Form 1. Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court From a Judgment or Order of a District Court

No. 18-CV-00663		Dept. No. 1 Electronically	/ File	d
IN THE THIRD JUDIC	AL DISTRICT COURT OF T	$_{\rm HE}$ Jan 26 2021	10:3	1 a.m.
STATE OF 1	NEVADA IN AND FOR	Engapern A.	DIOW	11
THE CO	UNTY OF LYON	Clease of Supr	eme	Court
			22	
LEO KRAMER, Plaintiff, in pro se AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiff, in pro se	}		5	
AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiff, in pro se	}			
V.	}		-	Γ
NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING	}		PH	m
CORPORATION, ET AL, Defendants	}	STAN STAN	1	\bigcirc
NOTI	CE OF APPEAL	<u> </u>	L S	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that LEO KRAMER and AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiffs, in pro se, above named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada (from the final judgment) (from the order (Summary Judgment) entered in this action

16 (ar) on the J4 day of December, 2020

1/12/21 <u>For Thomas</u> Leo Kramer, Plaintiff, in pro se

1/12/2021 Judress namer

Audrey Kramer, Plaintiff, in pro se

Address: 2364 Redwood Road Hercules, CA 94547

* Please see attached orders.

1 2 3 4 5	PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY) I am employed in the County of Contra Costa, State of California I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is, I served the foregoing document entitled:
6 7	NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT FROM A JUDGMENT OR ORDER OF A DISTRICT COURT.
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	on all parties in this action as follows: PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST _X_Mail. By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with first class postage thereon fully paid at Alameda, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or the postage meter is more than one day after day of deposit for mailing in this Proof of Service. By Telefax. I transmitted said document by telefax to the offices of the addressees at the telefax numbers on the attached Service List. By Personal Service. I delivered such envelope by hand to the addressee(s). X By Overnight Courier. I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be delivered to an overnight courier service for next day delivery to the addressee(s) on the attached Service List. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on <u>01.13.2021</u> , at <u>Hercules</u> , California. <u>Corina DiGrazia</u> Name of Declarant Signature of Declarant
25 26 27 28	

.

•

-

1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>
2	
3	Kevin S. Soderstrom Tiffany & Bosco, P.A.
4	10100 W. Charleston Blvd, Ste. 220
5	Las Vegas, NV 89107
6	Attorneys for Defendant: NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICE CORPORATION
7	
8	John T. Steffen
9	Mathew K. Schriever Hutchison & Steffen
10	1008 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145
11	Attorneys for Defendants:
12	BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016, LLC
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

.

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11731 TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716 Attorneys for Defendant National Default Serving Corporation	FILED 2020 DEC 16 PH 4:28 TANYA SCEIRINE COURT ADMINISTRATOR CHIRD ADDICIAL DISTRICT HIRD ADDICIAL DISTRICT
	8	THIRD JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT
	9	LYON COUNTY, NEVADA	
<u> </u>	10		
e 220 187	11	LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER,	Case No.: 18-CV-00663
.A. Suite 3 58-878	12		Dept. No.: I
CO, P.A. levard, Suite 2 89135 702-258-8787	13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING NATIONAL
BOSCO, P.A. Boulevard, S. NV 89135 Fax 702-258	14	vs.	DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION'S MOTION IN LIMINE
S as I on &	15	NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING	TO EXCLUDE AND DISQUALIFY
TIFFANY & W. Charleston Las Vegas, 702-258-8200	16	CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE	WILLIAM J. PAATALO
	17	PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,	
ei 00	18		
101 T	19	Defendants.	
	20		and an Defendent National Default Servicing
	21		ourt on Defendant, National Default Servicing
	22 23	Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion in Limine to the 8 th day of September, 2020; and the Court 1	
	23 24	herein, having considered the arguments of cour	
	2 4 25	8, 2020, and good cause appearing therefore, ent	
	26		
	27		
	28		
		-1-	
		H	

i

ĺ

Ì

	}	
	1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, for the reasons stated on the record, National Default
	2	Servicing Corporation's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify William J. Paatalo is
	3	GRANTED.
	4	December DATED this 14th day of Qorpher, 2020.
	5	TIL
	6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	7	Respectfully submitted by:
	8	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.
	9	
	10	<u>/s/ Ace C. Van Patten, Esq.</u> ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.
FFANY & BOSCO, P.A. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 -258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	11	Attorneys for Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation
A. Suite 8-87	12	Dejumi Berviening Corporation
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 2 Las Vegas, NV 89135 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	13	
BOSC Boulev NV 89 Fax 70	14	
& BOS on Bou as, NV 0 Fax	15	
FFANY & 1 Charleston Las Vegas, -258-8200	16	
FFA Char Las -258	17	
TIH 00 W. 4	18	
1010 Te	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		-2-
	1	
	1	I /

ļ

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220Las Vegas, NV 89135Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716 Attorneys for Defendant National Default Serving Corporation THIRD JUDICIAL LYON COUN LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiffs, vs. NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE, Defendants.	TY, NEVADA Case No.: 18-CV-00663 Dept. No.: I ORDER GRANTING NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE AND DISQUALIFY WILLIAM J. PAATALO
---	---	--	--

.

1 1 1

- 1 -

-		
	1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that for the reasons stated on the record, Plaintiffs'
	2	Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to Include Fraud Cause of Action Due to Newly
	3	Discovered Material Evidence is DENIED.
	4	December DATED this 14th day of Qotobe r, 2020.
	5	ATT
	6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	7	Respectfully submitted by:
	8	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.
	9	
-	10	<u>/s/ Ace C. Van Patten, Esq.</u> ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.
A. Suite 220 8-8787	11	Attorneys for Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation
, P.A. rrd, Suite 2 35 -258-8787	12	
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A W. Charleston Boulevard, St Las Vegas, NV 89135 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-	13	
FFANY & BOSCO, P. Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89135 -258-8200 Fax 702-25	14	
A Ho si Q	15	
FFANY & Charleston Charleston Las Vegas, -258-8200	16	
FFA Cha Las 2-258	17	
•	18	
10100 Tel	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		-2-

		FILED
1	ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.	2020 DEC 16 PM 4:28
2	Nevada Bar No. 11731 TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.	
3	10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135	TANYA SCEIRINE COURT ADMINISTRATOR THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
4	Tel: (702) 258-8200	Aathy thomas desider
5	Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716	- fring to make and
6	Attorneys for Defendant	
7	National Default Serving Corporation	
8	THIRD JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT
9	LYON COUN	TY, NEVADA
10		
11	LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER,	Case No.: 18-CV-00663
12		Dept. No.: I
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
14	vs.	
15	NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING	
16	CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE	
17	PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1	
18	THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,	
19	Defendants.	
20		4
21	This matter having come before this C	Court on Plaintiffs, Leo and Audrey Kramer's
22	("Plaintiffs") Motion for Summary Judgme	ent, Defendant, National Default Servicing
23	Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion for Summ	ary Judgment, and Defendant, Breckenridge
24	Property Fund 2016, LLC's ("Breckenridge"	') Joinder to NDSC's Motion for Summary
25	Judgment on the 8 th day of September, 2020; as	nd the Court having reviewed the pleadings and

papers filed herein, having considered the arguments of counsel and the parties at the hearing on
September 8, 2020, and good cause appearing therefore, enters the following findings of fact
and conclusions of law in this matter.

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

I. **FINDINGS OF FACT** 1 On or about April 4, 2008, Leo Kramer executed an Agreement and Disclosure 2 1. (the "Note") reflecting a home equity line of credit provided by Washington Mutual 3 ("WAMU"). 4 On or about April 4, 2008, Leo Kramer and Audrey Kramer (collectively, the 5 2. "Plaintiffs" or the "Kramers") executed a Deed of Trust reflecting that the debt referenced in 6 the Note was secured by the real property located at 1740 Autumn Glen Street, Fernley, NV 7 8 89408 (the "Property"). The Deed of Trust was recorded with the Lyon County Recorder's Office on or 9 3. about May 1, 2008, as Document No. 425436. 10 The Deed of Trust encumbers the Property and secures repayment of the Note 11 4. (collectively, the Note and Deed of Trust are hereafter "the Loan"). 12 13 5. The Note was subsequently endorsed in blank. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. becomes the note holder and beneficiary 14 On September 25, 2008, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") 15 6. placed WaMu into receivership. 16 Concurrent with the inception of the FDIC's receivership of WaMu, JP Morgan 17 7. Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") acquired certain assets and liabilities of WaMu from the FDIC 18 19 pursuant to that certain "Purchase and Assumption Agreement, Whole Bank, Among Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank, Henderson, Nevada, 20 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association," 21 22 dated as of September 25, 2008 (the "PAA"). 23 8. As part of the acquisition by Chase of certain assets and liabilities of WaMu from the FDIC, acting as Receiver, Chase acquired the rights of WaMu, as lender and 24 25 beneficiary, respectively, arising under all of the loan assets of WaMu - including the Loan. 26 Leo Kramer's bankruptcy filings acknowledge Chase's status as noteholder and beneficiary On April 8, 2010, Leo Kramer filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition in Case 10-27 9. 43951, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California and included, in 28

10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220

Las Vegas, NV 89135

FIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.

Iel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

his schedules, acknowledgment that (i) Chase held a security interest in the Property; and (ii)
 the amount of Chase's claim was \$175,274.00 (without deducting the value of the collateral).
 Leo Kramer then received a discharge on or about June 16, 2011.

10. On September 1, 2011, Leo Kramer filed a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition in
Case 11-49493, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California. Chase
filed a Proof of Claim regarding the Loan, attaching a copy of the Note and Deed of Trust, and
objected to the proposed Chapter 13 Plan, but the case was ultimately dismissed as Leo Kramer
failed to make the required plan payments.

9 11. On December 5, 2013, a Substitution of Trustee was recorded in the Official
10 Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder reflecting that National Default Servicing
11 Corporation was substituted in by Chase as the trustee under the Deed of Trust.

12 12. On July 3, 2014, Leo Kramer filed a third bankruptcy petition in the United 13 States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, which was a Chapter 13 petition, 14 assigned Case 14-42866 and in which Leo Kramer filed his schedules whereby he again 15 acknowledged again that (i) Chase held a security interest in the Property; and (ii) the amount of 16 Chase's claim was \$176,000.00 (without deducting the value of the collateral).

17 13. Chase again filed a proof of claim regarding the Loan in Case 14-42866 on
18 October 29, 2014 which included a copy of the Note and DOT.

19 14. On or about December 22, 2014, Leo Kramer confirmed a Chapter 13 Plan in 20 Case 14-42866, wherein Chase was recognized as a Class 3 creditor, and no payments were to 21 be made to Chase under the Plan, but that expressly called for Leo Kramer to surrender his 22 interest in the Property to Chase upon plan confirmation.

23 || The foreclosure sale

24 15. On October 6, 2017, a non-judicial foreclosure of the Property was initiated by
25 the recording of a Notice of Default ("NOD" or "Notice of Default") in the Official Records of
26 the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder.

