IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ELK POINT COUNTRY CLUB HOMEOWNERS, ASSOCIATION, INC., also known as ELK POINT COUNTRY CLUB, INC., a Nevada non-profit, non-stock Corporation,

Appellant,

v.

K.J. BROWN, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company; TIMOTHY D. GILBERT and NANCY AVANZINO GILBERT, as trustees of the TIMOTHY D. GILBERT AND NANCY AVANZINO GILBERT REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 27, 2013,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Oct 18 2021 07:07 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court Case No.: 82484

District Court Case Number: 2020-CV-0124

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME 10

RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C.
PRESCOTT JONES
Nevada Bar No. 11617
CARISSA YUHAS
Nevada Bar No. 14692
8925 W. Russell Road, Suite 220
Las Vegas, NV 89148
Telephone: (702) 997-3800
Facsimile: (702) 997-3800
pjones@rlattorneys.com
cyuhas@rlattorneys.com
Attorneys for Respondent

INDEX

TITLE IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER	VOLUME	PAGE RANGE
Motion for Preliminary Injunction	1	1- 42
First Amended Complaint	2	43- 63
Defendant Elk Point Country Club's Opposition to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction	3	64- 84
Defendant's Answer to First Amended Complaint	4	85- 95
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5	96-187
Plaintiff's Hearing Exhibits	6 (Part 1)	188-237
Plaintiff's Hearing Exhibits	6 (Part 2)	238-337
Plaintiff's Hearing Exhibits	6 (Part 3)	338-362
Plaintiff's Hearing Exhibits	6 (Part 4)	363-388
Plaintiff's Hearing Exhibits	6 (Part 5)	389-541
Plaintiff's Hearing Exhibits	6 (Part 6)	542-591
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Preliminary Injunction	7	592-604
Plaintiff's Motion to Partially Strike Respondents' Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction	8	605-616
Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal	9	617-633
Transcript of Proceedings for Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10	634-879

CASE NO. 202	20-CV-00124	CERTIFIED
DEPT. NO. 1		COPY
IN THE NINT	H JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUR	T OF THE STATE OF NEVA
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY	OF DOUGLAS
BEFORE THE H	ONORABLE DISTRICT COURT	JUDGE, NATHAN TOD YOUN
K.J. BROWN, I GILBERT, ET A	LLC AND TIMOTHY D.	
	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		
ELK POINT COU	UNTRY CLUB, INC.,	
	Defendant.	
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCE	EEDINGS
	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY	INJUNCTION
	FRIDAY, OCTOBER 23	3, 2020
Reported By:		Jackson CSR
		CCR #402 rnia CCR #10465
		634

1	APPEARANCES
2	GAYLE A. KERN
3	S421 Kletzke Lane, Suite 200
4	SODIE A MADADANTO
5 6	Attorney at Law 5421 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200
7	Reno, Nevada 89511
8	For the Defendant: PRESCOTT JONES Attorney at Law
9	8925 W. Russell Road, Suite 220 Reno, Nevada 89148
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-
	2

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES	
2	NAME	PAGE
3	NANCY GILBERT	rAGL
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Kern	14
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones	41
6	KURT BROWN	7.1
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Kern	62
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones	76
9	TIMOTHY GILBERT	
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Kern	81
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones	100
12	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kern	108
13	MICHELLE SALAZAR	
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Kern	113
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones	146
16	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kern	163
17	Recross-Examination by Mr. Jones	167
18	ROBERT FELTON	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones	190
20	Cross-Examination by Ms. Kern	194
21		
22		
23		
24		
	CADITOI DEDODERDO (SES SOS SOS	636
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322	

1	FRIDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2020, MINDEN, NEVADA
2	-000-
3	THE COURT: Well, hello everyone. This is Case
4	20CV0124. And I'm just going to ask everyone up front to
5	introduce themselves for the court reporter please, beginning
6	with you, ma'am.
7	MS. GILBERT: Nancy Gilbert, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And I'm going to ask you to use your
9	big outdoor voices, okay, to help the court reporter. Thank
10	you.
11	Thank you, Ms. Gilbert.
12	MR. GILBERT: Tim Gilbert, Your Honor.
13	MR. BROWN: Kurt Brown, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
15	MS. KARADANIS: Sophe Karadanis, counsel for
16	plaintiffs.
17	MS. KERN: Gayle Kern, counsel for plaintiff.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	MR. JONES: Prescott Jones, counsel for the
20	defendant.
21	THE COURT: Good morning.
22	MR. FELTON: Robert Felton, president of the
23	homeowner's association.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Good morning.
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-
	4

MR. FELTON: Good morning.

2.1

2.4

THE COURT: So as we -- as we proceed today let me just tell you, I know it's difficult to wear masks and all. It's -- I don't have one on. That's why I have this shield up, but I will tell you it's difficult to wear a ventilator too, and you are required to have a mask on in here.

But anyone who needs a break and if you need us to break maybe a little bit more than we generally do just let me know. I'm anticipating we may be here for quite a while. I will tell you that generally my schedule is that I try to look out for my clerk and my court reporter, and they get a break about 10:15 or so in the morning, and they are going to get that break and they will get a break in the afternoon, and there's a break for lunch if we're going that long and we may be as I anticipate.

