#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THOMAS JASON BERNAL,

Appellant,

Electronically Filed

Docket Sep 2822021 03:36 p.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown

D. Ct. 26 legk of Sypreme Court

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

# APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT OF THE HONORABLE JOHN P. SCHLEGELMILCH

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

#### APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

#### VOLUME 4

KARLA K. BUTKO
Attorney for Appellant
P. O. Box 1249
Verdi, Nevada 89439
(775) 786-7118
State Bar No. 3307
butkolawoffice@sbcglobal.net

STEPHEN B. RYE/ MATT MERRILL Lyon County District Attorney Attorney for Respondent 31 S. Main Street Yerington, NV 89447 (775)463-6511

# INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 1

<u>Document</u>	Page
Information	1-3
Motion to Admit Victim's Out of Court Statement to her Mother	
and During a Child Forensic Interview	4-7
Motion to Admit Confession and Play Audio To Jury	8-15
Motion to Admit Prior Bad Acts Pursuant to NRS 48.035 and 48.045	16-23
Supplement to the Motion to Admit Victim's Out of Court	
Statements to her Mother and During a Child Forensic	
Interview	24-81
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Confession and Play Audio	
To Jury 8	32-93
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Prior Bad Acts Pursuant to	
NRS 48.035 and 48.045 9	4-99
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Victim's Out of Court	
Statements to Her Mother and During a Child Forensic	
Interview and Supplement to Motion	0-102
Motion to Exclude or Limit Defense Expert Witness 10	3-115
Notice of Expert Witness	6-170
Opposition to State's Motion to Exclude or Limit Defense	
Expert Witness	1-179

#### **INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

### **VOLUME 2**

<u>Document</u>	<u>Page</u>
Transcript of Proceedings, Pretrial Hearing, September 28, 2020	180-250
Transcript of Proceedings, Pretrial Hearing, September 28, 2020	251-345
Order After Hearing	346-349
Amended Information	350-353
Transcript of Proceedings, Motion Hearing, Thursday,	
October 22, 2020, Yerington, Nevada	354-389
State's Proposed Jury Instructions	390-393
Defendant's Objection to the State's Proposed Jury Instructions	394-397
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions	398-402
Defendant's Objections to the State's Proposed Jury Instructions	
And the Court's Stock Instructions	403-408
Transcript of Proceedings, Status Hearing, November 2, 2020	409-439
Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Selection – Day One,	
November 3, 2020	440-500
VOLUME 3	
Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Selection – Day One,	
November 3, 2020	501-603
Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial, Day Two,	
November 4, 2020	604-750

### **INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

## **VOLUME 4**

Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial, Day Two,	
November 4, 2020	751-871
Transcript of Proceedings, Volume 3, Jury Trial, Thursday,	
November 5, 2020	. 782-1000
VOLUME 5	
Transcript of Proceedings, Volume 3, Jury Trial, Thursday,	
November 5, 2020	1001-1083
Transcript of Proceedings, Volume 4, Trial, November 6, 2020	1084-1183
Notice of Appeal	1184-1185
Jury Instructions	1186-1213
Verdict	1214
Transcript of Proceedings, Judgment and Sentencing,	
January 25, 2021	1215-1223
Letters	1224-1228
Judgment of Conviction	1229-1230
Verdicts	1231-1232

report or just discuss whatever it is that they need to discuss.

So, it's just essentially a method in which an interview is conducted. So, when I say "child forensic interview," it's really just a certain method of the way the interview will be conducted.

Q Is there a reason why you yourself don't conduct those interviews?

A So, we found several years ago that best practices, and especially in regards to investigating these types of crimes, was to use a basically a multi-agency approach. So, honestly when I first came into detective, this was the type of interview we would conduct as detectives. But, shortly thereafter, what we learned was using an agency like the Child Advocacy Center removes us from doing that interview.

And like I said, it provides a neutral place for these victims to go, and so, they're not law enforcement, and it provides me an opportunity to observe what is being said. As well as the structure of these interviews can also include district attorneys, social workers, and those types of people to become involved in this basically what's occurring. And so, multiple agencies can reach out for assistance,

PAGE 312 751

1	such as counseling services and those types of things
2	that might be need to be offered to victims and their
3	families.
4	Q Have you sent other children to the
5	Washoe Child Advocacy Center?
6	A I'm sorry?
7	Q Have you sent other children?
8	A Oh, yes. I basically conduct all of my
9	investigations involving children in the same manner
10	that I've described.
11	Q Now, did you set up that child forensic
12	interview to take place on September 4th of 2019?
13	A I did.
14	Q Okay. Now, there was a little bit of time
15	between when you contacted Patricia to the actual
16	interview. Is there a specific reason for that?
17	A So, we are considered an outside agency to the
18	Advocacy Center in Washoe County. So, they their
19	primary focus is for the agencies that are right there
20	in Washoe County, the Washoe County Sheriff's Office,
21	Reno Police Department, and Sparks Police Department.
22	So, because of that's their primary focus, we are only
23	able to schedule interviews on Wednesday. So,
23	able to schedule interviews on wednesday. 50,

depending how busy things are, it can take several

24

PAGE 313 752

weeks to get these interviews scheduled.

So, I don't remember specifically, but I would surmise that between my conversating (sic) with Patricia and the actual time of the interview was due to scheduling with the Advocacy Center.

Q Are -- at times are the interviews quicker to the disclosure?

A They can be, and it's all about availability to that. So, the Advocacy Center blocks off Wednesdays in particular. So, but they serve a numerous amount of agencies. So, not just Lyon County Sheriff's Office is blocked out for Wednesdays, but all of the, you know, probably -- last I heard, roughly 140 roughly agencies surrounding the Reno Sparks area, which includes northern Nevada and northern California, this agency can serve.

And so, because of that, Wednesdays is that day, so depending on how busy they are, we can get scheduled out several weeks.

Q So, September 4th, 2019, HS has an interview, correct?

- A Correct.
- Q Okay. Are you present for that interview?
- A I was.

1	Q Were you in the same room?
2	A I was not.
3	Q As far as your investigation goes, was there
4	anything in that interview that pointed you one in
5	one direction or the other for your investigation?
6	A Yeah. So, while I was observing that
7	interview, I observed HS disclose that she described
8	at least one occasion very specifically which
9	involved
10	MS. SCHUMANN: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay.
11	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, it's not for the
12	truth of the matter asserted. It goes to how his
13	investigation proceeded and what he did next.
14	THE COURT: Well, we heard the testimony from
15	HS. So, we don't need to hear it from
16	Detective Messmann. So, he can say there was a
17	disclosure and this is what he did as a result of the
18	disclosure without going into hearsay statements.
19	BY MR. MERRILL:
20	Q Detective, without specifically stating what HS
21	said, what did you do next in your investigation?
22	A So, based on the content of her disclosure, I
23	chose to further the investigation along by essentially
24	conducting subsequent interviews and obtaining

PAGE 315 754

information to help support and/or refute statements that she had made during that interview.

- Q Did you ultimately interview Thomas Bernal?
- A Yes. Ultimately, yes.

Q Okay. And what led you to decision to interview him?

A Based on other information I had obtained prior to my interview with Mr. Bernal, I did determine that it would be relevant in this case to interview him to provide him an opportunity to explain to me what had been reported to the sheriff's office.

Q Again, I wanted to talk about in general. In general when you bring someone down there to interview, how does that process begin?

A Typically it begins by a phone call from me to the person. I identify myself, and I let them know that I would like to speak with them, or I wish to speak with then. Upon their agreement, we usually come to a, you know, an agreeable date and time between us, and a location between us. In this instance,

Mr. Bernal agreed to meet me at the Silver Springs substation, which is a substation that the Detectives

Bureau Office is located at.

Q It was at the agreed upon date and time?

PAGE 316 755

1	A Yes. Actually, that date was suggested by
2	Mr. Bernal, at which I agreed with him to meet with him
3	at that time.
4	Q During that conversation I mean how long was
5	that conversation?
6	A Telephone conversation, maybe five minutes. It
7	was literally just to discuss when we would both be
8	available to meet.
9	Q And then on October 21st, did Mr. Bernal come
10	down to the substation?
11	A He did, yes.
12	Q Okay. Were you able to find out how he arrived
13	at the substation?
14	A Yes. I had asked him at the beginning of the
15	interview how he was able to travel to the substation.
16	And he advised me that his father had provided him a
17	ride, and in fact his father was waiting for him in the
18	parking lot in his father's vehicle.
19	Q And do you recall what time of day that was?
20	A It was in the morning. I believe it was
21	shortly before 10:00 a.m.
22	Q So, let's talk about just the layout of the
23	Silver Springs substation.
24	A Sure.

Q When someone first walks into the building, what are they going to encounter?

A So, when you first walk into the building there's a lobby area. And so, our substation is divided. It's a shared building between us and Social Services. So, on one side of this lobby area is Social Services secretary, and on the other side of the lobby is the Sheriff's Office secretary. And so, and there's a small window for each secretary in which the public can speak with whatever side they wish to speak with.

And then there is a door which is locked if you're in that lobby area which would gain you access into the Social Service's side of the building. Once you go through that door into the Social Service's side of the building, they have numerous offices on that side of the building, as well as public restrooms are on that side of the building.

You have to walk down an approximately 20 to 30 foot hallway. You'll pass like a break room, things like that. You'll go past another door, which requires a key and/or -- key coded lock to enter on to the sheriff's side of the building.

On that side of the building, you'll find the Detective Major Crimes Bureau Office, our Narcotics

Bureau Office, patrol, sergeant has an office there, my detective sergeant office, my lieutenant detective office, as well as an interview room and the patrol squad room is all on that side of the building.

Q Now, the interview room, describe that, please?

essentially walking kind of past the patrol area, the patrol squad room. It's a -- I couldn't even approximate how large the room is. It's a smaller room. It's just a door. There's no windows in it.

And there's a table and anywhere between three -- two to four chairs at any given time in the room. We have an easel in the room for -- that at time sometimes we use it for people who need to draw different things.

And it's I believe linoleum floor. So, pretty plain.

Q And where are the bathrooms in relation to the interview room?

A So, the bathrooms are, like I was describing earlier, are on the Social Services side of the building. So, from that interview room you have to basically go back through the door that can -- the locked door that I explained. But when you're on that side of our building, that -- it's not locked on that side.

1	It's just a push through. You can just push
2	through it. Similar to like these the doors in the
3	courtroom here, you just push through, and you would
4	walk down the hall, you'd make a left, and the
5	bathrooms are immediately to your left there.
6	Q And then let's talk about exit doors inside.
7	How close is an exit door to the interview room?
8	A The interview room is located right next to an
9	exit door. That exit door would take you into a
10	secured parking area which is controlled parking area
11	for the sheriff's office. Or, again, you would walk
12	straight through the other door that I just previously
13	described twice now, and that door is probably 30 to
14	50 feet approximately away from where the interview
15	room was located?
16	Q All right. Jumping back to the defendant, he
17	showed up at the substation, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And if you recall, do you recall how he was
20	dressed?
21	A He was dressed blue jeans, I believe a white
22	T-shirt and like a black and yellow colored, like a
23	winter type jacket, a heavier jacket.

759

Q And how were you dressed?

A I was dressed -- I was wearing my slacks, a dress shirt, and a tie.

1.2

Q And tell me about the -- how the beginning of the interview went.

A At the beginning of the interview, I explained to Mr. Bernal that essentially he was free to leave. I had asked him if he was in -- had shown up to the interview room or to the interview voluntarily, which he advised me he had. I explained the door was closed merely for our privacy. Because like I've explained, this particular room, it's adjacent right next to a patrol squad room.

So, unfortunately, not because I like it this way but that's the way it was designed, but because when we're in there, patrol doesn't realize we're in there, and they can come in and out of that area at any time. So, I close the door for our privacy. And I explained that to Mr. Bernal, the door was closed merely for our privacy, and he was free to leave essentially at any time. And that the only thing that would occur if he was to get up and leave was that I would follow him just to ensure he's not wandering around our building.

Q Was there anyone else with you in the interview

PAGE 321 760

1	room?
2	A Yes, another detective.
3	Q And what's his name?
4	A Marty Dues.
5	Q So, at the beginning of interview, do you just
6	go over some kind of preliminary questions?
7	A I did, yes. I just asked some questions.
8	Basically, some personal history questions of
9	Mr. Bernal.
10	Q And what kind of personal history questions do
11	you ask?
12	A It's basically his name, height, weight, his
13	address, current employer, if he's taking any
14	medications, if he's had anything to eat or drink
15	recently, if he's under the supervision of a parole and
16	probation officer, if he has a social worker, and if
17	he's had any prior contacts with law enforcement, what
18	were those contacts and if he had had any prior
19	criminal history, arrests, things of that nature.
20	Q That's just standard typically questions?
21	A Standard, yes.
22	Q Okay.
23	MR. MERRILL: May I approach your clerk, Your
24	Honor?

1	THE COURT: Uh-hum.
2	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, could we approach
3	the bench?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	(Discussion at bench among Court and counsel.)
6	BY MR. MERRILL:
7	Q So, Detective, the interview that you had with
8	the defendant, was that recorded?
9	A It was, yes.
10	Q Okay. And do you know how it how those
11	things record in that interview room?
12	A So, in the Silver Springs substation there's
13	the interview room has two cameras in it. One of the
14	cameras has a microphone in it, which would record the
15	audio. In addition to the audio/video recording that
16	the interview room has, I always use an audio recorder,
17	a digital audio recorder, and so, I also had a digital
18	audio recorder on the table in the room. So, that
19	would have been the two methods that interview was
20	recorded.
21	Q As soon as we get it going here, I'm going to
22	show you a part of the video.
23	A Okay.
24	Q I'll have you identify who was there in the

PAGE 323 762

1	video.
2	A Uh-hum.
3	Q And we will attempt to authenticate it.
4	Detective, do you have that there on your
5	screen?
6	A I do.
7	Q Okay. Thank you.
8	I'm going to play for you part of Exhibit 21.
9	THE COURT: All right. So, are you publishing
10	this to everyone at this point, Mr. Merrill?
11	MR. MERRILL: No, I do not want to publish to
12	everyone.
13	THE COURT: All right. So
14	MR. MERRILL: Just to the
15	THE COURT: All right. So, just put it to the
16	witness screen, Madame Clerk, so it can be
17	authenticated first. Turn off the juror screens and
18	the big screen. So, there should be like a private
19	way.
20	THE CLERK: It's off.
21	THE COURT: It's off on your screen?
22	THE CLERK: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
24	All right. So, now you can authenticate. Now,

1	you turned it no, it's on. You're good. You're
2	good. All right.
3	BY MR. MERRILL:
4	Q Detective, you do see my screen, correct?
5	A I do.
6	Q Okay. So, I'm going to play a portion for you
7	here.
8	(Video played.)
9	BY MR. MERRILL:
10	Q All right. Detective, I'll stop that 8:55 and
11	54 seconds.
12	What are you looking at there on the screen?
13	A I'm looking at a view into our interview room.
14	Inside the room is Mr. Bernal, myself, and
15	Detective Dues is standing next to the door, and the
16	moment that this video is paused, Detective Dues is
17	closing the door.
18	Q And is this a accurate portion of the interview
19	that you had with the defendant?
20	A It is, yes.
21	Q And have you reviewed this portion?
22	A I have, yes.
23	MR. MERRILL: State moves for admission of
24	Exhibit 21, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: This is 21?
2	MR. MERRILL: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Anything?
4	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, we do have a
5	standing objection on. I'll just put that on the
6	record.
7	THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.
8	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 21 for
9	identification, was received in evidence.)
10	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.
1 7	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I move to publish.
12	THE COURT: All right. So, now you can turn on
13	everything.
14	BY MR. MERRILL:
15	Q So, Detective, I'm going to stop it here real
16	quick just at ten seconds.
17	Just for the jury, what are we looking at in
18	this portion of the video?
19	A This is the interview room. The table is
20	pushed up against the back wall. And then there's two
21	chairs in each corner, and then the two chairs kind of
22	positioned in front of the table. Between the table
23	and the door are chairs that Detective Dues and I had
24	intended to sit at as my paperwork and coffee cup are

PAGE 326 765

1	in front of the one chair, and then Detective Dues' pad
2	and paper is in front of the other chair.
3	Q Thank you. I'm going to go ahead and play the
4	video again.
5	(Video playing.)
6	BY MR. MERRILL:
7	Q Detective, I'm going to stop there at minute
8	13. If you could just identify first, beginning with
9	the person on the far right of the screen?
10	A The far right is Detective Marty Dues. He's
11	wearing the black colored vest and blue colored dress
12	shirt. The next person over from that located in
13	between those the two subjects is myself. I'm
14	wearing the dark gray dress shirt and black colored
15	pants. And then the blue jeans and the dark the
16	black jacket is Mr. Bernal.
17	Q And, Detective, I'm going to go ahead and start
18	playing at a minute 13.
19	(Video playing.)
20	BY MR. MERRILL:
21	Q So, I'll stop it there at minute two and
22	54 seconds.
23	During this portion of the interview, again,
24	are you just trying to gain some background into

PAGE 327 766

# 1 Mr. Bernal? 2 A Abs 3 like I did

A Absolutely, yes. I'm just -- quite honestly, like I did advised him, it is just so I have all of his information correct. Because I am writing a report, so I just like to make sure everything is correct as I have it as I'm talking to him.

Q And we watched approximately two minutes of this portion of the interview. How would you describe the tone of the interview?

A It's friendly, casual. On the way into the interview room, he had -- he was -- he had advised me of knowing a employee of the sheriff's office. And so, as we're entering into the room, I make a comment about that I know the person he's referring to, but I don't know the family members that he -- that Mr. Bernal had referenced as we were walking back. So, it's a casual, friendly conversation.

Q Okay. During the entire course of the interview, do you ever yell?

A No.

Q Do you ever cuss?

A I did cuss, but not in anger or derogatory terms but in the manner of just speaking.

Conversationally adults sometimes will through

1 conversation. So, there were curse words used, but 2 never in like a derogatory manner. Not in an angry manner? 3 0 Α 4 No. 5 0 Okay. Was it ever directed to the defendant? 6 No, it was not. A 7 0 Did you ever throw things? 8 No, I did not. A 9 Q Now, in the video you closed the door. 10 don't recall if it was you or Detective Dues closed the 11 door. But either way, the door is closed. Is that 12 door locked? 13 It is not locked, no. 14 During the course of the interview, did Mr. Bernal ask to use the restroom? 15 16 Through the course of this entire interview, 17 he -- we took three breaks. He requested to use the 18 restroom on two occasions, and the first occasion is 19 actually a suggestion by Detective Dues and myself that 20 we take a break. So, it was a total of three bathroom 21 breaks throughout this interview. 22 Q Did you ever refuse him to use the bathroom? 23 I did not. A 24 Okay. So, take me through the interview from Q

PAGE 329 768

the start to the -- to the conclusion of the interview. What's going on?

A So, at beginning of the interview I do just gather information, and it is for accuracy of my records. It is also a way to -- for us to observe and establish how in this case Mr. Bernal communicates.

Because everyone has different communication styles.

So, it's just for us to be able to understand his communication methods. And so, through the course of this interview, like I referenced earlier, based on my training, we conduct these interviews in a rapport building manner.

So, throughout the course of the interview, I'm trying to continually establish and build rapport Mr. Bernal, as well as Detective Dues doing the same. And at the conclusion of -- or towards the end of the interview, Mr. Bernal had made some admissions to me. After which, he actually took another bathroom break, and then subsequently after that bathroom break and some further end of interview questions, I advised him he was under arrest.

Q So, was there a point in the interview where I guess everything came to a head or to a point where the defendant disclosed to you?

1	A So, yes, in this interview there was some
2	things that he'd said throughout the course of the
3	interview which became a theme throughout the
4	interview. And so, there was things that he had said
5	that just didn't make sense. And so, when he told us
6	the story, it didn't make sense because he was leaving
7	portions of what had actually happened out. And then
8	once he had made that disclosure and had explained what
9	that information that he was withholding, it all made
10	sense, and essentially, when he included that
11	information and included the disclosure of what had
12	happened with HS, and then not too much long after that
13	is when the interview is concluded.
14	Q So, I mean, you were aware of what HS said
15	happened, correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q At that point? Okay.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Did you ask him anything about massages?
20	A I did ask him about massages, yes.
21	Q And did he provide a response?
22	A Yes. He admitted to providing HS with massages
23	on at least a weekly basis and occasionally more.

24

Q

PAGE 331 770

Were there any other things that he discussed

regarding HS?

A Regarding HS, during the course of this interview, he admitted to having a dream.

MS. SCHUMANN: Objection. Your Honor, can we approach.

THE COURT: Come on up.

(Discussion at bench among Court and counsel.)

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, it's one of those times we're going to have to take a little break to determine some evidentiary issues. Okay?

So, during the break that we're about -- during the recess we're about to take, you're admonished that it is your duty not to discuss amongst yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until the case has been finally submitted to you for decision.

You are not to read, view, or listen to any report in the newspaper, radio, television, or the Internet concerning this case, nor allow anyone to read or comment upon them to you or in your presence. This includes viewing any type of social media relating to

1 this case. You are not to investigate or attempt to 2 obtain any additional information about this case outside the courtroom. 3 4 Do not visit the scene or attempt any 5 investigation on your own. Do not Google anything 6 relating to the case. Do not read any legal text or 7 book regarding any issue raised in court. Should any 8 person attempt to discuss the case with you or in any 9 manner attempt to influence you with respect to it, you are to advise the bailiff, who will in turn advise the 10 11 Court.

It looks like we've been into it for about an hour anyway. So, it's probably time to stretch your legs regardless. So, what I'm going to do is I'm going to actually give you about 20 minutes this break. Go ahead and, you know, if you want to go outside and have a brief walk or something, and then we'll get going back here. In about 20 minutes we'll have you back in here. All right? Thank you.

(Jury exits.)

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

THE COURT: All right. So -- all right. Let me hear the testimony first.

Detective, what's the dream?

PAGE 333 772

1	THE WITNESS: He admits to dreaming about HS.
2	He explains that she is an adult during his dream, but
3	he admits to having sex with HS in his dream, and that
4	she essentially is pregnant with his child or is having
5	his child, something to that effect.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
7	So, what's the objection?
8	MS. SCHUMANN: The objection is, Your Honor,
9	it's not relevant. It's highly prejudicial. More
10	prejudicial than probative. And frankly, a pretrial
11	motion should have been filed on this if the State
12	intended to introduce it.
13	THE COURT: Well, I mean, the pretrial motion
14	was to introduce the entire interview. But okay
15	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, it's
16	THE COURT: so, all right. So, how's it
17	relevant?
18	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, super relevant.
19	We're talking about him sexually abusing HS. If he's
20	having dreams about sexually abusing HS or having sex
21	with HS while she's living in his house and she's a
22	teenage girl and he's a grown man, yeah. That's is
23	it prejudicial? Of course it's prejudicial.
24	THE COURT: So, basically you're saying it's

PAGE 334

1 evidence of intent and motive? That's --2 MR. MERRILL: Seems to be. 3 THE COURT: All right. Okay. MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, it'd be one thing if 4 5 he's introducing it through an expert, you know, and 6 analyzing it. But just through a lay person, it's not 7 relevant. Let's put it on the record. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Well, all right. So, the Court does find that his attraction to HS is relevant 9 10 to him sexually abusing her, and it's admissible. 11 Okay? 12 The Court also determines that its probative 13 value outweighs its prejudicial effect. This is not a 14 prior bad act. This is his own statement, and it's a relevant statement made in relation to his intent in 15 16 relation to this case, specifically his attraction to HS. Sure it's prejudicial, but it's not unfairly 17 18 prejudicial. It's admitted. 19 Okay. So --20 MR. KALTER: Can we just make a slight more 21 record, Your Honor? 22 Yes, you can, Mr. Kalter. Go THE COURT: 23 ahead. 24 MR. KALTER: I think our argument is that it's

PAGE 335 774

a dream, and which people can't control their dreams.

If he said to the officer, yes, I fantasize about her,

I think about, I've thought about having her baby -- or

I've thought about having sex with her in my mind or in

a fantasy, that's very different than hey, I had this

dream.

And so, I think our argument before the Court is, it's without some context of a psychiatric expert or something to tie the connection, it's a dream. He did not say yeah, I have these fantasies of her.

People can't control their dreams. So, I think that is our prejudicial. It does not in and of itself without some type of expert context go to motive or a infatuation.

If he said I fantasize about her, that's one thing, but it's a dream. And I have -- everyone has lots of dreams they wish they didn't have. So, I think it's dangerous. It could be potentially misleading to the jury because it's not a fantasy, it's a dream.

THE COURT: No. All right.

So, but the context is that he dreams of HS having his child and having sex with her in the course of his sexually assaulting her, according to her testimony, and it's admissible.