27 16. On or about October 16, 2017, the Notice of Default was mailed via Certified
28 Mail to the Plaintiffs at:

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

1	i. 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, Nevada 89408	
2	ii. 1229 Ballena Blvd., Alameda, California 94501	
3	and via first class mail to Parties in Possession at 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, NV 89408-	
4	7204.	
5	17. These were the only addresses in NDSC's possession.	
6	18. A Copy of the Notice of Default, along with a Danger Notice was also posted on	
7	the Property on or about October 12, 2017.	
8	19. The Notice of Default was received by the tenant at the time, Daniel Starling	
9	("Starling").	
10	20. On or about October 16, 2017, Starling advised the property management	ł
11	company, Chaffin Real Estate Services ("Chaffin") that the Notice of Default had been posted	ł
12	and provided a copy of the same to Chaffin.	
13	21. Chaffin advised the Plaintiffs on October 16, 2017, that the Notice of Default	ļ
14	had been posted on the Property and provided a copy of the same to the Plaintiffs, which the	ł
15	Plaintiffs confirmed receipt of.	
16	22. On or about January 27, 2018, Home Means Nevada, Inc. issued a State of	
17	Nevada Foreclosure Mediation Program Certificate, which was recorded thereafter on or about	
18	March 22, 2018.	
19	23. An Assignment of the DOT from WaMu to Chase was recorded in the Official	
20	Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder on or about April 10, 2018.	{
21	24. On or about April 19, 2018, a Notice of Trustee's Sale was recorded in the	
22	Official Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder, advising that foreclosure sale would	
23	occur on May 18, 2018.	
24	25. On or about April 19, 2018, the Notice of Sale was mailed via Certified Mail to	
25	the Plaintiffs at:	
26	i. 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, Nevada 89408	
27	ii. 1229 Ballena Blvd., Alameda, California 94501	
28	iii. 2364 Redwood Road, Hercules, California 94547	
	- 4 -	
	11	ı

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

.- -

-

and via first class mail to Parties in Possession at 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, NV 89408 7204.

26. Plaintiffs acknowledge receipt of the Notice of Sale.

4 27. The Notice of Sale was also posted on the Property on April 19, 2018, and again 5 on April 20, 2018.

6 28. The Notice of the Sale was also published in the Reno Gazette-Journal, and 7 Mason Valley news/Leader Courier on April 25, 2018, May 2, 2018, and May 9, 2018.

8 29. Starling also provided a copy of the Notice of Sale to Chaffin, who in turn
9 provided the Notice of Sale to the Plaintiffs.

10 30. On or about June 1, 2018, a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was recorded in the 11 Official Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder, reflecting that on May 18, 2018, the 12 foreclosure sale of the Property occurred, and that Breckenridge Property Fund 2016, LLC 13 provided the highest bid in the amount of \$211,000.

14 || The Federal Court Case and subsequent appeal

31. Plaintiffs filed a Complaint in case 3:18-cv-0001-MMD (the "Federal Court
Case" or "Federal Court Action") in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada
on or about January 2, 2018, naming Chase, NDSC, WAMU, and Mortgage Electronic
Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") challenging the foreclosure and asserting many of the
same allegations contained in the instant Complaint.

20

3

32. Plaintiffs initiated the Federal Court Case in response to the Notice of Default.

33. Subsequently, on May 17, 2018, the Federal Court entered an Order finding that
Plaintiffs were judicially estopped from asserting the claims asserted against Chase, WAMU
and NDSC "to avoid foreclosure on the [Property]."

34. The Plaintiffs appealed the Order to the United States Court of Appeals for the
Ninth Circuit and, on May 29, 2019, the Ninth Circuit entered a Memorandum affirming the
lower court's decision.

27 35. Plaintiffs subsequently petitioned for a panel rehearing, and when that was
28 denied in September 6, 2019, by the Ninth Circuit, filed a Motion for Relief on December 23,

2019, in the District Court; that Motion was denied on December 27, 2019, by the District
 Court. Plaintiffs subsequently appealed the same on January 21, 2020.

3 || The instant litigation

4 36. The Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in this action on June 8, 2018, naming NDSC
5 among other parties.

6 37. On or about October 24, 2018, this Court entered an Order Granting Motion to 7 Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, dismissing the entirety of the Complaint without prejudice and 8 finding that all claims, except for those relating to the procedural notice of the sale, were 9 precluded from being re-litigated as a result of res judicata.

38. Plaintiffs subsequently amended their Complaint and the Court, in turn, entered
a second order on May 24, 2019, granting in part and denying in part NDSC's motion to
dismiss the First Amended Complaint which further confirmed that the only remaining claim
relates to the procedural requirements as it relates to the foreclosure sale conducted by NDSC.

14 39. Any Finding of Fact which should be a conclusion of law shall be construed as 15 such.

16

17

II. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

Standard of Review

18 1. Summary judgment is appropriate if, when viewed in the light most favorable to 19 the nonmoving party, the record reveals there are no genuine issues of material fact and the 20 moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 21 317, 322, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 2552 (1986); *Wood v. Safeway, Inc.*, 121 Nev. 724, 731, 121 P.3d 22 1026, 1031 (2005)(adopting the federal standard for summary judgment).

23 2. Summary judgment must be granted unless "the nonmoving party [can]
24 transcend the pleadings and, by affidavit or other admissible evidence, introduce specific facts
25 that show a genuine issue of material fact." Cuzze v. Univ. & Cmty. Coll. Sys. of Nevada, 123
26 Nev. 598, 603, 172 P.3d 131, 134 (Nev. 2007).

27 28

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 1 3. A genuine issue of fact is one that could be reasonable be resolved in favor of 2 either party. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 247-48, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 2510 3 (1986).

4 NDSC substantially complied with the notice requirements of NRS 107.080 and NRS 107.087.

6 4. The foreclosure sale was completed in a manner which satisfies at least 7 substantial compliance with the requisite statutes.

8 5. NRS 107.080(5)(a) confirms that for a valid foreclosure sale to occur, the 9 foreclosure trustee need only "substantially comply" with the provisions of NRS 107.080, a 10 fact which the Nevada Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized. See e.g., Schleining v. Cap 11 One, Inc., 130 Nev. 323, 329, 326 P.3d 4, 8 (Nev. 2014)("the Legislature had expressly 12 imposed a substantial-compliance standard with regard to a lender's duty to provide a borrower 13 with notice of a loan's default and the lender's election to foreclose.")(internal emphasis 14 omitted).

6. Moreover, substantial compliance with the notice requirements is achieved
where actual notice occurs and there is no prejudice to the party entitled to notice. Id.; see also,
Dayco Funding Corp. v. Mona, 427 P.3d 1038 (Nev. 2018).

7. Mailing the notices to all the addresses NDSC had in its possession, along with
posting physical copies on the Property itself, is sufficient to establish substantial compliance
with NRS 107.080.

8. Indeed, Plaintiffs had actual notice of the Notice of Default and the Notice of
Sale. Plaintiffs concede that received the Notice of Default through the tenant and Chaffin and
Plaintiffs personally received the Notice of Sale as required by the statute. Plaintiffs' actual
notice is sufficient to satisfy any notice requirements provided in NRS 107.080 and 107.087.

9. Further, Plaintiffs were not prejudiced by receipt of the Notice of Default through the tenant and property management company. The Plaintiffs received their copy of the Notice of Default on October 16, 2017, the same date the notices were sent to the other addresses and the date upon which the Notice of Default was posted. Plaintiffs acknowledge 1 that they filed the Federal Court Case as a result of receiving the Notice of Default, and 2 Plaintiffs enjoyed the benefit of the Property since the foreclosure sale occurred. Plaintiffs, 3 then, not only were aware of the Notice of Default, but took steps to initiate litigation that 4 acknowledged and referenced the foreclosure. Consequently, the Plaintiffs suffered no 5 prejudice with regard to receipt of the Notice of Default.

6 10. The totality of the evidence and facts in this case confirm that NDSC
7 substantially complied with NRS 107.080 and NRS 107.087, and indeed, completely complied
8 with NRS 107.087.

9 11. Further, Breckenridge is a bona fide purchaser. As the foreclosure sale was a
10 validly conduct sale, Breckenridge's interest in the Subject Property is also valid.

11 || The Plaintiffs are not entitled to receipt of the Notice of Default under NRS 107.090.

12 12. Plaintiffs' assertion that they were entitled to receipt of the Notice of Default
13 pursuant to NRS 107.090 fails and is inapplicable to the facts at hand.

14 13. Under NRS 107.090(2), within 10 days of recording the Notice of Default and 15 within 20 days of the sale, the foreclosure trustee has to deposit in the mail a copy of the notice 16 of default or sale, sent registered or certified to a) any party who has recorded in the county 17 records a request for a copy of the notice of default and b) any other person "with an interest 18 whose interest or claimed interest is subordinate to the deed of trust."

19 14. Plaintiffs' interpretation that NRS 107.090(2)(b) includes borrowers also 20 renders superfluous the other notice requirements in NRS 107. This is especially true when the 21 legislature's actions in defining "Borrower" in NRS 107.410 and references to grantor and 22 trustor throughout NRS 107 confirms they recognized the parties participating in the 23 transaction

Plaintiffs neither recorded a request for a copy of the Notice of Default nor have
an interest subordinate to the Deed of Trust, as such, NRS 107.090 is inapplicable to the instant
proceeding. Even if it were applicable, however, the Plaintiffs' actual notice of the Notice of
Default and Notice of Sale would satisfy the notice requirements of NRS 107.090.

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

28

1 The Property was not owner occupied and so NRS 107.500 and NRS 107.086 were inapplicable. 2 16 Plaintiffe' assertions that the foreclosure process was defective pursuant to NRS

16. Plaintiffs' assertions that the foreclosure process was defective pursuant to NRS
107.500 and NRS 107.086 are irrelevant as Plaintiffs were not residing in the Property at the
time of any of the foreclosure actions taken by any party.

5 Both NRS 107.500 and NRS 107.086 apply to situations where the owner 17. 6 resides in the Property. For example, NRS 1073.500 requires a pre-default letter to be sent 7 where a foreclosure sale is based upon "a failure to make a payment required by a residential 8 mortgage loan." Plaintiffs ignore that NRS 107.450 defines "residential mortgage loan" as a 9 loan secured by a "deed of trust on owner-occupied housing." The Foreclosure Mediation 10 Program, codified in NRS 107.086(1) has a similar limitation for owner-occupied property, 11 noting that the only exercise of a power of sale subject to that statute is for "any deed of trust 12 which concerns owner-occupied housing."

13 18. For either NRS 107.500 or NRS 107.086 to be applicable then, the Property
14 would have to have been owner-occupied. That is not the case here, and the foreclosure actions
15 relating to the Property were not required to comply with the same. There is no obligation,
16 then, for NDSC to comply with NRS 107.500 and no basis for the Plaintiffs to challenge the
17 sale on the same, as a matter of law. This is further supported by the fact that NDSC, as a
18 foreclosure trustee, is not a party upon which a duty is imposed under NRS 107.500.

19
19. Any Conclusion of Law which should be a finding of fact shall be construed as
20
such.

III. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NDSC's Motion
 for Summary Judgment and Breckenridge's Joinder is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiffs'
 Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that judgment is
 hereby entered in favor of NDSC and Breckenridge, and against Leo Kramer and Audrey
 Kramer.

