IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

) SUPREME COURT NO. 82384
))
)) APPEAL
))
)) DISTRICT COURT NO. C-19-344461-2
))

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

(VOLUME 3 OF 5)

SANDRA L. STEWART Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No.: 6834 1361 Babbling Brook Court Mesquite, Nevada 89034 (702) 363-4656 Attorneys for Appellant

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
BAD ACTS-RT	03-03-2020	0317	0002
BAD ACTS-RT	03-05-2020	0317	0002
BAD ACTS-STATE MOTION	02-19-2020	0261	0002
CALENDAR CALL-RT	11-04-2020	0500	0002
COMPETENCY-RT	11-07-2019	0100	0003
COMPETENCY-RT	12-06-2019	0155	0001
COMPETENCY-RT	12-12-2019	0159	0001
CONTINUE TRIAL-RT	01-14-2020	0235	0001
COUNSEL-ORDER APPOINTING APPELLATE	01-12-2021	1194	0005
COUNSEL-RT	01-12-2021	1196	0005
EXHIBIT LIST	11-10-2020	0930	0003
EXHIBIT DIST EXHIBITS-JUROR OUESTIONS	11-10-2020	0984	0004
EXHIBITS FROTOS	11-10-2020	0976	0004
EXHIBITS-FROTOS EXHIBITS-RECEIPTS	11-10-2020	0946	0004
EXPERT LIST (STATE)	12-24-2019	0165	0004
EXPERT LIST (STATE) -2ND	02-24-2019	0313	0001
EXPERT LIST (STATE) - 3RD	11-02-2020	0483	0002
GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	10-31-2019	0062	0001
GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	11-14-2019	0143	0001
GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	01-09-2020	0204	0001
GRAND JURY INSTRUCTIONS	10-31-2019	0058	0001
GRAND JURY-RT	10-31-2019	0001	0001
INDICTMENT	11-01-2019	0086	0001
INDICTMENT (PROPOSED)	10-31-2019	0052	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)	11-14-2019	0135	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)	01-10-2020	0211	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING) - 3RD AMENDED	11-09-2020	0524	0003
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING) -AMENDED	01-14-2020	0226	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)-RT	11-14-2019	0106	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)-RT	01-09-2020	0168	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)-RT	01-10-2020	0220	0001
INDICTMENT-RT	11-01-2019	0095	0001
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	12-30-2020	1183	0005
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTIONN (AMENDED)	01-07-2021	1189	0005
JURY INSTRUCTIONS	11-13-2020	1111	0005
JURY TRIAL (DAY FOUR) -RT	11-13-2020	1155	0005
JURY TRIAL (DAY ONE) -RT	11-09-2020	0534	0003
JURY TRIAL (DAY THREE) -RT	11-12-2020	0993	0005

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
JURY TRIAL (DAY TWO)-RT	11-10-2020	0686	0003
JURY VERDICT	11-13-2020	1151	0005
METRO-TEMPORARY CUSTODY RECORD	11-20-2019	0084	0001
NEGOTIATIONS-RT	01-30-2020	0252	0002
NEGOTIATIONS-RT	10-21-2020	0427	0002
NOTICE OF APPEAL	01-17-2021	1203	0005
OR RELEASE-RT	05-05-2020	0392	0002
OR RELEASE-RT	05-07-2020	0399	0002
OR RELEASE-RT	05-21-2020	0405	0002
OR RELEASE-SNIPES MOTION	04-14-2020	0377	0002
OR RELEASE-STATE OPPOSITION	04-30-2020	0384	0002
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-25-2019	0072	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-30-2019	0075	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-25-2019	0933	0004
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-30-2019	0943	0004
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0078	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0081	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0936	0004
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0940	0004
SENTENCING-RT	12-29-2020	1167	0005
SEVER-RT	10-29-2020	0466	0002
SEVER-RT	11-03-2020	0487	0002
SEVER-RT	11-05-2020	0518	0003
SEVER-SNIPES MOTION	10-22-2020	0441	0002
SEVER-STATE OPPOSITION	10-26-2020	0447	0002
TRIAL SETTING-MINUTE ORDER	03-17-2020	0376	0002
TRIAL SETTING-RT	06-23-2020	0415	0002
TRIAL SETTING-RT	07-30-2020	0419	0002
WITNESS LIST (STATE)	12-24-2019	0165	0001
WITNESS LIST (STATE) -2ND	02-24-2020	0313	0002
WITNESS LIST (STATE)-3RD	11-02-2020	0483	0002

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DAVE	PAGE	VOLUME
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-25-2019	0072	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-25-2019	0933	0004
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-30-2019	0075	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0078	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0081	0001
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0936	0004
PHOTO LINE-UP (LAWS)	09-30-2019	0940	0004
PHOTO LINE-UP (ALBREGO)	09-30-2019	0943	0004
GRAND JURY-RT	10-31-2019	0001	0001
INDICTMENT (PROPOSED)	10-31-2019	0052	0001
GRAND JURY INSTRUCTIONS	10-31-2019	0058	0001
GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	10-31-2019	0062	0001
INDICTMENT	11-01-2019	0086	0001
INDICTMENT-RT	11-01-2019	0095	0001
COMPETENCY-RT	11-07-2019	0100	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING) -RT	11-14-2019	0106	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)	11-14-2019	0135	0001
GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	11-14-2019	0143	0001
METRO-TEMPORARY CUSTODY RECORD	11-20-2019	0084	0001
COMPETENCY-RT	12-06-2019	0155	0001
COMPETENCY-RT	12-12-2019	0159	0001
WITNESS LIST (STATE)	12-24-2019	0165	0001
EXPERT LIST (STATE)	12-24-2019	0165	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)-RT	01-09-2020	0168	0001
GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	01-09-2020	0204	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)	01-10-2020	0211	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING)-RT	01-10-2020	0220	0001
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING) -AMENDED	01-14-2020	0226	0001
CONTINUE TRIAL-RT	01-14-2020	0235	0001
NEGOTIATIONS-RT	01-30-2020	0252	0002
BAD ACTS-STATE MOTION	02-19-2020	0261	0002
WITNESS LIST (STATE) - 2ND	02-24-2020	0313	0002
EXPERT LIST (STATE) - 2ND	02-24-2020	0313	0002
BAD ACTS-RT	03-03-2020	0317	0002
BAD ACTS-RT	03-05-2020	0337	0002
TRIAL SETTING-MINUTE ORDER	03-17-2020	0376	0002
OR RELEASE-SNIPES MOTION	04-14-2020	0377	0002
OR RELEASE-STATE OPPOSITION	04-30-2020	0384	0002

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
OR RELEASE-RT	05-05-2020	0392	0002
OR RELEASE-RT	05-07-2020	0399	0002
OR RELEASE-RT	05-21-2020	0405	0002
TRIAL SETTING-RT	06-23-2020	0415	0002
TRIAL SETTING-RT	07-30-2020	0419	0002
NEGOTIATIONS-RT	10-21-2020	0427	0002
SEVER-SNIPES MOTION	10-22-2020	0441	0002
SEVER-STATE OPPOSITION	10-26-2020	0447	0002
SEVER-RT	10-29-2020	0466	0002
WITNESS LIST (STATE) - 3RD	11-02-2020	0483	0002
EXPERT LIST (STATE)-3RD	11-02-2020	0483	0002
SEVER-RT	11-03-2020	0487	0002
CALENDAR CALL-RT	11-04-2020	0500	0003
SEVER-RT	11-05-2020	0518	0003
INDICTMENT (SUPERSEDING) - 3RD AMENDED	11-09-2020	0524	0003
JURY TRIAL (DAY ONE)-RT	11-09-2020	0534	0003
JURY TRIAL (DAY TWO)-RT	11-10-2020	0686	0003
EXHIBIT LIST	11-10-2020	0930	0004
EXHIBITS-RECEIPTS	11-10-2020	0946	0004
EXHIBITS-PHOTOS	11-10-2020	0976	0004
EXHIBITS-JUROR QUESTIONS	11-10-2020	0984	0004
JURY TRIAL (DAY THREE)-RT	11-12-2020	0993	0005
JURY INSTRUCTIONS	11-13-2020	1111	0005
JURY VERDICT	11-13-2020	1151	0005
JURY TRIAL (DAY FOUR) -RT	11-13-2020	1155	0005
SENTENCING-RT	12-29-2020	1167	0005
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	12-30-2020	1183	0005
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTIONN (AMENDED)	01-07-2021	1189	0005
COUNSEL-ORDER APPOINTING APPELLATE	01-12-2021	1194	0005
COUNSEL-RT	01-12-2021	1196	0005
NOTICE OF APPEAL	01-17-2021	1203	0005

C-19-344461-2

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

November 04, 2020

C-19-344461-2

State of Nevada

Andre Snipes

November 04, 2020

02:00 PM

Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY:

Bell, Linda Marie

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Estala, Kimberly

RECORDER:

Delgado-Murphy, Melissa

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Andre Grant Snipes

Defendant

Michael W. Sanft

Attorney for Defendant

Michael J. Scarborough

Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Piaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Also present: Co-Defendant Gregory Morgan with counsel Aexander Bassett and Tyler Gaston, Esq.

Mr. Bassett announced ready. Representations by State regarding the motion to sever. Mr. Bassett advised the motion filed by Mr. Hill was denied without prejudice. Upon Court's inquiry, State estimates more then one week for trial with 14-18 witnesses. Mr. Bassett estimates 3 witnesses. Mr. Sanft estimates 2 witnesses and Mr. Hill will not have co-counsel. Court noted it needs to work on logistical issue with having co-counsel however it will be resolved for trial. Court canvassed parties regarding current Covid-19 protocols including masks and ORDERED, matter SET for trial.

CUSTODY

11/12/20 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL

Printed Date: 11/6/2020 Page 1 of 1 November 04, 2020 Minutes Date:

Prepared by: Kimberly Estala

Electronically Filed 3/4/2021 4:44 PM

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT **RTRAN**

2 3

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO: C-19-344461-1/2

> Plaintiff. DEPT. XXXII

VS. HEARD IN LOWER LEVEL GREGORY DELLO MORGAN, ARRAIGNMENT

Defendant(s).

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA M. BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MICHAEL J. SCARBOROUGH, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

(Appearing via Video Conference)

For the Defendant(s)

ANDRE GRANT SNIPES,

Andre G. Snipes: MICHAEL W. SANFT, ESQ.

(Appearing via Video Conference)

Gregory Morgan: ALEXANDER BASSETT, ESQ.

> TYLER GASTON, ESQ. **Deputy Public Defenders**

RECORDED BY: MELISSA DELGADO-MURPHY, COURT RECORDER

'	Las vegas, Nevada, vedilesday, Novellibel 4, 2020
2	[Proceeding commenced at 2:19 p.m.]
3	
4	THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Gregory Morgan and
5	Andrew Snipes.
6	MR. GASTON: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Good morning.
8	MR. BASSETT: Or afternoon.
9	MR GASTON: Afternoon, sorry.
10	THE COURT: All right. Yeah, it's hard to keep track.
11	MR. GASTON: Tyler Gaston and Alex Bassett from the Public
12	Defender's Office on behalf of Mr. Morgan.
13	THE COURT: I highlighted my the case in blue so I would
14	know it's a co-defendant case and now I can't read any of it. We'll get
15	through it. All right. And Ms. Cannizzaro, are you here on this one. I
16	had it was Mr. Portz.
17	MS. CANNIZZARO: No, Your Honor, I'm here on Zayveon
18	Scott.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do we have Mr I had Ms
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, which case is this?
21	THE COURT: It's Morgan and Snipes.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Portz is out of the okay.
23	MR. SCARBOROUGH: Jory Scarborough from the State.
24	THE COURT: There we go. Okay. All right. So this is set on
25	the 9 th . Is this ready to go?

1	MR. GASTON: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. And we have do we have Mr. Hill?
3	MR. SANFT: Your Honor, this is Michael Sanft, I'm going to
4	step in today for Mr. Hill.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Is he also ready to go? I know that
6	there was a motion there were some there was a motion to
7	MR. SCARBOROUGH: Judge, I can yeah. I could fill in the
8	Court on what's going on with that, so. In Eric Johnson's Department, it
9	looks like there was a motion to sever that was supposed to be heard. I
10	wasn't there to argue it. Deputy District Attorney Nick Portz was there to
11	argue it.
12	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
13	MR. SCARBOROUGH: And it looks like Judge Johnson then
14	kicked it to you and he did not decide on any motion to sever. And I
15	would just note that there's this is the second motion to sever.
16	MR. GASTON: Denied Your Honor, that's not
17	MR. SCARBOROUGH: She denied their motion to sever.
18	Sorry about that.
19	MR. GASTON: Yeah, so that's not quite accurate.
20	MR. SCARBOROUGH: She denied their motion to sever and
21	now it's set in front of you, Judge Bell.
22	THE COURT: Right. For calendar call.
23	MR. SCARBOROUGH: And then it looks like on Thursday, it's
24	set in case you elect to sever it for entertainment on the motion to rejoin.
25	THE COURT: Wall no So what Lundarstood from the

minutes was that he denied the request, invited a motion to be filed under seal because there was some information that the Defense did not want to provide. Did I -- am I remembering that correctly? I might be --

MR. BASSETT: That's not quite right, Your Honor, what happened was --

THE COURT: Okay. How about I let you explain since you were there.

MR. BASSETT: -- yeah, that's fine. Because I was there yesterday. And what happened, Your Honor, the motion was filed by -- I'm sorry, the motion was filed by Dan Hill.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BASSETT: We did file a similar motion back in February that was denied without prejudice.

THE COURT: Got it.

MR. BASSETT: Dan Hill filed a similar motion which was denied without prejudice yesterday. The debate over whether to file something under seal was the --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BASSETT: -- crux of the motion was we're arguing that we are going to be presenting mutually antagonistic defenses.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BASSETT: And under *Throckmorton*, we're arguing that that should be denied. Judge Johnson said that in order to consider ruling on the merits of that motion, he would need to hear what the defenses were and we decided that we did not want to place on the

record what our defense theories were going to be.

THE COURT: Got it.

MR. BASSETT: And when we went to renew the motion at trial. we --

MR. GASTON: To clarify that, when we filed our original motion to sever back in February, we did approach, also with our motion to continue, and explained ex parte to the Judge what our defense theory was. He granted our motion to continue at that time, but denied our motion to sever.

MR. BASSETT: Right.

MR. GASTON: So yes, he invited us to, basically, to revisit our original defense that we had disclosed and had our motion to sever denied, but since he already denied our motion to sever back when, we decided that we didn't want to put our defense on the record, essentially, presumably, to have him deny it again.

THE COURT: Understand. All right. So we have some logistical issues that I'm trying to sort out in terms of co-defense counsel.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: And Judge, just to really -- to get it out there right now, even -- even if it's severed, even if it's not severed, even without this holiday in the middle of next week, this is not a week-long trial. It would take longer than a week.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: It's, like, seven or eight events, multiple witnesses on each event.

THE COURT: So how many days?

1	MR. SCARBOROUGH: I know that's a big I would say at
2	least a week and a half, at least.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. BASSETT: They noticed 40 different witnesses.
5	MR. SCARBOROUGH: It definitely would not be done in a
6	week. I can I can as an officer of the court, guarantee that. There's
7	just too many events.
8	THE COURT: It doesn't need to be done in a week. How
9	many witnesses?
10	MR. SCARBOROUGH: 14 to 18.
11	MR. BASSETT: They noticed more than 40.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And what would you anticipate in terms
13	of witnesses?
14	MR. BASSETT: Three maybe.
15	MR. GASTON: Three.
16	THE COURT: Okay, three. And Mr. Sanft.
17	MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Do you know what Mr. Hill would anticipate in
19	terms of witnesses?
20	MR. SANFT: Your Honor, at this particular point, I would
21	believe maybe two witnesses.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. SANFT: I'm looking here at the notes.
24	THE COURT: Do you know if Mr. Hill intends to try the case
25	by himself?

1	MR. SANFT: At this particular point, yes, Your Honor, that's
2	correct. By himself.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, I mean, I just have to work
4	out the logistical issue. It probably would mean that one of you would
5	have to sit, like, kind of, in front
6	MR. GASTON: Right.
7	THE COURT: because there's space for four people on the
8	defense side, but I we will sort it out. For one person, I know we can
9	figure it out. But it may be worth doing a walk-through.
10	MR. GASTON: Just to clarify, because I wasn't here the first
11	calendar call
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MR. GASTON: and so I was just, kind of, going off what I
14	was told.
15	THE COURT: Yep.
16	MR. GASTON: My understanding was that the last time the
17	Court indicated that it might have to sever the cases — sever the cases
18	because of the number of people.
19	THE COURT: Well, we
20	MR. GASTON: Is that no longer an issue?
21	THE COURT: have so the way that the COVID
22	courtrooms are set up I don't have you guys been in there yet?
23	Okay. So they we removed all of the gallery seating and pushed put
24	the tables behind the bar so there's table there's two tables on each
25	side and there's plexiglass dividers so you can put four people on each

side. Unfortunately, because the door's in the middle, we probably would've been better off to put six on one side and two on the other, but that many couldn't walk into the courtroom. It creates a logistical issue about where co-counsel would sit.

We do have headphones to allow for confidential communications so, again, I think it is a -- it is -- we've -- I've been having -- we've been discussing the issue and how to make this work and I certainly think, you know, you're entitled to have two people if that's how you choose to present your case.

MR. GASTON: And I'm not trying to be difficult. I just want to make sure that's -- if we do it in a way that's, kind of, safe, but that I can talk to Mr. Bassett and the Defendant at the -- like, concurrently with what's going on.

THE COURT: Yeah. So you would have headphones. You would be able to do that.

MR. GASTON: Okay.

THE COURT: Just logistically, we just have to figure -- it's just a little bit of a logistical issue that we've got to sort out which I am confident that we'll be able to do.

MR. GASTON: Okay.

THE COURT: It's particularly easier if Mr. Hill is --

MR. BASSETT: Solo.

THE COURT: -- solo because then it's just one less person that we have to find, I think, there's a corner there we can -- we can put one of you in --

MR. SCARBOROUGH: For Mr. Hill.

THE COURT: -- front and you two on the end.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: Just --

THE COURT: So I think it will work out.

MR. GASTON: Okay.

THE COURT: Perhaps, look, we can tomorrow or Friday, find a time that we can walk-through Mr. Hill's welcome, Mr. Scarborough, we can walk-through and look to sort that issue out and just so you are aware of what we're looking at in terms of facilities. So is this ready to go then? Are there --

MR. GASTON: The only thing the Defense has is -- I assume it was on tomorrow for status check, so I assume we'd raise that in front of Judge Johnson. I don't know exactly how the pre-trial calendar works, but we have an issue with their expert notice. And I didn't know if we address that to you or --

THE COURT: No.

MR. GASTON: -- Judge Johnson, but ultimately, we're -- other than that issue --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GASTON: -- we're ready to go.

THE COURT: The other thing -- I'm not exactly sure who would end up trying the case because Judge Johnson has the other -- the other case that's going at this point. So I will identify somebody that has not been a problem so far. We have a couple judges who aren't coming -- who are not doing trials, so.

1	MR. GASTON: And if we go if we end up going to is it
2	'cause I don't if it's, like, the overflow thing or how it works, but if we
3	end up going to a different judge for the expert witness issue, is that
4	something that we would even if the different trial judge, we would still
5	raise that in front of Judge Johnson tomorrow?
6	THE COURT: You should address that in front of Judge
7	Johnson
8	MR. GASTON: Okay.
9	THE COURT: tomorrow.
10	MR. GASTON: Okay.
11	THE COURT: And we'll work on this I've I mean, we've
12	also had, I don't know about these cases, but we've had every case
13	we've set has ended up resolving, so. It may be that his other one
14	resolves and then this one goes, so
15	MR. GASTON: Okay.
16	THE COURT: — I just don't have an answer for that.
17	MR. GASTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: we'll have this start at 9:00 am on Monday.
19	Oh no, that's not going to work. We're going to have to start this on if
20	we start this on Thursday, will that work? We'd start Thursday and then,
21	two days to pick a jury, I'm guessing. Okay. So we'll do a Thursday start
22	and that way you'd have Thursday and Friday to pick the jury and
23	MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'm so sorry, Judge
24	THE COURT: That's okay.
25	MR. SCARBOROUGH: you're saying the Thursday start of

1	next week?
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	MR. SCARBOROUGH: So not a Monday the 9 th , but
4	Thursday the — Thursday the 12 th would be
5	THE COURT: Right. So
6	MR. SCARBOROUGH: our start? Okay.
7	THE COURT: so Judge Johnson has another trial that's
8	that has a German interpreter that's really problematic. So that's going to
9	start on Monday and they're anticipating two days to pick a jury and then
10	Wednesday's a holiday. So we can start this one Thursday and get the
11	jury picked and then the trial would go, I would imagine, the whole next
12	week, right?
13	MR. SCARBOROUGH: It looks like it at this point, yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Great.
15	MR. SCARBOROUGH: Do we have full days, Judge, in those
16	departments?
17	THE COURT: Yes, absolutely; 9:00 to 5:00, full days.
18	MR. SCARBOROUGH: Oh. Okay.
19	THE COURT: We're covering everybody's calendars to make
20	sure that we make the best use of the
21	MR. BASSETT: My apology, you said trials are [indiscernible]
22	full days?
23	THE COURT: Yeah.
24	MR. BASSETT: Okay.
25	THE COURT: We're doing we're covering people's

calendars so that -- because it's just a limited resource we have with the court -- we have two courtrooms, so make sure that they get done.

MR. GASTON: And I know I feel like I'm the guy -- I feel like I'm the guy with all the questions here. I just want to ask one more thing.

THE COURT: No. That's okay.

MR. GASTON: So my understanding is one of the things that the State potentially intended to address tomorrow in front of Judge Johnson, I believe, they were only intending to do this if Your Honor severed this 'cause they were going to try to get to Judge Johnson to reconsolidate, but they were going to try to limit how many defense counsel Mr. Morgan was entitled to have to one instead of two. Is that something that's --

THE COURT: I -- the issue -- the issue is the logistical issue that I was talking to you about. So I don't -- I think that there are other alternatives, so --

MR. GASTON: Okay.

THE COURT: — I don't think that that is necessary. It's just we've struggled a little bit, you know, all of this has been quite a challenge. So we've struggled a little bit to get — to just figure this piece out and I am confident we will work it out and it's going to be fine, but I am not — that's — I don't feel like that's an acceptable option.

MR. GASTON: Okay. I just didn't want to get an email on Friday that we're limited to one. I wanted to at least bring it up in front of some judge.

THE COURT: Yeah. No.

MR. GASTON: Okay. All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: We'll -- just like I said that logistically you may not be able to sit in a row.

MR. GASTON: But we still have the headphones and stuff.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GASTON: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. So gentlemen, Mr. Morgan, all right.

Mr. Morgan, sir, do you understand that if you go to trial, everybody's in the courtroom is going to be wearing a mask at all times.

DEFENDANT MORGAN: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All the time. All right. So witnesses, jurors. So you and your lawyers won't have the opportunity to see the full expressions of people. You understand that?

DEFENDANT MORGAN: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And you also understand that no members of the public can go in to the courtroom just because we don't have room for them to sit, but the trial will be livestreamed. So you wouldn't be able to have friends or family come in and sit in there during the trial, but they would be able to watch the trial. Do you understand that as well, sir?

DEFENDANT MORGAN: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you have any issues with that?

DEFENDANT MORGAN: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: No. All right. And sir, do you understand we would continue the trial if you had concerns about it, we would continue it until you were -- until we didn't have these restrictions anymore, but,

1	obviously, I don't know how long that's going to be.
2	DEFENDANT MORGAN: Yes, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me about that,
4	sir?
5	DEFENDANT MORGAN: No, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. GASTON: And before you go on to Mr. Snipes or
8	maybe we come back, actually, if you want to go to Mr. Snipes and Mr.
9	Bassett and I have a question.
10	THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. And so Mr. Snipes, you heard all
1	of that, right? So you understand everybody's going to be wearing
2	masks all the time?
3	DEFENDANT SNIPES: I understand that and I'm okay with
4	that, but, like, I'm not waiving any of my constitutional rights by
5	addressing.
16	THE COURT: Sir, I'm not asking you to waive any rights at
17	all. We just have coronavirus instructions
18	DEFENDANT SNIPES: 'Cause I do have a right to a
19	confrontation, right? I have a right to be confronted by witnesses against
20	me.
21	THE COURT: The witness will be present in the courtroom,
22	they'll just be wearing a mask, sir.
23	DEFENDANT SNIPES: Okay.
24	THE COURT: So I just want to make sure that you
25	understand that.

