Electronically Filed 12/29/2020 4:16 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5802 THOMSON LAW PC 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, NV 89074 (702) 478-8282 Telephone (702) 541-9500 Facsimile Email: johnwthomson@ymail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Nona Tobin

Electronically Filed Jan 08 2021 09:35 a.ml Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

7

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 IN THE EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT OF THE

STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

NONA TOBIN, an Individual

Plaintiff.

VS.

BRIAN CHIESI, an individual; DEBORA CHIESI, an individual; QUICKEN LOANS INC.; JOEL A. STOKES, an individual; JOEL A. STOKES and SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST: JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES: DOES I through X inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive

Defendants.

Case No.: A-19-799890-C

Dept No.: 22

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT, STATE **OF NEVADA**

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff/Appellant Nona Tobin, by and through her attorney, John W. Thomson, Esq., of Thomson Law PC, does hereby appeal the Order Granting Defendant Red Rock Financial Services' Motion to Dismiss Complaint and all Joinders to the Motion, Notice of Entry filed on December 3, 2020, in the District Court in and for the above-/// ///

1	named county and state.
2	Dated this 29 th day of December, 2020
3	THOMSON LAW PC
4	<u>/s/John W. Thomson</u>
5	JOHN W. THOMSON Nevada Bar No. 5802
6	2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120
7	Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorney for Nona Tobin
8	
9	
10	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
11	The undersigned, an employee of Thomson Law PC, hereby certifies that on the 29 th day
12	of December 2020, she caused a copy of the NOTICE OF APPEAL to be served in accordance
13	with Administrative Order 14.2, to all interested parties, through the Court's Odyssey E-File &
14	Serve system.
15	
16	
17	/s/ Annette Cooper An employee of Thomson Law PC
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 12/29/2020 4:16 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5802 2 THOMSON LAW PC 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 3 Henderson, NV 89074 (702) 478-8282 Telephone 4 (702) 541-9500 Facsimile

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Email: johnwthomson@ymail.com Attorney for Plaintiff Nona Tobin

IN THE EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT OF THE

STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

9 NONA TOBIN, an Individual 10 Case No.: A-19-799890-C Dept No.: 22 11 Plaintiff, 12 VS. 13

BRIAN CHIESI, an individual; DEBORA CHIESI, an individual; QUICKEN LOANS INC.; JOEL A. STOKES, an individual; JOEL A. STOKES and SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST: JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES; DOES I through X inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive

Defendants.

NONA TOBIN'S CASE APPEAL **STATEMENT** (ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO **DISMISS**)

NONA TOBIN, as an individual, by and through her undersigned attorney for the Case Appeal Statement, states as follows:

- Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: 1. NONA TOBIN, as an individual.
- 2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: The Honorable Susan H. Johnson.
- 3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

1						
2	NONA TOE	BIN, an in	dividual, Appellant			
3	John W. Thomson, Esq.					
4	Nevada Bar No. 5802					
5	Thomson Law PC 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120					
6		Hende	rson, Nevada 89074			
7	4.	Identif	by each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known			
8	for each resp	pondent (i	if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as			
9	much and pr	rovide the	e name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):			
10		Each o	of Respondents' appellate counsel is unknown. Trial counsel for each			
11	defendant w	as:				
12		a.	Red Rock Financial Services,			
14			Brody B. Wight, Esq.			
			Nevada Bar No. 13615			
15			KOCH & SCOW, LLC 11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210			
16			Henderson, NV 89052			
17						
18		b.	Brian Chiesi and Debora Chiesi, and Quicken Loans Inc., nka			
19		0.				
20			Quicken Loans, LLC			
21			Brittany Wood, Esq.			
22			Nevada Bar No. 7562 MAURICE WOOD			
23			9525 Hillwood Dr., Suite 140			
24			Las Vegas, NV 89134			
25		c.	Joel A. Stokes, Joel A. Stokes and Sandra Stokes, as Trustees of the			
26			Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust			
27			Joseph Y. Hong, Esq.			
28			Nevada Bar No. 5995			
۷٥			HONG & HONG LAW OFFICE			

1980 Festival Plaza Dr., Suite 650 Las Vegas, NV 89135

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission):

The attorneys identified above are licensed to practice law in Nevada.

6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel:

John W. Thomson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 5802 Thomson Law PC 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, Nevada 89074

7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

Appellant is represented by retained counsel:

John W. Thomson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 5802 Thomson Law PC 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, Nevada 89074

8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court granting such leave:

No.

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

The original Complaint was filed on August 7, 2019, the First Amended Complaint was filed on June 3, 2020.

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

Plaintiff Nona Tobin appeals the order of dismissal with prejudice entered on 12/3/20 that granted Defendant Red Rock Financial Services' motion to dismiss pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) and (6) of Tobin's First Amended Complaint and all the joinders thereto.

Nona Tobin, an individual, filed a complaint for quiet title, unjust enrichment, and declaratory relief against Red Rock Financial Services and the other defendants.

Tobin's previously unadjudicated unjust enrichment claim against Red Rock is to obtain the \$57,282.32 undistributed excess proceeds plus six years interest that Red Rock unlawfully retained by obstructing Tobin's 2014 attempt to claim the proceeds after the disputed 2014 HOA foreclosure sale.

Tobin also had claims for relief of quiet title, declaratory relief and equitable relief/unjust enrichment against Nationstar Mortgage that has engaged in various actions and inactions to deprive Tobin of her title rights, cause Tobin damage, should be judicially estopped from claiming to be the beneficial owner of the disputed Hansen deed of trust, and has no rights to the undistributed proceeds of the disputed HOA sale.

Tobin claimed quiet title, declaratory relief and equitable relief/unjust enrichment against Joel Stokes, an individual, who obstructed a fair adjudication of Tobin's claims in the

prior proceedings in Tobin's absence to deprive Tobin of her rights, to gain quiet title to the subject property by obstructing Tobin's right to be heard, and, is judicially estopped from claiming to ever have had a valid, admissible deed or any other proof of title.

Tobin's unjust enrichment claim and against Joel and Sandra Stokes for the retention of over \$100,000 in rents collected after the disputed HOA sale.

Tobin's quiet title and equitable relief claims pursuant to NRS 40.010 were made against current deedholders Brian and Debora Chiesi, current lienholder Quicken Loans, and other defendants who had recorded other claims adverse to Tobin's title claim six months after the trial in the prior proceedings, ignoring two Lis Pendens were on record regarding the instant case and the appeal of the prior case.

Instead of hearing the Motion as one for Summary Judgment¹, the Court ruled that the First Amended Complaint did not survive the Motion to Dismiss² and granted the motion, dismissing the action with prejudice in its entirety³.

¹ NRCP 12(d) Result of Presenting Matters Outside the Pleadings. If, on a motion under Rule 12(b)(5) or 12(c), matters outside the pleadings are presented to and not excluded by the court, the motion must be treated as one for summary judgment under Rule 56. All parties must be given a reasonable opportunity to present all the material that is pertinent to the motion.

² In reviewing a motion to dismiss under NRCP 12(b)(5), the court must accept all facts in the complaint as true, construe the pleadings liberally, and draw all possible inferences in favor of the nonmoving party. See Buzz Stew, LLC vs. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 228 (2008)

³ In considering a motion to dismiss, "all well-pleaded allegations of material fact are taken as true and construed in a light most favorable to the non-moving party." Wyler Summit P'ship v. Turner Broad. Sys., Inc., 135 F.3d 658, 661 (9th Cir. 1998) (citation omitted). However, the Court does not necessarily assume the truth of legal conclusions merely because they are cast in the form of factual allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint. See Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network, 18 F.3d 752, 754-55 (9th Cir. 1994). The issue is not whether Plaintiffs ultimately will prevail, but whether they may offer evidence in support of their claims. Gilligan v. Jamco Dev. Corp., 108 F.3d 246, 249 (9th Cir. 1997) (quoting Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974)). Consequently, the Court may not grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim "unless it appears beyond doubt that the Plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957); see also Hicks v. Small, 69 F.3d 967, 969 (9th Cir. 1995).

Butts v. Universal Health Services, Inc., No. 2:05-CV-01434-PMP-LRL, at *3-4 (D. Nev. July 6, 2006)

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

This case is currently the subject of two pending appeals in the Supreme Court, case 82094, appeal of the order, entered on 10/8/20, granting \$3,455 attorney fees and costs to Joseph Hong as an EDCR 7.60 (b)(1)and/or (3) sanction, and case 82234, appeal of the order entered on 11/17/20 granting Quicken/Chiesi attorney Brittany Wood \$8,999 if fees and costs against Tobin for filing a joinder to Red Rock's motion to dismiss and a request for judicial notice that Tobin claims was duplicitous and unwarranted.

- 12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:This case does **not** involve child custody or visitation.
- 13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:

Settlement is possible, but unlikely.

Dated this 29th day of December 2020.

THOMSON LAW PC

/s/John W. Thomson JOHN W. THOMSON Nevada Bar No. 5802 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorney for Nona Tobin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NONA TOBIN'S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

(ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS) was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth Judicial District Court on this 29th day of December 2020. Electronic

service of the forgoing document shall be upon all parties listed on the Odyessy eFileNV service contact list.

/s/ Annette Cooper

An Employee of Thomson Law PC

Electronically Filed 12/30/2020 8:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5802
THOMSON LAW PC
2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89074
(702) 478-8282 Telephone
(702) 541-9500 Facsimile
Email: johnwthomson@ymail.com
Attorney for Plaintiff Nona Tobin

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NONA TOBIN, an Individual

Plaintiff,

VS.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BRIAN CHIESI, an individual; DEBORA CHIESI, an individual; QUICKEN LOANS INC.; JOEL A. STOKES, an individual; JOEL A. STOKES and SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES; DOES I through X inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive

Case No.: A-19-799890-C

Dept No.: 22

NOTICE OF POSTING OF COST BOND

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that NONA TOBIN posted a cost bond in the amount of \$500.00 on December 30, 2020.

DATED this 30th day of December, 2020

Defendants.

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON

By: /s/John W. Thomson

JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 5802

2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120

Henderson, Nevada 89074

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 30th day of December, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF POSTING OF COST BOND** by electronic service of the forgoing document shall be upon all parties listed on the Odyessy eFileNV service contact list.

/s/Annette Cooper

An employee of Thomson Law PC

Electronically Filed 12/30/2020 9:01 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5802
THOMSON LAW PC
2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89074
(702) 478-8282 Telephone
(702) 541-9500 Facsimile
Email: johnwthomson@ymail.com
Attorney for Plaintiff Nona Tobin

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NONA TOBIN, an Individual

Plaintiff,

VS.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRIAN CHIESI, an individual; DEBORA CHIESI, an individual; QUICKEN LOANS INC.; JOEL A. STOKES, an individual; JOEL A. STOKES and SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES; DOES I through X inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive

Defendants.

Case No.: A-19-799890-C

Dept No.: 22

REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS

Nona Tobin, Plaintiff has requested transcripts of the following dates of previous

hearings which were held in Department 22. This Request for Transcripts pleading was requested

by Norma Ramirez. The hearing dates are as follows:

| August 11, 2020

October 29, 2020

27

November 3, 2020 Dated this 30th day of December, 2020. THOMSON LAW PC /s/John W. Thomson JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5802 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorney for Plaintiff Nona Tobin **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 30th day of December, 2020, a copy of the foregoing **REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS** to be served electronically to all parties of interest through Wiznet, the Eighth Judicial Court's electronic filing system. /s/ Annette Cooper An Employee of Thomson Law PC

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

Nona Tobin, Plaintiff(s) vs.
Joel Stokes, Defendant(s)

Location: Department 22
Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan
Filed on: 08/07/2019
Cross-Reference Case A799890

Number:

Supreme Court No.: **82094 82234**

CASE INFORMATION

Statistical Closures Case Type: Other Title to Property

11/17/2020 Motion to Dismiss by the Defendant(s)

Case Status: 11/17/2020 Dismissed

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number A-19-799890-C Court Department 22 Date Assigned 08/07/2019 Judicial Officer Johnson, Susan

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Thomson, John W.

Retained 702-478-8282(W)

Defendant Bank of America

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Barbee, Forrest

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Dismissed

Chiesti, Brian Maurice, Aaron R.
Removed: 12/03/2020 Retained

Retained 702-463-7616(W)

Chiesti, Debora Maurice, Aaron R.

Removed: 12/03/2020 *Retained*Dismissed 702-463-7616(W)

Corwin, Cluyanne M

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Crain, Youda

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

F. Bondurant LLC

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Hong, Joseph

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Jimijack Irrevocable Trust

Removed: 12/03/2020

Hong, Joseph Y. Retained

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

Dismissed 702-870-1777(W)

Lee, Yuen K

Removed: 06/03/2020 Inactive

Lucas, Thomas

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Morgan, Melanie

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Nationstar Mortgage LLC

Removed: 12/03/2020

Dismissed

Ochoa, David

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Opportunity Homes LLC

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Quicken Loans Inc Maurice, Aaron R.

