

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PARVIZ SAFARI, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
MANDANA ZAHEDI, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
AND NOOSHIN ZAHEDI, AN  
INDIVIDUAL,

Appellants,

vs.

HAMID MODJTAHED, AN  
INDIVIDUAL; AND MOHAMMAD  
MOJTAHED, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondents.

No. 82279

FILED


JUN 10 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellants' opposed motion for a second extension of time to file a response to this court's order to show cause is granted. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B). Appellants shall have until July 6, 2021, to file and serve a response. No further extensions of time will be granted absent demonstration of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. *Id.* Failure to timely file a response may result in the dismissal of this appeal for lack of jurisdiction.<sup>1</sup>

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

<sup>1</sup>Respondents' expression of an intent to abandon certain claims does not constitute a formal dismissal of those claims. See *KDI Sylvan Pools, Inc. v. Workman*, 107 Nev. 340, 342, 810 P.2d 1217, 1219 (1991) ("The fact that Workman may not be inclined to pursue his counterclaim also does not render the counterclaim moot or operate as a formal dismissal of the claim.").

cc: The Powell Law Firm  
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas  
Wiley Petersen