#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVE SANSON, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND ROB LAUER, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellants,

Clerk of Supreme Court

**Electronically Filed** 

Elizabeth A. Brown

May 28 2021 01:28 p.m.

LAWRA KASSEE BULEN.

v.

Respondent.

Dist. Court Case No. A-18-784807-C

SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 82393

# ADDENDUM TO APPELLANTS' OPENING BRIEF ON APPEAL FROM THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

#### **VOLUME III**

# ADAM J. BREEDEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 008768

#### **BREEDEN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC**

376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 819-7770; Facsimile: (702) 819-7771 Adam@breedenandassociates.com Attorney for Appellants

DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	VOL.	PAGE(S)
Banerjee v. Cont'l Inc.	Ţ	ADDENDUM000001 -
Case No. 2:17-cv-00466-APG-GWF	1	ADDENDUM000010
Lacialativa History of Canata Dill 206	I/II	ADDENDUM000011 -
Legislative History of Senate Bill 286	1/11	ADDENDUM000220
Lacialativa History of Canata Dill 6205	III	ADDENDUM000221 -
Legislative History of Senate Bill 6395	111	ADDENDUM000262

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Breeden & Associates, PLLC, and on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was e-filed and e-served on all registered parties to the Supreme Court's electronic filing system.

Additionally, a hard copy of the Appendix with all documents on CD-ROM was served on Respondent by placing a copy in the US Mail, postage pre-paid, on the same date to:

Brandon L. Phillips, Esq.
BRANDON L. PHILLIPS ATTORNEY AT LAW PLLC
1455 E. Tropicana Avenue, Suite 750
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Attorneys for Respondent

/s/ Kristy L. Johnson

Attorney or Employee of Breeden & Associates, PLLC

#### SENATE BILL REPORT SR 6395

As Reported by Senate Committee On: Judiciary, January 20, 2010

Title: An act relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

Brief Description: Addressing lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

Sponsors: Senators Kline, Kauffman and Kohl-Welles.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Judiciary: 1/12/10, 1/19/10, 1/20/10 [DPS].

#### SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 6395 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Kline, Chair; Regala, Vice Chair; McCaslin, Ranking Minority Member: Carrell, Gordon, Hargrove, Kohl-Welles and Roach.

Staff: Lidia Mori (786-7755)

Background: Strategic lawsuits against public participation, or SLAPPs, are initiated to intimidate or retaliate against people who speak out about a matter of public concern. Typically, a person who institutes a SLAPP suit claims damages for defamation or interference with a business relationship resulting from a communication made by a person or group to the government or a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by the government. A 2003 Gonzaga law review article describes most SLAPPs as occurring in the commercial context with the lawsuits being filed against people or groups alleging environmental or consumer protection violations.

In 1989 the Legislature addressed the use of SLAPPs by creating immunity from civil liability for people who in good faith communicate a complaint or information to an agency of the federal, state, or local government or to a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by a government agency. In 2002 the anti-SLAPP statutes were amended to remove the requirement that the communication be in good faith and to allow statutory damages of \$10,000 to a person who prevails against a lawsuit based on a communication to

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Senate Bill Report - 1

SB 6395

a government agency or organization. The 2002 legislation also included a policy statement recognizing the constitutional threat of SLAPP litigation.

Summary of Bill (Recommended Substitute): The Legislature asserts that it is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process. The Legislature affirms its concern regarding lawsuits brought primarily to chill freedom of speech and petition, also known as strategic lawsuits against public participation.

An action involving public participation and petition is defined as including any oral or written statement submitted in connection with an issue under consideration by a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. It also includes any oral or written statement that is reasonably likely to encourage or enlist public participation in the consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. Any oral or written statement submitted in a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern is also an action involving public participation and petition. Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern is also considered to be an act involving public participation and petition.

A procedure is created for the speedy resolution of strategic lawsuits against public participation. The court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed on any motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition and to render its decision no later than seven days after the hearing is held. A person who is successful in pursuing a motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition is awarded costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and \$10,000. The court may award additional relief such as sanctions upon the moving party and its attorneys if it determines they are necessary to deter repetition of the conduct. If the court finds the motion to deny a claim is frivolous or is intended to cause unnecessary delay, it will award costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and an amount of \$10,000.

The general purpose of the law to protect participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts is to be applied and construed liberally.

EFFECT OF CHANGES MADE BY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE (Recommended Substitute): The court may award additional relief such as sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys if it determines they are necessary to deter repetition of the conduct.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Senate Bill Report

-2-

SB 6395

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Original Bill: PRO: Washington was the first state to create a statute addressing strategic lawsuits against public participation. Now we find that Washington is lagging behind other states in the protection afforded to people who engage in free speech and public participation. This bill is designed to inhibit lawsuits that are aimed at preventing public participation and petition. Claims that are valid can go forward in a timely manner but those that are initiated to hamper people who are exercising their right to speak out can be able to be resolved quickly.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Rowland Thompson, Allied Daily Newspaper; Bruce Johnson, law firm of Davis, Wright, Tremaine.

Senate Bill Report

-3-

SB 6395

#### SENATE BILL REPORT SSB 6395

As Passed Senate, February 16, 2010

Title: An act relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

Brief Description: Addressing lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

Sponsors: Senate Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Senators Kline, Kauffman and Kohl-Welles).

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Judiciary: 1/12/10, 1/19/10, 1/20/10 [DPS].

Passed Senate: 2/16/10, 46-0,

#### SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 6395 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Kline, Chair; Regala, Vice Chair; McCaslin, Ranking Minority Member; Carrell, Gordon, Hargrove, Kohl-Welles and Roach.