Retained Removed: 12/03/2020 Dismissed 702-463-7616(W)

Red Rock Financial Services Wight, Brody R.

Removed: 12/03/2020 Retained Dismissed 702-318-5040(W)

Scow, Steven

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

Stokes, Joel A Hong, Joseph Y. Retained

702-870-1777(W)

Wittig, Donna

702-634-5000(W)

Retained

Hong, Joseph Y. Stokes, Sandra Removed: 12/03/2020 Retained Dismissed 702-870-1777(W)

Williams, Teresa D

Removed: 06/03/2020

Inactive

DATE **EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT INDEX**

EVENTS

🔼 Complaint 08/07/2019

Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona

Complaint for Quiet Title, and Equitable, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief

08/13/2019 Notice of Lis Pendens

Filed by: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona

Notice of Lis Pendens

08/22/2019 Notice N Notice of Change of Case Designation / Suffix

12/04/2019 Notice of Appearance

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

	CASE 110. A-17-177070-C	
	Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice Of Appearance of Counsel	
12/05/2019	Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Plaintiff's Ex Parrte Motion to Extend Time to Serve Summons and Complaint (First Request)	
12/10/2019	Ex Parte Order Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Ex-Parte Order to Exten Time to Serve Summons and Complaint	
12/10/2019	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Entry of Order	
01/31/2020	Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Ex Parte Motion to Extend Time to Serve Summons and Complaint (Second Request)	
02/05/2020	Order Extending Time to Serve Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Order To Extend Time To Serve Summons and Complaint	
02/05/2020	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice Of Entry Of Order	
04/02/2020	Ex Parte Application to Extend Time for Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Ex Parte Motion to Extend Time to Serve Complaint	
04/06/2020	Order Extending Time to Serve Order to Extend Time to Serve Summons and Complaint	
04/06/2020	Order Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Order To Extend Time To Serve Summons And Complaint	
04/07/2020	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Entry of Order	
06/03/2020	First Amended Complaint Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona First Amended Complaint	
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons	
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons	

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

	CASE NO. A-19-/99890-C
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/03/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Summons
06/05/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Affidavit of Service
06/05/2020	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service
06/10/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Affidavit of Service
06/10/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Affidavit of Service
06/23/2020	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Red Rock Financial Services Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
06/23/2020	Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Defendant Red Rock Financial Services Defendant Red Rock Financial Services, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) and (6)
06/23/2020	Clerk's Notice of Hearing Notice of Hearing

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. A-19-799890-C

06/25/2020	Joinder To Motion Filed By: Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC Nationstar's Joinder to Defendant Red Rock Financial Services' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
06/25/2020	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
06/25/2020	Joinder To Motion Filed By: Defendant Stokes, Joel A; Defendant Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Defendant Stokes, Sandra Joel A. Stokes, Joel A. Stokes And Sandra Stokes, As Trustees Of The Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, And Jimijack Irrevocable Trust s Joinder To Defendant, Red Rock Financial Services, Motion To Dismiss First Amended Complaint And For Attorney s Fees And Costs Pursuant To E.D.C.R. Rule 7.60(b)(1) And/Or (3)
06/25/2020	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Stokes, Joel A; Defendant Stokes, Sandra Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
07/01/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Party Served: Defendant Stokes, Joel A Affidavit of Service - Joel Stokes
07/01/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Party Served: Defendant Stokes, Sandra Affidavit of Service - Sandra Stokes
07/01/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Party Served: Defendant Jimijack Irrevocable Trust Affidavit of Service - Jimijack Irrevocable trust
07/06/2020	Joinder To Motion Filed By: Defendant Quicken Loans Inc; Defendant Chiesti, Brian; Defendant Chiesti, Debora Brian and Debora Chiesi and Quicken Loan Inc.'s Joinder to Defendant Red Rock Financial Service's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint
07/06/2020	Request for Judicial Notice Filed By: Defendant Quicken Loans Inc; Defendant Chiesti, Brian; Defendant Chiesti, Debora Request for Judicial Notice
07/06/2020	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Quicken Loans Inc; Defendant Chiesti, Brian; Defendant Chiesti, Debora Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
07/13/2020	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Stipulation And Order To Reschedule Heairng For Defendant Red Rock Financial Services,

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

LLC's Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to NRCP 12(B)(5) And (6) Joinders Thereto, and Request For Judicial Notice

07/14/2020

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order

Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona

Notice of Entry of Stipulation And Order to Reschedule Hearing For Defendant Red Rock Financial Services, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to NRCP 12(B)(5) And (6) Joinders Thereto, And Request For Judicial Notice

07/20/2020

Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona

Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and to Joinder Thereto

08/03/2020

Reply in Support

Filed By: Defendant Quicken Loans Inc; Defendant Chiesti, Brian; Defendant Chiesti, Debora

Brian and Debora Chiesi and Quicken Loans, LLC's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and Joinders thereto

08/03/2020

Reply in Support

Filed By: Defendant Red Rock Financial Services

Defendant Red Rock Financial Services' Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) and (6)

08/03/2020

Reply in Support

Filed By: Defendant Stokes, Joel A; Defendant Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Defendant Stokes, Sandra

Joel A. Stokes, Joel A. Stokes And Sandra Stokes, As Trustees Of The Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, And Jimijack Irrevocable Trust s Reply In Support Of Joinder To Defendant, Red Rock Financial Services, Motion To Dismiss First Amended Complaint And For Attorney s Fees And Costs Pursuant To E.D.C.R. Rule 7.60(b)(1) And/Or (3)

09/06/2020

🔁 Order Granting Motion

Order Granting Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Filed by Joel A. Stokes, Joel A. Stokes and Sandra Stokes, as Trustees of the Jimijack Irrevocable Trust and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, Pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)(1) and/or (3)

09/16/2020

Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs

Filed By: Defendant Quicken Loans Inc; Defendant Chiesti, Brian; Defendant Chiesti, Debora

Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

09/17/2020

Clerk's Notice of Hearing

Notice of Hearing

10/08/2020

Notice of Entry of Order

Filed By: Defendant Stokes, Joel A; Defendant Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Defendant Stokes, Sandra

Notice Of Entry Of Order Granting Motion For Attorney s Fees And Costs Filed By Joel A. Stokes, Joel A. Stokes And Sandra Stokes, As Trustees Of The Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, And Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, Pursuant To EDCR 7.60(b)(1) And/Or (3)

10/08/2020

🔼 Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona

Opposition to Chiesi and Quicken Loans Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs

10/16/2020

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

	CASE NO. A-19-/99090-C
	Order Shortening Time Defendants, Joel A. Stokes and Sandra Stokes, as Trustees of The JimiJack Irrevocable Trust and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust's, Motion to Enforce Order for Attorney's Fees and Costs and for Contempt and for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to E.D.C.R. Rule 7.60(b)(3) and/or (5) and Order Shortening Time
10/16/2020	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Stokes, Joel A; Defendant Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Defendant Stokes, Sandra Notice Of Entry Of Order Shortening Time
10/19/2020	Reply to Opposition Filed by: Defendant Chiesti, Brian Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to the Chiesi Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs
10/27/2020	Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Opposition to Joel A. Stokes and Sandra Stokes, as Trustees of the Jimijack Irrevocable Trust and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust's Motion to Enforce Order for Attorney Fees and Costs and for Contempt and Order Shortening Time
11/09/2020	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court, State of Nevada
11/09/2020	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona CASE APPEAL STATEMENT
11/09/2020	Notice of Posting of Cost Bond Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona NOTICE OF POSTING OF COST BOND
11/17/2020	Order Granting Motion Order Granting Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs
11/17/2020	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Chiesti, Brian Notice of Entry of Order
11/17/2020	Order to Statistically Close Case Civil Order to Statistically Close Case - Motion to Dismiss by Defendant
12/03/2020	Order Granting Motion Filed By: Defendant Red Rock Financial Services Order Granting Defendant Red Rock Financial Services' Motion to Dismiss Complaint and All Joinders to the Motion
12/03/2020	Notice of Entry of Order for Dismissal With Prejudice Filed By: Defendant Red Rock Financial Services Notice of Entry of Order
12/17/2020	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Appeal

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

12/17/2020	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Case Appeal Statement
12/17/2020	Notice of Posting of Cost Bond Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Posting of Cost Bond
12/29/2020	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court, State of Nevada
12/29/2020	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona NONA TOBIN S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS)
12/30/2020	Notice of Posting of Cost Bond Filed By: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Notice of Posting of Cost Bond
12/30/2020	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Request for Transcripts
09/06/2020	DISPOSITIONS Order (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan) Debtors: Nona Tobin (Plaintiff) Creditors: Joel A Stokes (Defendant), Jimijack Irrevocable Trust (Defendant), Sandra Stokes (Defendant) Judgment: 09/06/2020, Docketed: 09/08/2020 Total Judgment: 3,455.00
11/17/2020	Order (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan) Debtors: Nona Tobin (Plaintiff) Creditors: Quicken Loans Inc (Defendant), Brian Chiesti (Defendant), Debora Chiesti (Defendant) Judgment: 11/17/2020, Docketed: 11/19/2020 Total Judgment: 8,948.99
12/03/2020	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan) Debtors: Nona Tobin (Plaintiff) Creditors: Quicken Loans Inc (Defendant), Joel A Stokes (Defendant), Jimijack Irrevocable Trust (Defendant), Nationstar Mortgage LLC (Defendant), Red Rock Financial Services (Defendant), Brian Chiesti (Defendant), Debora Chiesti (Defendant), Sandra Stokes (Defendant) Judgment: 12/03/2020, Docketed: 12/04/2020
08/11/2020	HEARINGS Motion to Dismiss (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan) Defendant Red Rock Financial Services, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) and (6) 07/28/2020 Continued to 08/11/2020 - Stipulation and Order - Quicken Loans Inc; Tobin, Nona; Stokes, Joel A; Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Nationstar Mortgage LLC; Red Rock Financial Services; Chiesti, Brian; Chiesti, Debora; Stokes, Sandra
08/11/2020	Joinder (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan) Nationstar's Joinder to Defendant Red Rock Financial Services' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-799890-C

07/28/2020

Continued to 08/11/2020 - Stipulation and Order - Quicken Loans Inc; Tobin, Nona; Stokes, Joel A; Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Nationstar Mortgage LLC; Red Rock Financial Services; Chiesti, Brian; Chiesti, Debora; Stokes, Sandra

08/11/2020

Joinder (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan)

Joel A. Stokes, Joel A. Stokes And Sandra Stokes, As Trustees Of The Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, And Jimijack Irrevocable Trust s Joinder To Defendant, Red Rock Financial Services, Motion To Dismiss First Amended Complaint And For Attorney s Fees And Costs Pursuant To E.D.C.R. Rule 7.60(b)(1) And/Or (3)

07/28/2020

Continued to 08/11/2020 - Stipulation and Order - Quicken Loans Inc; Tobin, Nona; Stokes, Joel A; Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Nationstar Mortgage LLC; Red Rock Financial Services; Chiesti, Brian; Chiesti, Debora; Stokes, Sandra

08/11/2020

Joinder (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan)

Brian and Debora Chiesi and Quicken Loan Inc.'s Joinder to Defendant Red Rock Financial Service's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint

07/28/2020

Continued to 08/11/2020 - Stipulation and Order - Quicken Loans Inc; Tobin, Nona; Stokes, Joel A; Jimijack Irrevocable Trust; Nationstar Mortgage LLC; Red Rock Financial Services; Chiesti, Brian; Chiesti, Debora: Stokes. Sandra

08/11/2020

All Pending Motions (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO NRCP 12(B)(5) AND (6) NATIONSTAR'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES' MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT JOEL A. STOKES, JOEL A. STOKES AND SANDRA STOKES, AS TRUSTEES OF THE JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST, AND JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANT, RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO E.D.C.R. RULE 7.60(B)(1) AND/OR (3) BRIAN AND DEBORA CHIESI AND QUICKEN LOAN INC.'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICE'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT Counsel appearing remotely via Bluejeans. Argument by counsel. COURT ORDERED, Defendant Red Rock Financial Services, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Complaint, GRANTED adding that the Court would take the request for attorney fees under advisement. Mr. Wight to prepare the order.;

10/29/2020

Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan)

Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

Motion Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

Arguments by Ms. Wood and Mr. Thompson regarding whether or not the 31.6 billed hours were reasonable and necessary. COURT ORDERED, matter taken UNDER ADVISEMENT; advised it wanted to review the attorneys fees in lieu of the Brunzell factors; matter SET for hearing. 11/03/2020 - 8:30 AM - DEFENDANTS, JOEL A. STOKES AND SANDRA STOKES, AS TRUSTEES OF THE JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST AND JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S MOTION TO ENFORCE ORDER FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND FOR CONTEMPT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO EDCR RULE 7.60 (B)(3) AND/OR (5) AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME;

11/03/2020

Motion to Enforce (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Johnson, Susan)

Defendants, Joel A. Stokes and Sandra Stokes, as Trustees of the Jimijack Irrevocable Trust and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust's Motion to Enforce Order for Attorney's Fees and Costs and for Contempt and for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.60(b)(3) and/or (5) and Order Shortening Time

Denied Without Prejudice;

Journal Entry Details:

Court noted the best remedy would be for Mr. Hong to submit a proposed judgment and ORDERED, Motion DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Mr. Thomson advised there were several issues with this Motion and they should be awarded attorneys fees. Arguments by Mr.