21

- 9 -

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that any Lis Pendens	
2	recorded with the Lyon County Recorder by any party in relation to this case, is hereby	
3	expunged, removed, released, and of no further effect.	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Amended	
5	Complaint and this action is dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice. December	
6	DATED this 14th day of Ostaber, 2020.	2
7	ANT	,
8	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
9	Respectfully submitted by:	
10	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.	
11		
12	<u>/s/ Ace C. Van Patten, Esg.</u> ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.	
13	Attorneys for Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		1
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
23 26		ĺ
20 27		
27		
20		
	- 10 -	
		ł

L

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

{		
1	Case No. 18-CV-00663	FILED
$\frac{1}{2}$	Dept. No. I	2021 JAN 19 AM 10: 49
$\frac{2}{3}$		TANYA SCEIRINE
4		THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
5		findslep moltoleen
6	IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE C	COUNTY OF LYON
8	* *	*
9	LEO KRAMER and AUDREY KRAMER	
10	Appellants	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT
11	vs	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT
12	NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING	
13	CORPORATION, ALYSSA MCDERMOTT,	
14	WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE	
15	PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1	
16	THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,	
17	Respondents	
18 19	1. LEO KRAMER and AUDREY K	RAMER are the names of the appellant filing this case
20	appeal statement.	
20	2. Honorable JOHN P. SCHLEGEL	MILCH issued the order being appealed.
21	3. LEO KRAMER and AUDREY K	RAMER are the Appellants in this case.
23	Leo Kramer and Audrey Kramer, 23	364 Redwood Road, Hercules, California 94547 are in
24	Proper Person on this appeal.	
25	4. NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICIN	NG COPORATION is a respondent in this case.
26	Kevin S. Soderstrom, Esq. 10100 W	V. Charleston Blvd., Ste 20, Las Vegas, NV 89135
27	represents this respondent in this cas	se.
28		

1	ALYSSA MCDERMOTT is a respondent in this case.
2	John T. Steffen, Esq., 10080 West Alta Dr., Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89145 represents this
3	respondent in this case.
4	BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC is a respondent in this case.
5	John T. Steffen, Esq., 10080 West Alta Dr., Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89145 represents this
6	respondent in this case.
7	5. KEVIN S. SODERSTROM, Esq. is licensed to practice in the State of Nevada.
8	JOHN T. STEFFEN, Esq. is licensed to practice in the State of Nevada.
9	6. Appellant was in Proper Person in District Court proceedings.
10	7. Appellant is filing appeal in Proper Person.
11	8. No request to proceed in forma pauperis has been filed.
12	9. The proceedings commenced in District Court on a Complaint filed June 8, 2018.
13	10. This is a civil case for Other Title to Property. Judge John P. Schlegelmilch entered an Order
14	Granting National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion in Limine to Exclude and
15	Disqualify William J. Paatalo filed on December 16, 2020, an Order Granting National
16	Default Servicing Corporation's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify William J.
17	Paatalo on December 16, 2020, and an Order filed on December 16, 2020.
18	11. This matter has been the subject of appeals in the Supreme Court in case number 81915.
19	12. This case does not involve child custody/visitation.
20	13. N/A
21	Dated this 15th day of January, 2021.
22	Jandsey molale
23	Lindsey McCabe, Deputy
24	Lyon County Clerk
25	911 Harvey Way #4
26	Yerington, NV 89447
27	(775)463-6503
28	

NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC ~ COMPLAINT

Case Number: 18-CV-00663

Type: Other Title to Property Case Status: Closed Received Date: 6/8/2018 Status Date: 12/16/2020 Agency: Third Judicial District Court

Involvements

Primary Involvements KRAMER, LEO Plaintiff KRAMER, AUDREY Plaintiff NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION Defendant MC DERMOTT, ALYSSA Defendant WEDGWOOD INC. Defendant BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC Defendant Other Involvements Steffen, John T. Esq. Defendant's Attorney Soderstrom, Kevin S. Esq. Defendant's Attorney KRAMER, LEO Pro Per KRAMER, AUDREY Pro Per Third Judicial District Court (18-CV-00663) Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS Dept I - TJDC

7. REOPEN ~ Reopened Charge

Notes: AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED Lead/Active: False

Other Title to Property Case

1. NRCP 3 ~ COMPLAINT

Lead/Active: True

2. NRCP 3 ~ COMPLAINT

Lead/Active: False

3. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

4. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

5. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

6. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

Case Status History

6/8/2018 12:31:00 PM | Open 10/24/2018 | Closed 10/29/2018 | Reopened 12/16/2020 9:41:00 AM | Closed

Documents

6/8/2018 Complaint .pdf - Filed

Notes: For: 1. Unlawful Foreclosure 2. Quiet Title 3. Preliminary Injunction 4. Slander of Title 5. Constructive Fraud 6. Declaratory Relief

6/8/2018 Summons- Issued.pdf - Issued

6/8/2018 Civil Cover Sheet.pdf - Filed

6/20/2018 Affidavit of Service - Breckenridge Property.pdf - Filed

6/20/2018 Proof of Service National Default Service Corp.pdf - Filed

6/25/2018 National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

7/2/2018 Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

7/2/2018 Joinder to National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

7/5/2018 Ptf's Oppo to Deft National Default Servicing Corp's.pdf - Filed

Notes: Mtn to Dismiss Ptf's Complaint; Declaration of Audrey Kramer filed Concurrent Herewith; Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Support Thereof

7/17/2018 Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Complaint.pdf - Filed Notes: Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Daniel Starrling; Declaration of Lee

Anne Chaffin; and Declaration of Audrey Kramer Filed Concurrently Herewith

8/2/2018 Request for Submission.pdf - Filed

8/2/2018 Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

8/3/2018 Notice of Errata Regarding Certificate of Service Attached to Request for Submission of Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

Notes: Filed and Served on August 2, 2018

8/20/2018 Request for Submission of National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion to Dismiss (2).pdf - Filed

8/30/2018 Setting Memo (10-5-18).pdf - Filed

10/5/2018 Request for Telephonic Appearance and Approval for 10-5-18 Hearing.pdf - For Court Use Only

SEALED

10/24/2018 Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Pltf's Complaint.pdf - Filed

10/29/2018 First Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

11/19/2018 Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents Set One (Breckenridge Property Fund 2016).pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiffs, Audrey Kramer & Leo Kramer's Special Interrogatories Set Once (National Default Servicing).pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiffs, Audrey Kramer & Leo Kramer's Special Interrogatories Set One (Breckenridge).pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiffs, Audrey Kramer & Leo Kramer's Request for Admissions Set One (Breckenridge).pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiffs' Oppo to Def, Alyssa Mc Dermott, Wedgwood Inc. & Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC's Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Request to Submit Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Notice of Non-Oppo to Deft's Motion to Dismiss 1st Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents Set One (National Default Servicing).pdf - Filed

12/21/2018 Plaintiffs, Audrey Kramer & Leo Kramer's Request for Admissions Set One (National Default Servicing).pdf - Filed

1/4/2019 Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

1/4/2019 Pltf's Objection to Notice of Non-oppo Filed by Defts.pdf - Filed

1/17/2019 National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed 1/18/2019 Setting Memo (2-22-19).pdf - Filed



2/1/2019 Ex Parte Motion for Continuance (2-22-19).pdf - Filed

2/4/2019 Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

3/6/2019 Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing (2-22-19 to 5-1-19).pdf - Filed

3/18/2019 Notice of Entry of Stipulation & Order to Continue Hearing.pdf - Filed

3/29/2019 Early Case Conference Report Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(b).pdf - Filed

4/22/2019 Objection to Plaintiff's Early Case Conference Report (McDermott, Wedgewood Inc., & Breckenridge).pdf -Filed

5/2/2019 Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

5/2/2019 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (National Default).pdf - Filed

5/2/2019 Declaration of Counsel in Support of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (National Default).pdf - Filed

5/17/2019 Answer to First Amended Complaint - Natt'l Default.pdf - Filed

5/21/2019 Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (McDermott, Wedgewood Inc and Breckenridge Property).pdf - Filed

5/22/2019 Rejection of Unconscionable Offer of Judgment.pdf - Filed

5/24/2019 Letter to Plaintiffs Re- Proposed Default.pdf - For Court Use Only

SEALED

5/24/2019 Order Granting in Part & Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.pdf - Filed

5/24/2019 Email From Plaintiffs Regarding Proposed Order.pdf - For Court Use Only

SEALED

5/28/2019 Notice of Intent to Take Default.pdf - Filed

5/28/2019 Amended Certificate of Service.pdf - Filed

5/29/2019 Answer to First Amended Complaint- Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC.pdf - Filed

6/6/2019 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, and Audrey Kramer's Notice of Motion and Motion to Strike.pdf - Filed

Notes: National Default Servicing Corporation's Answer to First Amended Complaint and/or in the Alternative to Strike Defendant's Affirmative Defenses

6/10/2019 Plaintiffs' Objections to Judge's Order Granting in Part & Denying in Part Defendants' Motions to Dimiss.pdf - Filed

6/11/2019 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, & Audrey Kramer's Notice of Motion & Motion to Strike Breckenridge Property Fund.pdf - Filed

Notes: 2016 LLC's Answer in Its Entirety for Failure to Timely File an Answer or in the Alternative to Strike Portions of Defendant's Answer

6/12/2019 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, & Audrey Kramer's Notice of Motion & Motion to Strike Opposition to Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

Notes: Filed by Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC, Alyssa McDermott, & Wedgwood Inc

6/19/2019 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion to Strike National Default Servicing Corp's Answer to First.pdf - Filed

Notes: Amended Complaint and/or in the Alternative to Strike Defendant' Affirmative Defenses; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

6/24/2019 Opposition to Pt's Notice of Mtn & Mtn to Strike Breckenridge.pdf - Filed

Notes: Property Fund 2016 LLC's Answer in its Entirety for Failure to Timely File an Answer or in the Alternative to Strike Portions of Deft's Answer & All Affirmative Defenses

6/24/2019 Opposition to Ptf's Notice of Motion & Mtn to Strike.pdf - Filed

Notes: Opposition to Summary Judgment Filed by Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC, Alyssa McDermott, and Wedgwood Inc.

