DESERT RIDGE LEGAL GROUP

Thomas A. Larmore, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7415 3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Telephone: (702) 765-0976 Facsimile: (702) 765-0981 Email: tlarmore@keyinsco.com

Attorneys for Appellant

Electronically Filed Aug 26 2021 04:12 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

VS.

ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent.

Supreme Court Case No. 82267

District Court Case No. A-19-789525-C

MOTION TO: (1) FORGIVE LATE FILING OF REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AND OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX; or, alternatively (2) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AND OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX

COMES NOW Appellant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO (hereinafter "Appellant"), by and through counsel of record, THOMAS A. LARMORE, ESQ. of DESERT RIDGE LEGAL GROUP, and hereby requests the Court: (1) forgive and allow the late filing of Appellant's Request for Transcript of Proceedings and Opening Brief and Appendix; or, alternatively (2) Motion for Extension of Time to submit said Request. Appellant hereby moves as follows:

1. Plaintiff/Respondent ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ (hereinafter "Respondent") filed

the initial Complaint on February 15, 2019.

2. Appellant filed an Answer on August 20, 2019.

3. Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal and Case Appeal Statement on December 23,

2020. (see attached Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B")

4. On or about January 5, 2021, a Notice of Referral to Settlement Program and

Suspension of Rules was filed by the Clerk of Court. (see attached Exhibit "C")

5. On January 26, 2021, Appellant filed a Docketing Statement of Civil Appeals. (see

attached Exhibit "D").

6. Appellant has throughout the appellate process displayed the utmost of good faith.

A Notice of Appeal was timely filed. A Docketing Statement was timely filed. Appellant is clearly

attempting to make all efforts to appeal the case in good faith and meet all deadlines. He requests

the Supreme Court forgive his failure to timely file the Request for Transcripts of Proceedings and

Opening Brief and Appendix and allow and Extension of Time to submit the Request for

Transcripts of Proceedings and Opening Brief and Appendix.

DATED this 26th day of August 2021.

DESERT RIDGE LEGAL GROUP

By: /s/ Thomas A. Larmore

THOMAS A. LARMORE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7415

3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

- 2 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 26th day of August, 2021, I served a true and complete copy of the foregoing MOTION TO: (1) FORGIVE LATE FILING OF REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AND OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX; or, alternatively (2) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AND OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX addressed to the parties below as follows:

[X]	by placing a true and correct copy of the same to be deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail,
enclo	sed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid; and /or
[]	via facsimile; and or
[]	by hand delivery to parties listed below; and or

by electronic service via EFlex through the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada.

ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6910
VERNON EVANS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 14705
ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS
7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Tel: (702) 222-2115 Fax: (702) 227-0615

[X]

Email: service@ericblanklaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent

/s/ Jeri L. Roth

DESERT RIDGE LEGAL GROUP

EXHIBIT A

Fel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **NOAS** STORM LEGAL GROUP 2 RYAN M. VENCI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7547 3 3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 4 Telephone: (702) 765-0976 5 Facsimile: (702) 765-0981 Email: rvenci@keyinsco.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 CASE NO.: A-19-789525-C ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ an individual; 10 Plaintiff, 11 DEPT NO.:IV 12 **NOTICE OF APPEAL** VS. 13 VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an Individual; and DOES I through X, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 Notice is hereby given that VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, defendant above-named, 17 hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from: 18 The Judgment on Arbitration Award entered in this action on November 24, 2020 1. 19 and the Court's decision granting Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de 20 Novo entered on November 5, 2020. 21 DATED this 23rd day of December, 2020. 22 23 STORM LEGAL GROUP 24 25 By: /s/ Ryan Venci RYAN M. VENCI, ESO. 26 Nevada Bar No. 7547 27 3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 28 Attorneys for Defendant

Electronically Filed 12/23/2020 4:02 PM

STORM LEGAL GROUP 3037 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 300 Las Vegas NV 89120-3150 Tel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981

<u>CERTIFICATE OF</u>	SERVICE
I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 23 rd day	of December, 2020, I served a true and
complete copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPE	AL addressed to the parties below as
follows:	
[] by placing a true and correct copy of the same to	be deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail,
enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class p	ostage was fully prepaid; and /or
[] via facsimile; and or	
[] by hand delivery to parties listed below; and or	
[X] by electronic service via Odyssey through the D	istrict Court.
ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6910 VERNON EVANS, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 14705 ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Telephone: (702) 222-2115 Facsimile: (702) 227-0615 E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff /s/ Star F Employe	ee, STORM LEGAL GROUP

EXHIBIT B

12/23/2020 4:02 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **ASTA** STORM LEGAL GROUP 2 RYAN M. VENCI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7547 3 3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 4 Telephone: (702) 765-0976 5 Facsimile: (702) 765-0981 Email: rvenci@keyinsco.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 CASE NO.: A-19-789525-C ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ an individual; 10 11 Plaintiff, DEPT NO.:IV 12 **CASE APPEAL STATEMENT** VS. Fel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981 13 VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an Individual; and DOES I through X, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 Defendant/appellant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, through her undersigned counsel, 17 hereby submits the following Case Appeal Statement: 18 1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: 19 Veronica Jazmin Castillo 20 Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: 21 The Honorable Kerry Earley 22 3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant: 23 Appellant: Veronica Jazmin Castillo. 24 Counsel for Appellant: Ryan M. Venci, Esq., 3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 25 300, Las Vegas, Nevada 89120. 26 27 28

Electronically Filed

STORM LEGAL GROUP

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
31	12
* Fax (702) 765-098	13
(702)	14
, Fax	15
	16
.) 765-	17
Tel (702) 765-0976	18
_	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

1

2

3

4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):

Respondent: Armando Pons-Diaz.

Counsel for Respondent: Eric R. Blank, Esq., and Brian P. Nestor, Esq., 7860 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 110, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117.

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission):

No attorney is not license to practice law in Nevada.

6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the district court

7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

Appellant is represented by retained counsel on appeal.

8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

Appellant has not been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

February 15, 2019.

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

This is a personal injury action between Plaintiff/Respondent and

STORM LEGAL GROUP 3037 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 300

Defendant/Appellant. Defendant/Appellant was insured under an automobile liability policy and his insurer provided a defense. The parties submitted the matter to the court-annexed arbitration program in Clark County, Nevada, and an award was rendered in favor of Plaintiff/Respondent. Defendant/Appellant timely filed a Request for Trial de Novo. Subsequently, Plaintiff/Respondent filed a Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo. The Court granted that said motion. A judgment was then entered against Defendant/Appellant on November 24, 2020. Defendant/Appellant is appealing the Judgment and the Court's order on the Motion to Strike.

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

The case has not previously been the subject of an appeal or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court.

12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

The appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.

13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:

This appeal involves the possibility of settlement.

DATED this 23rd day of December, 2020.