So you'll know those breaks are coming up. But if someone is feeling uncomfortable or needs a little bit more of a break than that just let me know. We'll accommodate you, and we'll work around this and we'll try to make this as comfortable for everyone as we can.

Now, I received a -- a request for an order shortening time and a motion to partially strike the plaintiffs' reply in support of the motion for the

preliminary injunction. I think it's pretty clear that I did not rule on the motion for an order shortening time because I thought it was just too short, and I thought it just would be unfair to the plaintiffs to do that to them, and so I just let it sit until today and — and obviously that motion was denied then. It was a pocket veto, if you're familiar with that term. I'm sure that you are.

Now, as to the motion to strike, I don't know particularly that I need any argument on that. The motion is denied, and I've looked at the reply in support of the motion for preliminary injunction and your argument, sir, and the motion is denied.

And so we're going to move forward with the motion for the preliminary injunction. Who is going to be handling that for us?

MS. KERN: I will be, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, Ms. Kern. Thank you. And you're welcome to make an opening statement if you would like to do so.

And, Mr. Jones, you would also be welcome to do so now.

MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor. I do think it would be helpful because it is important to identify what the issue is that we are going to be discussing today and that is

that we are requesting a preliminary injunction to protect the country club and its members.

At the present time, as a result of the conduct that has been allowed to occur over the recent past there is a serious threat to a country club that was established in 1925 and it's really important to understand why it was established.

It was established as a social club. It still operates as a social club and its mandate or its requirement is that it operates in order to allow its members to come together to enjoy the fellowship and recreation and to operate not as a commercial entity and not for providing any income or profit to its owners. That is the key.

The problem that we have is that the owners are operating and utilizing the social club facilities in order to gain income, and that's a problem under a couple of different areas. But most importantly and why we are here today is because that operation affects and jeopardizes the Internal Revenue Service social exemption that has been in place since 1925.

What we know is that in order to preserve the social club exemption that is granted by the IRS substantially all of the activities must be for the purposes of recreation and enjoyment of its members and no part of any

income or earnings can be enjoyed by its members.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What's happening is this is a beautiful area. This is a pristine part of Lake Tahoe in which the social club members enjoy a beautiful beach and facilities for which they have been enjoying since 1925.

What is happening is those very same facilities and recreational attributes of the beach and enjoying Lake Tahoe are now being sold and generating income of thousands of dollars a night and a million dollars a year to its members by utilizing the social club facilities.

It's kind of like you belong to a golf club and you had a membership with the golf club, and you were entitled to utilize the clubhouse and you could go play golf, it's for your purpose. It's for the members to enjoy. But you decided, you know, I'm not going to play golf today so I think I'll -- I think I'll make a little money on the side and I'll sell it to John Doe and give me a credit card. take his credit card. I don't know John, but I'll let John go play and enjoy the social club facilities, my membership privileges for the day. That's what they're doing, and that is what is putting at jeopardy the IRS exemption.

This lawsuit down the road will deal with a lot of different issues, but for the purposes of Your Honor today, what we are asking is to ensure that the board meet

its mandate and that the country club preserve the facilities and the recreational amenities for its members and be enjoined so that we do not face the jeopardy of the IRS revoking a merely 100-year exemption because the members are enjoying profits.

We will have testimony today and set for the Court the understanding of the history of this country club, how long it has been in existence, the social club aspect and also addressing the very specific and real jeopardy that is at risk if the club does not take control and ensure that the recreational facilities are for its members enjoyment, not for some stranger that gives a credit card and goes in and enjoys those amenities.

The irreparable harm, of course, is not going to be able to be compensated with damages. We need to preserve that status. We need to make sure that there is nothing that would come of jeopardy to that social club exemption that the IRS has granted. Every single tax return is filed utilizing that exemption.

If there is fraud involved or if the IRS determines there is fraud involved there would also be the risk that there would be additional penalties imposed if they determined that the social club exemption should not have been claimed because of these recent changed circumstances.

1	So our request for the Court at the end of the
2	day will be for the Court to enter an order to preserve and
3	make sure that the social club exemption is protected and
4	that there is no jeopardy because of the inappropriate
5	selling of the social club privileges that is solely for the
6	benefit of the members. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
8	Mr. Jones?
9	MR. JONES: Good morning again, Your Honor.
10	Prescott Jones on behalf of the defendant.
11	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
12	MR. JONES: An initial matter, it's a pleasure to
13	be in front of Your Honor. It's a pleasure to be back in a
14	real court for the first time in quite a while.
15	THE COURT: I'm glad to have you here, all of
16	you.
17	MR. JONES: Thank you. Like last time we met
18	back in early March on the Moretto case which seems like ages
19	ago given everything that's happened since then.
20	THE COURT: It does, sir.
21	MR. JONES: We're before this Court on a motion
22	for preliminary injunction filed at the outset of a lawsuit.
23	Prior to any discovery taking place all my client has done in
24	this case is simply answered. And a preliminary injunction

by virtue of the fact that it's filed at the outset of the case has a very high bar and a high burden for the plaintiffs to prove for this Court to grant a preliminary injunction.

The standard, as Your Honor is familiar with, is the moving party must show that my client's conduct if allowed to continue will cause irreparable harm for which compensatory relief is inadequate. And, of course, the moving party must show a reasonable likelihood of prevailing on the merits. That's the extent of this Court's determination today are those factors.

