FILED

OCT 0 1 2021

CLERK PE OU THE COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

Ali Shahrokhi 10695 Dean Martin Dr. #1214 Las Vegas, Nev. 89141 Tel: (702)835-3558

Appellant in Propria Persona

5

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

Case No: 81978,82245

ALI SHAHROKH

VS.

Appellant,

) APPELLANT'S NOTICE) OF SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL) AUTHORITIES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KIZZY BURROW

Respondent.

APPEAL

From the Eight Judicial District Court, Clark County MATHEW HARTER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPELLANT'S 2nd NOTICE OF SUPPLEMNTAL AUTHORITIES



21-28268

 SUPPLEMNTAL AUTHIRITIES

Pursuant to N.R.A.P. 31(e), Ali Shahrokhi "Appellant", notifies the Court of the following supplemental authorities.

 On May 27, 2021 the Nevada Supreme Court issued its Published opinion in IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AS TO L.L.S., A MINOR, 137 Nev., Advance Opinion 22

The Court held that:

"The Nevada Constitution states that Ink) person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." Nev. Const. art. 1, § 8(2); see also U.S. Const. amend. XIV(1). In analyzing the analogous provision of the federal constitution, the United States Supreme Court has recognized the "fundamental liberty interest of natural parents in the care, custody, and management of their chile and explained that "[e]ven when blood relationships are strained, parents retain a vital interest in preventing the irretrievable destruction of their family life." Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745, 753 (1982). Accordingly, "due process requires states to provide parents with fundamentally fair procedures in parental termination proceedings." In re Parental Rights as to ALF., 132 Nev. 209, 212, 371 P.3d 995, 998 (2016)"

This relates to parental termination, raised in , Appellant's brief on page 21, raising the issue as, "the custody decision was a misnomer for parental termination". This also relates to parental termination, raised in, Appellant's brief on page 57, raising the issue as ," Harter handed Civil Death Penalty of Termination of Parental Rights disguised as a custody order."

///

////

Published opinion in IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AS TO L.L.S., A MINOR, 137 Nev., Advance Opinion 22

The Court held that:

2. On May 27, 2021 the Nevada Supreme Court issued its

"This court applies the three-part test outlined in Mathews v.

Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 335 (1976), when we consider whether a TPR trial complied with due process. In re Parental Rights as to M.M.L., Jr., 133 Nev. 147, 149-52, 393 P.3d 1079, 1081-83 (2017); In re M.F., 132 Nev. at 213-14, 371 P.3d at 998-99. The Mathews test requires us to carefully "consider and balance (1) the parent's interest and (2) the risk of erroneous deprivation against (3) the government's interest." In re M.M.L., 133 Nev. at 150, 393 P.3d at 1081. We review constitutional issues such as a parent's right to due process in a termination proceeding de novo. In re M.F., 132 Nev. at 212, 371 P.3d at 997"

This relates to parental termination, raised in , Appellant's brief on due process violations on pages:

7,12,14,21,22,23,25,27,28,29,33,34,37,39,40,48,49,54,55,56,57,58.

DATED this 29th day of September,

Ali Shahrokhi In Proper Person 6 7

8

5

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

24

23

25

26 27

28

-CERTIFICATE-OF-SERVICE-

I am an individual over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within action. My home address is 10695 Dean Martin Dr. #1214, Las Vegas, Nev. 89141. My phone number is (702)835-3558.

On Septemebr 29, 2021, I served the following:

Shahrokhi's Notice of 2nd Supplemental Authorities

on an interested party in the above-entitled action by X via e-mail transmission,

personal service on the person below listed,

X depositing it in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to the person below listed, overnight delivery, addressed as follows:

> Kizzy Burrow (E-served) 1500 SW 11th Ave. #804 Portland, Oregon 97201

Dawn Throne, Department U 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155

I declare under penalty of perjury under Nevada law the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: September 29, 2021

/s/ Ali Shahrokhi Declarant.