7/5/2019 Plaintiff's Reply to Oppositon -Motion to Strike.pdf - Filed

7/15/2019 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer & Audrey Kramer's Initial Disclosure of Witnesses & Documents.pdf - Filed

7/15/2019 Joint Case Conference Report.pdf - Filed

7/16/2019 Letter to Plaintiffs From Court.pdf - For Court Use Only

SEALED

7/22/2019 Indivudual Case Conferece Report - Plaintiffs.pdf - Filed

7/30/2019 Demand for Jury Trial.pdf - Filed

7/30/2019 Copy of Ck for Jury Demand Pd by Audrey Kramer.pdf - For Court Use Only

8/1/2019 Defendant's Joint Case Conference Report.pdf - Filed

8/8/2019 Case Management and Trial Scheduling Order.pdf - Filed

8/22/2019 Notice of Taking Deposition of Daniel Starling.pdf - Filed

8/22/2019 Notice of Taking Deposition of Deborah Taylor.pdf - Filed

8/22/2019 Notice of Taking Deposition of Lee Anne Chaffin.pdf - Filed

8/22/2019 Notice of Taking Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable for Chaffin Rel Estate Services.pdf - Filed

8/22/2019 Notice of Taking Deposition of Leo Kramer.pdf - Filed

8/22/2019 Notice of Taking Deposition of Audrey Kramer.pdf - Filed

12/23/2019 National Default Servicing Coporation's Motion in Limine To Exclude and Disqualify William J. Paatalo.pdf -Filed

1/7/2020 Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant National Default Servicing Corp's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify.pdf - Filed

Notes: William Paatalo; Declaration of Updated Curriculum Vitae of William Pataalo Filed Concurrently Herewith;



Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

- 1/9/2020 Plaintiff's Notice of Motion & Mtn to Amend Complaint.pdf Filed
- 1/9/2020 PROPOSED 2nd Amended Complaint (2).pdf Filed

1/9/2020 Pltf's Requst for Judicial Notice.pdf - Filed

1/15/2020 Plaintiffs' Notice and Motion to Strike Portions of Defendant, National Default Servicing Corp's First Supplemental.pdf - Filed

Notes: Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof; Declaration of Audrey Kramer Filed Concurrent Herewith

1/16/2020 National Default Servicing Corporation's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition.pdf - Filed

- 1/23/2020 National Default Servicing Corporation's Objection to the Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice.pdf Filed Notes: of: Expert/Fact Witness, William J. Paatalo's 'Amended Updated' Curriculum Vitae, Executed Declaration & Forensic Report & Exhibits & Judicial Notice of: Widely Publicized Government Documents
- 1/23/2020 National Default Servicing Corporation's Opposition to Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint.pdf Filed Notes: to Include Fraud Cause of Action Due to Newly Discovered Material Evidence

1/29/2020 National Default Servicing Corporation's Opposition to Motion to Strike.pdf - Filed

1/30/2020 Plaintiff's Corrected (Proposed) Second Amended Complaint.pdf - Filed

2/3/2020 Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC's Joinder to National Default Ser Corp Opposition to Mtn for Leave to Amend Complaint.pdf - Filed

2/5/2020 Order.pdf - For Court Use Only

2/5/2020 Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.pdf - For Court Use Only

Notes: to Include Fraud Cause of Action Due to Newly Discovered Material Evidence

2/5/2020 Order Granting Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify William J. Paatalo.pdf - For Court Use Only

2/5/2020 Stipulation and Order.pdf - Filed

2/5/2020 Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant, National Default Servicing Corp Oppositin to Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint.pdf - Filed

2/5/2020 Plaintiffs' Response to Def, National Default Servicing Corp's Objection to Plaintiffs' Request for Ducial Notice.pdf - Filed

2/10/2020 Plaintiffs' Reply to National Default Servicing Cor's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Portions of Def.pdf - Filed

2/20/2020 National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

2/20/2020 National Default Servicing Corporation's Request for Judicial Notice.pdf - Filed

2/20/2020 Declaration of Ace C. Van Patten, Esq..pdf - Filed

2/21/2020 Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC's Joinder to National Default Servicing.pdf - Filed Notes: Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment

2/25/2020 Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendant, National Default Servicing Corp's SEcond Supplemental Disclosure of Documents.pdf - Filed

Notes: and Witnesses and Notice of Motion and Motion to Strike Portions of the Second Supplemental Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

2/26/2020 Proposed Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motions to Strike and Sustaining Plaintiffs' Objection.pdf - Submitted

3/5/2020 Declaration of Audrey Kramer in Support of Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, & Audrey Kramer's Opposition.pdf - Filed Notes: to National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment

3/5/2020 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, & Audrey Kramer's Opposition to National Default Servicing Corporation's.pdf - Filed Notes: Motion for Summary Judgment, Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Support Thereof; Declaration of Audrey Kramer

3/18/2020 Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Jdgmt.pdf - Filed

3/20/2020 Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC's Joinder to National Default Servicing Co.'s Reply.pdf - Filed Notes: in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment

3/24/2020 Plaintiff's, Leo Kramer and Audrey Kramer's Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

Notes: Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Support Thereof; Declaration of Audrey Kramer

3/24/2020 Declaration of Audrey Kramer in Support of Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, & Audrey Kramer's Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

3/26/2020 Setting Memo (6-10-20).pdf - Filed

4/6/2020 Objection to Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

4/8/2020 Breckenridge-Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf - Filed

4/8/2020 Oppo to Pltf's Late Filed MTN for Summary Jdgmnt.pdf - Filed

4/17/2020 Response to Plaintiffs' Objection to Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC;s Joinder to National Default.pdf - Filed

Notes: Servicing Corporation's Reply in Support of Motion

4/21/2020 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, and Audrey Kramer's Reply to Breckenridge Property Fund 2016.pdf - Filed

Notes: LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

4/21/2020 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, and Audrey Kramer's Reply to National Default Servicing.pdf - Filed Notes: Corporation's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

4/28/2020 Plaintiffs, Leo Kramer, & Audrey Kramer's Motion for Leave to File Motion.pdf - Filed

Notes: for Summary Judgment; Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Support Thereof; Declaration of Audrey



Kramer 4/28/2020 Declaration of Audrey Kramer in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave.pdf - Filed Notes: to File Motion for Summary Judgment 5/6/2020 Response to PItf's MTN for Leave to File MTN for Sum Jdgmt.pdf - Filed 6/8/2020 Ptf's Mtn to Cont. & Rescheduel 6-10-20 hearing.pdf - Filed 6/8/2020 Ptf's Ex parte or in Alernative Shortening Time to Continue Hearing.pdf - Filed 6/8/2020 Request for Submission (Plaintiff's Motion to Continue).pdf - Filed 6/8/2020 Proposed Order Granting Rescheuling 6-10-20 Hearing.pdf - Submitted 6/8/2020 Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Continue Hearing.pdf - Filed 6/9/2020 Order Granting Continuance (From 6-10-2020 to 9-8-2020).pdf - Filed 6/18/2020 Order Denying Motion to Strike Portions of NDSC;s 1st Sup Disclosures.pdf - Filed 10/9/2020 Notice of Appeal.pdf - Filed 10/9/2020 Case Appeal Statement.pdf - Filed 10/9/2020 Certificate of Mailing.pdf - Filed 10/9/2020 Copy of Ck #0048508202 for Appeal Bond (Notice of Appeal filed 10-9-2020).pdf - For Court Use Only 10/12/2020 Ptf's Objection to Ord Granting MTN in Limine.pdf - Filed 10/12/2020 Pltf's Objection to Ord on MTN For Summary Jdgmnt.pdf - Filed 10/12/2020 Pltf's Objection to Ord Granting MTN In Limine to Exclude.pdf - Filed Notes: & Disgualify William Paatalo by Mr. Ace Van Patten and National Default Servicing 10/15/2020 Receipt for Documents (S.C.).pdf - Filed 10/19/2020 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements.pdf - Filed 10/22/2020 NOE - Supreme Court - 10-22-20.pdf - For Court Use Only 10/26/2020 Receipt for Documents (S.C.) (Re Appeal filed 10-9-2020).pdf - Filed 11/9/2020 Order Dismissing Appeal - S.C..pdf - Filed 11/10/2020 Plaintiff's Objection to National Default Servicing Corporation's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements.pdf - Filed 11/16/2020 Plaintiff's Objection to Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements.pdf - Filed 11/19/2020 Plaintiff's Respectfully Request That the \$320 Jury Fee Deposit be Returned to Plaintiffs.pdf - Filed 12/3/2020 Remittitur- S.C..pdf - Filed 12/3/2020 Clerk's Certificate- S.C..pdf - Filed 12/16/2020 Order (2).pdf - Filed 12/16/2020 Order Denying MTN to Amend Complaint to Include Fraud.pdf - Filed 12/16/2020 Order Granting MTN in Limine to Exclude & Disgualify William Paatalo.pdf - Filed 1/11/2021 Notice of Entry of Order.pdf - Filed 1/11/2021 Notice of Entry of Order (2-16-20 Order).pdf - Filed 1/11/2021 Amended Memorandum of Costs & Disbursements.pdf - Filed 1/12/2021 Plaintiff's Objection to Order Granting Def. National Default's Motion in Limine.pdf - Filed Notes: to Exclude Fraud Cause of Action on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend to Include JPMorgal Chase Bank, Based on Newly Discovered Evidence of Fraud 1/12/2021 Plaintiff's Objection to Order Granting National Default Servicing (2).pdf - Filed Notes: Corp's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disgualify William J. Paatalo 1/14/2021 Notice of Appeal (1-14-21).pdf - Filed 1/15/2021 Case Appeal Statement (1-15-21).pdf - Filed

1/15/2021 Amended Order re Motion to Amend.pdf - Submitted

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11731 TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716 <i>Attorneys for Defendant</i> <i>National Default Serving Corporation</i> THIRD JUDICIAL 1 LYON COUN LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiffs, vs. NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE, Defendants.	
	 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	This matter having come before this Court on Defendant, National Default Servicing Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify William J. Paatalo, on the 8 th day of September, 2020; and the Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers filed herein, having considered the arguments of counsel and the parties at the hearing on September 8, 2020, and good cause appearing therefore, enters the following order: -1-	

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	TISHEREBY ORDERED that, for the reasons stated on the record, National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify William J. Paatalo is (RANTED	
	ł		

ļ

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716 Attorneys for Defendant National Default Serving Corporation THIRD JUDICIAL LYON COUN LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiffs, vs. NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE, Defendants.	ence, on the 8 th day of September, 2020; and the s filed herein, having considered the arguments
--	---	--	--

1

	1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that for the reasons stated on the record, Plaintiffs'
	2	Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to Include Fraud Cause of Action Due to Newly
	3	Discovered Material Evidence is DENIED. December
	4 5	DATED this 14th day of Qotober, 2020.
	6	
	7	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	8	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.
	9	
	10	<u>/s/ Ace C. Van Patten, Esq.</u> ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.
. A. Suite 220 8-8787	11	Attorneys for Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation
CO, P.A. levard, Suite 2 89135 702-258-8787	12	Dejumi Ser Henry Corportation
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. W. Charleston Boulevard, St Las Vegas, NV 89135 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-	13	
BOSC Boule NV 89 Fax 70	14	
NY & B rleston E Vegas, N 3-8200 F	15	
ANY larles s Veg 88-82	16	
TIFFANY & W. Charleston Las Vegas 702-258-8200	17	
TI 10100 W. Tel 702	18	
10	19 20	
	20 21	
	21	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		- 2 -

1	ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11731	FILED 2020 DEC 16 PM 4:28	
2	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.	TANYA SCEIRINF	
3	10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135	TANYA SCEIRINE COURT ADMINISTRATOR THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
4	Tel: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787	dathy thomas before	
5	TB #18-72716		
6	Attorneys for Defendant National Default Serving Corporation		
7			
8	THIRD JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT	
9		LYON COUNTY, NEVADA	
10			
11	LEO KRAMER,	Case No.: 18-CV-00663	
12	AUDREY KRAMER,	Dept. No.: I	
13	Plaintiffs,	-	
14	vs.	ORDER	
15	NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING		
16	CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT,		
17	WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1		
18	THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	This matter having come before this C	Court on Plaintiffs, Leo and Audrey Kramer's	
22	("Plaintiffs") Motion for Summary Judgme	•	
23	Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion for Summ		
-			

10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220

THFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.

Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

Las Vegas, NV 89135

Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion for Summary Judgment, and Defendant, Breckenridge Property Fund 2016, LLC's ("Breckenridge") Joinder to NDSC's Motion for Summary Judgment on the 8th day of September, 2020; and the Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers filed herein, having considered the arguments of counsel and the parties at the hearing on September 8, 2020, and good cause appearing therefore, enters the following findings of fact and conclusions of law in this matter.