And I'm going to try to keep this as brief as possible because there's going to be a lot of testimony today, a lot of discussion, a lot of exhibits.

THE COURT: I'll listen to whatever you want to say. Don't worry, sir.

MR. JONES: I appreciate that, Your Honor. But essentially the claimed irreparable harm is entirely speculative, Your Honor. We just heard from the plaintiffs' counsel that the linchpin of their argument is the claimed threat to the IRS 501c7 nonprofit status of the HOA. The HOA has maintained that nonprofit status for decades. That nonprofit status has never been challenged by the IRS. That nonprofit status is not pending a challenge by the IRS.

And, in fact, the plaintiffs as homeowners don't

even have standing to challenge the 501c7 nonprofit status of the HOA. That can only be done by the IRS itself, and there's going to be no evidence today that the IRS has taken any action, is contemplating any action or that the HOA is aware of any contemplated action by the IRS threatening that 501c7 status.

2.0

All we're going to hear today is that, well, here's why based on our expert's take on the plaintiffs' expert take on the tax code why it could be challenged if the IRS decides to take action. That's speculative. At this point of litigation that doesn't rise to the level of an irreparable harm because it's entirely speculative.

If for some reason the HOA received a letter from the IRS in a month saying Elk Point Country Club, your 501c7 status is being challenged. You have a hearing. Then plaintiffs I think have a little bit better of an argument to bring to this Court to say we have an irreparable harm. We get a preliminary injunction right now. The HOA needs to stop short of vacation rentals, but that's not happening here, and that's not going to happen here because the tax code specifically allows an HOA to allow its members to -- to have some profit. That's allowed. It's substantially all of the HOA's activities must be related to or not for the profit of its members.

But regardless of that, it's the HOA itself who is profiting. It's to the extent we even call it a profit, it's the individual homeowners who are performing the short-term vacation rentals. That money doesn't go to the HOA. That money stays in the homeowners' pockets.

And counsel used the analogy of a country club being akin to a 501c7 HOA. A country club is, of course, different than single family residential homes. It's different in that NRS 116.340 specifically allows homeowners inside of a HOA to — to rent out their homes for short-term vacation rentals. There is no such statute for country club members to rent out tee times. And, of course, it's different because real estate is different, and Nevada has a longstanding policy of allowing homeowners to do as they please with their home subject, of course, to the bylaws and other rules.

so we're going to talk obviously about a lot more as we go on today, but the important point is that the harm, much like the Moretto case that we dealt with back in March, the harm is entirely speculative. There's no evidence of harm is coming to the plaintiffs. A preliminary injunction is completely inappropriate at this point in time. What's more appropriate is for the Court to consider a preliminary injunction after we go through discovery, after we produce

1	
1	experts, after we have their testimony and either through
2	pretrial motions or trial resolve the potential of an
3	injunction at that point in time.
4	At this point in time the outside of litigation
5	with no concrete harm coming to the plaintiffs is completely
6	inappropriate, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	MR. JONES: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Call your first witness.
10	MS. KERN: Nancy Gilbert.
11	THE COURT: Do we have witnesses that are
12	appearing remotely?
13	THE CLERK: No.
14	MS. KERN: Not to my knowledge.
15	THE COURT: Ms. Gilbert, come on up.
16	
17	NANCY GILBERT,
18	called as a witness on behalf of the
19	Plaintiff having been first duly sworn,
20	was examined and testified as follows:
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. KERN:
24	Q. Ms. Gilbert, can you state your name for the
	CARITOI, REPORTERS: (775)882-5322-

court reporter, please.

- A. Nancy Avanzino-Gilbert.
- Q. Thank you. Ms. Gilbert, do you own any property within the Elk Point Country Club?
- A. My husband and I are the trustees of -- of a trust that owns the property at Elk Point, 464 Elks.
- Q. Can you please tell us how long you've owned the property?
 - A. We purchased it in 2014.
- Q. Can you describe what the area is. What the country club is to the Court.
- A. The country club is a community of approximately 100 lots with some old cabins still existing. It's beautiful. It's a single-lane road. It has five streets on it. It has a water tank that we put installed. It has a gorgeous 13-acre beach. It has a marina. It has a caretaker, full-time caretaker who lives there on site and has his own property.

We own water rights, 89 units of water rights that is protected under the social club's name. Property, the common area property is held in the social club's name. So it's -- it's pristine. It's beautiful. It is gated.

Q. Thank you. And can you describe how you utilize the property. I mean, is it your primary residence? Is it a

A. We -- we use it as a second home. It's a historic house. It was built in 1929 from the first blasting of Cave Rock. So it has rocks and old logs. We restore historic homes and we were looking -- we spent four years looking for something to, you know, to basically improve or restore historic homes. So it's -- it's an old cabin, and so it has the original Ice House that was moved onto the property in the '50s from Zephyr Cove, and we restored that as well.

So we go there. We used to go there quite often. We go there less since about when my husband had a stroke and after that we had some issues with the HOA.

