## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSONS: M. F. M. AND M G. M., PROTECTED MINORS.

E. N.,

Appellant, vs. M. G.; AND J. C.-G., Respondents. MAR 11 2021 ELIZADETHA BROWN CLERK OF SUMMERNE COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK

No. 82469

## ORDER DIRECTING TRANSMISSION OF RECORD AND REGARDING PRO BONO COUNSEL

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a petition to terminate a guardianship over minor children. Having considered the documents transmitted by the district court and the notice of appeal, this court has determined that the appointment of pro bono counsel to represent appellant would assist this court in reviewing this appeal. By this order, the court expresses no opinion as to the merits of this appeal.

Pro bono counsel is an attorney who provides legal services without charge for the benefit of the public good. The appointment of pro bono counsel provides attorneys with an opportunity to volunteer legal services in furtherance of their professional responsibility and, at the same time, allows financially eligible litigants access to quality legal representation without cost. Counsel will be appointed for purposes of this appeal only and will participate in oral argument. Currently, the Pro Bono Committee of the Appellate Litigation Section of the State Bar of Nevada

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

(Pro Bono Committee), in conjunction with the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, has developed a pro bono appellate program to assist the public and this court. This case is hereby referred to the program established by the Pro Bono Committee to evaluate whether appellant can benefit from the program.

Accordingly, the clerk of this court is directed to transmit a copy of this order and the district court order, as well as the attached case summary, to the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada for financial eligibility screening. If appellant qualifies and does not object to pro bono counsel, the Legal Aid Center in cooperation with the Pro Bono Committee shall locate a volunteer attorney from the program to represent appellant. Once an attorney is located, the attorney shall file a notice of appearance in this court within 60 days from the date of this order. Briefing and oral argument will be scheduled thereafter. Alternatively, if appellant is not financially eligible or objects to pro bono representation, or if a volunteer attorney cannot be located, the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada shall notify this court in writing within 60 days from the date of this order. In such case, oral argument will not be held. The deadlines to file documents in this appeal are suspended pending further order of this court.

This court further concludes that review of the complete record is warranted. NRAP 10(a)(1). Accordingly, within 30 days from the date of this order, the clerk of the district court shall transmit to the clerk of this court a certified copy of the trial court record in District Court Case No. G-19-052440-M. See NRAP 11(a)(2) (providing that the complete "record shall contain each and every paper, pleading and other document filed, or submitted for filing, in the district court," as well as "any previously

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA prepared transcripts of the proceedings in the district court"). The record shall not include any exhibits filed in the district court. NRAP 11(a)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Jardesty, C.J.

cc:

E. N. John Buchmiller and Associates, LLC Eighth District Court Clerk Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Barbara E. Buckley, Executive Director Anne R. Traum, Coordinator, Appellate Litigation Section, Pro Bono Committee, State Bar of Nevada

Kelly Dove

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA Docket No. 82469 In the Matter of the Guardianship of the Persons: M. F. M. AND M G. M., Protected Minors

Respondents were granted guardianship of the children in June 2020. Appellant, the childrens' natural mother, filed a petition to terminate the guardianship in October 2020 and an amended petition in November 2020. The district court denied the petition, concluding that appellant did not demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the welfare of the children would be substantially enhanced by termination of the guardianship as required by NRS 159A.1919.

Electronically Filed 01/26/2021 1:01 PM Action Street CLERK OF THE COURT

-----

----

	Acce	
FFCL	2	
PATRICIA WARNOCK, ESQ.		
Nevada Bar #14432		
JOHN SCHALLER, ESQ.		
Nevada Bar #15092		
JOHN BUCHMILLER & ASSOCIATES		
516 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
Phone: (702) 849-0616 Fax: (702) 583-7	1373	
patricia@buchmillerlaw.com	515	
jschaller@buchmillerlaw.com		
Attorneys for Guardians Montrail Green	and Jermia Coaxum-Green	
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
FAMILY DIVISION		
COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA		
in the Matter of the Guardianship of the	CASE NO: G-19-052440-M	
Persons:		
MALAN FAITH MCCALLISTER and	DEPT NO: B	
MARIAH GRACE MCCALLISTER,	DEI TIQ. D	
Protected Minors.	HEARING DATE: 11/20/20	
	NCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER TO TERMINATE GUARDIANSHIP	
	PROTECTED PERSONS	
<u></u>	NOTECTED TERSOND	
The PETITION TO TERMINATI	E GUARDIANSHIP ("Petition") filed in this matter	
October 21, 2020, by Natural Mother, Eri	in Newport, through her Counsel, JENNIFER ISSO	
	N - 10 - 11 - 0 - 0 - 1	
ESQ., and objected to by the Co-Guardians, Montrail Green, and Jermia Coaxum-Green, by a		
through their counsel PATRICIA WARNOCK, ESQ., of the law firm JOHN BUCHMILLER		
	lock, ESQ., of the law limit of the Deertwitheren	
AND ASSOCIATES, LLC. In accordance	ce with Administrative Order 20-01, out of an	
abundance of caution, and in order to prevent the spread of COVID-19 infection in the		
community this Hearing was held via vid	leo conference through BlueJeans. This matter have	
,,		

come on for hearing on November 20, 2020. The Honorable Linda Marquis and all parties having appeared via Blue Jeans.