- 1 -

I. **FINDINGS OF FACT** 1 2 1. On or about April 4, 2008, Leo Kramer executed an Agreement and Disclosure 3 (the "Note") reflecting a home equity line of credit provided by Washington Mutual ("WAMU"). 4 2. On or about April 4, 2008, Leo Kramer and Audrey Kramer (collectively, the 5 "Plaintiffs" or the "Kramers") executed a Deed of Trust reflecting that the debt referenced in 6 the Note was secured by the real property located at 1740 Autumn Glen Street, Fernley, NV 7 89408 (the "Property"). 8 9 The Deed of Trust was recorded with the Lyon County Recorder's Office on or 3. about May 1, 2008, as Document No. 425436. 10 The Deed of Trust encumbers the Property and secures repayment of the Note 4. 11 12 (collectively, the Note and Deed of Trust are hereafter "the Loan"). The Note was subsequently endorsed in blank. 13 5. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. becomes the note holder and beneficiary 14 On September 25, 2008, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") 15 6. 16 placed WaMu into receivership. Concurrent with the inception of the FDIC's receivership of WaMu, JP Morgan 17 7. Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") acquired certain assets and liabilities of WaMu from the FDIC 18 19 pursuant to that certain "Purchase and Assumption Agreement, Whole Bank, Among Federal 20 Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank, Henderson, Nevada, 21 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association," 22 dated as of September 25, 2008 (the "PAA"). 23 8. As part of the acquisition by Chase of certain assets and liabilities of WaMu from the FDIC, acting as Receiver, Chase acquired the rights of WaMu, as lender and 24 25 beneficiary, respectively, arising under all of the loan assets of WaMu — including the Loan. 26 Leo Kramer's bankruptcy filings acknowledge Chase's status as noteholder and beneficiary 9. 27 On April 8, 2010, Leo Kramer filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition in Case 10-28 43951, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California and included, in

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. [0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 his schedules, acknowledgment that (i) Chase held a security interest in the Property; and (ii)
 the amount of Chase's claim was \$175,274.00 (without deducting the value of the collateral).
 Leo Kramer then received a discharge on or about June 16, 2011.

In On September 1, 2011, Leo Kramer filed a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition in
Case 11-49493, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California. Chase
filed a Proof of Claim regarding the Loan, attaching a copy of the Note and Deed of Trust, and
objected to the proposed Chapter 13 Plan, but the case was ultimately dismissed as Leo Kramer
failed to make the required plan payments.

9 11. On December 5, 2013, a Substitution of Trustee was recorded in the Official
10 Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder reflecting that National Default Servicing
11 Corporation was substituted in by Chase as the trustee under the Deed of Trust.

12 12. On July 3, 2014, Leo Kramer filed a third bankruptcy petition in the United 13 States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, which was a Chapter 13 petition, 14 assigned Case 14-42866 and in which Leo Kramer filed his schedules whereby he again 15 acknowledged again that (i) Chase held a security interest in the Property; and (ii) the amount of 16 Chase's claim was \$176,000.00 (without deducting the value of the collateral).

17 13. Chase again filed a proof of claim regarding the Loan in Case 14-42866 on
18 October 29, 2014 which included a copy of the Note and DOT.

19 14. On or about December 22, 2014, Leo Kramer confirmed a Chapter 13 Plan in
20 Case 14-42866, wherein Chase was recognized as a Class 3 creditor, and no payments were to
21 be made to Chase under the Plan, but that expressly called for Leo Kramer to surrender his
22 interest in the Property to Chase upon plan confirmation.

23 || The foreclosure sale

24 15. On October 6, 2017, a non-judicial foreclosure of the Property was initiated by
25 the recording of a Notice of Default ("NOD" or "Notice of Default") in the Official Records of
26 the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder.

27 16. On or about October 16, 2017, the Notice of Default was mailed via Certified
28 Mail to the Plaintiffs at:

	1	
1	i. 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, Nevada 89408	
2	ii. 1229 Ballena Blvd., Alameda, California 94501	
3	and via first class mail to Parties in Possession at 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, NV 89408-	
4	7204.	
5	17. These were the only addresses in NDSC's possession.	ĺ
6	18. A Copy of the Notice of Default, along with a Danger Notice was also posted on	
7	the Property on or about October 12, 2017.	
8	19. The Notice of Default was received by the tenant at the time, Daniel Starling	
9	("Starling").	
10	20. On or about October 16, 2017, Starling advised the property management	
11	company, Chaffin Real Estate Services ("Chaffin") that the Notice of Default had been posted	
12	and provided a copy of the same to Chaffin.	
13	21. Chaffin advised the Plaintiffs on October 16, 2017, that the Notice of Default	
14	had been posted on the Property and provided a copy of the same to the Plaintiffs, which the	ł
15	Plaintiffs confirmed receipt of.	
16	22. On or about January 27, 2018, Home Means Nevada, Inc. issued a State of	
17	Nevada Foreclosure Mediation Program Certificate, which was recorded thereafter on or about	
18	March 22, 2018.	
19	23. An Assignment of the DOT from WaMu to Chase was recorded in the Official	{
20	Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder on or about April 10, 2018.	{
21	24. On or about April 19, 2018, a Notice of Trustee's Sale was recorded in the	
22	Official Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder, advising that foreclosure sale would	
23	occur on May 18, 2018.	
24	25. On or about April 19, 2018, the Notice of Sale was mailed via Certified Mail to	
25	the Plaintiffs at:	
26	i. 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, Nevada 89408	
27	ii. 1229 Ballena Blvd., Alameda, California 94501	
28	iii. 2364 Redwood Road, Hercules, California 94547	
	- 4 -	
		1

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

.. . .

.

and via first class mail to Parties in Possession at 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, NV 89408 7204.

26. Plaintiffs acknowledge receipt of the Notice of Sale.

4 27. The Notice of Sale was also posted on the Property on April 19, 2018, and again 5 on April 20, 2018.

6 28. The Notice of the Sale was also published in the Reno Gazette-Journal, and
7 Mason Valley news/Leader Courier on April 25, 2018, May 2, 2018, and May 9, 2018.

8 29. Starling also provided a copy of the Notice of Sale to Chaffin, who in turn
9 provided the Notice of Sale to the Plaintiffs.

10 30. On or about June 1, 2018, a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was recorded in the 11 Official Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder, reflecting that on May 18, 2018, the 12 foreclosure sale of the Property occurred, and that Breckenridge Property Fund 2016, LLC 13 provided the highest bid in the amount of \$211,000.

14 || The Federal Court Case and subsequent appeal

31. Plaintiffs filed a Complaint in case 3:18-cv-0001-MMD (the "Federal Court
Case" or "Federal Court Action") in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada
on or about January 2, 2018, naming Chase, NDSC, WAMU, and Mortgage Electronic
Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") challenging the foreclosure and asserting many of the
same allegations contained in the instant Complaint.

20

3

32. Plaintiffs initiated the Federal Court Case in response to the Notice of Default.

33. Subsequently, on May 17, 2018, the Federal Court entered an Order finding that
Plaintiffs were judicially estopped from asserting the claims asserted against Chase, WAMU
and NDSC "to avoid foreclosure on the [Property]."

34. The Plaintiffs appealed the Order to the United States Court of Appeals for the
Ninth Circuit and, on May 29, 2019, the Ninth Circuit entered a Memorandum affirming the
lower court's decision.

27 35. Plaintiffs subsequently petitioned for a panel rehearing, and when that was
28 denied in September 6, 2019, by the Ninth Circuit, filed a Motion for Relief on December 23,

2019, in the District Court; that Motion was denied on December 27, 2019, by the District
 Court. Plaintiffs subsequently appealed the same on January 21, 2020.

3 || The instant litigation

36. The Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in this action on June 8, 2018, naming NDSC
5 among other parties.

6 37. On or about October 24, 2018, this Court entered an Order Granting Motion to 7 Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, dismissing the entirety of the Complaint without prejudice and 8 finding that all claims, except for those relating to the procedural notice of the sale, were 9 precluded from being re-litigated as a result of res judicata.

38. Plaintiffs subsequently amended their Complaint and the Court, in turn, entered
a second order on May 24, 2019, granting in part and denying in part NDSC's motion to
dismiss the First Amended Complaint which further confirmed that the only remaining claim
relates to the procedural requirements as it relates to the foreclosure sale conducted by NDSC.

14 39. Any Finding of Fact which should be a conclusion of law shall be construed as
15 such.

16

17

27

28

II. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

Standard of Review

18 1. Summary judgment is appropriate if, when viewed in the light most favorable to 19 the nonmoving party, the record reveals there are no genuine issues of material fact and the 20 moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 21 317, 322, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 2552 (1986); *Wood v. Safeway, Inc.*, 121 Nev. 724, 731, 121 P.3d 22 1026, 1031 (2005)(adopting the federal standard for summary judgment).

23 2. Summary judgment must be granted unless "the nonmoving party [can]
24 transcend the pleadings and, by affidavit or other admissible evidence, introduce specific facts
25 that show a genuine issue of material fact." *Cuzze v. Univ. & Cmty. Coll. Sys. of Nevada*, 123
26 Nev. 598, 603, 172 P.3d 131, 134 (Nev. 2007).

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. [0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 A genuine issue of fact is one that could be reasonable be resolved in favor of
 either party. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 247-48, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 2510
 (1986).

4 NDSC substantially complied with the notice requirements of NRS 107.080 and NRS 5 107.087.

6
4. The foreclosure sale was completed in a manner which satisfies at least
7
7
7
8
8
8
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9

5. NRS 107.080(5)(a) confirms that for a valid foreclosure sale to occur, the foreclosure trustee need only "substantially comply" with the provisions of NRS 107.080, a fact which the Nevada Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized. See e.g., Schleining v. Cap One, Inc., 130 Nev. 323, 329, 326 P.3d 4, 8 (Nev. 2014)("the Legislature had expressly imposed a substantial-compliance standard with regard to a lender's duty to provide a borrower with notice of a loan's default and the lender's election to foreclose.")(internal emphasis omitted).

6. Moreover, substantial compliance with the notice requirements is achieved
where actual notice occurs and there is no prejudice to the party entitled to notice. *Id.; see also, Dayco Funding Corp. v. Mona*, 427 P.3d 1038 (Nev. 2018).

7. Mailing the notices to all the addresses NDSC had in its possession, along with
posting physical copies on the Property itself, is sufficient to establish substantial compliance
with NRS 107.080.

8. Indeed, Plaintiffs had actual notice of the Notice of Default and the Notice of
 Sale. Plaintiffs concede that received the Notice of Default through the tenant and Chaffin and
 Plaintiffs personally received the Notice of Sale as required by the statute. Plaintiffs' actual
 notice is sufficient to satisfy any notice requirements provided in NRS 107.080 and 107.087.

9. Further, Plaintiffs were not prejudiced by receipt of the Notice of Default
through the tenant and property management company. The Plaintiffs received their copy of
the Notice of Default on October 16, 2017, the same date the notices were sent to the other
addresses and the date upon which the Notice of Default was posted. Plaintiffs acknowledge

1 that they filed the Federal Court Case as a result of receiving the Notice of Default, and 2 Plaintiffs enjoyed the benefit of the Property since the foreclosure sale occurred. Plaintiffs, 3 then, not only were aware of the Notice of Default, but took steps to initiate litigation that 4 acknowledged and referenced the foreclosure. Consequently, the Plaintiffs suffered no 5 prejudice with regard to receipt of the Notice of Default.

6 10. The totality of the evidence and facts in this case confirm that NDSC
7 substantially complied with NRS 107.080 and NRS 107.087, and indeed, completely complied
8 with NRS 107.087.

