IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PARVIZ SAFARI, AN INDIVIDUAL; MANDANA ZAHEDI, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND NOOSHIN ZAHEDI, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellants,

Supreme Court No. Electronically Filed Feb 12 2021 12:56 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

vs.

HAMID MODJTAHED, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND MOHAMMAD MOJTAHED, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondents.

MOTION TO COMPEL FILING OF THE DOCKETING STATEMENT

WILEY PETERSEN JONATHAN D. BLUM, ESQ., Nev. Bar No. 09515 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200B Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: 702.910.3329 Facsimile: 702.553.3467

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP ADAM K. BULT, ESQ., Nev. Bar No. 9332 ERIC D. WALTHER, ESQ., Nev. Bar No. 13611 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.3828135

Counsel for Respondents

MOTION TO COMPEL FILING OF THE DOCKETING STATEMENT

Respondents Hamid Modjtahed and Mohammad Mojtahed, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move for an order compelling Appellant to file a docketing statement under NRAP 14, which was due February 9, 2021.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. This appeal was docketed on January 5, 2021. As such, Appellants' docketing statement was originally due on January 26, 2021. *See* NRAP 14(b).

2. On January 27, 2021, Appellants were granted a telephonic extension to February 9, 2021 to file the docketing statement.

3. Despite this February 9, 2021 deadline, Appellants have not filed a docketing statement.

II. ARGUMENT

Under NRAP 14(b), the docketing statement must be filed within 21 days after the appeal is docketed. "Failure to file a docketing statement within the time prescribed shall not affect the validity of the appeal, but is grounds for such action as the court deems appropriate including sanctions and dismissal of the appeal." NRAP 14(c).

Here, Appellants received an extension until February 9, 2021 to file the docketing statement. Despite this deadline, however, no docketing statement has been filed by Appellants.

2

The lack of a docketing statement is impacting the parties' ability to move the appeal forward. Specifically, pursuant to NRAP 9(a)(1)(A), the parties conducted a meet and confer on which transcripts are necessary for the appeal.¹ Unfortunately, however, the parties could not reach an agreement because it is unclear which issues and district court orders are being challenged.² As such, Respondents respectfully request that Appellants be ordered to file a docketing statement—which is now overdue—to help clarify those issues. Respondents also respectfully request any other relief that the Court deems appropriate. *See* NRAP 14(c).

Dated: February 12, 2021

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

By: <u>/s/ Eric D. Walther</u> ADAM K. BULT, ESQ., Nev. Bar No. 9332 ERIC D. WALTHER, ESQ., Nev. Bar No. 13611 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.3828135

¹ Respondents organized the meet and confer after Appellants filed a transcript request form without first conferring with Respondents on which transcripts were necessary. *See* NRAP 9(a)(1)(A) ("Counsel have a duty to confer and attempt to reach an agreement concerning the transcripts necessary for the court's review on appeal.").

² Appellants' notice of appeal includes, among other things, the final judgment and *all* interlocutory orders, of which there are several, including several summary judgment orders. Even after the meet and confer, it is still unclear what exactly is being challenged on appeal. This is consistent with Respondents' belief that Appellants are not bringing this appeal in good faith.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I electronically filed and served the foregoing **MOTION TO COMPEL FILING OF THE DOCKETING STATEMENT** with the Clerk of the Court of the Supreme Court of Nevada by using the Court's Electronic Filing System on February 12, 2021.

> /s/ Wendy Cosby an employee of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP

22205877.1