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. A-19-799890-C

Thomson in support of attorneys fees. Court noted Mr. Thomson didn't have a pending motion for attorneys fees and advised he should file one if he felt it was appropriate.;

	for anome ys fees and advised he should five one if he fen it was appropriate.,	
DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	

Defendant Chiesti, Brian Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/30/2020	283.00 283.00 0.00
Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/30/2020	223.00 223.00 0.00
Defendant Red Rock Financial Services Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/30/2020	223.00 223.00 0.00
Defendant Stokes, Joel A Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/30/2020	283.00 0.00 283.00
Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/30/2020	342.00 342.00 0.00
Plaintiff Tobin, Nona Appeal Bond Balance as of 12/30/2020	1,000.00

Electronically Filed 12/03/2020 3:33 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

OGM ODWO

1 David R. Koch, Esq. (NV Bar No. 8830) Steven B. Scow, Esq. (NV Bar No. 9906) 2 Brody B. Wight, Esq. (NV Bar No. 13615) KOCH & SCOW, LLC 3 11500 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 210 Henderson, NV 89052 4 Telephone: (702) 318-5040 5 Facsimile: (702) 318-5039 dkoch@kochscow.com 6 sscow@kochscow.com bwight@kochscow.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 Red Rock Financial Services

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NONA TOBIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

BRIAN CHIESTI, an individual; DEBORA CHIESTI, an individual; QUICKEN LOANS IN.; JOEL A. STOKES, an individual; JOEL A . STOKES AND SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, DOES I through X inclusive; and ROE

CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive

Defendants.

Dept. 22

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES' MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT AND ALL JOINDERS TO THE **MOTION**

Case No. A-19-799890-C

22

On August 11, 2020 Defendant Red Rock Financial, LLC's ("Red Rock") Motion to Dismiss Nona Tobin's Claims against it and as well as Nationstar Mortgage, LLC's ("Nationstar") Joinder to Red Rock's motion; Joel a Stokes, Joel A. Stokes and Sandra Stokes as trustees of Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust (the "Jimijack Defendants") Joinder to Red Rock's motion; and Brian Chiesi, Debora Chiesi,

appearing on behalf of Nationstar was counsel of record Donna Wittig, appearing on behalf of the Jimijack Defendants was counsel of record Joseph Hong, appearing on behalf of the Chiesi Defendants was counsel of record Brittany Wood, and appearing on behalf of Tobin was counsel of record John Thomson. The Court, having considered the motion, all of the joinders to the motion, the opposition filed by Tobin, the reply filed by Red Rock, and all joinders to the reply, having heard and considered any argument of counsel at the time of hearing, finds and orders as follows.

and Quicken Loans, Inc.'s (the "Chiesi Defendants") Joinder to Red Rock's motion came

on for hearing in this Court (collectively all above Defendants shall be referred to as the

"Defendants"). Appearing on behalf of Red Rock was counsel of record, Brody Wight

FACTS

A. Tobin Unsuccessfully Brings Claims Against the HOA

- 1. On January 31, 2017, Tobin, in her capacity as the trustee of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust (the "Trust"), filed a Cross-claim against the Sun City Anthem Community Association (the "HOA") in District Court Case No. A-15-720032-C (the "Previous Case" or "Previous Action") claiming the HOA, through its collection agent Red Rock, wrongfully foreclosed on a residence owned by the Trust and located at 2763 White Sage Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89052 (the "Property") on August 15, 2014.
- 2. In that same litigation, Tobin brought claims against the Jimijack Defendants as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure.
- 3. Tobin's central allegation in the Previous Case was that Red Rock committed fraud and wrongfully colluded with several parties, including the HOA, in foreclosing on the Property without complying with the requirements of NRS Chapter 116 or the HOA's governing documents.
- 4. Tobin's Cross-claim in the Previous Case listed a host of allegations of wrongdoing against Red Rock including claims that Red Rock failed to provide the Trust -2-

9 10

11

8

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

24 25

21

23

22

26

27

28

with proper notice of the foreclosure sale and that it frequently misstated the amounts due and owing to the HOA under the HOA lien.

- 5. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case contained a cause of action against the HOA for quiet title and equitable relief claiming that Red Rock's actions caused the foreclosure sale to be null and void as well as causes of action for civil conspiracy, fraud, unjust enrichment, and breach of contract. The allegations of each of those claims centered around Red Rock.
- 6. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case alleged that it was Red Rock that conspired, Red Rock that committed fraud, Red Rock that was unjustly enriched, and Red Rock that breached the contract, but the Cross-claim did not list Red Rock as a party.
- 7. On February 5, 2019, the HOA brought a motion for summary judgment seeking the dismissal of the Trust's Cross-claim. The HOA argued that Red Rock clearly complied with all requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property and carefully presented the court with all of the notices Red Rock provided.
- 8. The Trust filed an opposition attempting to defend its allegations with a declaration from Tobin attached that claimed the Trust owned the Property.
- 9. On April 17, 2019, the court in that case signed an order granting the HOA's motion in its entirety reasoning that "[t]he totality of the facts evidence that the HOA properly followed the processes and procedures in foreclosing upon the Property."
- 10. Tobin, as the trustee to the Trust, also brought identical claims against the Jimijack Defendants, as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure, in the Previous Case. After a full trial on the merits, the Court entered a judgment on June 24, 2019, finding in favor of the Jimijack Defendants and against the Trust on all of the Trust's claims in part due to the fact that the claims were precluded by the order granting summary judgment.

11. Nationstar, as the servicing bank for the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, was also party to the Previous Case, but Tobin did not bring claims against Nationstar directly.

B. Tobin Brings the Current Complaint

- 12. Shortly after all of her claims were denied at trial, Tobin filed a new complaint on August 8, 2019, but this time she filed the Complaint in her individual capacity. Tobin then filed a First Amended Complaint on June 3, 2020 (the "Complaint").
- 13. Tobin's new Complaint alleges that in March 2017, in the middle of the previous litigation and before the Trust filed its motion for summary judgment against the HOA, the Trust transferred title to the Property to Tobin individually.
- 14. Other than asserting claims in her individual capacity, Tobin's current action is based, once again, on allegations that Red Rock did not comply with the requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property in August 2014.
- 15. The Complaint specifically brings claims against all of the Defendants for quiet title, unjust enrichment, and declaratory relief based on allegations that Red Rock wrongfully foreclosed on the Property.
- 16. The Complaint brings the above claims against the Jimijack Defendants and Chiesi Defendants presumably because those Defendants obtained interests in the Property after foreclosure. The Complaint alleges that Nationstar was the servicer on the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, but the Complaint does not specify why Nationstar was named as a defendant in the current action.
- 17. On June 23, 2020, Red Rock filed a motion to dismiss arguing, in part, that all of Tobin's claims are barred by the doctrines of claim preclusion and nonmutual claim preclusion. The remaining Defendants all properly joined Red Rock's motion.
- 18. In their joinders, the Chiesi Defendants and the Jimijack Defendants requested this Court grant them attorney's fees and costs for defending against Tobin's

EDCR Rule 7.60(b)(1) and / or (3).

STANDARD FOR DISMISSAL UNDER NRCP 12(B)(5)

claims. The Jimijack Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs were pursuant to

- 19. Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5), a motion to dismiss should be granted upon "failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted." A motion brought under NRCP 12(b)(5) tests the legal sufficiency of the claim as alleged by the moving party. A motion to dismiss must be granted where it appears to a certainty that the plaintiff is entitled to no relief under any set of facts that could be proved in support of a claim. *Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas*, 124 Nev. 224, 228 (2008); *Blackjack Bonding v. Las Vegas Mun. Ct.*, 116 Nev. 1213,1217 (2000); *Simpson v. Mars Inc.*, 113 Nev. 188, 190 (1997).
- 20. In reviewing motions to dismiss, courts may consider the allegations of the Complaint and "may also consider unattached [or attached] evidence on which the complaint necessarily relies if: (1) the complaint refers to the document; (2) the document is central to the plaintiff's claim; and (3) no party questions the authenticity of the document." *Baxter v. Dignity Health*, 357 P.3d 927, 930 (Nev. 2015) (quoting *United States v. Corinthian Colleges*, 655 F.3d 984, 999 (9th Cir.2011)).

LEGAL FINDINGS

- 21. The doctrine of claim preclusion, otherwise known as *res judicata* is designed to prevent plaintiffs and their privies from filing any claims that were or could have been asserted in a different suit. *U. of Nevada v. Tarkanian*, 879 P.2d 1180, 1191–92 (Nev. 1994).
- 22. The concept of *nonmutual* claim preclusion extends the doctrine and "embraces the idea that a plaintiff's second suit against a new party should be precluded 'if the new party can show good reasons why he should have been joined in the first action and the [plaintiff] cannot show any good reasons to justify a second chance.' " *Weddell v. Sharp*, 350 P.3d 80, 84–85 (Nev. 2015) (quoting 18A Charles Alan Wright, et al., Federal Practice and Procedure § 4464.1 (2d ed.2002)

- 23. Courts should apply the doctrine of nonmutual claim preclusion when:
 - (1) There is a valid final judgment,
 - (2) a subsequent action is based on the same claims or any part of them that were or could have been brought in the first action, and
 - (3) "the parties or their privies are the same in the instant lawsuit as they were in the previous lawsuit, or the defendant can demonstrate that he or she should have been included as a defendant in the earlier suit and the plaintiff fails to provide a 'good reason' for not having done so." *Id.* at 85.
- 24. In this case, there was a valid final judgment on all of the claims Tobin brought against the HOA and all other parties to the foreclosure sale. In granting summary judgment and issuing a decision after a bench trial, the trial court in the previous action finally held that the foreclosure conducted by Red Rock was lawful and that Tobin's claims were all improper.
- 25. The current action is based on the same claims that were or could have been brought in the first action. In both actions Tobin is challenging the validity of the foreclosure sale conducted by Red Rock based on Red Rock's actions during the foreclosure sale.
- 26. The plaintiff in this action is the same or in privity to the plaintiff in the previous action. While Tobin did file on behalf of the Trust in the first case and in her individual capacity in this case, Tobin as an individual is clearly in privity with Tobin as a trustee. Tobin obtained her interest in the Property that was the subject of the previous action through the Trust by inheritance, succession, or purchase, and, even if Tobin were not the trustee of the Trust, she would be in privity with the Trust. *See*, *Bower v. Harrah's Laughlin*, *Inc.*, 215 P.3d 709, 718 (Nev. 2009).
- 27. All of the Defendants or their privities were or should have been named in the previous action. In the previous action, the Trust did name the Jimijack Defendants ,to whom the Chiesi Defendants are in privity, and Nationstar. Red Rock was known at

the time of the previous action, and Tobin has not provided any good reason for not having brought Red Rock in the previous action.

28. Because this case meets all of the elements of claim preclusion and nonmutual claim preclusion, those doctrines now bar Tobin from bringing all of her claims against the Defendants.

6 | / / /

1

2

3

5

7 || / / /

8 | / / /

9 | / / /

10 | / / /

11 || / / /

12 || / / /

13 || / / /

14 || / / /

15 || / / /

16 | / / /

17 | ///

18 ///

19 | / / /

20 ///

21 ///

22 | ///

23 | / / /

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | / / /

27 | / / /

1	ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED, ORDERED, AND DECREED			
2	that Red Rock's Motion to Dismiss all claims asserted against it in Tobin's First Amended			
3	Complaint and the joinders to that motion filed by all other Defendants are GRANTED			
4	and the action is dismissed in its entirety with	th prejudice.		
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT pur	rsuant to NRS 14.017, the Notices of Lis		
6	Pendens recorded by Plaintiff in the Office o	of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument		
7	Numbers 201908080002097, 20190814000308	3, and 201908140003084, are hereby cancelled		
8	and expunged. Said cancellation has the sar	ne effect as an expungement of the original		
9	notice.			
10	The requests for attorney's fees made by the Chiesi Defendants and Jimijack			
11	Defendants shall be addressed in a separate order. On September 6, 2020, the Court			
12	entered and filed its Order granting the Jimijack Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees			
13	and Costs pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.60 (b)(1)	and/or (3) Dated this 3rd day of December, 2020		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Susane Strangen		
15	Dated: December <u>3</u> , 2020			
16		HONORABLE SUŚAN JOHNSON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
17	Submitted by:	6CA 205 1CBE 2555 Susan Johnson		
18	/s/ Brody Wight	District Court Judge		
19	Brody Wight, Esq. Counsel for Defendant Red Rock			
20	Financial Services, LLC.			
21	Approved as to Form and Content:			
22	/s/ Scott Lachman Scott Lachman, Esq.	/s/ Brittany Wood Brittany Wood, Esq.		
23	Counsel for Nationtar Mortgage, LLC	Counsel for Brian Chiesi, Debora Chiesi,		
24	/s/ Joseph Hong	and Quicken Loans, Inc.		
25	Joseph Hong, Esq. Counsel for Joel a Stokes, Joel A. Stokes	Mr. Thomson has refused to approve the proposed order for the reasons put forth		
26	and Sandra Stokes as trustees of Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, and Jimijack	<u>in the letter attached as Exhibit 2</u> John Thomson, Esq.		
27	Irrevocable Trust	Counsel for Nona Tobin		

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

From: joseph hong yosuphonglaw@gmail.com

Subject: Re: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 30, 2020 at 12:57 PM
To: Brody Wight bwight@kochscow.com

JH

Hi Brody...please affix my e-signature on the Order...