1	DEFENDANT SNIPES: I understand that. But I do have that
2	right to be confronted so like and when they when they testify against
3	me, they're being cross-examined, so when they're wearing a mask
4	THE COURT: That's what I'm telling you, sir. They have
5	because of the coronavirus precautions, they have to wear a mask at all
6	times. If you do not want to go forward with that condition, I will continue
7	your case.
8	DEFENDANT SNIPES: No, I want to go forward, I just want to
9	know, like, is it possible if I can challenge that at a later after the trial, if
10	possible.
11	THE COURT: Well, that's something that you need to talk to
12	your attorneys about, but I'm just telling if you are not comfortable with
13	that, then we will find another date.
14	DEFENDANT SNIPES: No, we can move forward. It's it's
15	okay.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	DEFENDANT SNIPES: We can move forward.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And you also understand that there is
19	no place for an a public audience, but but the trial will be
20	livestreamed so that if you had friends or family members, that they could
21	they would be able to watch it, just not in the courtroom.
22	DEFENDANT SNIPES: Yeah. I understand that.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	DEFENDANT SNIPES: Could I ask you another question? I
25	mean, just

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

THE COUF	RT:	Sure.

DEFENDANT SNIPES: -- theoretically, why does it have to be facemasks, why can't it be face shields?

THE COURT: Sir, the face shields don't really -- so we provide them to jurors in addition to the masks if they want to wear them, but the shields don't really protect everybody, especially when you're in the courtroom for a long time because the air you breathe out goes under and all around instead of capturing it like a mask does.

DEFENDANT SNIPES: I understand.

THE COURT: Yeah. So.

DEFENDANT SNIPES: All right.

THE COURT: All right. I know more about coronavirus than I ever wanted to. All right. So 9:00 am on Thursday.

MR. GASTON: Thank you.

THE COURT: And I'll be in touch with all of you.

MR BASSETT: Thank you, Your Honor.

DEFENDANT MORGAN: What time on Thursday hearing at?

THE COURT: 9:00 am on Thursday.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: What courtroom is that going to be --

THE COURT: Jury Services.

THE CLERK: No. Go ahead, Mr. Scarborough.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: No, Judge Bell answered, Jury

Services. Sorry about that.

THE CLERK: Your Honor, on the Morgan case, there's a settlement conference still set on November 30th. Are we keeping that or

1	are we're vacating that?
2	THE COURT: Let's just hang onto it until we see what
3	happens.
4	THE CLERK: Great. Thank you.
5	[Proceeding concluded at 2:36 p.m.]
6	* * * * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	Januar K Gerold
24	Jennifer P. Gerold Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	Oour Neolige/ Hallocidel

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

November 05, 2020

C-19-344461-2

State of Nevada

Andre Snipes

November 05, 2020

1:45 PM

Status Check: Possible Motion to Sever

HEARD BY: Johnson, Eric

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 12A

COURT CLERK: Carina Bracamontez-Munguia/cb

RECORDER: Angie Calvillo

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Hill, Daniel

Lexis, Chad N.

Snipes, Andre Grant

State of Nevada

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Also present: Alexander Bassett, Esq. counsel for co-defendant.

Mr. Lexis indicated co-defendant will be pleading today, further, he will be filing a Third Superseding Indictment on Deft. Snipes. Mr. Lexis stated they are ready to proceed with trial. Upon the Court's inquiry Mr. Hill indicated the Third Superseding Indictment will not affect their ability to proceed to trial and these proceedings make the Motion to Sever moot. Colloquy regarding trial settings.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 11/13/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: November 05, 2020

Electronically Filed 3/12/2021 10:49 AM

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT **RTRAN** 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE #: C-19-344461-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XX 10 VS. 11 ANDRE GRANT SNIPES, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC JOHNSON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 05, 2020 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 STATUS CHECK: TRIAL READINESS 17 APPEARANCES: 18 For the State: CHAD N. LEXIS 19 **Chief Deputy District Attorney** 20 For the Defendant: DANIEL J. HILL, ESQ. 21 22 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: ANGIE CALVILLO, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, November 05, 2020, at 2:14 p
--

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Andre Snipes, case number C344461. Counsel, please note your appearances for the record.

MR. LEXIS: Chad Lexis for the State.

MR. HILL: Dan Hill for Mr. Snipes.

MR. BASSETT: Alex Bassett for Co-defendant Gregory Morgan.

MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, it looks like some of our work was done. Gregory Morgan is going to be pleading today. And I'm going to be filing a Third Amended Superseding Indictment on Snipes, and we're ready to go on that.

THE COURT: Okay. The amended superseding indictment I assume doesn't affect your ability to go next week?

MR. HILL: No, Judge. And that moots out our motion to sever so –

THE COURT: Life is good.

MR. HILL: I wouldn't go that far, Judge, but we're ready for trial.

THE COURT: All right. Well you're number three on the trial stack.

MR. HILL: Okay.

THE COURT: We have now two courtrooms that are Covidready. So if my trial goes on Monday; it seems like it's going to, the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

issue will be whether or not the number two trial on the stack will go or pleads. Frequently, it had been pleading. And if that's the case, then you'll move up to the number two position and have access to the Covid courtroom next week. And if I'm in trial, then we'll get another judge to take over and do the trial.

MR. LEXIS: It's my understanding it's a Thursday start date?

THE COURT: Yes. You would -- yeah, if number two doesn't go, you're on a Thursday start date. Normally, it would be a Wednesday, but Wednesday is a holiday next week.

MR. HILL: So jury selection Thursday; evidence starts Monday, or Friday rather?

THE COURT: Friday.

MR. HILL: Got you. Great, very well.

THE COURT: All right. You know, at this point it looks like I'm going to be going to trial, so -- and you already heard me go through my jury selection. I think I've had jury trials with all of you to begin with, so I don't think there's anything really to go over with there.

MR. HILL: No.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else then at this point in time?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor, not on Mr. Snipes.

THE COURT: All right. We'll keep you posted as far as what we hear as far as any movement on the stack for next week.

Anything further, State?

MR. LEXIS: Well not on this one, but then we got --

Page 3 **0521**

İ	
1	THE COURT: I know we need to plead Mr. Morgan.
2	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: I'm worried about Mr. Snipes at the moment.
4	MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.
5	MR. HILL: No.
6	MR. LEXIS: If something changes with him, I told defense
7	counsel we can put back on calendar.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Hey, Dan?
10	MR. HILL: Yeah.
11	THE DEFENDANT: Can I get a copy of the new indictment
12	please.
13	MR. HILL: Yeah. I'm going to come see you in the next day
14	or two.
15	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. HILL: Yep.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	[Hearing concluded at 2:17 p.m.]
19	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
20	
21	angie Caliello
22	Angie Calvillo Court Recorder/Transcriber
23	

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

MOV 0 9 2020

JURL

2

1

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

1.

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

DISTRICT COURT MICHELE TUCK

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Plaintiff(s),

-VS-

ANDRE GRANT SNIPES

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. C344461-2

DEPT. NO. XX

C-19-344461-2 JURL Jury List 4935124



AMENDED JURY LIST

APRIL DREWS 7.	ARACELI PRUETT

- 2. ANDREA DOCKER 8. JULIA ACERA
- 3. COPPER RIZZO 9. NICHOLAS WILLIAMS
- 4. TONY MCKANE 10. JOSEPHUS TANGPUZ
- 5. RAYMOND WEBB 11. MORGAN DUNAWAY
- 6. SUSANA PEREZ-ROJAS 12. JONATHON FITCH

ALTERNATES

1. CASEY ALLEN 2. JEREMIAS DEJESUS

C:\Users\tuckermi\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\Content.Outlook\6HZ3MBB0\C344461-2 SNIPES

JURY LIST.doc/11/10/2020

STEVEN D. GRIERSON 1 AIND CLERK OF THE COURT STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney MAY 0 9 2020 Nevada Bar #001565 3 CHAD N. LEXIS Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #010391 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 C-19-344461-2 SIND 6 Attorney for Plaintiff Superseding indicament 4935125 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C-19-344461-2 11 -vs-**DEPT NO:** XX12 ANDRE GRANT SNIPES, #7088448 THIRD AMENDED 13 Defendant(s). SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT 14 15 STATE OF NEVADA SS. 16 COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant(s) above named, ANDRE GRANT SNIPES, accused by the Clark 17 County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of BURGLARY (Category B Felony - NRS 205.060 -18 NOC 50424); GRAND LARCENY (Category C Felony - NRS 205,220.1, 205,222.2 - NOC 19 56004); CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 20 199.480 - NOC 50147); ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B 21 22 Felony - NRS 200.380, 193.165 - NOC 50138); BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.060 - NOC 50426) and 23 PARTICIPATION IN ORGANIZED RETAIL THEFT (Category B Felony - NRS 24 205.08345 - NOC 55986), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on 25 or between September 20, 2019 and October 7, 2019, as follows: 26 // 27

FILED IN OPEN COURT

28

//

COUNT 1 - GRAND LARCENY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 20, 2019, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously with intent to deprive the owner permanently thereof, steal, take and carry away, lead away or drive away property owned by FOOTLOCKER at 3200 S. Las Vegas Blvd., having a value of \$650.00 or more, to wit: merchandise, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 20, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with GREGORY DELLO MORGAN to commit a robbery, by the defendants/conspirators committing the acts as set forth in Count 3, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

COUNT 3 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 20, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: merchandise, from the person of BRYAN LAWS, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of BRYAN LAWS, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime;

and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 4 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 20, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a retail establishment, owned or occupied by FOOTLOCKER at 3200 S. Las Vegas Blvd., located at 3200 South Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit larceny, while in possession of and/or gaining possession of a firearm, a deadly weapon, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 5 - BURGLARY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 20, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a retail establishment and/or business, owned or occupied by FOOTLOCKER, located at 4300 MEADOWS LANE, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit a larceny and/or obtain money or property by false pretenses, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging,

hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 6 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 24, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a retail establishment, owned or occupied by CHAMP'S SPORTS, located at 3200 South Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit larceny, while in possession of and/or gaining possession of a firearm, a deadly weapon, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 7 - GRAND LARCENY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 24, 2019, then and there willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and intentionally, with intent to deprive the owner permanently thereof, steal, take and carry away, lead away or drive away property owned by CHAMP'S SPORTS, having a value of \$650.00 or more, to wit: merchandise, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the

 intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 8 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 24, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with GREGORY DELLO MORGAN to commit a robbery, by the defendants/conspirators committing the acts as set forth in Count 9, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

COUNT 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 24, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to wit: merchandise, from the person of ABREGO ALDEN, or in his presence, without the consent and against the will of ABREGO ALDEN, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person, the person of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, defendant using force or fear to obtain or retain possession of the property, to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of the property, and/or to facilitate escape, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 10 - BURGLARY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 24, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a retail establishment and/or business, owned or occupied by FOOTLOCKER, located at 4300 MEADOWS LANE, Clark County, Nevada, with intent

to commit a larceny and/or obtain money or property by false pretenses, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 11 - GRAND LARCENY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 29, 2019, then and there willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and intentionally, with intent to deprive the owner permanently thereof, steal, take and carry away, lead away or drive away property owned by FOOTLOCKER, located at 2120 Festival Plaza Drive, having a value of \$650.00 or more, to wit: basketball jerseys and/or other merchandise, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 12 - BURGLARY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about September 29, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a retail establishment, owned or occupied by FOOTLOCKER, located at 2120 Festival Plaza Drive, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit larceny, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this

crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 13 - BURGLARY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about October 2, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a building, owned or occupied by NIKE, located at 9851 S. Eastern Avenue, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit larceny, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 14 - GRAND LARCENY

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about October 2, 2019, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously with intent to deprive the owner permanently thereof, steal, take and carry away, lead away or drive away property owned by NIKE, located at 9851 S. Eastern Avenue, having a value of \$650.00 or more, to wit: merchandise, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 15 - BURGLARY

22.

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or about October 6, 2019, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter a retail establishment and/or business, owned or occupied by FOOTLOCKER, located at 4300 MEADOWS LANE, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit a larceny and/or obtain money or property by false pretenses, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO MORGAN acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 16 - PARTICIPATION IN ORGANIZED RETAIL THEFT

Defendant ANDRE SNIPES did on or between September 20, 2019 and October 7, 2019 willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously participate in organized retail theft, the aggregated value of the property in all the thefts committed in the organized retail theft in this State during a period of 90 days, being at least \$3,500.00, but less than \$10,000.00, by entering and making exchanges for gift cards and/or taking merchandise from NIKE and/or FOOTLOCKER and/or CHAMPS'S SPORTS, the Defendant(s) being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise

//

//

25 //

26 | //

27 | //

1	<i>"</i>
2	// .
3	//
4	<i>"</i>
5	procuring the other to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this
6	crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and GREGORY DELLO
7	MORGAN aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by Defendant and GREGORY DELLO
8	MORGAN acting in concert throughout.
9	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
10	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
11	
12	CHAD N. LEXIS
13	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010391
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

18CGJ163A-B/19F21141A-B/ed-GJ/ckb/L4 LVMPD EV# 190900115154; 190900095652; 191099999927 (TK9) C-19-344461-2

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

November 09, 2020

C-19-344461-2

State of Nevada

Andre Snipes

November 09, 2020

09:00 AM **Jury Trial**

HEARD BY:

Johnson, Eric

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 12A

COURT CLERK: Tucker, Michele

RECORDER:

Garcia, Trisha

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Andre Grant Snipes

Defendant

Chad N. Lexis

Attorney for Plaintiff

Daniel Hill

Attorney for Defendant Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael J. Scarborough State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Defendant present in custody.

INSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Voir Dire Oath given. Jury selection commenced. Jury and two (2) Alternates SELECTED and SWORN.

INSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Introductory remarks by the Court. The Clerk read the Third Amended Superseding Indictment to the Jury and stated the defendant's pleas

Court ADMONISHED the Jury and ORDERED them to return the next day at the time given.

Matter in RECESS.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/10/20 8:30 AM

Printed Date: 11/11/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: November 09, 2020

Prepared by: Michele Tucker

Electronically Filed 3/10/2021 12:42 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C-19-344461-2

Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO. XX

v.
ANDRE GRANT SNIPES,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC JOHNSON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF: JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: MICHAEL J. SCARBOROUGH, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: DANIEL J. HILL, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: TRISHA GARCIA, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Page 1

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2020 1 2 (Jury Commissioner/Court address prospective jurors, 3 4 at 9:30 a.m. to 9:40 a.m.) 5 6 (Case called at 11:33 A.M.) 7 (In the presence of the prospective jurors.) (Pause in the proceedings - technical difficulties.) 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Well, am I causing some sort 10 of problem with -- can everybody hear me? ALL PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody who can't hear me? 13 I'm supposed to stand behind here and I don't think 14 I have the best view of everywhere in the courtroom. 1.5 So if you need something or can't hear something, 16 don't just raise your hand a little bit. Signal an SOS and 17 hopefully one of us will see it and make sure you hear everything or -- and -- and get everything you need to. 18 19 Thank you very much for getting back in timely 20 I really do appreciate it. As I said, our goal is 21 to try to get through this today as quickly as possible, get 22 you --(COURT/MARSHAL CONFER.) 23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on a second. 24 25 Apparently, the recording device is not working so

1 we need to have a clear record of everything so we're going to 2 stop for just a second. 3 (Pause in the proceedings - technical difficulties.) 4 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, hang 5 -- hang tight. Apparently, we've gone to a -- am I not coming 6 through at all now? 7 (Pause in the proceedings - technical difficulties.) 8 THE COURT: All right. Well, okay, back -- back to something. 9 10 Apparently, the problem is going to require somebody from IT to come down. We've ordered somebody up to come down. 11 We aren't -- this isn't how the -- as you can guess, 12 the -- this room is not generally where we conduct jury 13 14 selection process and so it's been reconfigured because of its 15 size to -- to do this. And so we put in special recording equipment and apparently we've got a fluke with that today. 16 17 So, I apologize, but just hang tight and we'll get started as soon as we can start recording again. 18 Thank you. 19 (Pause in the proceedings - technical difficulties.) 20 THE COURT: All right. Fingers crossed, we'll try 21 this one more time. 22 Thank you for all getting back in a All right. 23 timely fashion. I apologize for the delay since you've gotten

As I was saying, this room was not intended to do

back here.

24

25

the jury selection process, but it's about the only large room big enough to socially distance and get the number of people we need in it. And so we've reconfigured it, to try to make things work.

And I do ask all of you today to -- to try to work with us to make things work. It is a process and we'll get through it, and again, thank you.

All right. I want to, at this point, do a roll call and make sure that we've got everybody seated where they're supposed to be seated. I'm going to focus initially on the first 24 people who are on the list. I need everyone, though, to pay attention throughout the whole process.

But as a general practice, we'll focus on the first 24 people in terms of answering questions and — but what I need you all to do is to listen to those questions, because if any of the first 24 end up being excused for whatever reason, we're going to be taking the rest of the people out in order and putting them into those seats. And we'll need you to listen to the questions so we don't have to go back over everything. All right.

(COURT CALLS ROLL OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS.)

THE COURT: All right. Everybody is sitting where they're supposed to be, so something went right for -- for once here today. So, thank you.

All right. At this point in time I am going to ask

everyone to stand if you're able, the 24 I called and everyone else who's here in the room, stand if you are able and be sworn in by the Clerk to answer questions.

(CLERK SWEARS IN PROSPECTIVE JURORS.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

here?

Now -- go ahead and be seated -- now the first question I do want to ask, and this is for everybody here in the room, concerns language and hearing. Is there anyone who doesn't understand what I'm saying, because English is not your native language? Or is there anyone who has any hearing issues and needs special assistance as far as hearing?

Okay. Let's go and -- well, the way we'll work this is my extern, Brian, will bring a mike to you. disinfect after every use.

Let's go to Juror No. 10, Mr. Webb.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I have a 50 percent hearing deficiency in both ears that's corrected by hearing aids. So just make sure it's spoken clearly then I should be okay.

20 THE COURT: Do we have any hearing equipment down 21

22 THE MARSHAL: We don't have anything, Judge, right 23 now.

THE COURT: All right. How are -- how are -- how is it sounding to you right now?

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: For the most part it's 1 2 fine. 3 THE COURT: Okav. All right. 4 Just like I said, SOS if you need us to repeat 5 anything. I thought I saw one other hand up behind you. Any 6 7 -- another hand? 8 Oh, let's go to -- let's go -- it's No. 11, Ms. --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I have to say I don't 10 understand very well, yes. 11 THE COURT: All right. That's Juror No. -- that's 12 Dyankova. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: So -- yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: All right. Is it you don't understand 15 because English is not your native language? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Okay. What is your native language? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Bulgarian, I can 19 understand Russian. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm not sure what our 21 availability is for a translator, but if we did have a 22 translator, would you be able to serve? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. How much do you understand of --25 of what I'm saying now, 50 percent, 80 percent?

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063:
                                           50.
 2
              THE COURT: 50 percent?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063:
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
 5
              All right. Thank you very much.
 6
              Was there anybody else with a language or hearing a
 7
    problem?
 8
              THE MARSHAL: Seat No. 51, Judge.
 9
              THE COURT: Seat No. 51.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: Felix.
10
11
              THE COURT: Felix Luna-Oliveros?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: Oliveros.
                                                      Yeah.
13
              THE COURT: What is your -- is it language or
14
   hearing?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: I just cannot speak
16
   English.
17
              THE COURT: All right. What language is your native
18
    language?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284:
                                           (Indecipherable).
20
              THE COURT:
                          What -- what language?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: Espanol.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Spanish?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: Yeah.
24
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Again, I'm not sure what our
25
    translator options are. If -- do you think you could use a
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 translator if I can get one? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: I'm sorry, no. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Is there anyone else that I missed? 4 5 All right. I'm not seeing any other hands at this 6 point in time. 7 All right. 8 THE MARSHAL: What's your Seat Number, ma'am? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Oh, 46. 10 THE MARSHAL: 46. THE COURT: All right. Kenny Jovel? What is your 11 12 problem, ma'am, is it language or hearing? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Language. About 50 14 percent. 15 THE COURT: What language do you speak? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Spanish. 16 17 THE COURT: Spanish? Okay. Have you ever worked with a translator before? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. But you understand 21 about 50 percent? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I -- I understand more 23 than what I can talk. 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yeah.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Anyone else we missed?

I'm not seeing anymore hands.

All right. At this point in time, there are a couple -- a couple of things I'm going to order you not to do while we're going through the jury selection process today, and then if you're selected, while you're serving as a juror.

The first thing I'm going to order you not to do, and I emphasize that this is an order. I am ordering you to do this. I'm also going to ask you not to do it and hopefully you'll understand why I'm asking you not to do it, and that is social media.

While you're -- during -- involved in this process concerning selection of a jury and if you are selected for the jury, I'm ordering you not to go on any social media, whether it be Twitter, FaceBook, Instagram, WhatsApp, whatever it maybe, and in any way discuss or refer to this case, or your participation in it.

I'm not telling you, you can go on Facebook. I know that would probably cause some people to -- to have withdrawals. I'm not telling you not to go on Instagram. You can go on and talk about your family, dinner, games, or whatever you want to. But not anything concerning the trial, or your participation in it.

And hope -- and I'm asking you not to do that, and hopefully you understand why. If you go on social media, Facebook, for instance, and put in, hey, I'm being considered for jury service today down at the Regional Justice Center, people can comment on that. And some of their comments could be things that you shouldn't hear, or shouldn't consider, or shouldn't be given to you, while you're in the process of being selected as a juror, or being a juror.

And so to avoid that whole problem, we ask that you don't go on social media and talk about this case.

The other thing I'm going to order, again, I'm emphasizing this is an order. I'm going to order that you not go on your smartphones, go on your computers, your laptops, or anything that can access the Internet, and do any research about this case, or about any of the people who are participating in it.

Don't go on and look me up, don't go on and look the attorneys up, don't go on and look up anything about the facts of the case, maps, or anything like that. I'm ordering you not to do that.

You can go on and, you know, look it up for anything else, but as to this case, don't go on the computer. I'm asking you not to do that. And again, hopefully, you understand why I'm asking you not to do that.

If you were to go on the Internet and plug in and

seek information about this case, you would be getting information that the other jurors wouldn't have. More importantly, you might be getting information that isn't, under the Rules of Evidence, information that you should have. And so that would be unfair to both parties in this case. And so to avoid that, I'm asking you and ordering you not to go on the Internet and look -- do any research about the case.

The other thing I'm going to order you not to do and ask you not to do is while you're being considered as a juror here today, and more importantly, if you are selected to be a juror, is to avoid large crowds or groupings where social distancing is not possible.

We need to get these trials going and it's important that we are able to finish them and it's important that we keep you and all of your fellow jurors safe. And so while we're in the course of this trial, please, I'm ordering you and asking you sincerely, please avoid any large groupings or any settings in which social distancing would be difficult to accomplish.

Now, let me make some introductions here.

Seated to my right is Michele. She's our Court Clerk. And I apologize. We -- my -- I don't have a Court Clerk at the present time, so she's filling in. So we haven't worked a lot together. But essentially, her job is to keep track of everything that's going on here in the courtroom.

She keeps track of the witnesses, she keeps track of the exhibits and makes sure that everything is running the way it should.

Then over here, you'll see today is our Court
Recorder, Trisha. And she is exactly what her title
describes. She is a Recorder. She records everything that
goes on here in the selection process and during the trial.

Tomorrow, it should be Angie, my regular Court Recorder. Trisha's filling in for us today.

But it's very important we have a record of who's speaking and what they're saying. And because of that, there may be times during the -- the selection process today where I'll stop you and say, so-and-so is speaking, or Juror No. 5 is speaking, or whatever it may be.

There'll be times I might say, don't talk over every
-- each other. Because what generally does happen during
these events is someone will start to ask you a question,
you'll anticipate what the question is, and start to answer
before the question is finished.

And vice versa, and I do this a lot too, you'll start to -- you'll answer -- start to answer my question.

I'll anticipate what your answer is, and I'll start to ask the next one. And we have people talking over each other. We want to avoid that, if at all possible. It makes it hard to have a good record if people are talking over each other.

So at times, we may interrupt you, I might, Trisha might, or tomorrow, Angie might. It's not that we're trying to be rude, we're just trying to make sure we have a good record.

You've all met, to some degree, Marshal Trimidal.

He's responsible for the security of the courtroom and the jury selection room when we are in session. He's also the person that will be working with you most directly during the course of the trial, today, and if you are selected as jurors.

So if anything comes up that -- other than concerns the facts of this case, you have a question about parking, you have a question about vending machines, or bathrooms, or you see something that bothers you for some reason or another, go to Marshal Trimidal. He will help you answer the question, or if it's something that needs to be brought to my attention and the attorneys' attention, he'll bring it to my attention and we'll work with you from there.