STORM LEGAL GROUP

By: /s/ Ryan Venci

RYAN M. VENCI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7547
3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Attorneys for Defendant

STORM LEGAL GROUP 3037 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 300 Las Vegas NV 89120-3150 Tel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 23 rd day of December, 2020, I served	d a true and
complete copy of the foregoing CASE APPEAL STATMENT addressed to the	parties below as
follows:	
[] by placing a true and correct copy of the same to be deposited for mailing in	the U.S. Mail,
enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid; and	d /or
[] via facsimile; and or	
[] by hand delivery to parties listed below; and or	
[X] by electronic service via Odyssey through the District Court.	
ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6910 VERNON EVANS, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 14705 ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Telephone: (702) 222-2115 Facsimile: (702) 227-0615 E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff /s/ Star Farrow Employee, STORM LEGAL GROUI	P

EXHIBIT C

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE CLERK

VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, AN INDIVIDUAL, Appellant, vs. ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, AN INDIVIDUAL, Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 82267 District Court Case No. A789525

NOTICE OF REFERRAL TO SETTLEMENT PROGRAM AND SUSPENSION OF RULES

TO: Storm Legal Group \ Ryan M. Venci Eric Blank Injury Attorneys \ Eric R. Blank, Vernon Evans, Brian P. Nestor

This notice is to inform you that this appeal may be assigned to the court's Settlement Program. See NRAP 16(a). The issuance of this notice automatically stays the time for filing a request for transcripts under NRAP 9, and for filing briefs under NRAP 31. See NRAP 16(a)(1).

The docketing statement must be filed and served within 21 days of the date of this notice. This timeline is not stayed by this notice.

DATE: January 05, 2021

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Joan Hendricks Settlement Program Officer

Notification List

Electronic Storm Legal Group \ Ryan M. Venci Eric Blank Injury Attorneys \ Eric R. Blank

Paper Eric Blank Injury Attorneys \ Brian P. Nestor Eric Blank Injury Attorneys \ Vernon Evans

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

No
DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District	Department
	Judge
District Ct. Case No	
2. Attorney filing this docke	ting statement:
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	
	e appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel and ional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorney(s) representing	respondents(s):
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

4 3 7 1 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	** ** **	
4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):	
☐ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:	
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction	on
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a	claim
\square Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosect	ute
\square Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	Other (specify):	
\square Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:	
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original	☐ Modification
☐ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (sp	pecify):
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	rning any of the follow	wing?
☐ Child Custody		
□ Venue		
☐ Termination of parental rights		
6. Pending and prior proceedings in to of all appeals or original proceedings preseare related to this appeal:		

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:
9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
□ N/A
□ Yes
□ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
☐ A substantial issue of first impression
☐ An issue of public policy
\square An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
\square A ballot question
If so, explain:

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?

Was it a bench or jury trial?_____

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16.	Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from
	If no written judg seeking appellate	ment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for review:
17.	Date written no	otice of entry of judgment or order was served
	Was service by:	
	☐ Delivery	
	☐ Mail/electronic	c/fax
	If the time for fi RCP 50(b), 52(b),	iling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion , or 59)
	(a) Specify the the date of the	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
	□ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
	☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
	\square NRCP 59	Date of filing
N		pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the a notice of appeal. <i>See AA Primo Builders v. Washington</i> , 126 Nev, 245 0).
	(b) Date of entr	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
	(c) Date writte:	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
	Was service	by:
	☐ Delivery	
	☐ Mail	

<u>-</u>	ty has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
20. Specify statute or ru	lle governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review
21. Specify the statute o	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order and (a)	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from:
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a (a) □ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from: □ NRS 38.205

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:
23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below? $\hfill Yes$ $\hfill No$
25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following: (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
☐ Yes
\square No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
□ Yes
\square No
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:
• The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
• Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
 Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below,

even if not at issue on appeal
Any other order challenged on appeal
Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Name of appellant		Name of counsel of record
Date		Signature of counsel of record
State and county where	e signed	
	CERTIFICATI	E OF SERVICE
I certify that on the	day of	, I served a copy of this
completed docketing st	atement upon all couns	el of record:
☐ By personally s	erving it upon him/her;	or
address(es): (No		ufficient postage prepaid to the following addresses cannot fit below, please list names a the addresses.)
Dated this	day of	,
		Signature

EXHIBIT D

1 2 3 4 5	RYAN Nevad 3037 E Las Ve Teleph Facsim	RM LEGAL GROUP N. M. VENCI, ESQ. la Bar No. 7547 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300 egas, Nevada 89120 hone: (702) 765-0976 nile: (702) 765-0981 : rvenci@keyinsco.com	Electronically Filed Jan 26 2021 12:49 p.m Elizabeth A. Brown
6		neys for Defendant	Clerk of Supreme Cour
7		IN THE SUPREME COURT OF	F THE STATE OF NEVADA
8			
9	VEDO	ONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, AN	Supreme Court Case No. 82267
10	II .	VIDUAL;	•
11	Appellant,		DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS
_ 12		vs.	
§ 13	ADMA	ANDO PONS-DIAZ, AN INDVIDUAL	
13 (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20)	ANIVIA	Respondent.	
9/60-69/ 17 17 18	1.	Judicial District: Eighth Department I	IV
17		County: Clark Judge: Hon,	Kerry Earley
18	2.	Attorney filing this docketing statement:	
19		Ryan M. Venci, Esq. (702) 765-09	76
20		3037 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 300,	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
21		Client(s): Veronica Jazmin Castillo	
22	3.	Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):	
23		Eric R. Blank, Esq., and Brian P. Nestor, E	sq. (702) 222-2115
24		7860 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 110, Las	Vegas, Nevada 89117
25		Client(s): Armando Pons-Diaz	
26			
27			
28			

				6
				6 7 8 9
				8
				9
				10
				11
UP	_		81	12
RO	I., Ste. 300	22	765-09	13
STORM LEGAL GROU		Las Vegas NV 89120-3150	Tel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981	13141516
GA	3037 E. Warm Springs Ro	<u>1</u> 68 ≥1	* Fax	15
LE	rm Sp	gas N	9260-	16
M	E. Wa	as Ve	2) 765	17
OR	3037	-	el (70	18
SI				19
				20
				21
				22
				2122232425
				24
				25

1	4.	Nature of disposition below (check all tha	at apply):
2		□ Judgement after bench trial	□ Dismissal:
3		□ Judgment after jury verdict	□ Lack of jurisdiction
4		□ Summary judgment	□ Failure to state a claim
5		□ Default judgment	□ Failure to prosecute
6		☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	□ Other (specify)
7		☐ Grand/Denial of injection	□ Divorce decree
8		☐ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief☐ Review of agency determination	□ Original □ Modification x Other disposition (specify): Court granted Respondent's Metion to Strike Request for
9			Respondent's Motion to Strike Request for Trial De Novo and entered a Judgment on the Arbitration Award.
11	5.	Does this appeal raise issues concerning a	any of the following?
12		□ Child custody	
13		□ Venue	
14		☐ Termination of parental rights	
15		No.	
16	6.	Pending and prior proceedings in this co	ourt. List the case name and docket number of
17	all app	peals or original proceedings presently or pr	reviously before this court which are related to
18	this ap	ppeal.	
19		None.	
20	7.	Pending and prior proceedings in other c	ourts. List the case name, number and court of
21	all per	nding and prior proceedings in other courts w	which are related to this appeal (e.g. bankruptcy,
22	consol	idation or bifurcated proceedings) and their of	dates of disposition.
23		None.	
24	8.	Nature of the action. Briefly describe the r	nature of the action and the result below:
25		This is a personal injury action between Pla	intiff/Respondent and Defendant/Appellant.
26	Defendant/Appellant was insured under an automobile liability policy and his insurer provided a		
27	defens	e. The parties submitted the matter to the co	urt-annexed arbitration program in Clark
28	Count	y, Nevada, and an award was rendered in fav	or of Plaintiff/Respondent.
20	Defen	dant/Appellant timely filed a Request for Tri-	al de Novo. Subsequently, Plaintiff/Respondent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

filed a Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo. The Court granted that said motion. A judgment was then entered against Defendant/Appellant on November 24, 2020.

Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate 9. sheets as necessary):

Whether the District Court properly struck Defendant/Appellant's Request for Trial de Novo and entered judgment in favor of Plaintiff/Respondent on the Arbitration Award.

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raised the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

None.

- 11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS30.130
 - x N/A
 - □ Yes
 - □ No

If not, explain: Not applicable

- Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues? 12.
 - ☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
 - ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
 - ☐ A substantial issue of first impression
 - ☐ An issue of public policy
 - ☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
- □ A ballot question.
- 26 If so, explain: Not applicable.

STORM LEGAL GROUP 3037 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 300 Las Vegas NV 89120-3150 Tel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraphs of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

Appellant submits that this appeal is presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17(b)(5).

- 14. Trial. If this action proceed to trial, how many days did the trial last? Not applicable.Was it a bench or jury trial? No applicable.
- 15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

 No.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review: The Notice of Entry of Order on the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial De Novo was filed on November 5, 2020 and the Judgment on Arbitration Award was filed on November 24, 2020. Plaintiff never filed a Notice of Entry of Judgment. Nevertheless, the issue remains as to whether the Court properly granted the Motion.

17. Date of written notice of entry of judgment or order was served

□ Delivery

Was service by:

☐ Mail/electronic service

...

27 | ...

28 | ..

1	10.	if the time for ining the notice of appear was toned by a post-judgment motion		
2		(NRCP 50(b), 52(b) or 59)		
3		(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and		
4		the date of filing		
5		Not applicable		
6	19.	Date notice of appeal filed December 23, 2020		
7	20.	Specify the statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g.		
8		NRAP 4(a) or other		
9		NRAP4(A)(1).		
10 11 . 12	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY			
	21.	Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the		
		judgment or order appealed from:		
13		(a)		
13		$x NRAP 3(A)(b)(1)$ $\Box NRS 38.205$		
15		\square NRAP 3(A)(b)(2) \square NRS 233B.150		
16		\Box NRAP (3)(A)(b)(3) \Box NRS 703.376		
17		□ Other (specify)		
18		(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from judgement or order		
19		The order granting the Motion to Strike and Judgment on Arbitration Award: NRAP		
20		3(A)(b)(1).		
	22.	List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court.		
21		(a) Parties:		
22		Plaintiff: Armando Pons-Diaz		
23		Defendant: Veronica Jazmin Castillo		
24		(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why		
25		those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:		
26		Not applicable.		
27	• • •			
28				

23.	Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims,
	cross-claims or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
	Negligence, November 5, 2020 and November 24, 2020.
24.	Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below
	and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions
	below?
	x Yes
	□ No
25.	If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:
	Not applicable.
26.	If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking
	appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3(A)(b):
	Not applicable.
27.	Attach filed stamped copies of the following documents:
	• The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims an third-party claims
	 Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
	• Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross
	claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated actions below
	Any other challenged on appeal
	 Notices of entry for each attached order
. 2.	
. 979	
. ***	
.00	

STORM LEGAL GROUP

28

VERIFICATION 1 I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the 2 information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my 3 knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this 4 docketing statement 5 Veronica Jazmin Castillo Ryan M. Venci, Esq. 6 Name of counsel of record Name of Appellant 7 /s/ Ryan M. Venci January 26, 2021 Signature of counsel of record Date 8 State of Nevada, County of Clark 9 State and country where signed 10 11 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 12 I certify that on the 26th say of January, 2021, I served a copy of this completed docketing Tel (702) 765-0976 * Fax (702) 765-0981 13 statement upon all counsel of record: 14 □ By personally serving it upon him/her, or 15 x By mailing it by first class with sufficient postage paid to the following address(es): 16 17 ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. 18 Nevada Bar No. 6910 VERNON EVANS, ESQ. 19 Nevada Bar. No. 14705 **ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS** 20 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 21 Telephone: (702) 222-2115 Facsimile: (702) 227-0615 22 E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com 23 Attorneys for Plaintiff 24 /s/ Star Farrow 25 Employee, STORM LEGAL GROUP 26 27

COMP 1 ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006910 2 S. DENISE McCURRY, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 007085 **ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS** 4 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 5 Telephone: (702) 222-2115 6 Facsimile: (702) 227-0615 E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 A-19-789525-C Case No.: 11 ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, an individual, Department 4 Dept. No.: 12 Plaintiff, 13 **COMPLAINT** VS. 14 VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an individual; 15 and DOES I through X, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 COMES NOW, Plaintiff ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") by and through his 18 counsel, ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ., of ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS hereby alleges and avers 19 as follows: 20 **PARTIES** 21 All the events alleged in this Complaint took place in Clark County, Nevada. 1. 22 2. Plaintiff, is, and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, a resident of Clark 23 County, Nevada. 24 3. Upon information and belief, that Defendant, VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO was a 25 resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada at the time of the incident.

Electronically Filed 2/15/2019 7:00 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

Complaint as DOES I through X, inclusive, and will amend this Complaint to insert their true names

That Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued in this

26

27

28

4.

and capacities when known. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the Defendants sued in this Complaint as a Doe defendant is in some manner responsible for the acts and conduct alleged in this Complaint.

5. Upon information and belief, that, at all times relevant, each of the Defendants were acting as an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and, in performing the acts and conduct alleged in this Complaint, was acting within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- 6. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 5 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. That on or about December 15, 2017, Plaintiff was traveling southbound on Arville Street, attempting to make a right turn on Spring Mountain Road, when Defendant failed to yield when making a left turn on to Spring Mountain Road, impacting the left side of Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 8. As a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious physical, emotional, and financial injury, as more fully set forth herein.
- 9. That it was the duty of Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO to operate her motor vehicle so as not to carelessly or negligently cause injury or damage to others lawfully operating vehicles on the roadways, but Defendant was negligent in the following particulars:
- (a) Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO failed to keep her vehicle under proper control at all times;
- (b) Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO was inattentive and failed to keep a proper lookout for Plaintiff who was lawfully driving on Spring Mountain Road and Arville Street in Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada;
- (c) Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO failed to afford Plaintiff proper and sufficient notice and warning of approach of Defendant's vehicle sufficient for Plaintiff to properly protect himself.