On Thu, Nov 19, 2020 at 10:42 AM Brody Wight < bwight@kochscow.com > wrote:

I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.

Brody Wight
Koch & Scow LLC
11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210
Henderson, Nevada 89052
702-318-5040 (office)
702-318-5039 (fax)
801-645-8978 (cell)
bwight@kochscow.com

--

Joseph Y, Hong, Esq Hong & Hong Law Office One Summerlin 1980 Festival Plaza Dr., Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Tel: (702) 870-1777 Fax: (702) 870-0500 Cell: (702) 409-6544

Email: Yosuphonglaw@gmail.com

From: Brittany Wood bwood@mauricewood.com @

Subject: RE: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 19, 2020 at 2:00 PM

To: Brody Wight bwight@kochscow.com, donna.wittig@akerman.com, joseph hong yosuphonglaw@gmail.com,

melanie.morgan@akerman.com, scott.lachman@akerman.com, J Thomson jwtlaw@ymail.com



You have my authority to attach my electronic signature.

Brittany Wood

Partner



9525 Hillwood Drive | Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada | 89134

Office: (702) 463-7616 | Fax: (702) 463-6224

bwood@mauricewood.com

Ē

This communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer. This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.

From: Brody Wight

Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 10:42 AM

To: donna.wittig@akerman.com; joseph hong <yosuphonglaw@gmail.com>; melanie.morgan@akerman.com; scott.lachman@akerman.com; Brittany Wood

<bwood@mauricewood.com>; J Thomson <jwtlaw@ymail.com>

Subject: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.

Brody Wight
Koch & Scow LLC
11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210
Henderson, Nevada 89052
702-318-5040 (office)
702-318-5039 (fax)
801-645-8978 (cell)
bwight@kochscow.com

From: Scott.lachman@akerman.com @

Subject: RE: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 19, 2020 at 11:04 AM

To: bwight@kochscow.com, donna.wittig@akerman.com, yosuphonglaw@gmail.com, melanie.morgan@akerman.com,

bwood@mauricewood.com, jwtlaw@ymail.com

Cc: elizabeth.streible@akerman.com

Brody – You have permission to use my e-signature for Nationstar. Bar No. 12016. Thanks for preparing the order.

Scott Lachman

Associate, Consumer Financial Services Practice Group
Akerman LLP | 1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200 | Las Vegas, NV 89134
D: 702 634 5021 | C: 702 321 7282
Scott.Lachman@akerman.com

vCard | Profile



700+ Lawyers 25 Offices akerman.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this transmission may be privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then delete it. Thank you.

From: Brody Wight <buildrelse Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 10:42 AM

To: Wittig, Donna (Assoc-Las) <donna.wittig@akerman.com>; joseph hong <yosuphonglaw@gmail.com>; Morgan, Melanie (Ptnr-Las) <melanie.morgan@akerman.com>; Lachman, Scott (Assoc-Las) <scott.lachman@akerman.com>; Brittany Wood

<bwood@mauricewood.com>; J Thomson <jwtlaw@ymail.com>

Subject: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.



Order Granting Defend...n.docx

From: Brody Wight bwight@kochscow.com

Subject: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 19, 2020 at 10:42 AM

To: donna.wittig@akerman.com, joseph hong yosuphonglaw@gmail.com, melanie.morgan@akerman.com, scott.lachman@akerman.com, Brittany Wood bwood@mauricewood.com, J Thomson jwtlaw@ymail.com



I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.



Order Granting Defend...n.docx

Brody Wight
Koch & Scow LLC
11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210
Henderson, Nevada 89052
702-318-5040 (office)
702-318-5039 (fax)
801-645-8978 (cell)
bwight@kochscow.com

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON 2450 ST. ROSE PARKWAY, SUITE 120 HENDERSON, NV 89074

OFFICE: 702-478-8282 FAX: 702-541-9500

EMAIL: johnwthomson@ymail.com/jwtlaw@ymail.com

October 27, 2020

Via Email Only:

David Koch – <u>dkoch@kochscow.com</u>
Brody Wight – <u>bwight@kochscow.com</u>
Daniel Scow – <u>dscow@kochscow.com</u>
Steven Scow – <u>sscow@kochscow.com</u>
Donna Wittig – <u>donna.wittig@akerman.com</u>
Melanie Morgan – <u>Melanie.morgan@akerman.com</u>

Joseph Hong – yosuphonglaw@gmail.com

Brittany Wood – <u>bwood@mauricewood.com</u>

Re: Tobin v. Chiesi, et al Case No.: A-19-799890-C

Dear Counsel:

Please see below Nona Tobin's comments and objections to the Order:

1. On January 31, 2017, Tobin, in her capacity as the trustee of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust (the "Trust"), filed a Cross-claim against the Sun City Anthem Community Association (the "HOA") in District Court Case No. A-15-720032-C (the "Previous Case" or "Previous Action") claiming the HOA, through its collection agent Red Rock, wrongfully foreclosed on a residence owned by the Trust and located at 2763 White Sage Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89052 (the "Property") on August 15, 2014.

Claims were brought in both capacities as Trustee and an Individual. The proposed pleadings attached to the 11/15/16 Motion to Intervene, the 12/20/16 hearing minutes & Recorder's Transcript Tobin as filing as an individual beneficiary & Gordon B. Hansen Trust, trustee. Her acceptance as an individual party was reaffirmed at a hearing on 4/27/17 See Recorder's Transcript Page.

2. In that same litigation, Tobin brought claims against the Jimijack Defendants as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure.

Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust's primary claim was never adjudicated at trial, i.e., that Jimijack had no valid interest as its deed was inadmissible per NRS 111.345 & was not the successor in interest to the party that purchased the property at foreclosure. Jimijack evaded judicial scrutiny of Jimijack's defective deed by transferring Jimijack's deed to non-party Joel Stokes as an individual five weeks before the trial that allegedly adjudicated the Gordon B. Hansen Trust 's quiet title claim v Jimijack.

3. Tobin's central allegation in the Previous Case was that Red Rock committed fraud and wrongfully colluded with several parties, including the HOA, in foreclosing on the Property without complying with the requirements of NRS Chapter 116 or the HOA's governing documents. (*Id.* at ¶ 17).

The documents and record speak for themselves, and the summary here is not adequate.

4. Tobin's Cross-claim in the Previous Case listed a host of allegations of wrongdoing against including claims that Red Rock failed to provide the Trust with proper notice of the foreclosure sale and that it frequently misstated the amounts due and owing to the HOA under the HOA lien.

Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust filed six causes of actions vs. Sun City Anthem. Sun City Anthem's Motion for Summary Judgment addressed quiet title only. Court rejected the Ombudsman's notice of sale log because it was not authenticated. It was authenticated on 4/15/19, but the court did not consider it.

5. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case contained a cause of action against the HOA for quiet title and equitable relief claiming that Red Rock's actions caused the foreclosure sale to be null and void as well as causes of action for civil conspiracy, fraud, unjust enrichment, and breach of contract. The allegations of each of those claims centered around Red Rock.

The degree to which Red Rock & FSR misled the HOA Board, usurped control of funds belonging to the HOA and other parties was revealed during discovery of the prior proceedings but there was no judicial scrutiny of the evidence because Sun City Anthem's attorneys misrepresented the Red Rock foreclosure file as Sun City Anthem's official records and concealed the HOA's verified, corroborated agendas, minutes, and ownership accounts.

These claims were not heard. Five of the six causes of actions were dismissed to go to mediation, but were not returned. Sun City Anthem Motion for Summary Judgment was a partial Motion for Summary Judgment.

There are things about Red Rock's fraud that were only discovered during discovery in the first proceedings. Tobin was prevented from addressing them at trial because she was removed as a Party in her individual capacity; documentary evidence was all excluded from trial, Page 18 of 1/31/17 crossclaim, failure to distribute proceeds, and many other findings of fact were misrepresented in the 4/17/19 Sun City Anthem Motion for Summary Judgment.

6. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case alleged that it was Red Rock that conspired, Red Rock that committed fraud, Red Rock that was unjustly enriched, and Red Rock that breached the contract, but the Cross-claim did not list Red Rock as a party.

None of these claims were heard. See # 13

Red Rock was not a party in the prior suit. Tobin tried to add them in her attempted amendment of her 1/31/17 Cross-Claim vs Sun City Anthem that it could not have any added parties or claims, but the Court wouldn't allow it. See 1/10/19 Recorder's Transcript.

7. On February 5, 2019, the HOA brought a motion for summary judgment seeking the dismissal of the Trust's Cross-claim. The HOA argued that Red Rock clearly complied with all requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property and carefully presented the court with all of the notices Red Rock provided.

Disagree. It was a partial Motion for Summary Judgment vs. the Gordon B. Hansen Trust on the quiet title claim. It did not address five of the six causes of actions in the 1/31/17 CRCM that all parties agreed on 3/26/19 hearing (See Recorder's Transcript) was the operative pleading.

Misstates what happened. While it is true that the HOA argues these points, it did so without any verified, corroborated supporting evidence and by unverified, uncorroborated Red Rock foreclosure file as if it was the HOA's official record.

Sun City Anthem's assisted Red Rock's alleged fraud by presenting inaccurate notices that were never sent, as if they were real, and concealed from discovery the actual official HOA records that support Tobin's and Leidy's declarations made under penalty of perjury.

8. The Trust filed an opposition attempting to defend its allegations with a declaration from Tobin attached that claimed the Trust owned the Property.

Tobin's 3/6/19 declaration under penalty of perjury was consistent with the many other declarations she made under penalty of perjury (9/23/16, 1/17/17, 3/14/19, 3/22/19, 4/20/19).

This implies there was some conflict in her statement about who owned it at the time of the sale and how she acquired title as an individual, but alternate theories of recovery are allowed.

Further, this 3/6/19 declaration was not considered by the court at the 3/26/19 hearing because the court had granted the HOA's Motion for Summary Judgment and Nationstar Mortgage's sua sponte on 3/5/19.

9. On April 17, 2019, the court in that case signed an order granting the HOA's motion in its entirety reasoning that "[t]he totality of the facts evidence that the HO properly followed the processes and procedures in foreclosing upon the Property." (Exhibit 4, pg. 9).

While it is true that is what the order says, there are many disputed facts in that order. See Tobin 4/20/19 DECL that was exhibit 1 to the 5/23/19 Reply to SCA's opposition to reconsider.

All evidence, meaning all sworn affidavits, declarations under penalty of perjury by Teralyn Lewis -Nevada Real Estate Division Custodian of Records; Craig Leidy- 2014 listing agent; Doug Proudfit- 2012-2013 Listing agent; Linda Proudfit – Proudfit Realty Custodian of Records; Steve Hansen – cobeneficiary to the Gordon B. Hansen Trust until 3/27/17; and Nona Tobin as well as all verified & corroborated documentary evidence support Nona Tobin's claims.

The court erred in relying solely on the HOA's oral arguments and Red Rock's unverified, uncorroborated file; ignoring all of the verified evidence that contradicts that statement.

10. Tobin, as the trustee to the Trust also brought identical claims against the Jimijack Defendant, as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure, in the Previous Case. After a full trial on the merits, the Court entered a judgment on June 24, 2019 finding in favor of the Jimijack Defendants and against the Trust on all of the Trust's claims in part due to the fact that the claims were precluded by the order granting summary judgment.

The 5 causes of actions of Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust's 2/1/17 AACC vs Joel & Sandra as Trustees of Jimijack were not identical to the claims against the HOA and no claims against Jimijack were heard at trial. There was no "full trial on the merits". Joel A. Stokes, a party in this case, who held Jimijack's recorded interest as of 5/1/19, was not a party in either of the

consolidated cases. The court was not aware at trial that non-party Joel Stokes had encumbered the property with a \$355,000 deed of trust from non-party Civic Financial Services. The Stokes-Civil Financial Services Deed of Trust was wrongly identified as the Jimijack-Nationstar Mortgage "settlement" even though neither NSM nor Jimijack was party to Stokes-Civil Financial Services Deed of Trust.

Further, Plaintiff Jimijack that did not have an admissible deed filed, no quiet title (or any other) claims, into the consolidated cases except its original 6/16/15 COMP vs BANA. BANA defaulted & JDDF was filed on 10/16/15 so BANA was not a party.