1	So, you made your record. It's fair enough.
2	But the Court's going to allow it. Okay?
3	So, we'll get back going here at 25 minutes
4	till 3:00. Okay?
5	(Courts in recess.)
6	
7	THE COURT: All right. So, anything to bring
8	to the Court before we get started?
9	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, I guess we should
10	put on the record the sidebar before the last break.
11	Just wanted to note a standing objection for the video
12	interview of the defendant so I'm not standing up and
13	constantly objecting every time the State wants to
14	admit one of his exhibits. And I believe the State is
15	going to be seeking to admit Exhibit 20, which is
16	another portion of the audio/video interview of the
17	defendant. Again, we have the standing objection that
18	we'll put on the record.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And that's the actual
20	MR. MERRILL: That's the actual confession or
21	admissions, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So
23	MS. SCHUMANN: And Your Honor, that did include
24	the hymen portion, which we already addressed with the

1	Court.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So, all right.
3	So, Mr. Merrill, that's been previously marked.
4	So, I'm assuming that you wish to admit it into
5	evidence, right?
6	MR. MERRILL: Exhibit 20?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. MERRILL: Yes.
9	THE COURT: All right. So, the Court has
10	previously ruled that it would be admissible. So, it's
11	admitted. Okay? This way we don't have to go through
12	that all.
13	(Whereupon State's Exhibit 20 was admitted into
14	evidence.)
15	THE COURT: And your objection is noted for the
16	record.
17	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Let the record reflect the
19	defendant's continuing objection to the interview.
20	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
22	So, anything else?
23	MS. SCHUMANN: No, Your Honor.
24	MR. MERRILL: No.

PAGE 338 777

1	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.
2	Bring in the jury.
3	(Jury enters.)
4	
5	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the presence
6	the jurors?
7	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor.
8	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Continue, Mr. Merrill.
10	MR. MERRILL: Thank you.
11	BY MR. MERRILL:
12	Q Detective Messmann, getting back to the
13	interview of the defendant.
14	A Yes.
15	Q We were previously discussing a few items that
16	led up to a point in the interview. Do you recall
17	that?
18	A I do.
19	Q Okay. You previously discussed about some
20	massages. You remember that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Now, were there other things during the
23	course of that interview that, I guess, kind of came it
24	a point, were there other things well, let me

rephrase that. Strike that.

Were there other things the defendant told you in relation to HS?

A Yes. He told me that at a certain point he had a dream, or dreams, about HS. In these dreams she was an adult, and that he'd had -- he dreamed of having sex with HS, and that she had become pregnant with his child.

Q At some point during the course of the interview, did he provide you with what you would say was an admission?

- A Yes, he did.
- Q What was specifically said?

He explained that while he was massaging HS's leg, that he'd had some what he called CBD cream on his hands, and that his hands had slipped, and he had enter -- his words "entered her". When I asked him clarifying questions in regards to what parts of his body he was referring to, he placed his right hand on the table and lifted his right index finger, indicating to me that it was his right index finger that had entered her. And when I asked a clarifying question about it being HS's vagina, he responded by saying, "It was an accident."

1	Q Did he did you ask follow-up questions about
2	where this happened?
3	A I did. I asked him where it'd had happened.
4	He explained that he recalled it happening in her
5	bedroom.
6	Q Did he indicate that he knew what she was
7	wearing?
8	A He recalled that she was wearing some pajama
9	pants which he described as exceptionally oversized,
10	and that he would push up the legs of the pant of
11	the pajama pant up in order for him to gain access to
12	her skin and the portion of her leg that he was
13	advising he was massaging.
14	Q Were you able to clarify whether or not he
15	actually used one finger to penetrate HS's vagina?
16	A When I asked him about what parts of his body
17	had entered HS in his words, again, he held up his
18	right index finger so indicating to me that it was
19	just his one finger.
20	Q Did he tell you when this happened?
21	A He estimated it was sometime in January or
22	February of 2019.
23	Q Did he tell you what house?
24	A The yeah, the house that the family was

PAGE 341 780

1 living at at that time, which was here in Yerington, 2 Nevada. 3 Is that the 610 U.S. Highway 95A? 4 Ά Yes. 5 Did he describe for you at all his body 6 position when this happened? 7 I believe he described HS as laying on the bed, 8 and that he was standing essentially next to the bed. And that he advised that when -- at the -- at the 9 10 moment that this, what his words were "accident 11 happened," there was a piece of paper on the floor, which had caused him to slip, and during that slip is 12 13 when his finger had entered her vagina. 14 Was there any discussion in particular about 15 HS's anatomy? 16 Yes. As he began to explain to me this 17 incident, he explained a -- that at some point, HS 18 didn't have a hymen due to a, what he described as an 19 accident involving a fence when she was at a much 20 younger age. 21 And the person who made those statements, 22 Thomas Bernal, to you, is he in the courtroom today? 23 Α Yes, he is. 24 And can you identify him by an article of Q

PAGE 342 781

1	clothing?
2	A Yes. He's sitting to my right. He's wearing a
3	dark colored suit jacket, light colored purpleish
4	colored dress shirt, and a blue colored tie.
5	Q Now, what you just described, what the
6	defendant told you, was that portion of the video
7	record?
8	A Yes, it was.
9	MR. MERRILL: And if I may play, just like last
10	time, play a small portion of the video?
11	THE COURT: It's been previously admitted.
12	Just play it.
13	MR. MERRILL: Okay.
14	THE COURT: You can publish it.
15	MR. MERRILL: I can publish?
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	(Video played.)
18	BY MR. MERRILL:
19	Q So, Detective, you just watched the portion of
20	the interview there. That portion, that's what you
21	were describing before we watched the video; is that
22	correct?
23	A Yes, that was the section.
24	Q Okay. Now, when he said those things that we

1 just watched there, what did you -- I guess, how did 2 your investigation change, if it did, at that point? The only part of my investigation that changed 4 at this point is my decision to arrest him. I had -- I had no intention of arresting him prior to the 5 admission. And then once he provided that admission, 6 7 at that point I decided that he would be under arrest at some point later in the interview. 8 9 Q Okay. Did the interview continue after this 10 point for a period of time? It did. I don't recall how much longer it 11 A 12 continues. I know we take a bathroom break after this. 13 And then I asked him some more questions, like interview type questions. And then it's at the end of 14 15 those questions that I inform him he's under arrest. 16 So, after these admissions are made the 17 defendant takes a bathroom break? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. Do you follow him to the bathroom? No. So, I only go to the -- that locked door 20 A 21 that he can't come back through, I walk with him to 22 that point, and then I stay at that door because he 23 can't -- once he's finished with the bathroom, he can't 24 come back. So, I wait for him there, and he continues

1	the rest of the way to the bathroom by himself, out of
2	my sight. And then when he's finished, he comes back
3	to where I am located so I can let him back through our
4	secured door.
5	Q You don't follow him into the bathroom?
6	A No, none of the times that we took a restroom
7	break did I follow him.
8	Q Okay. Prior to the submission parts that we
9	didn't watch, did you ever throw stuff or yell at the
10	defendant in any way?
11	A No, I did not. The duration of the interview
12	is pretty much like what is depicted here in this
13	video.
14	Q So, you ask a series of interview questions,
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And you did that here with Mr. Bernal?
18	A I did, yes.
19	Q And what types of questions are you asking him?
20	A There are questions to get his essentially
21	opinion of the interview itself. Some barriers that
22	maybe he had to overcome in to telling us the truth,
23	how he was treated, what his opinion about myself,
24	and/or Detective Dues was. It's question along those

PAGE 345 785

1	lines.	
2	Q	And
3	question	s?
4	А	I
5	more tha	n he
6	just men	tion
7	him, I t	hin
8	he said	that
9	think is	the
10	intervie	w.
11	Q	Now
12	now and	you
13	wearing	init
14	А	Yes
15	Q	Did
16	you have	any

d what did he say in regards to those

recall that generally he liked Detective Dues e liked me. Not that he disliked me. ned how I appeared to be I think judging k is what his comment was. But ultimately, t he felt like he was treated fairly, I e term he used, during the course of the

, Detective, you watched a couple of videos describe some of the clothes that you were ially when you came in to the interview?

-- during this point of the interview, did y sort of firearm on you?

I did not. So, what I will explain is that in a prior hearing, I was asked that question, and I didn't have a chance to review this. And so, at that time I had explained to err on the side of caution. Because what happens is, I'll do these interviews both Sometimes I'm armed and sometimes I'm not. ways. at that time I couldn't recall if I was armed or not.

So, at that previous time I had indicated I

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

and a second	was. Subsequent to that hearing, I had been able to
2	review this, and I can see that I'm clearly not armed.
3	I'm not wearing my sidearm nor handcuffs or my badge at
4	that time. I am simply just wearing my dress clothes
5	as you can see me here.
6	Q Was that clarified by watch re-watching the
7	video?
8	A It was, yes.
9	MR. MERRILL: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	Ms. Schumann?
12	
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. SCHUMANN:
•	
15	Q Good afternoon.
15	Q Good afternoon.
15 16	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.
15 16 17	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.  Q Now, Detective, if you could just answer yes or
15 16 17 18	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.  Q Now, Detective, if you could just answer yes or no to the following questions?
15 16 17 18	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.  Q Now, Detective, if you could just answer yes or no to the following questions?  You received a report in this case on
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.  Q Now, Detective, if you could just answer yes or no to the following questions?  You received a report in this case on  August 8th of 2019, correct?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.  Q Now, Detective, if you could just answer yes or no to the following questions?  You received a report in this case on  August 8th of 2019, correct?  A Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Good afternoon.  A Good afternoon.  Q Now, Detective, if you could just answer yes or no to the following questions?  You received a report in this case on August 8th of 2019, correct?  A Yes.  Q And that was from Deputy Greenhut?

1	HS until September 4th of 2019, correct?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And you didn't interview Mr. Bernal in this
4	case until November 5th of 2019, right?
5	A No, that's not correct.
6	Q When was he interviewed?
7	A October 21st of 2019.
8	Q What was that day again, sir?
9	A October 21st of 2019.
10	Q The transcript date says November 5th of '19.
11	So, is that date wrong?
12	A I'm not sure what transcript you're referring
13	to?
14	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, if I can approach?
15	BY MS. SCHUMANN:
16	Q Is this a transcript of your interview with
17	Mr. Bernal?
18	A I'll have to take your word for it. I've never
19	seen this.
20	Q You can skin through skim through it if you
21	want to.
22	THE COURT: Did he prepare that?
23	MS. SCHUMANN: No, Your Honor.
24	THE WITNESS: I yeah, I mean it appears to

1.	be, yes.
2	BY MS. SCHUMANN:
3	Q Okay. And the date on there is November 5th,
4	correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. So, you said the interview happened
7	October 21st of 2019?
8	A To my recollection, yes.
9	Q And that was nearly three months after it was
10	initially reported, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Now, heading into the interview room you
13	actually placed TJ in the furthest corner from the
14	door, right?
15	A I asked that he sit there, yes.
16	Q Okay. So, you directed him to that location?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q And it's fair to say that that chair was the
19	furthest from the exit, correct?
20	A Sure. Yes.
21	Q And then you and Detective Dues were sitting
22	between Mr. Bernal and the exit?
23	A Relatively. I wouldn't say I wouldn't
24	describe it as that, but yes.

1	Q He'd have to pass by you, correct, to get to
2	the exit?
3	A Sure. He had an easy path in which he could
4	walk by, yes. We weren't we weren't blocking his
5	exit.
6	Q That was the only exit to the room, correct?
7	A That is the only exit to the room.
8	Q And during the interview no Miranda rights were
9	read to Mr. Bernal, correct?
10	A Correct. He was there voluntarily.
11	Q And you didn't tell him he could have an
12	attorney present then, right?
13	A As I said, he was there voluntarily.
14	Q Just yes or no. Did you tell him an attorney
15	could be present?
16	A No.
17	Q Did you tell him that anything he said could be
18	used against him?
19	A No.
20	Q Now, you've only told Mr. Bernal that he was
21	free to leave at the beginning of the interview,
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And for the first four hours of the interview

TJ denied that anything sexual had happened between and
HS?
A I can't answer that accurately. I don't
know I don't I did not mark the times at which he
made admissions or not.
Q Is that an approximate?
A Again, I don't know. So, you're asking me to
make a guess. I don't know.
Q It was towards the end of the interview, right?
A Towards the end, yes.
Q Okay. Okay.
Now, this telephone call that you had to get
Mr. Bernal to the station, that was conveniently not
recorded, correct?
A That was I'm sorry, can you ask that
question again?
Q That wasn't recorded, correct?
A What
Q Your phone call to Mr. Bernal to get him to
come to the substation?
A No, as none of them are.
Q Okay. And you didn't give him a choice about
the location of the interrogation?
A I don't recall if I did or not.

PAGE 351 790

1 You told him it would be at the substation, 2 correct? 3 A Again --4 0 Just yes or no. 5 A Well, I can't answer that yes or no. 6 You don't know? 0 7 Α Because when I contact people, there are times that I will give them an option of meeting me in 8 Fernley or Silver Springs. So, I don't know if that 9 was my discussion with Mr. Bernal. So, I would have 10 11 asked that he meet me at one of the two substations, 12 whether it be Fernley and/or Silver Springs. 13 again, I didn't dictate the exact location. 14 have asked that he meet me at a substation of the 15 sheriff's office to do the interview, yes. 16 0 Okay. So, he didn't say -- he didn't have a say in the location? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 And during that phone call you didn't tell TJ 20 that he didn't have to talk with you, correct? 21 A No. I asked him to speak with me though. 22 Okay. Now, during the interview, didn't you 23 tell Mr. Bernal that this was his chance to tell his 24 side of the story?

1	A I don't know if I I don't know if I used
2	those terms or not.
3	Q Did you imply that?
4	A Well, it would be it would be implied in the
5	fact that he's there to speak to me about a certain
6	incident, yes.
7	Q Okay. Now, TJ told you during the interview
8	that he would rub CBD oil onto HS's back and legs,
9	correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And he told you that sometimes Patty would also
12	rub CBD oil on HS?
13	A Yes. But he did advise me that he was the
14	primary person who did this for HS.
15	Q Okay. But Patty also did, right?
16	A On a lesser occasion, yes.
17	Q And you said during the interview, after
18	Mr. Bernal continued to deny anything happened, you
19	said, "Why would HS make these accusations up if it
20	didn't happen?" Do you remember saying that?
21	A I might have said something to that effect,
22	yes.
23	Q And you repeated several times throughout the
24	interview that you don't think TJ's a bad guy, but you

think something happened and he needed to talk about 1 2 it? A Yes, that's correct. 4 You also told TJ that once he tells you, a 5 weight would be lifted off of him? 6 A Yes, that's correct. 7 I'm going to read you a portion of the interview. Let me know if it's correct or not. 8 9 This is you speaking. "So, the thing of it is, honestly, TJ, I don't think you're a bad guy. I really 10 11 don't. You know, we've been sitting here talking and, you know, the stuff that you told me and the -- you 12 13 know, the things that you've been through and stuff 14 like that and working on your marriage, I don't think 15 you're a bad guy. But I do think that something 16 happened. And I think this is one of these situations 17 where, you know, maybe this is weighing who you? 18 I mean, it's pretty clear something's weighing 19 on you. And so, sometimes, you know, when you have 20 something weighing on you, and just for you to be able 21 to come out with it, that weight's lifted. And so, 22 that's kind of where we're at right now, you know what 23 I mean? Because quite honestly I get that 13,

PAGE 354

14-year-old kid says I may say things as, to especially

1 stepparents. But something happened for HS to say these things." Do you remember saying that? 2 3 Α Yes. And you suggested that maybe TJ accidently 4 Q 5 slipped a finger into HS's vagina while he was rubbing 6 her legs? 7 Α I never suggested that. 8 Q You never suggested that, not once? 9 I think the suggestion of an accident may have Α occurred, but I would have never suggested with 10 11 specificity. 12 Okay. Well, let's go back and review the Q 13 transcript. 14 This is you speaking. "Is it possible that 15 during one of these massages that, you know, 16 unintentionally your hand was or fingers were a little higher up on her leg than you thought?" Did you say 17 18 that? 19 Α Sure, I said that. 20 And then you said, "It's not possible that you Q 21 were up high enough to, like I said, even accidently brush against her vagina?" Did you say that? 22 23 Α Yes. That wasn't entering though. 24 Q You also said, "Is it possible that, again

PAGE 355 794

accidently, your hand is rubbing her vagina, anything 1 2 like that?" Did you say that? 3 I did say that. Α "Could you have accidently touched her vagina?" 4 5 Did you say that? 6 Α Yeah. 7 "Could you have -- it could have been a slip?" 8 Did you say that? 9 Α Yes. 10 I think there might be one more. Let me go 11 back. 12 And then about two hours into the interview, as TJ's denied that anything sexual has happened, you 13 14 asked him to take a CVSA, which is a computer voice stress analysis test, correct? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 What voice stress test apparatus did you use? 18 A I can't answer that because I didn't administer 19 that exam. 20 0 You don't know what your department usually 21 uses? 22 Α I do know what we use. But I -- if you're asking me that particular device, there's a couple of 23 24 different versions, but we use the computer voice

1	stress analysis from NITV, which is National Institute
2	of Truth Verification. But specifically the exact
3	device, I can't answer it.
4	Q Is that what was used during Mr. Bernal's
5	interview?
6	A Yes, one of the CVSA machines was used during
7	that interview, yes.
8	Q Okay. Now, you guys rearranged the room to
9	administer the CVSA, correct?
10	A I did not. I was not in the room during the
11	rearrangement of furniture.
12	Q But you know what the room looked like after
13	the interview, right?
14	A I do. Yes, when I
15	Q After the CVSA?
16	A When I came back into the room after the CVSA,
17	yes
18	Q Okay.
19	A I'm aware of what the room looked like.
20	MS. SCHUMANN: For the record, this is
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19. Oh, I guess it's already on
22	the screen.
23	BY MR. MERRILL:
24	Q Can you see that screen in front of you?

1	THE COURT: All right. So, now, it's on the
2	screen.
3	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay. Thank you.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	BY MS. SCHUMANN:
6	Q Now, Detective, so, you rearranged the room for
7	the CVSA, correct?
8	A I did not rearrange the room, no. I wasn't in
9	there during that time.
10	Q Okay. But Detective Dues rearranged the room?
11	A He had you'd have to ask him. But yes, at
12	some point after I was out of the room, the furniture
13	was rearranged.
14	Q Okay. And looking at your screen, where is
15	Mr. Bernal?
16	A He
17	Q Can you circle it on the screen?
18	A Can I circle it?
19	Q Yes.
20	A Do I just use my finger?
21	THE COURT: Just use your finger.
22	MS. SCHUMANN: It's not going to work.
23	BY MS. SCHUMANN:
24	Q Is he the furthest from the exit?

PAGE 358 797

1 He's the one in the black colored jacket with 2 the yellow shoulders. So, the furthest from the exit? Q 4 Α Yes. 5 And now, he's got a table and two detectives Q 6 between him and the exit, correct? 7 Α Correct. 8 0 Now, TJ was reluctant to take the CVSA, 9 correct? 10 A No. That's not my recollection. 11 Q So, you and Detective Dues asked TJ if he's willing to take the CVSA. TJ's answer was, "Dude, my 12 13 heart has not stopped beating out of my chest since I 14 woke up this morning. And as you guys can see, my 15 voice has been going up and down this whole time because this is a stressful situation." Do you 16 17 remember TJ saying that? 18 А Yes. 19 Q That's reluctance, correct? 20 A That's him explaining how he felt. 21 0 Right. Reluctant to take the test? 22 I took it as him explaining to me how he felt Α 23 at that moment. 24 And TJ told you he lost 30 pounds because of

1	these accusations, right, due to the stress?
2	A Early in the interview, yeah, I remember him
3	telling me that.
4	Q And then you and Deputy Dues tell TJ that if
5	he's innocent, he should take the test?
6	A Not in not it those terms. But yes, there
7	was a discussion in which that is discussed.
8	Q And you said if he's guilty, he shouldn't take
9	the test?
10	A Yeah, that's correct. If he had anything to do
11	with it, we encourage him to not take the test.
12	Q And then you guys told TJ that the CVSA results
13	would go into his criminal mystery. Do you remember
14	saying that?
15	A I don't. I don't remember saying that, no.
16	Q Do you remember Detective Dues saying that?
17	A I don't remember him advising him it'd go in
18	his criminal like, specifically his criminal
19	history, I don't recall that.
20	Q This is a quotation from the interview. "We
21	don't want to because this shows up as he was a suspect
22	in this, and if we don't come down to the conclusion
23	off yeah, and he took a CVSA and he passed so, if
24	you ever had like a job history or somebody searching
- 1	

into your background and you're trying to better 1 2 yourself, then if they say, oh, well, what happened 3 here and they read it, and they's like oh, yeah, but he 4 took a CVSA and he passed." 5 So, and then TJ says, "Do the background checks go into that much detail? The answer, "Sure, 6 absolutely." Do you remember saying that? Or hearing 7 8 Detective Dues say that? 9 Α Yeah, I remember hearing him saying that. 10 You accused TJ of leaving stuff out of his 11 history, correct? 12 Α Yes, I did. 13 You said that his story didn't make sense, 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 So, you were determined to keep him there until 17 you thought the story made sense? 18 A No, that's not correct. 19 Q Why didn't you just end the interview when TJ 20 initially denied the allegation? 21 A That's just not my training and experience on how these interviews work. I mean, unfortunately, 22 23 there's a lot of people that when they talk to us, they 24 aren't forthcoming with information initially. So, the

PAGE 361 800

interview continued as long as Mr. Bernal wanted to be in there. Like I said, he was in there voluntarily, so we just kept speaking.

- Q So, the interview wouldn't end until he told you what you wanted him to say?
  - A That's not correct.

1.8

Q Did you tell TJ that if he told you what happened, the charges might not be as bad as he thought?

A I explained to him that there's many factors when it goes into consideration of charges. And because he disclosed to me that prior to coming in to the interview, he had looked up what different criminal charges were and what those penalties were, and so, I had explained to him that I wasn't sure exactly what he looked up. But through the course of investigations there was a lot of factors between me doing the investigation and the District Attorney's Office review of the case and ultimately what charges were brought forth.

Q Did you say, "But whatever did happen, let's talk about it, and whatever happened might not amount to the charges that whatever it is that you looked up. You see what I'm saying? There's a whole bunch

different things that can happen here, and it might not be even, like, as bad as what you're thinking it is,"? Did you say that? I did say that because I didn't know what he was going to say. And then just yes or no. Did you tell TJ it would be better him to confess because it would be easier for him down the road? Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I probably said something to that effect, but that's probably out of context. But, yes.

Q Another quote from the interview.

"Judges are humans too. So, they -- when they read reports and stuff like that, and the district attorneys and stuff like that, when they read reports and they see that you came in and you owned it and you owned what happened and were just honest and was like yeah, this is what happened, they appreciate that. They do.

And so, everyone appreciates the fact that you come in and you're man enough to be honest about these things and you just own what happened. But nobody likes being lied to, not even the district attorneys. And so, if they go along and basically just feel you're a liar, well, just like you said with HS, it upsets

1 It upsets people because they're human, and so, then things become worse. And so, this is what I'm 2 3 saying. "This is the road we're at. The road, even 4 5 though difficult, ultimately is the easier road for 6 you. Or this road, where, you know, like, it's 7 difficult for you to just have a conversation with me 8 now, down the road will become a lot more difficult." 9 Did you say that? 10 Yes, I said those things. 11 Okay. Now, discussing these dreams that you Q 12 testified about earlier, TJ only admitted to having one 1.3 dream about HS, correct? 14 That's -- yes. The one dream he described to 15 me, yes. 16 And he told you that in the dream he had a baby 17 with a woman who he didn't recognize? 18 What he explained to me was that though the A 19 woman, I guess, it -- I don't remember exactly how he 20 phrased it, but essentially how he explained it was 21 he -- in the dream he knew it was HS. That's how he 22 explained it. 23 Okay. But he said it was a woman he didn't 24 recognize, and the only reason he knew it was HS was

1	because he called her Bug?
2	A Okay.
3	Q Is that accurate?
4	A That sound accurate, yes.
5	Q So, TJ didn't say that he fantasizes about HS,
6	correct?
7	A I don't recall him saying that. He described
8	his dream.
9	Q Okay. Now, this admission that you were
10	talking about, you questioned TJ about the details of
11	that, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And TJ didn't know whether HS was wearing
14	underwear or not?
15	A That's what he said, yes.
16	Q No SART exam was conducted in this case, right?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q Now, TJ actually asked for a smoke break
19	mid-interview, but it was denied. Did you remember
20	that?
21	A I don't. Because I wasn't in the interview
22	room at that time.
23	Q Okay. That was Detective Dues?
24	A Yes, I was like I said, I was not in the

interview room.

Q Okay. And then these bathroom breaks, TJ asked you to use the bathroom, correct?

- A Yes.
- Q Approximately it sounds like three times?

A Well, the first time Detective Dues and I suggested the break. And then the other two times I've described, TJ, or Mr. Bernal, had asked for the break. He asked to use the restroom. And like immediately we agreed, and he was walking out of the room within seconds of those request.

Q Okay. Now, you describe -- so, the exit, you said if you exit out that interrogation room and you go right, you said there's another door that goes to a secured parking lot?

A Oh, I'm sorry. If I said right, I misspoke. It -- from this -- from the view, if you exit out the interview room, you'd have to go left. You'd almost make a U turn, a left and then that take you directly out into our parking lot.

Q Okay. And then every time TJ had to use the bathroom, you had to let him out of that locked door, wait for him there, and then once TJ was done going to the bathroom, then you would let him back in the door?