2

- That as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness and 10. recklessness of Defendant, Plaintiff sustained injuries to his body, including neck and upper back, and shock and injury to his nervous system and person, all of which caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff physical, mental, and nervous pain and suffering.
- That as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness and recklessness of Defendant, Plaintiff was required to incur medical bills and will be required in the future to incur expenses for and to employ physicians, nurses, physical therapists, and to procure hospitalization, medicine, and general medical care and attention.
- That as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of Defendants, and each 12. of them, Plaintiff's vehicle sustained significant property damage, with a resultant loss of use in an unknown amount.
- That as a further direct and proximate result of the Defendant and Doe Defendants' 13. negligence, Plaintiff has sustained loss of earnings and earning capacity in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 14. That Plaintiff has secured the services of an attorney in order to prosecute this action and Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred.
- 15. That as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of the Defendant. Plaintiff has incurred all of the injuries and damages in excess of FIFTENN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,000.00) as alleged herein.

111

///

28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows for each of his claims for relief:

- 1. General and special damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00;
- 2. For reasonable attorney's fees;
- 3. Lost earnings and earning capacity;
- 4. For Plaintiff's costs;
- 5. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 15th day of February 2019.

By: /s/ Eric R. Blank
ERIC R. BLANK
S. DENISE McCURRY
ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS
7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Negligence - Auto		COURT MINUTI	ES October 07, 2020
A 10 700E0E C	Auron and a Da	no Dioz. Plaintiff(s)	
A-19-789525-C	Vs.	ns-Diaz, Plaintiff(s)	
	Veronica Cas	tillo, Defendant(s)	
October 07, 2020	3:00 AM	Minute Order	Plaintiff Armando Pons-Diaz Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo
HEARD BY: Earley	y, Kerry	COURT	FROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiff Armando Pons-Diaz' Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo, filed on July 23, 2020; the Opposition to Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo, filed August 6, 2020; and Plaintiff's Reply filed on September 10, 2020.

THE COURT having reviewed the matter, including all points and authorities, and exhibits, and good cause appearing hereby GRANTS Plaintiff Armando Pons-Diaz Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo, based on the following:

This matter arises out of a car accident that occurred on December 15, 2017. Plaintiff alleged that he was travelling southbound on Arville Street, attempting to make a right turn onto Spring Mountain Road when his vehicle was struck by Defendant's vehicle who failed to yield right of way to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff argues that Defendant failed participate in the Arbitration proceedings in good faith because Defendant failed to participate in discovery during the Arbitration phase, failed to produce documents in discovery, failed to respond to Plaintiff's Interrogatories and Requests for Production, and failed to appear at her deposition which was re-scheduled twice due to defense counsel's inability to locate defendant.

Plaintiff further argues Defendant failed to timely serve her Arbitration brief. The Arbitration Hearing in this matter was originally scheduled for March 19, 2020, and Plaintiff served his PRINT DATE: 10/07/2020 Page 1 of 5 Minutes Date: October 07, 2020

Arbitration Brief on March 13, 2020, in accordance with the Arbitration Discovery Order. The Arbitration Hearing was rescheduled due to COVID-19 and defense counsels firm having technology issues preventing a telephonic Arbitration Hearing. Plaintiff argues that Defendant failed to serve an Arbitration Brief in March, and although she benefitted from the hearing being rescheduled to May, Defendant failed to serve a timely brief because it was not served until May 11, 2020, the eve before the May 12, 2020 Arbitration Hearing. The Notice of Change of Arbitration Hearing Date/Time stated that the Arbitration Brief was due by May 7, 2020.

Moreover, Plaintiff argues that Defendant did not attend the Arbitration Hearing, and did not oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Costs, Interest, and Attorney's fees. Last, Plaintiff argues that the decision to request a Trial de Novo rests solely with the client and defense counsel has not communicated with Defendant throughout the litigation thereby indicating that Defendant did not authorize the filing of the Request for Trial de Novo.

In Defendant's opposition, defense counsel concedes that he was unsuccessful in communicating with Defendant and as a result could not respond to Plaintiff's interrogatories. Defendant argues that Defendant's participation at the Arbitration Hearing was not necessary because duty and breach were conceded and the only issues that remained were causation and damages, and the Defendant has a right to a civil jury trial under the Nevada Constitution.

NAR 18 allows a party to file a request for trial de novo within 30 days after the arbitration award is served upon the parties. The party requesting trial de novo must certify that all arbitrator fees and costs for such party have been paid or shall be paid within 30 days, or that an objection is pending and any balance of fees or costs shall be paid in accordance with subsection (C) Rule 18.

Here, the Arbitration Award was entered on June 1, 2020. Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo was filed on June 30, 2020 and contained the certification statement. Therefore, THE COURT FINDS that Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo was timely.

NAR 22(A) states that the failure of a party or an attorney to defend a case in good faith during the arbitration proceedings shall constitute a waiver of the right to a trial de novo.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that all sanctioning orders under NAR 22(A) must be accompanied by specific written findings of fact and conclusions of law describing what type of conduct was at issue and how that conduct rose to the level of failed good faith participation. Chamberland v. Labarbera, 110 Nev. 701, 705, 877 P.2d 523, 525 (1994).

The Nevada Supreme Court has stated that although the Nevada Constitution provides a litigant with the right to a jury trial in civil proceedings. Nev. Const. art. 1, 3, this right can be waived by various means prescribed by law. One of those means is NAR 22, which states that the district court may sanction an arbitration participant by striking a request for a trial de novo if the participant has

PRINT DATE: 10/07/2020 Page 2 of 5 Minutes Date: October 07, 2020

not acted in good faith. Gittings v. Hartz, 116 Nev. 386, 390, 996 P.2d 898, 900 01 (2000).

The Nevada Supreme Court has equated good faith with meaningful participation in the arbitration proceedings. Gittings, 116 Nev. at 390, citing Casino Properties, Inc. v. Andrews, 112 Nev. 132, 135, 911 P.2d 1181, 1182 83 (1996). However, the mere failure of a party to attend or call witnesses in an arbitration hearing does not amount to bad faith or a lack of meaningful participation. Id. at 392. It is the substance of the arbitration that is important in determining the good faith of the participants. Gittings v. Hartz, 116 Nev. 386, 393, 996 P.2d 898, 902 (2000).

A party's failure to respond to interrogatories and requests for production, or otherwise fail to participate in discovery may be grounds for striking a trial de novo request if the failure to provide the requested discovery had an impact on the arbitration proceedings or Plaintiff's ability to present their case. Bakke v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co., No. 75342-COA, 2019 WL 6003341, at *2 3 (Nev. App. Nov. 13, 2019)

Plaintiff argued that he was prohibited from properly preparing for the Arbitration and from preparing for the numerous personal attacks contained in Defendant's Arbitration Brief, which was filed the day before the re-scheduled Arbitration Hearing. Plaintiff further stated that he was not able to fully prosecute his case due to Defendant's absence.

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant failed to respond to interrogatories, requests for production, or appear at her deposition, which was noticed twice.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant failed to produce any of the documents requested by Plaintiff during discovery.