Claims preclusion should not have been applied by the court. The Sun City Anthem's Motion for Summary Judgment was a partial Motion for Summary Judgment as it specifically limited its scope to the quiet title causes of action of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust. The Motion for Summary Judgment was specifically not addressing five of the six Gordon B. Hansen Trust causes of actions or six of Tobin's causes of actions against Sun City Anthem. Motion for Summary did not apply to Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust's five causes of actions against Jimijack or the four causes of actions against Hong's other client Yuen K. Lee dba F. Bondurant as Hong did not file a joinder to Sun City Anthem's Motion for Summary Judgment and his oral motion to join at the 3/26/19 hearing was denied. (Page 20, lines 16-17 Recorder's Transcript)

11. Nationstar, as the servicing bank for the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, was also party to the Previous Case, but Tobin did not bring claims against Nationstar directly.

Nationstar Mortgage was party in the previous case because it inaccurately claimed to hold the beneficial interest of the Hansen Deed of Trust.

Tobin filed an affidavit on 9/23/16 that stated on Page 5 "23. In our scenario, Nationstar Mortgage would retain whatever security interest they had (and could legitimately prove they had in the first deed of trust on August 14, 2014 and no more.

- 24. Our prayer to the court would be 1) void the sale, 2) give back the title to us as the equitable titleholders prior to the fraudulent HOA sale, and 3) not allow NSM's claims to a security interest prevail by bypassing the requirements of Nevada's 2011 anti-foreclosure fraud law." (AB 284 2011)
- 25. I believe Nationstar Mortgage's claims are clearly contradicted by evidence I possess."
- 12. Shortly after all of her claims were denied at trial, Tobin filed a whole new complaint on August 8, 2019, but this time she filed the Complaint in her individual

capacity. Tobin then filed a First Amended Complaint on June 3, 2020 (the "Complaint")

Filing the new claim was necessary to protect my individual rights arising from my 3/28/17 deed. The parties would have asserted they were timebarred if I had not filed an individual claim prior to the 8/14/19 statute of limitations.

- 13. Tobin's new Complaint alleges that in March 2017, in the middle of the previous litigation and before the Trust filed its motion for summary judgment against the HOA, the Trust transferred title to the Property to Tobin individually.
 - "...before the trust filed its Motion for Summary Judgment vs. the HOA" misstates the facts & the court record.

1/31/17 Tobin Cross-Claim vs Sun City Anthem

2/23/17 Sun City Anthem Motion to Dismiss Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust per NRS 38.310

3/3/17 Tobin filed a Pro Se Motion for Summary Judgment to void the sale vs. the HOA on behalf of herself & Gordon B. Hansen Trust

3/14/17 Sun City Anthem changed attorneys from Lech to Lipson

3/22/17 Tobin gave Sun City Anthem a settlement offer to avoid litigation

3/22/17 Sun City Anthem filed Motion to Dismiss vs Tobin & Gordon B. Hansen Trust per NRCP 41 because Tobin was a Pro Se

3/31/17 Sun City Anthem filed an Opposition to Motion to Tobin Motion for Summary Judgment

4/27/17 Court denied Sun City Anthem Motion to Dismiss per 41 "as to the individual" but erred in not hearing the Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust Motion for Summary Judgment which was scheduled to be heard 4/27/17 5/25/17 Sun City Anthem & Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust new attorney stipulated to withdraw all claims & Tobin's MSJ pending completion of mediation. Sun City Anthem's 3/31/17 opposition was withdrawn erroneously as Sun City Anthem new attorney Ochoa misrepresented Sun City Anthem's opposition as a 2nd Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust Motion for Summary Judgment. Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust completed mediation on 11/13/18, but her claims were not restored to the jurisdiction of the court as her 4/9/19, 4/12/19, 7/26/19 notices of completion of mediation and her 7/29/19 motion to dismiss per 38.310 were all stricken from the record unheard. This resulted in the court refusing to hear her 3/3/17 Motion for Summary Judgment vs. Sun City Anthem, her 4/10/19 Motion for Summary Judgment vs. Jimijack and her 4/24/19 motion to vacate the Sun City Anthem partial Motion for Summary Judgment of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust's quiet title claims & Nationstar Mortgage's limited joinder thereto pursuant to NRCP 60 fraud on court.

14. Other than asserting claims in her individual capacity, Tobin's current action is based, once again, on allegations that Red Rock did not comply with the requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property in August 2014.

Tobin filed the claims that the HOA's agent did not comply with legal requirements in an individual capacity in the prior case, but the court did not hear her as an individual previously, and so the court was unaware of the specific evidence of Red Rock's falsification of its unverified, uncorroborated foreclosure file, keeping two sets of books, taking the authority of the HOA Board to retain proprietary control over funds collected for the benefit of the HOA, conspiring with Nationstar Mortgage to mischaracterize Nationstar Mortgage's rejected \$1100 tender to close the 5/8/14 \$367,500 auction.com sale, authenticated Ombudsman's log shows there was no notice of sale in effect when the 8/15/14 sale was held that was uncovered during the prior proceedings, so she reasserts those claims in the current case. The claim that Red Rock wrongly retained the proceeds of the sale was on page 18-19 of the 1/31/17 Cross-Claim vs. Sun City Anthem, but was never heard because Tobin was prohibited from adding back in the 5 of 6 causes of actions that were withdrawn pending completion of mediation. Tobin's individual motions and notices were all stricken from the record unheard.

15. The Complaint specifically brings claims against all of the Defendants for quiet title, unjust enrichment, and declaratory relief based entirely on allegations that Red Rock wrongfully foreclosed on the Property.

Disagree. The complaint speaks for itself and the summary is inadequately simple and incorrect. The claim against Nationstar Mortgage is that it never was the beneficial owner of the Hansen deed of trust, and is judicially estopped from claiming to own it now. However, because Nationstar Mortgage misrepresented to the court that Tobin's choosing to move to void the sale subject to the Hansen Deed of Trust meant that Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust and Nationstar Mortgage were not opposing parties. Nationstar Mortgage therefore "settled out of court" and dropped its quiet title claims without meeting its burden of proof. Further, if the sale was valid to extinguish the Gordon B. Hansen Trust's interest, then it was valid to extinguish the Hansen Deed of Trust. Also, Nationstar Mortgage & Red Rock both concealed that the Nationstar Mortgage offer of \$1100 and the 3/28/14 Red Rock Financial Services pay off demand to Chicago title the complaint against Jimijack was that the deed was fraudulent and inadmissible per NRS 111.345. All other defendant's deeds that stemmed from Jimijack's are void as well. These are new claims never heard.

16. The Complaint brings the above claims against the Jimijack Defendants and Chiesi Defendants presumably because those Defendants obtained interests in the Property after foreclosure. The Complaint alleges that Nationstar was the servicer on the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, but the Complaint does not specify why Nationstar was named as a defendant in the current action.

Nationstar Mortgage did not admit that it was only the servicer and not the beneficiary until after the end of discovery, and then they immediately contradicted it by recording a claim that contradicted its previous claim of being the beneficiary. Nationstar Mortgage recorded false claims related to the disputed Hansen DOT on 12/1/14, two on 3/8/19, 1/22/15, 8/17/15, and 6/3/19. In settlement with the other parties, the Jimijack-Nationstar Mortgage settlement, they decided to recording documents on 5/1/19 and 5/23/19 which clouded the title with reassignments of the Stokes-CFS DOT on 6/4/19 and 7/17/19. Chiesi/Quicken defendants recorded claims adverse to Tobin's claimed interest on 12/27/19 during the pendency of these proceedings and the appeal of the prior case. NSM reconveyed the Hansen deed of trust to Joel Stokes as an individual instead of to the estate of the borrower; while the Stokes-Civil Financial Services Deed of Trust still encumbered the property.

17. On June 23, 2020, Red Rock filed a motion to dismiss arguing, in part, that all of Tobin's claims are barred by the doctrines of claim preclusion and nonmutual claim preclusion. The remaining Defendants all properly joined Red Rock's motion.

Claims preclusion is not supported by the facts. Tobin's individual claims in the prior case were not heard. Nationstar Mortgage's claims were not heard because they were dismissed without Tobin's consent, allegedly in order to evade judicial scrutiny of any evidence, and creating a side deal with Jimijack to thwart Tobin's ownership interest. Jimijack didn't have any claims to adjudicate, but somehow won without any claims or any evidence.

Different parties, different claims, no fair adjudication previously equals no applicability of claims preclusion doctrine.

18. In their joinders, the Chiesi Defendants and the Jimijack Defendants requested this Court grant them attorney's fees and costs for defending against Tobin's claims. The Jimijack Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs were pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.60(b)(1) and/or (3).

The attorney fees and costs are separate matters and should not be included in the Order granting motion to dismiss.

Sincerely,	
/s/ John W. Thomson	
John W. Thomson. Esq.	
JWT/ac	
cc: Nona Tobin	

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Nona Tobin, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-799890-C 6 VS. DEPT. NO. Department 22 7 8 Joel Stokes, Defendant(s) 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile 12 system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 12/3/2020 14 David Koch dkoch@kochscow.com 15 **Brody Wight** bwight@kochscow.com 16 17 Akerman LLP AkermanLAS@akerman.com 18 Andrea Eshenbaugh - Legal Assistant aeshenbaugh@kochscow.com 19 Donna Wittig donna.wittig@akerman.com 20 Daniel Scow dscow@kochscow.com 21 JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM 22 JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM 23 **MELANIE MORGAN** melanie.morgan@akerman.com 24 25 JOSEPH HONG yosuphonglaw@gmail.com 26 JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM 27

JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM MELANIE.MORGAN@AKERMAN.COM MELANIE MORGAN STEVEN SCOW sscow@kochscow.com STEVEN SCOW sscow@kochscow.com John Thomson johnwthomson@ymail.com Vincenette Caruana jwtlaw@ymail.com Brittany Wood bwood@mauricewood.com

Electronically Filed 12/3/2020 4:02 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 David R. Koch, Esq. (NV Bar No. 8830) Steven B. Scow, Esq. (NV Bar No. 9906) 2 Brody B. Wight, Esq. (NV Bar No. 13615) KOCH & SCOW, LLC 3 11500 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 210 Henderson, NV 89052 4 Telephone: (702) 318-5040 5 Facsimile: (702) 318-5039 dkoch@kochscow.com 6 sscow@kochscow.com bwight@kochscow.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 Red Rock Financial Services 9 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 NONA TOBIN, an individual, Case No. A-19-799890-C Dept. 22 13 Plaintiff, vs. 14 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** BRIAN CHIESTI, an individual; DEBORA 15 CHIESTI, an individual; QUICKEN 16 LOANS IN.; JOEL A. STOKES, an individual; JOEL A . STOKES AND 17 SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; 18 JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONAL MORTGAGE LLC; RED 19 ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES; DOES I through X inclusive; and ROE 20 CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive 21 Defendants. 22 23 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Order Granting Defendant Red Rock Financial 24 Services' Motion to Dismiss Complaint and All Joinders to the Motion was entered in the 25 above-referenced matter on December 3, 2020, a copy of which is attached hereto. 26 DATED: December 3, 2020. **KOCH & SCOW, LLC** 27 /s/Steven B. Scow 28

Case Number: A-19-799890-C

Steven B. Scow, Esq.

Attorney for Red Rock Financial Services, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury, that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this action. I certify that on December 3, 2020, I caused the foregoing document entitled: **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**, to be electronically filed and served with the Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark, State of Nevada EFile system.

Executed on December 3, 2020 at Henderson, Nevada.

/s/ Andrea W. Eshenbaugh
An Employee of Koch & Scow LLC

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 12/3/2020 3:36 PM

Electronically Filed 12/03/2020 3:33 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

OGM ODWO

1 David R. Koch, Esq. (NV Bar No. 8830) Steven B. Scow, Esq. (NV Bar No. 9906) 2 Brody B. Wight, Esq. (NV Bar No. 13615) KOCH & SCOW, LLC 3 11500 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 210 Henderson, NV 89052 4 Telephone: (702) 318-5040 5 Facsimile: (702) 318-5039 dkoch@kochscow.com 6 sscow@kochscow.com bwight@kochscow.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 Red Rock Financial Services

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NONA TOBIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

13 | vs.

9

10

11

12

14

BRIAN CHIESTI, an individual; DEBORA

15 CHIESTI, an individual; QUICKEN LOANS IN.; JOEL A. STOKES, an

16 individual; JOEL A . STOKES AND

SANDRA STOKES as Trustees of

JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST;

JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED

ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, DOES I

19 ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICE through X inclusive; and ROE

 $20 \parallel \text{CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive}$

Defendants.