PAGE 366 805

1	A That's not correct. From the side of the door
2	that we're on, he would just push through the door.
3	That's not locked from our side. I waited at that door
4	at of the locked side why he went to the bathroom so
5	he could when he came back, he could get access into
6	our side of the building. Because that the door
7	that I'm describing separates our side of the building,
8	the Sheriff's Office side of the building, from Social
9	Service's side of the building.
10	Q Because the door is locked?
11	A That door is locked, where I waited for him at
12	the back front. And while he's using the
13	bathroom
14	Q Yes.
15	A that door is locked, yes.
16	Q And you were waiting for him every time he used
17	the bathroom?
18	A Yeah, so he could come back if he if he
19	chose to, yes.
20	MS. SCHUMANN: No further questions, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Merrill?
23	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, if we could approach
24	real quick?

THE COURT: Okay.

(Discussion at bench among Court and counsel.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, another evidentiary thing, and then by the time you get back in here, we'll probably be able to finish out for the day.

Okay?

All right. So, we're going to take a little break. And during the recess we're about to take, you're admonished that it is your duty not to discuss amongst yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until the case has been finally submitted to you for decision.

You are not to read, view, or listen to any report in the newspaper, radio, television, or the Internet concerning this case, nor allow anyone to read or comment upon them to you or in your presence. This includes viewing any type of social media relating to the case.

You are not to investigate or attempt to obtain any additional information about this case outside the courtroom. Do not visit the scene or attempt any

1	investigation on your own. Do not go on the Internet
2	and look anything up in relation to this matter. Do
3	not read any legal text or book regarding any issue
4	raised in court.
5	Should any person attempt to discuss the case
6	with you, or in any manner attempt to influence you
7	with respect to it, you are to advise the bailiff, who
8	will in turn advise the Court, and I'll take care of
9	it, ladies and gentlemen.
10	Take about 15 minutes, and then we'll get
11	going.
12	(Jury exits.)
13	
14	THE COURT: All right. You can take your break
15	too
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Detective. All right. So, just
18	make sure you make it back here.
19	THE WITNESS: In 15 minutes?
20	THE COURT: Yeah.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	THE COURT: All right? At least. Get here
23	five minutes early.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.

1 THE COURT: All right. Okay. So, all right. 2 This is -- and this is the problem with even mentioning the CVSA. All right? 3 4 So, Mr. Merrill -- well, first of all in 5 relation to the bench conference, Mr. Merrill 6 approached the Court and requested that the Court allow him to question on what the CVA is -- CVSA is, and what 7 8 they did, and what the outcome was. So, of course, that was objected to by Ms. Schumann. 9 10 So, make your record, Mr. Merrill. 11 MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, that is correct. 12 That was what the bench conference was about. We are 13 asking to now be able to discuss the CVSA, what it is 14 and what it does, what happened, what the results were. 15 The witness was directly attacked about he 16 wasn't -- he did not provide the defendant with a smoke 17 break. That was during the CVSA. There's been several 18 questions about what a C -- about a CVSA. The juror's 19 are going to inquire and wonder about what is a CVSA, how is that administered, what the whole process is 20 21 entirely. 22 There's also mention about the table moved

during the interview here and when that took place in relations, again, to the CVSA. The CVSA was talked

23

24

about extensively, and the witness' credibility was attacked based on that. So, I think I get an opportunity to at least discuss what it is, how it was administered, and to ultimately discuss the results of it.

THE COURT: Ms. Schumann?

MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, we would just put on the record, we didn't get into the results or the procedure or anything like that with the CVSA. We didn't talk about its reliability. Only that the test was administered.

Further, Your Honor, his credibility on the CVSA hasn't been -- we didn't bring that up. He denied that he administered the CVSA, and he denied TJ a smoke break. So, I believe the State might be able to get it in through a different witness. But as far as attacking credibility, we didn't do that with the CVSA.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm not going to allow it, Mr. Merrill.

So, the Court does not feel that the questions that were asked in relation to CVSA, it indicated there was truth verification, but there was no direct questioning in relation to the test itself to being deceptive or nondeceptive or the "coercive nature" of

1 the test in relation to his admission. 2 That all being the case, which was -- I was --3 that was my main concern in relation to even mentioning it, was the questioning in relation to the coercive 4 5 nature potentially of it in relation to his admission. 6 Okav? 7 So, but be careful, Ms. Schumann, because I'm 8 not going to allow you to argue that they coerced him into a confession as a result of using the CVSA. 9 And 10 if you do it, then they can put on Dues and do everything that he wants. 11 12 MS. SCHUMANN: Understood, Your Honor. 13 MR. KALTER: But in that -- if I understood 14 your ruling because we discussed this, it completely 15 opens the door except for Dues or an officer saying 16 this is equivalent to Mr. Bernal lying? 17 THE COURT: Right. Yeah, I mean, it would 18 still be limited to that. I mean, of course, he can 19 say that the test showed that he was --20 MR. KALTER: Deceptive. 21 THE COURT: -- as a result of the test. But he 22 can't testify that he was in fact or not in fact

811

Thank you.

telling the truth or lying.

MR. KALTER:

23

1 THE COURT: Okay? So, that's the --Yes. 2 that's the dividing line. 3 MR. KALTER: Oh. 4 THE COURT: Okay? He can say what the results 5 of the test were. But at this point, you know? 6 MR. MERRILL: So, you're saying at this point 7 that hasn't happened, right? 8 THE COURT: That hasn't. 9 MR. MERRILL: The door hasn't opened up. Okav. 10 THE COURT: The door hasn't opened up just by 11 mentioning of the fact that he took a test. Okay? So, 12 because the jury heard the admission after the test. So, and at this point the Court still believes its more 13 14 prejudicial than probative, so. 15 But like I said, be very careful. Now, I don't 16 know what their expert's going to say. But if the expert comes in and he does testify that that was used 17 18 as a coercive technique or something like that, then 19 you can put on Dues in relation to it. 20 MR. KALTER: The Court's been very clear on 21 what --22 THE COURT: Right. Yeah, I just want to make 23 it very clear. But I don't think she crossed that line 24 yet. Okay?

PAGE 373 812

1	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: All right. So, you guys got about
3	seven minutes.
4	(Recess.)
5	
6	THE COURT: All right. So, okay.
7	So, where are we, Mr. Merrill, in relation to
8	your case?
9	MR. MERRILL: So, we will finish with Messmann
10	here, hopefully, in a few minutes. I will put
11	Marty Dues on.
12	THE COURT: For what purpose?
13	MR. MERRILL: For what purpose?
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	MR. MERRILL: Just talk about generally what he
16	did inside the room, talk about a bathroom break.
17	Basically that's it.
18	THE COURT: I mean, it's up to you, but
19	MR. MERRILL: No, I understand. I mean,
20	frankly I'd rather him be introduced from me rather
21	than I'd rather him be introduced from me, you know?
22	Because I'm sure the defense is going to call him, so.
23	So, I have those two. I have Melissa Piasecki
24	and Jennifer McCann.

Τ	THE COURT: All right. So, what's McCann's
2	testimony going to be?
3	MR. MERRILL: McCann's testimony is going to be
4	that this is what a normal child forensic interview
5	looks like, this is the interview process, this is the
6	cornerstone CornerHouse protocol, this is what it
7	is. Dr. O'Donohue's going to attack the cornerstone
8	protocol. His whole testimony is about the child
9	forensic interview. And so, I'm going at least put
10	her
11	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
12	Well, you can do whatever you want. So, that's
13	going to how long do you have anybody available
14	today?
15	MR. MERRILL: I have Dues available right now.
16	I have Melissa Piasecki, and I have Jennifer McCann.
17	THE COURT: Oh, they're all here?
18	MR. MERRILL: They're all here.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. MERRILL: So, I do have a, I guess,
21	question for the Court. Or rather a concern.
22	During the examination of Detective Messmann
23	here, there was a question about table being moved.
24	THE COURT: Uh-hum.

1	MR. MERRILL: Detective Dues is going to get in
2	here, and if there's that same sort of question, I've
3	completely informed him to not talk about CVSA's or
4	anything else.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Now, what he can say is that
6	to conduct the test, they reset the room.
7	MR. MERRILL: Okay.
8	THE COURT: I mean, that's procedural. I'll
9	let you do that.
10	MR. MERRILL: Okay. I'm not going anywhere
11	near that. I'm just saying
12	THE COURT: I'm just saying. Yeah, I mean, to,
13	you know, to conduct some of the tests, we rearrange
14	the room to make it more conducive for the testing.
15	MR. MERRILL: I understand.
16	THE COURT: That's fine. But that's as far as
17	you go.
18	MR. MERRILL: Right.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
20	Is there any objection to that, Ms. Schumann?
21	MS. SCHUMANN: No, Your Honor. Is the State
22	going to be attempting to introduce more audio/video of
23	the interview of Mr. Bernal?
24	MR. MERRILL: Yeah, we're going to introduce

1	number 23.
2	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay. So, we just want to
3	THE COURT: What's 23?
4	MR. MERRILL: 23 is a, basically a bathroom
5	break where the defendant asked to get up and leave.
6	That will be through Dues.
7	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay.
8	THE COURT: All right. So, I'm assuming you're
9	going to offer it then?
10	MR. MERRILL: Yes.
11	MS. SCHUMANN: We'll make the same
12	THE COURT: Same objection?
13	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: It's admitted. All right?
15	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 23 was admitted
16	into evidence.)
17	THE COURT: Okay. So, he doesn't have to do
18	the authentication.
19	MR. MERRILL: Perfect.
20	THE COURT: The interview has already been
21	authenticated.
22	MR. MERRILL: Perfect. Can I just publish it
23	then?
24	THE COURT: You can just

PAGE 377

1	MR. MERRILL: Great.
2	THE COURT: put it right up. All right?
3	Because it's already been authenticated. The Court has
4	already ruled it admissible. If it's in, it's in.
5	It's evidence. Okay?
6	MR. MERRILL: Great. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
8	MS. SCHUMANN: No, Your Honor.
9	MR. MERRILL: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Please bring in the jurors.
11	(Jury enters.)
12	
13	THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the
14	presence of the jurors?
15	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor.
16	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
18	So, please, go ahead and proceed, Mr. Merrill.
19	MR. MERRILL: Thank you.
20	
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MERRILL:
23	Q Detective Messmann, just a few follow-up
24	questions for you.

Going back to the bathroom breaks, you indicated you would walk out to a certain area where there was a locked door?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Can you explain that a little -- a little further?

A Sure. So, the Sheriff's Office side of the building is kind of wide open, and the offices, including the interview room, are all kind of on the perimeter of this wide open space. And the wide open space is used by patrol as their squad room. So, from that interview room, you'd walk across this wide open space through a door that, on our side from the direction that I'm describing you're approaching it from, is not locked. You just push through the door.

I walked with Mr. Bernal through -- from the interview room through that open space, pushed through the door, and then I stood right on the other side of that door. Because once that door is locked and it closes, if you're now on the Social Service's side of the building, it is locked on that side. So, I stood right at that door. He continued down the hallway, which is approximately 30 feet or more, and then he would have made a left and another left where the

bathrooms were located.

When he exited the bathrooms, he would have turned right. If he would have continued straight ahead of him approximately another 15 feet, that would have been the exit to the front door that he first came into the building in. That door from that side is also not locked. You just simply turn the knob and walk through, and then you're now onto the public side of that lobby and then out the building.

So, when he came out of the bathroom, he made a right and then another right, walked down that hall approximately like three feet where I was waiting for him at the lock -- now the locked door. I unlocked the door. I think honestly there's probably one or two of the occasions that I actually didn't let the door close all the way. There's a small trash can that's right there that I think I slid the trash can to prevent the door from closing all the way.

And then once he came back to where I was waiting for him, I opened the door, and then we walked back through onto the Sheriff's Office side of the building, back through that open space, and then back into the interview room.

Q Was he out of your sight at any point during

that time?

1.2

A Yes, the -- essentially, once we're on the Social Service's side of the building, once he makes that left-hand turn after working down that hallway to go in the bathroom, he's completely out of my sight. For the entire duration that he's in the bathroom, he's out of my sight. He doesn't come back into my sight until he's basically exited the bathroom and makes those -- that right-hand turn and steps into that area where he would then have to make another right-hand turn to walk down to the hall back to me. It would be at that point that he's back basically in my sight at that point.

- Q Thank you. Now, the phone call that you had with the defendant, you say that originally on direct it lasted about five minutes?
  - A It's pure approximation.
  - Q Okay.
  - A I would say not more than five minutes.
- Q Did you ever force him to come down?
  - A No, I did not.
- Q Did you do ever make a demand for him to come meet with you?
  - A I did not. I simply asked him if he would be

PAGE 381 820

willing to meet with me.

Q Now, you met with him at the Silver Springs substation, correct?

A I did.

Q Okay. But you also indicated on cross that sometimes you meet at a different substation?

A Yeah. So, depending on where people live, because Lyon County is a large county, it's over 2,000 square miles, so I do provide that option. At the time that I contacted Mr. Bernal, I was aware that he was staying up in Reno. So, even though I don't specifically remember the offer, it wouldn't be unusual for me to offer possibly meeting at the Fernley substation, acknowledging that that would be a closer location to his current residence at that time.

I honestly, that is something, again, over the course of time, I don't know if I offered it specifically to Mr. Bernal, but it would be a habit of mine to do that. So, that'd be one of the two places that I would offered to meet him.

 ${\tt Q}$  Okay. Was that a suggestion or a demand for him to meet you there at --

A No, it simply a question if he was willing to meet me. When he agreed, I would have given him the

1	location of where to meet me at.
2	Q Now, to begin the interview, you indicated that
3	you told him that he was free to leave?
4	A At the very beginning?
5	Q At the very beginning.
6	A Yes. At the very beginning I did.
7	Q And did he have any comments in regards to that
8	statement from you?
9	A Essentially that he understood what I had
10	explained to him, what I had stated to him.
11	MR. MERRILL: Nothing further. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Detective.
13	Is he subject to recall?
14	MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.
15	MS. SCHUMANN: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You're excused from further
17	testimony in this matter, Detective. Thank you.
18	Next witness.
19	MR. MERRILL: Detective Marty Dues.
20	Detective, come on formed, raise your right
21	hand, and be sworn by the clerk, please.
22	(Witness sworn.)
23	THE COURT: Okay. Please, come on over here in
24	that seat.

Your witness, Mr. Merrill.
MARTY DUES,
called as a witness herein by the State,
having been first duly sworn, was examined
and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MERRILL:
Q Good afternoon, Detective.
A Good afternoon.
Q Can you please introduce yourself to the jury?
A My name is Detective Marty Dues. I work for
the Lyon County Sheriff's Office.
Q How long have you worked for the Lyon County
Sheriff's Office?
A Going on 13 years.
Q And what is your job title?
A I am a Major Crimes Detective.
Q How long have you been doing major crimes?
A Eight years.
Q And what are your job duties?
A We investigate Major Crimes Division, we
investigate the cases that are beyond the scope of the

1	average patrolman. Most of the cases we deal with are
2	sex crimes, homicides, exploitation of the elderly and
3	financial crimes.
4	Q And if you can tell the jury about your
5	training?
6	A I've attended over 2,000 hours of training
7	since becoming a law enforcement officer, including
8	crime scene crime scene reenactment, cell phone
9	analysis, homicide, entomology in relation to
10	homicides, child forensic interviewing, and various
11	others.
12	Q Okay. Now, are there ongoing trainings that
13	you participate in?
14	A Yes. There's annual training that's required,
15	and any new trainings or new updates, we stay on top
16	of.
17	Q Now, what is what is POST?
18	A POST is the Nevada Academy.
19	Q And does the Police Officer Standards and
20	Training, do they require additional training on a
21	yearly basis for what you do?
22	A Yes. There's a specific requirements of twice
23	at the rifle twice at the firearms range. We have
24	to maintain all of our proficiency with all of our

PAGE 385 874

1	weapons. There's also mandatory ones on everything
2	from sexual harassment in the work place through
3	investigations to stay on to top of case law and/or any
4	changes to policy and procedures of NRS.
5	Q Thank you. Did you assist Detective Messmann
6	in this case against Thomas Bernal?
7	A I did.
8	Q And how did you initially become involved?
9	A We utilize an interview technique that usually
10	we use two people for the interview. And so, he had
11	asked me to assist him in the case.
12	Q I see. And was that the first time you got
13	involved with the case?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Was during the interview?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. Had you reviewed the case at all prior
18	to the interview?
19	A I had I had reviewed the child forensic
20	interview.
21	Q And why did you review the child forensic
22	interview?
23	A So I know what we're walking into for the
24	interview, the physical interview with Mr. Bernal.

1 Q Okay. So, you mentioned that there's two of 2 you in the interview room, correct? 3 A That's correct. 4 Okay. Is that a typical process? 5 Yes, it is now under the new trainings and A 6 techniques that we've received. 7 Q Okay. And do you know why that is? 8 Α It allows one person to interview while the 9 other person can watch for physical reactions from the 10 subject. 11 0 Now, how many interview -- was that October 21st of 2019? 12 1.3 A That's correct. 14 And how were you dressed on that day? 15 A Actually, I was dressed exactly like I am today 16 except I didn't have my coat, and I didn't have my 17 sidearm. 18 Q So, you did not have your sidearm on that day? 19 A No, I did not. 20 Q So, no weapon on you? 21 A None. 22 Did you have a badge on you? Q 23 Yes, but you wouldn't be able to see it because A 24 I wear a three-piece suit with a vest.

1	Q Now, during the course of the interview with
2	the defendant, is he afforded the opportunity to leave
3	the interview?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And how so?
6	A When the interview is first begin, we give
7	what's called a Beheler Admonishment. Basically we ask
8	how he got there, do you have a vehicle, are you here
9	under your own free will. We explain that at any time
10	you're free to go, the door's only closed for privacy.
11	Any time you want to leave, feel free, and we normally
12	tell the person we will follow you up to the front just
13	to make sure you got out of the building.
14	Q And was the defendant ever denied an
15	opportunity to use the restroom?
16	A No. In fact, I believe Mr. Bernal used the
17	bathroom three times during the course of the
18	interview.
19	Q Okay. Detective, I'm going to go play for you
20	Exhibit 23. It'll probably be a time or two I'll stop
21	it.
22	A Okay.
23	(Video played.)
24	BY MR. MERRILL:

1	Q Okay. Detective, I'll stop it at 28 seconds.
2	What did we just watch there?
3	A That was request for bathroom break. And so,
4	the bathroom our building is half family services,
5	half law enforcement. There's a security door that
6	splits the middle. So, they were going to go use the
7	restroom. Detective Messmann walked him to the
8	security door and told him the bathroom's around the
9	corner, I'll wait here because you can't get in because
10	it's got a coded lock.
11	Q Okay. Did you ever follow the defendant to the
12	restroom?
13	A I did not, no.
14	Q Where would you go during for instance, this
15	bathroom break, where did you go?
16	A Probably my office. My office is between this
17	interview room and the secured room.
18	Q And do you recall how long the specific
19	bathroom break took?
20	A I have no idea, sir.
21	Q Okay. During the course of the entire
22	interview, did you ever threaten the defendant?
23	A No.
24	Q Did you ever yell at him?

1	A No.
2	Q Did you ever cuss at him?
3	A No, I did not.
4	Q Any other manner did you attempt intimidate the
5	defendant?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q Or to try to coerce a confession?
8	A No, I did not.
9	Q Thank you.
10	A You're welcome.
11	THE COURT: Counsel?
12	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
13	
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. SCHUMANN:
16	Q Good afternoon.
17	A Good afternoon.
18	Q So, just a couple of questions.
19	Isn't it true that you denied TJ a cigarette
20	break approximately two hours into the interview?
21	A No, it wasn't I didn't deny him one. He
22	what he actual statement was a cigarette would be nice.
23	And I told him this isn't going to take very long. And
24	his response was okay.

PAGE 390 829

1	Q So, you said so, you basically implied no,
2	this won't take that long?
3	A No, I didn't imply no at all. I just simply
4	said it's not going to take long.
5	Q Let me read the quote.
6	A Okay.
7	Q So, TJ said, "I really need a cigarette. We've
8	been in here a while." And you said, "Well, it's not
9	going to take very long."
10	A That's what I said.
11	Q Okay. Now, did you, either you or
12	Detective Messmann request a SART exam in this case?
13	A Detective Messmann would have, I guess. I
14	don't know. That's his part of the case. I'm not the
15	case agent on this.
16	Q Okay. So, you don't know if one was ordered?
17	A No, I don't.
18	Q What is the SART exam?
19	A A SART exam is a sexual assault response team.
20	That's what SART stands for. It's a medical
21	existential medical exam that's done up at the Child
22	Advocacy Center up in Reno. And they do a it's not
23	an interview. They do like an in-take. Then they
24	they'll collect any clothing that the person may have
l l	

PAGE 391 830

1	on them that had evidentiary material on it. And they
2	will do swabs of all different areas to gain provide
3	back so we can send to the lab for testing.
4	Q And you're looking for trauma to the vagina
5	during SART exam?
6	A If that's the disclosure.
7	Q Okay.
8	MS. SCHUMANN: I pass the witness, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Merrill, any follow-up.
10	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, one moment.
11	
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. MERRILL:
14	Q So, the defendant asked for a smoke break,
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And you and you told him in a moment?
18	A No, I said this won't take long
19	Q This won't
20	A and he agreed.
21	Q Okay. And he indicated he understood that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. How soon afterwards did he get a smoke
24	break?

1	A After this was all said and done, and I,
2	myself, walked him out to the back of the building, and
3	we sat out there for a while. He had a couple of
4	cigarettes, and we sat there just, we just basically
5	talked.
6	Q You described just very briefly what a SART
7	exam is. I know you're not the case agent in this, but
8	would that have been necessary in this case?
9	A No.
10	Q Why not?
11	A Because the under the Nevada Revised
12	Statutes, this case, it's penetration no matter how
13	slight. At no time was there any sort of disclosure of
14	deep penetration of the vaginal area. So, no matter if
15	it's an eighth of an inch, that's penetration. And
16	then that meets the for the charges that we asked for.
17	MR. MERRILL: Thank you.
18	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, can I ask for a
19	couple of follow-up questions?
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Go ahead right ahead. If it's
23	beyond the scope, I'll let you do it.
24	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.

RECROSS EXAMINATION
BY MS. SCHUMANN:
Q So, just to clarify, Detective, TJ didn't get a
smoke break until after the end of the confession,
correct?
A Correct.
Q Which was a total of four and a half hours?
A About that, yes.
Q Which was two and a half hours after he asked
you for a cigarette break?
A Correct.
MS. SCHUMANN: No further questions.
MR. MERRILL: No follow-up.
THE COURT: All right. Detective Dues subject
to recall or no? So, is Detective Dues subject to
recall?
MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.
MS. SCHUMANN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right.
So, you're released from further testimony in
this case.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Don't discuss your testimony with

1	anybody, Deputy Dues. Okay? While this case is still
2	pending.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
4	Next witness.
5	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, the State calls
6	Melissa Piasecki.
7	THE COURT: Counsel, come here for just a
8	minute.
9	(Discussion at bench among Court and counsel.)
10	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, we're going to
11	rephrase who we're calling. We are going to call
12	Jennifer McCann.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. MERRILL: We're going to rephrase that
15	again, Judge. We are going to call
16	Dr. Melissa Piasecki.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Come to up, Doctor.
18	If you just stay right there in the well and
19	raise your right hand and be sworn by the clerk,
20	please.
21	(Witness sworn.)
22	THE COURT: All right. So, come on around
23	here, Doctor, and have a seat, please.
24	

1	MELISSA PIASECKI,
2	called as a witness herein by the State,
3	having been first duly sworn, was examined
4	and testified as follows:
5	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MERRILL:
8	Q Good afternoon, Doctor. Can you please remove
9	your mask? Thank you very
10	A Good afternoon.
11	Q Thank you very much.
12	Please introduce yourself to the jury.
13	A My name is Melissa Piasecki. P-I-A-S-E-C-K-I.
14	And I am a professor of psychiatry and a forensic
15	psychiatrist.
16	Q And tell us about your education?
17	A Well, the field of psychiatry is part of the
18	medical specialties. So, I went to college, and then I
19	went to medical school for my medical degree. And I
20	specialized as a physician in the area of psychiatry.
21	After practicing general psychiatry for ten years, I
22	decided to go back and do a one year fellowship in
23	forensic psychiatry. So, it was a total of five years
24	after medical school of training.

Total Control 0 What is forensic psychology? 2 It's the intersection of mental health and the Α 3 law, and it relates to lots of things that come up in 4 both criminal and civil matters that have to do with 5 behavior, thinking, people's mental state before, during, and after incidents that relate to legal 6 7 issues. 8 Q I see. And do you have to obtain and, I quess, 9 hold to certain certifications with that field? 10 Yes. For -- to practice medicine, you need a 11 medical license. And so, every state allows people to 12 get a medical license. And then to be board certified 13 in psychiatry and forensic psychiatry, you have to 14 demonstrate that you've completed that training program 15 that I -- that I mentioned, and then you have to take 16 exams and recertified or take the exams again every ten 17 years. 18 Okay. So, every ten years you got to do that, 19 take the exams over again? You take the exams, but you also do classes and 20 21

courses for continuing education during those ten years. So, it's an ongoing education.