Therefore, THE COURT FINDS the Defendant's failure to participate in discovery and failure to provide the requested discovery had a negative impact on Plaintiff's ability to adequately prepare for the arbitration proceedings and on Plaintiff's ability to present his case.

The original Arbitration Hearing was scheduled for March 19, 2020. Defendant s deposition had been re-set to March 4, 2020, the last day of discovery, due to Defendant s failure to appear at the first scheduled deposition based on counsel's inability to communicate with Defendant. On March 3, 2020, the day before Defendant s second deposition and nearly two weeks before the Arbitration Hearing, defense counsel s office emailed plaintiff's counsel stating we have been unsuccessful at reaching our client. Therefore we want to cancel the depo and will concede liability. Please cancel the deposition. Thank you.

Moreover, Defendant's Arbitration Brief stated that it was anticipated that the named Parties will testify at the arbitration hearing. (Id. at p. 7). However, Defendant did not appear at the Arbitration Hearing.

PRINT DATE: 10/07/2020 Page 3 of 5 Minutes Date: October 07, 2020

THE COURT FINDS that defense counsel s last minute concession of liability on the last day of discovery as a means to vacate the deposition of Defendant, who had already failed to respond to Plaintiff s discovery requests caused unnecessary burden and expense to Plaintiff. Plaintiff was unable to adequately conduct discovery due to Defendant s failure to respond to interrogatories and requests for production. This was exacerbated by Defendant s failure to appear for her deposition, which also caused Plaintiff to incur additional costs, and caused Plaintiff s counsel to spend unnecessary time preparing for Defendant s deposition, twice. The lack of any type of testimony under oath from Defendant prevented Plaintiff from addressing statements made in Defendant s recorded statement or obtaining information from Defendant about the subject accident and relevant to Plaintiff s claims.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's Arbitration Brief consisted mainly of attacks on Plaintiff's credibility citing contradictions in Plaintiff's discovery responses and deposition testimony. However, Defendant prevented Plaintiff from being able to conduct this type of analysis as Defendant did not respond to interrogatories, did not appear for her deposition, and did not attend the Arbitration hearing. Plaintiff had no opportunity to elicit any testimony from Defendant whatsoever.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's Arbitration Brief explicitly called Plaintiff a liar stating [b]ecause he has lied and been evasive, and because his case is reliant on the credibility of the oral representations made to his treatment providers. Therefore, testimony about the accident was a necessary part of Plaintiff's case. However, Plaintiff did not have the ability to elicit testimony from Defendant about the nature and extent of the impact, the speed at which she was traveling, whether she applied the brakes, or whether Defendant herself sustained any injuries from the subject collision so as to address the attacks on Plaintiff's testimony. Plaintiff was provided with Defendant's recorded statement, but had no opportunity to obtain any testimony from Defendant under oath and did not have the ability to cross-examine Defendant about the basis for her statements concerning Plaintiff's veracity as contained in her brief.

Therefore, THE COURT FINDS that Plaintiff's inability to conduct any discovery or elicit any testimony from Defendant negatively impacted Plaintiff's case such that Defendant did not meaningfully participate in the Arbitration proceedings resulting in bad faith participation.

There may be many valid reasons why a party would not wish to expend money at the arbitration stage of a case on medical experts. Effective cross-examination may be sufficient to point out discrepancies in a person s claim of injury without such testimony, or without presentation of countervailing medical evidence. Gittings v. Hartz, 116 Nev. 386, 392, 996 P.2d 898, 902 (2000)

Defendant did not provide any expert testimony in support of her challenge to Plaintiff's injuries and treatment. Defendant's Arbitration Brief called for the Arbitrator to make a "Common Sense"

PRINT DATE: 10/07/2020 Page 4 of 5 Minutes Date: October 07, 2020

A-19-789525-C

Evaluation" stating that "the arbitrator is not bound by case law to award Plaintiff his entire claimed medical specials, merely because Defendant has not retained a medical expert at this juncture of the case." (Defendant's Arbitration Brief, p. 6).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that although standing alone a lack of medical experts is not a sufficient basis to strike a Request for Trial de Novo, in this matter Plaintiff received no discovery from Defendant leaving counsel s arguments in the late-filed Arbitration Brief as the only evidence regarding Plaintiff s medical treatment contained in the proceedings record. Therefore, although defense counsel argued that causation and damages were the only issues to be decided after counsel conceded liability on the last day of discovery in order to avoid Defendant s re-noticed deposition, Defendant produced no evidence during the Arbitration proceedings that provided a basis for Plaintiff to ascertain what causation and damages defenses were being presented.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's failure to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees, Costs, and Interest provided further evidence to lack of meaningful participation in the Arbitration proceedings.

As a result, Defendant's failure to respond to Plaintiff's interrogatories and requests for production, failure to appear for her deposition (twice), failure to present any expert testimony to support the arguments about Plaintiff's medical treatment and damages, failure to appear for the Arbitration Hearing, and failure to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs demonstrate a pattern lacking meaningful participation in the Arbitration proceeding resulting in a lack of a good faith defense of this case such that sanctions pursuant to NAR 22(A) are warranted.

Based on the foregoing, THE COURT FINDS that Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO failed to meaningfully participate in the Arbitration proceedings and failed to defend this case in good faith; pursuant to NAR 22(A) such failure shall constitute a waiver of the right to trial de novo.

Therefore, Plaintiff Armando Pons-Diaz' Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo is hereby GRANTED.

Plaintiff s counsel is to prepare the Order in accordance with this Minute Order pursuant to EDCR 7.21 and in compliance with Administrative Order 20-17.

**CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order has been electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve.

PRINT DATE: 10/07/2020 Page 5 of 5 Minutes Date: October 07, 2020

Electronically Filed
11/5/2020 2:09 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006910 2 **ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS** 3 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 4 Telephone: (702) 222-2115 Facsimile: (702) 227-0615 5 E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 **DISTRICT COURT** 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, an individual, CASE NO.: A-19-789525-C 10 DEPT. NO.: 4 Plaintiff, 11 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 12 VS. 13 VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an individual; 14 and DOES I through X, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the ORDER attached hereto as Exhibit 1 was entered in the 18 above-captioned matter on November 5, 2020. 19 **DATED** this 5th day of November, 2020. 20 2.1 By: ___/s/: Eric R. Blank ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. 22 ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS 23 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff 25 26 27 28

1	CASE NO.: A-19-789525-C Pons-Diaz v. Castille			
2	1 ons-Diaz v. Casimo			
3				
4	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
5	Pursuant to NEFCR 9, NRCP 5(b) and EDCR 7.26, I certify that on this date, I filed and served			
6	the foregoing ORDER on the following parties and all parties on the Odyssey e-service list, by the			
7	selected means:			
8	Travis Akin, Esq.			
9	Nevada Bar No. 13059 STORM LEGAL GROUP FACSIMILE			
10	3057 E. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 400			
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Takin@keyinsco.com			
12	Attorney for Defendant			
13	DATED this 5 th Day of November, 2020			
14				
15	<u>/s/: Kristina M. Marzec</u> An Employee of Eric Blank Injury Attorneys			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed 11/05/2020 1:20 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 06910 1 VERNON EVANS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14705 2 ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS

7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 3

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Telephone: (702) 222-2115

E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com

ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, an individual,

and DOES I through X, inclusive,

VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an individual;

Defendants.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

6

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

VS.