The Court having reviewed the Petition, Exhibits and the pleadings and papers on file herein, having heard oral arguments of counsel, and Objections by Co-Guardians, and being fully advised of the premises, and good cause appearing therefore makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Attorney Warnock advised she filed an objection to the Petition last night. Attorney Warnock stated the Guardians' objections to Natural Mother's request to terminate the guardianship. Attorney Isso stated she reviewed the objection this morning and responded to the objections. Attorney Isso advised Natural Mother is able to provide for the children and would be willing to keep the children at their current school. Additional arguments made by counsel. Attorney Warnock advised the Protected Minors were enrolled in school, they have been meeting with a therapist, they meet online with a speech pathologist three times a week, and they are scheduled to have their IEP meeting shortly.

Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Warnock stated Natural Mother, through Attorney Isso, objected to the guardianship when it was granted. Attorney Isso and Attorney Warnock made statements regarding where the children were living at the time the guardianship was granted. Attorney Warnock stated Natural Mother has not had the children living with her for most of their lives. Court noted a consent for guardianship was not filed when guardianship was granted. Court reviewed the history of the case with regard to Natural Mother further noted it made findings

Court stated FINDINGS on the record in order to determine which standard for termination of guardianship applied. Attorney Isso requested additional time to review the

28

1

2

3

î.	objections filed yesterday. Court stated it did not read the objection filed yesterday, and based
2	on the statements made in the Petition, the burden of proof was not met.
3	I. FINDINGS OF FACT
4	A. Petition to Terminate Guardianship Denied.
6	THE COURT FINDS THAT Montrail Green and Jermia Coaxum-Green were appointed co-
7	guardians of the protected persons, twin girls Mariah McCallister and Malan McCallister on
8	February 25, 2020.
9	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT Natural Mother filed a Petition to terminate
10	Guardianship on October 21, 2020.
2	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT Co-Guardians filed an objection to the Petition
3	to Terminate Guardianship.
4	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Natural Mother objected to the Guardianship
	and did not file a consent to the Guardianship at any of the previous hearings, including on
	November 20, 2019, January 9, 2020, and February 25, 2020, when appearing in court via
7	telephone.
9	THE COURT FINDS THAT pursuant to NRS 159A.1905 Natural Mother must show by
>	clear and convincing evidence that termination or modification of the protected persons would be
1	in the best interests of the protected persons and that burden of proof lies on Natural Mother
2	pursuant to NRS 159A.1905.
3	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Guardians objected to the termination of the
5	guardianship.
26	THE COURT FURTHR FINDS THAT Guardians have concerns regarding the validity
7	
28	of the authenticity of the exhibits attached to the filing of the petition to terminate.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT even if it accepted the exhibits filed in support of Natural Mother's Petition to Terminate as true and correct, Natural Mother's petition would still be insufficient to show that the welfare of the protected minors would be substantially enhanced by the termination of the guardianship.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT even if it accepted everything filed as true and correct, Natural Mother still did not meet her burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence as required by NRS 159A.1905 that the children's welfare would be substantially enhanced by placement with the Natural Mother.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT the protected persons are in school and have been with the Guardians for a substantial amount of time.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT the protected persons are receiving services in addition to school, and that the Guardians are making sure they are receiving those services.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT Natural Mother failed to meet the burden of proof required to terminate the guardianship and denied Natural Mother's Petition to Terminate.

## **II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. Pursuant to NRS 159A.1915, a parent has the burden of proof to show by clear and convincing evidence that there has been a material change of circumstances since the time the guardianship was created. The parent must show that, as part of the change of circumstances, the parent has been restored to suitability; and if a parent consents to the guardianship when it is created, the parent must also show by clear and convincing evidence that the welfare of the protected minor would be substantially enhanced by the termination of the guardianship and the placement of the protected minor with the parent.

2. Pursuant to NRS 159A.1919, if the	e parent consented to the guardianship when
was created, the parent is required to make only the	hat showing set forth in paragraph (a) of
subsection 1: that, as part of the change of circum	istances, the parent has been restored to
suitability.	
3. Natural Mother has not met her but	rden of proving by clear and convincing
evidence that the welfare of the protected minors	would be substantially enhanced by the
termination of the guardianship and the placemen	t of the protected minors with the parent.
UL ODDER	
III. ORDER	
Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact an	nd Conclusions of Law,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGI	ED, AND DECREED that the Petition to
Dated this 26th day of J terminate is DENIED;	
Juda Mar	quis
HONORABLE JUDGE LI	
DB8 F15 9E91 B7	
Linda Marquis District Court Jud	ge
Submitted By: <u>/s/Patricia Warnock, , Esq.</u>	Approved as to Form and Content
PATRICIA WARNOCK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14432	1.1
JOHN BUCHMILLER & ASSOCIATES, LLC.	Is/ Jennifer Isso JENNIFER ISSO, ESQ. (See cmail)
516 S. Fourth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Nevada Bar No. 13157
Attorney for Co-Guardians	THE ISSO & HUGHES LAW FIRM Attorney for Natural Mother