Q I see. And just tell us briefly how the continuing education process works year by year.

22

23

24

1 Every year I attend and participate in 2 different works shops or courses or classes, and as a 3 result of that, I either am learning new things, and in 4 forensic psychiatry I might be learning about new laws 5 that have been passed. Or I'm learning new angles or 6 new details of things that I knew a little bit about, 7 but and now having deeper or broader application. 8 An example might be, even though my training is not child psychiatry, during continuing education I 9 10 might learn something specifically about children. it broadens and deepens my knowledge base. 11 12 Q I see. And what about any memberships that 13 you're involved in? 14 Sure. I'm a member of the American Psychiatric 15 Association, and I'm a member of a group that's about 16 forensic psychiatry. It's called the American Academy 17 of Psychiatry and the Law. 18 And how are you employed currently? 19 Α I'm employed by the State of Nevada with the 20 University of Nevada Reno School of Medicine. 21 Although, I should mention that my forensic psychiatry 22 work here today is separate from my university job. 23 Q Okay. And so, you do run a private practice as

837

24

well?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Now, have you testified in criminal cases
3	before?
4	A I have.
5	Q Okay. And what's the typical type of case that
6	you take on?
7	A Typically my work in criminal cases relates to
8	defense work. If I looked at all the cases that I do
9	in one year, majority are for the defense.
10	Q Okay. So, do you have a percentage of how much
11	work you do for defense versus prosecution?
12	A It's probably 75 percent defense work.
13	Q And 25 percent for the prosecution?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now, today on this case, the State of Nevada
16	versus Thomas Bernal, you were retained; is that
17	correct?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Okay. And you obviously get paid for what you
20	do?
21	A I get paid for my time.
22	Q Paid for your time. Okay.
23	And how does that work with your private
24	practice? Like, how much do you get paid for taking on
11	

1 a case such as this? 2 So, I just bill by the hour. I just have a fee A sheet, and when somebody contacts me about a case or 3 referring a case or wanting to retain me for a case, I 4 5 send them my fee sheet. And then we just estimate how 6 many hours I'll be spending on that case. 7 I see. Thank you. 8 Now, you prepared a report in this case; is 9 that correct? 10 Α That's correct. 11 Q 12 what did you do?

Okay. And in order to prepare that report,

The report reflects my review of the materials that were sent to me. So, in -- I reviewed all the materials, and I obtained referral questions. And so, I could focus my report on the specific areas that the retaining attorney, which is you, asked me to address in the report. So, I completed the report, submitted it, and then prepared for court today.

0 Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And do you recall specifics what documents you had to review?

Yes. And I have my report with me that I can A refer to make sure that I'm including everything, if

PAGE 400

1	that's acceptable.
2	MR. KALTER: If it refreshes her recollection.
3	BY MR. MERRILL:
4	Q Doctor, if I may approach? Do you have your
5	report with you?
6	A I do. I have a couple of small handwritten
7	notes on the front of it.
8	Q Doctor, if I could take your report, please,
9	and maybe your folder as well? Appreciate it.
10	A Report. The other documents in that folder
11	don't relate to the report.
12	Q Okay.
13	THE COURT: So, if she needs to refer to it for
14	refreshing her recollection, that's fine. But, I mean,
15	it doesn't need to be admitted into evidence.
16	MR. MERRILL: No, I'm not. I'm just refreshing
17	her recollection.
18	THE COURT: All right. So
19	MR. MERRILL: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And, you know, just identify the
21	documents she's refresh her recollection with. Don't
22	testify from the documents. Okay? You're allowed to
23	refresh your recollections from them, but don't testify
24	from them. Okay?

PAGE 401 840

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. MERRILL:
Q So, Doctor, I'm going to hand you, again, your
report that you just handed me. Now, will it refresh
your recollection if you're able to look at that report
and review it?
A Yes.
Q Okay. If you could take a moment without
reading from it, and specifically look for things that
you reviewed. And then if you could just look up and
let me know that you've reviewed those parts?
A So, I've completed the
Q Okay.
A review.
Q And is your has your memory been refreshed?
A It has.
Q Thank you. If I may just borrow that from you.
Doctor, what reports were you able to review in
this case?
A So, the materials that I reviewed included the
Lyon County Sheriff's Reports. It included witness
statements. It included the recording of the forensic
interview done with the with HS. It included the

1	report by the person who completed the child forensic
2	interview. It included some photographs that were
3	taken of the home inside and outside.
4	It included documents that were submitted as
5	part of restraining orders or protection orders. And
6	it included an analysis of a or a report regarding a
7	voice stress test that was done.
8	THE COURT: Go ahead.
9	BY MR. MERRILL:
10	Q Doctor, did you also review a report done by
11	William O'Donohue?
12	A Yes, I yes. Thank you for reminding me.
13	Yes, I reviewed Dr. O'Donohue's report as well.
14	Q Thank you. And so, you were asked four
15	questions; is that correct?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q And one of those questions, first question,
18	what is the prevalent and patterns of child sexual
19	abuse in families; is that correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Okay. What is first of all, what was your
22	analysis of that question?
23	A That's a very broad question to report the
24	consensus or the general knowledge that we have about

how often does child sex abuse occur, what are the patterns of child sex abuse within family units, and what are some of the general -- what's some of the general clinical information we have about child sex abuse.

Q So, let's start with the last there. What's some of the clinical documents and studies that you've seen regarding child abuse?

A Sure. So, child sexual abuse has been studied in a couple of different ways. One of the ways that a number of studies have approached this is by asking people who are just randomly selected. They're not necessarily coming to a clinic or seeking any kind of services. They're just random people off the street. And then asking them if they've ever experienced child sex abuse. And if so, who was the perpetrator? Did they ever report it? What kind of abuse was it?

And so, you get descriptive -- you get descriptions from people. Then you can see how commonly this has happened in our population in general, what are the things that we see in terms of people's disclosures, what do we see in terms of who they identify as the person that abused them.

Q Okay. So, you mentioned studies and maybe how common it is. From your research, were you able to determine how common sexual abuse is?

A Well, the actual amount of child sex abuse or the prevalence in a population is very difficult to know because so much of it goes unreported. There are estimates where 15 to 35 percent of women have a history of having been abused as a child or adolescent. About half of that in terms of men. But the actual numbers are very, very difficult to know, because there's no guarantee. There's no assumption that we —that we know all of the abuse happened because so many people don't disclose.

Q So, when -- if you have a variable like you don't know how many people disclose, how can the research show accurate numbers one way or the other?

A There's a couple of ways. So, one research technique again is that general population, randomly selected people. Another is to look at children who the abuse has been confirmed, and that's been confirmed either because there was kind of video evidence or maybe there was some kind of physical evidence or confession that matched up with the allegations.

And so, you can look at the rates of disclosure

for children who have the known abuse. And that's one 1 2 way that you can kind of come to more confident 3 conclusions about what percentage of people disclose 4 and what are patterns of disclosure. 5 But the bottom line is that in a group of 6 people within a population, it's very, very difficult to know the actual prevalence rates of child sex abuse. 7 8 0 Doesn't seem quite like a hard science? 9 It's a social science. And it's -- there's a Α 10 lot of investigation that is ongoing. But we don't 11 have a way to measure it like we do, for example, somebody's blood type where we can have a very clear 12 13 test where we say we know exactly that this person 14 belongs in this group. We can't say that about child 15 sex abuse. 16 I see. You mentioned things of disclosure. Can you touch upon that a little bit? 17 18 Α So, when I say "disclosure", I mean just people 19 reporting that they experienced some kind of abuse. And so, there are -- there's quite a bit of science and 20 21 research related to how people report abuse and don't 22 report abuse.

Clearly, it's an important element in the criminal justice system, and it's also important in

23

24

terms of the clinical care of people. When you think there's a trauma history, you wonder whether or not they've experienced a trauma. Clearly it's easier to treat somebody if they can describe what happened to them?

Q I see. And has there been any research done

Q I see. And has there been any research done into sexual abuse either done by fathers or stepfathers?

A Yes. If we look at child sex abuse, when we look at the demographics or how it occurs in a population, we see that child sex abuse, the majority of it happens with somebody who is a close -- excuse me -- family member. And so father, stepfathers, uncles tend to be the individuals who are most commonly identified as the perpetrator or abuser.

And there have been some large scale studies that compare the frequency of reports that it was the father versus a stepfather. So, we do have some data from large groups of people that help us understand how common it is to have those different people as the abuser.

Q And do you have any specific numbers for who does the abusing? Does that make sense?

A So, child sex abuse is more common with

stepfathers than it is with biological fathers. And the reports from, again, large population studies suggest that it's about seven times more common with stepfathers than biological fathers.

Q Has there been any studies that you found that explain why that's the case?

A The trend for child sex abuse is that as you become less genetically linked to somebody, the more common that the -- that the abuse increases. So, for example, a biological father would be less common than a biological uncle. So, as you get that closer biological link to an individual, the sex abuse is less common.

Stepfathers don't have that genetic shared quality, and that may be one of the reasons that we see the increase frequency. It could also be that the stepfathers don't have the emotional bond. They perhaps weren't there for the child's entire life, and that could be a factor as well.

Q All right. So, let me ask you another question, question number two. What are the different types of disclosure patterns of child sexual abuse?

A I mentioned earlier there's a lot of research that goes on about disclosure. And I think there is so

much research because people may think that it would be pretty straightforward. Something bad happens to you, you tell somebody something bad happened to you. But evaluating and following children who have no histories of sex abuse show that that's actually not very common.

It's very rare for somebody to report sex abuse right away. And the majority of people actually never disclose as children or adolescents. And so, the -- sometimes it's not intuitive for folks, that there would be a delayed disclosure or just a nondisclosure.

So, one of the patterns is a delay. Another pattern is just never disclosing during childhood and possibly not even during an adulthood.

Q Why would there be a delay at all in disclosing?

A There's a number of reasons that children and adolescents would delay or not disclose. They're considered barriers to disclosure. Some of the barriers for children to disclose is the relationship that they have with the person who's abusing them. So, that person may be an authority figure that they want to please. It could be an authority figure that has control over their environment. Maybe they depend on that person to take care of them. And so, there's this

difficulty thinking you might get somebody into trouble if they're going to also be the person who takes care of you.

There's reasons that some children and adolescents report that they're afraid of what would happen to the abuser. That they see this person as somebody they're close to. Maybe it's their biological father or somebody else they're very close to, and they don't want to see something bad happen to the person. Like go to jail or get into trouble.

Some children and adolescents are worried that they'll be blamed, that somehow they'll be seen as having brought this on themselves. And some children are confused. They -- they're not sure that if they did say no, did they say yes? And so, there's a lot of internal confusion that some people go through trying to sort out if they're actually responsible for the abuse themselves. And children are -- will commonly believe that they're responsible for things that they're not responsible for.

Another reason that children sometimes say they don't disclose is they don't want their mother to be hurt. If it's -- if it's the partner of the mother, the husband or the boyfriend, that they don't want to

1 worry or hurt their mother by bringing this on. 2 then some children say that they're afraid that there could be some kind of negative impact on them, and that 3 4 they -- that they would be worried about having to 5 leave the home or being kicked out or sent to live with a relative, and they don't want that. 6 7 And so, they don't want to even to, you know, raise this issue for fear of that happening. 8 9 Now, we talked about delay, but what about 0 10 nondisclosure? Did -- are there any studies about 11 nondisclosure? 12 Α There are. Nondisclosure is fairly common. 13 And it's when those obstacles that I talked about just 14 never resolved. That the person never made it through 15 whatever the obstacle was, and so, they never reported 16 it to anybody. 17 I see. Now, there's a large range of children. 18 We'd probably agree between 0 and 18-years-old. 19 there a range -- or does -- let me rephrase that. Is there -- does the pattern change at all with the 20 21 different ages of children? 22 A It does. Very young children may not 23 understand victimization. They may not have a good 24 understanding that there's something that's abnormal

PAGE 411

that they need to report it. So, very young children are the least likely to spontaneously report sex abuse. Older children are somewhat more likely to report if because they have better verbal skills, and they have more awareness that they are being victimized. But they still have all the obstacles that I mentioned that could either delay or lead to nondisclosure.

Q I see. And is that true of teenagers?

A It is.

Q Is the reporting in this case consistent with research on victim reporting?

A So, the reporting on this case, in which my understanding is, that the alleged sex abuse occurred over a period of couple of years, without disclosure.

And that was followed by a disclosure that was prompted by a direct question. That is typical. And that's typical in a couple of ways. One is the long period of nondisclosure with a close family member being the alleged abuser.

The other way that it's typical, is that the -that the direct question, did this happen to you, was
framed in a way, based on my understanding, that the
victim heard that the person who had allegedly abused
her had told somebody that this was happening. So, it

was no longer her that was responsible for having to make the disclosure. That somebody else had sort of released that information into the world, and she was no longer responsible personally for anything that would happen as a result.

So, those two elements are quite typical. Or quite consistent I guess I would say. Not so much typical but consistent with what we know with delayed disclosures.

Q And then what are the mental or physical health issues experienced by victims of childhood sexual assault?

A So, some children experience the sex assault as very traumatic. And would have a kind of a trauma syndrome of difficulty sleeping and tension and problems with calming themselves down. If the -- if the abuse happened over a period of time and there wasn't any one particularly dramatic physical trauma, then what happens is that there is, for the victim, some complex psychological problems that emerge.

The person may become anxious, depressed, act out in terms of behavioral demonstrations, angry. The person may have problems with their ability to focus and concentrate, and that could show up in schoolwork.

1 Children who have gone through childhood sex abuse and 2 who are kind of struggling to find their way back to normal, sometimes experiment with drugs and alcohol. 3 Sometimes they self-harm. And as adults, sometimes individuals who have a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

history of child sex abuse, have difficulty with relationships and their own sexuality. So, there's a number of psychological and mental and relationship issues that can result from child sex abuse.

Now, does every victim react the same way to child sexual abuse?

Α There's so many different things going on No. for any individual person in terms of their psychological wellness prior to the abuse, the type of abuse, the way that the abuse was dealt with, if it was disclosed, all of those things can change the way the person behaves after the abuse.

So, if a child doesn't act any particular way 0 or acts different than a different child that was also sexually abused, would that be consistent with studies in your research?

Yeah, but the studies show -- what research shows is that there's just highly individual ways that people respond to these -- to the abuse. The long term

1	affects in terms of depression, anxiety, experimenting
2	with substance, those long term things seem to
3	aggregate and be consistent. But in the short term,
4	it's very, very very variable.
5	Q Doctor, thank you for your time.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Kalter, do you have any
7	questions for Dr. Piasecki?
8	MR. KALTER: I do. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Good. Go ahead.
10	
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. KALTER:
13	Q Good afternoon, Doctor. It's good to see you.
14	A Good to see you as well. Thank you.
15	Q You had mentioned that you looked at some
16	research that showed that when comparing fathers as
17	perpetrators to stepfathers as perpetrators, in this
18	at least in this particular study, that there was a
19	seven times increase or that the stepfather would do
20	it over a biological father?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. But that study doesn't say that in any
23	given case where there's an allegation against a
24	stepfather, that means it's the allegations are

1	true?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q Okay. As far as delay in reporting, you
4	testified that delays in reporting are common for many
5	reasons and even full nondisclosure at all ever is not
6	out of the question, right?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q Okay. Just because somebody alleges something
9	and they delay the reporting, doesn't in and of itself
10	mean the allegation is true?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Okay. You talked a little bit about one reason
13	a child may delay reporting or not report at all is
14	because they really loved this person that's doing them
15	this harm?
16	A That's true.
17	Q Okay. Now, that would be less true if they
18	actually really did not like that person and did not
19	want them in their life?
20	A That particular obstacle to disclosure would
21	be would not be significant, you're correct.
22	Q Okay. So, if they actually wanted this person
23	out of their house, out of their life, that that
24	obstacle is largely removed?

1	A True. There may be related obstacles, but that
2	one would be removed.
3	Q Understand. You had mentioned something about
4	they didn't want an impact on themselves, such as
5	getting removed from the house they're in or the
6	situation that they currently have as a reason for
7	delay or even nondisclosure?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. If the alleged victim in fact wanted to
10	not live with a mother or a stepfather and wanted to be
11	with their other biological parent, that too would
12	remove, largely remove that obstacle?
13	A Possibly, yes.
14	Q Okay. So, you talked about, a little bit about
15	the variable responses that children can experience
16	that have actually been sexually assaulted or molested.
17	Many of these children are traumatized, right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. And that can result in post traumatic
20	stress disorder?
21	A Yes.  Q Depression? Anxiety?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What is post traumatic stress disorder?
fur "I	X muse to hose eranmacic seress disorder;

PAGE 417 856

1 Post traumatic stress disorder is a, it's a diagnosis in psychiatry, and it relates to somebody 2 who's experienced something that's really overwhelming, 3 maybe life threatening. After that experience or 4 5 sometimes a series of experiences like that, a person has intrusive memories or nightmares. They have 6 7 increased or tension anxiety. They have -- they kind 8 of react to cues or things that remind them of the 9 trauma with anxiety or maybe any physical reactions. 10 And they often have changes in their thinking about 11 their future and what the world is like. Kind of 12 changes their world view. 13 Thank you. And so, you mentioned maybe a 14 15 16 17

series of events. Is it more likely for a child that is digitally penetrated one time as opposed to five to six times a week over a couple of years, is it more likely that that person would have post traumatic stress disorder than someone that it just happened one time? So, it's likelihood?

So, when somebody's experienced a series of traumas we call that complex trauma. And complex trauma is associated with a higher likelihood of post traumatic stress disorder, yes.

Okay. And as you stated, this could be Q

24

18

19

20

21

22

1 reoccurring nightmares, this could be anxiety, this 2 could be hypervigilance, this could be an exaggerated 3 startled response, say they see the person and they immediately tense up; is that right? 4 5 Yes, that's all consistent with post traumatic 6 stress disorder. 7 Okay. And those are -- and many of those are 8 outward -- someone watching could notice a change in behavior if someone started to show signs of post 9 10 traumatic stress disorder? 11 So, some of the behavior you described, yes, Д 12 would be -- would be observable to another person, yes. 13 Okay. And I would say, especially with older 14 victims say as opposed to a five-year old kid, say a 15 14, 15-year-old kid, one of the symptoms or things they 16 do is trying to avoid being further molested? 17 So, avoidance behavior is part of post 18 traumatic stress disorder. And if somebody had post 19 traumatic stress disorder and they had the choice to 20 avoid the thing that was traumatic to them, that would 21 be the case. 22 And can you give some examples of what Q 23 avoidance?

So, an example would be if somebody's post

24

Α

traumatic stress disorder was because they saw a car wreck happen in front of them, and it was sort of overwhelmingly -- that there was -- they saw something really bad happen to another person in that car wreck and it overwhelmed them and had bad nightmares and couldn't get it out their head, they might avoid that intersection where the car wreck happened. They might take another route and just avoid driving in that specific area. 

Q And the same could be true if the trauma or the traumatic experience was being sexually assaulted, right?

A It could be.

Q Okay. I'm not saying that it would happen in every case but avoidance -- someone that has suffered post traumatic stress disorder from being sexually assaulted on a number of occasions, could, if had the ability, to avoid when possible would make sense?

A It would. There's a little bit of a broader piece of this which is -- avoidance is, again, when you have an opportunity to avoid a very specific kind of trauma. But that's kind of based on an assumption that the person has post traumatic stress disorder. Not everybody with the experience of a trauma is going to

PAGE 420

have post traumatic stress disorder. They may have other kinds of negative responses to it but not necessarily the full PTSD response. Okay. I understand. During your direct examination with Mr. Merrill, you had mentioned, and I think this was in the realm of delay, that people -- or victims don't want to be the one to divulge it, but the fact that that was taken away from them because it's come out from somebody else would be -- would get rid of that obstacle? Yes, it would -- it would facilitate or make it easier for that person to report or disclose. Isn't it very unusual in child sex assault cases for a child victim to tell their perpetrator I'm going to tell another authority figure before just going and telling that authority figure? Α I'm actually not sure how to answer that because I don't think I've ever seen that particular question studied or developed. So, I have some sort of clinical observations, but I don't have sort of a research approach to answer. 0 Well, how about in your experience? Α Yeah, in my experience it would be unusual for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	someone to warn an abuser oh, by the way, I'm going to					
2	report you or I'm going to report this abuse. That					
3	would that would create an additional obstacle					
4	rather than decreasing obstacles for reporting.					
5	Q Doctor, thank you for your time.					
6						
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION					
8	BY MR. MERRILL:					
9	Q Just briefly, Doctor. We talked about choice					
10	to avoid. Now, what if the child lives with the					
11	perpetrator?					
12	A That's part of the dilemma in child sex abuse					
13	for the child. If they live with the perpetrator and					
14	if the perpetrator is somebody who has a role caring					
15	for them, caring for the family members, providing in					
16	some ways to the family's welfare, an attachment to the					
17	mother, all of those create difficulties in terms of					
18	avoiding that person. If you depend on that person for					
19	your basic needs, you probably can't avoid that person					
20	in the home.					
21	Q And if the perpetrator is mostly home when the					
22	victim's home, is that more difficult for the child to					
23	avoid?					

It is especially for younger children. You can

24

A

imagine a 17 or 18-year-old could probably get out of 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Q 9 license or not having a car, would that be a barrier to 10 leave the house? 11 Α It would. 12 13 14 15 play into your analysis?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the house and find other things to do during parts of the day, but younger children don't have that independence. And so, they're pretty much in the home with caregivers who may be helping them just kind of take care of their daily needs such as meals and clothing and homework and things like that. Okay. And perhaps not having a driver's

Now, if -- we talked about discloser a little bit. Now, if a perpetrator tells another person first and that person verifies with the child, how does that

My analysis is that children -- and I think there's some specifics from the records that I reviewed here, children are reluctant to disclose when they believe that the disclosure will have some negative effect on other people. Could be the perpetrator. could be themselves. Could be other family members.

And they feel responsible for those potential negative things happening. They feel like they are in some ways responsible for the fate of others, and it's

1	very difficult for a child to sort through that level					
2	of responsibility. So, they're really trying to kind					
3	of weigh, you know, what's the right thing to do here.					
4	If somebody else tells them we know this has happened					
5	or over somebody else said this has happened, is it					
6	true, that weight is off their shoulders. It's no					
7	longer their responsibility to choose to put that					
8	information out there. Somebody else has taken that					
9	responsibility from them, and as a child, they're no					
10	longer in that dilemma.					
11	Q Thank you, Doctor.					
12	THE COURT: Is Dr. Piasecki subject to recall?					
13	MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.					
14	MR. KALTER: No, Your Honor.					
15	THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony,					
16	Doctor.					
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.					
18	THE COURT: All right. Perfect timing. 4:54					
19	according to my clock.					
20	Okay. So, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to					
21	take the evening recess at this point.					
22	So, as always, during the recess we're about to					
23	take you're admonished that it is your duty not to					
24	discuss amongst yourselves or with anyone else					

well, let me ask you this first.

How is everything going? Do you have -- do you have everything that you need back in the jury room?

Is everything going okay for you?

All right. So, we'll get started again right at -- right at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. So, if you get here about ten minutes early so that we can get going. You know? I like to kind of move these things along so everybody is prepared and ready to go. So, we'll try to get started as early as we can. Well, we'll get started at 9:00 o'clock. Okay?

So, and try to get here about ten minutes beforehand. Okay. Great. All right.

So, during the recess we're about to take, you're admonished that it is your duty not to discuss amongst yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until the case has been finally submitted to you for decision.

You are not to read, view, or listen to any report in the newspaper, radio, television, or the Internet concerning this case, nor allow anyone to read or comment upon them to you or in your presence. This

includes viewing any type of social media relating to the case. You are not to investigate or attempt to obtain any additional information about this case outside the courtroom.

Do not visit the scene or attempt any investigation on your own. Do not do any Internet searches in relation to this matter. Do not read any legal text or book regarding any issue raised in court.

Should any person attempt to discuss the case with you or in any manner attempt to influence you with respect to it, you are to notify the bailiff, who will in fact notify the Court, and I'll take care of it.

Have a good night, ladies and gentlemen. We'll see you in morning.

(Jury exits.)

THE COURT: All right. So, anything to bring up to the Court?

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, we have one more witness, Jennifer McCann. Again, I believe that during cross of HS, there's specific reference that it happened once when she was 13 and 14, and there was no other times kind of implication. So, I intend to ask Jennifer McCann to tell about this disclosure when she

was 13, there's one when she was 14, and did she tell you other times.

1.4

During the interview, HS tells Jennifer McCann it happened five times a week almost. Which is consistent with my testimony but she was impeached on that of fact. So, I don't intend to go over the entire interview by any means or even play the video, but I do intend to ask those specific questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think they're -- you know, with the defense's theory of fabrication or improper influence, I mean, I think it's -- and their cross-examination on directly of her directly on the forensic interview. You know, it's certainly a subject that can be inquired into. But I believe one of the questions was even during the forensic interview you said it was happening for the last year, five times a week.