- Q. Okay. And when you refer to the HOA is that the country club?
 - A. I apologize. Yes, it's the social club.
- Q. Okay. And, again, you identified that to your knowledge the property was owned by the social club. Is the entity that owns it, what is that name?
- A. From the recorded records it's Elk Point Country Club Inc. It was originally the Elk Club in Reno and they bought this peninsula. It was originally the Tahoe Nevada Elks Club and they changed it in 1929 or 1925 to 1929 to Elks Club Country Club Inc. So the social club is always Elks

2.3

2.4

- Q. Since 2014 can you describe whether you had any involvement in the government side of the country club or involved in any kind of committee work or anything with the country club?
- A. Yes. In 2017 when Fred Hanker was the president, who is here in the courtroom, he approached he was a president at the time and approached me because of my background in HOA work. I have represented homeowners associations and also been involved in litigation to develop some architectural review guidelines because they were having issues with small cabins being torn down and big boxes being put up that was not consistent with the community.

So I spent, along with a group of people, about a year developing architectural guidelines, and those eventually got adopted with the assistance of Mr. Cabella and there was a vote by or not a vote a survey where the members liked the guidelines.

And then I was asked to be part and chair the security committee which was involved with enclosing the perimeter and also assisting in fire prevention issues in terms of an escape, an evacuation plan because our roads have been narrowed from encroachment, and there's only one road

1	out. There's no second road out. So we I was asked to be
2	involved in that as well.
3	Q. Okay. In your work in connection with this have
4	you become familiar with the recorded documents of the
5	country club?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. If I could have the following documents
8	provided to the witness or do I $$ do you want me to take
9	them to her?
10	THE COURT: Counsel, feel free to move around the
11	courtroom as deemed necessary.
12	MS. KERN: Okay. I wasn't sure how you wanted us
13	to do that.
14	THE COURT: You're welcome to move about the
15	courtroom.
16	MS. KERN: If I could have Exhibits 1 through 9.
17	I am handing the witness Exhibits 1 through 9.
18	And for the record, Exhibit 1 is the 1925 Articles Of
19	Incorporation for Nevada Elks Tahoe Association.
20	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
21	MS. KERN: Exhibit 2 is the 1927 Bylaws Of The
22	Nevada Elks Association.
23	THE COURT: Well, counsel, that's what it says or
24	top, but we don't know that because this witness hasn't

1	identified them, and you're not the identifying the witness.
2	MS. KERN: I was identifying them for the record.
3	If you would prefer I not do it that way.
4	THE COURT: Well, I don't know that you get to
5	identify them for the record.
6	MS. KERN: Okay.
7	THE COURT: They may not be what you say they
8	are.
9	MS. KERN: Okay.
10	THE COURT: So you can ask the witness what they
11	are.
12	MS. KERN: Okay. That's a little different than
13	I have done. I apologize.
14	THE COURT: It's quite all right.
15	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Ms. Gilbert, will you take a look
16	at Exhibit Number 1, and will you identify for the record
17	what it is.
18	A. It's the Articles Of Incorporation for the Nevada
19	Elks Tahoe Association, and it's dated March 21st, 1925.
20	Q. And are you familiar with this as a result, both
21	of your ownership of a property, your membership in the
22	country club and your work in connection with the committee?
23	A. Yes.
24	MS. KERN: I would move for the admission of

Exhibit Number 1. 1 MR. JONES: No objection. 2 Let me ask counsel. Have you seen THE COURT: 3 her exhibits? 4 Yes, Your Honor. Counsel provided MR. JONES: 5 the exhibits to me two days ago. 6 THE COURT: So we have a lot of people here. 7 MR. JONES: Uh-huh. 8 THE COURT: We have a lot of money that we're 9 spending on lawyers. The attorneys in this case probably 10 should have discussed with each other an agreement that 11 certain exhibits will come in, and you can disagree on any of 12 But if you're going to stipulate to them I would like 13 to move this hearing along by having you just tell me what 14 you do agree to come in as far as the exhibits, and then we 15 can move this along. 16 So one is admitted, Ms. Clerk. 17 I see numbers 1 through 16 here. Do you have 18 some others that you're not going to oppose? 19 MR. JONES: I have no objection to Exhibit 1 2.0 through 9, Your Honor. The ones beyond that we'll probably 21 have to deal with on a case by case basis. 22 That may be. Okay. Very well. THE COURT: 23 Okay. And you intend to offer 1 through 9 at 24

1	some point; is that correct, ma'am?
2	MS. KERN: I will offer them right now if he is
3	not going to object and admit them.
4	THE COURT: There we go. Now we're moving along.
5	Thank you, counsel.
6	MR. JONES: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: 1 through 9 are admitted and you have
8	your foundation, and now you can talk about them all you
9	want.
10	MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
11	that.
12	Q. Can you please take and look at Exhibit Number 6,
13	which are a set of recorded rules and regulations adopted
14	June 26th, 1988.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And can you explain when you received these?
17	A. I received them when we closed on our property in
18	2014. We got a set of the records, but then I received them
19	again from James Cabella, the general counsel. There was a
20	request for the governing documents came about as a result of
21	some information in the Moretto case, and we received it
22	then.
23	Q. Okay. And will you take a look at rule number
24	ten.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And can you read that into the record.
3	A. Yes. The Elk Country Club Inc. Rules and
4	Regulations Adopted June 26th, 2000 1988. It says no
5	person shall operate any business on club properties nor on
6	their individual property within the club.
7	THE COURT: That's not what it says.
8	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) I think it says club premises.
9	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, you're correct. "No
LO	person shall operate any business on the club premises nor on
11	their individual property within the club."
12	THE COURT: That is what it says.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. I apologize.
14	MS. KERN: Thank you.
15	Excuse me, Your Honor, I apologize.
16	THE COURT: Ma'am, take your time.
17	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) If I could also ask you to please
18	take a look at Exhibit Number 5. And if you could go to the
19	third page of that exhibit.
20	A. Page three on the bottom.
21	Q. No. The third page of the exhibit. It's one at
22	the bottom.
23	A. Thank you.
24	Q. And these are, as I understand it, and correct me
	i

2.0

2.1

if I am incorrect, that these were the bylaws that were recorded in 1995 as evidenced by the very last page of that exhibit that they were recorded on September 18, 1995; is that correct?

