any and all exhibits and other attachments, via the			
6	Supreme Court's Electronic Filing System to the following:			
7	MICHAEL A. OLSEN, ESQ.			
8	Nevada State Bar No. 6076 THOMAS R. GROVER, ESQ.			
9	Nevada State Bar No. 12387			
10	KEITH D. ROUTSONG, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 14944			
11	BLACKROCK LEGAL, LLC			
12	10155 W. Twain Ave., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147			
13	Attorneys for Respondents/Cross- Appellants Wayne Wu, Judith Sullivan, Nevada Real Estate Corp.,			
14				
15	and Jerrin Chiu			
16				
17				
18				
19	<u>_/s/ R. Duane Frízell</u> R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ.			
20	Nevada Bar. No 9807			
21	Attorney for Appellants/ Cross-Respondents			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
28				
	6			

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Duane Frizell

From:	Mike Olsen <mike@blackrocklawyers.com></mike@blackrocklawyers.com>
Sent:	Sunday, April 3, 2022 5:41 PM
То:	Duane Frizell
Cc:	Keith Routsong; Aiqin Niu
Subject:	Re: Chan v Wu: Here we go again

Of course, get well my friend.

Mike

Sent from my iPhone

> On Apr 3, 2022, at 7:32 PM, Duane Frizell <dfrizell@frizelllaw.com> wrote:

>

> Dear Mike:

>

> I know I sound like a broken record, but I really need to ask, once again, for additional time to file our combined brief. I have been bedridden since last Tuesday due to some pulmonary infection/condition. Rapid tests have ruled out COVID, but I guess that's still a possibility. I was hoping to have some sort of diagnosis before asking for the extension, but since tomorrow is the deadline, I can't wait any longer.

>

> That said, I am much better today and am able to breathe easier than I have in a week. I feel like I should be able to start working at home again tomorrow. So, long story short, I'm asking for another seven (7) days to file Appellants' combined brief, for a new deadline of 4/11. Please let me know if this is acceptable to you.

>

> Much appreciated.

>

- > —Duane
- >

> Sent from my iPhone