MR. MERRILL: I mean, I believe it was asked in a different way that gave you the impression that she said it only happened once when she was 13, once when she was 14, and then maybe some other times in between. But it gave -- at least gave me the impression that she said it happened once or twice. Once when she was 13. Once when she was 14. When really Jennifer McCann just

1	asked her tell me specific times this happens. HS					
2	tells her, not that there wasn't a bunch of other					
3	times. That was the implication.					
4	THE COURT: Okay. You have anything?					
5	MR. KALTER: Well, it's his case in chief. He					
6	can put her on.					
7	THE COURT: Yeah. You know, I mean, so you can					
8	put her on.					
9	It's admissible because of their defense of					
10	recent fabrication in their cross-examination on the					
11	child forensic interview. You know, specifically. So,					
12	and specific statements made by her during that child					
13	forensic interview.					
14	Okay. So, but maybe not all of it's					
15	admissible. So, as long as you understand that, you					
16	know, you it sounds like you're eliminating what her					
17	testimonies going to be to those areas.					
18	MR. MERRILL: Yeah, that's right.					
19	THE COURT: Just make your point that it wasn't					
20	just two events she was talking about. There were more					
21	she just was reluctant to tell them or whatever.					
22	MR. MERRILL: Right.					
23	THE COURT: As opposed to so, all right.					
24	So, very good. All right.					

1	So, and then you'll be ready to go right after						
2	that?						
3	MR. KALTER: Yes, Your Honor. I mean, and to						
4	just to give the Court kind our of estimation. I think						
5	we're going to take all of tomorrow and one probably						
6	short witness Friday morning.						
7	THE COURT: Okay. So, we're going to continue						
8	to go on. All right? So, I don't want you to schedule						
9	a witness tomorrow morning Friday morning. If that						
10	witness can be done Thursday evening is what I'm						
11	saying.						
12	MR. KALTER: Yeah, I so, here's the						
13	situation. The witness that I was referring to would						
14	be Dr. O'Donohue. He we expect to take the entire						
15	rest of the day with our other witnesses. I think if						
16	the defendant testifies what?						
17	THE COURT: Do you think it's going to take all						
18	day?						
19	MR. KALTER: Yeah, I do. I do. I think we						
20	have a number of witnesses to call, so.						
21	THE COURT: Okay. But, if you're done at						
22	2:00 o'clock.						
23	MR. KALTER: That's not a possibility.						
24	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, you're						

1	estimating your case. Okay?					
2	I just want I'm just saying that I want					
3	witnesses available, you know, in the event you know?					
4	Okay. So, that's fine. Because I was under the					
5	impression that you were scheduling them to testify					
6	tomorrow morning, so. From Monday					
7	MR. KALTER: That was Dr. Deborah Davis.					
8	THE COURT: From Monday's conversation. So,					
9	and that's fine.					
10	MR. KALTER: Deborah Davis.					
11	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not going to tell you					
12	how to put on your case. Okay? I just want to, you					
13	know, say I want the case to move along. I don't want					
14	the jury to be here forever.					
15	MR. KALTER: You know, I					
16	THE COURT: I don't want to you know, I just					
17	don't want to send them home at 2:00 o'clock in the					
18	afternoon saying we have no other witnesses.					
19	MR. KALTER: That won't happen.					
20	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm just saying. All					
21	right. Thank you.					
22	All right. So, anything else?					
23	MR. KALTER: No, Your Honor.					
24	MR. MERRILL: No, Judge.					

*					
printed		THE COURT: (	)kay. With	all that be	eing said,
2	we're i	n recess.			
3		(Eve	ening recess	5.)	
4					
5					
6					
7	Total Company of the				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	Activities of the second secon				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17		,			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	STATE OF NEVADA )				
4	)SS.				
5	CARSON CITY )				
6					
7	I, Kathy Terhune, CCR 209, do hereby certify				
8	that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the				
9	same is true and correct as reflected by my original				
10	machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place				
11	before the Honorable John P. Schlegelmilch, District				
12	Judge, presiding.				
13					
14	Dated at Carson City, Nevada, this				
15	18th day of May, 2021.				
16	)				
17					
18	Jany Junum				
19	) CCR #209				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	Case No. 20-CR-00099					
2	Department No. I					
3						
4	IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT					
5	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LYON, STATE OF NEVADA					
6	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN SCHLEGELMILCH					
7	DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING					
8						
9						
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
11	Plaintiff, )					
12	vs. )					
13	THOMAS JASON BERNAL,					
14	Defendant. )					
15	)					
16						
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS					
18	VOLUME 3					
19	JURY TRIAL					
20	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2020					
21	YERINGTON, NEVADA					
22						
23	Reported by: Christy Joyce Nevada CCR #625					
24	Nevada CCK #025					

:		
1		APPEARANCES
2		
3	For the State:	Matthew Merrill Deputy District Attorney
4		Yerington, Nevada
5		
6		
7	For the Defendant:	Jesse Kalter LeAnn Schumann
8		Attorneys at Law
9		Reno, Nevada
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
L7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
2		
:3		
4		
STATE OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT AS		

1	INDEX				
2	WITNESS				
3	JENNIFER MCCANN				
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Merrill	438			
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kalter	453			
6	NICHOLAS GREENHUNT				
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Schumann	463			
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Merrill	467			
9	MARLENE NISH				
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Schumann	472			
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Merrill	476			
12	LARSON LELUND				
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Schumann				
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Merrill 48				
15	TERESA BACHLER				
16	Direct Examination by Ms. Schumann	485			
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Merrill	489			
18	THOMAS BERNAL				
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Kalter	497			
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Merrill	528			
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Kalter	538			
22	DEBRA DAVIS				
23	Direct Examination by Ms. Schumann	543			
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Merrill	641			

1	YERINGTON, NEVADA, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2020
2	-000-
3	THE COURT: So anything to bring up to the Court
4	before we get started today?
5	MR. MERRILL: Not by the State, Judge.
6	MR. KALTER: No, your Honor. Just as far as our
7	order goes, we have a witness to call after the State rests,
8	and then we would ask for a recess to set up the Zoom.
9	THE COURT: That would be fine.
10	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Yeah, after your Yeah. I mean, I
12	want to make sure it's working correctly anyway.
13	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: I absolutely want to make sure it's
15	working correctly.
16	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Okay. There was a bench conference
18	that we had yesterday that I didn't put on the record, so I
L9	just wanted to put that on the record first thing this
20	morning. And that was just my verification with defense
21	counsel that Dues was in fact released from your subpoena; is
22	that correct?
23	MR. KALTER: It is.
4	THE COURT: So this way he can sit in the court.

1	Put on a mask.									
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry.									
3	THE COURT: So I just want to make sure that									
4	that's on the record and there's no question in relation to									
5	that.									
6	MR. KALTER: Correct.									
7	THE COURT: All right. So, okay. So,									
8	Mr. Merrill, what do you got this morning?									
9	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I have Jennifer McCann,									
10	child forensic interviewer, and then the State will rest.									
11	THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. Jury all here?									
12	THE BAILIFF: Yes.									
13	THE COURT: All right. Bring them in.									
14	Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury?									
15	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Judge.									
16	MR. KALTER: Yes, your Honor.									
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Merrill, continue.									
18	MR. MERRILL: Thank you. State calls Jennifer									
19	McCann.									
20	THE COURT: Ms. McCann, please come forward. If									
21	you could stand right there, raise your right hand, and be									
22	sworn by the clerk.									
23	(The witness was sworn in)									
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Please									

1	come around here and have a seat.								
2									
3	JENNIFER MCCANN								
4	Called as a witness on behalf of the								
5	State, having been first duly sworn,								
6	Was examined and testified as follows:								
7									
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION								
9	By Mr. Merrill:								
10	Q. Good morning. Please introduce yourself to the								
11	jury.								
12	A. My name is Jennifer McCann. And I am a forensic								
13	interviewer at the Child Advocate Center in Washoe County.								
14	Q. Okay. Could you spell your last name for the								
15	court record?								
16	A. Yes. M-c-C-a-n-n.								
17	Q. And you just indicated that you are a child								
18	forensic interviewer; is that correct?								
19	A. Correct.								
20	Q. And what is that entirely?								
21	A. So I interview victims, specifically child								
22	victims, that have been sexually abused, physically abused,								
23	or witnesses to extreme violence.								
24	Q. And how long have you been doing that								

- 3
- 4
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- Α. A little over two years.
- Q. And, your office location, is that there in Reno?
- Correct. In Washoe County, yes. Α.
- 0. Okay. And if you could tell the jury about your training and education.
- Α. Yeah. So I have a Master's degree in human development and family studies focusing on child development. I have gone through the advanced and basic training for CornerHouse, which is the protocol that we use at our center. I also have an additional 150 hours of training related to forensic interviewing. And then I have taken the basic and advanced training for CornerHouse. I think I already said that.
- 0. And what is the CornerHouse forensic interview protocol?
- Α. So we are an accredited agency. So that means that we're held up to higher standards. And we're accredited by an agency called the National Children's Alliance. And so one of the things that is required by them is that you use a protocol that they recognize. CornerHouse is one of those protocols that's recognized. And it's recognized across the nation. And so that includes certain aspects, including it being child-led, semi-structured, and forensically sound when

- Q. Is there a specific format that the CornerHouse protocol calls for?
- A. Yes. So there's four parts of the interview.

  And so the first part is building rapport and orienting the child to the room. So when the child comes in the room, I ask, you know, just some little questions about what they like to do for fun. And then I'll orient to them to the room. I let them know that there's cameras in the room. I also let them know that there's a team of people that I work with that can hear us talking. And then I kind of go over some just laying the foundation for what's going to happen for the rest of the time that we're talking.

After that, I usually will seek information about why they've come to talk to me. And I do that through free narrative, allowing them to tell their experience in their own words. Because they are the experts in their own experiences.

And then after we kind of go through a free narrative then I'll ask some open-ended questions to collect details about the experiences that they've experienced. And then at the end, I end respectfully. I try and transition in to a more neutral topic. I also ask them, you know, how they're feeling about what we talked about. And then we're

2.4

Q. And those four phases that you touched upon, is that what CornerHouse protocol calls for?

A. Yes.

- Q. So, let's touch very quickly upon the first phase, building rapport, what is the purpose of building rapport?
- A. So it's really to lay the foundation for the rest of the interview. It gives me an opportunity to talk with the child about a neutral topic so I can assess their communication skills as well as their, like, mental age, their developmental skills. It gives them an idea of what types of questions I'm going to ask during the interview and then to get an idea of how I communicate with them as well. And then it just lays some guidelines down.

So we talk about how I, you know, it's okay to not know an answer and you can tell me that you don't know an answer. It's okay to do that. We don't want to make up anything. I also let them know that to feel comfortable to talk about how they normally would talk, using words that they normally use.

Also we go over truth and lie. And I ask that they promise to only talk about the truth when we're talking in that room. As well as if they don't understand anything

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

they're free to let me know that they don't understand or, you know, I need to repeat the question in a different way.

- Ο. And, then the second phase, seek information, what's the purpose of that phase?
- Α. So that's really for the child to share their experience, like I said, in their own words. And almost all of the time I will always ask the child tell me what you came to talk to me about today. Some of the children know what they came to talk to me about. Others don't. And so that gives me a gauge of what they do know. And so, you know, if they tell me I came to talk to you about this, then that gives me an opportunity to have them use their free narrative and tell me about the experiences that they've had.
- And the third phase, explore statements, what's the purpose of that part?
- So that part is to get more details and more clarifying details about what happened in this experience that they shared with me. And so getting elements of certain experiences such as places, people, you know, timelines, things of that nature.
- How do you, I guess, obtain more information, what types of questions are you asking of the child?
- Α. So we always start with open-ended questions to get as many, you know, free narrative answers as we can.

There are times we might have to narrow in and ask some more pointed questions. I'll use some cued invitations, which means that if a child has already brought up a topic and I want to know more about that topic, then I'll kind of go back to the topic and ask them to tell me more about that particular topic that they've already talked about or mentioned. And in this case it's usually sexual abuse. So that's mostly the children that I deal with.

- Q. And the last phase, phase four, end respectfully, what's the purpose of that?
- A. So it's really to, like I said, transition out of the interview. We, you know, often times talk about some experiences that are the worst of their life, some of the worst things that have happened, and they have just spilled to me these traumatizing experiences. So I kind of like to leave on a more happier note, and so I'll usually ask if they have anything fun planned coming up, if there's anything they're looking forward to. I also might ask if they have some people in their life they trust or, you know, if they have some resources in their life. That's not always asked. But I for sure like to end in a more positive note so that they're not left just thinking about the last thing that we talked about was something bad or traumatizing that happened to them.

	Q.	How man	y	During	the	course	of	your	career,	how
many	child	forensic	int	erviews	have	you p	erfo	ormed:	?	

- A. 785.
- Q. And how many of those involved allegations of sexual abuse?
- A. I wish I could give you an exact stat. But, the center that I work out of, we run stats often, and 99 percent of the cases that come in to our center are child sexual abuse cases.
- Q. And, the children that you've interviewed, do they just come from Washoe County or do they come from other areas of the State? How does that work?
- A. Yeah. So we deal with our local law enforcement agencies in Washoe County, but then we also deal with rural counties. We're one of the only child advocacy centers in northern Nevada besides Elko. So we serve the counties that are closer to Washoe County, including ones in California, our rural counties in Nevada. As you get towards the southern part of the state, Vegas can handle those. So we deal with a gamut of counties.
- Q. So I want to talk just in general about a child forensic interview. If you could just take me through the beginning. How does that work?
  - A. As far as like getting child --

- Q. Yeah. Let me clarify. I apologize. When do you first find out that you have to interview a child?
- A. Oh, okay. So, all of our cases are brought to us through law enforcement or some type of investigation team. We also deal with the FBI and tribal lands too. So that is, you know, they've gotten to that point where they feel that there's an investigation that needs to happen. Our law enforcement people will schedule a forensic interview. And they have access to the calendar. And then we meet 15 minutes before the interview. Anyone who is involved in the case will meet. So, law enforcement, if there's a district attorney that observes the interview, any human services or CPS workers, we have medical workers in our center. So if there's medical staff that may want to sit in, they're invited also.
- Q. And what's the purpose of meeting with law enforcement and sometimes district attorneys and other individuals?
- A. So we meet with law enforcement always ahead of time. They're always the ones that are always there. And it's really for me to get a good idea about the allegations, how the children, you know, operated, how they, you know, if they have any developmental things that might come up. Those are the things that I might need to know about. As well as

/

some details about how the disclosure came about, and so how the child initially talked about these allegations and who they talked to about them. You know, where the things happened at, the people that are involved in this child's life. Those are all things that are helpful for me when talking to the child.

- Q. So, in general, once you've talked to or had your meeting, what happens at that point?
- A. So, after that, I go out and get the child in the lobby, I briefly introduce myself to the caregiver or who ever brought the child. And then I bring the child back to the interview room and we start the interview.
- Q. And, again, in general how do you begin the interviews?
- A. So I always let the child know that they are being video recorded. So we use video and audio recording. And I let them know that there is a team of people watching us. I usually give them a brief synopsis on my job, that it's my job to talk to children about things that have happened, so very brief, so they kind of understand why they're talking to me. Most of them understand, but there's some that don't know.
- Q. And, just to be sure, what is the age range of children that you're speaking to?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

- Α. Anywhere from three up to 18. Sometimes I'll talk to older -- younger adults that maybe have some disabilities that they're acting at a younger age and so we'll talk to them as well. But, for the most part, it's three to 18.
- Three to 18, okay. And you spoke previously about the four phases of the protocol. Do those phases at all change in the way that you interview a child depending on that child's age?
- Sometimes with the younger children I may lead. So, when I lay the guidelines down for the interview, they're called oriented messages. So I may use those throughout the interview with the child instead of up front because I have such a short time with, like, a three or four year old. know, I can't get them to talk very much or very long. And so those are things that I'll kind of incorporate in to the interview. But majority of the time I do every step with all of the children.
- I see. Now, are there things, I quess, 0. assessments that you're making about a child as you're talking to a child initially?
- Α. So we pick a neutral topic to talk about in the beginning of the interview. And I try and mirror the questions that will be asked in the interview so that they

understand what types of questions I'll be asking. So, you know, tell me more about that, asking for them to provide details. And so during that time I kind of can assess the types of questions that they can answer, the types of questions they can't, some things I need to be more clear on. It also gives me an idea of how they communicate as well as even just their verbal skills. Some younger children are hard to understand. So I might spend a little more time talking to them so I can get a feel for their language and what words they use for certain things.

Q. Now, during the course of these interviews -- Let me strike that and rephrase that.

Now, do all interviews, do they end in some sort of disclosure by a child?

- A. No.
- Q. Do you have any sort of percentage or number as far as that do not end in disclosure?
  - A. I don't. Nothing that would be accurate.
- Q. So, on September 4th of 2019, did you interview a HS?
  - A. I did, yes.
  - Q. And what was the purpose of that interview?
- A. So she was brought to us by detectives in Lyon County. The allegations were that her stepdad was

2.3

- Q. And tell me -- Again, start at the beginning. How did you first learn about the allegations?
- A. So, for that particular case, I met with the detective prior to the interview. It was just him and I. And it was Detective Messmann, Mike Messmann. And we just went over the allegations. And it was pretty basic. I don't remember the meeting, the exact meeting before that, because it was a year ago and so it's been a while. But, yes, he was brought in with normal law enforcement and we had our meeting and then I went out in to the lobby and got HS and brought her back in.
  - Q. So how did the interview begin with HS?
- A. So, I did talk to her about the cameras, I talked to her about the team watching us and let her know I would take a break and follow up with the team. And then we talked about a neutral topic. Her That day she told me about her morning and what happened from her morning until a certain point. And then I had asked her tell me what you came to talk to me about today. And she had said my stepfather sexually assaulted me.
  - Q. And so she just responded with that blanket --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did you ask any follow-up questions to

- A. Yes. So I had asked her to tell me, you know, about one incident that she could tell me about her stepfather sexually assaulting her. And she was a little leery in the beginning to use certain phrases and words. But she gave more of a it happened when her mom was at work and he would come in to her bedroom and do that.
- Q. So you indicate that she was a little leery at first. What gave you that impression?
- A. Leery in regards to not wanting to use certain words. So, not leery in talking about what happened, but, you know, we have to get certain elements to understand what happened. And there was, you know, certain words she didn't want to talk about. Private parts or --
- Q. So were you able to follow up with more specifics to your initial question?
  - A. I was, yes.
- Q. And what specifics, if anything, did she give you on that specific count?
- A. So she had told me that he fingered her. And I had asked what that meant. And she said that his hand was involved and it went to places it should not have. I had asked her about her clothes. And she was able to tell me that he went under her clothes and on top of her clothes and

that his fingers went inside and outside of the part she circled on the diagram. And so she did not want to use the word vagina. And so during that point I had asked her if she could clarify for me and show me on the diagram what part she was talking about. And I showed her a diagram. And it's a diagram that CornerHouse puts out. It's just of a girl that has no clothes on. It's, like, a drawing. And she circled the vagina.

- Q. And did she -- Well, were you able to determine when that specific incident occurred?
- A. Yes. She had talked to me about it being her freshman year. She remembered that she had an English teacher named Ms. Miller. I looked back -- or listening to the report again, it sounded like she said Ms. Muller, but -- and that she was 13 years old.
- Q. Okay. During the course of the interview later on was there another time that she indicated this had occurred with specifics?
- A. Yes. There was another time we talked about in detail about a time that she was watching Twitch. She described it as being a computer game or gaming website on the computer. And that she had left, she went to the bathroom. And then she went in to her bedroom and her stepdad came in to the room and said why aren't you watching

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Kalter.
2	MR. KALTER: Thank you, your Honor.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	By Mr. Kalter:
5	Q. Good morning, ma'am.
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. So you work for the Washoe County Child Advocacy
8	Center?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Okay. And that was set up by the Washoe County
11	DA's Office?
12	A. The Child Advocacy Center?
13	Q. Correct.
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. So the Washoe County DA Center has set up
16	the Washoe County Child Advocacy Center, so they have some
17	involvement with how your office runs and things?
18	A. Yeah. They provide the DAs and the funding.
19	Q. Okay. So the Washoe County DA's Office funds the
20	Washoe County Child Advocacy Center. Who provides the
21	funding when it's a referral from Lyon County, if you know?
22	A. I don't know.
23	Q. Fair enough. Okay. So what exactly is the
24	purpose of a forensic interview?

- A. So, a couple things is that we -- the children are to talk to someone who is forensically trained to working with children. And we also like to make sure that they're only talked to one time and questioned one time so they're not re-traumatized several times every time they have to repeat their story. As well as often times talking to them the first time, they're really able to share those details about things that have happened as opposed to if they were talked to 20 times there could be some inconsistencies as well as lack of details as to when they talked about it the first time.
- Q. Okay. And the guidelines and how you're trained are set up so as not to lead the child and there's certain parameters you're to follow so that it's more of an accurate interview, there's not suggestibility, things like that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Why don't you ask the child any, say, exculpatory questions to find out if what she said didn't happen?
  - A. Explain that a little more.
- Q. Well, why don't you dive in -- So when the child or even HS in this case makes an accusation against someone, how come you didn't ask questions to try and really find out more details about it or if it even holds up logistically?

1	A. No. That's not a question I would ask the
2	victim.
3	Q. Okay. You didn't ask her any details whatsoever
4	of the, quote, fingering?
5	A. I felt that I did.
6	Q. How did it make you feel, did it hurt, were you
7	injured?
8	A. I did ask her how did it make you feel.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. And her response was that it didn't make me feel
11	good.
12	Q. Okay. Did you ask her if it hurt?
13	A. I didn't ask her if it hurt, only because I had
14	asked the follow-up question to that was how did your body
15	feel, and she was not able to describe hurt. And so I
16	wouldn't have went any further to ask if she was hurt because
17	I feel like that would be a leading question.
18	Q. Did you ask her if she sustained any injuries
19	over all of these times of being fingered against her will?
20	A. No.
21	Q. You never explored with her any motive she may
22	have had to make this accusation; correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. You did not?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Okay.
3	Ms. Clerk, may I have Exhibit 18? Thank you.
4	And this has been admitted; correct?
5	THE COURT: Yes, it has previously been admitted
6	by stipulation of counsel.
7	MR. KALTER: May I approach the witness, your
8	Honor?
9	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Kalter.
10	Q. (By Mr. Kalter) Ms. McCann, I'm showing you
11	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 and previously
12	admitted. Can you take a look at this and tell me if you're
13	familiar with it?
14	A. I am.
15	Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury what this is?
16	A. This is the anatomical diagram that I talked to
17	HS about.
18	Q. Okay. So tell the jury what this is.
19	A. An anatomical diagram that I had presented to HS
20	to ask where he touched her.
21	Q. Okay. So the interview was September 4th of '19;
22	is that right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. So she's 15 years old at this time?
l	

2.0

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you testified you needed to use this diagram to get her to tell you where she was being touched?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. The rapport-building phase of the forensic interview is to establish some type of bond or trust that they can open up to you and feel comfortable?
- A. The rapport is developed throughout the interview. I mean, that's part of it. It's also to lay the foundation for them to understand what's coming up in the interview.
- Q. Right. But the beginning of the interview is pretty much strictly some type of rapport building?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. But in this case HS was unable at 15 years old to say vagina or any other word to describe that part and needed to circle the little diagram?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Which is very common for children of her age or younger.
- Q. During her interview didn't she tell you that she had disclosed to her mom once at 13 and once at 14 that she was sexually assaulted?

1	A. That is not how I understood it, no.
2	Q. How did you understand it?
3	A. That she had made comments that he's doing other
4	things and her mom had said what do you mean, what's going
5	on, and he replied to one of them, don't worry about it,
6	she's throwing a tantrum, and then another similar situation.
7	From my understanding, she did not come out and say that this
8	was happening to her.
9	Q. Okay. HS made it clear to you that she would ask
10	TJ for these massages; correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. So HS said I would ask TJ for the massages?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And, as you asked her about the alleged sexual
15	assault occasions, she always told you they happened before
16	bedtime; right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Not in the morning, not in the afternoon,
19	it was always before bedtime; right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. She never told you of any other incidents in
22	which it happened not before bedtime?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Okay. And also in your interview also in your

22

23

24

of the forensic interview and law enforcement sending the

child to your advocacy center is so you have one person

Т	interviewing the Child; right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. So this is the one time, mainly the one
4	time to get all the details that you can?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. At no point ever in your interview did you guys
7	get in to the depth of her allegation that he was penetrating
8	her vagina, how far in her vagina; correct?
9	A. That's not usually an element I have to prove.
10	Q. I understand. I just want to know if there was
11	ever any discussion that it was slightly in or all the way
12	in. That was never discussed?
13	A. No. Just his finger went inside of her vagina.
14	Q. Okay. So any notion that it was just slight
15	didn't come from anything you discussed with her?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And you never asked her Because she said this
18	would happen five to six times a week for two years; right?
19	A. She had told me almost five times a week.
20	Q. Okay. Five times a week for almost two years.
21	And there was no questions to determine if he nicked her with
22	his fingernail or there was any physical injuries; right?
23	That was never discussed?
24	A. No.