9 11. Further, Breckenridge is a bona fide purchaser. As the foreclosure sale was a
10 validly conduct sale, Breckenridge's interest in the Subject Property is also valid.

11 || The Plaintiffs are not entitled to receipt of the Notice of Default under NRS 107.090.

12 12. Plaintiffs' assertion that they were entitled to receipt of the Notice of Default
13 pursuant to NRS 107.090 fails and is inapplicable to the facts at hand.

14 13. Under NRS 107.090(2), within 10 days of recording the Notice of Default and 15 within 20 days of the sale, the foreclosure trustee has to deposit in the mail a copy of the notice 16 of default or sale, sent registered or certified to a) any party who has recorded in the county 17 records a request for a copy of the notice of default and b) any other person "with an interest 18 whose interest or claimed interest is subordinate to the deed of trust."

19 14. Plaintiffs' interpretation that NRS 107.090(2)(b) includes borrowers also 20 renders superfluous the other notice requirements in NRS 107. This is especially true when the 21 legislature's actions in defining "Borrower" in NRS 107.410 and references to grantor and 22 trustor throughout NRS 107 confirms they recognized the parties participating in the 23 transaction

Plaintiffs neither recorded a request for a copy of the Notice of Default nor have
an interest subordinate to the Deed of Trust, as such, NRS 107.090 is inapplicable to the instant
proceeding. Even if it were applicable, however, the Plaintiffs' actual notice of the Notice of
Default and Notice of Sale would satisfy the notice requirements of NRS 107.090.

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

28

1 The Property was not owner occupied and so NRS 107.500 and NRS 107.086 were inapplicable.

16. Plaintiffs' assertions that the foreclosure process was defective pursuant to NRS
107.500 and NRS 107.086 are irrelevant as Plaintiffs were not residing in the Property at the
time of any of the foreclosure actions taken by any party.

5 17. Both NRS 107.500 and NRS 107.086 apply to situations where the owner 6 resides in the Property. For example, NRS 1073.500 requires a pre-default letter to be sent 7 where a foreclosure sale is based upon "a failure to make a payment required by a residential 8 mortgage loan." Plaintiffs ignore that NRS 107.450 defines "residential mortgage loan" as a 9 loan secured by a "deed of trust on owner-occupied housing." The Foreclosure Mediation 10 Program, codified in NRS 107.086(1) has a similar limitation for owner-occupied property, 11 noting that the only exercise of a power of sale subject to that statute is for "any deed of trust 12 which concerns owner-occupied housing."

13 18. For either NRS 107.500 or NRS 107.086 to be applicable then, the Property
14 would have to have been owner-occupied. That is not the case here, and the foreclosure actions
15 relating to the Property were not required to comply with the same. There is no obligation,
16 then, for NDSC to comply with NRS 107.500 and no basis for the Plaintiffs to challenge the
17 sale on the same, as a matter of law. This is further supported by the fact that NDSC, as a
18 foreclosure trustee, is not a party upon which a duty is imposed under NRS 107.500.

19
19. Any Conclusion of Law which should be a finding of fact shall be construed as
20
such.

III. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NDSC's Motion
 for Summary Judgment and Breckenridge's Joinder is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiffs'
 Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that judgment is
 hereby entered in favor of NDSC and Breckenridge, and against Leo Kramer and Audrey
 Kramer.

21

-9-

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that any Lis Pendens recorded with the Lyon County Recorder by any party in relation to this case, is hereby expunged, removed, released, and of no further effect. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Amended Complaint and this action is dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice. DATED this 14th_ day of Organize, 2020. Respectfully submitted by: TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. / <u>V Ace C. Van Patten, Esg</u> ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation	
	28		
		- 10 -	

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	LYON COUN LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiffs, vs. NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE, Defendants. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Disqualify William J. Paatalo was entered in t December, 2020. A true and correct copy of said DATED January 5, 2021.	DISTRICT COURT TY, NEVADA Case No.: 18-CV-00663 Dept. No.: I NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE AND DISQUALIFY WILLIAM J. PAATALO
			c
	23	December, 2020. A true and correct copy of said	d Order is attached hereto.
	24	{ · · ·	
	25	TIF	FANY & BOSCO, P.A.
	26		
	27 28	Atto	E C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ. Sorneys for Defendant National Default vicing Corporation

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on January 5, 2021, I placed a copy of the above NOTICE OF 3 **ENTRY** OF **ORDER** GRANTING **NATIONAL** DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE AND DISQUALIFY 4 5 WILLIAM J. PAATALO into a sealed envelope and mailed it via regular mail, postage 6 prepaid, addressed to: 7 Leo Kramer Casey J. Nelson, Esq. Audrey Kramer 2320 Potosi Street, Suite 130 8 2364 Redwood Road Las Vegas, NN 89146 Hercules, CA 94547 9 Plaintiffs in Proper Person Matthew Schriever, Esq. Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 10 Hutchison & Steffen Peccole Professional Park 11 10080 W. Alta Drive, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 12 Attorneys for Alyssa McDermott, Wedgewood 13 Inc. and Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 14 15 16 any & Bosco, P.A. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 2 -

10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220

as Vegas, NV 89135

FIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.

	1		
			FILED
	1	ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.	2020 DEC 16 PH 4:28
	2	Nevada Bar No. 11731 TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.	
	3	10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135	TANYA SCHRINE COURT ADMINISTRATOR HIRD JUDICIAL DISTINCT
	4	Tel: (702) 258-8200	KATHY THOMAS DEPIT
	5	Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716	
	6	Attorneys for Defendant National Default Serving Corporation	
	7		
	8	THIRD JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT
	9	LYON COUN	TV. NEVADA
0	10		
. A. Suite 220 8-8787	11	LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER,	Case No.: 18-CV-00663
FFANY & BOSCO, P.A. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 2 Las Vegas, NV 89135 -258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	12		Dept. No.: I
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A W. Charleston Boulevard, Si Las Vegas, NV 89135 02-258-8200 Fax 702-258-	13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING NATIONAL
OSC Soule NV 8 'ax 7	14	vs.	DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION'S MOTION IN LIMINE
k H ton H gas, 1 (00 H	15	NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING	TO EXCLUDE AND DISQUALIFY
ANY Aries s Ve	16	CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE	WILLIAM J. PAATALO
117F /. Ch 12-23	17	PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,	
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-25	18		
101 T	19	Defendants.	
	20		
	21		ourt on Defendant, National Default Servicing
	22	Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion in Limine to	
	23	the 8 th day of September, 2020; and the Court 1	
	24	herein, having considered the arguments of cour	•
	25	8, 2020, and good cause appearing therefore, ent	ers the following order:
	26		
	27		
	28		
		-1-	

*.

٠

Ŷ

Ì

. "Autorit

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, for the reasons stated on the record, National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion in Limine to Exclude and Disqualify William J. Paatalo is GRANTED. December DATED this 14th_day of Quipter, 2020.	
TIFFA 10100 W. Chi Las Tel 702-25	18 19 20 21 22 23 24		
	25 26 27 28		
		-2-	

4 i i i i

. į

İ

l

1

1

ł

		• ORIGINAL •	
			FILED
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 1100 Tas Vegas, NV 89135 1100 Tas Vegas, NV 89135	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, Plaintiffs, vs. NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE, Defendants. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order the 16 th day of December, 2020. A true and corr DATED January 5, 2021. TIF	2021 JAN 11 AM II: 30 Internet approximation of the processing of the processi

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on January 5, 2021, I placed a copy of the above NOTICE OF 3 ENTRY OF ORDER into a sealed envelope and mailed it via regular mail, postage prepaid, addressed to: 4 Leo Kramer Casey J. Nelson, Esq. 5 2320 Potosi Street, Suite 130 Audrey Kramer 6 2364 Redwood Road Las Vegas, NN 89146 Hercules, CA 94547 7 Matthew Schriever, Esq. Plaintiffs in Proper Person 8 Hutchison & Steffen Peccole Professional Park 9 10080 W. Alta Drive, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 10 Attorneys for Alyssa McDermott, Wedgewood 11 Inc. and Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 12 13 ffany & Bosco, P.A. 14 employee of 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 2 -

0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220

as Vegas, NV 89135

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.

			FILED	
	1	ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ.		
	2	Nevada Bar No. 11731 TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.	2020 DEC 16 PH 4:28	
	3	10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135	TANYA SCELEINE COURT ABMINISTRATOR THERD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
	4	Tel: (702) 258-8200		
	5	Fax: (702) 258-8787 TB #18-72716	KATHY THOMAS	
	6	Attorneys for Defendant		
	7	National Default Serving Corporation		
	8	THIRD JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT	
	9			
_	10	LYON COUN	II, NEVADA	
A. Suite 220 8-8787	11	LEO KRAMER,	Case No.: 18-CV-00663	
A. Suite 8-87	12	AUDREY KRAMER,	Dept. No.: I	
TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 2 Las Vegas, NV 89135 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER	
FFANY & BOSCO, P Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89135 -258-8200 Fax 702-25	14	vs.	ORDER	
9 5 5 5 9 6 7 7	15	NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING		
NY & urleston Vegas, 3-8200	16	CORPORATION, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE		
FFA Chan Las	17	PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC, and DOES 1		
TIFFANY & 0100 W. Charlestor Las Vegas Tel 702-258-8200	18	THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,		
1010 Te	19	Defendants.		
	20			
	21	This matter having come before this C	ourt on Plaintiffs, Leo and Audrey Kramer's	
	22	("Plaintiffs") Motion for Summary Judgme	ent, Defendant, National Default Servicing	
	23	Corporation's ("NDSC"), Motion for Summary Judgment, and Defendant, Breckenridge		
	24	Property Fund 2016, LLC's ("Breckenridge") Joinder to NDSC's Motion for Summary		
	25	Judgment on the 8 th day of September, 2020; an	d the Court having reviewed the pleadings and	
	26	papers filed herein, having considered the arguments of counsel and the parties at the hearing on		
	27 September 8, 2020, and good cause appearing therefore, enters the following find			
	28	and conclusions of law in this matter.		

۱ ۴

•

11

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tei 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

13

1

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

2 1. On or about April 4, 2008, Leo Kramer executed an Agreement and Disclosure
3 (the "Note") reflecting a home equity line of credit provided by Washington Mutual
4 ("WAMU").

On or about April 4, 2008, Leo Kramer and Audrey Kramer (collectively, the
"Plaintiffs" or the "Kramers") executed a Deed of Trust reflecting that the debt referenced in
the Note was secured by the real property located at 1740 Autumn Gien Street, Fernley, NV
89408 (the "Property").

93.The Deed of Trust was recorded with the Lyon County Recorder's Office on or10about May 1, 2008, as Document No. 425436.

4. The Deed of Trust encumbers the Property and secures repayment of the Note
(collectively, the Note and Deed of Trust are hereafter "the Loan").

5. The Note was subsequently endorsed in blank.

14 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. becomes the note holder and beneficiary

6. On September 25, 2008, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC")
placed WaMu into receivership.

Concurrent with the inception of the FDIC's receivership of WaMu, JP Morgan
 Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") acquired certain assets and liabilities of WaMu from the FDIC
 pursuant to that certain "Purchase and Assumption Agreement, Whole Bank, Among Federal
 Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank, Henderson, Nevada,
 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association,"
 dated as of September 25, 2008 (the "PAA").