- A. September 18th, 1995, correct.
- Q. Okay. And page one of the bylaws but page three of the exhibit there is a preamble, and could you look at the third sentence of that preamble and read that into the record.
- A. Do you want it -- it's the primary purpose is that the or --

THE COURT: It shall not.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) It shall not.
- A. Okay. "It shall not operate its properties or facilities with the view of providing profit to its members but rather -- rather such properties and facilities shall be held, operated and made available for the use and enjoyment of its members upon payment of such assessments and charges as will fairly meet the cost of operation and provide a reasonable accumulation of funds for repairs, replacements and additions."
- Q. And what is your understanding of the purpose of the social club?
 - A. To socialize with your friends and people that

2

24

bylaw but I believe it's the fourth page of the exhibit. Did

anything change from your reading in the preamble or was the primary purpose and the prohibition against operating for the providing profit to any of the unit members? Did that remain the same?

- A. The language appears to be the same.
- Q. And similarly Exhibit Number 8, which is recorded bylaws from I believe 2001, if you could look at the preamble on those bylaws and did anything change as to the purpose or the prohibition against providing profit to its owners?
 - A. Let me get back to that page. No.

THE COURT: It's Bate Stamped 94.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. It appears to be the same language.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) And similarly Exhibit Number 9, which is a recorded set of bylaws from 2005, and as the Court aptly pointed out there's a bate stamp at the bottom of plaintiffs' 128. Can you tell me whether or not the preamble has remained the same.
 - A. It appears so.
- Q. You mentioned earlier that there had been a change in the name of the entity the country club. Can you take me through your understanding of what those changes were.
 - A. Yes. I spent about two days going through all of

the bylaws and changes and I graphed it out and it appears on Elks Club Inc. went from or excuse me, 1929 and the name continued until there was an amendment on November 8th, 2004, recorded that changed the name to Elks Club Country Club Homeowners Association. So the name was changed and recorded but the — that's just the name was changed.

Q. Okay. The purpose or the identification of the prohibition with respect to profit, that did not change in your review of the bylaws?

THE COURT: One minute. Don't answer.

MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. Assumes facts not in evidence to the extent there's a prohibition. I don't think there's been a discussion of any prohibition or is there a reading of any of the bylaws that contain a prohibition.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you tell me what is your understanding of the phrase it shall not operate its properties or facilities with the view of providing profit to its unit owners but rather such properties and facilities shall be held operated and made available for the use and enjoyment of its unit owners. And if you could identify whether or not as a homeowner and a member of the country club you understood that to be a prohibition?

1	THE COURT: Well, one moment. That's a compound
2	question. But you have something to say.
3	MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a
4	legal conclusion. Potentially also calls for an expert
5	opinion.
6	THE COURT: Overruled. This witness is
7	apparently a member of this association and so you're
8	overruled.
9	Now, you asked a compound question. I'm going to
10	ask you to ask a question at a time so that I understand
11	exactly which question this witness is answering. Thank you,
12	ma'am.
13	MS. KERN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
14	Q. As a member of the country club did you
15	understand that because the preamble says that it shall not
16	operate any of the facilities with a view of providing profit
17	to the unit owners that that constituted a prohibition for
18	the unit owners to make profit off of the facilities?
19	A. Yes. That's what we understood when we bought.
20	MS. KERN: Okay. May I please have Exhibit
21	Number 10.
22	THE COURT: Just to be clear, Mr. Jones, she
23	testified that was her understanding. It may not be a
21	correct understanding.

1	MR. JONES: Understood, Your Honor.
2	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you please describe and
3	explain what Exhibit Number 10 is?
4	A. Exhibit 10 is a photograph I took of the front
5	sign next to the gate at the social club.
6	Q. Do you recall when you took it?
7	A. It was definitely in the winter because there's
8	snow. I don't remember if it was this past winter or the
9	winter before.
10	MS. KERN: Okay. I would move for the admission
11	of Exhibit Number 10.
12	MR. JONES: No objection.
13	THE COURT: Ten is admitted.
14	MS. KERN: May I please have Exhibit Number 11.
15	Thank you.
16	Q. Handing the witness Exhibit Number 11,
17	Ms. Gilbert, can you explain or describe what this document
18	is.
19	A. This is a copy of the recorded plat off the
20	Douglas County Assessor's page that I printed.
21	Q. And what does it depict?
22	A. It depicts the lots and the common areas of the
23	social club.
24	MS. KERN: I would move for its admission.