During the course of the selection process today and during the course of the trial, as a general rule, I, my staff and the attorneys and the parties will not speak to you, even if we happen to run into you in the elevator or on the escalator. We won't say anything to you.

And it's not, again, that we're trying to be rude, but it's important that you do not receive any information or any sort of comment or anything that may be considered

improper under the Rules of Evidence and the Rules of Procedure.

And so to avoid any issue with that, as a general rule, the staff, the attorneys and parties will not speak to you during the course of this trial.

You also will see at different times my extern,
Brian. He is a student at UNLV School, Boyd School of Law,
and he's sitting in for the semester to observe. And it's
been a tremendous help.

You also may see over here, Danielle, my Law Clerk. She helps me with legal research and different things that come up during Court, and so she may be in and out.

You also may see my Judicial Executive Assistant, Kelly, come in at different times.

All right. What I'm going to ask now is for the attorneys representing the State of Nevada to introduce themselves and to give you a very short synopsis of what their case is about, and also to read off to you a list of witnesses.

Now, as you listen to this list of witnesses, do not become traumatized. Usually, they're much longer than what actually appears at trial. The goal is to list out anyone who potentially could testify during the trial. So usually it's very, very much shorter than what the list actually is. So don't worry about that.

For your planning purposes, this trial we anticipate even with the one day off on Wednesday for Veterans Day, we anticipate the trial finishing up on Thursday or Friday at the latest. This trial will only last the one week. And we hope to finish it on Thursday. But worst case scenario, the parties have talked, we don't see it going any further past Friday.

So the trial even with the Veteran's Day holiday, will be done this week.

All right. I'd ask the State to introduce themselves.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: Hello, everyone. I am Deputy
District Attorney Jory Scarborough. With me is colleague,
Chief Deputy District Attorney, Chad Lexis. We represent the
State of Nevada in this criminal case, the State of Nevada
versus Andre Snipes.

It is alleged that on or between September 20th, 2019 and October 7th, 2019, that the defendant committed the crimes of burglary, grand larceny, conspiracy to commit robbery, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, and participation in organized retail theft.

As the Judge explained, during our case we will call a number of witnesses. I'm about to read the list of all the witnesses that we had noticed in this case.

The first witness that we've noticed is Detective Beveridge, Detective Laster.

THE COURT: Hold on just one second. I should have mentioned this. I do need you all to listen to the list of witnesses, because there will be a point here soon where I'm going to ask if you know any of the witnesses. And so as you listen to these names, you know, there is frequently more -- a lot of people who have the same name.

If you hear a name of someone you potentially know, just put that in the back of your head. And then when I ask if you know any witnesses, mention that you do know a so-and-so. And we'll figure out there, if it's this person, the John Doe that you know.

So listen to these names and make sure you -- if you think you might know somebody, put that in the back of your head.

Sorry, counsel.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: No worries, Judge. Do you want me to start from the beginning just one more time? It's --

THE COURT: Yeah, you're only a couple through it.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: Okay. So just restarting over.

Detective Beveridge. Detective Laster. Detective
Summers. Alden Abrego. Samantha Alvarez. Michelle Ayla.

24 Abraham Cabrera. Elvin Castillo. J. Clark. Keaton Courtley.

The follow are going to be what we refer to as a

1 Custodian of Records for various places. So Custodian of 2 Records for Champs Sports. Custodian of Records for Fashion 3 Show Mall. Custodian of Records for Nike. Custodian of 4 Records for Whittlesea Blue Cab. Custodian of Records for 5 Wynn Hotel. Alicia Davis. Aaron Denson. Edward Dougherty. 6 E. Fischer. Armando Flores. C. Garcia. J. Jacobitz. John 7 Johnson. Jordan Kofford. Bryan Laws, Jr. Ruby -- last name 8 unknown, but she works at Foot Locker at Summerlin. Yohannes Maru. Officer Alexander Martin. 9 10 Mikalonis. Philip Mills, Daniella Montalvo. Carmina 11 Panlillo. Erik Perkett. Kyle Quimiro. And Taylor Webb. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. 13 I'd ask now for the defense side to introduce 14 themselves and to provide any additional witnesses that they 15 want to list out. 16 MR. HILL: Good morning, everyone. My name is Dan 17 Hill. I'm a private defense attorney here in Las Vegas. 18 during this trial, I represent Mr. Andre Snipes, seated to my 19 right. Good to meet you all. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 Now, we're going to start asking you questions. 22 For the most part, starting out, I'll be the one 23 asking you questions and I'm going to ask you generally as a

raise your hand. We'll bring a microphone to you and let you

If you can -- need to answer one of the questions,

24

25

answer the question.

1.0

In answering these questions, there's no right answer, there's no wrong answer. All we want is an honest answer. I've had jurors at times, you know, express concern about answering a question in a certain way because they're afraid, oh, that might show I have some biased or prejudice.

And that's totally all right. If you answer a question and it indicates some potential biased or prejudice. The fact of the matter is we all have some biases and some prejudices. Every one of us, even me.

What we have found though is that if we recognize our biased and prejudices, and think about it, we can usually put them aside and be fair. So having a bias or prejudiced doesn't mean that you wouldn't be a good juror, or you can't be a juror, it means you're a human being.

And so if we look -- figure that out and we can talk about it, my guess is, for the most part, with all of you, any bias or prejudice you possibly have of something that if you recognize it, you can put aside and be fair to both sides in this case. So all we're looking for is an honest answer.

Now, as I said, we're going to focus on the first 24 people, in Seats 1 through 24. But I need Seats 25 through 55 to listen to every question. And if you would answer one of those questions, put that in the back of your brain, and if you would -- because if -- what will happen inevitably in

these cases is somebody's going to get excused out of the first 24 seats.

And so when that person is excused, we'll be coming to the next person in line to fill that seat. And when you sit down in that seat, I'm going to say, good afternoon, and ask if you heard the questions that have been asked so far.

And if you say, yes, I'll say, would you have answered any of those questions, and you can say, yeah, I would have answered this question, or I would have answered that question, and we'll move on.

Now, if you -- I sit you down in that seat and I say, now, have you heard all the questions asked today, and you go, no, then we've got to repeat all the questions to make sure we got your answers. And while we're doing that, everybody's going to look at you really mean like, because you're really slowing up the process when you do that.

So it's really, really critical for this to move along in good fashion, that you all listen to the questions, and if you would answer any of them, put that in the back of your mind in case you get brought up.

And don't think that because you may be sitting towards the end of the list, that you are not going to need to listen. I've had several trials where we've gone through literally everybody in the room before we were able to get a jury. So it's important that everybody listen to the

1 questions.

All right. The first few questions are pretty straightforward. And again, focusing on Seats 1 through 24.

Is there any one of you who is not a United States citizen? Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there any one of you who has been convicted of a felony? Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there any one of you who is acquainted with the defendant, Mr. Snipes? Either you know him, or heard of him, seen him, any sort of acquaintance or knowledge about the defendant in this case.

Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone who is familiar or has any knowledge of Mr. Hill, the defense attorney?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, this case is being tried on behalf of the State by the Clark County District Attorney's Office.

Is there anyone who knows anyone in the District Attorney's Office, either an attorney or a staff member?

Okay. Let's go to No. 15. That is Ms. Pruett.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: Okay. Yes, I'm -- I work for Clark County, and I've worked with the District Attorney, Catherine Jorgenson, and actually a few other members of the District Attorney's Office, Civil Division.

THE COURT: Okay. Primarily with Ms. Jorgenson?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Now, this -- let me make -- this case

Let the record reflect no other hands.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 was investigated by Las Vegas Metro, isn't it? 2 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask, is there anyone who 4 knows somebody who works for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police 5 Department, either an Officer or a staff member or a 6 volunteer? 7 All right. Let's try to do this in numerical order. 8 We'll go to, I think, Number 2, Ms. Keep. Go ahead. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Officer Brovak (phonetic) and Detective Leavitt (phonetic). 10 THE COURT: How do you know them? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: They're friends of the 13 family. 14 THE COURT: All right. And how long have you know 15 them? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Almost 22 years. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Do you socialize with them much 18 or anything? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Not often, no. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about your 21 relationship with them that would affect your ability to be a fair juror here today? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No. 24 THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to 25 follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those

instructions to the evidence admitted? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. Who was the next hand up? 4 All right. Let's come up actually to -- I'm having 5 trouble. Let's see, you're 5, No. 5, Mr. Rizzo. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah. So I know 7 socially Officer Bonner (phonetic) and Officer DeLulow (phonetic). 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. How do you know -- I mean, you 10 say socially. Do you go out to drink, or dinner, or? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah. Friends with 12 Officer Bonner and work with the spouse of Officer DeLulow. 13 THE COURT: Okay. What do you do? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I manage a network of funeral homes and cemeteries. 15 Okay. What does his spouse do with you? 16 THE COURT: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: She is our market 18 director and I manage the sales. 19 THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. 20 And how long have you known them? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Both about four years. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: A little less than four 24 years. 25 THE COURT: All right. Officer Bonner, how did you

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 meet him? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Bonner, through a -through a golf course. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about your 4 5 relationship with them that would affect your ability to be a fair juror here in this case? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No. THE COURT: Do you feel you'd be able to follow my 8 9 instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence admitted? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 13 All right. Let's go back. Who was next in line? All right. Let's go down to No. 8. That should be 14 15 Ms. Nicholson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Evan Fincher (phonetic). 18 19 THE COURT: And is that an officer or a staff 20 member? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: He's an officer. 22 THE COURT: All right. How do you know the officer? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: He's my nephew. 24 THE COURT: Okay. That's -- you've probably known 25 him a long time then.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 Go ahead. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Parole Officer, David 3 Camargo (phonetic). 4 THE COURT: How do you know him? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: He's my brother-in-law. THE COURT: Okay. Do you socialize with him much? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Every week. 8 THE COURT: Every week. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: 10 THE COURT: Okay. I see the enthusiasm in your 11 voice. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: He has a baby. 13 to see my nephew. 14 THE COURT: Ah, good. Anything about your 15 relationship with him that would affect your ability to be a 16 fair juror in this case? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I don't think so. 18 THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to 19 follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those 20 instructions to the evidence admitted? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 23 All right. Anyone in Seat -- yeah, okay, Seat No. 16. That is Ms. Acera. 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: My cousin, Anna Chavez

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09
    "D"?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: B. Uh-huh.
 3
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: B as in boy?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, sir.
 4
 5
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: No.
                                      I don't have a Benson.
                                                              Ι
 6
    have a Denson, with a D.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Does that -- so that's not
 8
    the person you know?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: (No audible response).
10
              THE COURT: Okay. And No. 10 is shaking his head
11
    "no".
           Is that correct?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, sir.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. And he said "yes, sir" in case it
14
    wasn't heard on the record.
15
                          Any -- anyone else think they may know
    any of the witnesses?
16
17
              All right. Let the record reflect no other hands.
              All right. Does anyone think they know anything
18
19
    about the facts of this particular case, either through
20
    personal knowledge, conversations with people, the news media
21
    or social media?
22
              All right. Okay. You think you've heard something
    about this case with the news media and this is Number -- what
23
24
    Number is this?
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I'm 14.
```

THE COURT: Number --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Louis Dulude. 3 THE COURT: All right. Do you think you may know something about this case in regard from the --4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: THE COURT: -- news --6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I thought I remember 8 this case being on the Channel 5 --9 THE COURT: All right. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- news. THE COURT: All right. We'll --11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Okav. 13 THE COURT: -- keep that and we'll deal with you 14 maybe on a sidebar. All right, sir? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Okay. No problem. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 17 All right. Anybody else who thought they may know 18 anything about this case? 19 Okay. Let the record reflect no other hands. 20 Now, as I said, the -- we should be done with this 21 case we think by the end of Thursday, no later than Friday. 22 Wednesday is a holiday. It's Veterans Day. So we won't be in 23 session Veterans Day. 24 We'll be starting at around 9:00 to 9:30 on Tuesday, 25 Thursday and Friday mornings, if necessary, and going until

about 5:00 o'clock with an hour's break for lunch on those days. We'll have other breaks to go to the restroom and take -- drink water or whatever it may be. But that's -- are going to be our general schedule this week.

1.0

I do pretty much assure you that we will be done by 5:00 o'clock each day because of certain restrictions in terms of COVID and people in the building. So we will be done by 5:00 every day.

So this is the point I mentioned earlier where I ask if there's anyone with that schedule who this feels that participating in this trial would be a serious hardship to them. So let me ask that question right now. Is there anyone who feels with that schedule, that participating in this trial would present a serious hardship to them?

All right. Let's go to No. 2, Ms. Keep.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I'm a single mom and I have a two-year old and I'm taking care of my elderly grandparents who are sick.

THE COURT: All right. Who's watching them today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: A family member.

THE COURT: Is there any way that family member might be able to do three more days this week?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I'm not sure because they have a full-time job as well.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anyone else

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 you can think of who may be able to watch just for these three 1 2 days? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I can try and find 4 someone. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for bringing 6 that to our attention. 7 Let's see. Who was next in line? Let's go over to 8 Number 12, I think, that should be Mr. Alvidrez. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. My wife is having 10 spinal surgery on Friday. And Thursday I have a National Certification exam, but the surgery is more important to me. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. What time on Friday? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: We won't know until the 14 day before they call and let us know what time we have to be at the hospital. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. And then you mentioned something 17 about a certification, what's that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I have a national 19 certification exam on Thursday morning. 20 THE COURT: All right. Is that something that you 21 can do at a different time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: If -- if I have to be 22 here then I'll call and see if I can. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 25 All right. Thank you very much.

And then anyone else? Okay. Let's go to Number 18. 1 2 Oh, there's another one? Oh, okay. And that's Number --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: 13. 3 4 THE COURT: -- 13, Mr. Perez -- or Ms. Perez-Rojas. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Hi. I'm the only nurse 6 at an adult daycare and they actually had to get somebody to 7 cover me for today because they thought there wasn't really 8 going to be jury going on with the whole COVID thing so. THE COURT: All right. Can they get somebody for 9 10 the -- these other potentially two to three days? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I don't know, because 11 I'm the only one that actually manages the whole facility. 12 13 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: The daycare for adults. 15 I'm the only actual nurse. 16 THE COURT: All right. But is there -- I assume 17 they can find somebody to fill in for you if necessary? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: They called somebody, 19 yeah, but my boss wasn't so happy about it. 20 THE COURT: Well, I -- I appreciate the -- I 21 appreciate that. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I'm like, okay. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Is there -- one more 25 thing. Is there way I could get a paper to verify, show him

that I did come if I have to stay? 1 THE COURT: 2 No problem. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay. THE COURT: That's not a problem at all. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay. 6 THE COURT: We can get you whatever you need in that 7 regard. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 All right. And then Number 18 --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Yes. 11 12 THE COURT: -- I think? Okay. That's --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Josephus --14 THE COURT: Tangpuz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Yes. I work at the 15 16 school as instructional assistant. So basically, we also 17 follow with -- with the -- with the scheduling when it comes 18 to like holidays and such. So with this, along with the 19 holiday that's been -- that's about to come this week, I'm 20 pretty much losing a lot of income just to -- just for 21 starters. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Who -- who do you work for? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I work at Will Beckley 24 Elementary School. 25 THE COURT: Is that in the Clark County School

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 District? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And this is an hourly position? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I would say for sure 4 5 that this is, yeah, a hourly position since I'm not licensed I'm just an instructional assistant. 6 teacher. 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there someone who can fill in for you these next two to three days? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Unfortunately not. don't think so. 10 Okay. What do you do? 11 THE COURT: 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I assist with the 13 resource teacher. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. And what 15 -- when you say "assist" what kind of things do you do? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: So basically, whenever a 17 teacher asks me to add materials for a topic to work with the 18 students, that what I would do. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I also engage with the 21 students since I --22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: -- work specifically at 24 resource for individualized educational program. 25 THE COURT: All right. Clark County School District

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 is pretty big. There's no one, you think, that can fill in 2 for you? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I'm not sure. THE COURT: 4 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I'm not sure. 5 So, yeah, 6 currently I've been checking on my e-mail with the School 7 District to see if there's going to be someone substituting 8 for my position and apparently no one is. 9 THE COURT: All right. Well, the School District is 10 very good working with us to make sure that the people can --11 who are employed by them can serve. So I'm -- I'm guessing 12 they can probably make things work if they have to. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Okay. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: But -- but thank you for bringing that 15 to our attention. I appreciate that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: 16 Thank you. 17 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else in the first 18 24? Let the record reflect no other hands. 19 All right. Sorry. 20 (COURT/COUNSEL CONFER.) 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hill has to run to take 22 a quick plea. We've been going at it for about an hour and 15 23 minutes or an hour anyway. 24 Let's go ahead and we'll take a five-minute break. 25 If you need to go to the restroom, go to the restroom.

can grab a drink of water. We do have to have everybody exit the room and then line up outside.

So get back in line as quickly as possible. It's critical and in order for us to get this done today that we get you back in line as soon as possible. So, please, don't - don't leave the building, don't go for a walk or anything. Go to the restroom, if you have to. Come back and get into line.

(Court recessed at 12:22 p.m., until 12:42 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors)

THE COURT: Okay. Can they hear me out there?

THE MARSHAL: [Inaudible].

THE COURT: Well, that's why I'm -- can you -- let's -- let's go back into the side room for a sidebar.

(Sidebar begins.)

THE COURT: Okay. Is she white-noising it? No white? Okay. All right.

Okay. Ms. Keep has the family, but I think she can find somebody to watch them. We may at the end if we're moving through this okay, decide to let her go. But at the moment I'm generally fine to keep her on.

What's everyone's thoughts?

MR. SCARBOROUGH: Judge, there's -- that's -- yes, I would ask to keep her on. The only people that I would ask to -- want to kick off are the people that can't speak English.

There was one person that can't hear and there was one person with a wife's spinal surgery. All those people, I would agree to kicking off. Everyone else, I'd want on.

THE COURT: Well, the one woman who is Bulgarian, I doubt we have a translator. So, I mean -- do you have any objection to excusing her, Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor. I'll submit on all those.

THE COURT: Okay. And then the two Spanish speakers, any problem with excusing them?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll let them stay for right now. But we'll -- if we get to them, we'll deal with it then.

All right. The wife's surgery, you think you might be done on Thursday. If we go into Friday, that's why we have alternates is sort of the way I look at it. So I'm not inclined to excuse him.

If we get, like I said, down toward the end, and we've still go tons of people and we're doing well on time, then I might be more inclined to let them go. We'll talk about it then.

All right. Let's go in and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which guy was it?

THE COURT: Mr. Alvidrez.

1 I know you guys would stipulate to it. I'm just not 2 inclined at the moment to let it go. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Inaudible]. 4 THE COURT: We'll talk about it some more. 5 want to see how we're doing in terms of time and -- and I'll 6 probably wait -- wait 'till the next break to let the 7 Bulgarian speaker go. I want to try to discourage people from 8 seeing a light, an escape tunnel. 9 Oh, thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh. 10 11 Go ahead. (End of sidebar.) 12 13 (Pause in the proceedings.) 14 (Prospective jurors enter at 12:46 p.m.) 15 (In the presence of the prospective jurors.) 16 THE COURT: All right. Do the parties stipulate to 17 the presence of the voir dire panel? 18 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Hill? MR. HILL: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 22 Thank you ladies and gentlemen for getting back in 23 timely fashion. We'll keep going. 24 The next question, have you or a close family member 25 or a close friend ever been engaged in some way in the

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 practice of law, either as an attorney, a paralegal or a staff 1 member? And I'm focusing, again, on the first 24. 2 3 All right. Let's go to No. 6, Ms. Benton. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Hi. One of my cousins 5 is a lawyer back in Mississippi. 6 THE COURT: What kind of law? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'm not sure. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And do you socialize or talk 9 much? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: 11 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that relationship 12 that would affect your ability to be a fair juror here today? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: 14 THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to 15 follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence admitted? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 19 And who was the next hand? 20 Let's go back. What number are we? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 22 THE COURT: 9? That is Mr. Levine. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. My -- one of my 24 closest friends is an immigration attorney. 25 THE COURT: Here locally?

```
Does anyone feel they possibly could not be fair or
 1
 2
    keep an open mind as to whether the State has met its burden
 3
    of proof until the end of the trial because of the nature of
 4
    the charges in this case?
 5
              All right. Let the record reflect no hands.
 6
              Have you or a close family member or a friend ever
 7
   been a victim of a violent crime?
 8
              Okay. Let's go -- I see -- back to Mr. Levine, No.
 9
    9.
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. I was not the
   direct victim. I was -- I was present for the -- the large
11
    shooting here in Las Vegas at the music festival.
12
13
                          Okay. You were there at the festival?
              THE COURT:
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057:
                                          I was.
15
              THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Thank you.
17
              THE COURT: But you were okay?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I was unhit.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Good deal.
20
              Anything about that experience that you think would
21
    affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
22
23
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Thank you.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Anybody else's hand? Number
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 six -- let's go next to No. 16, Ms. Acera -- Acera. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Same. Route 91 2 Shooting. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 You were okay at the end? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes. Me and my friends 6 were okay. 7 THE COURT: Then good. Thank you. Oh. 8 Let me ask, anything about that experience that you 9 feel would affect your ability to be fair juror here today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: No. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to 12 follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those 13 instructions to the evidence admitted? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 16 And then I think we were No. 18, Mr. Tangpuz. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Yes. My dad was a 18 victim of a battery assault out of the public when I was in 19 senior year in my high school. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Where was that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: It took place in Sahara 22 nearby Maryland. When he was just commuting, when an incident happened with groups of individuals --23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: -- attacking him.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Did you feel that once it was -- after the arrest that the prosecution office handled the case appropriately?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I believe so, because there was no evidence so they dropped it right away.

THE COURT: All right. Anything -- how long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Let me see, maybe eight years ago.

decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In that regard, I will instruct you as to possible circumstances you may take into account when considering the testimony of any witness, including law enforcement officers. These include, one, the witness's opportunity and ability to hear or know or see the things testified to.

Two, the witness's memory.

Three, the witness's manner while testifying.

Four, the witness's interests in the outcome of the case, if any.

Five, the witness's biased or prejudice, if any.

Six, whether other evidence contradict if the witness's testimony.

Seven, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence.

And eight, any other factors that bear on believability -- hello? Okay. I'll try again. And any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

Hello? Do you think it's the batteries?

Well, how much weight you think their testimony deserves. Let's see. In that regard, you must consider the testimony of a law enforcement officer just like that of any other witness, assessing the officer or agent's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things he or she testifies to, their memory, their manner testifying, their interest in the outcome of the case, their biases or prejudices. Whether their testimony is corroborated or contradicted by other testimony. Whether their testimony is reasonable in light of all the evidence and any other circumstances you feel bear on a witness's believability.

If a police officer testifies, is there anyone who would not be able to follow my instructions and treat the officer or agent as an ordinary witness and evaluate their testimony by the factors I have just stated, just like any other witness?

Let the record reflect no hands.

In other words, is there anyone who would give more credibility or less credibility to a police officer or a government agent's testimony simply because they are a police officer or agent?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, is there anyone who believes they would not be able to follow my instructions on the law if not consistent with what you believe the law should be?

Let the record reflect no hands.

In general, the trial will proceed with the attorneys first giving opening statements. Witnesses will then testify and exhibits will be admitted, and then after all the evidence is in, I will give you the law that you are to evaluate the evidence and determine if the State has proven the essential factual elements of each crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

Then the attorneys will give you their closing arguments, and then you'll retired to deliberate and reach a verdict. Your job as jurors is to judge or figure out the facts and take the law I give you and see if the facts you find prove the element of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt and come up with a verdict.

The jury is the judge of questions of facts. My responsibility is to ensure that you are presented with evidence that under the law is appropriate to consider and the law you are to use in determining the facts, and determining that the evidence — and in evaluating that evidence to determine if the State has proven what it needs to prove as to each charge beyond a reasonable doubt, and determining if the defendant is not guilty, or guilty of the crimes charged.

It would be a violation of your duty to render a judgment based on something other than the law given by the Court.

With that in mind, is there anyone who believes that they would not be able to follow and apply the law I give if you did not agree with the law?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, in doing the jury survey online, there were three principles that were discussed and you were asked if you've accepted those principles. But I want to go over them just briefly here today.

The first -- all right -- the first principle is that the Indictment in this case is simply a charging document what I like to refer to as a notice document. It gives notice to the defendant that he is being charged with crimes, and it gives notice to the public that the defendant is being charged with crimes.

But that's all it is. It's just a notice document. It is not evidence in any way that someone is guilty of any crime. It simply serves to put every body on notice that someone is being accused of a crime. And you'll be instructed that you cannot consider the simple fact that there is an Indictment in any way in determining the facts of this case or rendering a verdict.