Staff: Lidia Mori (786-7755)

Background: Strategic lawsuits against public participation, or SLAPPs, are initiated to intimidate or retaliate against people who speak out about a matter of public concern. Typically, a person who institutes a SLAPP suit claims damages for defamation or interference with a business relationship resulting from a communication made by a person or group to the government or a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by the government. A 2003 Gonzaga law review article describes most SLAPPs as occurring in the commercial context with the lawsuits being filed against people or groups alleging environmental or consumer protection violations.

In 1989 the Legislature addressed the use of SLAPPs by creating immunity from civil liability for people who in good faith communicate a complaint or information to an agency of the federal, state, or local government or to a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by a government agency. In 2002 the anti-SLAPP statutes were amended to remove the requirement that the communication be in good faith and to allow statutory

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Senate Bill Report

- 1 -

SSB 6395

damages of \$10,000 to a person who prevails against a lawsuit based on a communication to a government agency or organization. The 2002 legislation also included a policy statement recognizing the constitutional threat of SLAPP litigation.

Summary of Substitute Bill: The Legislature asserts that it is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process. The Legislature affirms its concern regarding lawsuits brought primarily to chill freedom of speech and petition, also known as strategic lawsuits against public participation.

An action involving public participation and petition is defined as including any oral or written statement submitted in connection with an issue under consideration by a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. It also includes any oral or written statement that is reasonably likely to encourage or enlist public participation in the consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. Any oral or written statement submitted in a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern is also an action involving public participation and petition. Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern is also considered to be an act involving public participation and petition.

A procedure is created for the speedy resolution of strategic lawsuits against public participation. The court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed on any motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition and to render its decision no later than seven days after the hearing is held. A person who is successful in pursuing a motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition is awarded costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and \$10,000. The court may award additional relief such as sanctions upon the moving party and its attorneys if it determines they are necessary to deter repetition of the conduct. If the court finds the motion to deny a claim is frivolous or is intended to cause unnecessary delay, it will award costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and an amount of \$10,000.

The general purpose of the law to protect participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts is to be applied and construed liberally.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created; No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Original Bill: PRO: Washington was the first state to create a statute addressing strategic lawsuits against public participation. Now we find that Washington is lagging behind other states in the protection afforded to people who engage in free speech and public participation. This bill is designed to inhibit lawsuits that

Senate Bill Report

· - 2 -

SSB 6395

are aimed at preventing public participation and petition. Claims that are valid can go forward in a timely manner but those that are initiated to hamper people who are exercising their right to speak out can be able to be resolved quickly.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Rowland Thompson, Allied Daily Newspaper; Bruce Johnson, law firm of Davis, Wright, Tremaine.

Senate Bill Report

-3-

SSB 6395

# FINAL BILL REPORT SSB 6395

#### C 118 L 10

Synopsis as Enacted

**Brief Description**: Addressing lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

**Sponsors**: Senate Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Senators Kline, Kauffman and Kohl-Welles).

Senate Committee on Judiciary House Committee on Judiciary

Background: Strategic lawsuits against public participation, or SLAPPs, are initiated to intimidate or retaliate against people who speak out about a matter of public concern. Typically, a person who institutes a SLAPP suit claims damages for defamation or interference with a business relationship resulting from a communication made by a person or group to the government or a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by the government. A 2003 Gonzaga law review article describes most SLAPPs as occurring in the commercial context with the lawsuits being filed against people or groups alleging environmental or consumer protection violations.

In 1989 the Legislature addressed the use of SLAPPs by creating immunity from civil liability for people who in good faith communicate a complaint or information to an agency of the federal, state, or local government or to a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by a government agency. In 2002 the anti-SLAPP statutes were amended to remove the requirement that the communication be in good faith and to allow statutory damages of \$10,000 to a person who prevails against a lawsuit based on a communication to a government agency or organization. The 2002 legislation also included a policy statement recognizing the constitutional threat of SLAPP litigation.

Summary: The Legislature asserts that it is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process. The Legislature affirms its concern regarding lawsuits brought primarily to chill freedom of speech and petition, also known as strategic lawsuits against public participation.

An action involving public participation and petition is defined as including any oral or written statement submitted in connection with an issue under consideration by a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. It also includes any oral or

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative
members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it
constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Senate Bill Report	_ 1 _	SSR 6305
Schate Din Report	- I -	55B 6395

written statement that is reasonably likely to encourage or enlist public participation in the consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. Any oral or written statement submitted in a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern is also an action involving public participation and petition. Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern is also considered to be an act involving public participation and petition.

A procedure is created for the speedy resolution of strategic lawsuits against public participation. The court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed on any motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition and to render its decision no later than seven days after the hearing is held. A person who is successful in pursuing a motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition is awarded costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and \$10,000. The court may award additional relief such as sanctions upon the moving party and its attorneys if it determines they are necessary to deter repetition of the conduct. If the court finds the motion to deny a claim is frivolous or is intended to cause unnecessary delay, it will award costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and an amount of \$10,000.

The general purpose of the law to protect participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts is to be applied and construed liberally.

