MAY 1 2 2021

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEWADAR ROWN CELERIS OF SUPERIOR COURT

	BY!_/
eo erick Ramsundar	
Appellant,	Supreme Court No

o. 8245B

District Court No. 420 8 2 3374-W

The State of Nevada Respondent.

APPELLANT'S INFORMAL BRIEF

INSTRUCTIONS: If you are an appellant proceeding pro se (without an attorney) in the Nevada Supreme Court, you must file either (1) a brief that complies with Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) 28(a), or (2) a completed copy of this informal brief form, see NRAP 28(k), with the Nevada Supreme Court on or before the due date, see NRAP 31. In civil appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court may dismiss your appeal. In postconviction criminal appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court or Nevada Court of Appeals may decide your appeal on the record without briefing.

HOW TO FILL OUT THIS FORM: This form must be typed, unless you are incarcerated, in which case it must be clearly handwritten. You do not need to refer to legal authority or the district court record. If you are completing your brief on this form, write only in the space allowed on the form. Additional pages and attachments are not allowed. If typing an informal brief, you may either use the lined paper contained in this form or an equivalent number of pages of your own paper. Your brief will be stricken if you fail to follow the directions in this form and the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHERE TO FILE THE BRIEF: You may submit your brief for filing in person or by mail.

To file your brief in person: Briefs may be submitted for filing Monday through Friday, 8:00-a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Carson City: Bring the brief to the Clerk's Office at the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, 89701.

Las Vegas: Place your brief in the Clerk's Office Drop Box at the Las Vegas courthouse for the Nevada Appellate Courts, 408 East Clark Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101.

Informal Brief Form October 2017

To file your brief by mail: Mail the brief to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701. Your brief must be postmarked on or before the due date.

You must file the original brief and 1 copy with the clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court. If you want the clerk to return a file-stamped copy of your brief, you must file the original form and 2 copies and include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Documents cannot be faxed or emailed to the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

Copies of the brief must be mailed or delivered to the other parties to this appeal or to the parties' attorneys, if they have attorneys. You must also include a proper certificate of service or complete the certificate that is attached to the informal brief form.

<u>CAUTION</u>: Pro se parties are prohibited from representing other parties. A pro se party may not complete a brief on behalf of other parties. Pro se parties may collaborate on their briefs, however, provided that if one brief is submitted on behalf of multiple pro se parties, each party must sign and date the brief to confirm that he or she has participated in the preparation of the brief and, by his or her signature, joins in the arguments and representations confained therein.

Judgment or Order You Are Appealing. List the judgment or order that you are appealing from and the date that the judgment or order was filed in the district court.

Filed Date	Name of Judgment or Order
01-22-21	order denying Defendants petition for
	writ of hubers carping

Notice of Appeal. Give the date you filed your notice of appeal in the district court: 02.04-201

Related Cases. List all other court cases related to this case. Provide the case number, title of the case and name of the court where the case was filed.

Case No.	Case Title	Name of Court

Pro Bono Counsel. Would you be interested in having pro bono counsel assigned to represent you in this appeal?

#Yes □ No

NOTE: If the court determines that your case may be appropriate for having pro bono counsel assigned, an appropriate order will be entered. Assignment of pro bono counsel is not automatic.

Statement of Facts. Explain the facts of your case. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)

On 1-7-2020, Petitioner was sentenced to 18 to 60 months

Consecutive to Case No. C-334298, For a total aggregated

sentence of 3 to 10 years, see Exhibit A attached hereto

2 Pelitioner was incarcerated in pre-trial detention on

3) Petitioner's attorney Timothy R. Treffinger, failed to secure the appropriate time Credits applied to Petitioners

sentence (for pre-trial detention).
4 The failure of Petitioners counsel to obtain the
appropriate time Credits toward Petitioners Sentence,
Violates the Nevada Supreme Courts decision in ADK+
NO.411, Felony and misdemeanor trial cases standard 16,
17,18 pages 39,41,42; and Constitutes Ineffective
assistance of Counsel, Williams - V- Taylor, 529 U.S.
362 [2000]
5 Petitioner is prejudiced by having to serve additional
time in prison

Y

il de la companya de
·
Statement of District Court Error. Explain why you believe the district
court was wrong. Also state what action you want the Nevada Supreme Court
to take. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)
10 The District Court errored in Holding that Petitioner
The District Court Ciribica in Plantaing man religionary
Connot Claim Ineffective Assistance of Counsel [Hereinafter
"IAC") for failure to secure pre-trial detention Credits
TODATO THE GOOGMENT OF CONVICTION.
The lower Court held the Petition must be limited to
"IAC" in relation to the "J.O.C."
8 The attorney's failure to obtain pre-sentence credits is
a challenge to the Validity of the "T.O.C." not the time
Computation Post-Conviction. The defendant's request for Sail
time Credits was a challenge to the Computation of time he had