Case No. A-19-799890-C Dept. 22

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES' MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT AND ALL JOINDERS TO THE MOTION

22

23

21

18

On August 11, 2020 Defendant Red Rock Financial, LLC's ("Red Rock") Motion to

24 | Dismiss Nona Tobin's Claims against it and as well as Nationstar Mortgage, LLC's

25 | ("Nationstar") Joinder to Red Rock's motion; Joel a Stokes, Joel A. Stokes and Sandra

Stokes as trustees of Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, and Jimijack Irrevocable Trust (the

 $27 \parallel$ "Jimijack Defendants") Joinder to Red Rock's motion; and Brian Chiesi, Debora Chiesi,

28

appearing on behalf of Nationstar was counsel of record Donna Wittig, appearing on behalf of the Jimijack Defendants was counsel of record Joseph Hong, appearing on behalf of the Chiesi Defendants was counsel of record Brittany Wood, and appearing on behalf of Tobin was counsel of record John Thomson. The Court, having considered the motion, all of the joinders to the motion, the opposition filed by Tobin, the reply filed by Red Rock, and all joinders to the reply, having heard and considered any argument of counsel at the time of hearing, finds and orders as follows.

and Quicken Loans, Inc.'s (the "Chiesi Defendants") Joinder to Red Rock's motion came

on for hearing in this Court (collectively all above Defendants shall be referred to as the

"Defendants"). Appearing on behalf of Red Rock was counsel of record, Brody Wight

FACTS

A. Tobin Unsuccessfully Brings Claims Against the HOA

- 1. On January 31, 2017, Tobin, in her capacity as the trustee of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust (the "Trust"), filed a Cross-claim against the Sun City Anthem Community Association (the "HOA") in District Court Case No. A-15-720032-C (the "Previous Case" or "Previous Action") claiming the HOA, through its collection agent Red Rock, wrongfully foreclosed on a residence owned by the Trust and located at 2763 White Sage Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89052 (the "Property") on August 15, 2014.
- 2. In that same litigation, Tobin brought claims against the Jimijack Defendants as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure.
- 3. Tobin's central allegation in the Previous Case was that Red Rock committed fraud and wrongfully colluded with several parties, including the HOA, in foreclosing on the Property without complying with the requirements of NRS Chapter 116 or the HOA's governing documents.
- 4. Tobin's Cross-claim in the Previous Case listed a host of allegations of wrongdoing against Red Rock including claims that Red Rock failed to provide the Trust -2-

9 10

11

8

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

24 25

21

23

22

26

27

28

with proper notice of the foreclosure sale and that it frequently misstated the amounts due and owing to the HOA under the HOA lien.

- 5. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case contained a cause of action against the HOA for quiet title and equitable relief claiming that Red Rock's actions caused the foreclosure sale to be null and void as well as causes of action for civil conspiracy, fraud, unjust enrichment, and breach of contract. The allegations of each of those claims centered around Red Rock.
- 6. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case alleged that it was Red Rock that conspired, Red Rock that committed fraud, Red Rock that was unjustly enriched, and Red Rock that breached the contract, but the Cross-claim did not list Red Rock as a party.
- 7. On February 5, 2019, the HOA brought a motion for summary judgment seeking the dismissal of the Trust's Cross-claim. The HOA argued that Red Rock clearly complied with all requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property and carefully presented the court with all of the notices Red Rock provided.
- 8. The Trust filed an opposition attempting to defend its allegations with a declaration from Tobin attached that claimed the Trust owned the Property.
- 9. On April 17, 2019, the court in that case signed an order granting the HOA's motion in its entirety reasoning that "[t]he totality of the facts evidence that the HOA properly followed the processes and procedures in foreclosing upon the Property."
- 10. Tobin, as the trustee to the Trust, also brought identical claims against the Jimijack Defendants, as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure, in the Previous Case. After a full trial on the merits, the Court entered a judgment on June 24, 2019, finding in favor of the Jimijack Defendants and against the Trust on all of the Trust's claims in part due to the fact that the claims were precluded by the order granting summary judgment.

11. Nationstar, as the servicing bank for the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, was also party to the Previous Case, but Tobin did not bring claims against Nationstar directly.

B. Tobin Brings the Current Complaint

- 12. Shortly after all of her claims were denied at trial, Tobin filed a new complaint on August 8, 2019, but this time she filed the Complaint in her individual capacity. Tobin then filed a First Amended Complaint on June 3, 2020 (the "Complaint").
- 13. Tobin's new Complaint alleges that in March 2017, in the middle of the previous litigation and before the Trust filed its motion for summary judgment against the HOA, the Trust transferred title to the Property to Tobin individually.
- 14. Other than asserting claims in her individual capacity, Tobin's current action is based, once again, on allegations that Red Rock did not comply with the requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property in August 2014.
- 15. The Complaint specifically brings claims against all of the Defendants for quiet title, unjust enrichment, and declaratory relief based on allegations that Red Rock wrongfully foreclosed on the Property.
- 16. The Complaint brings the above claims against the Jimijack Defendants and Chiesi Defendants presumably because those Defendants obtained interests in the Property after foreclosure. The Complaint alleges that Nationstar was the servicer on the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, but the Complaint does not specify why Nationstar was named as a defendant in the current action.
- 17. On June 23, 2020, Red Rock filed a motion to dismiss arguing, in part, that all of Tobin's claims are barred by the doctrines of claim preclusion and nonmutual claim preclusion. The remaining Defendants all properly joined Red Rock's motion.
- 18. In their joinders, the Chiesi Defendants and the Jimijack Defendants requested this Court grant them attorney's fees and costs for defending against Tobin's

EDCR Rule 7.60(b)(1) and / or (3).

STANDARD FOR DISMISSAL UNDER NRCP 12(B)(5)

claims. The Jimijack Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs were pursuant to

- 19. Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5), a motion to dismiss should be granted upon "failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted." A motion brought under NRCP 12(b)(5) tests the legal sufficiency of the claim as alleged by the moving party. A motion to dismiss must be granted where it appears to a certainty that the plaintiff is entitled to no relief under any set of facts that could be proved in support of a claim. *Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas*, 124 Nev. 224, 228 (2008); *Blackjack Bonding v. Las Vegas Mun. Ct.*, 116 Nev. 1213,1217 (2000); *Simpson v. Mars Inc.*, 113 Nev. 188, 190 (1997).
- 20. In reviewing motions to dismiss, courts may consider the allegations of the Complaint and "may also consider unattached [or attached] evidence on which the complaint necessarily relies if: (1) the complaint refers to the document; (2) the document is central to the plaintiff's claim; and (3) no party questions the authenticity of the document." *Baxter v. Dignity Health*, 357 P.3d 927, 930 (Nev. 2015) (quoting *United States v. Corinthian Colleges*, 655 F.3d 984, 999 (9th Cir.2011)).

LEGAL FINDINGS

- 21. The doctrine of claim preclusion, otherwise known as *res judicata* is designed to prevent plaintiffs and their privies from filing any claims that were or could have been asserted in a different suit. *U. of Nevada v. Tarkanian*, 879 P.2d 1180, 1191–92 (Nev. 1994).
- 22. The concept of *nonmutual* claim preclusion extends the doctrine and "embraces the idea that a plaintiff's second suit against a new party should be precluded 'if the new party can show good reasons why he should have been joined in the first action and the [plaintiff] cannot show any good reasons to justify a second chance.' " *Weddell v. Sharp*, 350 P.3d 80, 84–85 (Nev. 2015) (quoting 18A Charles Alan Wright, et al., Federal Practice and Procedure § 4464.1 (2d ed.2002)

- 23. Courts should apply the doctrine of nonmutual claim preclusion when:
 - (1) There is a valid final judgment,
 - (2) a subsequent action is based on the same claims or any part of them that were or could have been brought in the first action, and
 - (3) "the parties or their privies are the same in the instant lawsuit as they were in the previous lawsuit, or the defendant can demonstrate that he or she should have been included as a defendant in the earlier suit and the plaintiff fails to provide a 'good reason' for not having done so." *Id.* at 85.
- 24. In this case, there was a valid final judgment on all of the claims Tobin brought against the HOA and all other parties to the foreclosure sale. In granting summary judgment and issuing a decision after a bench trial, the trial court in the previous action finally held that the foreclosure conducted by Red Rock was lawful and that Tobin's claims were all improper.
- 25. The current action is based on the same claims that were or could have been brought in the first action. In both actions Tobin is challenging the validity of the foreclosure sale conducted by Red Rock based on Red Rock's actions during the foreclosure sale.
- 26. The plaintiff in this action is the same or in privity to the plaintiff in the previous action. While Tobin did file on behalf of the Trust in the first case and in her individual capacity in this case, Tobin as an individual is clearly in privity with Tobin as a trustee. Tobin obtained her interest in the Property that was the subject of the previous action through the Trust by inheritance, succession, or purchase, and, even if Tobin were not the trustee of the Trust, she would be in privity with the Trust. *See*, *Bower v. Harrah's Laughlin*, *Inc.*, 215 P.3d 709, 718 (Nev. 2009).
- 27. All of the Defendants or their privities were or should have been named in the previous action. In the previous action, the Trust did name the Jimijack Defendants ,to whom the Chiesi Defendants are in privity, and Nationstar. Red Rock was known at

the time of the previous action, and Tobin has not provided any good reason for not having brought Red Rock in the previous action.

28. Because this case meets all of the elements of claim preclusion and nonmutual claim preclusion, those doctrines now bar Tobin from bringing all of her claims against the Defendants.

6 | / / /

1

2

3

5

7 || / / /

8 | / / /

9 | / / /

10 | / / /

11 || / / /

12 || / / /

13 || / / /

14 || / / /

15 || / / /

16 | / / /

17 | ///

18 ///

19 | / / /

20 ///

21 ///

22 | ///

23 | / / /

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | / / /

27 | / / /

1	ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED, ORDERED, AND DECREED			
2	that Red Rock's Motion to Dismiss all claims asserted against it in Tobin's First Amended			
3	Complaint and the joinders to that motion filed by all other Defendants are GRANTED			
4	and the action is dismissed in its entirety with prejudice.			
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT pursuant to NRS 14.017, the Notices of Lis			
6	Pendens recorded by Plaintiff in the Office of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument			
7	Numbers 201908080002097, 201908140003083, and 201908140003084, are hereby cancelled			
8	and expunged. Said cancellation has the same effect as an expungement of the original			
9	notice.			
10	The requests for attorney's fees made l	by the Chiesi Defendants and Jimijack		
11	Defendants shall be addressed in a separate order. On September 6, 2020, the Court			
12	entered and filed its Order granting the Jimijack Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees			
13	and Costs pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.60 (b)(1) and/or (3) Dated this 3rd day of December, 2020			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Susane Stranger		
15	Dated: December <u>3</u> , 2020			
16		HONORABLE SUŚAN JOHNSON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
17	Submitted by:	6CA 205 1CBE 2555		
18	/s/ Brody Wight	Susan Johnson District Court Judge		
19	Brody Wight, Esq. Counsel for Defendant Red Rock			
20	Financial Services, LLC.			
21	Approved as to Form and Content:			
22	/s/ Scott Lachman Scott Lachman, Esq.	<u>/s/ Brittany Wood</u> Brittany Wood, Esq.		
23	Counsel for Nationtar Mortgage, LLC	Counsel for Brian Chiesi, Debora Chiesi,		
24	/s/ Joseph Hong	and Quicken Loans, Inc.		
25	Joseph Hong, Esq. Counsel for Joel a Stokes, Joel A. Stokes	Mr. Thomson has refused to approve the proposed order for the reasons put forth		
26	and Sandra Stokes as trustees of Jimijack Irrevocable Trust, and Jimijack	<u>in the letter attached as Exhibit 2</u> John Thomson, Esq.		
27	Irrevocable Trust	Counsel for Nona Tobin		

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

From: joseph hong yosuphonglaw@gmail.com

Subject: Re: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 30, 2020 at 12:57 PM
To: Brody Wight bwight@kochscow.com

JH

Hi Brody...please affix my e-signature on the Order...

On Thu, Nov 19, 2020 at 10:42 AM Brody Wight < bwight@kochscow.com > wrote:

I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.

Brody Wight Koch & Scow LLC 11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210 Henderson, Nevada 89052 702-318-5040 (office) 702-318-5039 (fax) 801-645-8978 (cell) bwight@kochscow.com

--

Joseph Y, Hong, Esq Hong & Hong Law Office One Summerlin 1980 Festival Plaza Dr., Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Tel: (702) 870-1777 Fax: (702) 870-0500 Cell: (702) 409-6544

Email: Yosuphonglaw@gmail.com

From: Brittany Wood bwood@mauricewood.com @

Subject: RE: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 19, 2020 at 2:00 PM

To: Brody Wight bwight@kochscow.com, donna.wittig@akerman.com, joseph hong yosuphonglaw@gmail.com,

melanie.morgan@akerman.com, scott.lachman@akerman.com, J Thomson jwtlaw@ymail.com



You have my authority to attach my electronic signature.

Brittany Wood

Partner



9525 Hillwood Drive | Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada | 89134

Office: (702) 463-7616 | Fax: (702) 463-6224

bwood@mauricewood.com

Ē

This communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer. This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.