#### **Votes on Final Passage:**

Senate 46 0 House 96 0

Effective: June 10, 2010

C		2	Λ	2	c		1
$\sim$	_	. 5	4	1	n	-	-

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

1

#### SENATE BILL 6395

State of Washington

61st Legislature

2010 Regular Session

By Senators Kline, Kauffman, and Kohl-Welles

Read first time 01/13/10. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

AN ACT Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition; adding a new section to chapter 4.24 RCW; creating new sections; and prescribing penalties.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1**. (1) The legislature finds and declares that:
  - (a) It is concerned about lawsuits brought primarily to chill the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances;
  - (b) Such lawsuits, called "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation" or "SLAPPs," are typically dismissed as groundless or unconstitutional, but often not before the defendants are put to great expense, harassment, and interruption of their productive activities;
  - (c) The costs associated with defending such suits can deter individuals and entities from fully exercising their constitutional rights to petition the government and to speak out on public issues;
  - (d) It is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process; and

- (e) An expedited judicial review would avoid the potential for abuse in these cases.
  - (2) The purposes of this act are to:
- 4 (a) Strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuit 5 and to trial by jury and the rights of persons to participate in 6 matters of public concern:
  - (b) Establish an efficient, uniform, and comprehensive method for speedy adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation; and
- (c) Provide for attorneys' fees, costs, and additional relief where 10 11 appropriate.

#### 12 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 4.24 RCW

13 to read as follows:

1

2

3

7

8

9

14

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

- (1) As used in this section:
- 15 (a) "Claim" includes any lawsuit, cause of action, claim, crossclaim, counterclaim, or other judicial pleading or filing requesting 16 17 relief;
- 1.8 "Government" includes a branch. department. agency, 19 instrumentality, official, employee, agent, or other person acting 20 under color of law of the United States, a state, or subdivision of  $\epsilon$ 21 state or other public authority;
  - (c) "Moving party" means a person on whose behalf the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed seeking dismissal of a claim:
  - (d) "Other governmental proceeding authorized by law" means a proceeding conducted by any board, commission, agency, or other entity created by state, county, or local statute or rule, including any selfregulatory organization that regulates persons involved in the securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency.
- (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, 32 33 estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, 34 joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity;
- 35 (f) "Responding party" means a person against whom the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed. 36

(2) This section applies to any claim, however characterized, that is based on an action involving public participation and petition. As used in this section, an "action involving public participation and petition" includes:

1 2

ર

- (a) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (b) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (c) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, that is reasonably likely to encourage, or to enlist public participation in an effort to effect, consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (d) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern; or
- (e) Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern, or in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition.
- (3) This section does not apply to any action brought by the attorney general, district attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor, to enforce laws aimed at public protection.
- (4) (a) A party may bring a special motion to strike any claim that is based on an action involving public participation and petition, as defined in subsection (2) of this section.
- (b) A moving party bringing a special motion to strike a claim under this subsection has the initial burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the claim is based on an action involving public participation and petition. If the moving party meets this burden, the burden shifts to the responding party to establish by clear and convincing evidence a probability of prevailing on the claim. If the responding party meets this burden, the court shall deny the motion.

- (c) In making a determination under (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider pleadings and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.
- (d) If the court determines that the responding party  $has^{(i)}$  established a probability of prevailing on the claim:
- (i) The fact that the determination has been made and the substance of the determination may not be admitted into evidence at any later stage of the case; and
- (ii) The determination does not affect the burden of proof or standard of proof that is applied in the underlying proceeding.
- (e) The attorney general's office or any government body to which the moving party's acts were directed may intervene to defend or otherwise support the moving party.
- (5) (a) The special motion to strike may be filed within sixty days of the service of the most recent complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. A hearing shall be held on the motion not later than thirty days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing. Notwithstanding this subsection, the court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed and such hearings should receive priority.
- (b) The court shall render its decision as soon as possible but no later than seven days after the hearing is held.
- (c) All discovery and any pending hearings or motions in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a special motion to strike under subsection (4) of this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until the entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the stay imposed by this subsection, the court, on motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery or other hearings or motions be conducted.
- (d) Every party has a right of expedited appeal from a trial court order on the special motion or from a trial court's failure to rule on the motion in a timely fashion.
- (6) (a) The court shall award to a moving party who prevails, in part or in whole, on a special motion to strike made under subsection (4) of this section, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the moving party prevailed;

33.

1 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of 2 litigation and attorney fees; and

5

7

8

9

10

- (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines shall be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
- (b) If the court finds that the special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award to a responding party who prevails, in part or in whole, without regard to any limits under state law:
- 11 (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred 12 in connection with each motion on which the responding party prevailed; 13 and
- 14 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees.
- 16 (7) Nothing in this section limits or precludes any rights the 17 moving party may have under any other constitutional, statutory, case 18 or common law, or rule provisions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act shall be applied and construed liberally to effectuate its general purpose of protecting participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act may be cited as the Washington Act Limiting Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

# SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6395

By Senate Judiciary (originally sponsored by Senators Kline, Kauffman, and Kohl-Welles)

Addressing lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

	HOUSE	SENATE
Filed/Received		
1st Reading {Date/Com}		
Reported Out of Committee {Date}		
Majority Recommendation		
Minority Recommendation		
Referred to Committee on {Date}		/
Reported Out of Committee {Date}		
Majority Recommendation		
Minority Recommendation		
2nd Reading {Date}		
Adopted Amendments:		
		· 
Rules 3		
3rd Reading {Date/Action}	·	
Final Passage {Date/Vote}	/ Yea Nay	/YeaNay
Notice of Reconsideration	Imd Next Day  O G Member	Imd   Next Day
Reconsideration #1 {Date/Vote}	/ Yea Nay	/YeaNay
Reconsideration #2 {Date/Vote}	/YeaNay	/YeaNay
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Chief Clerk/Secretary	X	X

AN ACT Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition; adding a new section to chapter 4.24 RCW; creating new sections; and prescribing penalties.

- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds and declares that:
  - (a) It is concerned about lawsuits brought primarily to chill the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances;
    - (b) Such lawsuits, called "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation" or "SLAPPs," are typically dismissed as groundless or unconstitutional, but often not before the defendants are put to great expense, harassment, and interruption of their productive activities;
    - (c) The costs associated with defending such suits can deter individuals and entities from fully exercising their constitutional rights to petition the government and to speak out on public issues;
    - (d) It is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process; and

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 —— (e) An expedited judicial review would avoid the potential for abuse in these cases.
  - (2) The purposes of this act are to:
  - (a) Strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuits and to trial by jury and the rights of persons to participate in matters of public concern;
- 7 (b) Establish an efficient, uniform, and comprehensive method for 8 speedy adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation; 9 and
- 10 (c) Provide for attorneys' fees, costs, and additional relief where 11 appropriate.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 4.24 RCW to read as follows:
- 14 (1) As used in this section:

4

5

6

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 15 (a) "Claim" includes any lawsuit, cause of action, claim, cross-16 claim, counterclaim, or other judicial pleading or filing requesting 17 relief;
- 18 (b) "Government" includes a branch, department, agency, 19 instrumentality, official, employee, agent, or other person acting 20 under color of law of the United States, a state, or subdivision of a 21 state or other public authority;
  - (c) "Moving party" means a person on whose behalf the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed seeking dismissal of a claim;
  - (d) "Other governmental proceeding authorized by law" means a proceeding conducted by any board, commission, agency, or other entity created by state, county, or local statute or rule, including any self-regulatory organization that regulates persons involved in the securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency.
- 32 (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, 33 estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, 34 joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity;
- 35 (f) "Responding party" means a person against whom the motion 36 described in subsection (4) of this section is filed.

- (2) This section applies to any claim, however characterized, that is based on an action involving public participation and petition. As used in this section, an "action involving public participation and petition" includes:
  - (a) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (b) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (c) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, that is reasonably likely to encourage or to enlist public participation in an effort to effect consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (d) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern; or
- (e) Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern, or in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition.
- (3) This section does not apply to any action brought by the attorney general, prosecuting attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor, to enforce laws aimed at public protection.
- (4)(a) A party may bring a special motion to strike any claim that is based on an action involving public participation and petition, as defined in subsection (2) of this section.
- (b) A moving party bringing a special motion to strike a claim under this subsection has the initial burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the claim is based on an action involving public participation and petition. If the moving party meets this burden, the burden shifts to the responding party to establish by clear and convincing evidence a probability of prevailing on the claim. If the responding party meets this burden, the court shall deny the motion.

1.41.5

33.

- (c) In making a determination under (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider pleadings and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.
  - (d) If the court determines that the responding party has established a probability of prevailing on the claim:
  - (i) The fact that the determination has been made and the substance of the determination may not be admitted into evidence at any later stage of the case; and
  - (ii) The determination does not affect the burden of proof or standard of proof that is applied in the underlying proceeding.
  - (e) The attorney general's office or any government body to which the moving party's acts were directed may intervene to defend or otherwise support the moving party.
  - (5) (a) The special motion to strike may be filed within sixty days of the service of the most recent complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. A hearing shall be held on the motion not later than thirty days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing. Notwithstanding this subsection, the court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed and such hearings should receive priority.
  - (b) The court shall render its decision as soon as possible but no later than seven days after the hearing is held.
  - (c) All discovery and any pending hearings or motions in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a special motion to strike under subsection (4) of this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until the entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the stay imposed by this subsection, the court, on motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery or other hearings or motions be conducted.
  - (d) Every party has a right of expedited appeal from a trial court order on the special motion or from a trial court's failure to rule on the motion in a timely fashion.
  - (6)(a) The court shall award to a moving party who prevails, in part or in whole, on a special motion to strike made under subsection (4) of this section, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the moving party prevailed;

1.1

1.2

1.4

.33

- 1 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorney fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines to be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
  - (b) If the court finds that the special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award to a responding party who prevails, in part or in whole, without regard to any limits under state law:
    - (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the responding party prevailed;
  - (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the moving party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines to be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
- 19 (7) Nothing in this section limits or precludes any rights the 20 moving party may have under any other constitutional, statutory, case 21 or common law, or rule provisions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act shall be applied and construed liberally to effectuate its general purpose of protecting participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act may be cited as the Washington Act Limiting Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

# PSSB 6395

**Effect of Proposed Substitute:** The options for relief are equal for whichever party prevails in a motion to dismiss an alleged SLAPP suit.

- AN ACT Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition; adding a new section to chapter 4.24 RCW; creating new sections; and prescribing penalties.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds and declares that:
  - (a) It is concerned about lawsuits brought primarily to chill the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances;
  - (b) Such lawsuits, called "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation" or "SLAPPs;" are typically dismissed as groundless or unconstitutional, but often not before the defendants are put to great expense, harassment, and interruption of their productive activities;
  - (c) The costs associated with defending such suits can deter individuals and entities from fully exercising their constitutional rights to petition the government and to speak out on public issues;
- (d) It is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of
- 19 reprisal through abuse of the judicial process; and

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

- 1 (e) An expedited judicial review would avoid the potential for 2 abuse in these cases.
  - (2) The purposes of this act are to:
- 4 (a) Strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuits 5 and to trial by jury and the rights of persons to participate in 6 matters of public concern;
  - (b) Establish an efficient, uniform, and comprehensive method for speedy adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation; and
- 10 (c) Provide for attorneys' fees, costs, and additional relief where appropriate.
- 12 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 4.24 RCW 13 to read as follows:
  - (1) As used in this section:

7

8

9

14

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

- 15 (a) "Claim" includes any lawsuit, cause of action, claim, cross-16 claim, counterclaim, or other judicial pleading or filing requesting 17 relief;
- 18 (b) "Government" includes a branch, department, agency, 19 instrumentality, official, employee, agent, or other person acting 20 under color of law of the United States, a state, or subdivision of a 21 state or other public authority;
  - (c) "Moving party" means a person on whose behalf the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed seeking dismissal of a claim;
  - (d) "Other governmental proceeding authorized by law" means a proceeding conducted by any board, commission, agency, or other entity created by state, county, or local statute or rule, including any self-regulatory organization that regulates persons involved in the securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency.
  - (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity;
- (f) "Responding party" means a person against whom the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed.