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

DISTRICT COURT

CASE NO.: A-19-789525-C DEPT. NO.: 4

ORDER

Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing:

Plaintiff,

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Plaintiff Armando Pons-Diaz' Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo, filed on July 23, 2020; the Opposition to Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo, filed August 6, 2020; and Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial De Novo filed on September 10, 2020;

With ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. and VERNON EVANS, ESQ. of ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS, appearing as counsel for Plaintiff, and, TRAVIS AKIN, ESQ. of STORM LEGAL GROUP, appearing as counsel for Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant");

The Court having reviewed the matter, including exhibits, all points and authorities, and for good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff Armando Pons-Diaz Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo, based on the following:

This matter arises out of a car accident that occurred on December 15, 2017. Plaintiff alleged that he was travelling southbound on Arville Street, attempting to make a right turn onto Spring

Mountain Road when his vehicle was struck by Defendant's vehicle who failed to yield right of way to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff argues that Defendant failed participate in the Arbitration proceedings in good faith because Defendant failed to participate in discovery during the Arbitration phase, failed to produce documents in discovery, failed to respond to Plaintiff's Interrogatories and Requests for Production, and failed to appear at her deposition which was re-scheduled twice due to defense counsel's inability to locate defendant.

Plaintiff further argues Defendant failed to timely serve her Arbitration brief. The Arbitration Hearing in this matter was originally scheduled for March 19, 2020, and Plaintiff served his Arbitration Brief on March 13, 2020, in accordance with the Arbitration Discovery Order. The Arbitration Hearing was rescheduled due to COVID-19 and defense counsel's firm having technology issues preventing a telephonic Arbitration Hearing. Plaintiff argues that Defendant failed to serve an Arbitration Brief in March, and although she benefitted from the hearing being rescheduled to May, Defendant failed to serve a timely brief because it was not served until May 11, 2020, the eve before the May 12, 2020 Arbitration Hearing. The Notice of Change of Arbitration Hearing Date/Time stated that the Arbitration Brief was due by May 7, 2020.

Moreover, Plaintiff argues that Defendant did not attend the Arbitration Hearing, and did not oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Costs, Interest, and Attorney's fees. Last, Plaintiff argues that the decision to request a Trial de Novo rests solely with the client and defense counsel has not communicated with Defendant throughout the litigation thereby indicating that Defendant did not authorize the filing of the Request for Trial de Novo.

In Defendant's opposition, defense counsel concedes that he was unsuccessful in communicating with Defendant and as a result could not respond to Plaintiff's interrogatories. Defendant argues that Defendant's participation at the Arbitration Hearing was not necessary because duty and breach were conceded and the only issues that remained were causation and damages, and the Defendant has a right to a civil jury trial under the Nevada Constitution.

NAR 18 allows a party to file a request for trial de novo within 30 days after the arbitration award is served upon the parties. The party requesting trial de novo must certify that all arbitrator fees

and costs for such party have been paid or shall be paid within 30 days, or that an objection is pending and any balance of fees or costs shall be paid in accordance with subsection (C) Rule 18. Here, the Arbitration Award was entered on June 1, 2020. Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo was filed on June 30, 2020 and contained the certification statement. Therefore, the Court finds that Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo was timely.

NAR 22(A) states that the failure of a party or an attorney to defend a case in good faith during the arbitration proceedings shall constitute a waiver of the right to a trial de novo.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that all sanctioning orders under NAR 22(A) must be accompanied by specific written findings of fact and conclusions of law describing what type of conduct was at issue and how that conduct rose to the level of failed good faith participation.

Chamberland v. Labarbera, 110 Nev. 701, 705, 877 P.2d 523, 525 (1994).

The Nevada Supreme Court has stated that although the Nevada Constitution provides a litigant with the right to a jury trial in civil proceedings. Nev. Const. art. 1, 3, this right can be waived by various means prescribed by law. One of those means is NAR 22, which states that the district court may sanction an arbitration participant by striking a request for a trial de novo if the participant has not acted in good faith. Gittings v. Hartz, 116 Nev. 386, 390, 996 P.2d 898, 900 01 (2000).

The Nevada Supreme Court has equated good faith with meaningful participation in the arbitration proceedings. Gittings, 116 Nev. at 390, citing Casino Properties, Inc. v. Andrews, 112 Nev. 132, 135, 911 P.2d 1181, 1182 83 (1996). However, the mere failure of a party to attend or call witnesses in an arbitration hearing does not amount to bad faith or a lack of meaningful participation. Id. at 392. It is the substance of the arbitration that is important in determining the good faith of the participants. Gittings v. Hartz, 116 Nev. 386, 393, 996 P.2d 898, 902 (2000).

A party's failure to respond to interrogatories and requests for production, or otherwise fail to participate in discovery may be grounds for striking a trial de novo request if the failure to provide the requested discovery had an impact on the arbitration proceedings or Plaintiff's ability to present their case. <u>Bakke v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co.</u>, No. 75342-COA, 2019 WL 6003341, at *2 3 (Nev. App. Nov. 13, 2019).

Plaintiff argued that he was prohibited from properly preparing for the Arbitration and from preparing for the numerous personal attacks contained in Defendant's Arbitration Brief, which was filed the day before the re-scheduled Arbitration Hearing. Plaintiff further stated that he was not able to fully prosecute his case due to Defendant's absence.

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant failed to respond to interrogatories, requests for production, or appear at her deposition, which was noticed twice.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant failed to produce any of the documents requested by Plaintiff during discovery.

THE COURT THEREFORE FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's failure to participate in discovery and failure to provide the requested discovery had a negative impact on Plaintiff's ability to adequately prepare for the arbitration proceedings and on Plaintiff's ability to present his case.

The original Arbitration Hearing was scheduled for March 19, 2020. Defendant's deposition had been re-set to March 4, 2020, the last day of discovery, due to Defendant's failure to appear at the first scheduled deposition based on counsel's inability to communicate with Defendant. On March 3, 2020, the day before Defendant's second deposition and nearly two weeks before the Arbitration Hearing, Defense counsel's office emailed Plaintiff's counsel stating they had been unsuccessful at reaching their client (the Defendant), and therefore conceded liability and asked to cancel the deposition that day. Moreover, Defendant's Arbitration Brief stated that it was anticipated that the named Parties will testify at the arbitration hearing. (Id. at p. 7). However, Defendant did not appear at the Arbitration Hearing.