served. The defendant should properly have filed a petition
for post-conviction habeas relief, not a post-conviction
motion. However the procedural label per se was not crucial.
Pangallo -v-State, 112 Nev. 1533, 930 P. 2d 160, 112 Nev. ady
Rep. 180, 1996 Nev. LEXIS 179 (Nev. 1996), overruled in part,
Griffin - V- State, 122 Nev. 737, 137 P.3d 1165, 122 Nev
Adv. Rep. 63, 2006 Nev. Lexis 70 (Nev. 2006)
9 Petitioner was entitled to effective Assistance of Counsel
@ sentencing
1) Williams -V- Taylor, 529 U.S. 362
2) Robinson - V- Ignacio, 360 F. 301 1044 [9th cir. 2004]
2) Robinson - V- Ignacio, 360 F. 301 1044 [9th cir. 2004] 3) Bean-V- Calcleron, 163 F. 301 1073 [9th cir. 1998]
4) Porter -4- Mc Collum, 588 U.S. 30 (2009)
10 Petitioners Counsel demonstrated "IAC" @ sentencing
by failing to obtain appropriate pre-sentence/pre-trial
detention Credits towards Petitioners sentence
11 The "IAC" demonstrated by petitioners counsel has
Caused actual prejudice to petitioner in that petitioner
is not being provided the appropriate pre-sentence/pre-trial
detention credits towards petitioner J.O.C. and therefore
Petitioner is serving a longer period of Post-Conviction
incarceration, than petitioner ought to.
Petitioner is serving a longer period of Post-Conviction incorceration, than petitioner ought to. 12 Petitioner should have been provided a exidentiary
hearing.
13 A claim of ineffective trial Counsel is generally based on
13 A claim of ineffective trial Counsel is generally based on factual allegations which must be explored at an evidentiary hearing, and consequently such claim is properly raised in
hearing, and consequently such claim is properly raised in

proceedings for post-conviction relief baniels-v-Stale, 100
Nev. 579, 688 P.2d 315, 1984 Nev. 1exis 430 (Nev. 1984)
But see Varwig-v-State, 104 Nev. 40, 752 2.20 760, 1988
Nev. lexis 7 (Nev.), app. dismissed, 104 Nev. 876, 809 P.2d
1024, 1988 Nev. lexis. 775 (Nev. 1988).
14 Post-conviction Petitioner was entitled to evidentiary
hearing on allegations of ineffective Assistance of Coursel,
in his prosecution for sexual assault where he alleged that
his counsel failed adequately to oppose a motion in
limine to exclude the Victims Criminal record for
Prostitution. Drake-v- State, 108 Nev. 523, 836 P.2d
52, 108 Nev. Adv. Rep. 92, 1992 Nev. lexis 119 (Nev. 1992)
15 Therefore Appellant Respectfully requests this
Court Reverse the lower courts dismissal.

ALCOHOL STATE OF THE STATE OF T	
	2
*	
DATED this day of	20
Diff IID tins tay of	
	Signature of Appellant
	_
	Leo erik Ramsundar
	Print Name of Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date ind	icated below, I served a copy of this
completed informal brief form upon all pa	arties to the appeal as follows:
By personally serving it upon him	n/her; or
By mailing it by first-class mai	il with sufficient postage prepaid to
the following address(es) (list name	es and address(es) of parties served):
N.V. A90	
555 F Wash inutur Alla.	Si te 3910
555 E. Washington Ave LAS Vegus N.V. 89101	SALTO 1700
rous noting with a line	
× 6	*
· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DATED this 6 day of MM	
	0
	2 8 1-
	Signature of Appallant
	Signature of Appellant
	Print Name of Appellant
	Address
	Address Indian Springs, Ny. 89076 City/State/Zip
	City/State/Zip
	Telephone

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT PREPERATION

Leo Ramsundav , requested JESSE A. ROSS #1095756 INMATE
COUNSEL SUBSTITUTE (hereinafter "ICS ROSS"), To Research, and prepare the
foregoing document entitled: <u>Appellant's Informal Brief</u>
I Leo erick Ramsundar , understand that I am filing the above-named
document, and I have read the above-named document. "ICS ROSS" has answered /
not answered, my questions to my full satisfaction.
I Leo erik Ramsundar , assert that the facts are true and correct to the
best of my personal knowledge, except those statements made upon information and
belief, and as to those I believe them to be true. I Leo erick Ramsundar,
being the Litigant in Ramsundar V State , 8th JD
case No: NSC DOCKET NO-82458 understand that I am responsible for the filing of
the above named document; furthermore I take full responsibility for the filing of the
foregoing document; and relieve "ICS-ROSS" of civil liability in connection with the
research, preparation and filing of this document. "ICS ROSS" HAS ADVISED ME TO
SEEK ADVICE OF A LISCENCED ATTORNEY. I Jesse A. Ross #1095756 being duly
authorized (per NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ADMINISTRATIVE
REGULATION - 722.04{7-11} AND JOHNSON -V- AVERY 383U.S.483 {1969}; To act
as inmate counsel substitute, and @ the request of Lev erick Ramsundar ,
After conducting an in person interview with Leo Erick Ramsundar, researched
and prepared the above mentioned document. I Jesse Ross believe the above
mentioned document is based upon true and accurate facts as related directly to me by
Leo erick Ramsundar, on 5-6-71
By signing below both individuals agree:
1.) Jesse Ross is an INMATE NOT A LISCENCED ATTORNEY
2.) Each agrees does not agree with all statements in this notice of document
preparation.
3.) NO FEES MAY, NOR WILL BE DISBURSED OR COLLECTED
Signed under penalty of perjury 28 usc section 1746; NRS 53.045; NRS 208.165
\times 0 - \times
Name Leo, engle leom sindar Inmate Jesse A. Ross #1095756
ID# /172 (38 MAY 1 1 2021 Counsel substitute
Date: 5-6-21
Housing Assignment: 1/4-E-1-5 Housing Assignment: 1/4-B-2Z