1	MR. KALTER: Okay. Thank you for your time.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Merrill?
4	MR. MERRILL: No follow-up, your Honor. Thank
5	you.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Is Ms. McCann subject to
7	recall?
8	MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.
9	MR. KALTER: No, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Ms. McCann, thank you
11	very much for your testimony. Please don't discuss your
12	testimony until this matter is concluded.
13	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Merrill, next witness.
17	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, the State rests at this
18	point. We have no further witnesses. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kalter.
20	MR. KALTER: Our next Our first witness is
21	Deputy Greenhunt. Ms. Schumann will be doing the direct of
22	him.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Deputy, please come forward.
24	Face the clerk, raise your right hand, and be sworn.
	Soo B

1	(The witness was sworn in)
2	THE COURT: Okay. Come on around here and have a
3	seat in the witness chair. Deputy, while you're testifying,
4	you can remove your mask.
5	
6	NICHOLAS GREENHUNT
7	Called as a witness on behalf of the
8	Defendant, having been first duly sworn,
9	Was examined and testified as follows:
10	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	By Ms. Schumann:
13	Q. Good morning.
14	A. Good morning.
15	Q. Sir, can you state your name and spell your last
16	name for the record?
17	A. Deputy Nicholas Greenhunt. My last name is
18	G-r-e-e-n-h-u-n-t.
19	Q. And, sir, where are you employed?
20	A. I'm employed with the Lyon County Sheriff's
21	Office.
22	Q. And what position do you hold?
23	A. Deputy sheriff.
24	Q. What training did you have to obtain to become a $900\mathrm{C}$

1	deputy?
2	A. Standard Nevada POST certification. It's ran
3	through the Peace Officers Standard and Training from the
4	State of Nevada.
5	Q. How long have you been employed with Lyon County
6	Sheriff's Office?
7	A. Seven years in January I will have been a deputy.
8	Q. Have you obtained any additional training?
9	A. We have our yearly training that we do on
10	defensive tactics, mental health training, and stuff like
11	that. But I have no specialized training, no.
12	Q. Now, were you working on July 16th of 2019?
13	A. I was.
14	Q. What shift?
15	A. Day shift down in the Mason Valley.
16	Q. What hours are day shift?
17	A. I believe at the time we were working tens and it
18	was 6:00 to 4:00 p.m., something like that.
19	Q. Now, did you receive a report of an alleged child
20	molestation case?
21	A. I did.
22	Q. What was the report initially?
23	A. The report was that a woman would like to report
24	that her daughter that her husband had told her that he
	900P

1	A. I wouldn't say that was her biggest concern, but
2	it was a concern of hers.
3	Q. Okay. But, when you responded to her house, she
4	hadn't obtained a temporary protection order yet; correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. You told her to obtain one?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And you told her that if she didn't get one CPS
9	would be notified?
10	A. I advised her that that was a possibility, yes.
11	We wanted to protect the child. That was our number one
12	concern at that point.
13	Q. Okay. And Ms. Bernal obviously didn't want you
14	to report it to CPS?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Now, did Patricia tell you this had happened
17	this had been reported to her prior to July of 2019?
18	A. I believe, yeah, she said that she had spoke with
19	her husband on the 14th and waited to contact us.
20	Q. What about prior to that?
21	A. I'm unaware of any of her knowing about it
22	prior to that.
23	Q. Do you remember her telling you that initially
24	that her daughter had disclosed it to her six months prior?
	9 m C

1	A. I don't recall that, no.
2	MS. SCHUMANN: I'll pass the witness, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	Mr. Merrill.
5	MR. MERRILL: Just briefly, your Honor.
6	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
7	By Mr. Merrill:
8	Q. Good morning, Deputy.
9	A. Good morning.
10	Q. Good to see you again. On cases such as these
11	with allegations of sexual assault, is it typical for you to
12	suggest restraining orders?
13	A. Yeah. If the one of the parents is not
14	willing to keep the child protected from the alleged
15	offender, then typically we get involved to make sure that
16	the child is safe and no further abuse happens.
17	Q. Okay. Were there any threats that you were going
18	to call CPS or Child Protective Services?
19	A. Not that I recall. It is kind of standard
20	procedure for us to get them involved if we believe there's a
21	threat to the child and if they're not willing to protect the
22	child.
23	MR. MERRILL: Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1	MS. SCHUMANN: No redirect on that, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you Deputy Greenhunt.
3	You're excused from Oh, wait.
4	Is he subject to recall?
5	MS. SCHUMANN: No, your Honor.
6	MR. MERRILL: No, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your
8	testimony.
9	Next witness.
10	MR. KALTER: Your Honor, as we previously
11	discussed, we would like to take a break for technology
12	purposes.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: All right. So, Ladies and Gentlemen,
16	we're going to take a little break. We're just going to get
17	set up on some of the tech in here. So, we can do a lot of
18	things in here, have remote testimony and do those kinds of
19	things. So we're going to get some of that stuff all set up.
20	So it will take us about ten minutes to do. We'll take a
21	ten-minute break and then we'll get you back in here.
22	But, in the meantime, during the recess we're
23	about to take, you're admonished that it's your duty not to
24	discuss amongst yourselves or with anyone else any matter

1	having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to
2	form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence
3	of the defendant until the case has been finally submitted to
4	you for decision. You are not to read, view, or listen to
5	any report in the newspaper, radio, television, or the
6	internet concerning this case, nor allow anyone to read or
7	comment upon them to you or in your presence. This includes
8	viewing any type of social media relating to this case.
9	You're not to investigate or attempt to obtain any additional
10	information about this case outside the courtroom. Do not
11	visit the scene or attempt any investigation on your own. Do
12	not peruse the internet in relation to this matter. Do not
13	read any legal text or book regarding any issue raised in
14	court. Should any person attempt to discuss the case with
15	you or in any manner attempt to influence you with respect to
16	it, you are to advise the bailiff who will in turn advise the
17	Court and I will take care of it. We'll take a break.
18	(Recess was taken)
19	THE COURT: Okay I'm confused I thought we

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. I'm confused. I thought we were just going to set up the Zoom.

MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, I'm just going to call them to have them hook in to the system just to join in to the Zoom, and then we can just call them one at a time.

THE COURT: Who?

1	MS. SCHUMANN: There's two. Larson Lelund and
2	Marlene Nish.
3	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Those are the witnesses
4	you're going to call?
5	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. I got confused. I
7	thought Mr. Kalter said he was going to call Dr. Davis. I
8	thought that's what he said he was going to do next. That's
9	fine. No, no, no. I got confused there for a minute.
10	To take ten minutes and make sure we get it set up.
11	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay. Thank you very much.
12	THE COURT: And then we can put one in the
13	waiting room or whatever.
14	MS. SCHUMANN: Sounds good.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	(Recess was taken)
17	THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure
18	everybody understands the rules of the remote testimony,
19	okay. I just want to make sure that it runs exactly like it
20	does in court. That means, Ms. Nish, you got to be aware of
21	a couple of rules, okay. And one of those rules is
22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
23	THE COURT: One of those rules is if there is an
24	objection, you need to stop speaking, okay.

1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand?
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: And then we'll go from there with the
5	testimony. And are we ready for the jury? Anything else to
6	bring up?
7	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, do you want me to ask
8	questions from the podium or from the
9	THE COURT: You can ask
10	MS. SCHUMANN: Wherever? Okay.
11	THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, that's up to you.
12	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay.
13	THE COURT: I would prefer that you stand.
14	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay.
15	THE COURT: We're in the middle of a jury trial.
16	MS. SCHUMANN: Right.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So bring them in.
18	Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury?
19	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Judge.
20	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, first of all,
22	Ms. Nish, I need you to raise your right hand and be sworn by
23	the clerk.
24	(The witness was sworn in)
- 1	

1	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Schumann.
2	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, your Honor.
3	
4	MARLENE NISH
5	Called as a witness on behalf of the
6	Defendant, having been first duly sworn,
7	Was examined and testified as follows:
8	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	By Ms. Schumann:
11	Q. Ms. Nish, can you hear me okay?
12	A. Yes. Can you hear me?
13	Q. Yes. Okay. Ma'am, can you state your name and
14	spell your last name for the record.
15	A. Marlene Nish, N, as in Nancy, i-s-h.
16	(The court reporter interrupts)
17	MS. SCHUMANN: Marlene, M-a-r-l-e-n-e.
18	Q. (By Ms. Schumann) Okay. I'm going to slow down.
L9	There's a little bit of a delay, but we'll work it out.
20	Ma'am, what do you do for a living?
21	A. I'm a cosmetologist.
22	Q. Where do you live?
23	A. Las Vegas, Nevada.
24	Q. And how do you know TJ Bernal?

1	Α.	He's been my best friend since high school.
2	Q.	Now, did you live with TJ at one point?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	How long did you live with him?
5	Α.	About two and a half years.
6	Q.	And was that approximately January of 2016 to
7	August of '	18?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	At which house did you reside with TJ?
10	Α.	610 Highway 95A East, Yerington, Nevada.
11	Q.	And what was the living arrangement when you
12	lived with	him?
13	Α.	The family was inside the house and I was in the
14	add-on. TJ	, Patty, HS, and OS were in the house, and I was
15	in the add-	on.
16	Q.	Okay. Did you see TJ almost every day when you
17	lived there	?
18	Α.	Yeah, I saw them all every day.
19	Q.	Okay. Did you eat dinner with them every day?
20	Α.	Pretty much, yes.
21	Q.	Were you working when you lived with TJ during
22	that time?	
23	Α.	I was. I worked for Pioneer Crossing Casino.
24	Q.	And what was your work schedule like?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What would he discipline her for?
3	A. Just being a typical teenage girl, not doing her
4	homework, not listening, not doing her chores.
5	Q. Now, what was HS's reaction when TJ would
6	discipline her?
7	A. Typical teenage girl, throw a fit, huff, slam a
8	door.
9	Q. Now, did you ever see or hear HS ask TJ to rub
10	her with CBD oil?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did the kids go straight to the Boys and Girls
13	Club after school or did they go to the house?
14	A. The majority they went to the Boys and Girls
15	Club.
16	Q. Now, did you see anything unusual between TJ and
17	HS?
18	A. No, I did not.
19	MS. SCHUMANN: I'll pass the witness, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Merrill, do you have any
21	questions?
22	MR. MERRILL: Just briefly, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: And, Ms. Nish, if you could just slow
24	down your speech just a little bit. Because sometimes your
1	

1	words are kind of going over each other, okay. Just slow
2	down when you're speaking, okay.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Merrill.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	By Mr. Merrill:
7	Q. Good morning, Ms. Nish.
8	A. Good morning.
9	Q. My name is Matthew Merrill. I work for the
10	district attorney's office. I'm just going to ask you a few
11	follow-up questions.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. So you resided at the 610 95A Highway house for
14	approximately two years?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And you left that address prior to August of
17	2018; is that correct?
18	A. I think it was August of 2018, because it was
19	just as I was starting my new job at Zulily.
20	Q. So, was that at the beginning of the month or the
21	end of the month of August, if you recall?
22	A. The beginning, I believe.
23	Q. Where do you Where did you move to?
24	A. In town, 23 South Center Street.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Q. Okay. And that was in Yerington still?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And then you indicated for a couple of months you
4	would go by once a week by the house?
5	A. Correct. I needed to pick up my mail and then
6	catch up with my best friend and see how it was going.
7	Q. And, during the time that you lived there at the
8	house at 610 95A, did you ever go in to HS's bedroom?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And how often of an occurrence was that?
11	A. Not very often. More just to go see the turtle
12	that I got her.
13	Q. And you never saw any Well, let me rephrase
14	that. Did you actually ever see the defendant rub oil on HS?
15	A. I did.
16	Q. And where did that occur?
17	(The court reporter interrupts)
18	Q. Can you rephrase what Can you repeat what you
19	just said.
20	A. The bedroom door was always open when that
21	happened.
22	Q. And the rubbing of the oil would happen in HS's
23	bedroom?
24	A. Sometimes. Sometimes in the living room.

1	MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Ms. Nish.
2	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
3	MS. SCHUMANN: Nothing further, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Nish. You're
5	excused. You can leave the conference.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Next witness.
8	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, our next witness is
9	Larson Lelund.
10	THE COURT: All right. Let's bring him up.
11	MS. SCHUMANN: Mr. Lelund, can you hear me?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: So you're highlighted there.
14	THE LAW CLERK: My sound and everything is off.
15	THE COURT: Because there's a green line around
16	your
17	THE LAW CLERK: There. No. Okay. If you go to
18	gallery view, that would just be better.
19	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay. Sir, can you please say
20	your name and spell it.
21	THE COURT: Wait.
22	MS. SCHUMANN: Oh, sorry.
23	THE COURT: We don't have a witness that's not
24	sworn to testify to the truth.

1	MS. SCHUMANN: Oh, I apologize.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So,
3	Mr. Lelund, raise your right hand and be sworn by the court
4	clerk, please.
5	(The witness was sworn in)
6	THE COURT: Okay. Now you may proceed,
7	Ms. Schumann.
8	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, your Honor.
9	
10	LARSON LELUND
11	Called as a witness on behalf of the
12	Defendant, having been first duly sworn,
13	Was examined and testified as follows:
14	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	By Ms. Schumann:
17	Q. Sir, can you state your name and spell your last
18	name for the record.
19	A. My name is Larson Lelund, L-a-r-s-o-n
20	L-e-l-u-n-d.
21	Q. And, sir, what do you do for a living?
22	A. I'm a writer.
23	Q. Where do you reside?
24	A. I'm currently in Gates, New York.

1	1 Q. Now, do you kn	ow TJ Bernal?
2	2 A. Yes, I do.	
3	3 Q. How do you kno	w TJ?
4	4 A. We met around	2005, 2006 playing a game on line.
5	5 Q. And would you	consider him a friend of yours?
6	A. Yes. After the	e years and all the hours that we
7	7 spent together, yes.	
8	8 Q. Now, at one pos	int did you live with TJ?
9	9 A. Yes, I did. I	was there from February 2017
10	0 through roughly May.	
11	1 Q. Of the same year	ar, sir?
12	2 A. Yes. Of 2017.	
13	Q. Okay. And what	was the address of that house?
14	A. 610 US Highway	95, about eight minutes outside of
15	Yerington.	
16	Q. What was the li	ving arrangement when you lived
17	7 with TJ?	
L8	A. Patty and TJ ha	d the master bedroom off the
L9	left-hand side of the entra	nce. Marlene had a bedroom in the
20	basement area next to the s	torage area where I was. HS and
21	OS were upstairs on the rig	ht-hand side just past the
22	bathroom and the living roo	m.
23	Q. Could you hear	what was going on at the other end
24	of the house?	
	•	

Yes. Patty worked the late shift. So she was

24

Α.

usually up by about two or three or so. And Marlene was usually in the house and we all kind of kept a little bit of a different shift.

- Q. Was TJ protective over the children?
- A. Oh, most certainly. He made sure that, like, you know, the kids were, you know, where they were supposed to be at the Boys and Girls Club. If there was an issue with school, he made sure that the kids were keeping up on the school work, the report cards, that kind of stuff.
- Q. Did it seem to you during the time that you lived at the house that TJ was the primary disciplinarian of the kids?
- A. Yes, he was. Disciplinary -- He would thoroughly explain everything, but he did it in a respectful, stern kind of manner. So he made sure that the kids knew exactly what was, you know, the position why he was explaining why they had to do their chores and clean up, vacuum with the cats, that kind of stuff.
  - Q. Okay. Did you ever see TJ discipline HS?
  - A. Only verbally.
- Q. Okay. What kinds of things would TJ ask HS to do?
- A. Vacuum around the cats, you know, the coffee table, pick up her room, eat dinner, you know, light kind of

1	stuff. There was never anything really involved. Nothing
2	unreasonable either.
3	Q. What was HS's reaction to being disciplined by
4	TJ?
5	A. If it was, say, in the middle of a game or if it
6	was, you know, she was watching a video on the tablet or
7	something like that, she would pout or sit on the couch or
8	run off to her room and shut the door.
9	Q. Now, while you lived at the house, did you see
10	anything unusual between HS and TJ?
11	A. No, not at all.
12	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay. I'll pass the witness, your
13	Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Hold on, Mr. Lelund.
15	Mr. Merrill, do you have any questions?
16	MR. MERRILL: Just briefly, your Honor.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	By Mr. Merrill:
19	Q. Good morning, Mr. Lelund.
20	A. Good morning.
21	Q. My name is Matthew Merrill and I work for the
22	district attorney's office. I'm just going to ask you a
23	couple of follow-up questions.
24	A. Sure.
1	

1	Q. So you indicated that you lived at that 610
2	address between February of 2017 and May of 2017?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. You indicated that you saw HS and TJ interact a
5	few times; is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And even TJ discipline HS; is that correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Now, I'm assuming you were a teenager once? Yes?
10	A. That's a loaded question, but, yeah.
11	Q. Okay. Was HS's response a typical teenager?
12	A. Yeah, I would probably say that it was.
13	MR. MERRILL: Thank you, sir.
14	MS. SCHUMANN: Nothing on that, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lelund. You
16	can leave the conference now, sir.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Next witness.
19	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, our next witness is
20	Teresa Bachler.
21	THE COURT: Please come forward, ma'am. You can
22	face the clerk and raise your right Wait. Stop. Listen
23	to me, not to her, okay. Raise your right hand, face the
24	clerk, and be sworn.

1	(The witness was sworn in)
2	THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Bachler, please come
3	around here and have a seat in the witness chair.
4	Okay, Ms. Schumann, go ahead.
5	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, your Honor.
6	THE WITNESS: Can I take this off now?
7	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes.
8	
9	TERESA BACHLER
10	Called as a witness on behalf of the
11	Defendant, having been first duly sworn,
12	Was examined and testified as follows:
13	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	By Ms. Schumann:
16	Q. Ma'am, can you state your name and spell your
17	last name for the record?
18	A. Teresa Bachler, B-a-c-h-l-e-r.
19	Q. And, ma'am, what do you do for a living?
20	A. I'm retired.
21	Q. What are you retired from?
22	A. Medical assistant 17 years.
23	Q. How do you know TJ Bernal?
24	A. He is my nephew and I've known him since he was
1	

1	born.	
2	Q.	How close are you to TJ?
3	Α.	Fairly close. Close enough where I watched him
4	take care	of his mom that was dying of cancer for a year.
5	Q.	Now, how did you hear about the accusations
6	against TJ	?
7	Α.	From his brother Chris.
8	Q.	And what day did you learn about the accusations?
9	Α.	On about July 14th, 2019.
10	Q.	And was that the day that TJ left the house?
11	Α.	Yes, I believe so.
12	Q.	What did you do after you heard the accusations?
13	Α.	I went to TJ's house and talked to Patty and HS.
14	Q.	Okay. And what was the address of that house?
15	А.	On 95.
16	Q.	610 Highway 95 East?
17	Α.	Yeah. I don't know what
18	Q.	Okay.
19	Α.	Yeah, it was 610.
20	Q.	What time of day did you go to TJ's house?
21	Α.	In the evening.
22	Q.	Do you know approximately what time?
23	Α.	I don't know. Six. Six-ish. I don't know.
24	Q.	About six, okay. Now, you said you had contact

1	with Patty and HS at the house?
2	A. Yes, ma'am.
3	Q. Okay. What contact was that?
4	A. I went inside the house and went in and when I
5	got inside HS was in there trying to delete all of TJ's video
6	games and things off of his and playing video games,
7	laughing, having a you know, enjoying herself.
8	Q. So she wasn't upset or crying or anything like
9	that?
10	A. No, not at all.
11	Q. What was Patricia's demeanor?
12	A. She seemed a little upset. We went in to her
13	room. And, when we got in to her room, we sat on her bed and
14	she flopped back and said now I get this name brand bed,
15	whatever the name brand was. But now I get this name
16	brand
17	MR. MERRILL: Objection. Hearsay.
18	THE WITNESS: bed to
19	THE COURT: All right. Stop.
20	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, I'll rephrase.
21	THE COURT: All right. Disregard that comment.
22	It's hearsay. Go ahead, Ms. Schumann.
23	MS. SCHUMANN: Thank you, your Honor.
24	Q. (By Ms. Schumann) So, without saying what she

1	said, what was her demeanor?
2	A. Not really upset or anything.
3	Q. Okay. Did she seem relieved that TJ was out of
4	the house?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you expect Patricia to be more upset?
7	A. Yes, by all means.
8	Q. Now, how often did you see HS around TJ before HS
9	made these accusations?
10	A. Like once a month or once every two months and
11	every Thanksgiving.
12	Q. And during Thanksgiving did TJ have the kids with
13	him?
14	A. Yes, he did.
15	Q. What kind of relationship did TJ have with HS and
16	OS?
17	A. Like a father relationship. I mean, he
18	disciplined them if he needed it or he was just there when
19	they needed him. You know, the whole family was there, and
20	he was there as a father.
21	Q. So Patty was there too?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did you witness anything out of the ordinary with
24	TJ and HS's relationship?

1	Α.	No, nothing.
2		MS. SCHUMANN: No further questions, your Honor.
3		THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Schumann.
4		Mr. Merrill, do you have any questions?
5		MR. MERRILL: Just briefly.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	By Mr. Merri	ill:
8	Q.	Good morning, Ms. Bachler.
9	Α.	Good morning.
10	Q.	My name is Matthew Merrill and I'm with the
11	district att	corney's office. I'll just ask you a few
12	follow-up qu	mestions.
13	Α.	Okay.
14	Q.	You indicated on direct that you saw TJ and the
15	kids once ev	very two months; is that correct?
16	Α.	Once a month or every two months, something like
17	that, yeah.	
18	Q.	And did that typically happen at your house?
19	Α.	Their house or my house, yes.
20	Q.	Okay. Either one?
21	А.	Yes.
22	Q.	Okay. And how long did those visits last for?
23	Α.	I don't know. Half an hour, 45 minutes
24	sometimes.	

_1		~					_
$\alpha$	↩	T	↩	n	9	0	٠,

- A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you recall making this statement to Justin Olsen, speaking about the kids, you state not knowing them, like, real well knowing them, I mean, like, we did not go visit them or visit them or anything. Did you make that statement to Justin?
    - A. Not that I'm aware of.

MR. MERRILL: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Schumann?

MS. SCHUMANN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Bachler. Thank you for your testimony.

MR. KALTER: May we approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Discussion was held at the bench but not reported)

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, we need to take another quick break. This one should be relatively short. But we're at 10:30 and I would imagine that the next witness is going to take a little while. So I'm going to give you our mid-morning break, how is that? 15 minutes this time, okay.

But, before you go, during the recess we're about to take, you're admonished that it is your duty not to

discuss amongst yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant before the case has been finally submitted to you for decision. You're not to read, view, or listen to any report in the newspaper, radio, television, or the internet concerning this case nor allow anyone to read or comment upon them to you or in your presence. This includes viewing any type of social media relating to this case. are not to investigate or attempt to obtain any additional information about this case outside the courtroom. visit the scene or attempt any investigation on your own. not do any internet searches related to any events in this matter. Do not read any legal text or book regarding any issue raised in court. Should any person attempt to discuss the case with you or in any manner attempt to influence you with respect it, please notify the bailiff, who will notify the Court and I will take care of it. Take 15 minutes. Okay. So Mr. Kalter has just informed the Court

Okay. So Mr. Kalter has just informed the Court that, Mr. Bernal, you intend to testify; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Before you testify, I want to admonish you that, first of all, you have a constitutional privilege against self incrimination, which means you cannot

1	be compelled to testify. Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the
4	right to avail yourself of that privilege and not testify in
5	these proceedings at all. Do you understand that?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: If you decide not to testify, no
8	inference can be drawn from the fact that you remain silent,
9	and I would instruct the jury in that manner if you so
10	request. Do you understand that?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Is that like pleading the fifth
12	on the movies?
13	THE COURT: It is. But, if you choose to
14	testify, you will not have that privilege any longer. Do you
15	understand that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Yes.
17	THE COURT: You also have the right to waive that
18	privilege if you want to testify in this matter. But I have
19	to make sure you do that freely with full understanding and
20	without any types of coercion. Has anybody compelled you to
21	testify here today?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
23	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do
24	testify you will be subject to cross-examination by the

1	State, do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: You will not be able to assert your
4	constitutional privilege against self incrimination if you
5	choose to testify. Do you understand that?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: Are you going to testify of your own
8	free will after consulting with your counsel?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. With all of that
11	being said, we'll take ten minutes for you guys and you can
12	start off with Mr. Bernal's testimony.
13	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
13 14	MR. KALTER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
	-
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14 15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)
14 15 16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)  THE COURT: All right. So, just, Ms. Bachler is
14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)  THE COURT: All right. So, just, Ms. Bachler is not subject to I didn't ask is not subject to recall;
14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)  THE COURT: All right. So, just, Ms. Bachler is not subject to I didn't ask is not subject to recall; is that correct?
14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)  THE COURT: All right. So, just, Ms. Bachler is not subject to I didn't ask is not subject to recall; is that correct?  MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)  THE COURT: All right. So, just, Ms. Bachler is not subject to I didn't ask is not subject to recall; is that correct?  MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.  MS. SCHUMANN: No, your Honor.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  (Recess was taken)  THE COURT: All right. So, just, Ms. Bachler is not subject to I didn't ask is not subject to recall; is that correct?  MR. MERRILL: Not by the State.  MS. SCHUMANN: No, your Honor.  MR. KALTER: No, your Honor. And we can also put

1 All right. So I just want to make sure she's not subject to 2 recall before she's --3 MR. KALTER: Right. And I notified Mr. Merrill 4 that she's in here too. 5 THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. As long as she's 6 not subject to recall, that's fine. All right. Simple 7 rules, okay. I just want to make sure we understand, okay. 8 When witnesses start, the Court is in charge, the Court puts 9 them under oath, the Court tells them what to do, where to 10 go. The bailiffs tell them what to do and where to go, okay. 11 I don't want to get in a position where I'm having to have to 12 be assertive to either side of the case, okay. Fair enough? 13 Okay. That was kind of, like, two witnesses in a row and it 14 kind of -- And I know I was pretty abrupt. But let's just 15 keep it within the Court's decorum. You've both tried cases in front of me. You understand that I know what I'm doing, I 16 17 think, okay. 18 MR. KALTER: Okay. 19 THE COURT: All right. So -- And it is still 20 your intention to put on the defendant first? 21 MR. KALTER: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So anything else to bring up 23 before the Court? 24 MR. MERRILL: No, Judge.