THE COURT FINDS that Defense counsel's last minute concession of liability on the last day of discovery as a means to vacate the deposition of Defendant, who had already failed to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests caused unnecessary burden and expense to Plaintiff. Plaintiff was unable to adequately conduct discovery due to Defendant's failure to respond to interrogatories and requests for production. This was exacerbated by Defendant's failure to appear for her deposition, which also caused Plaintiff to incur additional costs, and caused Plaintiff's counsel to spend unnecessary time preparing for Defendant's deposition, twice. The lack of any type of testimony under oath from Defendant prevented Plaintiff from addressing statements made in Defendant's recorded

statement or obtaining information from Defendant about the subject accident and relevant to Plaintiff's claims.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's Arbitration Brief consisted mainly of attacks on Plaintiff's credibility, citing contradictions in Plaintiff's discovery responses and deposition testimony. However, Defendant prevented Plaintiff from being able to conduct this type of analysis as Defendant did not respond to interrogatories, did not appear for her deposition, and did not attend the Arbitration hearing. Plaintiff had no opportunity to elicit any testimony from Defendant whatsoever.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's Arbitration Brief explicitly called Plaintiff a liar, stating [b]ecause he has lied and been evasive, and because his case is reliant on the credibility of the oral representations made to his treatment providers. Therefore, testimony about the accident was a necessary part of Plaintiff's case. However, Plaintiff did not have the ability to elicit testimony from Defendant about the nature and extent of the impact, the speed at which she was traveling, whether she applied the brakes, or whether Defendant herself sustained any injuries from the subject collision so as to address the attacks on Plaintiff's testimony. Plaintiff was provided with Defendant's recorded statement, but had no opportunity to obtain any testimony from Defendant under oath and did not have the ability to cross-examine Defendant about the basis for her statements concerning Plaintiff's veracity as contained in her brief.

THE COURT THEREFORE FINDS that Plaintiff's inability to conduct any discovery or elicit any testimony from Defendant negatively impacted Plaintiff's case such that Defendant did not meaningfully participate in the Arbitration proceedings resulting in bad faith participation.

There may be many valid reasons why a party would not wish to expend money at the arbitration stage of a case on medical experts. Effective cross-examination may be sufficient to point out discrepancies in a person's claim of injury without such testimony, or without presentation of countervailing medical evidence. Gittings v. Hartz, 116 Nev. 386, 392, 996 P.2d 898, 902 (2000).

Defendant did not provide any expert testimony in support of her challenge to Plaintiff's injuries and treatment. Defendant's Arbitration Brief called for the Arbitrator to make a "Common Sense Evaluation" stating that "the arbitrator is not bound by case law to award Plaintiff his entire

claimed medical specials, merely because Defendant has not retained a medical expert at this juncture of the case." (Defendant s Arbitration Brief, p. 6)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that standing alone, a lack of medical experts is not a sufficient basis to strike a Request for Trial de Novo, however in this matter Plaintiff received no discovery from Defendant. This left counsel's arguments in the late-filed Arbitration Brief as the only evidence regarding Plaintiff's medical treatment contained in the proceedings record. Therefore, although defense counsel argued that causation and damages were the only issues to be decided after counsel conceded liability on the last day of discovery in order to avoid Defendant's re-noticed deposition, Defendant produced no evidence during the Arbitration proceedings that provided a basis for Plaintiff to ascertain what causation and damages defenses were being presented.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's failure to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees, Costs, and Interest provided further evidence to lack of meaningful participation in the Arbitration proceeding. As a result, Defendant's failure to respond to Plaintiff's interrogatories and requests for production, failure to appear for her deposition (twice), failure to present any expert testimony to support the arguments about Plaintiff's medical treatment and damages, failure to appear for the Arbitration Hearing, and failure to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs demonstrate a pattern lacking meaningful participation in the Arbitration proceeding resulting in a lack of a good faith defense of this case such that sanctions pursuant to NAR 22(A) are warranted.

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO failed to meaningfully participate in the Arbitration proceedings and failed to defend this case in good faith; pursuant to NAR 22(A) such failure shall constitute a waiver of the right to trial de novo.

///

24 || ///

25 || ///

26 || ///

27 || ///

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff Armando Pons				
2	Diaz' Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial de Novo is hereby GRANTED.				
3					
4	DATED this day of October, 2020. Dated this 5th day of November, 2020				
5		26. (50			
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
7	DEA DAE CC53 BEFC				
8	Kerry Earley District Court Judge				
9	Respectfully submitted by:	Approved as to Form and Content by:			
10	Respectionly submitted by.	Approved as to Form and Content by.			
11		NOTGIONED			
12	/s/: Vernon Evans	NOT SIGNED			
13	ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. VERNON EVANS, ESQ.	TRAVIS AKIN, ESQ. STORM LEGAL GROUP			
14	ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110	3057 E. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120			
15	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	Attorney for Defendant			
16	Attorney for Plaintiff				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22 23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Armando Pons-Diaz, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-789525-C 6 DEPT. NO. Department 4 VS. 7 Veronica Castillo, Defendant(s) 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 12 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 11/5/2020 14 F. Kelly Cawley kelly@cawleylaw.com 15 Eric Blank service@ericblanklaw.com 16 17 Kristina Marzec kmarzec@ericblanklaw.com 18 Kristin Orque korque@purdyandanderson.com 19 Leslie Salas lsalas@keyinsco.com 20 TAkin@keyinsco.com Travis Akin 21 Star Farrow Sfarrow@keyinsco.com 22 23 24 25 26

27

11/24/2020 10:06 AM

Electronically Filed 11/24/2020 10:06 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 06910 BRIAN P. NESTOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13551 ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS 7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 4 Telephone: (702) 222-2115 E-mail: service@ericblanklaw.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 8 ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, an individual, 9 10 Plaintiff, 11 VS. 12 VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an individual; 13 and DOES I through X, inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO.: A-19-789525-C

DEPT. NO.: 4

JUDGMENT ON ARBITRATION AWARD

WHEREAS this action came on for arbitration hearing on May 12, 2020, before Arbitrator F. Kelly Cawley, Esq., presiding; the issues having been duly heard; a decision and award having been rendered on June 1, 2020, and, the corresponding decision on Plaintiff's Request for Fees, Costs, and Interest having been rendered on July 14, 2020; and

WHEREAS the Court Granted Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's Request for Trial De Novo after duly considering Plaintiff's Motion, Defendant's Opposition thereto, and Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition, as reflected in the Court's October 7, 2020, minute order and the related Order filed and entered November 5, 2020; and

WHEREAS the Honorable ADR Commissioner filed the Notice to Prevailing Party That Final *Judgment May Now Be Entered on Arbitration Award* on October 8, 2020:

1	CASE NO.: A-19-/89525-C	
2	Pons-Dias v. Castillo	
3		
4	FOR GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court hereby enters Judgment on the Arbitration	
5	Award as follows:	
6	IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Plaintiff, ARMANDO PONS-	
7	DIAZ, recover from the Defendant, VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, the sum of \$15,000.00, in	
8		
9	addition to awarded attorney fees in the amount of \$3,000.00, costs in the amount of \$1,741.95, and	
10	pre-judgment interest in the amount of \$949.11, for the total awarded sum of \$20,691.06, with post-	
11	judgment interest to accrue at the rate of \$3.18 per day until satisfied.	
12		
13	Dated this Day of, 2020.	
14		
15	Dated this 24th day of November, 2020	
16	Kenny S Carly	
17		
18	49A 21A C781 F45F Kerry Earley District Court Judge	
19	District Court Juage	
20		
21		
22	Respectfully submitted by:	
23		
24	/s/: Eric R. Blank	
25	ERIC R. BLANK, ESQ. BRIAN P. NESTOR, ESQ.	
26	ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS	
27	7860 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	
28	Attorneys for Plaintiff	