No objection. MR. JONES: 1 11 is admitted. THE COURT: 2 (BY MS. KERN:) In particular can you please Ο. 3 explain or describe what we are looking at in more 4 particularity with respect to you said the common areas. Can 5 you identify which parcels those are and --6 Α. Yes. And then identify what is on them. 0. 8 MR. JONES: Objection. Calls for an expert 9 opinion and a legal conclusion. 10 Well --THE COURT: 11 MS. KERN: I'm asking her --12 THE COURT: No, ma'am. I don't think so. 13 Overruled. 14 MR. JONES: All right. Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: If you're looking at Exhibit 11 16 you'll see in the center a 001 and a 13.08 acre or AC and 17 it's Lot Number 801. That's the -- the beach and the marina 18 area. What is not depicted is we have the marina out in the 19 water in a big bulkhead which it is the base of Lot 001 and 2.0 We also have the common area which is to the top right 002. 21 which is Lot 053 and that is the caretaker's lot. It has a 22 house on it, a garage and working buildings, and Lot 45 next 23

to it is our lot.

24

2

23

24

pump house, the water tank, the roads.

marina caretaking of that, the vegetation. I mean, he's the

1	
1	Q. Has it been
2	A. The gate.
3	Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.
4	A. I was trying to remember all of the things. He
5	does a lot.
6	Q. How long has he been the caretaker for the
7	country club?
8	A. He's new. He's approximately two years. We had
9	David before but there's been a caretaker as long as we've
10	been there.
11	Q. And he actually lives onsite?
12	A. Correct. And it's part of the original social
13	club bylaws that there be a caretaker.
14	Q. Can you identify on Exhibit Number 11 which
15	membership property he occupies?
16	A. Sure.
17	Q. If you know?
18	A. I do. If you look at Exhibit 11, again, if you
19	look at ADJ 123 common area and it's identified as 053, that
20	is the caretaker's compound. Again, it has a house, garages,
21	work buildings and equipment.
22	Q. And is he there year-round or just during certain
23	periods of time?
24	A. He's there year-round. He has a two-week

Ì	
1	vacation.
2	Q. Oh, okay.
3	A. Annually.
4	Q. We have used the term transient commercial use.
5	What is your understanding of transient commercial use?
6	A. It's essentially renting out property for less
7	than 28 days for a commercial purposes for income and profit.
8	Q. Has since 2014 has there become an issue with
9	transient commercial use?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what are those issues?
12	A. There is there has been an outburst, a growth,
13	an expediential growth of rentals, of VRBO's, Airbnb
14	advertising that we have a significant influx, increase in
15	people coming into the community that are unknowns, that are
16	the beach is packed with people we don't know. You
17	could we just don't even in the summertime go down and use
18	it because people are violating rules. We don't know them.
19	There are strangers and it's packed. So that has changed and
20	there's been a growth of members who do not rent complaining
21	and asking that this be addressed.
22	Q. And
23	THE COURT: For the record VRBO is a vacation

rental by owner.

24

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) And has this been fairly recent or has this been going on the entire time you've been there? Can you describe in a time frame --
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- the issue with respect to transient commercial use.
- A. It became a growing problem in two beginning of 2017. There's a historic house, Your Honor, across the street from us that's a rock house that was built in 1927, and the people that restored it sold it and the new owner turned it into a rental and then so that was in '17, and we were getting garbage, people parking in the roads.

But it became more so when another neighbor across the street directly across from us in '18 applied for a permit to rent and then those two became -- it became in our face kind of how -- how problematic it was for us with parking issues, with loud noise, parties, trash, people stealing or taking our wood from our woodpiles. I mean, we could go on, but so I think in '18 you can see in the complaints by members to the board to address this issue I think became more pervasive.

THE COURT: When you spoke of applied for a

2.3

permit, let's be clear, did not apply for a permit from the 1 association but from the county. 2 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, that's a good question. 3 What happens is a member writes to the board saying I'm 4 applying to Douglas County and they ask for a letter from 5 the -- from the board to -- that they -- they include I guess 6 with their packet that the board is essentially approving. 7 So I don't know how -- I know there's a permit through 8 Douglas County, but I don't know all of the details, but it 9 seems from what I've seen in the records that the homeowner 10 asked the board for a letter and that's all I know on that 11 issue. 12 THE COURT: Does the board profit from that 13 approval or denial? Is there a fee to the board? 14 That I don't know. I don't -- I THE WITNESS: 15 haven't served on the board to know if there is a fee. 16 Thank you. THE COURT: 17 Go ahead, ma'am. 18 (BY MS. KERN:) In connection with the commercial 19 use are you aware of any advertisements by any of its members 2.0 with respect to the commercial rentals? 21 Yes. Α. 22 If I could have Exhibit Number MS. KERN: Okay. 23 I handed the witness Exhibit Number 12. 12.