Is there anyone who has a problem following this basic principle of our criminal law?

Let the record reflect no hands.

The second principle is that the defendant is

presumed innocent. It is the State's obligation to prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the elements necessary to prove each of the crimes charged in the Indictment.

Now, we hear the phrase that the defendant is presumed innocent, a lot. But I don't think we often think about that phrase a lot. What it means is, is at this point in time, the defendant is innocent. No if's, and's or but's about it.

If I was to send you back to the jury room right now and tell you to reach a verdict, your verdict would have to be not guilty, because the defendant is considered innocent until he is proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty.

And this is a hard concept for some jurors. I've seen some jurors when asked the question of what verdict would you render right now, say they don't know as they would have to see the evidence.

But the point I'm trying to make is that the defendant is considered innocent right now. And if you were asked to render a verdict right now, it would have to be "not guilty". He remains innocent until the State has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Is there anyone who has a problem or issue with the idea that the defendant is right now innocent and you have to find him not guilty until the State proves or provides

evidence beyond a reasonable doubt of his guilt?

2 Let the record reflect no hands.

The third point I want to bring out is that the State is obligated to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt and the defendant is not obligated in any way to prove his innocence. This last point is very important. The defendant does not have to do anything. His attorney, and the defendant, can just sit here and say nothing. And if at the end of the State's presentation of evidence you do not believe that the State had proven beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime had been committed and the defendant had committed the crime, then you would have the obligation to find the defendant not guilty, even though the defendant and his attorney didn't say anything or do anything.

Is there anyone who has a problem or issue with the idea that the plaintiff, or the State, is obligated to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt and it's not the defendant's obligation to prove innocence?

Let the record reflect no hands.

THE COURT: As part of this principle, the defendant pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States

Constitution, and the Nevada Constitution, has an absolute right if he chooses not to testify, and the State cannot use the fact the defendant did not testify as part of its case to prove the defendant's guilt and you cannot consider it at all

in evaluating if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

This is a hard concept for some people. But I will instruct you that you cannot consider if a defendant chooses not to testify in evaluating and making your verdict in this case, and that your verdict must be only on the evidence introduced at trial.

Is there anyone who doesn't think they can follow my instructions on this point?

Let the record reflect no hands.

I want to emphasize this last point again, because I have had jurors say that it would bother them if the defendant didn't testify or they would like to hear the defendant testify. And this, quite simply, is not the law.

The defendant has the right to decide if he testifies or doesn't testify, and you need to put aside any feelings or preferences you may have about the defendant's decision, and in deliberations, focus just on the evidence presented in determining if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Does anyone have a concern with being able to follow this principle?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone here who does not believe that they could wait in forming their opinion as to an appropriate

verdict until after all the evidence and arguments are presented, the law is given, and you get together as a whole jury to deliberate?

Let the record reflect no hands.

In other words, you can't make up your mind now or after the opening statement, or the defendant's opening statement. You have to wait until the end of the case and all the evidence has come in, you've heard all the arguments and received the law.

Is there anyone who doesn't think they can wait until the end of the case to do that?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone who has a concern with sitting in judgment of another human being which you think may interfere with your ability to consider the evidence, follow my instructions, and be fair to both sides?

Let the record reflect no hands.

All right. Let's see. I'm going to ask Brian if he would hand out to each one of the jurors -- why don't you wipe off the top and bottom copy. They just came out of the copy machine. This way we don't have to have you handle -- everyone pass it around or handle it.

There's a series of questions that I want you to -we want to find out a little bit about yourselves now. And so
he's going to hand out a sheet of paper and we're going to

have each of you answer these questions for us: How long have 1 you lived here and where generally in the Valley do you live? 2 3 I'm not looking for a Street Address. Do not want a street 4 address. 5 What I want to hear is, I live in North Las Vegas, 6 or Boulder City, or Henderson, or Mesquite, or Green Valley, 7 Summerlin, Southern Highlands, something like that. 8 If you have lived here less than ten years, where 9 did you live before you moved here? 10 How are you employed? 11 And if not, obvious from your job title, what is it that you generally do? 12 13 If you're retired, how were you employed when you 14 retired? 15 Are you married or have a partner? 16 And what is your spouse's or partner's job? 17 Do you have any children, and what are the ages of 18 those children living at home? 19 And have you ever been a juror, and was it a civil 20 or criminal trial, and without telling us the verdict -- and I 21 emphasize that, please don't tell us the verdict -- without 22 telling us the verdict, were you able to reach a verdict? 23 And then, were you the foreperson?

do you have the -- okay, I was going to say, Brian's handing

So we'll get started with Juror Number -- let's see,

24

25

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 out the mike, so we'll get started with Juror No. 1, Ms. 1 2 Mirochnik. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Hi, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hi. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: My name's Amy. 6 lived here 20 years. I live in Summerlin. I am not employed. 7 I'm a stay-at-home mom. I am married. My husband is an agent for the Gaming Control Board. I have a two-year-old daughter 8 9 and I have been a juror before. 10 THE COURT: Without telling us the verdict, was it a criminal -- first was it a civil or criminal trial? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: It was criminal. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Without telling us the verdict, 14 were you able to reach a verdict? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: And were you the foreperson? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. 18 THE COURT: And just generally, what does your 19 husband do for Gaming Control? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: He's an auditor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. 22 Let's move now down to No. 2, Ms. Keep. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Sorry. Born and raised 23 24 in Las Vegas. I live in the Silverado Ranch area. Right now, 25 I'm unemployed.

1 And I am single and I have a two-year-old at home 2 and I've never served on a jury. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you. 4 Let's go down to No. 3, Ms. Drews. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I've lived in Las Vegas 6 since 2007. I am currently employed with Cold Stone. I am 7 married. My husband is unemployed. I have a daughter who is 8 9. And I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 9 10 Let's go to No. 4, Ms. Docker. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I've lived in Henderson 11 12 for 17 years. I'm employed in the IT, technology. I'm 13 divorced. I have two children, 18 and 20. They are both 14 still at home. And I've never been a juror. 15 THE COURT: All right. Very good. 16 Let's come up now to No. 5, Mr. Rizzo. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I've lived in Summerlin 18 for four years. Prior to that I lived in Denver, from 19 Michigan originally. I am employed. I manage a network of funeral homes and cemeteries. 20 21 My wife does work for our company too in our 22 marketing department. And I have two children, a 2 year old 23 and a 2 month year old, and I have not been a juror before. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 25 Let's turn next to No. 6, Ms. Benton.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I've been here for three 1 2 years. I live in the Northwest. I moved here from 3 Mississippi. I'm a manager of a book manufacturing warehouse. 4 I'm engaged. 5 Do I need to say his job --6 THE COURT: Well, yeah --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: -- for --8 THE COURT: -- if you're engaged, you're pretty 9 close to married. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Okay. 11 THE COURT: But I mean, haven't quite got there yet. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Not quite. He's in the Air Force. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: We do not have any 16 children. I've never been a juror. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 18 Let's go to Mr. McKane, No. 7. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Born and raised here in 20 Las Vegas. I live in the Northwest currently. I'm single, 21 and I'm a security officer at Caesar's Palace. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Have you --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: And I've never been a 24 juror. 25 THE COURT: All right. And no children?

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
 3
              Let's go back to No. 8, Ms. Nicholson.
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I live in North Las
 5
   Vegas for 13 years. I'm employed at Southwest Gas
 6
    Corporation, new business rep. I also, me and my husband have
 7
    our own construction company. He's an installer for Stone
 8
    Tile.
 9
              We have five kids together. Two live at home, the
10
   adult children, and I've been a juror as an alternate before.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Let's go to No. 9, Mr. Levine.
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I've been here for 5
13
           I live essentially on the Strip. I moved here from
14
   Boston, Massachusetts. I work for MGM Resorts International,
15
   Vice President of Table Game Strategy, running table games for
16
    our -- our U.S. properties.
17
              Not married. No children. And never been a juror.
18
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 Thank you.
19
              We'll go to No. 10, all the way up here to No. 10,
20
   Mr. Webb.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I was born and raised in
22
               I live near the North Las Vegas area.
    Las Vegas.
23
    employed as a security officer at the Plaza Hotel and Casino.
24
              My significant other, she is a producer for KTMV.
25
   And no children. And I have never been a juror before.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 Thank you.
 2
              We'll go to No. 11, Ms. Dyankova.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, Rositsa Dyankova.
 4
              I am living in Las Vegas from 2004. And -- and my
 5
   others Summerlin over there, I live in more than 10 years. I
 6
   am married. But my kids they're before this marriage.
   have two boys, one 25, one 30. The -- my little son living
 8
   with me, the oldest, he move in Texas. And he married, too.
 9
             And I working in the casino, close to where I'm
10
   living.
            And I --
             THE COURT: What -- what does your husband do?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Oh, he's Uber driver.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes. And here I have to
15
   say, no.
16
              THE COURT: Have you -- you've never --
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
18
              THE COURT: -- been on a jury?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                Thank you.
              Let's got to No. 12, Mr. Alvidrez, Your Honor.
21
22
              THE COURT: All right.
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I've lived here in
24
   Henderson, I've been here since 2003. I'm employed. I am a
25
   phlebotomist at Sunrise. I am married. My wife is a nurse.
```

- I have two steps sons. They're older and out of the house.

 I've never been a juror.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- We'll go back to 13, Ms. Perez-Rojas.
 - PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I live in the Las Vegas area for over 20 years. I'm an adult daycare nurse. I am married. My husband works at the Wynn, graveyard. And I have two girls, a 5 and a 6 year old, and I've never been a juror.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
- 10 Let's go to No. 14, Mr. Dulude.
- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I've lived in Henderson for eight years. Before that, I lived in Northern California.

 I was self-employed as an insurance broker until I retired in 2010. My wife is -- was a project manager for E-Trade and she
- 15 retired in 2010.

1

2

5

6

7

8

- We have two children that are both 48 and 55 years old. And yes, I've been a juror, and it was a criminal case,
- 18 and no, I was not a foreman.
- THE COURT: All right. Without tell us the verdict,
- 20 were you able to reach a verdict?
- 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: It -- I don't know how
- 22 to say that. In the middle of the case, the -- the -- the
- 23 defendant changed his plea --
- 24 THE COURT: Okay.
- 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- to -- from --

```
1
   for two years in Southern Highlands. I lived in Los Angeles
2
   for five years before that. I am self-employed. I am
 3
   married. My wife is my boss. And I don't have any children
 4
   and I've never been a juror.
5
              THE COURT: All right.
                                      Thank you.
 6
             Let's go to No. 18, Mr. Tangpuz.
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I'm actually born in
8
   Sybal City, Philippines and I came here when I was 15 years
9
   old. And lived since, and I became a citizen more than four
10
   years ago. Yes, I'm -- lived here for 14 years. And I work
   in Clark County School District as a instructional assistant,
11
   again, under Resource.
12
13
             And I am single. I don't have any children. And
14
   this is my first time as a juror.
15
                         Where generally in town do you live?
              THE COURT:
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: In the Philippines?
17
             THE COURT: No, here in --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Oh, here --
18
19
             THE COURT: -- southern Nevada.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: -- in Las Vegas.
20
21
                          All right. But what part of the Valley?
              THE COURT:
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Um --
23
              THE COURT: Like Southern Highlands, Summerlin,
24
   North --
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: Well, I -- I live --
```

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

24

25

juror.

Let's go to 21, Casey Allen. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: I've lived here for 23 3 years, Southern Highlands for the last 14. Unemployed. Was a resort concierge until the pandemic. I'm not married. 4 5 kids. Never been a juror. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 Let's turn to No. 22, Ms. Roberson. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: I've lived in the Valley 9 for 35 years. I live in Green Valley. I'm a residential 10 realtor. My husband's retired law enforcement and military. We have four kids. One is still at home, he's 16. 11 12 serve on a jury as an alternate, a criminal case, about 30 13 years ago. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 15 Let's go to No. 23, Ms. Huffine. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I live in the northwest. 16 17 I've been there about 35 years. I am not employed. 18 married. Have two kids. And never been on a jury. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 And then let's go to 24, Mr. DeJesus. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yeah. I've been born 22 and raised here for 20 years. I live in North Las Vegas. I'm 23 currently a full-time student at UNR. Not married. 24 children. Never been a juror. 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Thank you everybody for sharing a little bit about yourself with us.

At this point in time, I'm going to allow the attorneys for each side to ask you questions. They can ask you questions the same way that I've asked questions, as a group, or they may ask you some questions individually based upon some answer or something that they may have a particular interest about.

Those principles apply the same. All we're looking for is an honest answer from you. If you don't hear a question, just say, I don't hear that, and they'll repeat it. If you don't understand a question, say can you rephrase the question, or I don't understand the question, and we'll make sure they rephrase it to where you understand what they're asking.

Again, all we're looking for is honest answers. And so appreciate everybody working with the attorneys as we go through this part of the process.

We'll be pleased to hear from the State.

MR. LEXIS: Thank you.

Folks, my name is Chad Lexis. I'm a -- I work at the District Attorney's Office.

I'll be quick. At the end of the day, we're just looking for people that could, both sides, the defense and the State, we could start out on neutral playing fields.

1 So with that said, can anybody -- besides what we already went over, has anybody had any negative contact with 2 3 law enforcement? Let the record reflect no hands. 4 5 Anybody here was happy that they were coming to jury 6 duty? Always wanted to be on the jury? 7 Can you pass the mike to Juror No. 6 -- Seat 6? 8 Yes, ma'am? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: You said, were we happy 10 to be selected? 1.1 MR. LEXIS: Yes. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah. I've always 13 thought that it was my civic duty to be able to have a voice 14 as a peer, and I think it's just -- I think jury duty is a 15 very important part of being a citizen. 16 MR. LEXIS: Any -- any other reason or just always 17 wanted to do it because you believe it's part of your duty? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I believe in the 19 judicial system and I just thing it's very important. 20 MR. LEXIS: Okay. 21 THE COURT: All right. That's Ms. Benton for the 22 record. 23 Does anybody not believe in the judicial MR. LEXIS: system, believes it doesn't work properly, it's broken? 24 25

Let the record reflect no hands.

Anybody believe the judicial system is unfair? 1 2 Let the record reflect no hands. 3 THE MARSHAL: We've got one. THE COURT: Oh, we've got -- where are we? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I think they are --THE COURT: Okay. We're at number 9, Mr. Levine. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I think there are element of unfairness to it, especially when it comes to 8 9 differences between people with significant resources and 10 people without significant resources. However, I don't think 11 it is more unfair than it is fair. 12 MR. LEXIS: I'm sorry. What was that last part? 13 You believe it's more --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I don't think it's more unfair than it is fair, but I think there are some elements of 15 unfairness to it. 16 17 MR. LEXIS: Okay. So you think it's more of a 18 resources issue? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I do. 20 THE COURT: You need to speak -- you need to speak 21 up just a little bit. I'm even having trouble hearing you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I do. I think it is a 23 resources issue and I do think there -- there's a history of 24 certain groups having a harder time with the legal system than 25 others.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: What type -- kind --1 2 type of defense they could get, yes. 3 MR. LEXIS: Okav. 4 THE COURT: Let's see. I think No. 14 --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Dulude. 6 THE COURT: -- Mr. Dulude. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah, I -- I echo both 7 8 of them and -- because I believe the resources tell the story. 9 I think it does direct the difference of the decision. 10 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Can you explain that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I -- I think that if you 12 -- that you can buy your way out. That's all. 13 MR. LEXIS: Okay. But explain that to me, how you 14 could buy your way out though. I -- I'm not --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Better attorney --16 MR. LEXIS: -- trying to argue with you, sir. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: A better attorneys --18 I just --MR. LEXIS: 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- better -- if somebody 20 comes with a public defender they have -- how much work does a 21 public defender do, whereas, if I had a lot of money and I had 22 my own defense and I could certainly belabor the case, I think 23 you can win the case. That's all. 24 Or certainly nudge it in your favor. I shouldn't 25 say win, but --

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 MR. LEXIS: -- of convictions? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I think you're --3 THE COURT: -- hold on. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. 5 THE COURT: -- Mr. -- you know, this -- let -- we're 6 not going to get into an argument about this. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: No, no, I -- I started 8 to speak before he was --9 MR. LEXIS: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- and it was out of 11 order. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Lexis, let's --13 MR. LEXIS: Yes. Just a couple more questions, 14 Judge. 15 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's just ask 16 questions and let's not be argumentative with the questions. 17 MR. LEXIS: Yes. So do you believe it's part of the 18 District Attorney's Office' promotion on the amount of trials 19 I win? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: 21 MR. LEXIS: Okay. All right. 22 Anybody else believe that? Anybody else have a comment along those lines? 23 24 THE COURT: Let the record reflect no hands. 25 MR. LEXIS: No hands. Okay.

```
Whoever is closest to you, sir, with the mike.
 1
 2
    What's the number closest to you besides that gentleman?
              THE EXTERN: 18, right here.
 3
 4
              MR. LEXIS: Okay.
                                 18.
 5
              THE COURT: And that's -- that's Mr. Tangpuz.
 6
              MR. LEXIS: Sir, if there is two victims of crime,
 7
    one's a drug dealer, in the worst area of town or under a
    bypass, and the other victim is a victim -- is a doctor in
 8
 9
    Summerlin, do you believe we should prosecute both cases
10
    equally, or do you think we should give more preference to the
11
    doctor in Summerlin?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 104: I'm not sure how to
13
    answer that question.
14
              MR. LEXIS: Okay. Who is the individual next to
15
    him? Yes.
16
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
17
              THE EXTERN: 13.
18
              THE COURT: 13, that's Ms. Perez-Rojas.
19
                          Do you understand the question, ma'am?
              MR. LEXIS:
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: The one that you just
21
    asked him?
22
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Yes, ma'am.
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Well, I do think that
24
    sometimes it does influence when you see who the victim is.
25
              MR. LEXIS: Okay. As far as the District Attorney's
```

Office --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Um-h'm. 2 3 MR. LEXIS: -- and cops in general, but ultimately 4 it's going to be the District Attorney's job, do you believe 5 -- same crimes committed against both individuals. 6 think we should go after the person that committed the crime against the Doctor in Summerlin, or do you think we should 8 prosecute both crimes equally? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I think they should both 10 be equally. It just sometimes influences the circumstances or 11 their rights sometimes. 12 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Does any --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: But I think both should 14 be trialed equally. 15 MR. LEXIS: Does anybody disagree with that notion? 16 Let the record reflect no hands. 17 Ma'am, have you ever heard the phrase, you know, who 18 -- who cares factor? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: 20 MR. LEXIS: No? Okay. 21 So oftentimes, whether it's a case that's involving 22 prostitution, or a drug dealer, or something like that, where 23 they get involved in an altercation. It's easy for an

outsider to look at that and be like, you know what, they were

24

25

up to no good, who cares.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Foot Locker.

Are you going to be of the opinion, well, you know what, these -- these are corporations. They could afford to lose some money. It's the ordinary course of their business. They're going to be, you know, there's going to be some theft here and there.

Are -- do you believe that's going to influence you 1 2 or are you going to be able to treat it as seriously as if 3 it's somebody's -- somebody else's money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Looking at the evidence, 4 5 I would think I would just use whatever is presented forward. 6 MR. LEXIS: Okay. 7 Can you pass the mike over one? 8 THE COURT: Okay. We're at No. 8, Ms. Nicholson. 9 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Would you agree with that, ma'am? 10 Same question I asked her. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I think they -- they 11 12 should be looked at, you know, they should be looked at. 1.3 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Would you be able to treat a -- a 14 crime against a corporation the same as a crime against an 15 individual? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Uh-huh. Absolutely. 17 MR. LEXIS: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah. 19 MR. LEXIS: Does anybody disagree with that notion? 20 Let the record reflect no hands. 21 Ma'am, would you agree sometimes, you know, it 22 doesn't play out often like you see on CSA and all these TV 23 Do you agree with me? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah. 25 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Now, oftentimes, there's just one witness on crimes. For example, if some -- if a woman walks to the mailbox and gets mugged, you know, oftentimes there is no video surveillance, or is no other eye witness to come into Court and testify as to that.

If the State just presented one witness to a particular crime, would you be able to find guilt if you believe that person beyond a reasonable doubt, or are you of the opinion, you know what, State, if you're just coming in with one witness to the crime, whether — if that person's the victim or another witness, that's not going to be enough for me. I'm going to need something more. I'm going to need another witness, or I'm going to need video surveillance, I'm going to need something.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I would prefer video surveillance and more stuff than just one witness. I would need to see a lot of evidence, just one person saying something against somebody else.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. I think all of us would agree with you that we would prefer more evidence. But would you also agree with me that sometimes there's not going to be more evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Absolutely.

MR. LEXIS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Sometimes there isn't.

MR. LEXIS: And would you be of the opinion though

if all I presented is one witness to a particular crime, would you say, you know what, State, even though I believe this person beyond a reasonable doubt, that's still not going to be enough for me, I need more.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, if I believe that person was one witness and they was right then I will go with that one witness, if the evidence was there.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Does anybody disagree with that? Anybody say, you know what, if you're just coming at me with one witness, I'm -- I'm going to need more evidence.

Let the record reflect no hands.

You could pass the mike to someone and -- okay. Which do you got?

THE COURT: Let's see, that's No. 3, Ms. Drews.

MR. LEXIS: Ma'am, some charges just require possessing something. Okay. Possessing of a silencer, that's not what we have in this case. Possession of drugs, that's not what we have in this case. I could go on and on. Possession of a credit card of somebody else, some charges just say you know what, you possess it, you're guilty of it.

Do you believe that's fair or do believe that the State should actually have to prove that they used the item that they possessed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I believe that the State should have the proof for it.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 MR. LEXIS: Okay. If the Judge gave you an 2 instruction saying that, you know, if you just find that they 3 possess it, that's good enough. Would you be able to follow the law? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Again, I wouldn't -- I'd 6 have to have the proof for it. 7 MR. LEXIS: What's that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I would have to have the 9 proof for it --10 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Of course. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: -- to know. 12 MR. LEXIS: I'm talking about if we prove the case 13 beyond a reasonable doubt that you --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Oh, then yes. 15 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Does anybody disagree with that 16 notion? Let the record reflect no hands. 17 18 Can you please pass the mike to the next person? 19 And, ma'am, you're juror number? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: 21 THE COURT: Two, that's Ms. Keep. 22 MR. LEXIS: Okay. 23 Along the same lines, ma'am. Some charges require 24 -- there doesn't have to be any value. For example a 25 burglary, or a robbery, you don't actually have to take

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

24

25

question. Move on.

THE COURT: Counsel, I've already asked this