From: Brody Wight

Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 10:42 AM

To: donna.wittig@akerman.com; joseph hong <yosuphonglaw@gmail.com>; melanie.morgan@akerman.com; scott.lachman@akerman.com; Brittany Wood

<bwood@mauricewood.com>; J Thomson <jwtlaw@ymail.com>

Subject: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.

Brody Wight
Koch & Scow LLC
11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210
Henderson, Nevada 89052
702-318-5040 (office)
702-318-5039 (fax)
801-645-8978 (cell)
bwight@kochscow.com

From: Scott.lachman@akerman.com @

Subject: RE: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 19, 2020 at 11:04 AM

To: bwight@kochscow.com, donna.wittig@akerman.com, yosuphonglaw@gmail.com, melanie.morgan@akerman.com,

bwood@mauricewood.com, jwtlaw@ymail.com

Cc: elizabeth.streible@akerman.com

Brody – You have permission to use my e-signature for Nationstar. Bar No. 12016. Thanks for preparing the order.

Scott Lachman

Associate, Consumer Financial Services Practice Group
Akerman LLP | 1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200 | Las Vegas, NV 89134
D: 702 634 5021 | C: 702 321 7282
Scott.Lachman@akerman.com

vCard | Profile



700+ Lawyers 25 Offices akerman.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this transmission may be privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then delete it. Thank you.

From: Brody Wight <buildrelse Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 10:42 AM

To: Wittig, Donna (Assoc-Las) <donna.wittig@akerman.com>; joseph hong <yosuphonglaw@gmail.com>; Morgan, Melanie (Ptnr-Las) <melanie.morgan@akerman.com>; Lachman, Scott (Assoc-Las) <scott.lachman@akerman.com>; Brittany Wood

<bwood@mauricewood.com>; J Thomson <jwtlaw@ymail.com>

Subject: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.



Order Granting Defend...n.docx

From: Brody Wight bwight@kochscow.com

Subject: Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Tobin v. Chiesti A-19-799890-C

Date: November 19, 2020 at 10:42 AM

To: donna.wittig@akerman.com, joseph hong yosuphonglaw@gmail.com, melanie.morgan@akerman.com, scott.lachman@akerman.com, Brittany Wood bwood@mauricewood.com, J Thomson jwtlaw@ymail.com



I am attaching the order granting Red Rock's motion to dismiss and all joinders that has the changes requested by the Court. If you approve of this order, please respond to this email authorizing me to attach your e-signature.

John, I am aware that you do not approve of the order and will attach the letter you sent regarding the order as an exhibit to the order per the Court's request.



Order Granting Defend...n.docx

Brody Wight
Koch & Scow LLC
11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210
Henderson, Nevada 89052
702-318-5040 (office)
702-318-5039 (fax)
801-645-8978 (cell)
bwight@kochscow.com

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON 2450 ST. ROSE PARKWAY, SUITE 120 HENDERSON, NV 89074

OFFICE: 702-478-8282 FAX: 702-541-9500

EMAIL: johnwthomson@ymail.com/jwtlaw@ymail.com

October 27, 2020

Via Email Only:

David Koch – <u>dkoch@kochscow.com</u>
Brody Wight – <u>bwight@kochscow.com</u>
Daniel Scow – <u>dscow@kochscow.com</u>
Steven Scow – <u>sscow@kochscow.com</u>
Donna Wittig – <u>donna.wittig@akerman.com</u>
Melanie Morgan – <u>Melanie.morgan@akerman.com</u>
Joseph Hong – <u>yosuphonglaw@gmail.com</u>
Brittany Wood – bwood@mauricewood.com

Re: Tobin v. Chiesi, et al Case No.: A-19-799890-C

Dear Counsel:

Please see below Nona Tobin's comments and objections to the Order:

1. On January 31, 2017, Tobin, in her capacity as the trustee of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust (the "Trust"), filed a Cross-claim against the Sun City Anthem Community Association (the "HOA") in District Court Case No. A-15-720032-C (the "Previous Case" or "Previous Action") claiming the HOA, through its collection agent Red Rock, wrongfully foreclosed on a residence owned by the Trust and located at 2763 White Sage Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89052 (the "Property") on August 15, 2014.

Claims were brought in both capacities as Trustee and an Individual. The proposed pleadings attached to the 11/15/16 Motion to Intervene, the 12/20/16 hearing minutes & Recorder's Transcript Tobin as filing as an individual beneficiary & Gordon B. Hansen Trust, trustee. Her acceptance as an individual party was reaffirmed at a hearing on 4/27/17 See Recorder's Transcript Page.

2. In that same litigation, Tobin brought claims against the Jimijack Defendants as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure.

Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust's primary claim was never adjudicated at trial, i.e., that Jimijack had no valid interest as its deed was inadmissible per NRS 111.345 & was not the successor in interest to the party that purchased the property at foreclosure. Jimijack evaded judicial scrutiny of Jimijack's defective deed by transferring Jimijack's deed to non-party Joel Stokes as an individual five weeks before the trial that allegedly adjudicated the Gordon B. Hansen Trust 's quiet title claim v Jimijack.

3. Tobin's central allegation in the Previous Case was that Red Rock committed fraud and wrongfully colluded with several parties, including the HOA, in foreclosing on the Property without complying with the requirements of NRS Chapter 116 or the HOA's governing documents. (*Id.* at ¶ 17).

The documents and record speak for themselves, and the summary here is not adequate.

4. Tobin's Cross-claim in the Previous Case listed a host of allegations of wrongdoing against including claims that Red Rock failed to provide the Trust with proper notice of the foreclosure sale and that it frequently misstated the amounts due and owing to the HOA under the HOA lien.

Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust filed six causes of actions vs. Sun City Anthem. Sun City Anthem's Motion for Summary Judgment addressed quiet title only. Court rejected the Ombudsman's notice of sale log because it was not authenticated. It was authenticated on 4/15/19, but the court did not consider it.

5. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case contained a cause of action against the HOA for quiet title and equitable relief claiming that Red Rock's actions caused the foreclosure sale to be null and void as well as causes of action for civil conspiracy, fraud, unjust enrichment, and breach of contract. The allegations of each of those claims centered around Red Rock.

The degree to which Red Rock & FSR misled the HOA Board, usurped control of funds belonging to the HOA and other parties was revealed during discovery of the prior proceedings but there was no judicial scrutiny of the evidence because Sun City Anthem's attorneys misrepresented the Red Rock foreclosure file as Sun City Anthem's official records and concealed the HOA's verified, corroborated agendas, minutes, and ownership accounts.

These claims were not heard. Five of the six causes of actions were dismissed to go to mediation, but were not returned. Sun City Anthem Motion for Summary Judgment was a partial Motion for Summary Judgment.

There are things about Red Rock's fraud that were only discovered during discovery in the first proceedings. Tobin was prevented from addressing them at trial because she was removed as a Party in her individual capacity; documentary evidence was all excluded from trial, Page 18 of 1/31/17 crossclaim, failure to distribute proceeds, and many other findings of fact were misrepresented in the 4/17/19 Sun City Anthem Motion for Summary Judgment.

6. The Cross-claim in the Previous Case alleged that it was Red Rock that conspired, Red Rock that committed fraud, Red Rock that was unjustly enriched, and Red Rock that breached the contract, but the Cross-claim did not list Red Rock as a party.

None of these claims were heard. See # 13

Red Rock was not a party in the prior suit. Tobin tried to add them in her attempted amendment of her 1/31/17 Cross-Claim vs Sun City Anthem that it could not have any added parties or claims, but the Court wouldn't allow it. See 1/10/19 Recorder's Transcript.

7. On February 5, 2019, the HOA brought a motion for summary judgment seeking the dismissal of the Trust's Cross-claim. The HOA argued that Red Rock clearly complied with all requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property and carefully presented the court with all of the notices Red Rock provided.

Disagree. It was a partial Motion for Summary Judgment vs. the Gordon B. Hansen Trust on the quiet title claim. It did not address five of the six causes of actions in the 1/31/17 CRCM that all parties agreed on 3/26/19 hearing (See Recorder's Transcript) was the operative pleading.

Misstates what happened. While it is true that the HOA argues these points, it did so without any verified, corroborated supporting evidence and by unverified, uncorroborated Red Rock foreclosure file as if it was the HOA's official record.

Sun City Anthem's assisted Red Rock's alleged fraud by presenting inaccurate notices that were never sent, as if they were real, and concealed from discovery the actual official HOA records that support Tobin's and Leidy's declarations made under penalty of perjury.

8. The Trust filed an opposition attempting to defend its allegations with a declaration from Tobin attached that claimed the Trust owned the Property.

Tobin's 3/6/19 declaration under penalty of perjury was consistent with the many other declarations she made under penalty of perjury (9/23/16, 1/17/17, 3/14/19, 3/22/19, 4/20/19).

This implies there was some conflict in her statement about who owned it at the time of the sale and how she acquired title as an individual, but alternate theories of recovery are allowed.

Further, this 3/6/19 declaration was not considered by the court at the 3/26/19 hearing because the court had granted the HOA's Motion for Summary Judgment and Nationstar Mortgage's sua sponte on 3/5/19.

9. On April 17, 2019, the court in that case signed an order granting the HOA's motion in its entirety reasoning that "[t]he totality of the facts evidence that the HO properly followed the processes and procedures in foreclosing upon the Property." (Exhibit 4, pg. 9).

While it is true that is what the order says, there are many disputed facts in that order. See Tobin 4/20/19 DECL that was exhibit 1 to the 5/23/19 Reply to SCA's opposition to reconsider.

All evidence, meaning all sworn affidavits, declarations under penalty of perjury by Teralyn Lewis -Nevada Real Estate Division Custodian of Records; Craig Leidy- 2014 listing agent; Doug Proudfit- 2012-2013 Listing agent; Linda Proudfit – Proudfit Realty Custodian of Records; Steve Hansen – cobeneficiary to the Gordon B. Hansen Trust until 3/27/17; and Nona Tobin as well as all verified & corroborated documentary evidence support Nona Tobin's claims.

The court erred in relying solely on the HOA's oral arguments and Red Rock's unverified, uncorroborated file; ignoring all of the verified evidence that contradicts that statement.

10. Tobin, as the trustee to the Trust also brought identical claims against the Jimijack Defendant, as successors in interest to the party that purchased the Property at the foreclosure, in the Previous Case. After a full trial on the merits, the Court entered a judgment on June 24, 2019 finding in favor of the Jimijack Defendants and against the Trust on all of the Trust's claims in part due to the fact that the claims were precluded by the order granting summary judgment.

The 5 causes of actions of Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust's 2/1/17 AACC vs Joel & Sandra as Trustees of Jimijack were not identical to the claims against the HOA and no claims against Jimijack were heard at trial. There was no "full trial on the merits". Joel A. Stokes, a party in this case, who held Jimijack's recorded interest as of 5/1/19, was not a party in either of the

consolidated cases. The court was not aware at trial that non-party Joel Stokes had encumbered the property with a \$355,000 deed of trust from non-party Civic Financial Services. The Stokes-Civil Financial Services Deed of Trust was wrongly identified as the Jimijack-Nationstar Mortgage "settlement" even though neither NSM nor Jimijack was party to Stokes-Civil Financial Services Deed of Trust.

Further, Plaintiff Jimijack that did not have an admissible deed filed, no quiet title (or any other) claims, into the consolidated cases except its original 6/16/15 COMP vs BANA. BANA defaulted & JDDF was filed on 10/16/15 so BANA was not a party.

Claims preclusion should not have been applied by the court. The Sun City Anthem's Motion for Summary Judgment was a partial Motion for Summary Judgment as it specifically limited its scope to the quiet title causes of action of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust. The Motion for Summary Judgment was specifically not addressing five of the six Gordon B. Hansen Trust causes of actions or six of Tobin's causes of actions against Sun City Anthem. Motion for Summary did not apply to Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust's five causes of actions against Jimijack or the four causes of actions against Hong's other client Yuen K. Lee dba F. Bondurant as Hong did not file a joinder to Sun City Anthem's Motion for Summary Judgment and his oral motion to join at the 3/26/19 hearing was denied. (Page 20, lines 16-17 Recorder's Transcript)

11. Nationstar, as the servicing bank for the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, was also party to the Previous Case, but Tobin did not bring claims against Nationstar directly.

Nationstar Mortgage was party in the previous case because it inaccurately claimed to hold the beneficial interest of the Hansen Deed of Trust.

Tobin filed an affidavit on 9/23/16 that stated on Page 5 "23. In our scenario, Nationstar Mortgage would retain whatever security interest they had (and could legitimately prove they had in the first deed of trust on August 14, 2014 and no more.