24

1	
1	Q. Can you explain what Exhibit Number 12 is.
2	A. Exhibit 12 is some vacation rental advertisements
3	on the internet. They are a snapshot of the day that we
4	that I essentially printed these, meaning the rates change
5	and fluctuate but this this is on August 5th, 2020.
6	Q. Were you the one that went on to the internet and
7	pulled the advertisements that are included in Exhibit Number
8	12?
9	A. These appear to be my copies, yes.
10	MS. KERN: I would move for the admission of
11	Exhibit Number 12.
12	MR. JONES: Object to the extent there's a large
13	number of different advertisements in here. Is there a
14	motion to have all of these exhibited or admitted as a single
15	exhibit?
16	THE COURT: They are all one exhibit.
17	MR. JONES: Okay.
18	THE COURT: That's how they have been marked.
19	MR. JONES: I'm going to object. I don't think a
20	foundation has been laid for the entire exhibit.
21	THE COURT: Well, I think the witness said that
22	she did she pulled all of these from the internet.
23	MR. JONES: Uh-huh.
24	THE COURT: Now, do they all relate to rentals at
	668

1	Elk Point?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And you personally pulled all of
4	these off of the internet?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
7	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you identify or explain how
8	or what, and if you want to look at specific ones and
9	identify them, they are bate stamped in the lower right-hand
10	corner with respect to whether or not they identify the
11	members' recreational facilities in their advertisements. Do
12	you recall seeing that in any of them?
13	A. Yes. If you look at the headlines of every
14	well, not every. I'll go through them but most of them.
15	They refer to in their headlines as that the pull or the draw
16	as being a private gated beach. This one, Elk Point, beach,
17	gated, gym.
18	THE COURT: Which one?
19	THE WITNESS: Oh, this would be Exhibit 11,
20	plaintiffs' 380.
21	MS. KERN: Actually, it's Exhibit 12 for the
22	record.
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Exhibit 12, okay.

1	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I thought you're
2	right. It's 12, Your Honor. I stand corrected. If you look
3	at Exhibit 12, plaintiffs' 390, charming Tahoe cabin with
4	lake view and private beach, meaning the headline or draw is
5	plaintiffs' 366, fabulous Lake Tahoe home with private beach
6	and gated community.
7	THE COURT: There's no need to go through each
8	one of these.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was going to say.
10	THE COURT: Let's move on.
11.	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The focus of the
12	advertisements in terms of the draw
13	THE COURT: There's no question pending, ma'am.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Ask your next question.
16	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) When you were doing a search did
17	you have a specific search term that you used?
18	A. I think we put in Elk Point Country Club.
19	Q. And then when they came up and they identified
20	the private beach other than the beach that you described
21	that is the one that is owned by the Elk Point Country Club
22	Inc., is there any other private beach they could be talking
23	about?
24	A. I don't know. There's only one private beach in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 11, am I correct that those would be those, it looks like there's six properties that actually go to the water. Are those the ones you're talking about?
- There's actually a few more around the corner on Α. the top where the gray area is around the corner they continue on.
- Oh, okay. But those are the ones that you're Q. talking about?
- Okay. Those are the private beaches owned by Α. individual unit owners that have lake homes and that is not available to all of the social members. The 13-acre beach is -- is the community owned social club beach.
- Okay. And this might be an unfair question 0. because you might not have looked at this, did you look at any of these advertisements as to whether or not they involved those homes that have their own beach or did you understand them to be the members' homes that would have

time following that.

Q. I apologize. That's my problem.

As a member of the club do you have any concern with respect to the potential loss of the social club tax exempt status?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain what that concern is.
- A. Yes. From my review of records of the association and documents we are a social club. And the tax returns, the 990's are filed as a social club. If the property owned the common area property is owned by the social club, if we lose that status I am concerned about the IRS coming in and taking away that status and, therefore, losing the tax exempt status and potentially exposing my myself or us to penalties, back interest because club property is being used for a profit.

MS. KERN: Thank you. That's all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jones, rather than interrupt you doing your cross-examination I'm going to give the clerk and the court reporter their morning break now, and we'll take a 15-minute break.

Folks, I know that we started at 9:00 o'clock but they have been, particularly my clerk has been working since 8:00 and she's entitled to a break, and we're going to honor

1	that. So we're going to take 15 minutes. We'll be back at
2	25 minutes after the hour. I would like you in your seats
3	and ready to go at that time. Thank you very much. Court is
4	in recess.
5	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
6	THE COURT: We are back in session in 20CV124.
7	Counsel?
8	MS. KERN: Your Honor, I asked Mr. Jones if I
9	could just do one little cleanup. I know that you overruled
10	the objection with respect to Exhibit 12 but I'm not sure
11	that it was formally admitted and I just want to request that
12	it be admitted as an exhibit.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jones, you're standing.
14	MR. JONES: Your Honor, you already overruled my
15	objection. I will stipulate to its admission.
16	THE COURT: 12 is admitted. Thank you both very.
17	We'll move along.
18	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. JONES:
21	Q. Ms. Gilbert, as you know, my name is Prescott
22	Jones. I represent the Elk Point Country Club. You and I
23	have met several times before. I must say it's a pleasure to
24	see you in person as opposed to over Zoom for the first time

in quite a while.

2.0

I just have a few questions I want to ask you about your testimony today. I want to start with what's been previously marked as Exhibit 9. Do you have a copy of that in front of you?

- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And it's my recollection that you read in the preamble section what's been -- what's bate's labeled as Plaintiffs' 12, you read a portion of the preamble in the record. Do you recall that?
- A. I read -- I was asked to read I believe a sentence in that, yes.
- Q. Okay. The third sentence of the paragraph entitled Preamble reads it shall not operate its properties or facilities with the view of providing profit to its unit owners. Do you see where I'm referring to?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that constitutes a prohibition on unit owners earning a profit from their property?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How so?
- A. Making a profit on the property of within the association -- the association, the social club, yes. What was your next question, sir?