- (2) This section applies to any claim, however characterized, that is based on an action involving public participation and petition. As used in this section, an "action involving public participation and petition" includes:
- (a) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (b) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (c) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, that is reasonably likely to encourage, or to enlist public participation in an effort to effect, consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (d) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern; or
- (e) Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern, or in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition.
- (3) This section does not apply to any action brought by the attorney general, prosecuting attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor, to enforce laws aimed at public protection.
- . (4)(a) A party may bring a special motion to strike any claim that is based on an action involving public participation and petition, as defined in subsection (2) of this section.
- (b) A moving party bringing a special motion to strike a claim under this subsection has the initial burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the claim is based on an action involving public participation and petition. If the moving party meets this burden, the burden shifts to the responding party to establish by clear and convincing evidence a probability of prevailing on the claim. If the responding party meets this burden, the court shall deny the motion.

- (c) In making a determination under (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider pleadings and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.
- (d) If the court determines that the responding party has established a probability of prevailing on the claim:
- (i) The fact that the determination has been made and the substance of the determination may not be admitted into evidence at any later stage of the case; and
- (ii) The determination does not affect the burden of proof or standard of proof that is applied in the underlying proceeding.
- (e) The attorney general's office or any government body to which the moving party's acts were directed may intervene to defend or otherwise support the moving party.
- (5) (a) The special motion to strike may be filed within sixty days of the service of the most recent complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. A hearing shall be held on the motion not later than thirty days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing. Notwithstanding this subsection, the court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed and such hearings should receive priority.
- (b) The court shall render its decision as soon as possible but no later than seven days after the hearing is held.
- (c) All discovery and any pending hearings or motions in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a special motion to strike under subsection (4) of this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until the entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the stay imposed by this subsection, the court, on motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery or other hearings or motions be conducted.
- (d) Every party has a right of expedited appeal from a trial court order on the special motion or from a trial court's failure to rule on the motion in a timely fashion.
- (6)(a) The court shall award to a moving party who prevails, in part or in whole, on a special motion to strike made under subsection (4) of this section, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the moving party prevailed;

3

4

5

6

7 8

.9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2.4

25

. 26 27

28

2930

31

∸32 ↔ ≏33

34 .

35

36 37

- (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorney fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines to be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
  - (b) If the court finds that the special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award to a responding party who prevails, in part or in whole, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the responding party prevailed;
- 13 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the moving party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines to be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
- 19 (7) Nothing in this section limits or precludes any rights the moving party may have under any other constitutional, statutory, case or common law, or rule provisions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act shall be applied and construed liberally to effectuate its general purpose of protecting participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act may be cited as the Washington Act Limiting Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

1

2

-74

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

15

16 17

# PSSB 6395 blue

Effect of Proposed Substitute: The options for relief are equal for whichever party prevails in a motion to dismiss an alleged SLAPP suit-

- 1 AN ACT Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition; adding a new section 2 to chapter 4.24 RCW; creating new sections; and prescribing penalties. 5
- BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON: 4
  - NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds and declares that: (a) It is concerned about lawsuits brought primarily to chill the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances;
  - (b) Such lawsuits, called "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation" or "SLAPPs," are typically dismissed as groundless or unconstitutional, but often not before the defendants are put to great expense, harassment, and interruption of their productive activities;
  - (c) The costs associated with defending such suits can deter individuals and entities from fully exercising their constitutional rights to petition the government and to speak out on public issues;
- 16 (d) It is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities 17 3 and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process; and 19

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

- 1 (e) An expedited judicial review would avoid the potential for abuse in these cases.
  - (2) The purposes of this act are to:

8

9.

25

26

27

28

30 31

- 4 (a) Strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuits 5 and to trial by jury and the rights of persons to participate in 6 matters of public concern;
- 7 (b) Establish an efficient, uniform, and comprehensive method for speedy adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation;
- 10 (c) Provide for attorneys' fees, costs, and additional relief where 11 appropriate.
- 12 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 4.24 RCW 13 to read as follows:
- 14 (1) As used in this section:
- 15 (a) "Claim" includes any lawsuit, cause of action, claim, cross-16 claim, counterclaim, or other judicial pleading or filing requesting 17 relief;
- 18 (b) "Government" includes a branch, department, 19 instrumentality, official, employee, agent, or other person acting under color of law of the United States, a state, or subdivision of a 2.0 state or other public authority; 21
- (c) "Moving party" means a person on whose behalf the motion 22 23 described in subsection (4) of this section is filed seeking dismissal 24 of a claim;
- (d) "Other governmental proceeding authorized by law" means a proceeding conducted by any board, commission, agency, or other entity created by state, county, or local statute or rule, including any selfregulatory organization that regulates persons involved in the 29 securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency.
- 32 (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, 33 estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, 34 joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity;
- 35 (f) "Responding party" means a person against whom the motion 36 described in subsection (4) of this section is filed.

.2

- (2) This section applies to any claim, however characterized, that is based on an action involving public participation and petition. As used in this section, an "action involving public participation and petition" includes:
- (a) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (b) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (c) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, that is reasonably likely to encourage or to enlist public participation in an effort to effect consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (d) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern; or
- (e) Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern, or in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition.
- (3) This section does not apply to any action brought by the attorney general, prosecuting attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor, to enforce laws aimed at public protection.
- (4)(a) A party may bring a special motion to strike any claim that is based on an action involving public participation and petition, as defined in subsection (2) of this section.
- (b) A moving party bringing a special motion to strike a claim under this subsection has the initial burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the claim is based on an action involving public participation and petition. If the moving party meets this burden, the burden shifts to the responding party to establish by clear and convincing evidence a probability of prevailing on the claim. If the responding party meets this burden, the court shall deny the motion.

10 ·

**Z**1

- (d) If the court determines that the responding party has established a probability of prevailing on the claim:
- (i) The fact that the determination has been made and the substance of the determination may not be admitted into evidence at any later stage of the case; and
- (ii) The determination does not affect the burden of proof or standard of proof that is applied in the underlying proceeding.
- (e) The attorney general's office or any government body to which the moving party's acts were directed may intervene to defend or otherwise support the moving party.
- (5) (a) The special motion to strike may be filed within sixty days of the service of the most recent complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. A hearing shall be held on the motion not later than thirty days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing. Notwithstanding this subsection, the court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed and such hearings shoul receive priority.
- (b) The court shall render its decision as soon as possible but no later than seven days after the hearing is held.
- (c) All discovery and any pending hearings or motions in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a special motion to strike under subsection (4) of this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until the entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the stay imposed by this subsection, the court, on motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery or other hearings or motions be conducted.
- (d) Every party has a right of expedited appeal from a trial court order on the special motion or from a trial court's failure to rule on the motion in a timely fashion.
- (6)(a) The court shall award to a moving party who prevails, in part or in whole, on a special motion to strike made under subsection (4) of this section, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurre in connection with each motion on which the moving party prevailed;

.\_. 1

4 .

- 1 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorney fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines to be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
  - (b) If the court finds that the special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award to a responding party who prevails, in part or in whole, without regard to any limits under state law:
  - (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the responding party prevailed;
- 13 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees; and
- (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the moving party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines to be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
- 19 (7) Nothing in this section limits or precludes any rights the moving party may have under any other constitutional, statutory, case or common law, or rule provisions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act shall be applied and construed liberally to effectuate its general purpose of protecting participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act may be cited as the Washington Act Limiting Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

#### BILL REQUEST - CODE REVISER'S OFFICE

BILL REQ. #:

S-3426.3/10 3rd draft

ATTY/TYPIST:

KT:cro

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

Addressing lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

- AN ACT Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition; adding a new section to chapter 4.24 RCW; creating new sections; and prescribing penalties.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** (1) The legislature finds and declares that:
  - (a) It is concerned about lawsuits brought primarily to chill the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances;
  - (b) Such lawsuits, called "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation" or "SLAPPs," are typically dismissed as groundless or unconstitutional, but often not before the defendants are put to great expense, harassment, and interruption of their productive activities;
  - (c) The costs associated with defending such suits can deter individuals and entities from fully exercising their constitutional rights to petition the government and to speak out on public issues;
  - (d) It is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial process; and

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

- (e) An expedited judicial review would avoid the potential for abuse in these cases.
  - (2) The purposes of this act are to:

2

4

5

6 . 7

8

14

25

26

27

28

29

30

- (a) Strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuits and to trial by jury and the rights of persons to participate in matters of public concern;
- (b) Establish an efficient, uniform, and comprehensive method for speedy adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation; and
- 10 (c) Provide for attorneys' fees, costs, and additional relief where 11 appropriate.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 4.24 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1) As used in this section:
- 15 (a) "Claim" includes any lawsuit, cause of action, claim, cross-16 claim, counterclaim, or other judicial pleading or filing requesting 17 relief;
- 18 (b) "Government" includes a branch, department, agency, instrumentality, official, employee, agent, or other person acting under color of law of the United States, a state, or subdivision of a state or other public authority;
- (c) "Moving party" means a person on whose behalf the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed seeking dismissal of a claim;
  - (d) "Other governmental proceeding authorized by law" means a proceeding conducted by any board, commission, agency, or other entity created by state, county, or local statute or rule, including any self-regulatory organization that regulates persons involved in the securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency.
- 32 (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, 33 estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, 34 joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity;
- 35 (f) "Responding party" means a person against whom the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed.

- (2) This section applies to any claim, however characterized, that is based on an action involving public participation and petition. As used in this section, an "action involving public participation and petition" includes:
- (a) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (b) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (c) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, that is reasonably likely to encourage, or to enlist public participation in an effort to effect, consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
- (d) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern; or
- (e) Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern, or in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition.
- (3) This section does not apply to any action brought by the attorney general, district attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor, to enforce laws aimed at public protection.
- (4)(a) A party may bring a special motion to strike any claim that is based on an action involving public participation and petition, as defined in subsection (2) of this section.
- (b) A moving party bringing a special motion to strike a claim under this subsection has the initial burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the claim is based on an action involving public participation and petition. If the moving party meets this burden, the burden shifts to the responding party to establish by clear and convincing evidence a probability of prevailing on the claim. If the responding party meets this burden, the court shall deny the motion.

- (c) In making a determination under (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider pleadings and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.
- (d) If the court determines that the responding party has established a probability of prevailing on the claim:
- (i) The fact that the determination has been made and the substance of the determination may not be admitted into evidence at any later stage of the case; and
- (ii) The determination does not affect the burden of proof or standard of proof that is applied in the underlying proceeding.
- (e) The attorney general's office or any government body to which the moving party's acts were directed may intervene to defend or otherwise support the moving party.
- (5)(a) The special motion to strike may be filed within sixty days of the service of the most recent complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. A hearing shall be held on the motion not later than thirty days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing. Notwithstanding this subsection, the court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed and such hearings should receive priority.
- (b) The court shall render its decision as soon as possible but no later than seven days after the hearing is held.
- (c) All discovery and any pending hearings or motions in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a special motion to strike under subsection (4) of this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until the entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the stay imposed by this subsection, the court, on motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery or other hearings or motions be conducted.
- (d) Every party has a right of expedited appeal from a trial court order on the special motion or from a trial court's failure to rule on the motion in a timely fashion.
- (6)(a) The court shall award to a moving party who prevails, in part or in whole, on a special motion to strike made under subsection (4) of this section, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the moving party prevailed;

5 -

6.

34<sup>°</sup>

- 1 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of 2 litigation and attorney fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines shall be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
  - (b) If the court finds that the special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award to a responding party who prevails, in part or in whole, without regard to any limits under state law:
- 11 (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred 12 in connection with each motion on which the responding party prevailed; 13 and
- 14 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees.
- 16 (7) Nothing in this section limits or precludes any rights the 17 moving party may have under any other constitutional, statutory, case 18 or common law, or rule provisions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act shall be applied and construed liberally to effectuate its general purpose of protecting participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act may be cited as the Washington Act Limiting Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

3

4

5

6 7

8

DO WITD

# SENATE BILL REPORT S-3426.3

#### As of January 11, 2010

Title: An Act Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech and petition.

**Brief Description**: Regarding protecting the valid exercise of constitutional rights of speech and petition.

Sponsors:

**Brief History:** 

Committee Activity: Judiciary

#### SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Staff: Lidia Mori (786-7755)

**Background:** Strategic lawsuits against public participation, or SLAPPs, are initiated to intimidate or retaliate against people who speak out about a matter of public concern. Typically, a person who institutes a SLAPP suit claims damages for defamation or interference with a business relationship resulting from a communication made by a person or group to the government or a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by the government. A 2003 Gonzaga law review article describes most SLAPPs as occurring in the commercial context with the lawsuits being filed against people or groups alleging environmental or consumer protection violations.

In 1989 the Legislature addressed the use of SLAPPs by creating immunity from civil liability for people who in good faith communicate a complaint or information to an agency of the federal, state, or local government or to a self-regulatory organization that has been delegated authority by a government agency. In 2002 the anti-SLAPP statutes were amended to remove the requirement that the communication be in good faith and to allow statutory damages of \$10,000 to a person who prevails against a lawsuit based on a communication to a government agency or organization. The 2002 legislation also included a policy statement recognizing the constitutional threat of SLAPP litigation.

Summary of Bill: The Legislature asserts that it is in the public interest for citizens to participate in matters of public concern and provide information to public entities and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of reprisal through abuse of the judicial

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative
members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it
constitute a statement of legislative intent.

-Senate Bill-Report---

S-3426.3

process. The Legislature affirms its concern regarding lawsuits brought primarily to chill freedom of speech and petition, also known as strategic lawsuits against public participation.

An action involving public participation and petition is defined as including any oral or written statement submitted in connection with an issue under consideration by a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. It also includes any oral or written statement that is reasonably likely to encourage or enlist public participation in the consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, judicial, or other proceeding authorized by law. Any oral or written statement submitted in a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern is also an action involving public participation and petition. Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern is also considered to be an act involving public participation and petition.

A procedure is created for the speedy resolution of strategic lawsuits against public participation. The court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed on any motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition and to render its decision no later than seven days after the hearing is held. A person who is successful in pursuing a motion to deny a claim based on an action involving public participation and petition is awarded costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and \$10,000. The court may award additional relief such as sanctions upon the responding part and its attorneys if it determines they are necessary to deter repetition of the conduct. If the court finds the motion to deny a claim is frivolous or is intended to cause unnecessary delay, it will award costs of litigation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and an amount of \$10,000.

The general purpose of the law to protect participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts is to be applied and construed liberally.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

**Effective Date**: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Senate Bill Report - 2 - S-3426.3

### BILL REQUEST - CODE REVISER'S OFFICE

BILL REQ. #:

S-3426.3/10 3rd draft

ATTY/TYPIST:

KT:cro

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

Addressing lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of speech

and petition.

reprisal through abuse of the judicial process; and 6 T and other citizens on public issues that affect them without fear of 3 T matters of public concern and provide information to public entities LI (d) It is in the public interest for citizens to participate in 9 T rights to petition the government and to speak out on public issues; SΤ individuals and entities from fully exercising their constitutional ÐΤ (c) The costs associated with defending such suits can deter 13 expense, harassment, and interruption of their productive activities; IS unconstitutional, but often not before the defendants are put to great TT Participation" or "SLAPPs," are typically dismissed as groundless or OT. (b) Such lawsuits, called "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public 6 petition for the redress of grievances; 8 valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and (a) It is concerned about lawsuits brought primarily to chill the 9 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds and declares that: ς BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON: to chapter 4.24 RCW; creating new sections; and prescribing penalties. the constitutional rights of speech and petition; adding a new section

AN ACT Relating to lawsuits aimed at chilling the valid exercise of

- 1 (e) An expedited judicial review would avoid the potential for 2 abuse in these cases.
  - (2) The purposes of this act are to:
- 4 (a) Strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuits
  5 and to trial by jury and the rights of persons to participate in
  6 matters of public concern;
- 7 (b) Establish an efficient, uniform, and comprehensive method for speedy adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation; 9 and
- 10 (c) Provide for attorneys' fees, costs, and additional relief where 11 appropriate.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 4.24 RCW to read as follows:
- 14 (1) As used in this section:
- 15 (a) "Claim" includes any lawsuit, cause of action, claim, cross-16 claim, counterclaim, or other judicial pleading or filing requesting 17 relief;
- 18 (b) "Government" includes a branch, department, agency, instrumentality, official, employee, agent, or other person acting 20 under color of law of the United States, a state, or subdivision of a 21 state or other public authority;
  - (c) "Moving party" means a person on whose behalf the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed seeking dismissal of a claim;
  - (d) "Other governmental proceeding authorized by law" means a proceeding conducted by any board, commission, agency, or other entity created by state, county, or local statute or rule, including any self-regulatory organization that regulates persons involved in the securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency.
- 32 (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, 33 estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, 34 joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity;
- (f) "Responding party" means a person against whom the motion described in subsection (4) of this section is filed.

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 1 (2) This section applies to any claim, however characterized, that is based on an action involving public participation and petition. As used in this section, an "action involving public participation and petition" includes:
  - (a) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
  - (b) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
  - (c) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, that is reasonably likely to encourage, or to enlist publicparticipation in an effort to effect, consideration or review of an issue in a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding or other governmental proceeding authorized by law;
  - (d) Any oral statement made, or written statement or other document submitted, in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public concern; or
  - (e) Any other lawful conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of free speech in connection with an issue of public concern, or in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition.
  - (3) This section does not apply to any action brought by the attorney general, district attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor, to enforce laws aimed at public protection.
  - (4)(a) A party may bring a special motion to strike any claim that is based on an action involving public participation and petition, as defined in subsection (2) of this section.
  - (b) A moving party bringing a special motion to strike a claim under this subsection has the initial burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the claim is based on an action involving public participation and petition. If the moving party meets this burden, the burden shifts to the responding party to establish by clear and convincing evidence a probability of prevailing on the claim. If the responding party meets this burden, the court shall deny the motion.

4

5

6

7

8

9 1.0

11

1.2

\_1\_3\_

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28 2.9

3.0 31

32

33

34

35

36

- (c) In making a determination under (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider pleadings and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.
- (d) If the court determines that the responding party has established a probability of prevailing on the claim:
- (i) The fact that the determination has been made and the substance of the determination may not be admitted into evidence at any later stage of the case; and
- (ii) The determination does not affect the burden of proof or standard of proof that is applied in the underlying proceeding.
- (e) The attorney general's office or any government body to which the moving party's acts were directed may intervene to defend or otherwise support the moving party.
- (5) (a) The special motion to strike may be filed within sixty days of the service of the most recent complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. A hearing shall be held on the motion not later than thirty days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing. Notwithstanding this subsection, the court is directed to hold a hearing with all due speed and such hearings should receive priority.
- (b) The court shall render its decision as soon as possible but no later than seven days after the hearing is held.
- (c) All discovery and any pending hearings or motions in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a special motion to strike under subsection (4) of this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until the entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the stay imposed by this subsection, the court, on motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery or other hearings or motions be conducted.
- (d) Every party has a right of expedited appeal from a trial court order on the special motion or from a trial court's failure to rule on the motion in a timely fashion.
- (6) (a) The court shall award to a moving party who prevails, in part or in whole, on a special motion to strike made under subsection (4) of this section, without regard to any limits under state law:
- (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with each motion on which the moving party prevailed;

- 1 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorney fees; and
  - (iii) Such additional relief, including sanctions upon the responding party and its attorneys or law firms, as the court determines shall be necessary to deter repetition of the conduct and comparable conduct by others similarly situated.
  - (b) If the court finds that the special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award to a responding party who prevails, in part or in whole, without regard to any limits under state law:
- 11 (i) Costs of litigation and any reasonable attorneys' fees incurred 12 in connection with each motion on which the responding party prevailed; 13 and
- 14 (ii) An amount of ten thousand dollars, not including the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees.
- 16 (7) Nothing in this section limits or precludes any rights the 17 moving party may have under any other constitutional, statutory, case 18 or common law, or rule provisions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act shall be applied and construed liberally to effectuate its general purpose of protecting participants in public controversies from an abusive use of the courts.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act may be cited as the Washington Act Limiting Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

— Testimony/Attendance Roster	Bill Number: S = 3426.3	Short Title: Constitutional ciapits of speech and petition	(Full Address Required) Phone/E-mail	Street: Strl. 22.603, 126 J. City: 5 G H ( 9/4, 0)	Street: Ro. Box 29, City: CAMPio, WA SVSO7 B-mail:	Phone:	Street: Ctty: Zip: B-mail:	Street: City:  City:  Zip:	Street: City: Zio: E-mail:	Street: City: Zip:	Street: City: Zin:	Street: City: Zip:	Street: City: Zin. Zin.	Bill reports list the names of those who lestify. If you sign up to testify, are not called, and submit written testimony within 24 hours, your name will be included in the bill report.		
Senate Committee Services — Testimo	Committee: TUD	Date: 12-10	Organizatio (Full Name)	N ( ) O O O Spore Print O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	and THOMPSBU	Y N	Y N 🗆 🗅 🗅 Please Print	Y N 🗀 🗀 🗀 Please Print	Y N 🗀 🗀 Please Print	Y N 🗆 🗀 Please Print	Y N $\square$ $\square$ Please Print	Y N	Y N C C Please Print	Bill reports list the names of those who testify. If you sign up to testify, are not called,		