1	MR. KALTER: Nope.
2	THE COURT: All right. So bring in the jurors.
3	Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the
4	jury?
5	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Judge.
6	MR. KALTER: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kalter, please call your
8	next witness.
9	MR. KALTER: The defense calls Thomas Bernal.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernal, if you would stand
11	and raise your right hand and be sworn by the clerk.
12	(The witness was sworn in)
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernal, come on around
14	here. Have a seat in the witness chair.
15	Okay. Mr. Kalter, your witness.
16	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
17	
18	THOMAS BERNAL
19	Called as a witness on behalf of the
20	State, having been first duly sworn,
21	Was examined and testified as follows:
22	///
23	///
24	///

## 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 By Mr. Kalter: 3 Q. Sir, can you please state your full legal name and spell your last name for the court reporter? 4 5 Α. Thomas Jason Bernal, B-e-r-n-a-l. 6 Q. Thank you, Mr. Bernal. Have you lived in 7 Yerington before? 8 Α. 22 years. 9 Q. Okay. When did you move to Yerington? 10 Α. '97. '97, okay. And how old are you? 11 Q. 12 I am 38. Α. 13 Okay. So did you do high school in Yerington? Ο. 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 Q. Did you graduate from Yerington High School? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Okay. How do you know Patricia Bernal? Q. 18 Α. We met through a mutual friend, her ex, Ryan. 19 Okay. Speak up just a little bit, okay. Q. 2.0 Okay. Α. 21 Q. What year did you meet Patricia? 22 It was probably 2011. Α. 23 Okay. And did it turn in to a romantic Q. 24 relationship?

	4	
1	Α.	Yes, sir.
2	Q.	Did Patricia have Does Patricia have children?
3	Α.	Yes. Two. OS and HS.
4	Ω.	Speak up just a little louder, okay.
5	Α.	A little louder, okay.
6	Q.	Did she have custody of the children when you met
7	her?	
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Okay. Where did you You guys ended up living
10	together?	
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Okay. Where was that?
13	Α.	145 4th Avenue up in Mason.
14	Q.	In Mason. And did she have did she not have
15	the kids at	that point?
16	Α.	Not at that time, no.
17	Q.	Okay. At some point did she retain custody
18	regain custo	ody of her children?
19	Α.	Late 2014, early 2015.
20	Q.	Okay. And when that happened did they move in
21	with you and	l Patricia?
22	Α.	Yes, they did.
23	Q.	Okay. When did you and Patricia get married?
24	Α.	That would be October 3rd, 2015.

1	Q. Okay. So when you got married to Patty were
2	you were you working?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What were you doing?
5	A. I was at Maverick Finance doing loans and taxes.
6	Q. Okay. And how long did you work there?
7	A. Four years. From 2014 to 2018.
8	Q. Okay. And what happened in 2018 with the job?
9	A. Patty and I had previously discussed a dream of
10	mine to stream for a living for an income.
11	Q. What does stream mean?
12	A. Stream meaning just like we were doing with the
13	other witnesses, stream video to an on-line audience.
14	Q. Okay. Okay. And where was Patty working?
15	A. She was at Dini's at the time.
16	Q. Okay. What was her typical hours?
17	A. She had two days where she worked swing shift
18	or three days when she worked swing shift and two days where
19	she had days, which was nine to five. The swing shift
20	consisted of five to one I believe it was.
21	Q. One in the morning?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. So I want to take your attention to the summer of
24	2018. What grade was HS going in to?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Α. She was about to be a freshman.
- Q. Okay. And can you describe kind of her relationship with you as well as Patty as she was entering her freshman year?
- We had gotten her a cell phone during that period of time for her birthday. After that it was a bit rocky because during punishments I would take away her cell phone at the time. We also had a tablet over that summer. We had gotten it with taxes earlier that year. That would also be taken away. So it just ended up fights.
- Okay. We just prior to the break heard testimony 0. from Ms. Nish and Mr. Lelund. Can you describe where they were living in the house when they each lived there when they did?
- Α. In those pictures it looks like they were taken from the front door area, the ones that were showing the living room. If you go just to the left in to the kitchen, it leads to the laundry room, which then leads out to what used to be the back door where the extension on the house was. There were two separate rooms back there where they were both staying.
- 0. Okay. HS testified that no one else lived at that house other than OS, Patty, and you. Is there any possibility that she didn't have interaction with these

1	people in this house?
2	A. No possibility.
3	Q. So what was going on with HS and this book bag
4	and the sore legs?
5	A. During her freshman year she was assigned a lot
6	more books and she didn't want to use her locker, so she
7	carried her books with her on a constant. She would
8	constantly come home complaining of knee pain.
9	Q. Okay. And in terms of her freshman year did that
10	begin immediately or did it take time?
11	A. No. She was She was growing over that summer,
12	so it had started previously with the knee pain. But it got
13	worse when she hit her freshman year because of so many books
14	in the backpack.
15	Q. Okay. So there was some issues prior to high
16	school?
17	A. Yeah.
18	Q. Okay. We've heard a lot about massaging. And so
19	let Who would you massage in the house?
20	A. Patty.
21	Q. Tell us Let's start with her. Tell us about
22	that.
23	A. Patty has lower back issues as well as hip
24	issues. We had gone to the doctor previously and learned she

1	A. Only when she complained of pain, yes.
2	Q. Okay. So where would you apply it in those?
3	A. Up the hip on the outside.
4	Q. The outside of the leg?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And did you did you put it there without her
7	asking it to be put there
8	A. No.
9	Q or how would that work?
10	A. Only when she asked.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. Or she had complained of it being that high.
13	Q. Okay. So how did you come up with this, I don't
14	know, idea to rub your family members when they were in pain
15	as opposed to giving them Advil or something?
16	A. That was also a recommendation on a normal basis
17	was Advil or a hot bath or hot shower.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. Things that would relax the muscles. But,
20	initially, it came about because of Patty's issue as well as
21	my own. I have two disks that are going out in the base of
22	my neck, C5 and 6, from an accident when I was little. It
23	also flared up one summer when I had a quad flip over on me.
24	Q. Okay. I want to fast forward in the freshman

1	year a lit	tle bit to January of 2019.
2	Α.	Okay.
3	Q.	Did HS get in trouble with the school around that
4	time?	
5	А.	She did.
6	Q.	Okay. And did that involve her and her
7	boyfriend?	
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Okay. Something with under the bleachers?
10	Α.	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	Okay. What was her boyfriend's first name?
12	Α.	CJ.
13	Q.	Okay. How did you come to learn that she was in
14	trouble?	
15	Α.	We got a call from the, I believe it was the
16	principal's	secretary. It might have been the principal.
17	I'm not rea	lly sure. Patty had received that phone call.
18	Q.	Okay. And did you and Patty or just Patty go
19	Α.	No. We both headed down to the school at the
20	same time.	
21	Q.	Okay. And what did the school do as a punishment
22	for HS?	
23	Α.	They gave her APEP.
24	Q.	APEP. Now, did her punishment end there, or did

Α.

Yes. Immediately as soon as she got home.

1	Q. Okay. Did Patty remain in agreement that this
2	boyfriend had to go?
3	A. Most definitely, yes.
4	Q. Okay. And how did HS take you standing there and
5	making her really do it?
6	A. Not very well.
7	Q. Was she upset?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. At you?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Now, we've heard some testimony about right
12	around this time in January 2019 about some rumors that were
13	going around that you were sexually molesting or something.
14	Tell us about that. How did you
15	A. I was notified of those rumors when OS got home
16	from school. Patty had been home HS had been home sick
17	that day.
18	Q. So OS comes home from school?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And what do you learn?
21	A. That her boyfriend at the time, CJ, was spreading
22	these rumors.
23	Q. Okay. And when you learned that what did you do
24	to confront it?

1	Α.	That's when I found out that HS had been texting
2	or well,	yeah, texting over Instagram with CJ.
3	Q.	Okay. But what did you do to address these
4	rumors?	
5	Α.	We immediately had her break up with him.
6	Q.	Okay. Did you tell Patty about these rumors or
7	did you hide	e it?
8	А.	Oh, yes, yes, I most definitely told her.
9	Q.	What did you say to her?
10	А.	Well, CJ has been spreading these rumors.
11	Q.	Okay. And did what did Patty do?
12	А.	That essentially led up to me finding out that HS
13	had been tex	ting over Instagram. Patty dismissed the
14	accusations	stating that she would never do this thing.
15	Q.	All right. So she didn't believe them to be
16	true?	
17	A. 1	No.
18	Q.	Okay. And then you were saying that led you to
19	find out abou	ut the communication going on between
20	Α. Ι	HS and CJ, yes.
21	Q. I	Did you confront HS about the rumors?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q. 5	Tell us about that.
24	Α. Α	At the time she had not known why he would start

1	these rumors. I didn't find out until later that she had
2	admitted that she told him.
3	MR. MERRILL: Objection. Hearsay.
4	THE WITNESS: Hearsay, okay. I apologize.
5	THE COURT: Stop. Objection?
6	MR. KALTER: I think the objection is founded.
7	THE COURT: Okay. The jury will disregard that
8	comment. Thank you.
9	Continue, Mr. Kalter.
10	MR. KALTER: Thank you.
11	Q. (By Mr. Kalter) All right. After this whole
12	thing with the rumors and after you making HS break up with
13	CJ, did she continue to ask you to rub her sore legs?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And did you approach this differently at
16	this point?
L7	A. Yes. I no longer went any higher than just above
18	the knee.
L9	Q. And why is that?
20	A. There's no way I'm going to make any founding for
21	these rumors.
22	Q. Okay. All right. So, around this time in early
23	2019, can you describe your and Patty's relationship?
24	A. It was getting a bit more rough. She was

1	spending more time after work out drinking with friends.
2	MR. MERRILL: Objection.
3	THE COURT: What's the objection?
4	MR. MERRILL: Character evidence. It's not
5	relevant whether she is drinking or not.
6	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
7	THE WITNESS: So she was spending more time out
8	after work.
9	Q. (By Mr. Kalter) Okay. And that how did that
10	impact your relationship?
11	A. Badly.
12	Q. Okay. Did you argue over things or
13	A. Every other day.
14	Q. Okay. Tell us about that.
15	A. When she would come home earlier in the morning,
16	we would get in to arguments just regarding where were you,
17	what were you doing, et cetera.
18	Q. Okay. Did that force you to have to take care of
19	the kids more when she was not coming home right after work?
20	A. Yes. That would mean I would have to get up with
21	them more often in the mornings.
22	Q. Now, between the beginning of 2019 and July of
23	2019, did your relationship improve with Patty at all or
24	how

2.2

were setting up the pool?

- A. Not at all.
- Q. Okay. So -- All right. So you said you were yelling for her to help?
- A. Yep. And then I went back outside to continue moving -- It was a larger pool. There were a lot of metal frame pieces that we had to get done, moved. So about five or ten minutes later after me and OS got almost half of the stuff moved, I went back in, hollered at her again. No response. So, I figured, well, she's probably not going to come out and help.

So me and OS continued to move -- we got about three quarters of the frame work. And at this point it's for her birthday. I'm getting a little annoyed.

- Q. Sure.
- A. So I went back inside to confront her on why she wasn't outside helping.
  - Q. What happened then?
- A. I asked her why she wasn't outside helping. She said she did not hear me. She didn't have headphones in or --
- Q. Oh, okay. Did you ask her to come out at that time?
  - A. No. I asked her why she hadn't come out and

1	helped.
2	Q. Okay. And did you guys have an argument?
3	A. Yes, we did.
4	Q. Okay. What did you do?
5	A. I went to take her phone away. I had gotten her
6	phone at the time. And then she had told me, well, I'm going
7	to tell your mom you're molesting me her mom. And then
8	she wrestled the phone from me.
9	Q. Okay. Let's stop for a second. So you took her
10	phone for not going outside?
11	A. Right. I was only going to take it away for the
12	rest of the day.
13	Q. Did you confirm that? Did you communicate that
14	to her?
15	A. Yes, I did.
16	Q. And then what?
17	A. And then she wrestled it from my hand. And, I
18	say wrestled it, because she pulled so hard she ended up on
19	the bed.
20	Q. And then when in all of this did she say what you
21	said about she was going to tell Patty?
22	A. I want to say right after that. But right in
23	there.
24	Q. Okay. But it was after the phone?

1	Α.	Yes. After I had initially taken the phone.
2	Q.	Right. And what did you say when she made that
3	threat?	
4	Α.	You know I don't do these things to you. I'm
5	going to hav	ve a conversation with your mom.
6	Q.	Okay. And did you have any interaction with her
7	after that u	until
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	you next saw Patty?
10	Α.	No. I didn't talk to her. I left her room
11	immediately	and shortly thereafter texted Patty.
12	Q.	Okay. So we've seen the text messages that have
13	been admitte	ed in to evidence. Is there any dispute that
14	that's you t	exting Patty?
15	Α.	No, not at all.
16	Q.	Those are your text messages with Patty?
17	А.	Yes, sir.
18	Q.	Okay. The text message said, please come home
19	right after	work, or something to that effect?
20	Α.	Right.
21	Q.	And what was your intent there?
22	А.	Just to have a conversation with my wife.
23	Q.	About?
24	Α.	About these allegations again.
1		

1	Q. Okay. So did Patty come home after work?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. All right. So tell us what happened when she got
4	home.
5	A. I pulled her in to the room, our room, which was
6	to the left of the door, and shut the door, because I wanted
7	to have a conversation with my wife first. And I stated, so
8	evidently I've been molesting HS since I want to say
9	December.
10	Q. And how did Patty react?
11	A. Not very well.
12	Q. Meaning what?
13	A. She got pretty pissed off.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. I said it sarcastically because we had dealt with
16	this prior.
17	Q. Okay. And did she yell? Did she throw What
18	do you mean?
19	A. At that time her voice raised and she just
20	started yelling.
21	Q. Okay. Did she express whether or not she wanted
22	you to remain in the house?
23	A. Yes. She had stated you leave or I will.
24	Q. Okay.

	1	
1	Α.	Yes, I did.
2	Q.	Months later were you contacted by Detective
3	Messmann?	
4	Α.	Yes, I was.
5	Q.	And when he called you did he give you an option
6	to meet in	Fernley substation or anywhere other than Silver
7	Springs?	
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Would the Fernley substation be closer to where
10	you lived t	chan Silver Springs?
11	А.	I don't think so, no.
12	Q.	Which one was farther away?
13	Α.	I think Silver Springs is.
14	Q.	Farther away than Reno?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Did he offer to come to your home and talk to
17	you?	
18	Α.	No, he didn't.
19	Q.	How about at a Starbucks?
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	It was the Silver Springs substation?
22	Α.	Yes, sir.
23	Q.	Okay. Did you agree to go?
24	Α.	I didn't have a day off until the next Monday, so
DOWNWARD TO THE PERSON NAMED TO THE PERSON NAM		

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Okay. Is that the room where the interview took
3	place?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. So, in this photograph, Mr. Bernal, it's clear
6	who you are. Where are the two detectives positioned between
7	you and the door?
8	A. On the other side of the table. The right side
9	would be Detective Messmann and
10	Q. All right. Let me reask that question.
11	THE COURT: It's fine.
12	Q. (By Mr. Kalter) Are they between you and the
13	door?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Okay. And how did that make you feel?
16	A. A bit trapped.
17	Q. Okay. We've heard testimony that you used the
l 8	bathroom three times during the interview. Did you just say
L9	I'm going to the bathroom or did you ask if you could go use
20	the bathroom?
21	A. I requested a bathroom break.
22	Q. And why is that?
23	A. I had had a lot of coffee.
24	Q. Okay. Why did you request as opposed to saying
- 1	

minutes, every half hour, pretty anxious, nauseous, which is

1	why I couldn't eat during the interview. I had a bag of
2	chips.
3	Q. All right. There was testimony about the door
4	that you would have to go through from leaving the interview
5	room to the bathroom.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you recall that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you agree with Detective Messmann that that
10	door was there?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. When you would go to the bathroom where
13	was Detective Messmann?
14	A. Standing by that door.
15	Q. Okay. For you to exit the bathroom and go out of
16	the exit entrance that you entered the building in would you
17	go past Detective Messmann or what would happen?
18	A. I wouldn't have to pass him, no. But it was a
19	long hallway, like he said yesterday, I believe it was 30
20	feet.
21	Q. Right.
22	A. I would have to walk right in front of him and
23	hang a left.
24	Q. Okay. So you would have to go past him to get

1	out?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. For the first four hours of the interview
4	did you deny any accusations that you had in any way
5	inappropriately touched
6	A. Yes, I denied completely.
7	Q. Did they seem to accept your answer?
8	A. No.
9	Q. And how did that make you feel?
10	A. Like until I got until they got what they
11	wanted out of me, I wasn't going anywhere.
12	Q. Okay. Did you feel If you had said, I want to
13	leave, I'm done, what do you think would have happened?
14	A. I think an excuse would have been made. Oh,
15	wait, just hold on a second.
16	Q. What do you think would have happened to you?
17	A. At the beginning I would have been stopped. At
18	the end I would have been arrested.
19	Q. Even before what you said on the video about an
20	accidental entering?
21	A. Oh, yes. Yes.
22	Q. Late in to the interview did they approach you
23	with implementing a CVSA?
24	A. Yes.

1	Q. And did Detective Messmann tell you if you're
2	guilty don't take it, if you're not guilty take it?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. How did you feel about taking it?
5	A. I was reluctant to take it at all.
6	Q. Okay. Did Detective Dues actually tell you that
7	this could wind up in your criminal history, this CVSA?
8	A. Yes, he did. Having a background in loans and
9	taxes, I know how important a background check is.
10	Q. Okay. Did you believe him?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. Was that a concern for you?
13	A. Yes, it was.
14	Q. Where were you working at the time?
15	A. I was working for JC Penney warehouse.
16	Q. Okay. Is that where you wanted to remain
17	working?
18	A. No. It was something I could find on the spot.
19	I'm not trained in warehouses.
20	Q. After the CVSA ended did they let you leave?
21	A. No, they did not.
22	Q. Did they accept your answers?
23	A. No.
24	Q. We heard when Ms. Schumann went through a number

Α.

Never, sir.

1	Q. We heard testimony from Detective Messmann about
2	a dream you had involving having a child with an adult that
3	was HS. Why did you think First of all, was this only one
4	dream like this?
5	A. Yes, sir, it was a single dream.
6	Q. Okay. And was the person in the dream an adult?
7	A. Yes. Usually early or later twenties, early
8	thirties.
9	Q. And why did you think it was HS?
10	A. Because, at the very end, I had called her Bug,
11	and that's what we use as a nickname for HS.
12	Q. Okay. So, to be clear, this was a dream?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. Okay. Have you ever fantasized about having
15	A. Never.
16	Q a baby with HS?
17	A. Never.
18	Q. Okay. Have you ever thought about her in any
19	kind of sexual manner?
20	A. No.
21	Q. There was some discussion in the interview about
22	HS's hymen and that she had fallen or something with a fence.
23	Were both you and Patty aware of that?
24	A. Yes. She told me.

	1	
1	Q.	Patty?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	I'm going to ask you one last time, sir. In
4	fact, hav	e you ever touched HS in any manner, her vagina,
5	sexually	or otherwise?
6	Α.	No, sir.
7		MR. KALTER: Okay. Pass the witness, your Honor.
8		THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Merrill.
9		MR. MERRILL: Thank you, your Honor.
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	By Mr. Me	rrill:
12	Q.	Good morning.
13	Α.	Hi, Mr. Merrill.
14	Q.	I'm going to ask you a few follow-up questions.
15	Α.	Yes, sir.
16	Q.	So, on direct, you talked about some of your
17	employment	<u>-?</u>
18	А.	Yes, sir.
19	Q.	You indicated that you became unemployed in about
20	2018?	
21	Α.	Yes, sir. March of 2018.
22	Q.	In March of 2018, did you take over perhaps more
23	of a role	around the house?
24	Α.	Yes.

1	Q. And, also with the kids, you took on a bigger
2	role?
3	A. Yes. I started taking them to the bus more ofter
4	so that Patty could sleep.
5	Q. And that includes picking them up from the bus as
6	well?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. Okay. Now, we talked about you did text Patricia
9	to come home on the 14th of July; is that correct?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And you had already packed your bags prior to
12	Patricia coming home?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. What did you put inside your bags?
15	A. About two to three days worth of clothing.
16	Q. And you left your bags on the master bed?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Is that correct? Okay. Now, when Patty came
19	home, you both went in to the master bedroom; is that, again,
20	correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So, on direct, you said this, you said you
23	brought HS in to the master bedroom; correct? And you said
24	this, you told Patty, so, evidently, I've been molesting HS

And during that interview you were not being

24

Q.

Yes. Yes. Detective Dues, his wife had made

24

Α.

1	some apple pastries. They were quite delicious.
2	Q. Do you recall what kind of pastries they were?
3	A. I believe he called them a mincemeat pie.
4	Q. So you got one of those when you first came in?
5	A. Yes. I hadn't had anything to eat yet.
6	Q. I see. And you were provided some chips it
7	sounds like?
8	A. A couple hours in I believe it was, yes.
9	Q. And you had some water as well?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did they both ask if you wanted anything else?
12	A. They had asked me if I wanted, like, lunch. I
13	believe they were going to order a pizza. But at the time I
14	couldn't eat because I hadn't had any cigarettes yet. It
15	stabilizes me and allows me to function more correctly.
16	Q. Cigarettes?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Did you have a toothache that day?
19	A. Oh, yeah. My teeth are pretty messed up.
20	Q. I see. Did you have a toothpick?
21	A. No, I did not at the time.
22	Q. Now, you came down to the Silver Springs
23	substation with your father?
24	A. Yes.
1	

Α. Yes, as you saw on the video.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

statements?

time and that's what was bugging me. Did you make those

1	Q. And that was your response when you were talking
2	to Patty?
3	A. On the video.
4	Q. On the video.
5	MR. MERRILL: Nothing further, your Honor. Thank
6	you.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Kalter?
8	MR. KALTER: Briefly, your Honor. Just a couple
9	of follow-up questions.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	By Mr. Kalter:
12	Q. TJ, we saw we know that Detective Messmann
13	advised you you were free to leave. That was at the very
14	beginning of the interview?
15	A. Yes, sir, at the very beginning.
16	Q. Was that ever repeated to you ever again in the
17	nearly five hours in that room?
18	A. No, sir.
19	Q. And, just so that the jury is clear, you agree to
20	a number of you agree to making a number of statements to
21	the police to the detectives. But what the actual
22	conversation with Patty in the room, are you disagreeing that
23	you made the statements that you said you did in the police
24	station actually to Patty?

- A. Yes, sir. The only other thing I said was I recommend to get her some therapy.
  - Q. Okay. You did say that to Patty?
- A. Yes. That's the only other thing I stated to her before she kicked me out.

MR. KALTER: Thank you.

MR. MERRILL: No follow-up, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You're excused, Mr. Bernal.

All right, Ladies and Gentlemen. Just stay there for a second. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to take the lunch recess. So we'll get started again at 1:15, okay.

So, during the recess we're about to take, you're admonished that it's your duty not to discusses amongst yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until the case has been finally submitted to you for decision. You're not to read, view, or listen to any newspaper, radio, television or the internet concerning this case nor allow anyone to read or comment upon them to you or in your presence. This includes any type of social media relating to this case. You are not to investigate or attempt to obtain any additional information about this case outside the courtroom. Do not visit the scene or attempt any

1	investigation on your own. Do not do any internet searches
2	in relation to any matter in court. Do not read any legal
3	texts or books regarding any issue raised in the court.
4	Should any person attempt to discuss the case with you or in
5	any manner attempt to influence you with respect to it, you
6	are to advise the bailiffs who will advise the Court and I
7	will take care of it. Have a good lunch.
8	Okay. So, you can head back, Mr. Bernal. Go
9	ahead.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So what do we have after
12	lunch?
13	MR. KALTER: We have Debra Davis and we expect
14	that to be a lengthier presentation.
15	THE COURT: Okay. It's your case. Okay.
16	MR. KALTER: So if she Let's say she finishes
17	up with an afternoon break around 4:00 o'clock, I mean, I can
18	get Dr. O'Donohue probably to appear then or it would be my
19	preference to put him on first thing in the morning and we
20	could do jury instructions. But, I mean, I just want some
21	guidance.
22	THE COURT: So, I don't know how long she's going
23	to testify, so I don't know how to answer. You know, if it's
24	four or 4:30, yeah, we'll probably break at that point.