```
1
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Would you be able to follow the law --
 2
              THE COURT:
                          I've already asked this --
 3
              MR. LEXIS:
                          -- the law as instructed?
 4
              THE COURT:
                         -- question. Move --
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes, I'd be able to
 6
    follow the law.
 7
              THE COURT:
                         -- I --
 8
              MR. LEXIS:
                          Okay.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah.
10
11
              THE COURT: Let's move on.
12
              MR. LEXIS: Sentencing is ultimately up to the
13
    Judge.
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.
15
              MR. LEXIS: As a juror -- as a jury in general,
16
    you're not to consider the potential sentencing, whether it be
17
    classes, probation, jail, et cetera.
18
              When you go back to the deliberation room is -- are
19
    you going to be able to set that to the side or are you going
20
    to say, you know what, this is really going to weigh on me.
21
    This is really -- this is really going to weigh on the
22
    potential sentence the Judge gives and it's going to influence
23
    your deliberation of guilty or not guilty?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: It would just depend on
25
    what the verdict would be, and what we came to. I'm not --
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 MR. LEXIS: That sometimes there is, sometimes 2 there's not. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. 3 MR. LEXIS: Sometimes there's no witnesses around. 4 5 Sometimes there's no video testimony. 6 Would you be able to, again, the State has to prove 7 beyond a reasonable doubt, but if you believed that sole 8 witness, that the crimes was committed, would you be able to 9 find guilty? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. If the testimony 11 of that witness was enough to sway me into the, I believe what 12 they're saying, then yes. 13 MR. LEXIS: Okay. And you understand that 14 testimony, what's coming out of somebody's mouth, is evidence? 1.5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. 16 MR. LEXIS: All right. 17 I'll pass, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Okay. We'll be pleased now to hear from 19 you, Mr. Hill. 20 MR. HILL: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 If we could please pass that microphone over to 22 Juror No. 102, in Seat 17. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Williams. 24 MR. HILL: Howdy, sir. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 102: Hi.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 During the Judge's questioning you said 2 you were self-employed. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 102: Yeah. 4 What is it that you do? MR. HILL: 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 102: Just online resale. MR. HILL: I'm sorry? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 102: Online sales. 8 MR. HILL: Got you. So work from home, that kind of 9 thing. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 102: Yeah. 1.0 MR. HILL: And your wife is in the same line of 11 12 work, she works for the same company? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 102: Yes. 14 MR. HILL: Very well. 15 If we could pass the microphone, please, over to Juror No. 44 in Seat 6. 16 17 THE COURT: Ms. Benton. 18 MR. HILL: You said you worked in a manufacturing 19 plant? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. 20 MR. HILL: What kind? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It's book manufacturing. 23 It's a new site Amazon just launched. 24 MR. HILL: Oh, it's a -- it's a book? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes, we make books.

```
1
              MR. HILL: Oh, gotcha. I just didn't hear what that
 2
    word was. Sorry.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No problem.
 4
              MR. HILL: People are still buying books?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: They are.
 6
              MR. HILL: Nice.
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Just a few.
 8
              MR. HILL: Wonderful.
 9
              If we could pass that microphone back, please, to
10
    Juror No. 57 in Seat 9.
              THE COURT: Mr. Levine.
11
12
              MR. HILL: Just to follow up on some of the State's
13
    questions, sir, in relation to your consideration of the
14
    fairness of the system. Do you remember those?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I do.
16
              MR. HILL: I just want to clarify a couple of
17
    things.
18
              Your closing remarks were you didn't think that the
19
    system were more unfair than fair. Is -- is that -- was that
20
    your --
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah, it was.
22
              MR. HILL: -- summation?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Apologies for what might
24
    seem like a double negative. I think it is more fair than it
25
    is unfair, but I think there are some elements of unfairness
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 to it. 1 2 MR. HILL: Understood. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Um-h'm. 3 4 MR. HILL: But in a case -- in a particular case 5 with particular jurors and particular evidence, you'll be able 6 to retire to the deliberation room and bring only those 7 opinions, experiences, and facts and things to the table that 8 the Judge instructs you, you're allowed to bring. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. 9 10 MR. HILL: In other words, whatever opinions you 11 have that the Judge says maybe you're not allowed to bring 12 back into the deliberation room, you're going to be able to 13 set those aside and consider just the evidence in front of 14 you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 15 16 MR. HILL: Very well. 17 If we could bring that over to Juror No. 26 in Seat 18 2, please. 19 And --20 THE COURT: That's Ms. Keep. 21 MR. HILL: -- Ms. Keep, how are you, ma'am? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: 22 23 I just wanted to come back to, you said MR. HILL: 24 you had some friends who were police officers; is that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes. 25

1 MR. HILL: And we've been through a lot this morning, so I'm just going to -- this afternoon, I quess, now 2 3 -- take a quick refresher. These are individuals that you 4 socialize with regularly or not? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: On occasion, like 6 birthday parties, special events, nothing too crazy. Not like 7 weekly, or monthly. MR. HILL: And -- and just remind me if I may, how 8 9 that you came to know them. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: They're friends of the 11 family. We've been friends for like 22 years. 12 MR. HILL: And is there ever -- do you ever talk 13 about their line of work or anything like that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No. Hm-h'm. 15 MR. HILL: Very well. 16 If we could come over, please, to Juror No. 118, in 17 Seat No. 22. 18 And this is Ms. -- is it Roberson? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yeah, it's Roberson. 20 MR. HILL: Very well. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Um-h'm. 22 MR. HILL: Just to follow up a little bit up on your 23 background. 24 Do you remember when the Judge had everybody stand 25 up and -- and swear an oath?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yeah. Well, we've been

25

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 married 17 years. 2 MR. HILL: So --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Um-h'm. MR. HILL: -- the better part of that --4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes. MR. HILL: -- 17? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yeah. 8 MR. HILL: Very well. And he retired with the --9 you said it was the Sex Assault Detail? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Um-h'm. 11 THE COURT: You need to --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes. 12 13 THE COURT: -- answer "yes" or "no". PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes. 14 15 MR. HILL: Thank you, Judge. 16 Certainly, over those 17 years, you became 17 intimately acquainted with his work? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes. 19 MR. HILL: And I'm sure there were some cases that 20 weighed on him or were tougher than others? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Definitely. 22 MR. HILL: All right. And certainly he would speak 23 -- you all would talk about some of the troubles and travails 24 that comes along with these kinds of cases? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes.

1	MR. HILL: All right. And that was probably a
2	fairly regular topic of conversation, I would imagine?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes.
4	MR. HILL: And given how long he did it, and how far
5	he advanced in his particular Detail, he probably dedicated
6	himself quite a bit
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Um-h'm.
8	MR. HILL: to his work and
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes.
10	MR. HILL: and his investigations.
11	And I'm sure that you came to also know and become
12	acquainted with his colleagues?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Sure.
14	MR. HILL: Not uncommon for other cops to be over at
15	the house, I'm sure.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Correct.
17	MR. HILL: Right. And or for you guys to go over
18	to other cops' houses?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yes.
20	MR. HILL: All right. Because these guys worked
21	together a lot and so they get to be pretty close; is that
22	right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: We we don't have a
24	ton of friends that are in law enforcement, but a few, yeah.
25	MR. HILL: Sure.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Close friends, yeah. 2 MR. HILL: And I would imagine you came to have 3 quite a bit of respect for -- for what he did all those years. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: For what he did, yes. 5 MR. HILL: All right. And you probably came to have 6 a certainly opinion, given your intimate knowledge of it, of 7 law enforcement work as a whole? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: I have opinions about 9 it, yes. 10 MR. HILL: All right. And you're aware of, you 11 know, some of the background work that goes into a case before it might go to trial, for example. 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Somewhat, um-h'm. 14 MR. HILL: All right. In other words, given your 15 history with your husband and interaction with the police and 16 everything like that, it could potentially be the case that 17 you would, based on all that background, take a police officer 18 at his word. Is that -- is that a fair assessment? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: I believe that police 20 officers are just humans, so. 21 Unpack that a little bit for me. MR. HILL: 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: I wouldn't -- I don't 23 think I would necessarily weigh -- weigh either heavier, a 24 police officer or a non-police officer.

MR. HILL: Very well. Thank you for that.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Yeah.

MR. HILL: Your Honor, that's all I have for followup. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I think this is a good time for us to -- to take a break.

If you -- let's try to do this in 10 minutes. Go out, get a drink if you need one. Go to the bathroom if you need to go to the bathroom. And then come back in.

We've been going at this for a little bit of time.

I'm going to ask all of you to not talk to each -- you know,

you -- be sure to stand six feet away, if you want to talk to

somebody. But talk about anything other than the case. Don't

talk to each other about this case, or really about anyone who

has anything to do with it.

Don't talk with anyone else about the case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Remember, as I said, don't go on social media, or on the Internet, or anything like that.

Don't let anyone talk to you about the case. I don't anticipate that being a problem, but don't let anyone talk to you about the case. If someone should try to talk to you about the case, let the Marshal know.

And then you've gotten a little bit of information, so don't -- you know, don't be looking up any news stories or articles, or listen to any television reports or anything like

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

that about the case. And don't begin to form or express any opinion on the case at this point in time.

I will ask Mr. Dulude remain. You had mentioned possibly seeing something on the news, so I'd like to chat with you before we let you run out to the restroom. But everybody else, get back in 10 minutes, as soon as you can, so we can keep the process moving.

THE MARSHAL: All rise.

(Prospective jurors exit at 1:49 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors)

(Prospective Juror No. 088 is present.)

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dulude, you'd -- you'd -- you'd mentioned there previously --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Oh.

THE COURT: -- that you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I thought I heard, yes.

THE COURT: -- thought you may have heard something on the news. What do you remember, you think you heard on the news?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: That it was -- well, I watch Channel 5 religiously every night at 10:00 o'clock. So I would tell -- and I'm a pretty much choose news person, I watch only news. I would have said that I thought I remembered that there was a case of some clothing, some -- at the Fashion Mall -- at the Fashion Mall then, the big one down

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 here, all right. 2 THE COURT: Okay. I think everybody --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah. And I --4 THE COURT: -- knows what you're talking about. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah. 6 THE COURT: Fashion Show Mall. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah. Fashion Show 8 Mall. But there was something on -- on it about it. That's 9 all I can remember --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- about it. 12 THE COURT: And what do you -- just as best you can, 13 what do you remember from the article that you -- about when -- let's start first. When about how long ago do you think 14 15 this was? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: See, I wanted to say it 17 was like February of '19, or some -- some -- something in the middle of '19. That's all I --18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- I can -- I can tell 21 you.. 22 THE COURT: And what do you remember as best you 23 can, about the news report? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: It was -- it was a news 25 -- it was a clip that said -- talked -- it said there was

something to do with -- I thought credit card fraud. You've 1 2 got to -- you've got to give me a little on the leeway here. I -- I can't remember exactly. But there was --3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: -- something at the Mall 6 about some of the stores having some of their inventory, you 7 know, whatever the word is, but anyway, shortage, shrinkage is 8 the word. And that they had captured somebody or something. 9 That's all I can -- or -- yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: That's about -- to be 12 honest with you, I think that's all I could tell you about it, 13 so. 14 THE COURT: All right. Now, if obviously -- if I 15 instructed you not to consider any of that information or 16 consider the news report at all in your deliberations would 17 you have any problem following my instructions? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Would that impact my 19 decision? I'm afraid it might have an over -- it might have 20 an impact on the decision, to be honest. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Now, you know, a news report is 22 pretty much --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I understand. 24 THE COURT: -- no different than, you know, a 25 Indictment or something like that.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I know. 1 2 THE COURT: It's just a notice type thing. It's not 3 the evidence or anything like that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I want to be fair. 4 5 fairness is, I'm just saying, it might have an impact. That 6 -- that bothers me, I guess. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Do you --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: And I'm saying that to 9 you so you don't --10 THE COURT: No, and I want you to be honest. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Okay. 12 THE COURT: But I guess what I'm saying, do you feel 13 fairly confident that you'd be able to put that aside and 14 focus just on the evidence here in this case and my 15 instructions on the law? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: No, I don't think so. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Does the State wish to ask any 18 questions? 19 MR. SCARBOROUGH: No. No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Hill, do you wish to ask any 21 questions? 22 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HILL: No. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

25

Dulude.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah. 1 2 You can go to the bathroom. THE COURT: 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Oh. (Prospective Juror No. 088 is not present.) 4 THE COURT: And then if Mr. Webb is out there, go 5 6 ahead and have him walk -- come in. 7 MR. HILL: [Inaudible]? THE COURT: I'd ask Mr. Webb to come in. No. 10. 8 9 (Pause in the proceedings.) (Prospective Juror No. 059 is present.) 10 THE COURT: Come on in, Mr. Webb. If you'd come 11 back to your Seat No. 10 real quick. 12 Mr. Webb, you let the Marshal know that you thought 13 14 on reflection that you might know a witness. 1.5 Who -- who -- what witness do you think you might 16 know? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: So the name that I asked 17 for clarification on, Aaron Denson, I had gotten his last name 18 19 mixed up with another friend of mine's named Benson, so the wires were cross. But you did say Aaron Denson, last name 20 21 spelled D-e-n-s-o-n. Correct? 22 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Then yes, he -- he was 24 a --25 THE COURT: Well, how do you -- just let's --

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 Metro? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I don't -- I don't think 3 I'm not quite sure. so. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Is the Aaron Denson who's a 5 witness an employee at Metro? MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes. 6 7 MR. LEXIS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. What does he do at Metro, do you 8 9 know? MR. LEXIS: Just I think regular patrol. 10 11 THE COURT: Do you -- I know -- don't know if you've 12 done any interviews. Just is he African-American or --13 MR. LEXIS: I'm not sure. 14 THE COURT: You're not sure? Okay. 15 The Aaron Denson that you know is African-American? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, sir. 16 1.7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 18 Do you have anyone you can reach out to and -- do 19 you -- your brother, is he reachable? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I may be able to reach 21 out to him. 22 THE COURT: Do you mind trying to call him during 23 the break and see if his friend works for Metro? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Sure thing. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we do that. And if you

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 guys can think of anyone to contact to get a little more 1 2 information we'll do that. 3 MR. LEXIS: I do have a quick question. THE COURT: Sure. 4 5 MR. LEXIS: Can -- can you tell me what the -- what 6 is the -- what do you call this, the mask, what is the symbol? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: The logo? MR. LEXIS: Yeah. 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Oh, it's a clothing line 10 that my friend is trying to get started. 11 MR. LEXIS: Oh, excellent. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hill, did you have any 13 question you wanted to ask? 14 MR. HILL: Nothing, Judge. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. Hey, thank you very much, 16 Mr. Webb, for bringing this to our -- if you could reach out 17 to your brother, I would really appreciate it. 1.8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: [Inaudible]. 19 (Prospective Juror No. 059 is not present.) 20 THE COURT: Can they hear me out there? 21 THE MARSHAL: No. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 23 All right. Let's -- let's -- is there anyone you 24 wish to move for cause, State? 25 You need to use the mike.

MR. LEXIS: Seat No. 14. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. LEXIS: I believe just by the questions you asked him yourself, Your Honor, he clearly told you himself 4 5 that he cannot be -- that he'll be influenced by any type of 6 news, potential news, not to mention his previous statement 7 earlier with regards to the District Attorney's Office. 8 THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Hill? 9 MR. HILL: I'll submit, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Well, I mean, the District Attorney's 11 Office statement didn't necessarily bother me because I -- he 12 indicated that he could be fair, but he clearly indicated he 13 didn't think that he could be fair after having seen the TV 14 and that it wouldn't [sic] influence him. So I will excuse 15 Mr. Dulude. 16 Anybody else for the State? 17 MR. SCARBOROUGH: No. 18 THE COURT: All right. Anybody for cause, Mr. Hill? 19 MR. HILL: Despite my best efforts, no, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 21 Then we --22 MR. LEXIS: Is the 5 preempts, are we doing it 5 23 anywhere or are we doing 4 on the main and then --24 THE COURT: No, 4 on the -- first on the first --25 well, we're going to -- we've got -- I want to talk a little

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 bit. For the first 20 you have 4 each. And then the alternates will come out at 21 to 24 as I said the other day when we were in court, you'll have 1 each for those. Let me -- who was the speaker, Bulgarian speaker? I can't remember. MR. HILL: No. 11. THE COURT: No. 11. We'd all agreed to strike her. Is that still the State and defense's position? MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes. From the State, Judge. Yes. MR. HILL: And we'll submit, Judge. THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and strike her as -- and then -- all right. What about Mr. Alvidrez, with the wife's surgery on Friday? Usually, they like to schedule that early in the morning. So if we did go into Friday, we would probably have to use one of our alternates. So what's -- and he does have a certification on Thursday. What's the State's position as to leaving him on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the jury?

MR. SCARBOROUGH: We would be --

MR. LEXIS: We'll submit it, Judge.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: -- we'll submit.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: Judge, I'm going to -- I'm going to dig my heels in on that one.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 THE COURT: And to say, you want him to stay? 2 MR. HILL: Yes, please, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Like I said, if worse comes to worse, we can use one 4 5 of our alternates if he can't fit the wife's surgery around 6 that. 7 Then let's just cover the -- you know, All right. 8 No. 2, Ms. Keep, she had the family issues. She seemed to act 9 like she'd be able to get somebody for the two to three days. 10 Anybody feel we should let Ms. Keep go? MR. SCARBOROUGH: No, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Hill? 12 13 MR. HILL: Submit. THE COURT: All right. We'll leave her on. 14 15 Then Ms. Perez-Rojas was the nurse at the daycare I tend to think they can find somebody. Anybody feel 16 center. 17 we should let her go? State? MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'll submit. 18 19 THE COURT: Defense? Submit as well, Judge. 20 MR. HILL: 21 THE COURT: All right. I don't think that I can 22 justify her going for -- if this was a longer trial, I'd be 2.3 more concerned. Mr. Tangpuz is the school helper. 24 I -- I'm pretty 25 sure they can probably get someone to help for those three

1 days. Anybody feel we should let him go? 2 State? 3 MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'll submit. THE COURT: Defense? 4 5 MR. HILL: Submit. 6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll leave him on. 7 All right. Any -- anybody else that I'm missing 8 that we should discuss as far as leaving them on the jury? 9 State? 10 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Nothing from the State at this 11 point. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Hill? 13 MR. HILL: No, thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 15 So at this point in time, I'm showing you, when we 16 bring the jurors back, we'll excuse No. 11, Ms. Dyankova and 17 Juror No. 14, Mr. Dulude. Do the parties agree with that? 18 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Hill? 20 MR. HILL: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. You know, does anyone have a 22 problem if I have the Marshal excuse them in the -- while 23 they're standing out in the line? That way we can clean their 24 chairs while -- during the break and we won't have to take the 25 time to clean them when they -- when I excuse them.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

Any problem with that, State?

MR. LEXIS: Are you talking about the people in the 24 seats?

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Dulude will be gone, and Ms. -- No. 14, and No. 11, Ms. Dyankova will be gone. We need to clean their seats before I move what will be No. 25 and 26, into those seats.

So does anybody have a problem if the Marshal excuses those individuals out in the -- outside in the lobby?

MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: And then that way Brian can go ahead and clean the seats and we can move the two people in them as soon as we get back into session.

MR. HILL: That's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

So when we come back, we'll bring in those two people. And I'll see if they have any answers to any of the questions. And then I'll let you both have a chance to ask questions of those two people. And then if, assuming that they -- those two make it through, we'll move into peremptories.

It's -- again, you are each allowed 4 each on 1 through 20, and 1 each on 21 through 24. The alternates will come out of 21 to 24.

So any questions as far as format?

1 MR. SCARBOROUGH: No, Your Honor. 2 MR. HILL: No, thank you, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. If you guys need to run and grab 4 a break, go do it quick, because as soon as the Marshal says 5 everybody's back and ready to go, we will get started. (Court recessed at 2:04 p.m., until 2:11 p.m.) 6 7 (In the presence of the prospective jurors.) 8 THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence 9 of the voir panel? MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes, Your Honor. 10 MR. HILL: Yes, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, under 13 difficult circumstances, you're making it back in good shape 14 and in good time. 15 During the break, I did speak with counsel and we 16 did let go two jurors, Juror No. 11 and Juror No. 14. 17 If you weren't let go, and wanted to be let go, don't blame the parties, blame me. I'm the one who makes the 18 19 decision. So, if there's any issue with that, I'm the one who

But we're going to need a new Juror No. 11. That will be Mr. Fabiano.

We cleaned the seat, so you should be good. If you'll go to Seat No. 11.

20

21

22

23

24

25

you should be upset with.

And then we will need a new Juror No. 14, and that

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 will be Ms. Casillas. 2 All right. Mr. -- before we get started with them, 3 Mr. Webb, did you have a chance to get ahold of your brother? 4 And let's see, the microphone --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, I was able to speak 6 with my brother, and verify that it was not the same Aaron 7 Denson. So, we're good. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Good. I just want to make sure 9 that you didn't know the witness. I'm glad to hear that. 10 Thank you very much for doing that. 11 All right. Mr. Fabiano, did you have an opportunity 12 to hear all the questions I asked earlier today? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, I did. 14 THE COURT: Oh, we'll let -- we've got to get you 15 the --16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, I did. 17 THE COURT: All right. And would you have answered 18 any of those? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No, I was in agreement 20 with most of them. 21 THE COURT: Okay. There's none -- none of the 22 questions I asked that you would have answered? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Not in a negative way, 24 yeah. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, in any way.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No. 2 THE COURT: Is there any of the questions you would 3 have answered? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No. 5 THE COURT: All right. You heard the questions 6 asked by the prosecutor for the State earlier today? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Any of those questions you would have 9 liked to have answered or commented on? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No. 11 THE COURT: All right. And you heard the guestions 12 asked by Mr. Hill, the Defense Attorney, earlier today? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, I did. 14 THE COURT: Any of those questions you would have 15 liked to have answered or commented on? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No comment on those 17 either. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have the sheet of paper? 19 Would you go through that and answer those questions 20 for us? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Okay. I've lived here 22 in Henderson, the Las Vegas Valley, for over 50 years. I'm 23 -- I'm not employed. I retired. I used to be a maintenance 24 tech at Sam's Town for 25 -- my last 25 years. I am not 25 married. I do (indiscernible) have a partner. I have two

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 adult children but they don't live at home. 2 And I have been a juror. I was not the foreman. Ιt 3 was a criminal trial and we did reach a verdict. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 5 Let's go back to No. 14 now, Ms. Casillas. Good afternoon, Ms. Casillas. How are you? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Good. How are you? 8 THE COURT: Good. Did you have an opportunity to 9 hear all the questions I asked earlier today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Yes, I did. 10 THE COURT: And would you have answered any of 11 12 those? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: No. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Did you hear the questions asked 15 by the prosecutor for the State earlier today? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And would you have liked to have answered or commented on any of those questions? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: No. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. And did you hear all the questions asked by defense counsel, Mr. Hill, earlier today? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And would you have liked to answered or 24 commented on any of those questions? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: No.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you go ahead and 1 2 read your answers to that sheet of paper I handed out. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Okay. Well, before 4 that, I just wanted to let you know, due to my religious 5 beliefs, I believe that God is the only one that should be 6 judging us. So I don't feel comfortable judging anyone. I 7 don't know if I could be excused or --8 THE COURT: Okay. Well, go ahead and answer. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Okay. 10 THE COURT: That was one of the questions I asked 11 earlier --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: 13 THE COURT: -- today. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Okay. Sorry. 15 THE COURT: So -- but if you -- go ahead and read 16 through that sheet. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: So I've lived here for 18 24 years. And I live now in the northwest area of Las Vegas. 19 I am currently employed for Optimum Care Lung and Allergy. I 20 do front desk work. I am married. My husband works for Bank 21 of America and he's a small business specialist. I have no 22 kids and I've never been a juror. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 24 All right. Does the State wish to ask questions of 25

either one of the new group of 24?

1 MR. LEXIS: Ma'am, as you stated earlier, as far as 2 casting judgment on somebody at the end where you're going to 3 be asked to -- whether it's guilty or not guilty, you believe 4 your religious background is going to affect your judgment? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Yes. 6 MR. LEXIS: Nothing further, Judge. 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hill, do you want to ask questions of either one of the two new jurors? 8 9 MR. HILL: No, thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel to come 11 back into the sidebar area. 12 (Sidebar begins.) 13 THE COURT: All right. Motion for cause for either 14 one of the new -- two new --15 MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge, the -- the female, I don't 16 know what badge number is but she made it as clear --17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Inaudible]. 18 MR. LEXIS: She made it as clear as could be, Judge, 19 that if -- she's not going to be able to cast judgment without 20 her religion affecting her ability to find guilty or not 21 quilty. 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hill, any sort of 23 response? I don't think she's telling the truth, 24 MR. HILL:

Judge. But what are you going to do? So I'll just join.

25

1 THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse her and bring 2 on the next one and we'll go through that. 3 I'll let you ask -- ask them any questions of the 4 new one. 5 All right? All right. You're going to need to wipe 6 down Seat No. 14. Oh, excuse me. I'm sorry. 7 (End of sidebar.) 8 THE COURT: All right. I've spoken with the 9 attorneys. Ms. Casillas, we will excuse you at this point in 10 time. Go ahead and take your materials with you. 11 And thank you for your presence here today. 12 We will need a new Juror No. 14. We'll wipe down the seat. That will be Colleen Hill-Jojola. 13 14 There you are. You'll go back there as soon as he's 15 done wiping it down and it's -- we'll let you sit down there. 16 All right. Ma'am, did you have an opportunity to 17 hear all the questions that I asked earlier today? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I did, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And would you have answered any of those? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and tell us what 23 questions you would have answered and what your answer would 24 be. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: My sister works for the

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 Regional Court as a Drug and Alcohol -- she works for the 2 Judge. She goes and investigates drug and alcohol cases. Okay. Is that locally? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. How long has she been doing that? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: At least six years. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And what other 9 questions would you have answered? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Oh, God, there was one 11 Sorry, I tried to write them down. 12 THE COURT: That's all right. Take your time. I was a victim of domestic violence. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Was that locally? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 16 THE COURT: Where was that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: In Whittier, California. 18 THE COURT: And how long ago was that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Over 20 years. 20 THE COURT: All right. Was a police report made? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And was anyone apprehended and 23 prosecuted? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with

1 how the police and prosecutors handled your situation? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: It took a little longer 3 than I thought it should have, but it was fine. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any other questions 5 that you would have answered? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. As to your sister, her work, and your relation with her, anything about that, that would 8 9 affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No. Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And then as to the incident of domestic 11 12 violence that you suffered in the past, anything about that incident that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in 1.3 14 this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you feel you'd be able to follow my 16 instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply them to the law 17 and the -- to the facts in this case? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Now you heard the questions asked 21 by the State's attorney earlier today. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And were there any of those questions 24 that you would have liked to answered or made any comment on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.

25

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 1 THE COURT: And you heard all the questions asked by 2 the defense attorney, Mr. Hill, earlier today? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Were there any of those you would have 5 liked to have answered or make any comment on? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 7 THE COURT: All right. You've got that sheet of 8 paper with those person questions? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 10 THE COURT: All right. Could you let us hear a 11 little bit about you? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I've been in Las Vegas, 13 Nevada for 23 years. I currently live in Summerlin. I'm 14 employed by the State of Nevada. I'm married. My husband 15 also works for the State of Nevada. Between the two of us, we 16 have six grown children. None of them live at home. And I 17 have never been a juror. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Does the State wish to ask any 19 questions of our new No. 14? 20 MR. LEXIS: Just your opinion, ma'am, on the one 21 witness situation where let's say there is no video 22 surveillance, there is no other witnesses involved. 23 believe -- if you believed that person beyond a reasonable 24 doubt that you'd be able to find quilty or are you going to

25

have a problem?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: It would have to be 1 2 beyond a reasonable doubt. 3 MR. LEXIS: Okay. But you would -- you would still 4 be able to find quilt --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 5 6 MR. LEXIS: -- based on one witness if that was the 7 case? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. 9 MR. LEXIS: Okay. Nothing further, Judge. 10 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hill? MR. HILL: Good afternoon. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Good afternoon. 13 MR. HILL: What do you do for the State of Nevada? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I work at Rawson Neil 15 Psychiatric Hospital in the medical records office. 16 MR. HILL: All right. And what about your husband, 17 if I may? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: He's a mental health 19 technician. 20 MR. HILL: At Rawson Neil? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: At Rawson Neil. 22 MR. HILL: Do you ever have any interaction with 23 anyone involved in the Justice System over there? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No. 25 MR. HILL: When -- when did this incident that you

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.

25

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09 MR. HILL: -- to come up? 1 2 So you think you can disregard any kind of 3 difficulties that that may have caused because it was so long 4 ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes, sir. 5 MR. HILL: All right. Very well. 6 Thank you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. Let me see counsel at 8 9 sidebar again. (Sidebar begins.) 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Any motion for cause as to No. 11, Mr. Fabiano, and No. 14, I can't remember what her name 12 is, but we just were speaking with her. 13 MR. SCARBOROUGH: No from the State. 14 MR. HILL: No, thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Anyone -- I'll give you one 16 last chance as to the whole board of 24. Anybody for cause, 17 State? 18 MR. SCARBOROUGH: No, from the State. 19 No from the State. 20 MR. HILL: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. So we've got the group of 22 23 I'm going to not excuse the -- the remaining of the group. We'll let the -- we'll get the jury -- jury and then 24 I'll let them go. Every now and then you have something or

