IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF: THE DUCKWORTH FAMILY TRUST, Dated March 12, 2015.

Electronically Filed
Oct 07 2021 11:53 a.m.
Supreme Court Moz & Self A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

KYLA DUCKWORTH,

Appellant,

v.

CARY DUCKWORTH, TRUSTEE; AND TARA DUCKWORTH,

Respondents.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE ANSWERING BRIEF ON APPEAL (First Request)

Respondent, CARY DUCKWORTH ("CARY"), hereby moves for a brief, thirty-day extension of time from the date of filing this Motion under NRAP 26 for filing the Answering Brief on Appeal ("Answering Brief") to the Appellant's Opening Brief filed September 14, 2021.

The Answering Brief is due by October 14, 2021. If granted a brief, thirty (30) day extension from the date of filing this Motion is granted, the Answering Brief will be due by November 13, 2021, with the next judicial day being November 15, 2021. This is the first motion for extension for the Answering Brief. The request is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

 NRAP 31(b)(3) states in relevant part as follows:

- (3) Motions for Extensions of Time. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.
 - (A) Contents of Motion. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:
 - (i) The date when the brief is due;
 - (ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a 5-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;
 - (iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;
 - (iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary; and
 - (v) The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.
 - (B) Motions in All Appeals Except Child Custody, Visitation, or Capital Cases. Applications for extensions of time beyond that to which the parties are permitted to stipulate under Rule 31(b)(2) are not favored. The court will grant an initial motion for extension of time for filing a brief only upon a clear showing of good cause. The court shall not grant additional extensions of time except upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need.

As the record demonstrates, this case was highly contentions and detailed on the issues. The facts surrounding the case were particular and distinct, many of first impression. Cary's counsel continues to work on the Answering Brief.

While the attorneys for the Respondent are diligently working on the Answering Brief, due to the complexity of this case, it has required extensive time to conduct research on very complex law involving the appealability of factual findings and non-final orders, and review and identification of the relevant portions of the transcripts, and submissions by the Appellant, all of which has taken longer than initially anticipated. Therefore, Counsel

1	requests a brief, thirty-day extension, until November 15, 2021, the first available judicial
3	day thirty (30) days after October 13, 2021.
4	This motion is being submitted in good faith, and without the intent to cause undue
5	delay in the appeal.
7	Dated this day of October 2021.
8	DAWSON & LORDAHL PLLC
9	El PH
10 1	ELIZABETH BRICKFIELD, ESQ.
11	Névada State Bar No. 006236 MELISSA R. ROMANO, ESQ.
12	Nevada State Bar No. 009545
13	8925 W. Post Road, Suite 210
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Attorneys for Respondent
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 14 day of October 2021, I served a copy of this MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE ANSWERING BRIEF ON APPEAL (FIRST Request) upon all counsel of record by the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system:

Jerimy Kirschner, Esq.
Jerimy Kirschner & Associates, PLLC
5550 Painted Mirage Road, Suite 320
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
Attorneys for Appellant, Kyla Duckworth

Liane K. Wakayama, Esq. Hayes Wakayama 4735 S. Durango Drive, Suite 105 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Attorney for Appellant, Tara Kassity

An Employee of Dawson & Lordahl PLLC

1 2