MR. KALTER: Okay.
THE COURT: If it's three, no, we shouldn't break
at that point.
MR. KALTER: That's all I had to hear, okay.
THE COURT: Okay. So that's all. You know, but
it's your case. So I don't know how long it's going to take.
All right. So anything else?
MR. MERRILL: What time should we be back?
THE COURT: Well, we're going to start at 1:15.
So, you know, be ready to go at least five minutes before
that.
MR. MERRILL: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. So, anything else to
bring up?
MR. KALTER: No.
MR. MERRILL: No.
THE COURT: Okay. I did Oh, just let me bring
one thing up. I did have the clerk give you guys the
modified things we had previously talked about during our
conference on the instructions. And I also gave out the
forms of verdict, which were attached. So I didn't give back
your theory instruction. I don't know if you want to change
that or whatever. But, otherwise, I think that covers
everything. Just make sure, all right. And then we'll talk

1	about jury instructions either later on this afternoon or
2	tomorrow, okay.
3	MR. MERRILL: Sounds good.
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Have a
5	good lunch. Court is in recess.
6	(Lunch recess was taken)
7	THE COURT: All right. Anything to bring up
8	before we get started again?
9	MR. MERRILL: No, Judge.
10	MS. SCHUMANN: No, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So bring in the jurors.
12	All right. Counsel stipulate to the presence of
13	the jurors?
14	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Judge.
15	MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Next witness,
17	please.
18	MS. SCHUMANN: Defense would call Dr. Debra
19	Davis.
20	THE COURT: Dr. Davis, please come forward.
21	THE CLERK: Just come up and stop and raise your
22	right hand, please.
23	THE COURT: Yes. Just raise your right hand,
24	face the clerk, and be sworn.
1	

1	(The witness was sworn in)
2	THE COURT: Okay. Doctor, come on around here
3	and have a seat right there.
4	Your witness, Ms. Schumann.
5	And, Doctor, you can take your mask off while
6	testifying if you wish.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	THE COURT: It's up to you.
9	THE WITNESS: We'll see how this sounds.
10	
11	DEBRA DAVIS
12	Called as a witness on behalf of the
13	Defendant, having been first duly sworn,
14	Was examined and testified as follows:
15	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	By Ms. Schumann:
18	Q. Okay. Dr. Davis, can you state your name and
19	spell your last name for the record?
20	A. Debra Davis, D-a-v-i-s.
21	Q. Okay. And, ma'am, what do you do for a living?
22	A. Well, I'm a professor of psychology at the
23	University of Nevada in Reno.
24	Q. Okay. And can you tell us about your educational

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23 24

Yes. I grew up in Texas and I went to the University of Texas in Austin. Got a Bachelor's in psychology there. And then I went to Ohio State and got a Ph.D. in psychology at Ohio State and stayed there for two years as a post-doctoral research assistant before I took my first job.

- And have you been -- Oh, I'm sorry. Q.
- Α. Well, my first job as a professor. I had lots of them while I was in graduate school.
- Q. Okay. Do you want to tell us about the employment you've had since you obtained your Ph.D.?
- Something just fell out of my -- Okay. Α. Well, after leaving Ohio State, I went to Southern Illinois University for two years as an assistant professor in the psychology department there. Then I taught at Georgia State University in Atlanta for a year. And then I came to the University of Nevada in Reno. I've been there since that time.

And, in addition to being a professor in the psychology department, I'm also a member of the faculty of the National Judicial College, which is actually on our campus but, it's a college that gives Master's and Ph.D. in judicial studies and also trains new judges when they take

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It has a lot of other courses that judges can the bench. take if they want because they want to.

- Ο. Have you taught courses relevant to interrogations and confessions?
- I have. Some of them have been at the National Α. Judicial College. And then also I've taught a lot of continuing legal education seminars for lawyers and just, you know, for state bar organizations, for defense organizations, for the military, and so on.

At school, at the university, I teach psychology and law, which has a big section on interrogations. And then it actually there is a little bit about interrogations even beginning in Psychology 101 and in Social Psychology, which I teach often. But the main place that we cover is in psychology in law and then forensic psychology. And the main difference is just in forensic psychology you're focusing a lot on psychological evaluations, you know, like, a clinical psychologist would do to assess people for mental disorder or personality differences that end up mattering in court proceedings in one way or another. And I'm sorry. I don't think I have COVID to give to anybody, but I can't breathe.

THE COURT: No. You're fine testifying. You're plenty socially-distanced there. But is that your hearing aid that I hear?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

1.7

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: Yes. But it fell out and the mask and the hearing aid don't go well together.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure you're comfortable. That's all.

THE WITNESS: It's okay. I borrow these from my mother when I come testify because often in courtrooms it's such a big thing that I can't hear well. But we'll see. far I can probably hear anyway without them.

- (By Ms. Schumann) So have you taught to only Q. defense groups?
  - Α. No. Did you say only?
  - 0. Yes.
- No, not only. But more to defense groups. have accepted basically every invitation to give continuing legal education seminars that has ever been issued, except for one when I had a scheduling conflict and I couldn't do So the defense bar asks me more often. But I've taught to state bar organizations, to, like I said, the military. And when you talk to the military, sometimes both the prosecutors and the defense are there. Sometimes it's just the defense. So -- And then some of the judges from the National Judicial College organized an event for me to talk to lawyers in Louisiana. And also another private attorney did the same thing. So I talked to some lawyers in

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

79

20

21

22

2.3

24

Schreveport and in Baton Rouge that were just privately organized.

- Q. Okay. What about your training and teaching experience regarding scientific research methods?
- Α. Well, in order to get a Ph.D. in psychology, you have to take a lot of courses on research methods, on statistics. I minored in statistics. But I also -- I don't know how many research method classes I had at the graduate level. Probably at least six of them. And since then I've also taught research methods and statistics at the undergraduate and the graduate level. And then, of course, I'm supervising Ph.D. students in doing their research and their dissertations.
- Do you also review journals, articles for journals?
- Α. I do, yes. I've been the member of an editorial board on about four journals. And that means that you're appointed to review articles at least once a month or so for that journal. And then also an ad hoc reviewer, which means that just in a particular article that the editor thinks that maybe you have some expertise in that area so they send it to you even when you're not on the editorial board. So I've probably reviewed for maybe 40 journals that way.

And also granting agencies, the National

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

interrogation trainings?

Α.

- Q. Now, have you also published papers relevant to interrogations and confessions?
  - A. Yes.

1.2

- Q. What were those publications? Can you just name a few?
- A. Okay. Well, right now, I think I have probably about 28 of those on that topic and then others on other topics. But, you know, the first thing I published was a chapter in the handbook of forensic psychology. It was about a hundred-page review of the scientific literature on interrogations and confessions.

And, since then, I've, you know, published a number of other book chapters and -- A couple of them actually are specifically chapters about interrogation of sexual assault or sexual abuse suspects and, well, just a lot of different ones. And then some empirical papers also, also testing some things about what tends to promote false confessions and particularly about what interrogation tactics tend to promote false confessions and just a lot of different

2.0

2.2

stuff. I don't know how I best summarize it. But about 28 of them altogether.

- Q. Okay. Have you also presented talks on confessions at scientific conferences?
- A. Yes. But I have no memory of how many I've done of those.
  - Q. Do you have a rough estimate?
- A. You know, I could easily lie if I give you one. I just don't remember. And you have my CV there. But I would assume it's probably 15 or 20 of them.
- Q. Okay. Do you also have publications where interrogations and confessions are not covered -- they're not the only thing covered?
- A. Yes. So, for example, there is a form of false confession in which a person comes to actually falsely remember that they committed a crime. I know that sounds crazy unless you really understand about the literature on false memories that people can come to falsely remember just about anything. And there have been instances where people have falsely confessed to things like killing their girlfriend or a relative, a child or something, that they had come for a period of time to falsely remember that they did or actually sexually molesting their children too.

And the other thing that I have probably

- Q. Have you also published in areas having to do with sex and sex crimes?
  - A. Sex and what?
  - Q. And sex crimes.
- A. Yes. Adult sexual assault. So, you know, that also overlaps with everything because, you know, a lot of the issues that come about in adult sexual assault cases have to do with memory in part because alcohol is involved in so many adult sexual assault cases that and then people don't have very good memories of it. Sometimes they have alcohol blackout and don't remember anything. So there's that kind of overlap with my studies of memory.

And then there's also another overlap with the study of confession, because there's certain techniques used in sexual assault cases commonly that my students and I have been investigating one of them called a pretext call where the victim might call up the suspect at the behest of the police and try to get them to confess over the phone. So we've been doing some studies on pretext calls.

But, also, I've been doing a number of studies on

1	A. Okay. Well, we know this is in a number of
2	different ways. Wow, it's showing what my computer shows.
3	THE COURT: We just got to get it in to full
4	page.
5	THE WITNESS: Should I go ahead and answer that
6	while she's
7	THE COURT: Just wait a second until they get
8	your power point right.
9	MS. SCHUMANN: Your Honor, can Dr. Davis come
10	over here and fix this?
11	THE WITNESS: It doesn't usually do that and I
12	don't know why it's doing it now.
13	THE COURT: Because you need to put it in to
14	slide show. You had it on presenter view. So go back to
15	display settings.
16	MS. SCHUMANN: Okay.
17	THE COURT: And then go swap presenter view and
18	slide show.
19	MS. SCHUMANN: It's not letting me select that.
20	THE COURT: It's not?
21	MS. SCHUMANN: Let me see if I can end it and
22	start again.
23	THE COURT: There we go. All right.
24	THE WITNESS: It's a good thing TO have a judge
- 1	

that's a tech nerd. Normally I can't solve these things without my husband, who is really super good at this stuff.

- Q. (By Ms. Schumann) Okay, Dr. Davis. So how do we know false confessions are a problem?
- A. Hopefully I didn't do anything. So we know a number of different ways. And, you know, false confessions are often difficult for people to believe happen, but we have a lot of evidence that converges from different ways of studying that they do. This is one way. We do have some individual case accounts that have been kind of spectacular. One that's listed on here is Peter Riley is someone who falsely confessed to killing his mother after about an 18-hour interrogation.

We also have not just the New York jogger case, which many people may have heard of, but many cases where there are more than one person who falsely confessed to the same crime and they were proven to do so. I mean, these were all people that were proven innocent later.

And then this third one that different people falsely confess to crimes that were all committed by a serial killer in this case. But these are not people who knew each other.

The second bullet point, these are people that were part of a group that did know each other and were

associated with each other that falsely confessed to the same crime.

This last one, none of these false confessors knew each other, but there was just these different crimes that were committed and the police happened to get the wrong suspect for some of that serial killer's crimes and different people falsely confessed.

The second way, we have sort of related to the first way that there's been an effort, of course, in the country for a long time that free people that have been convicted who were actually innocent or wrongful convictions they're called. So there's certain organizations that are dedicated to trying to free the wrongfully convicted. One of them is called The Innocence Project that people may have heard of.

And then there's also over the many years, starting really back in the late 1800s, people were identifying cases in which someone had falsely confessed and, you know, looking at them.

So there are some studies that have been done to try to identify all of those wrongful convictions that they could, you know. And then ask the question what is it that got those people wrongfully convicted, what evidence was presented against them in trial that might have been mistaken

/

or misleading. And so they look at what percentage of those people who have been wrongfully convicted falsely confessed.

So this is an example. Right now there's this place calls the National Registry of Exonerations. It tries to keep track of ongoing exonerations across the country. They update their website every time they get a new exoneration. So I went there last night. And what you can see, of all the cases, there are only 684 cases where a person has been exonerated, 12 percent of those people falsely confessed.

And those other numbers are numbers where there was an indication of an arrest and somebody made a false accusation or that there were bad forensics in some cases or official misconduct of some kind.

There are other ones, this same place, the national registry has asked what percent of the exonerations that were for child sex abuse cases, sexual assault, homicide, or other crimes, what percentage specifically in these types of cases had falsely confessed. And you can see that the sexual assault, seven to nine percent for child and adult.

Now, this does not mean that's what percentage of people falsely confess at all. All it means is that of the ones they were able to discover that was a wrongful

So then we have -- These are some of the studies over the years looking at calculations of wrongful convictions. So you see the number in the center, the number of wrongful convictions that they had identified and what number of those falsely confessed. And on the right-hand side is the percentage of those people who were exonerated had falsely confessed.

So, if you look at the many, many studies like this, the national registry is a low of around seven percent. There's actually one that was done just in Chicago that's a high of over 60 percent of those cases have falsely confessed.

But it depends on the type of crime and on the type of jurisdiction and what kind of police interrogation techniques they use and whether they release that blind and use more coercive techniques or they don't.

- Q. Now, is there another way that we know false confessions are a problem?
- A. Yes. This third way, again, it's kind of related. But this is an example of attempts where these authors tried to find all of the previously unidentified false confessions that they could. They weren't looking now to find all the people who had been exonerated because they

had been wrongfully convicted, but just people who have falsely confessed. And they wanted to study, you know, who are these people that are falsely confessing, what are the crimes that they're falsely confessing to, what is the characteristics of the interrogation and so on. So they're really looking at false confessions per se.

They have proven them wrong in one of these four ways. Sometimes the crime didn't occur. Somebody may have been convicted of killing but somebody turned out alive. Or proven they couldn't have done it or if they were sometimes in jail for something else at the time or their alibi turned to be unavailable. The true perpetrator was identified and convicted really clearly, sometimes with DNA or something objectively very clear. Or that the defendant just has proved that the defendant's DNA did not match. There's a lot of DNA exonerations in the United States, of course.

And so they look and say, okay, what are they falsely confessing to in this sample. And part of the reason for looking at that is that people might assume you're only going to falsely confess if it's not very important and it's not going to get you in big trouble. But you can see that the vast majority of the ones that they were able to locate were to murder, the most serious kinds of crime.

There are a lot of rape ones on there because one

thing, a lot of the exonerations by The Innocence Project are to sexual assault crimes and they're proven innocent by DNA.

So, again, this doesn't mean that it tells us what's really out there. This is what they were able to find among people that were exonerated. It doesn't tell you what percent of all the false confessions out there are to what crime. But it does tell you that people really do falsely confess to extremely serious things. You know, we don't know the percentage that do so, but we know that there's a lot in the objective numbers out there that have falsely confessed to really serious things.

They also ask how long were, you know, these interrogations. This is the average length. If you don't look at just exoneration, you just look at the police interrogations out there, that most of them are two hours are less. But 80 percent are less than 30 minutes. So two hours is the average. But 80 percent are less than 30 minutes. 95 percent within one hour. And then the reason that the average gets up to two is because there's some interrogations out there that last for many, many hours and it brings the average up. But it's not typical to interrogate somebody for, you know, four or five or six or 18 hours or 30 hours or things like that that you sometimes see in many cases.

So, in their study, these are the ages of people.

And you can see also there is a conception out there that, you know, maybe only young people or mentally defective people falsely confess. But you can see that some of these false confessions are older.

And, you know, I mentioned before that there had been multiple false confessors to the same crime. And, in their study, they identified more than a third of their sample in which more than one person falsely confessed to the same crime. And why would you do that? If they're exposed to the same kind of interrogation and the same kind of very powerful influences, it shouldn't be surprising that people act the same way when they're put in the same situation.

- Q. Now, this study, is there a percentage of the false confessors who case went to trial?
- A. Yes. In this particular study they looked they didn't just look at false confessors who had gone to trial. They looked at all the false confessors that they could identify. And some false confessors are identified before they're taken to trial. Because, nowadays, you know, they may test the DNA after a person has confessed and find out, whoa, it doesn't match, you know, and so they don't go to trial.

But, of those that did go to trial, 81 percent were convicted anyway. And then there's another study that

shows around 83 percent. So most false confessors tend to get convicted in part because a lot of people don't understand that people will falsely confess or why they would do so. And then when you watch somebody it's hard to tell.

- Q. Is there another way we know false confessions are a problem?
- A. Yes. I just have a couple of examples in here. But there's actually now probably about 15 different studies that I can think of where researchers have just surveyed large portions of the population and asked them have you ever been interrogated by police and if you were interrogated then did you ever falsely confess or did you ever truly confess, you know, et cetera.

And in all of those studies that then some proportion of people report that they falsely confessed. This one is a high one from the Gudjonsson study. But they typically range from around, you know, about three percent to a high of about 12 percent that say, yes, I have falsely confessed to police at some time, but only of the people who were ever interrogated obviously. Because you're not going to falsely confess if you weren't interrogated. So that's another example of where we got almost four percent.

But, like I said, there are about 15 studies now. One of them includes some mentally ill people and then

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21 2.2

23

24

there's a higher rate of false confessions among the mentally ill. Because if you are vulnerable in some way, you know, such as mental illness or a low IQ or something like that, then you have a higher likelihood of falsely confessing if you're innocent and you're interrogated.

- What other way do we know false confessions are a problem?
- Α. So this one up here now, these researchers conducted a survey of police departments across the country and they asked them a lot of questions. They asked them how they interrogate, what particular test they use, and so on. One of the questions is do you think you ever get a false confession and, if so, what percent of the confessions that you get do you believe are false.

So on average those police said 4.78 that you can see on there. I don't think -- I can't read that from here. I don't know if y'all can. But basically right around one out of every 20 they thought was -- that they were getting were false.

- Okay. Is there also laboratory studies Ο. demonstrating that people can be led to give false confessions?
- Α. These -- Laboratory studies on this began back in 1996 and they just exploded since then, because

there's so much concern with trying to understand what is it that makes a person likely to falsely confess. And so Saul Kassin originally he tried to -- he brought subjects in to the laboratory and asked them to do a task on the computer and then at some point falsely accused them of crashing the experimenter's computer. And the question was would they falsely confess to doing that.

He also in some cases had another student there and that student falsely testified that they saw this suspect student falsely press the computer. In other cases they didn't. And he also made them, when they were doing the task, go really fast, so it would be harder for them to know for sure which keys they hit or he had them go slow. And what he found out -- And I'm just emphasizing this because there's so many studies after that show comparable kinds of things is that what you see on this graph on the right-hand side are the ones that had a false witness against them. And those three different bars are whether they disagreed to sign a confession, whether they said that they really actually believe they did it or -- and whether, the third one, which is the smallest one, that they actually said they remember doing it, had a false memory of doing it.

But you can see that all of those things are more likely if there's a false witness to say, yeah, I saw you do

They also, you can see that the second bars are bigger than the first one and the fourth bar is bigger than the third one. And that indicates that when they were going faster and could be less sure about which keys they did or did not push, they were more prone to falsely confess.

And what that illustrates -- And we'll talk more about it later -- is that people are less sure about what they did for any reason because they were drunk or because, you know, it was a long time ago or, you know, any reason. They become more prone to falsely confess, especially if there's someone else that says they have some kind of evidence that they actually did it.

Sorry. I'm not coughing because I'm sick. But after I talk a lot, you know, sometimes.

- Q. Now, is there a specific interrogation method that's used by most law enforcement agencies?
- A. Yes. One second. I mentioned this technique before, which is one of the ones that I was trained in, the Reid technique. Reid is an agency that's been training law enforcement since the 1930s. And they, in fact, developed the kind of techniques that are mostly used now. I'm sorry.
  - Q. That's okay. Take your time.
  - A. I just need a minute for this cough drop to --

O. No. Go ahead.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: Here you go, Doctor.

THE WITNESS: I got some. I just need this cough drop. I don't go anywhere anymore and I don't talk very much anymore, so my voice is out of shape.

So Reid in the 1930s started to try to develop a technique of interrogation that they felt would be less coercive. Because, up until that time, police were beating suspects and threatening their families and threatening them and hanging them outside of windows and threatening to drop them. So, as you might imagine, if police were doing something like that, they were getting a lot of false confessions.

So Reid tried to develop techniques to avoid the false confessions and to have a method of psychological interrogation rather than physical intimidation and torture. So they've had interrogation manuals since the 1930s and it's become the thing that almost all law enforcement in America were trained and really across the world for a period of time.

And then Wicklander-Zulawski became licensed by Reid to teach their techniques. The FBI adopted Reid techniques. They teach Reid techniques. And the reality is whether you say you've been trained by the Reid organization

or not that this is how people interrogate in America. You know, that's changing now, and I'll probably explain at some point. But, you know, Reid has been now repeated because it gets a lot of false confessions, but for a different reason, not because it's torturing people but because it's convincing people that they'll be better off if they confess than if they don't.

So, anyway, Reid is the predominant one. They claim that, you know, they trained well more -- 15 years ago they claimed that they trained half a million law enforcement in America. And, you know, as I said, it's now been disendowed. Wicklander and Zulawski had been using Reid for years and training them for years announced a few years ago in 2017 that they were not going to train that anymore, precisely because of the false confessions that the Reid techniques was being shown to -- It's stuck. This is green. It should be working.

- Q. Oh, there you go.
- A. So those guys are moving away from it. And, as I said, the FBI has funded many, many millions of dollars right now to try to find a different way to do things that will be more successful at getting truth confessions but also not get so many false confessions.
  - Q. Okay. So before we turn to the interrogation

itself, can you tell us what interrogation scholars consider to be the primary cause of false confessions?

A. Well, clearly it's a no-brainer. But you have to have the wrong person in the interrogation room. And, if you put innocent people in the interrogation room, then it proposes this risk that they'll falsely confess. Because the Reid method it's absolutely brilliant psychology as far as convincing somebody to do what you're asking them to do. It incorporates all the most scientifically tested and supported principles of social influence out there. But what they're trying to get them to do is to confess.

So, if you take the most powerful techniques of social influence and you deploy them on somebody, you know, then it's going to work, you know. And it can convince them to falsely confess for a variety of reasons. So you have to be very careful about who you put in the interrogation room and not interrogate people unless you have a very, very good reason to think that they're guilty. Otherwise, you pose this risk of getting a false confession.

- Q. Okay. Can you explain to the jurors how police techniques can mislead them in to interrogating innocent suspects as if they're guilty?
- A. Yeah. Well, you know, two main reasons is -- one is that often people are just put in the interrogation room

when there isn't any concrete evidence of any kind against them. So, for example, Billy Wayne Cope is a, kind of a famous case of false confession. And he was interrogated for raping and killing his daughter because he was the one that had been there. He was in the house. He was asleep, as it turns out, really. But he was cleared by DNA. Somebody came in the house and raped his daughter and killed her.

But they interrogated him because there is a stereotype that says if somebody dies it's usually a family member. You know, so if the woman dies, the husband is interrogated, things like that. So it can be a suspect of convenience that gets put in the interrogation room.

The other thing is that law enforcement has been taught certain techniques to try to decide whether somebody is or is not telling the truth. So the Reid manual, most of it actually is devoted to how to tell if somebody is lying or not. And so, you know, part of what people do is they interview people before they decide to interrogate them, whether they interview them out, you know, on-site or whether they bring them in to the interrogation room and then they try to assess whether they think they're telling the truth. And if they think they're lying, they can proceed to an interrogation.

Also, they have some misconceptions about what

reflects lying and what reflects guilt. And, you know, these are reflected in Reid what they call the behavioral analysis interview, which is all of their instructions on how to take deception. But it also can be because, you know, there have been people that have been interrogated because they blush too much when they were talking to police or didn't show enough emotion or showed too much emotion and these have the police have these theories about emotion, so they end up interrogating the wrong person. And then, of course, there's false allegations or misleading evidence at various times that get them in to the interrogation room.

- Q. Can you talk to the jurors about what can go wrong with efforts to test suspects for deception?
- A. Yeah. So whether it is the behavioral methods of detecting deception or the formal things like the polygraph or the voice stress analyzers, the assumption is that when people lie it makes them nervous in some way or it makes them anxious. And so you probably are familiar with how that works with a polygraph, that it detects breathing and heart rate and galvanic skin response and so on. And the voice stress analyzer, allegedly, detects stress in the voice. But the methods that are trained to interrogators for behavioral detection of lies also detect things. They're looking for signs of nervousness to a large degree.

And, as you can imagine, even if you didn't do something but you're brought in to the interrogation room and somebody is accusing you of doing it, you're going to be anxious and nervous. So that's part of the problem.

But, a lot of the problem is that these methods, specific methods, with, first of all, getting them in to the interrogation part. Because if you are supposed to interview them first and decide if you think they're deceptive, and, if so then you can interrogate them. But these behavioral methods have shown actually not to work but actually make people worse at detecting deception than if you don't use them.

So how do we know that? I mean, first of all, we have literally just hundreds of studies of how do you detect deception and how good -- some of them are just if you show a bunch of people and your participants and research people who are lying and people who are telling the truth, can they tell the difference and accurately classify people as telling lies or telling the truth.

And, as it says here, in study after study after study we don't do any better than flipping a coin. You know, we're not good at it. When people actually get caught in daily life, these studies have actually shown it's usually because some evidence -- you find some evidence that they're

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRAP 25, I certify that I am an employee of Karla K. Butko, Ltd., P. O. Box 1249, Verdi, NV 89439, and that on this date I caused the foregoing document to be delivered to all parties to this action by

 $\ll$ 

E-flex delivery of the Nevada Supreme Court

Stephen Rye Lyon County District Attorney

DATED this 23rd day of September, 2021.

KARLA K. BUTKO, Esq.