some -- out of the blue, something comes up, so I don't want to lose them if it does.

All right. The State will start with preempts. You have 4, 1 through 20. You have four, 1 through 20. Then one as to 21 through 24. And that will get us our panel of 12, and 2 alternates.

I will, once we get done, swear them in and give my initial jury instructions to them, get that out of the way.

That'll save 20 or 30 minutes tomorrow morning.

You said you couldn't start at 8:30.

MR. HILL: Your Honor, I have -- I did my best, since our conversation Friday, to clear out Monday and Tuesday. I have a guy in warrant status that once I -- we got the Thursday start, I arranged for that to be heard Tuesday. He's a retained client in warrant status in Henderson Court with a 9:00 a.m. motion.

We've got to get started before then. Can you appear remotely?

MR. HILL: I don't think so, but I can't say yes or no definitively.

THE COURT: All right. Well, once we get the jury I'll have them take a break before I give them the opening, my initial instructions. You find out about it, because we really -- I mean, if you're out of their -- I mean, we're not going to get started until 10:00 or 10:30 and we -- we can't

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

do that. You'll have to figure out some -- you're going to either have to cancel it or figure out something, something, someone to cover for you, because we can't get started that late. We've got to get this done.

So, I'll let you check on that and we'll talk further about timing and -- all right. Let's go out and let's get a jury.

(End of sidebar.)

THE COURT: Okay. The good news is we're getting close.

At this point in time, we're going to move into a phase of the trial referred to as peremptory challenge phase. It essentially allows each side to exclude some number of potential jurors for pretty much any reason that they may have. It can be, don't like the color of your hair, or how you dress, or just that they don't feel that you'd be a good fit. It's our way of trying to hit a situation where both sides feel really comfortable with the jury that we have in the case.

And so, you know, they can be for a number of reasons. It's simply a gut feeling. So if you are preempted, don't feel you've said something wrong, don't feel you've done something bad, that you wouldn't be a good juror. It just meant that one side or the other, for whatever reason, didn't feel that you were a good fit for the jury. And so don't take

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

1 MR. HILL: No. No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So we have a jury.

I'm going to have them take a break. We'll have them come back in, like I said, swear them in, do the introductory jury instructions, take that, get that.

During the break, see if you can figure out some way to make things work in the morning.

Can you move it up? I mean, I'm glad to reach out to any Judge. I mean, if you can move it up, I -- I don't mind starting a little bit later, but I just don't want to be starting at 10:30 in the morning. So, I mean, if you can move it up to where you could -- we could get started at 9:30, I'm -- I would be willing to -- to work that.

MR. HILL: I'm more than happy to accommodate that, Judge, if you're -- I believe it's Judge Mark Steven down there in Department 1. I think they don't roll -- I think 9:00 a.m. is their first calendar. If they could do it at 8:45, I can knock it out, be -- be here by 9:15.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll have my office reach out to Judge Stevens and see if -- what the earliest it is, but like I said, we've --

MR. HILL: It's literally the only scenario that I -- that I [inaudible].

THE COURT: Check -- check and see -- check and see.

I mean, check and see if you can make an appearance virtually.

I mean, I'll -- I don't have a problem taking a break to let 1 2 you run down for -- go on Zoom for 15 minutes. So --3 MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, I would ask that -- I would 4 ask that you call because I don't think -- I've seen it 5 They'll do it if you call. before. THE COURT: Well, I mean, I'll reach -- have my 6 7 office reach out to Judge Stevens during the break. 8 want you to see if you can get a -- get a -- do a -- make an 9 appearance virtually. 10 MR. HILL: [Inaudible]. 11 THE COURT: So, all right. 12 (End of sidebar.) 13 THE COURT: All right. The good new is, I think we 14 have a jury. Let's make sure before I excuse anybody. 15 I'm going to ask the first 24 people to stand up. 16 Is there anyone who's not able to stand? 17 Okay. Everybody stand up. All right. At this point in time, I'm going to ask 18 19 No. 3, Ms. Drews, to sit down. No. 4, Ms. Docker, to sit 20 down. No. 5, Mr. Rizzo, to sit down. No. 7, Mr. McKane, to 21 sit down. No. 10, Mr. Webb to sit down. No. 6, Ms. Perez-22 Rojas, to sit down. I mean, not No. 6, No. 13, Ms. Perez-23 Rojas, to sit down. No. 15, Ms. Pruett to sit down. No. 16, Ms. Acera, to sit down. No. 17, Mr. Williams, to sit down.

No. 18, Mr. Tangpuz, to sit down. No. 19, Ms. Dunaway, to sit

25

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

down. No. 20, Mr. Fitch, to sit down. No. 21, Mr. Allen, to sit down. And No. 24, Mr. DeJesus to sit down.

That should leave me standing -- don't sit down, stay standing -- No. 1, Ms. Mirochnik. I really messed it up that time. I apologize.

No. 2, Ms. Keep. No. 6, Ms. Benton. No. 8, Ms.
Nicholson. No. 9, Mr. Levine. No. 11, Mr. Fabiano. No. 12,
Mr. Alvidrez. No. 14, Ms. Hill-Jojola. No. 22, Ms. Roberson.
And No. 23, Ms. Huffine.

Am I correct, is there anybody -- does that work all right?

All right. I will ask at this time that those who are standing, you are excused with our thanks. You may leave.

Those who are sitting are our jury.

So if you who are standing would still follow out the Marshal.

Then as to those of you over here, in Seats No., I believe, 28 through 55, the good news from your perspective is you were not selected for jury service today and you are excused.

I want to thank you all for your participation here today. I know it was a challenge with the pandemic. I appreciate you all meeting your civic responsibilities and appearing here today.

You have my thanks, you have the Court's thanks, and

I wish you the best going forward.

The good news that I can give you for appearing here today, is you shouldn't be called by the State for 18 months. So I can't guarantee what the Federal Government won't call you for jury service, but the State won't call you for 18 months.

So, with that, thank you for your service and you may follow the Marshal out.

Yeah. Come ahead. Go ahead.

Okay.

(Prospective jurors not selected exit courtroom.)

(In the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're almost done with you here today. I'm going to have us take a brief ten minute break. You can run to the restroom and come back. At that point in time, you'll be sworn in as jurors in this case. And I'll do my introductory jury instructions.

That usually takes 20, 30 or so minutes. And then we'll break for the day and get started tomorrow. I'll give you the time we'll get started tomorrow when you come back. That's one of the things we want to check out.

We'll get started tomorrow and, as I said, put in a full day tomorrow with the exception of a lunch break and then intermediate breaks to run to the restroom.

We are going to be in Courtroom 3F. That's on this

floor over on that other side. It's a courtroom that's been redesigned as you will notice when you go in to deal with the pandemic in terms of spacing of chairs, the placement of plexiglass and the use of dry hydrogen air circulating machines, air disinfecting machines.

So it's quite a set up that we've got running -
(indiscernible) got running over there. So -- so anyway, if

you would go take a break and get back as soon as you can and
get out there and lined up.

While you're out there, now you are the jurors in this case. Do not talk to each other about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Anyone else includes members of your family, your friends, your employer. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting the Marshal. Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it.

Do not visit -- well, you aren't going to have time to visit the scene of any events mentioned here. You don't

1 even know the events mentioned here yet, but don't do it. 2 And then do not begin to form or express any opinion 3 on any subject connected with this case until it's finally 4 submitted to you. 5 Thank you so much. We're getting close to being 6 done. Go take a break and we'll see you back in just a few. 7 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury. THE COURT: Do you need a -- you need a letter; 8 9 right? 10 JUROR NO. 6: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: Okay. We'll get a letter typed up for 12 you Ms. -- who should it be addressed to? 13 JUROR NO. 6: Adult Daycare Las Vegas. THE COURT: I'm sorry? 14 15 JUROR NO. 6: Adult Daycare. 16 THE COURT: Adult Daycare Las Vegas. All right. And I'll have a letter prepared for the 17 18 Clark County School District. 19 (Court recessed at 2:50 p.m., until 3:19 p.m.) 20 (In the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm 21 22 We're trying to figure out when we can sorry for the delay. 23 get started in the morning. We want to get started as early 24 as we can and so we're dealing with some issues in that 25 regard.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

What we're going to do, as I said, is I'm going to swear you in as jurors in this case. And then I will give you my introductory jury instructions, then we'll break for the evening and we'll see you back tomorrow morning in Courtroom F -- 3F? 3F. Which is across the hall a little ways. The Marshal can show you where to meet tomorrow for us to get started.

So, I'd ask everybody to rise. Raise your right hand and the Clerk will swear you in.

(CLERK SWEARS JURY PANEL)

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.

All right. Jurors, you are now the jury in this case, and I want to take a few minutes to tell you something about your duties as jurors and to give you some preliminary instructions.

At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed written instructions that will control your deliberations. When you deliberate, it will be your duty to weigh and to evaluate all the evidence received in the case, and in that process, to decide the facts.

To the facts as you find them, you will apply the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with the law or not. You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law before you and it must not be influenced by any personal

likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy.

Please do not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or what your verdict should be. That is entirely up to you.

This is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada which I may sometimes refer to as the State versus Andre Snipes. The case is based upon an Indictment. The Clerk will now read the Indictment to you and state the plea of the defendant.

(CLERK READS INDICTMENT TO THE JURY.)

THE COURT: Okay. As I have said before, the Indictment simply describes the charges the State is bringing against the defendant. It puts the defendant on notice of those charges and the public on notice of those charges.

The Indictment, however, is not evidence and does not prove anything. The defendant has pleaded "not guilty" to the charges and is presumed innocent.

The State therefore has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the Indictment charges beyond a reasonable doubt. In addition, the defendant has the right to remain silent and never has to prove innocence or present any evidence.

The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden. It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts.

1.8

Under our system of criminal procedure you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear, and the other evidence, including exhibits introduced in Court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may properly be drawn from the evidence.

You communicate to the Court through our Marshal.

Our Marshal, his name is James Trimidal. He is present at all times we are in session.

During the course of this trial the attorneys for both sides and court personnel other than the Marshal generally are not permitted to converse with you. It's not that we are antisocial, it is simply that we are bound by Rules of Ethics and law not to speak with you because to do so might somehow contaminate your verdict. We typically will not even say "hi" to you if we should pass you in the hall or be in the elevator together.

There are Rules of Evidence that control what may be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence, and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the Rules of Evidence, that lawyer may object.

If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered or the exhibit cannot be

received.

Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer would have been. Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he believes may not properly be offered and you should not be prejudiced in anyway against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party in which he represents.

I may also find it necessary at times to admonish lawyers, and if I do, you should not show prejudice toward the lawyer or his client because I found it necessary to admonish them.

Throughout the trial, if you cannot hear a question asked by the attorney or the answer given by a witness, please raise your hand as an indication. If I don't see your hand up, please say, excuse me, I didn't hear that, and we will ask that the question be repeated or that the answer be repeated.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide on the case. Do not let note-taking distract you from being attentive.

When you leave court for recesses, your notes should be left in the courtroom. No one will read your notes.

Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those notes of your fellow jurors.

You will be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called to testify in this case. You are not encouraged to ask large numbers of questions because that is the primary responsibility of counsel.

If after both lawyers have finished questioning the witness and only at this time, there are additional questions you would like to ask the witness, write your question down with your juror number on a full sheet of clean paper and raise your hand. All questions from jurors must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. The Marshal will pick up your question and give it to me.

All questions must be directed to the witnesses and not to the lawyers or the Judge. After consulting with counsel, I will determine if your question is legally proper. If I determine that your question properly may be asked, I will ask it -- I will ask it.

No adverse inference should be drawn if the Court does not allow a particular question. In addition, jurors

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

must not place undue weight on the responses to their questions.

The next phase of trial will now begin. First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what the parties expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening statement.

After the opening statements, the State will first introduce evidence. At the conclusion of the State's evidence, the defendant has the right to introduce evidence. However, please remember that the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or to prove his innocence.

The law never imposes upon the defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses. The defendant may do nothing at all because the defendant has no burden of proof in the criminal trial.

As we have already discussed, the State has to prove two things to you. First, the State has to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime occurred. And second, the State has to prove to you also beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant did it.

At the close of the defendant's case, if any, the case may introduce rebuttal evidence. At the conclusion of all the evidence, I will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in

these instructions, or in the instructions that I will read you after all the evidence is in.

1.8

Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given to you by the Court.

After the -- excuse me -- after the instructions on the law are read to you, each party has the opportunity to argue orally in support of their case. This is called closing argument or summation. What is said in closing argument or summation is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence for you and show you how the evidence and the law relate to one another.

Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments, which means at the end of the trial the State gets to argue to you twice, and the defense gets to argue to you once.

Opening statements and closing arguments are intended to help you in understanding the evidence and in applying the law. But please understand, what the attorneys tell you is not evidence. They are not witnesses. They have no first hand information and therefore what they tell you is not evidence.

You must not be influenced in any degree by any

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

personal feeling of sympathy for or prejudice against any party to the case, for each party is entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration.

After the attorneys have presented their arguments, you will retire to select a foreperson and to arrive at a verdict. Faithful performance by you of your duties is vital to the administration of justice.

If during the course of trial you should recognize a witness or realize you are familiar with the facts of the case when the witness is testifying, please make a little note on your jury pad that you recognize such-and-such a witness and how it is that you recognize the witness.

At the next break in the trial, please hand the note to the Marshal and he will present it to the Court.

Frequently, people do not recognize witnesses by name, but may recognize them when they come into the courtroom to testify. The person might be your child's soccer coach and you may know him by the name of "coach" or "Bob" or it may be someone who lives three or four houses down and you might recognize them by sight, but not know them by name. And this happens to some degree quite frequently.

So if it should happen in this case, just make a note of that as the witness is testifying and at the next break give the note to the Marshal.

It is your duty to determine the facts and to

determine them from the evidence and the reasonable inferences arising from such evidence, and in doing so, you must not indulge in guesswork or speculation.

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts consist of are, one, the sworn testimony of any witness, two, the exhibits which are received into evidence, and three, any facts to which the parties agree or stipulate.

The term "witness" means anyone who testifies in person. You must not consider anything you which you may have seen or heard when the Court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw, or heard, or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. That is, it is proof of one or more facts from which one can find another fact.

You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence. Either can be used to prove any fact. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that

it rained during the night. However, other evidence such as a turned on garden hose may provide an explanation for the water on the sidewalk. Therefore, before you decide that a fact is proven by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in light of reason, experience and common sense.

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account, one, the witness's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things testified to. Two, the witness's memory. Three, the witness's manor while testifying. Four, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any. Five, the witness's bias or prejudice if any. Six, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony. Seven, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence. And eight, any other factors that bear on believability.

You must avoid biased, conscious or unconscious, based on the witness's race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender, in your determination of credibility.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

No statement, ruling, remark, or facial expression which I may make during the course of the trial is intended to indicate to my opinion as to what the facts are. I don't get to decide the facts. You are the ones who determine the facts.

I may during the trial take notes of what the witnesses are saying. Do not make any inference from this action on my part because I am required to be prepared for legal arguments during the trial, for that reason, I may at times take notes.

Our system of justice depends on the willingness and ability of Judges like me and jurors like you to make careful and fair decisions. What we are asked to do is sometimes difficult because everyone, including me, has feelings, assumptions, perceptions, fears and stereotypes. We all have biases, including implicit biases of which we may not even be aware.

They can influence the evidence we see and hear in how we perceive a person or a situation. They can affect the evidence we remember and how we remember it. They can influence the gut feelings and conclusions we form about people and events. They can affect how we make important decisions.

Fortunately, when we realize we can have implicit biases and take efforts to recognize them, we can often control them and minimize their possible effects on our consideration of the evidence and decisions.

As you listen to the witnesses, and consider the evidence, I encourage you to be mindful and consider whether your perceptions of the witnesses and evidence are affected in any way by these hidden biases.

For this reason, you are encouraged to thoroughly and carefully examine your decision-making process to ensure the conclusions you draw are a fair reflection of the law and the evidence. You should resist jumping to conclusions based on personal likes and dislikes, generalizations, gut feelings, prejudices, sympathies or stereotypes.

Consider examining your reasoning for any possible bias by reconsidering your first impressions of the people and the evidence in the case. Is it easier to believe the statements or evidence when presented by people who are more like you? If you or the people involved in this case were from different backgrounds, richer or poorer, more or less educated, older or younger, or of a different gender, race, religion or sexual orientation, would you still view them and the evidence the same way.

You must not be biased in favor or against any party or witness because of his or her disability, gender, race,

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

religion, ethnicity, sexual orientation, age, national origin or socioeconomic circumstances.

1.8

When you are instructed to begin your jury deliberation with your fellow jurors, please also listen to the other jurors during deliberations who may be from different backgrounds, and who will be viewing this case in light of their own insights, assumptions and perspectives.

The law demands that you return a just verdict based solely on the evidence, your personal evaluation of that evidence, your reason and common sense, and these instructions on the law. Our system of justice is counting on you to render a fair decision based on the evidence and not on biases.

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors. First, keep an open mind throughout the trial and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case, and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to issues that evolves during the course of your duty.

Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise, do not communicate with anyone in any way and do

not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case, or anything to do with it.

1.2

This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone or electronic means, via e-mail, via text messaging or any Internet chat room, blog, website or application, including but not limited to Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, LinkedIn, SnapChat, or any other form of social media.

This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberations, and it applies to communicating with everyone else, including your family members, your employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial.

Although you may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case, and how long you expect the trial to last. But if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury -- in -- about your jury service or anything about the case, you must respond you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and to report the contact to the Court.

As a caution, while you are here in the courthouse, please always wear the badge or sticker the marshal will give you that identifies you as a juror. When you come into the Courthouse in the morning, and during breaks during the daytime or during the noon recess, when you are in the

elevators or on the escalator or walking around in the hallway, I encourage you to only chit chat with people wearing the sticker indicating they are a juror.

And the reason for this is that the witnesses in this trial, and all the other trials going on in the building -- and there is going to be one other trial going on at the same time that we are in session -- and the rest -- in this building -- are not identified in any way.

Consequently, you will not know if you are carrying on a conversation with a witness in this trial, until it is too late, and they come in and take the witness stand and testify. Therefore, I urge you not to talk to anyone in the building unless they are identified as a juror. Because if they are identified as a juror, you know they're not going to have anything to do with this case.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict, do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or about anything to do with it.

Although, I have no information that there will be any news reports about this case.

Do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet or using other reference materials and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own.

Do not visit or view any place discussed in this case and do not use Internet programs or other devices to search for or view any place discussed during the trial.

Also, do not do any research about this case, the law or the people involved, including the parties, the witnesses or the lawyers, until you have been excused as jurors.

If you happen to read or hear anything touching on this case in the media, turn away and report it to me as soon as possible.

These rules protect each party's right to have this case decided only on the evidence that has been presented here in court. Witnesses here in court take an oath to tell the truth and the accuracy of their testimony is tested through the trial process.

If you do any research or investigation outside the courtroom or gain any information through improper communications, then your verdict may influenced by inaccurate, incomplete or misleading information that has not been tested by the trial process. Each of the parties is entitled to a fair trial by an impartial jury. And if you decide the case based on information not presented in court, you will have denied the parties a fair trial.

Remember, you have taken an oath to follow the rules and it is very important you follow these rules. A juror who

violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of the proceedings.

1.5

rom time to time during the trial it may become necessary for me to take up legal matters with the attorneys privately either by having a conference at the bench, with the jury present, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purposes of these conferences is not to keep information — relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or what your verdict should be.

At the end of the trial, you will have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult. Even though we have a court recorder who records the testimony, it is not typed up into a readable format and it is difficult and time-consuming for the recorder to go back and play lengthy testimony.

Therefore, I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

All right. That concludes my initial jury

·7

instructions to you. Let me ask, I know before I said that we'd get started at 9:00 in the morning. Is there anyone who wouldn't be able to start at 8:30 in the morning? I'd like to try to get as much as we can get in, and so we can get the trial over and get you back to your lives.

So I'm asking is there anyone who, if I set it at 8:30 in the morning, is going to have a problem with that time?

All right. I'm not seeing any hands. We'll try that. We'll get started at 8:30 in the morning. If you could get here about 8:20, the Marshal will explain to you when he takes you out of the courtroom, he'll take you over by courtroom 3F and he'll explain how to meet in the morning.

If you'd get here about 8:20, we will definitely try to get started right on time and put in a full day. We'll take breaks as we did today, every now and then, to -- for bathroom, or to get a drink of water or whatever it may be.

And we will have a lunch break tomorrow. We'll go until 5:00 o'clock and like I said, Wednesday is a holiday and we'll get back in action on Thursday.

I appreciate your patience with this process today and I apologize that we had the delay getting started in the morning. You know, it is a new system for us trying to work with these -- within the parameters of the Center for Disease Control. Our goal is to keep you safe, keep the parties safe,

and have a fair proceeding for the parties involved.

And so I appreciate your patience with us this morning and your patience with us today as we've had different breaks and delays. But at least we're getting out of here today and a little bit early.

So I want to thank you all and we'll see you tomorrow. Before you go, I do want to give you some admonitions. First of all, do not talk with each other about this case or about anyone that has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict.

Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial is ended and you've been discharged as jurors.

Anyone includes members of your family and your friends and employer. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case but don't tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged by me.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting the Marshal. Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it.

Do not visit the scene of any of the events.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 1 | 2020-11-09

mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation, experimentation or research on your own. And do not begin to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

I know a couple people indicated they needed letters. It's my understanding that you can get those letters from the app here. Can you knock on the Commissioner's door and let me clarify that with her?

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to excuse you for the night. Thanks a lot, again, for your patience and working with us today. We'll see you in the morning.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury,

(Jury exits at 4:01 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else we need to talk about tonight? Somebody talked about the -- said something about the evidence.

MR. LEXIS: No, we're good with the exhibits and we're going to be --

THE COURT: Hold on a second. I just want to make sure.

I'm sorry. You need to hold the microphone to make sure we got it, Mr. Lexis.

MR. LEXIS: No, we're good with the exhibits. It's just there's going to be two additional exhibits which I sent to the -- well, I had them send to the Court. The guy that's doing the audio/visual, he obviously needs to do the -- that's through District Court IT. So that's no problem. It's my understanding they already sent those.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEXIS: So, that's it.

THE COURT: All right. Well, like I said, to the degree that we're stipulating to the exhibits, I'd like to stipulate them in as a group. If we're talking hard copy stuff, then bring them in as a group. The Clerk will take them, give them back to you, and you hold them for the rest of the case so that she -- we don't have to deal with gloves and transmittal of it.

MR. LEXIS: That's fine. There'll be -- there'll be three of them that are court exhibits that are not jury exhibits and that's the prior JOC which establishes the burglary, and the two affidavits for the person who is testifying by audio/visual.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. LEXIS: And then there might be some staggering tomorrow, Judge. I'm telling you now. I mean, it's not going to go as -- with the change in times that have occurred, we're going to have witnesses ready but there might be some breaks

	C-19-344461-2 State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes JT Day 1 2020-11-09
1	throughout the day.
2	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's you know,
3	let's get it done. Get get them piled up. And we'll
4	we'll take them down, 1, 2, 3.
5	MR. LEXIS: All right.
6	THE COURT: All right. Very good, guys.
7	Thank you.
8	(Court recessed at 4:03 p.m., until Tuesday,
9	November 10, 2020, at 8:50 A.M.)
10	* * * *
11	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
12	transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled
13	case to the best of my ability.
14	1.1.2 Land
	Julie Hond
14 15	Julie Hond
14	VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC
14 15 16	
14 15 16	
14 15 16 17 18	
14 15 16 17 18	
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221	
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 24	
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223	

C-19-344461-2

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

November 10, 2020

C-19-344461-2

State of Nevada

VS

Andre Snipes

November 10, 2020

08:30 AM **Jury Trial**

HEARD BY:

Johnson, Eric

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F

COURT CLERK: Tucker, Michele

RECORDER:

Calvillo, Angie

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Andre Grant Snipes

Defendant

Chad N. Lexis

Attorney for Plaintiff

Daniel Hill

Attorney for Defendant

Michael J. Scarborough

Attorney for Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

INSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Opening statements by Mr. Scarborough and Mr. Hill. Mr. Lexis moved to admit exhibits 1-20. COURT SO ORDERED. Testimony and exhibits commence. (See attached worksheets.) Mr. Lexis moved to admit exhibits 21-25. COURT SO ORDERED. After the day's testimony the Court ADMONISHED and ORDERED the Jury to return on the day and time give.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Jury Instructions SETTLED on the record. Arguments regarding previous felony conviction when the defendant was a minor. Defendant Snipes stipulated to the conviction.

Colloquy regarding exhibit 20 admitted in error. Counsel stipulated to withdraw exhibit 20 and mark it as a Court's exhibit. COURT ORDERED, the State to keep the exhibits (which have been marked as admitted) in their possession.

Matter in RECESS.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/12/20 9:30 AM

Printed Date: 11/11/2020 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: November 10, 2020

Prepared by: Michele Tucker

Electronically Filed 3/10/2021 12:42 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C-19-344461-2

Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO. XX

V.

ANDRE GRANT SNIPES,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC JOHNSON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF: JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: MICHAEL J. SCARBOROUGH, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: DANIEL J. HILL, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: ANGIE CALVILLO, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Page 1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2020

(Case called at 8:50 A.M.)

(In the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I apologize for us getting started a little bit late. This is still a -- we just revamped these courtrooms in the last month to set up for all of this.

At one time, where you see the attorneys all sitting, that was our gallery full of chairs. That obviously no longer exists there. We now have -- that is where the parties are stationed. And then we've expanded everything, put in plexiglass with the goal of trying to keep the proceedings as safe as possible for you and for the participants.

So we're working out the -- the kinks at this point. So I apologize for getting started a little bit late this morning.

What we're going to do is, first is opening statements. I mentioned these a little bit yesterday in my introductory remarks to you.

Opening statement is an opportunity for each side to give you a -- what I like to use -- typically say a picture or a map of what they think the evidence -- what evidence they think is going to come in, how it all sort of fits together. It's intended to help you, as you hear the witnesses testify,

figure out how their testimony fits into the -- each side's case.

Unfortunately, in a trial, we frequently can't do things chronologically, or necessarily always logically. People have different schedules and sometimes, you know, people come in and testify as to one event, go to another event. So this is intended to give you some general picture of how different pieces of the cases fit together.

The one thing I want to emphasize during opening statements is that what the attorneys tell you is not evidence. They'll be sitting here and they'll -- or standing here, and they'll tell you that this is going to come in or that's going to come in. And like I said, you are to listen to it and use that as it will help you in terms of listening to the witnesses and figuring out the case.

But what they tell you is not evidence. If one of the attorneys tells you that witness A is going to say 1, 2, 3, and at the end of the presentation of all the evidence, your recollection is that witness A said, 4, 5, 6, it's your recollection of the evidence that controls, not what the attorneys tell you. So I want you to keep that in mind as we go through the testimony — the opening statements and testimony and closing arguments.

After the -- the opening statements the State will start presenting witnesses and evidence. The State goes

first. And why? It's because as we discussed yesterday, the entire burden of proof in a criminal case is on the State of Nevada to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that -- each of the elements of the crimes that are charged.

The defendant is presumed innocent and has no obligation to present anything. They're given the opportunity to present evidence if they want to, but the defendant has no obligation to do anything. The entire burden of proof in a criminal case lies on the State. And so that's why the State goes first.

And if at the end of the State's case, you don't feel that there's beyond -- proof beyond a reasonable doubt, then you would find the defendant not guilty. So the State has the burden and that's why they go first in this process.

So we're going to get started with opening statements. The State will give its opening statement. Then the defense has an opportunity, if they'd like to give an opening statement, and then we'll get into the presentation of evidence.

Again, thank you for getting here on time today. I apologize for getting started a little late but we'll try to get caught up as the day goes by.

We'll be pleased now to hear the opening statement of the State.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: Thank you, Your Honor.

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10
              Can you hear me in the lapel mike?
 1
 2
              THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry can you --
 3
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Just testing the lapel mike. Can
 4
    you hear me?
 5
              THE COURT RECORDER: Is it on?
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: It should be.
 6
 7
              THE COURT RECORDER: Can you just talk closer to the
 8
    mike on your -- see if that will help.
 9
               (COURT RECORDER/MR. SCARBOROUGH CONFER.)
10
              THE COURT: I think the more important question is
11
    can all -- say something, test one, two, three.
12
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Test one, two, three.
13
              THE COURT: Can all the jurors hear?
14
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Can everyone hear?
15
              THE COURT: Okay. They're the important ones to
   hear.
16
17
              MR. SCARBOROUGH:
                                 Yes.
                          Although, Angie, is our Recorder, is
18
              THE COURT:
19
    important, too. I'm not trying to minimize that.
20
              Whenever you're ready, counsel.
21
              MR. SCARBOROUGH:
                                Thank you.
22
                       STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT
23
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Good morning, ladies and
24
    gentlemen.
25
              So over the course of this week coming up you're
```

going to hear evidence of the crimes committed by the defendant, Andre Snipes, over the course of September 20th, 2019, to October 7th of 2019.

And like what the Judge said is, this is supposed to be a road map. So through this opening, what I want to give you is just a brief overview of the events and the charges stemming from those events, so you can wrap your head around the sequence of things as we progress through the week.

So the events take place between September 20th, 2019 and October 7th, 2019, at various retail stores in the Valley. At Foot Locker located at 3200 South Las Vegas Boulevard, at the Fashion Show Mall. The Foot Locker at 4300 Meadows Lane. Obviously, that's the Meadows Mall.

Champs Sports at 3200. That would be at Fashion Show again. The Foot Locker at 2120 Festival Plaza Drive, that would be Downtown Summerlin. And then finally, Nike located at 9851 South Eastern.

So the events take place over that period of time at those various locations.

Now, the crimes that are committed are grand larceny, conspiracy to commit robbery, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, and participation in organized retail theft.

And what I want to do now, again, is just to give you a road map of the charges associated with the various

events that I listed just in the previous slide.

So walking through September 20th, 2019, you're going to see that those are Counts 1 through 4, the grand larceny, conspiracy robbery, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, and burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon. And that all takes place at the Foot Locker located at 3200 South Las Vegas Boulevard. That's the Fashion Show Foot Locker.

Now, when these events -- we're going to bring in testimony from people involved in the events, introduce business records, video surveillance on certain events. You're going to get that evidence.

So, stemming to Count 5, that's a burglary charge, that again is on September 20th, 2019, but that was at a different Foot Locker located at the Meadows Mall. You're going to get introduced -- we're going to introduce evidence to show you the different locations and why on the same date he was at different locations.

September 24th, is focused on charges, or counts 6 through 9, a burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, grand larceny, a conspiracy to commit robbery, and robbery with a deadly weapon. Now, that's going to be at the Champs Sports at the Fashion Show Mall, again. You're going to hear people from Champs Sports come in and testify about that event.

Again, the same day, on September 24th, 2019, there was another burglary committed by the defendant at the Foot Locker at the Meadows Mall. Again, we're going to introduce evidence to show you where he was at in the different locations.

So then you go to September 29th, 2019. That would be in the Foot Locker at the 2120 Festival Plaza at Downtown Summerlin. That's another grand larceny and a burglary.

Then you go to Counts 13 and 14 which take place on October 2nd, 2019, at the Nike outlet over on Eastern.

Again, as I'll repeat, you're going to get evidence of business records and surveillance and people from these stores testifying to the events.

Lastly, on October 6th, 2019, another burglary at the Foot Locker at Meadows Mall.

And now flashing to Count 16, which is participation in organized retail theft. What that's going to do is that's going to encompass that date range and all of the crimes that I just spoke to you about, that occurred at those various events.

So that Count 16 encompasses the charge for all of the crimes committed into a participation in organized retail theft.

So what's going to happen is throughout this week you're going to get various pieces of evidence. We're going

to bring people in from the stores. You're going to hear testimony from them. You're going to see video surveillance. You're going to see business records, receipts and transactions, and what we're going to show you is how the defendant committed all these crimes by stealing jerseys and going to different stores, and fraudulently returning them gaining money back, okay?

Now, after this week is concluded and we've introduced all the evidence, based on all these counts, we're going to come right back up and ask you to find him guilty on all those counts, all 16 felonies, of grand larceny, conspiracy to commit robbery, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, and participation in organized retail theft.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

We'll be pleased now to hear any opening statement from the defense.

MR. HILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. HILL: Good morning, everyone.

As the Judge and the State have both belabored for quite some time now, you carry a -- a -- the State carries a burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. And we spent all day yesterday talking to you guys and figuring out and making

sure that you guys were going to be able to withhold any and all judgment until the close of evidence, because as the Judge has told you a number of times now, Mr. Snipes sits next to me over there, as we stand here, presumed innocent until and unless the State can prove beyond a reasonable doubt each and every element of the offenses that Mr. Scarborough just took you through.

And that's what I'm asking you to do today, and Thursday, and potentially Friday, is to withhold any and all judgment and maintain that Mr. Snipes sits next to me presumed innocent until the very, very last word is spoken from the witness stand, and the very, very last word is spoken from counsel in closing arguments.

As you were sworn to do yesterday, withhold that judgment and hold the State to its burden. And that's what we're going to be asking you to do.

Specifically, as the testimony unfolds from the witness stand, what I'm asking you to pay very close attention to, which you heard Mr. Scarborough talk about that there's going to be receipts coming in and some videos coming in, there's allegations of a deadly weapon in this case.

I want you to pay attention to see if you see any pictures of a gun, if you see any gun come in through evidence. And listen very carefully to the testimony about any deadly weapon, because we're going to be talking a lot

about it in closing argument. And we're going to maintain that the State is not going to be able to prove that beyond a reasonable doubt.

So again, I respectfully implore you to withhold all judgment until this entire thing is done. And counsel and I will then argue what happened. But that's why you've been chosen. And I thank you for your attention over the next few days.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel.

(COURT/CLERK CONFER.)

THE COURT: All right. That concludes the opening statements. As I said, we'll start the presentation of evidence. The State may call its first witness.

MR. LEXIS: Judge, can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure. Okay.

We're going to have to go out to the sidebar.

All right. I'll meet you there.

(Sidebar begins.)

THE COURT: Well, we'll get our exercise during this process.

MR. LEXIS: I just want to make sure this is the time you want us to admit everything because we're going to start using them now. So I'm going to say State moves to admit State's 1 through 18 right now. There's 2 -- I told you there was 20.

Page 11

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10
              THE COURT:
 1
                          Yeah.
 2
              MR. LEXIS: 2 of them are Court's exhibits.
 3
    court exhibits are the JOC, and the AV --
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 5
              MR. LEXIS: -- affidavit.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay.
 7
              MR. LEXIS: So I'm just going to approach your Clerk
 8
    real quick to make sure what number -- I've made them the last
 9
    two, but I just want to make sure they're still the last two.
              THE COURT:
                          That's fine.
10
                          And then I'll say, State's moves to
11
              MR. LEXIS:
    admit 1 to 18, and court's exhibits 19 and 20.
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. Court exhibits --
14
              MR. LEXIS: Were not to go back to the jury.
15
              THE COURT: -- not to go back to the jury.
              MR. LEXIS:
16
                          Yeah.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Well, I mean, you aren't moving to admit
    those.
            I mean, the court exhibits --
18
                          Well, I am going to --
19
              MR. LEXIS:
              THE COURT:
                          -- are going --
20
                          -- admit them.
              MR. LEXIS:
21
              THE COURT:
22
                          Well, I mean --
23
              MR. LEXIS:
                          As court exhibits.
                          Well, you don't -- court exhibits are
24
              THE COURT:
25
    there for just the Court. I mean, the -- we don't -- I assume
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 you're not -- these -- what are they, the JOC for possession, 2 there's a possession --3 MR. LEXIS: [Inaudible] -- it's a -- it's a -- it's felony conviction. So yeah, I mean, that's --4 5 THE COURT: What's the felony conviction? What 6 element does it prove? 7 MR. LEXIS: The burglary. Right. And since -- I -look, we could -- we could go on the fact that it's -- he was 8 9 going in with the intent --THE COURT: All right. 10 11 MR. LEXIS: -- to commit a grand larceny or a robbery and that's obviously part of it. But we're entitled 12 13 that he [inaudible] --THE COURT: Well --14 MR. LEXIS: -- just going in to commit a larceny. 15 16 And in order for us to have -- to be able to do that, we need to admit the entire JOC. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Well, you -- I assume you're not contesting the prior --19 20 MR. HILL: No, no, no. 21 THE COURT: -- burglary. 22 MR. HILL: So that's coming in as a court exhibit. 23 And then we're just going to -- we're just marking the booking 24 photo for ID. 25 MR. LEXIS: Yes. We'll -- we'll mark that for --

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 MR. SCARBOROUGH: It's an exhibit, but I just won't 2 publish it. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. MR. SCARBOROUGH: It's going to still be 4 5 [inaudible] --MR. HILL: Well, [inaudible] it's an exhibit then 7 it's going to go back to the jury. MR. LEXIS: Yeah. We'll have that as -- for now 8 9 we'll have that as a court exhibit. 10 MR. HILL: All right. Well, that's true. 11 call. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. LEXIS: So I'm going to have three court 14 exhibits. 15 THE COURT: All right. Let's -- I'll figure out how 16 I want to deal -- just for right now, just move to admit 17 Exhibits 1 through 18, and we'll deal with the Court exhibits 18 off the record -- or not off the record -- without the jury 19 present. 20 MR. LEXIS: And I'll -- I'll do 1 through 20 and then we'll -- because that's all of them. And then we'll mess 21 22 around with the court exhibits that I do want. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MR. LEXIS: Okay? 25 THE COURT: All right. And I'll ask you if you

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10
 1
    agree and then I'll admit them.
 2
                           (End of sidebar.)
 3
              THE COURT: All right. The State may call its first
    witness.
 4
 5
              MR. LEXIS: Judge, the State moves to admit Exhibits
 6
    1 through 20.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection to the
 8
    admission of Exhibits 1 through 20?
 9
              MR. HILL: No objection, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Exhibits 1 through 20 will
10
   be admitted.
11
12
             (State's Exhibits 1 through 20 are admitted.)
13
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Okay. With that, Your Honor, the
14
    State calls Bryan Laws.
15
              THE COURT: All right.
16
              THE MARSHAL: What was his name?
17
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Bryan Laws. No, he's going to be
    out there.
18
19
              THE MARSHAL: Okay.
20
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: I think.
21
              I took the lapel off but can you hear me?
2.2
              THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.
23
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Okay.
24
               (COURT RECORDER/MR. SCARBOROUGH CONFER.)
25
              THE COURT: All right. Sir, if you'd come up to the
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 witness stand. There's a step there. Be careful on that. 2 When you get on the top there, stay standing for just a 3 second. Our Clerk over here will swear you in. 4 BRYAN LAWS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 5 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and 8 last name for the record. 9 THE WITNESS: Bryan Laws, B-r-y-a-n, L-a-w-s. 10 THE CLERK: Thank you. THE COURT: Can I get you to scoot up just a little 11 bit closer? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: As you can see, we've got -- we need to rely heavily on microphones. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Because of all of the plexiglass it's hard to -- it muffles the sound so. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Of course. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 Whenever you're ready, counsel. 22 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Thank you. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 25 Good morning, Mr. Laws. Q.

A. How you doing.

- Q. Thank you for coming in.
 How are you currently employed today?
- A. Right now, I am a MIT which is a manager-in-training for Foot Locker Company.
- Q. Are you located at any particular Foot Locker in the Vegas Valley?
- A. Yes, sir. Downtown Summerlin Foot Locker.
- Q. Okay. Now, I want to take you back to September 20th, 2019. Were you employed in nearly the same capacity but just at a different store?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Which Foot Locker were you employed at on September 20th, 2019?
 - A. That was Fashion Show Foot Locker on the Strip location.
 - Q. Okay. Now, is the Fashion Show Foot Locker located here in Clark County Las Vegas, Nevada?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, taking you to September 20th, 2019. In your capacity as a manager, what were your basic duties?
 - A. My basic duties were the normal; cash exchange, computer, what is it called, like just going through the regulars, register operations, returning and receiving merchandise, helping customers out, customers -- customer

expectations in short as layman terms.

- Q. So based on what you're telling me and the members of the jury, you're -- are you very familiar with inventory management, the pricing systems, and the merchandise that's in that store at all times?
- A. Yes, sir. Being a manager you have to have those qualities.
- Q. Okay. Now, in terms of any type of loyalty program the business has, does the Foot Locker business have a loyalty program?
- A. Yes, we do.

5.

- O. What is that?
- A. It's called the FLX, which we call "flex". It's a loyalty system to build points for the customers. Every dollar that you spend is 100 points towards the program so it helps the customers a lot.
- Q. So in order to sign up for a loyalty program, how does one representative like yourself sign up a potential customer to the Foot Locker loyalty program you're referring to as FLX?
- A. During customer service. So as soon as they walk in the door, we are trained to introduce it right then and there. But at the end of our transaction we all -- or the beginning of our transaction when they're leaving the store we always give them the option to sign up. It's an option, so.

- Q. Now, when you say it's an option, is your primary focus on the transaction to conduct a sale for the business?
 - A. Yes, sir.

7.

- Q. So oftentimes, regularly, sometimes people just don't sign up for that?
- A. Yeah, they don't want the e-mails and stuff. But a lot of people do though. It helps them out.
- Q. Okay. So in terms of when you're purchasing merchandise that we just went over, sometimes people don't sign up. But what about when people return merchandise, is it a little bit more common for people to sign up for the loyalty program then?
- A. Yeah. It also helps us as management with our system with -- with the locate and bringing the merchandise back on into the system with inventory, it helps us out as well too. And then when the customer wants to make another return, their information is already in there, so they can be helped out quickly.
- Q. Okay. And would you say that's more common upon returns because the customer actually wants something back from you?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Yeah. So they're a little bit more compelled to give you information?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. So the type of information that you get and when you sign up people for that loyalty program, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury just the basic types of information you receive from a customer?
- A. Yes, sir. Just first name, last name, phone number and e-mail.
- Q. Okay. And then when that information is received by the representative, what do they do with that? Do they log it into some database or anything like that?
- A. Yeah. We actually have to log it into our Foot

 Locker -- FLX Database which is on the register computer which

 the customers have access to reach at their homes as well.
- Q. Now, once that information is logged into that database is that stored as a part of a business record until it's actually deleted by a representative?
- A. Yes, sir. It's there until the customer actually calls customer service and doesn't want it anymore, or until a year span, so if you guys don't come back to Foot Locker in a year's span it will go away.
- Q. So that reward system, and when someone gives their personal identification you had indicated before that it helps the store and it helps facilitate later transactions. What do you mean by that?
- A. Yes, sir. It helps with records of -- records of receipts so if it happened in a case they didn't -- they lost

their receipt. With that FLX system it actually helps us bring that receipt back up for them.

- Q. Now, those purchases that it keeps record of, it is directly tied to the name that the person gives you when they sign up for that rewards program?
- A. Yes, sir. Actually, on the paper receipt when it's printed, the name of the FLX comes up.
- Q. Okay. So now that we've gotten through all that and your basic duties, now I want to talk to you about September 20th, 2019. Did something out of the ordinary happen that causes you to come into court today?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- Q. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury just the basic events of what went down that day and what you were doing?
- A. Normal day at the Locker. I had a lot of customers in to buy shoes. Had two random gentlemen come in and happened to take a handful of NBA official basketball jerseys.
- Q. So you said on that day two random gentlemen came in and took a handful of NBA basketball jerseys; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Sorry. Sometimes the mask is a little muffling so.
- 23 A. Man.
- Q. I don't mean to repeat.
- 25 A. You're good.

- Q. So those two gentlemen, eventually they come in. And do they come in at the same time?
- A. No, they actually don't. One comes in after another.
- Q. Okay. And then what do those two gentlemen do when they enter the store?
- A. I was the only manager on duty. One gentlemen comes in. He tries to return two items. One had a Champs receipt, the other one didn't. With our conduct with being a manager, customer service is always a first. So we always put the customer first.

So me trying to -- he brought those -- he brought the things in, tried to return them. One thing did go through and we were actually able to return it. The other thing was not able to go through, so I couldn't help him out.

Q. Okay. So let's kind of break it up.

Now, you said there were two random men. Let's describe the one who actually had the transaction that went through.

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Can you describe that gentleman?
- A. Middle -- middle-age gentleman, dark skin, kind of an Afro, black and gray kind of.
 - Q. Okay. Was he African-American?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 Q. Okay. And then what were the -- what -- do you remember what type of clothing he was wearing? Α. Yes, sir. 0. What --Α. It was a ---- was he wearing? 0. -- a -- like -- I would say it's like a polo Α. cardigan. It was like a zip up. It had different stripes and it was dark blue and gray stripes. Okay. So you indicated that there were two. So the other gentleman that came in a little bit after him, can you describe him? Yes, sir. Middle-aged man. He was kind of -- he was an African-American male, light skinned, and he had a bald head. Okay. So those two men that walk in, you say they Q. conduct like some type of return transaction at the register? Α. Yes, sir. Were you working that register? Q. Α. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. You indicated that one of the items went through. Α. Um-h'm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Yes?

Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. So what happens after that? So a return was made. Then what happens?
- A. A return was made. He tried to return another item. When we scan our items into our computer, it shows that the item was not bought from our Foot Locker. It wasn't purchased by the Foot Locker company and it lets you know, unable to take item back.

So returned the first item. The second item I couldn't return. I just politely gave it back to him. Hey, if you guys need anymore help, my name is Bryan, but I can't take this, so.

- Q. Okay. So just to go through this real quick, sometimes -- is it common actually for Foot Locker representatives to return items without receipts?
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. Why is that common?
- A. It's just customer service at the same time. So if a customer comes in with a worn shoe and they didn't like it or whatever, it's just our policy and how we do things at Foot Locker, the customer is always right, so it's just an even exchange.
- Q. Now, when you return items without a receipt are you -- as a Foot Locker business, are you giving them cash every time or is there a certain protocol that you follow when they don't have a receipt upon return?

- A. So no customer with receipt, either gets a merch -- a merchandise or gift -- merchandise gift card which is issued by Foot Locker, or an even exchange in store for the price that the -- the item was returned for.
- Q. Okay. So just to walk it back to those two gentlemen that go to the store, they -- one of them issues a return, one of them is successful. Then you said that they took a bunch of NBA jerseys.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Walk the ladies and gentlemen of the jury through that.
- A. Of course. So after we finish with the return, it was just a normal day. They're shopping. I told them my name. Let them know if they need anymore help or have anymore questions I can help them out.
- It kind of threw me off with the second transaction with the non-receipt. With us, that's a red flag with us. And we're taught to just keep customer service at -- at its best. So not give up anything or not assume that anybody's doing anything wrong.
- Once that happened, I let my team know, which is Pink Laces. Pink Laces in layman's terms in the retail industry is theft. So when I called out Pink Laces --
- Q. Let me --
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. -- stop you there. So at some point, the activity of these two gentlemen raised your suspicion so much that you -- and -- you announced Pink Laces?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you said "pink laces" is a term that you use to communicate amongst members of your store?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Used to communicate what message?
 - A. Theft.
- Q. Theft?

- 11 A. Theft. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. So what activity of these two gentlemen, aside from that if any, the return, aroused your suspicion so much to say "pink laces" to the other members of your store?
 - A. Honestly, it was the return. Because when you get a return like that, it usually -- it usually could take care of any -- any and every return that comes into the store.

If the item was stolen from the store with our inventory and everything, with everybody's inventory, our auditor actually puts it in the system. So when a -- when something comes up, it's -- it says it clear on the computer, this is not able to return, red flag.

So that was my first suspicion right there. But can't go off that. So I just gave them the best customer service that I could.

- Q. Okay. So those two gentlemen, what activities were they doing in the store while you were observing them and then eventually saying or relaying the "pink laces" message? What were those two gentlemen doing?
- A. They were browsing. One of the gentlemen were actually by the -- the jerseys already. They were --
 - Q. Which gentlemen are you referring to?
- A. The light skinned gentlemen with the -- the balder head.
- Q. Okay.

- A. They were actually looking at the jerseys and I turned -- I actually was able to turn around and like observe my floor just to see what's going on and everything. And once I got that feeling -- if you work at Foot Locker so long you get that feeling and you know what's going on. So once I got that feeling, I had to call it out. It's only right.
- And if I was wrong, then they could have just walked out there, so.
- Q. Okay. So that gentleman, do you recall the type of jerseys -- you recall the NBA jerseys?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I guess we could differentiate -- differentiate them by players.
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Do you remember what they were by or --

- A. Of course.
- O. Which --

- A. Of course.
- Q. -- which players?
- A. It LeBron James, plays for the Los Angeles Lakers. Anthony Davis, who just got traded to the Los Angeles Lakers this year as well too. And then All Star Rookie Zion Williamson.
- Q. And so those were the jerseys that you remember the light skinned gentlemen with the bald head standing by?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall what the other gentleman with the Afro and the striped sweater, what he was doing?
- A. He was at the register with me until we finished the return. And then once he -- once we finished the return he went to proceed to follow his -- the person that he came in with.
- Q. And the person that he came in with, or you saw him go towards was the bald gentleman?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So eventually something happens to bring you to court today. What happens with those gentlemen as they --
- A. After I called out "pink laces". We have a lot of young kids that work in there. I told my part-timer, hey, I just need you guys to give them the best customer service that

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there?

Α.

It was a -- a -- a -- they were bee-lining it for

the door if that's more -- they would -- they were trying to get out of there.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

- A. In a quickly fashion.
- Q. Now, what did you do in response to those people running out of the store with those jerseys?
- A. The first thing I said was, hey, turned to the side. They looked at me. Kept it pushing. I grabbed the -- the store cell phone or the store phone. I was like, no. Called Metro. As soon as I called outside, I gave Metro the description and here today.
- Q. Okay. So you had indicated that you actually called Metro?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And did you dial 911?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And then as you were going outside of the store and you were calling Metro, did something significant happen too, when you were pursuing those two people?
- A. Yes, sir. The -- actually the -- I got -- I guess I got too far from the store with the store phone and the store service actually went out. And then I had to call Metro from my cell phone.
- Q. Okay. What happened in the interim with one of the gentlemen?

A. Um --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

- Q. What did one of the gentlemen do that was significant?
- A. While calling Metro with my cell phone, the gentlemen were -- I want to say the south parking lot by Dillards, the Dillards parking lot, which is, I want to say the south point, were at the back corner of the parking lot. And they were taking all the jerseys off the hangers.

And me -- in the same time as me talking, calling the 911 operator back, a gentleman upped his shirt (indicating) and flashed something. And --

- Q. Okay. Let me stop you there.
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So you made a physical gesture. Every time you make a physical gesture I'm going to make a record of it so we can type it down.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So as you were sitting there, for the members of the jury, you used your right hand. You mimicked as though you were pulling your shirt up --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. -- to expose something.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. SCARBOROUGH: Correct, [inaudible]?
- MR. HILL: Correct.

A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you saw it?

Q. Yes? So when he lifted his shirt up you said you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pulling your shirt up and then you made a gesture as if you

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 were on the phone and that -- you're putting your hands up. 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. So you put your hands up indicating what? Safety. I was --4 Α. 5 Okay. Q. -- terrified. 6 Α. 7 You were terrified? 0. I didn't -- didn't know what the -- what 8 Yeah. Α. 9 their motive was at all. It was a --10 And did you stop pursuing them with the store's 11 merchandise because he held that gun up to you? 12 Yeah. Yeah, it's not that serious. Α. 1.3 What is, the pursuing the merchandise in the face of Q. 14 a gun? 15 Of course. Α. Yes. 16 Q. So eventually you stopped pursuing them; correct? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Q. And those two people, you lose sight of them? 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 Q. Okay. And you said you were on the phone the entire 21 time or --22 Α. Um --23 Q. -- with 911? 24 Α. I was on -- on the phone with 911 for at least five 25 minutes on the store phone and then once we disconnected when

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10
 1
              Can everyone hear or is it too muffled?
              THE COURT: It's -- needs to be a little louder.
 2
 3
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: All right.
 4
              THE COURT: I'm having trouble hearing it.
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Fair enough. So I'm as close as I
 5
 6
    can get to the microphone. Do you want me to pull this
    microphone down to the speaker?
          (State's Exhibit 5 - 911 call - continues playing.)
 8
 9
    BY MR. SCARBOROUGH:
               So I'm pausing the 911 call, Mr. Laws, at 30
10
11
    seconds, just to double check.
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Can every one of the jury hear
12
13
    this?
14
              UNIDENTIFIED JURORS:
                                     Yes. Yes.
15
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: And I'm eeing all nodding in
16
    affirmatives.
17
              Can Madam Recorder hear it?
18
              THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.
19
              MR. SCARBOROUGH: Okay.
20
    BY MR. SCARBOROUGH:
21
               So, Mr. Laws, you heard a portion that I just
22
    stopped at, of that 911 call.
23
              Yes, sir.
         Α.
24
              Is that you?
         Q.
25
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 And is this you calling about this event? Q. 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 Okay. Pressing "play" at 30 seconds and continuing. Q. (State's Exhibit 5 - 911 call - continues playing.) 4 5 MR. SCARBOROUGH: So I'm going to pause it right there at 1:22. 6 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: We just heard the brief disconnect. Is that what 8 0. 9 you were referring to, as you lost service on the phone? 10 Α. Yes, sir. Okay. And then did you subsequently call right 11 Q. 12 back? 13 Yes, sir. 14 Q. And you're still going through this sequence of 15 events and you're narrating to the 911 as it's unfolding? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Q. Okay. 18 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Pressing "play" at minute 1:22 of Exhibit -- State's Exhibit 5. 19 20 (State's Exhibit 5 - 911 call - continues playing.) BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 21 22 Q. Okay. So that concludes the 911 call that you 23 placed on that day, that's State's Exhibit 5. Correct? 24 .Yes, sir. Α. 25 0. Now, you had also indicated that you saw the gun

fall out; correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

22

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So you are positive they had a weapon?
- A. Yeah. I know exactly what it looked like.
- Q. Okay. So of the two gentlemen, the one that you described as bald, light skinned, is that person in the courtroom today?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you please point to that person, describe an article of clothing they are wearing, and the location that that person is in the courtroom, please?
- A. Sure. The gentleman sitting right there, he had on the black and gray sweater with the black pants.
- Q. You are saying that that's the person who had the black and gray sweater at the time of the event; correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir. Um-h'm.
 - Q. Okay. What does that person that you're describing, as the person at the event, wearing right now?
 - A. The gentleman in the blue, he's not here.
- Q. Okay. The one who was bald and the one who was not in -- the one who flashed the gun is not here?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. The one who didn't flash, the gun, is he
- 24 here?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. So the reason why I'm asking you to describe an article clothing that we have now --
 - A. Um-h'm.
 - O. -- is for the record.
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

8

15

- Q. So when you're -- when you pointed at the gentleman
 in the courtroom --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. -- what is that gentleman wearing right now?
- 10 A. He is wearing a white shirt and a black and gold 11 tie.
- Q. And again, to reiterate, that's the man that was in the store that day with the man who flashed that gun?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And who ran out of the store with all that merchandise?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 MR. SCARBOROUGH: May the record reflect
- 19 identification of the defendant, Andre Snipes?
- 20 THE COURT: All right. The record will so reflect.
- 21 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH:
- Q. Okay. Now, you had indicated on the 911 call that
- 23 you saw that they stole over damn near -- and I'm quoting you
- $24 \mid -- $5,000$ worth of merchandise.
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Just to be fair, you didn't count exactly how many jerseys that they had in their hand; right?
- A. No. They were walking out too fast. It was the heat of the moment. I was speaking on emotion and energy and super upset I got stolen from. I was the only manager there at the time, too, so.
 - Q. And that's totally fair.

So in terms of your experience, your training and your role as a manager, are you familiar with the pricing of those jerseys --

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q. -- that you had just referred to?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. So like the Zion Williamson Rookie Jersey?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. As someone who's familiar and required to interact with that inventory and the pricing --
- 18 A. Um-h'm.
- Q. -- what does the regular NBA jersey go for, at Foot Locker, such as a Zion Williamson jersey?
- A. A standard Nike NBA jersey, official price, it doesn't matter what team it is, is going to be \$110.
- 23 Q. \$110.
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And if there were receipts that, you know,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being inventory taken from your store on LeBron James --

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 Yes, sir. Α. 2 0. -- Zion Williamson --3 Yes, sir. Α. -- and Anthony Davis. 4 0. 5 Yes, sir. Α. 6 Okay. Now, you had indicated on the 911 call that Q. 7 you have CCTV? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 Video surveillance? Q. 10 Yes, sir. Α. Okay. And you're familiar with the video 11 12 surveillance system in your store? 13 Of course. Α. 14 Q. Okay. 15 MR. SCARBOROUGH: For the record, I am putting into my laptop State's Exhibit 1, which would be "Nike Video 16 17 Surveillance". 18 May I approach your Clerk for return exhibits? 19 THE COURT: Actually, I want you to hold onto those 20 for right now and we'll have you --21 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Sanitize them or something? 22 THE COURT: -- well, sanitize them, but I think what 23 we'll do is, unless Mr. Hill has a concern we'll have you guys 24 hold onto them and at least for the -- through the day and we'll -- to minimize the going back and forth with the Clerk. 25

I promise, I'm credible, this is going to work.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 THE COURT: I was going to say, are we still in the 2 moment? MR. SCARBOROUGH: Yes. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'm credible, too. BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 6 7 Okay. So right now we are looking at the video 0. 8 surveillance of what? 9 This is --Α. 10 What -- what is this depicting right now that we're Q. 11 playing? 12 This is the front of Fashion Show Foot Locker. Α. 13 Okay. And I'm going to fast forward. The player is Q. at 16:30 right now on September 20th, 2019. So it's in 14 15 military time. So I'm going to fast forward to around 16:33 16 on the player. 17 (State's Exhibit 4 -- video surveillance - playing.) BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 18 Okay. And I'm pausing it right now at 16:33 and 13 19 Q. 20 Do we see multiple people in the doorway? seconds. 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 0. Okay. And then we see two people entering the 23 business; correct? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Q. The one with the striped sweater that has more white

in it, the one who is actually entering the business first.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is that the man you identified in this courtroom?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

5

6

8

- Q. Okay. So you -- these are the two individuals that you have been describing this entire time?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And this is them entering the business.

9 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Okay. And for time purposes,

- 10 since it takes forever to load up the video, I'm going to go
- 11 to the 4th file.
- 12 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH:
- Q. And again, my apologies. It will take a bit to
- 14 load up. And once we load it up I'll ask you some questions.
- 15 Okay, sir?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Well, let me actually walk you back while this is
- 18 | loading up. So I want to hem down a certain value, okay, in
- 19 terms of the jerseys.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. You have testified to the members of the jury that
- 22 there were 15 jerseys taken.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Fifteen jerseys from three players, of LeBron James,

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 Α. Yeah. 2 For --Ο. 3 Yes, sir. Α. -- 15 NBA jerseys in the store? 4 Q. 5 Yes, sir. Α. 6 Q. Okay. 7 THE COURT: It's working. 8 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Thank you. I appreciate that. 9 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: Okay. So as the player again, it's at 9/20/2019. 10 Q. We're at 16:30 on the player military time. 11 What portion of the store is this depicting? 12 This is the front right-hand side of the store. 13 Α. That corner right there is the women's wall. I know that for 14 15 a fact. Okay. So I'm going to fast forward on this player. 16 Q. I'll stop there. 17 Did we see the gentleman in the striped shirt 18 touching those clothes over there just briefly? 19 20 Yeah, shopping, browsing. Α. 21 Q. Okay. THE COURT: Where did you stop? 22 23 MR. SCARBOROUGH: I stopped at 16:34. He's not in 2.4 the frame. I just didn't catch it at this time.

THE COURT: I know. I just want to make a record of where you stop.

MR. SCARBOROUGH: Thank you, sir.

(State's Exhibit 4, video surveillance, continues playing.)
BY MR. SCARBOROUGH:

- Q. Okay. Fast forwarding. Now, I'll stop at 16:34 and 40 seconds. Those are the two gentlemen that we've been referring to this entire time?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Touching merchandise in your store?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'm pressing play on this. Fast forwarding.
- 15 (State's Exhibit 4, video surveillance, continues playing.)
 16 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH:
- Q. Okay. Now, pressing play at 16:43 and 49 seconds.

 I'm trying to save time here and not miss it. So
- 19 pressing fast forward.
- Okay. Now, pressing play at 16:44 and 46 seconds.
- 21 As we look and see the -- the people walking out, are those
- 22 the two men walking out of your store with handfuls of items?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And stopping at 16:44. Sorry. 16:44 and 58 seconds. Is that you right there?

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 Yes, sir. 1 Α. 2 And that's you on the phone? 0. Yes, sir. 3 Α. 4 Pursuing those two men with all those items walking 0. 5 out of your store? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 Okay. So ultimately --Q. MR. SCARBOROUGH: Judge, do I -- can I use the Doc 8 9 Cam? I can approach the witness to have him identify these exhibits or to go through them? 10 THE COURT: Uh --11 12 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Do you want me to go here? THE COURT: No, well, they're -- they've been 13 14 admitted. MR. SCARBOROUGH: 15 Yes. THE COURT: And are -- have these been admitted? 16 17 What exhibit numbers are we talking about? MR. SCARBOROUGH: State's Exhibit 7, Exhibit 9, and 18 19 Exhibit 17. 20 I'll just walk him through on the Doc Cam. THE COURT: Well --21 22 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Since they're admitted. 23 fair? 24 THE COURT: -- why don't you come here so I can see what one's you're -- you're --25

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 -- after this event? 1 Q. Yes, sir. 2 Α. Okay. And then what I'm showing you on State's 3 0. 4 Exhibit No. 7, do you recognize what I'm showing you as the --5 the lineup form? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 Okay. And were you read this lineup? Were you read Q. 8 this paragraph? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. And then did you sign here, acknowledging 11 that you were read this paragraph? 12 Α. Yes. Yes, sir. 13 Okay. Now, flipping to -- there were only two pages 14 that you were shown; correct? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Okay. So they're --MR. SCARBOROUGH: For the record, there is a third 17 page that this witness wasn't shown that I won't show this 18 witness. 19 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 20 21 So we're looking at a six-pack photo arrangement; Q. 22 correct? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Okay. And then if we look at position No. 5, we see 0. 25 writing on it with an initial. Is that your writing?

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 Yes, sir. Α. 2 And is that who you identified as someone who was in 0. 3 the store that day? Yes, sir. 4 A. Okay. And then flipping back to the first page, in 5 0. 6 this paragraph, did you write an explanation as to kind of why 7 you're sure? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Okay. And you wrote, His hair was a bit shorter, 0. 10 facial hair match, and you identified that man as Andre Snipes? 11 12 Yes, sir. Α. Okay. Now, you also identified the other man that 13 Q. 14 was in the store as well; correct? 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Q. Okay. 17 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Publishing State's Exhibit 9. BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 18 19 Again, we're looking at the same form; correct? Q. 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 Q. The photo lineup form. You were read this 22 paragraph? 23 Α. Um-h'm. 24 Q. And you --25 THE COURT: You have to answer "yes" or "no".

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry. 2 MR. SCARBOROUGH: I've got to catch that. 3 me. BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 4 So and then you signed acknowledging you were read 5 Q. 6 that -- or that paragraph? 7 Yes, sir. Α. Okay. And then you were shown this arrangement of 8 0. 9 photographs; correct? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 0. And then Number 2 is the man that you circled and initialed? 12 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 Okay. And then flipping back to the front, you wrote, "same guy, no glasses," again, just to indicate your 15 16 surety. 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Q. Yes? Okay. And that's the man you identified as 19 the man holding the gun that day? 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'm publishing State's 17. 23 BY MR. SCARBOROUGH: 24 That's the man you identified as holding the gun? Q. 25 Α. Yes, sir.

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10 1 Q. Okay. 2 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Brief indulgence, Your Honor --THE COURT: Sure. 3 4 MR. SCARBOROUGH: -- please? 5 (MR. SCARBOROUGH/MR. LEXIS CONFER.) 6 MR. SCARBOROUGH: Thank you, Mr. Laws. 7 I'll pass the witness. 8 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we clean the 9 witness stand area real quick and we'll let Mr. Hill do 10 cross-examination. MR. SCARBOROUGH: I'm going to leave all that up for 11 12 Mr. Hill. 13 THE COURT: Not the witness stand, the testimony 14 stand, or the attorney's stand. THE COURT: All right. Whenever you're ready, Mr. 15 Hill. 16 17 MR. HILL: If I may I have quick moment, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. Take your time. Do what you need 18 19 to do. 20 (Pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Are you about to play something? 21 22 MR. HILL: I am just teeing up State's 5, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. HILL: And then we'll go into it. 25 11

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HILL: 3 Good morning, sir. Q. 4 Α. Good morning, sir. 5 Q. I have some -- a question just about the timing of 6 events that occurred on that --7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Q. -- day; okay? 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 So we'll start with, you said we have a couple of Q. 11 gentlemen walking in. And I want to specifically direct your 12 attention to just the return process. 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 All right. Would you -- tell the jury how you'd Q. 15 like to differentiate between the two guys and we'll use that shorthand like --16 17 As in --Α. 18 -- bald head and Afro, I mean, how -- what -- what's 19 a good way for you to know who I'm talking about? 20 Heavy set gentleman, heavier setter gentleman, Α. 21 darker skin, Afro. 22 Okay. So we'll do the heavy set gentleman is who 23 approached in relation to returns, is that --24 Yes, sir. Α. 25 0. -- right?

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. All right. And did you tell the State that you actually effectuated some returns? Like did you actually do some returns?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And so the heavier set gentleman comes into the store. Did he immediately approach the --
- A. The register --
 - Q. -- point of sale, the register for the returns?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. So he walked into the store and immediately went to do some returns?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you accept the return -- you didn't accept returns on all the items; did you?
- A. No, sir. It was two items, and I only accepted one return.
- Q. All right. But you did -- how did you give that return?
 - A. They had a receipt for one of the returns.
- Q. Right. I'm sorry. I should have been more specific. Was -- did you put it back on a card, did you give them cash?
- 24 A. It was via a debit card.
- 25 Q. Debit card. So it went back onto a debit card?

- Q. -- right? And this isn't just one, two or three minutes, right? It's probably a minimum of five, up to ten minutes while you're dealing with the return?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

- Q. All right. And then the heavy set gentleman, after the return discussion, he starts walking around the store, right?
- A. The shop. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. And then, to shop?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then they walk around for a little bit longer; isn't that right?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And again, not just a few seconds of them walking around after the return, true?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. But probably a number of minutes, right?
- A. No, sir. After the return, the other gentleman that's thinner, was then he actually was at the jerseys already, and the heavy set gentleman, after I couldn't take the second return, he actually walked back to the second
- Q. All right. But then they were there for a little bit?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

gentleman.

- Q. All right. And, in fact, he didn't walk over to join the thin guy until after you said, no, I'm not taking this back?
- A. It's not that I couldn't take it back, it's just that I wouldn't take it back, because I couldn't.
 - Q. All right. So not until you inform him of that --
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. -- does he then walk over to the thin gentleman with the other jerseys?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And then there's still a little bit -- a passage of time before the two guys leave; isn't that right?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. All right. So about how long?
- A. After the return, I would say two minutes. I looked to my right and they're walking out.
- 17 Q. All right. So about two minutes.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. So in other words, it wasn't immediate?
- 20 A. No, it wasn't immediate.
- 21 Q. All right. Very good.
- So after they enter the store, then he approaches the register and the thin guy is walking around shopping;
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

right?

- Q. All right. So after they enter the store, we can agree, it was about 12 minutes before they walked out with the merchandise?
 - A. Give or take.
- Q. All right. In fact, we were up here for a number of minutes when Mr. Scarborough was fast forwarding through a long security video before we watched them walk out; right?
 - A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. I want to talk a little bit about the number of jerseys, so the amount; okay?
- As we sit here today, you will agree, you can't rattle off skews to this jury in relation to -- about what was taken; right?
- A. As in giving a direct skew for the jersey?
- 15 Q. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 16 A. No, sir. Because --
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 A. -- different jerseys have different skews.
- Q. All right. And each -- each jersey has a different skew?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Can you tell the jury what a skew is?
- A. A skew is a form of recommendation that the -- that the Nike company, when they send us the jerseys, that they come in. So basically a skew is the box that it comes in and

how you -- how you find it in our computer system or online or via anything else.

- Q. Right. And so what we're not able to do today is tell this jury, list one-by-one the specific number and the specific types of jerseys that were taken. We can't do that, right?
 - A. As in, on paper, like as in a -- as in a receipt?
- Q. I'm talking about right now, that's not something we could do; isn't that right?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

- Q. All right. And you spent some time with the State kind of surmising or piecing together how many jerseys were taken; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. I think you even -- you told the State that they -- the hangers went with the jerseys; right?
- 17 A. Yes. Into the parking lot.
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 A. Until they got rid of them.
- Q. And did you -- did you take those?
- 21 A. The hangers?
- Q. Yeah.
- A. No, sir. They got thrown away.
- Q. All right. So -- I'm sorry?
- 25 A. They got thrown -- the hangers?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

MR. HILL: Sorry, Judge. May I -- I'm going to

```
C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10
    publish State's Exhibit 17, please, Judge.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              MR. HILL: Very well. Thank you, Judge.
    BY MR. HILL:
 4
 5
         Q.
              I want to talk briefly about -- so we talked about
    something being flashed to you in the parking garage; right?
 6
 7
         A.
              Yes, sir.
 8
              All right. And just -- I want to briefly talk about
         Q.
 9
    who that was; all right?
              Yes, sir.
10
         Α.
11
         Q.
              So there was two guys who came in.
12
         Α.
              Um-h'm.
13
         Q.
              One of them was --
14
              THE COURT: You need to answer "yes" or "no". I'm
15
    sorry.
16
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17
    BY MR. HILL:
18
              One of them was the heavy set guy?
         Q.
              Yes, sir.
19
         Α.
20
         Q.
              You don't see the heavy set guy in the courtroom
21
    today?
22
         Α.
              I do not, sir.
23
         Q.
              Turning your attention to State's Exhibit 17, which
24
    is being published to the jury, is that the heavy set guy?
25
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
```

C-19-344461-2 | State of Nev. v. Andre Grant Snipes | JT Day 2 | 2020-11-10

- Q. Is that the guy who flashed something to you in the parking garage?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. All right. No one else flashed anything to you at any point in time during that day --
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. -- correct?
- And State's Exhibit 17, the heavy set guy, is who
- 9 flashed it; true?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. All right. I want to ask some questions about where
 12 the flashing occurred --
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- all right? Upon entering the store, nothing was flashed to you; true?
- 16 A. No, sir. Yes, sir. That's true.
- Q. And during the discussion at the register, nothing was flashed to you, right?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And during the period that they're in the store, nothing was flashed to you?
- 22 A. Exactly. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And after all the time that they were in the store, walking around, right? They were walking around?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.