- 24. Our prayer to the court would be 1) void the sale, 2) give back the title to us as the equitable titleholders prior to the fraudulent HOA sale, and 3) not allow NSM's claims to a security interest prevail by bypassing the requirements of Nevada's 2011 anti-foreclosure fraud law." (AB 284 2011)
- 25. I believe Nationstar Mortgage's claims are clearly contradicted by evidence I possess."
- 12. Shortly after all of her claims were denied at trial, Tobin filed a whole new complaint on August 8, 2019, but this time she filed the Complaint in her individual

capacity. Tobin then filed a First Amended Complaint on June 3, 2020 (the "Complaint")

Filing the new claim was necessary to protect my individual rights arising from my 3/28/17 deed. The parties would have asserted they were timebarred if I had not filed an individual claim prior to the 8/14/19 statute of limitations.ⁱ

- 13. Tobin's new Complaint alleges that in March 2017, in the middle of the previous litigation and before the Trust filed its motion for summary judgment against the HOA, the Trust transferred title to the Property to Tobin individually.
 - "...before the trust filed its Motion for Summary Judgment vs. the HOA" misstates the facts & the court record.

1/31/17 Tobin Cross-Claim vs Sun City Anthem

2/23/17 Sun City Anthem Motion to Dismiss Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust per NRS 38.310

3/3/17 Tobin filed a Pro Se Motion for Summary Judgment to void the sale vs. the HOA on behalf of herself & Gordon B. Hansen Trust

3/14/17 Sun City Anthem changed attorneys from Lech to Lipson

3/22/17 Tobin gave Sun City Anthem a settlement offer to avoid litigation

3/22/17 Sun City Anthem filed Motion to Dismiss vs Tobin & Gordon B. Hansen Trust per NRCP 41 because Tobin was a Pro Se

3/31/17 Sun City Anthem filed an Opposition to Motion to Tobin Motion for Summary Judgment

4/27/17 Court denied Sun City Anthem Motion to Dismiss per 41 "as to the individual" but erred in not hearing the Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust Motion for Summary Judgment which was scheduled to be heard 4/27/17 5/25/17 Sun City Anthem & Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust new attorney stipulated to withdraw all claims & Tobin's MSJ pending completion of mediation. Sun City Anthem's 3/31/17 opposition was withdrawn erroneously as Sun City Anthem new attorney Ochoa misrepresented Sun City Anthem's opposition as a 2nd Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust Motion for Summary Judgment. Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust completed mediation on 11/13/18, but her claims were not restored to the jurisdiction of the court as her 4/9/19, 4/12/19, 7/26/19 notices of completion of mediation and her 7/29/19 motion to dismiss per 38.310 were all stricken from the record unheard. This resulted in the court refusing to hear her 3/3/17 Motion for Summary Judgment vs. Sun City Anthem, her 4/10/19 Motion for Summary Judgment vs. Jimijack and her 4/24/19 motion to vacate the Sun City Anthem partial Motion for Summary Judgment of the Gordon B. Hansen Trust's quiet title claims & Nationstar Mortgage's limited joinder thereto pursuant to NRCP 60 fraud on court.

14. Other than asserting claims in her individual capacity, Tobin's current action is based, once again, on allegations that Red Rock did not comply with the requirements of law in foreclosing on the Property in August 2014.

Tobin filed the claims that the HOA's agent did not comply with legal requirements in an individual capacity in the prior case, but the court did not hear her as an individual previously, and so the court was unaware of the specific evidence of Red Rock's falsification of its unverified, uncorroborated foreclosure file, keeping two sets of books, taking the authority of the HOA Board to retain proprietary control over funds collected for the benefit of the HOA, conspiring with Nationstar Mortgage to mischaracterize Nationstar Mortgage's rejected \$1100 tender to close the 5/8/14 \$367,500 auction.com sale, authenticated Ombudsman's log shows there was no notice of sale in effect when the 8/15/14 sale was held that was uncovered during the prior proceedings, so she reasserts those claims in the current case. The claim that Red Rock wrongly retained the proceeds of the sale was on page 18-19 of the 1/31/17 Cross-Claim vs. Sun City Anthem, but was never heard because Tobin was prohibited from adding back in the 5 of 6 causes of actions that were withdrawn pending completion of mediation. Tobin's individual motions and notices were all stricken from the record unheard.

15. The Complaint specifically brings claims against all of the Defendants for quiet title, unjust enrichment, and declaratory relief based entirely on allegations that Red Rock wrongfully foreclosed on the Property.

Disagree. The complaint speaks for itself and the summary is inadequately simple and incorrect. The claim against Nationstar Mortgage is that it never was the beneficial owner of the Hansen deed of trust, and is judicially estopped from claiming to own it now. However, because Nationstar Mortgage misrepresented to the court that Tobin's choosing to move to void the sale subject to the Hansen Deed of Trust meant that Tobin/Gordon B. Hansen Trust and Nationstar Mortgage were not opposing parties. Nationstar Mortgage therefore "settled out of court" and dropped its quiet title claims without meeting its burden of proof. Further, if the sale was valid to extinguish the Gordon B. Hansen Trust's interest, then it was valid to extinguish the Hansen Deed of Trust. Also, Nationstar Mortgage & Red Rock both concealed that the Nationstar Mortgage offer of \$1100 and the 3/28/14 Red Rock Financial Services pay off demand to Chicago title the complaint against Jimijack was that the deed was fraudulent and inadmissible per NRS 111.345. All other defendant's deeds that stemmed from Jimijack's are void as well. These are new claims never heard.

16. The Complaint brings the above claims against the Jimijack Defendants and Chiesi Defendants presumably because those Defendants obtained interests in the Property after foreclosure. The Complaint alleges that Nationstar was the servicer on the Deed of Trust on the Property at the time of foreclosure, but the Complaint does not specify why Nationstar was named as a defendant in the current action.

Nationstar Mortgage did not admit that it was only the servicer and not the beneficiary until after the end of discovery, and then they immediately contradicted it by recording a claim that contradicted its previous claim of being the beneficiary. Nationstar Mortgage recorded false claims related to the disputed Hansen DOT on 12/1/14, two on 3/8/19, 1/22/15, 8/17/15, and 6/3/19. In settlement with the other parties, the Jimijack-Nationstar Mortgage settlement, they decided to recording documents on 5/1/19 and 5/23/19 which clouded the title with reassignments of the Stokes-CFS DOT on 6/4/19 and 7/17/19. Chiesi/Quicken defendants recorded claims adverse to Tobin's claimed interest on 12/27/19 during the pendency of these proceedings and the appeal of the prior case. NSM reconveyed the Hansen deed of trust to Joel Stokes as an individual instead of to the estate of the borrower; while the Stokes-Civil Financial Services Deed of Trust still encumbered the property.

17. On June 23, 2020, Red Rock filed a motion to dismiss arguing, in part, that all of Tobin's claims are barred by the doctrines of claim preclusion and nonmutual claim preclusion. The remaining Defendants all properly joined Red Rock's motion.

Claims preclusion is not supported by the facts. Tobin's individual claims in the prior case were not heard. Nationstar Mortgage's claims were not heard because they were dismissed without Tobin's consent, allegedly in order to evade judicial scrutiny of any evidence, and creating a side deal with Jimijack to thwart Tobin's ownership interest. Jimijack didn't have any claims to adjudicate, but somehow won without any claims or any evidence.

Different parties, different claims, no fair adjudication previously equals no applicability of claims preclusion doctrine.

18. In their joinders, the Chiesi Defendants and the Jimijack Defendants requested this Court grant them attorney's fees and costs for defending against Tobin's claims. The Jimijack Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs were pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.60(b)(1) and/or (3).

The attorney fees and costs are separate matters and should not be included in the Order granting motion to dismiss.

Sincerely,	
/s/ John W. Thomson	
John W. Thomson. Esq.	
JWT/ac	
cc: Nona Tobin	

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Nona Tobin, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-799890-C 6 VS. DEPT. NO. Department 22 7 8 Joel Stokes, Defendant(s) 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile 12 system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 12/3/2020 14 David Koch dkoch@kochscow.com 15 **Brody Wight** bwight@kochscow.com 16 17 Akerman LLP AkermanLAS@akerman.com 18 Andrea Eshenbaugh - Legal Assistant aeshenbaugh@kochscow.com 19 Donna Wittig donna.wittig@akerman.com 20 Daniel Scow dscow@kochscow.com 21 JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM 22 JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM 23 **MELANIE MORGAN** melanie.morgan@akerman.com 24 25 JOSEPH HONG yosuphonglaw@gmail.com 26 JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM 27

JOSEPH HONG YOSUPHONGLAW@GMAIL.COM MELANIE.MORGAN@AKERMAN.COM MELANIE MORGAN STEVEN SCOW sscow@kochscow.com STEVEN SCOW sscow@kochscow.com John Thomson johnwthomson@ymail.com Vincenette Caruana jwtlaw@ymail.com Brittany Wood bwood@mauricewood.com

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Other Title to Property

COURT MINUTES

August 11, 2020

A-19-799890-C

Nona Tobin, Plaintiff(s)

Joel Stokes, Defendant(s)

August 11, 2020

8:30 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Johnson, Susan

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 15D

COURT CLERK: Iill Chambers

RECORDER: Norma Ramirez

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Defendant Chiesti, Brian Chiesti, Debora Defendant Hong, Joseph Y. Attorney Thomson, John W. Attorney Wight, Brody R. Attorney Wittig, Donna Attorney Wood, Brittany Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO NRCP 12(B)(5) AND (6) NATIONSTAR'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES' MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT JOEL A. STOKES, JOEL A. STOKES AND SANDRA STOKES, AS TRUSTEES OF THE JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST, AND JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANT, RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO E.D.C.R. RULE 7.60(B)(1) AND/OR (3) BRIAN AND DEBORA CHIESI AND QUICKEN LOAN INC.'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICE'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

Counsel appearing remotely via Bluejeans.

PRINT DATE: 12/30/2020 Page 1 of 4 Minutes Date: August 11, 2020

A-19-799890-C

Argument by counsel. COURT ORDERED, Defendant Red Rock Financial Services, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Complaint, GRANTED adding that the Court would take the request for attorney fees under advisement. Mr. Wight to prepare the order.

PRINT DATE: 12/30/2020 Page 2 of 4 Minutes Date: August 11, 2020

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Other Title to Property

COURT MINUTES

October 29, 2020

A-19-799890-C

Nona Tobin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Joel Stokes, Defendant(s)

October 29, 2020

9:00 AM

Motion for Attorney Fees

and Costs

HEARD BY: Johnson, Susan

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 15D

COURT CLERK: Keri Cromer

RECORDER: Norma Ramirez

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Hong, Joseph Y. Attorney

Thomson, John W. Attorney
Tobin, Nona Plaintiff
Wood, Brittany Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Arguments by Ms. Wood and Mr. Thompson regarding whether or not the 31.6 billed hours were reasonable and necessary.

COURT ORDERED, matter taken UNDER ADVISEMENT; advised it wanted to review the attorneys fees in lieu of the Brunzell factors; matter SET for hearing.

11/03/2020 - 8:30 AM - DEFENDANTS, JOEL A. STOKES AND SANDRA STOKES, AS TRUSTEES OF THE JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST AND JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S MOTION TO ENFORCE ORDER FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND FOR CONTEMPT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO EDCR RULE 7.60(B)(3) AND/OR (5) AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME

PRINT DATE: 12/30/2020 Page 3 of 4 Minutes Date: August 11, 2020

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Other Title to Property

COURT MINUTES

November 03, 2020

A-19-799890-C

Nona Tobin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Joel Stokes, Defendant(s)

November 03, 2020

8:30 AM

Motion to Enforce

HEARD BY: Johnson, Susan

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 15D

COURT CLERK: Keri Cromer

RECORDER:

Norma Ramirez

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Thomson, John W.

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court noted the best remedy would be for Mr. Hong to submit a proposed judgment and ORDERED, Motion DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Mr. Thomson advised there were several issues with this Motion and they should be awarded attorneys fees. Arguments by Mr. Thomson in support of attorneys fees. Court noted Mr. Thomson didn't have a pending motion for attorneys fees and advised he should file one if he felt it was appropriate.

PRINT DATE: 12/30/2020 Page 4 of 4 Minutes Date: August 11, 2020



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ. 2450 ST. ROSE PKWY., SUITE 120 HENDERSON, NV 89074

DATE: December 30, 2020 CASE: A-19-799890-C

RE CASE: NONA TOBIN vs. BRIAN CHIESTI; DEBORA CHIESTI; QUICKEN LOANS, INC.; JOEL A. STOKES; JOEL A. STOKES and SANDRA STOKES as Trustee of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: December 29, 2020

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

	\$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)** - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
	\$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
\boxtimes	\$500 - Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)** NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the court.
	Case Appeal Statement - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
	Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

Notice of Entry of Order

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

^{**}Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark
SS

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT, STATE OF NEVADA; NONA TOBIN'S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS); NOTICE OF POSTING COST BOND; REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES' MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT AND ALL JOINDERS TO THE MOTION; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

NONA TOBIN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

BRIAN CHIESTI; DEBORA CHIESTI; QUICKEN LOANS, INC.; JOEL A. STOKES; JOEL A. STOKES and SANDRA STOKES as Trustee of JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; JIMIJACK IRREVOCABLE TRUST; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-19-799890-C

Dept No: XXII

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 30 day of December 2020.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk