

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TODD MATTHEW PHILLIPS,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
AMBER PHILLIPS, N/K/A AMBER  
KORPAK,  
Respondent.

No. 82414

**FILED**

OCT 04 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

**ORDER DENYING MOTION**

Appellant has filed a motion for a second extension of time to file a reply to the fast track response. Respondent opposes the motion. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to perform an act, further extensions of time to perform that same act are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extreme need or merit. NRAP 3E(f)(3). Appellant previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the fast track reply. Appellant does not demonstrate extreme need or merit in support of a second extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Appellant shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve a fast track reply that does not exceed 5 pages or 2,333 words. Failure to timely file the fast track reply will be treated as a waiver of the right to file a fast track reply.

It is so ORDERED.

*[Signature]*, C.J.

cc: Todd Matthew Phillips  
Hutchison & Steffen, LLC/Las Vegas