8. As part of the acquisition by Chase of certain assets and liabilities of WaMu
from the FDIC, acting as Receiver, Chase acquired the rights of WaMu, as lender and
beneficiary, respectively, arising under all of the loan assets of WaMu — including the Loan.

26 || Leo Kramer's bankruptcy filings acknowledge Chase's status as noteholder and beneficiary

9. On April 8, 2010, Leo Kramer filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition in Case 1043951, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California and included, in

his schedules, acknowledgment that (i) Chase held a security interest in the Property; and (ii)
 the amount of Chase's claim was \$175,274.00 (without deducting the value of the collateral).
 Leo Kramer then received a discharge on or about June 16, 2011.

10. On September 1, 2011, Leo Kramer filed a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition in
Case 11-49493, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California. Chase
filed a Proof of Claim regarding the Loan, attaching a copy of the Note and Deed of Trust, and
objected to the proposed Chapter 13 Plan, but the case was ultimately dismissed as Leo Kramer
failed to make the required plan payments.

9 11. On December 5, 2013, a Substitution of Trustee was recorded in the Official
10 Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder reflecting that National Default Servicing
11 Corporation was substituted in by Chase as the trustee under the Deed of Trust.

12 12. On July 3, 2014, Leo Kramer filed a third bankruptcy petition in the United 13 States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, which was a Chapter 13 petition, 14 assigned Case 14-42866 and in which Leo Kramer filed his schedules whereby he again 15 acknowledged again that (i) Chase held a security interest in the Property; and (ii) the amount of 16 Chase's claim was \$176,000.00 (without deducting the value of the collateral).

17 13. Chase again filed a proof of claim regarding the Loan in Case 14-42866 on
18 October 29, 2014 which included a copy of the Note and DOT.

19 14. On or about December 22, 2014, Leo Kramer confirmed a Chapter 13 Plan in
20 Case 14-42866, wherein Chase was recognized as a Class 3 creditor, and no payments were to
21 be made to Chase under the Plan, but that expressly called for Leo Kramer to surrender his
22 interest in the Property to Chase upon plan confirmation.

23 || The foreclosure sale

24 15. On October 6, 2017, a non-judicial foreclosure of the Property was initiated by
25 the recording of a Notice of Default ("NOD" or "Notice of Default") in the Official Records of
26 the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder.

27 16. On or about October 16, 2017, the Notice of Default was mailed via Certified
28 Mail to the Plaintiffs at:

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

1 i. 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, Nevada 89408 2 ii. 1229 Ballena Blvd., Alameda, California 94501 3 and via first class mail to Parties in Possession at 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, NV 89408-4 7204. 5 17. These were the only addresses in NDSC's possession. 6 18. A Copy of the Notice of Default, along with a Danger Notice was also posted on 7 the Property on or about October 12, 2017. 8 19. The Notice of Default was received by the tenant at the time, Daniel Starling 9 ("Starling"). 10 20. On or about October 16, 2017, Starling advised the property management 11 company, Chaffin Real Estate Services ("Chaffin") that the Notice of Default had been posted 12 and provided a copy of the same to Chaffin. 13 21. Chaffin advised the Plaintiffs on October 16, 2017, that the Notice of Default had been posted on the Property and provided a copy of the same to the Plaintiffs, which the 14 15 Plaintiffs confirmed receipt of. 16 On or about January 27, 2018, Home Means Nevada, Inc. issued a State of 22. 17 Nevada Foreclosure Mediation Program Certificate, which was recorded thereafter on or about 18 March 22, 2018. 19 23. An Assignment of the DOT from WaMu to Chase was recorded in the Official 20 Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder on or about April 10, 2018. 21 24. On or about April 19, 2018, a Notice of Trustee's Sale was recorded in the 22 Official Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder, advising that foreclosure sale would 23 occur on May 18, 2018. 24 25. On or about April 19, 2018, the Notice of Sale was mailed via Certified Mail to 25 the Plaintiffs at: 26 i. 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, Nevada 89408 27 ii. 1229 Ballena Blvd., Alameda, California 94501 28 iii. 2364 Redwood Road, Hercules, California 94547 - 4 -

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. (0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 and via first class mail to Parties in Possession at 1740 Autumn Glen St., Fernley, NV 89408 7204.

26. Plaintiffs acknowledge receipt of the Notice of Sale.

4 27. The Notice of Sale was also posted on the Property on April 19, 2018, and again
5 on April 20, 2018.

6 28. The Notice of the Sale was also published in the Reno Gazette-Journal, and
7 Mason Valley news/Leader Courier on April 25, 2018, May 2, 2018, and May 9, 2018.

8 29. Starling also provided a copy of the Notice of Sale to Chaffin, who in turn
9 provided the Notice of Sale to the Plaintiffs.

30. On or about June 1, 2018, a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was recorded in the
Official Records of the Lyon County, Nevada Recorder, reflecting that on May 18, 2018, the
foreclosure sale of the Property occurred, and that Breckenridge Property Fund 2016, LLC
provided the highest bid in the amount of \$211,000.

14 || The Federal Court Case and subsequent appeal

31. Plaintiffs filed a Complaint in case 3:18-cv-0001-MMD (the "Federal Court
Case" or "Federal Court Action") in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada
on or about January 2, 2018, naming Chase, NDSC, WAMU, and Mortgage Electronic
Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") challenging the foreclosure and asserting many of the
same allegations contained in the instant Complaint.

20

3

32. Plaintiffs initiated the Federal Court Case in response to the Notice of Default.

33. Subsequently, on May 17, 2018, the Federal Court entered an Order finding that
Plaintiffs were judicially estopped from asserting the claims asserted against Chase, WAMU
and NDSC "to avoid foreclosure on the [Property]."

34. The Plaintiffs appealed the Order to the United States Court of Appeals for the
Ninth Circuit and, on May 29, 2019, the Ninth Circuit entered a Memorandum affirming the
lower court's decision.

27 35. Plaintiffs subsequently petitioned for a panel rehearing, and when that was
28 denied in September 6, 2019, by the Ninth Circuit, filed a Motion for Relief on December 23,

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 2019, in the District Court; that Motion was denied on December 27, 2019, by the District
 Court. Plaintiffs subsequently appealed the same on January 21, 2020.

3 || The instant litigation

36. The Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in this action on June 8, 2018, naming NDSC
among other parties.

6 37. On or about October 24, 2018, this Court entered an Order Granting Motion to 7 Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, dismissing the entirety of the Complaint without prejudice and 8 finding that all claims, except for those relating to the procedural notice of the sale, were 9 precluded from being re-litigated as a result of res judicata.

38. Plaintiffs subsequently amended their Complaint and the Court, in turn, entered
a second order on May 24, 2019, granting in part and denying in part NDSC's motion to
dismiss the First Amended Complaint which further confirmed that the only remaining claim
relates to the procedural requirements as it relates to the foreclosure sale conducted by NDSC.

14 39. Any Finding of Fact which should be a conclusion of law shall be construed as15 such.

II. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

Standard of Review

18 1. Summary judgment is appropriate if, when viewed in the light most favorable to 19 the nonmoving party, the record reveals there are no genuine issues of material fact and the 20 moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 21 317, 322, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 2552 (1986); *Wood v. Safeway, Inc.*, 121 Nev. 724, 731, 121 P.3d 22 1026, 1031 (2005)(adopting the federal standard for summary judgment).

23 2. Summary judgment must be granted unless "the nonmoving party [can]
24 transcend the pleadings and, by affidavit or other admissible evidence, introduce specific facts
25 that show a genuine issue of material fact." Cuzze v. Univ. & Cmty. Coll. Sys. of Nevada, 123
26 Nev. 598, 603, 172 P.3d 131, 134 (Nev. 2007).

27

28

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

16

17

13.A genuine issue of fact is one that could be reasonable be resolved in favor of2either party. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 247-48, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 25103(1986).

 4
 NDSC substantially complied with the notice requirements of NRS 107.080 and NRS
 5

 5
 107.087.

6 4. The foreclosure sale was completed in a manner which satisfies at least 7 substantial compliance with the requisite statutes.

5. NRS 107.080(5)(a) confirms that for a valid foreclosure sale to occur, the foreclosure trustee need only "substantially comply" with the provisions of NRS 107.080, a fact which the Nevada Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized. See e.g., Schleining v. Cap One, Inc., 130 Nev. 323, 329, 326 P.3d 4, 8 (Nev. 2014)("the Legislature had expressly imposed a substantial-compliance standard with regard to a lender's duty to provide a borrower with notice of a loan's default and the lender's election to foreclose.")(internal emphasis omitted).

6. Moreover, substantial compliance with the notice requirements is achieved
where actual notice occurs and there is no prejudice to the party entitled to notice. Id.; see also,
Dayco Funding Corp. v. Mona, 427 P.3d 1038 (Nev. 2018).

7. Mailing the notices to all the addresses NDSC had in its possession, along with
posting physical copies on the Property itself, is sufficient to establish substantial compliance
with NRS 107.080.

8. Indeed, Plaintiffs had actual notice of the Notice of Default and the Notice of
 Sale. Plaintiffs concede that received the Notice of Default through the tenant and Chaffin and
 Plaintiffs personally received the Notice of Sale as required by the statute. Plaintiffs' actual
 notice is sufficient to satisfy any notice requirements provided in NRS 107.080 and 107.087.

9. Further, Plaintiffs were not prejudiced by receipt of the Notice of Default
through the tenant and property management company. The Plaintiffs received their copy of
the Notice of Default on October 16, 2017, the same date the notices were sent to the other
addresses and the date upon which the Notice of Default was posted. Plaintiffs acknowledge

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787 1 that they filed the Federal Court Case as a result of receiving the Notice of Default, and 2 Plaintiffs enjoyed the benefit of the Property since the foreclosure sale occurred. Plaintiffs, 3 then, not only were aware of the Notice of Default, but took steps to initiate litigation that 4 acknowledged and referenced the foreclosure. Consequently, the Plaintiffs suffered no 5 prejudice with regard to receipt of the Notice of Default.

6 10. The totality of the evidence and facts in this case confirm that NDSC
7 substantially complied with NRS 107.080 and NRS 107.087, and indeed, completely complied
8 with NRS 107.087.

9 11. Further, Breckenridge is a bona fide purchaser. As the foreclosure sale was a
10 validly conduct sale, Breckenridge's interest in the Subject Property is also valid.

11 || The Plaintiffs are not entitled to receipt of the Notice of Default under NRS 107.090.

12 12. Plaintiffs' assertion that they were entitled to receipt of the Notice of Default
13 pursuant to NRS 107.090 fails and is inapplicable to the facts at hand.

14 13. Under NRS 107.090(2), within 10 days of recording the Notice of Default and
15 within 20 days of the sale, the foreclosure trustee has to deposit in the mail a copy of the notice
16 of default or sale, sent registered or certified to a) any party who has recorded in the county
17 records a request for a copy of the notice of default and b) any other person "with an interest
18 whose interest or claimed interest is subordinate to the deed of trust."

19 14. Plaintiffs' interpretation that NRS 107.090(2)(b) includes borrowers also
20 renders superfluous the other notice requirements in NRS 107. This is especially true when the
21 legislature's actions in defining "Borrower" in NRS 107.410 and references to grantor and
22 trustor throughout NRS 107 confirms they recognized the parties participating in the
23 transaction

Plaintiffs neither recorded a request for a copy of the Notice of Default nor have
an interest subordinate to the Deed of Trust, as such, NRS 107.090 is inapplicable to the instant
proceeding. Even if it were applicable, however, the Plaintiffs' actual notice of the Notice of
Default and Notice of Sale would satisfy the notice requirements of NRS 107.090.