		Electronically Filed 6/1/2020 5:10 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT			
1	ARBA	Otemp. Sun			
2	F. KELLY CAWLEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2377 2620 Regatta Dr., Ste. 102				
3	Las Vegas, NV 89128 Telephone: (702) 384-4407				
4	Facsimile: (702) 384-1516 Email: Kelly@Cawleylaw.com				
5	Arbitrator				
6	DISTRICT COURT				
7	CLARK C	OUNTY, NEVADA			
8	ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, an individual,	}			
9	Plaintiff,) Case No. : A-19-789525-C) Dept. No. : IV			
10	vs.)			
11	VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an individual; and DOES I through X, inclusive.	\			
12	Defendant.	\(\)			
13	——————————————————————————————————————	ý			
14	ARBITR	ATION AWARD			
15	TO: Eric R. Blank, Esq., Vernon Evans, Esq Plaintiff;	., ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS, attorney for			
16	TO: Mark Anderson, Esq., Travis Akin, Esq	., STORM LEGAL GROUP, attorney for Defendant.			
17	The Arbitration Hearing in this matter v	vas held via teleconference on May 12, 2020. Present at			
18	the Arbitration Hearing were the Plaintiff, ARM	MANDO PONS-DIAZ, the Plaintiff's attorney, Vernon			
19	Evans, Esq., ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTOR	NEYS, and the Defendant's attorney, Travis Akin, Esq.,			
20	STORM LEGAL GROUP. Having considered	the pre-hearing statements, the arbitration briefs, the			
21	testimony, the exhibits offered for consideratio	n, the arguments by the parties, and based upon the			
22	evidence presented at the arbitration hearing, I	hereby find in favor of the Plaintiff, ARMANDO			
23	PONS-DIAZ, and against the Defendant, VER	ONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, and award the Plaintiff			
24 25					
26					
<i>1</i> 00		Page 1			

1	damages in the amount of \$15,500.00.
2	DATED this 1st day of June, 2020.
3	50006
5	F. KELLY CAWLEY ESO. Nevada Bar No. 0023 7 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 102
6	Las Vegas, NV 89128 Arbitrator
7	NOTICE
8	Pursuant to N.A.R. 18(A), you are hereby notified you have thirty (30) days from the date
9	you are served with this document within which to file a written Request for Trial de Novo with the Clerk of the Court and serve the ADR Commissioner and all other parties.
10	Pursuant to N.A.R. 18(D), the Trial de Novo shall proceed in accordance with the Nevada Short Trial Rules, unless a party timely files a Demand for Removal from the Short Trial
11	Program as provided in N.S.T.R. 5. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
12	I hereby certify that on the 1st day of June, 2020, the foregoing ARBITRATION AWARD was
13	served upon the following by electronic mail through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic
14	filing and service system to the following:
15	
l6 l7	Eric R. Blank, Esq., Vernon Evans, Esq., ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS Attorneys for Plaintiff Mark Anderson, Esq., Travis Akin, Esq., STORM LEGAL GROUP Attorney for Defendant
l 8	/o/ E. Waller Counters
19	/s/ F. Kelly Cawley F. Kelly Cawley, Esq.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT ABFCI 1 F. KELLY CAWLEY, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 2377 2620 Regatta Dr., Ste. 102 Las Vegas, NV 89128 3 Telephone: (702) 384-4407 Facsimile: (702) 384-1516 4 Email: Kelly@Cawleylaw.com 5 Arbitrator DISTRICT COURT 6 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 ARMANDO PONS-DIAZ, an individual, 9 Plaintiff, Case No. : A-19-789525-C Dept. No. : IV 10 VS. 11 VERONICA JAZMIN CASTILLO, an individual; and DOES I through X, inclusive. 12 Defendant. 13 14 ARBITRATOR'S DECISION ON REQUEST FOR FEES/COSTS/INTEREST 15 Eric R. Blank, Esq., Vernon Evans, Esq., ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS, attorney for TO: Plaintiff; 16 Mark Anderson, Esq., Travis Akin, Esq., STORM LEGAL GROUP, attorney for Defendant. TO: 17 An Arbitration Award was served in this matter on June 1, 2020. The Plaintiff timely filed an 18 application for attorney's fees, costs and/or interest. There was not an opposition to the application. 19 The undersigned finds that the analysis required under Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 20 Nev. 345, 455 F.2d 31 (1969) and/or Beattie v. Thomas, 99 Nev. 579, 668 P.2d 268 (1983), was 21 satisfied. The factors addressed by that/those case(s), prerequisite to an award of attorney's fees, was 22 set forth in the moving points and authorities with specificity. Accordingly, an award of attorney's fees 23 to the Plaintiff in the amount of \$3,000.00, is warranted. 24 The undersigned finds that the Plaintiffs complied with the requirements of Cadle v. Woods v. 25 Erickson, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15, 345 P.3d 1049, 1054-1055 (2015). The Plaintiff is awarded costs in 26

Electronically Filed 7/14/2020 5:42 PM

1 the amount of \$1,741.95. 2 The undersigned further awards the Plaintiff pre-judgement interest in the amount of \$949.11. 3 DATED this 14th day of July, 2020. 4 5 Nevada Bar No. 002377 6 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 102 7 Las Vegas, NV 89128 Arbitrator 8 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 9 I hereby certify that on the 14th day of July, 2020, the foregoing ARBITRATOR'S DECISION 10 ON REQUEST FOR FEES/COSTS/INTEREST was served upon the following by electronic mail 11 through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing and service system to the following: 12 13 Eric R. Blank, Esq., Vernon Evans, Esq., Mark Anderson, Esq., Travis Akin, Esq., ERIC BLANK INJURY ATTORNEYS. STORM LEGAL GROUP, Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 Attorney for Defendant 15 16 /s/ F. Kelly Cawley 17 F. Kelly Cawley, Esq. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Armando Pons-Diaz, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-789525-C 6 DEPT. NO. Department 4 7 VS. Veronica Castillo, Defendant(s) 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Judgment on Arbitration Award was served via the court's electronic 12 eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed 13 below: 14 Service Date: 11/24/2020 15 F. Kelly Cawley kelly@cawleylaw.com 16 Eric Blank service@ericblanklaw.com 17 Kristina Marzec kmarzec@ericblanklaw.com 18 korque@purdyandanderson.com Kristin Orque 19 Leslie Salas lsalas@keyinsco.com 20 21 Travis Akin TAkin@keyinsco.com 22 Star Farrow Sfarrow@keyinsco.com 23 24 25 26 27