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

28

- 8 -

1 The Property was not owner occupied and so NRS 107.500 and NRS 107.086 were inapplicable.

16. Plaintiffs' assertions that the foreclosure process was defective pursuant to NRS
107.500 and NRS 107.086 are irrelevant as Plaintiffs were not residing in the Property at the
time of any of the foreclosure actions taken by any party.

5 Both NRS 107.500 and NRS 107.086 apply to situations where the owner 17. 6 resides in the Property. For example, NRS 1073.500 requires a pre-default letter to be sent 7 where a foreclosure sale is based upon "a failure to make a payment required by a residential 8 mortgage loan." Plaintiffs ignore that NRS 107.450 defines "residential mortgage loan" as a 9 loan secured by a "deed of trust on owner-occupied housing." The Foreclosure Mediation 10 Program, codified in NRS 107.086(1) has a similar limitation for owner-occupied property, 11 noting that the only exercise of a power of sale subject to that statute is for "any deed of trust 12 which concerns owner-occupied housing."

13 18. For either NRS 107.500 or NRS 107.086 to be applicable then, the Property
14 would have to have been owner-occupied. That is not the case here, and the foreclosure actions
15 relating to the Property were not required to comply with the same. There is no obligation,
16 then, for NDSC to comply with NRS 107.500 and no basis for the Plaintiffs to challenge the
17 sale on the same, as a matter of law. This is further supported by the fact that NDSC, as a
18 foreclosure trustee, is not a party upon which a duty is imposed under NRS 107.500.

19. Any Conclusion of Law which should be a finding of fact shall be construed as such.

III. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NDSC's Motion
 for Summary Judgment and Breckenridge's Joinder is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiffs'
 Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that judgment is
 hereby entered in favor of NDSC and Breckenridge, and against Leo Kramer and Audrey
 Kramer.

-9-

THFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. (0100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787

19

20

21

TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 10100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 220 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel 702-258-8200 Fax 702-258-8787	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that any Lis Pendens recorded with the Lyon County Recorder by any party in relation to this case, is hereby expunged, removed, released, and of no further effect. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Amended Complaint and this action is dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice. December DATED this 14th day of @states, 2020. Mathematical day of @states, 2020. Respectfully submitted by: TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. /s/ Ace C. Van Patten, Esq. ACE C. VAN PATTEN, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation
10100 Tel	19	
	21	
	22	
	26	
	27	
	28	- 10 -

; `

NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, LEO KRAMER, AUDREY KRAMER, ALYSSA MC DERMOTT, WEDGWOOD INC., BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC ~ COMPLAINT

Case Number: 18-CV-00663

Type: Other Title to Property Case Status: Closed Received Date: 6/8/2018 Status Date: 12/16/2020 Agency: Third Judicial District Court

Involvements

Primary Involvements KRAMER, LEO Plaintiff KRAMER, AUDREY Plaintiff NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION Defendant MC DERMOTT, ALYSSA Defendant WEDGWOOD INC. Defendant BRECKENRIDGE PROPERTY FUND 2016 LLC Defendant Other Involvements Steffen, John T. Esq. Defendant's Attorney Soderstrom, Kevin S. Esq. Defendant's Attorney KRAMER, LEO Pro Per KRAMER, AUDREY Pro Per Third Judicial District Court (18-CV-00663) Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS Dept I - TJDC

7. REOPEN ~ Reopened Charge

Notes: AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED Lead/Active: False

Other Title to Property Case

1. NRCP 3 ~ COMPLAINT

Lead/Active: True

2. NRCP 3 ~ COMPLAINT

Lead/Active: False

3. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

4. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

Case Summary

5. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

6. NRCP 5 ~ ANSWER

Lead/Active: False

Case Status History

6/8/2018 12:31:00 PM | Open 10/24/2018 | Closed 10/29/2018 | Reopened 12/16/2020 9:41:00 AM | Closed

Events

10/5/2018 10:00:00 AM | Motion Hearing | DEPT I 18-CV-00663 | Court Room B Andersen, Andrea Deputy Clerk -AANDERSEN Staff - STAFF Court Room B - CourtRmB lawclerk1 - LAW1 Aaron Richter Dayton, Matthew D. Esq. Telephonic, obo National Default Servicing Corporation Warner, Eric Esq. obo Defendants, Alyssa McDermott, Wedgewood, Inc., and Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS (Dept I -TJDC) KRAMER, LEO (Pro Per) Plaintiff, in Pro Per KRAMER, AUDREY (Pro Per) Plaintiff, in Pro Per Notes: Mr. Dayton, Mr. Warner and Ms. Kramer argued the Motion to Dismiss and the res judicata matter. Plaintiff requested leave to file an amended complaint and discovery. Court finds Judge Du's previously found there was an ability to foreclose upon the property and therefore precludes that matter from bring brought up in this court. In the event that ruling is reversed, it would then be addressed in the United States District Court. Court granted the Motion to Dismiss without prejudice against all defendant. Court granted Plaintiff's the ability to file an Amended Complaint that is not based upon Judge Du's rulings. Amended Complaint is to be filed within twenty (20) calendar days. Mr. Dayton and Mr. Warner are willing to accept service of the Amended Complaint on behalf of their client(s). Court permitted service of the Amended Complaint on counsel. Court directed plaintiff to provide an Acceptance of Service for counsel to sign. Mr. Dayton to prepare Order and email the order to the court, Plaintiff's and Mr. Warner. Parties will have five (5) days to object to the proposed order. Plaintiff's email address is audreykramer55@yahoo.com. Proposed Order is to be submitted to the court in Word or Word Perfect. 5/1/2019 10:30:00 AM | Motion Hearing | DEPT I 18-CV-00663 | Court Room B lawclerk1 - LAW1 CHING, KEITH S.K. GEURTS, PATRICK JAMES J. VanPatten, Ace C. Esq.

obo NDSC w/Tiffany & Bosco Thomas, Kathy Dep. Clerk - KTHOMAS Staff - STAFF Court Room B - CourtRmB



Case Summary

Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS (Dept I -TJDC)

Notes: Plaintiff's appeared in Proper Person. Mr. Ching appeared on behalf of McDermott, Wedgewood, Inc., and Breckenridge Propterty. Mr. Van Patten, appearing on behalf of NDSC. Counsel argued the motions. Court Found McDermott and Wedgwood are not owners in the property. Motion to Dismiss as to McDermott and Wedgwood is granted. Breckenridge will remain as a party in the case. Court dismissed the quite title action, does not fit the proper requirements. Cause of Action 2 & 3 in the complaint is Dismissed. Cause of action 1 & 4 does exist, case will go forward on those 2 causes. In regards to the Discovery motion, court found the early case conference does'nt take place until after first answer is filed. Defendant to file answer within 20 days of today's date. Parties are to co-operate with the rules of 16.1 which also applies to parties in proper person. The opposition to the early case conference is granted. Mr. Ching to prepare Order and email within 10 days to the court and other parties. Parties have 5 days after the receipt to file any objection to the order.

3/25/2020 12:00:00 PM | Telephonic Conference | DEPT I 18-CV-00663 | Judge's Chambers

Andersen, Andrea Deputy Clerk - AANDERSEN

Staff - STAFF

lawclerk1 - LAW1

Hawah Ahmad

Schriever, Matthew K. Esq.

Telephonic, obo Breckenridge Property Fund

VanPatten, Ace C. Esq.

Telephonic, obo National Default Servicing Corporation

Velto, Alex Esq.

Telephonic, obo Breckenridge Property Fund

Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS (Dept I -

TJDC)

KRAMER, AUDREY (Pro Per)

Plaintiff, in Pro Per, Telephonic

Notes: Court confirmed the Jury Trial previously set for May 12, 2020 is vacated. Court confirmed there are several outstanding Motions and a Motion hearing needs to be set. No other hearing dates will be set until pending Motions are resolved. Ms. Kramer requested the Motion hearing be in person. Counsel did not object to video conference for the Motion Hearing. Court set Motion Hearing for June 10, 2020 for one (1) day. If the restrictions are not lifted, at least three (3) weeks prior to the Motion Hearing Court will hold another telephone conference. Mr. Schriever addressed the court regarding the timeliness of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed March 24, 2020. Court heard statements from Mr. Van Paten and Mrs. Kramer regarding the timeliness of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment can be heard at the Motion Hearing, based upon filings and argument.

6/9/2020 10:00:00 AM | Telephonic Conference | DEPT I 18-CV-00663 | Judge's Chambers

VanPatten, Ace C. Esq.

obo Defendant, National Default Servicing, Telephonic

Andersen, Andrea Deputy Clerk -

AANDERSEN

Staff - STAFF

lawclerk1 - LAW1

Schriever, Matthew K. Esq.

obo Defendant, Breckenridge Property, Telephonic

Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS (Dept I -

TJDC)

KRAMER, LEO (Pro Per)

Plaintiff, in Pro Per, Telephonic

KRAMER, AUDREY (Pro Per)

Plaintiff, in Pro Per, Telephonic

Notes: Ms. Kramer requested the June 10, 2020 hearing be vacated due to the pandemic and she would prefer to appear for court live, otherwise she felt as if she would be at a disadvantage. Mr. VanPatten did not object to the continuance so long as it is the final continuance. Mr. Schreiver objected to the continuance if the hearing would be continued to September. Ms. Kramer advised the court the September date is due to the expert witness's availability. Prior to the court ruling on the Motion to Exclude Mr. Paatalo, court needs to hear Mr. Paatalo's testimony either live or by deposition. Court denied Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Supplement Discovery. Court to issue Order on procedural matters. Court ordered matter be continued to September 8, 2020 @ 9:00 am for one (1) day. Court will not continue the matter again. All parties are required to appear in person.

9/8/2020 9:00:00 AM | Motion Hearing | DEPT I 18-CV-00663 | Court Room B

Andersen, Andrea Deputy Clerk -



Case Summary

AANDERSEN Staff - STAFF Court Room B - CourtRmB Geurts, Patrick Bailiff - X004896 VanPatten, Ace C. Esq. obo Defendant National Default Servicing Corporation Velto, Alex Esq. obo Defendant, Alyssa McDermott, Wedgwood Inc. and Breckenridge Property Fund 2016 LLC lawclerk1 - LAW1 Hawah Ahmad Schlegelmilch, John P. - JPS (Dept I -TJDC) KRAMER, LEO (Pro Per) Plaintiff, in Pro Per KRAMER, AUDREY (Pro Per) Plaintiff, in Pro Per Notes: Ms. Kramer, Mr. VanPatten and Mr. Velto argued the Motion to Amend Complaint. Court heard sworn testimony

from William Paatalo. Court denied the Motion to Amend Complaint. Mr. VanPatten requested the court address the Motion to Disqualify Mr. Paatalo. Based upon previous order, court find the motion in irrelevant. Court granted the Motion to Disqualify in part. Mr. VanPatten, Mr. Velto and Ms. Kramer argued National Default Servicing Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment. Court finds the trustee complied with the notice provision. Court finds the parties received the notice. Court finds the notice of default was properly made. Court granted the Motion for Summary Judgment. Court dismissed the matter as to all parties on Summary Judgment due to substantial compliance. Mr. VanPatten to prepare Order on all matters.