THE COURT: Well, he only asked one not the next 1 2 question. THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. 3 (BY MR. JONES:) So could you identify which part 4 of the sentence constitutes a prohibition in your mind on 5 unit owners earning a profit from their property. 6 It shall not operate its properties or facilities 7 Α. with the view of providing profit to its unit owners but 8 rather such property shall be held and operated and made 9 available for the use and enjoyment of the unit owners upon 10 the payment of such assessments and charges as will fairly 11 meet its cost of operation and provide a reasonable 12 accumulation of funds for repairs, replacements and 13 additions. 14 Can you identify which portion of that sentence Q. 15 constitutes a prohibition? 16 The whole sentence does. Α. 17 How so? Q. 18 It prohibits unit owners from, shall not operate 19 its property facilities with a view providing profit to its 2.0 unit owners. It's prohibiting unit owners from profiting 21 from using the social club for profit. 22 So I think I can get to the point of our 23

contention here. Do you believe the language it shall not

2.4

- 1	
1	one of them is there's a person there. I don't know if
2	it's a family member. I don't know who it is but somebody
3	lives there long-term there. I don't know if it's a tenant.
4	I don't know if it's a family member. So I would be
5	guessing.
6	Q. When did you move into your home at the Elk Point
7	Country Club?
8	A. We purchased in August of 2014.
9	Q. And did you occupy that residence at any time as
10	your primary residence?
11	A. No.
12	Q. It's been a second home, correct?
13	A. We live in Reno, so it's a second home.
14	Q. Okay. Do you rent out your property at all any
15	time that you don't occupy your residence?
16	A. Never.
17	Q. Okay. So in your time on, involved with the
18	board, involved with any of the executive functions of the
19	board that you discussed before did you ever discuss
20	long-term rentals beyond 28 days at the Elk Point Country
21	Club?
22	A. No. I was part of the committees that we worked
23	on specific issues like security or the architectural
24	guidelines. So that was a I was given directives because

ı	
1	I was the chair of those committees, and so I was given
2	directives through and
3	THE COURT: So the answer is no. Thank you.
4	THE WITNESS: No.
5	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE WITNESS: I apologize.
7	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Sure. No problem. And do you
8	believe if a homeowner was to rent out their home for a term
9	of one year that they would make any profit off of that
10	rental?
11	A. I don't know what arrangements so I
12	THE COURT: That would be speculation.
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: You provided no details and I'm not
15	going to allow that answer. You can provide a more detailed
16	question if you want, but she can't answer that.
17	MR. JONES: I think I can get to the point
18	quickly, Your Honor.
19	Q. Do you believe that a homeowner renting out their
20	property for a term longer than 28 days would be in violation
21	of the provisions that we've talked about in the bylaws that
22	you believe prohibit the unit owners from making a profit?
23	A. From you're asking me about the social club,
24	yes.

Ο.

Uh-huh. Isn't one of the points of a homeowners

1	association in Nevada to maintain the values of the homes
2	within that homeowners association?
3	MS. KERN: Object. Asking her generally or as an
4	attorney?
5	THE COURT: What is the legal objection?
6	MS. KERN: It's calling for a legal opinion the
7	way the question is asked.
8	THE COURT: So it calls for a legal conclusion is
9	your objection?
10	MS. KERN: Correct.
11	THE COURT: Overruled.
12	THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question again.
13	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Is the court reporter able to
14	repeat the question.
15	(Whereupon, the record was read.)
16	THE WITNESS: Generally in homeowners association
17	you have governing documents to maintain the values in the
18	homeowners association by maintaining certain parameters,
19	like rules and architectural guidelines.
20	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Wouldn't that, therefore
21	THE COURT: So the answer was yes?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, generally.
23	THE COURT: All right. That's the answer, yes.
24	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	Q. Why don't we move on, Ms. Gilbert. I think I can
2	maybe cut through a lot of the foundation questions. Is it
3	your understanding the bylaws of the homeowners association
4	contemplate guests coming onto the property?
5	A. Are you talking about the bylaws of the social
6	club or the bylaws that are stated as a homeowners
7	association. Could you clarify.
8	Q. I'm referring generally to Exhibits 1 through 9
9	that we spoke about this morning.
10	A. Okay.
11	THE COURT: Why don't you ask her about one in
12	particular, sir.
13	MR. JONES: Sure.
14	THE COURT: Because otherwise it is a compound
15	question.
16	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Why don't we turn to Exhibit 4,
17	and can you tell me when you have that exhibit in front of
18	you, Ms. Gilbert.
19	A. I'm there.
20	Q. I'm looking at page nine, what's also marked as
21	plaintiffs' 0038. Can you tell me when you're at that page.
22	A. Exhibit 4 only goes up to 27.
23	Q. I apologize. Exhibit 5. Sorry.
24	A. So 35?

- 1		
1	Q.	38.
2	Α.	Okay. I'm there.
3	Q.	On top of the page do you see paragraph G?
4	Α.	I do.
5	Q.	Do you see any reference to guests or tenants in
6 .	this paragr	aph?
7	Α.	I do.
8	Q.	So is it fair to say the rules or the bylaws
9	contemplate	e the existence of guests or tenants at the Elk
10	Point Count	try Club, correct?
11	Α.	These particular ones, yes.
12	Q.	Okay. Return to Exhibit 10 next.
13		MS. KERN: I'm sorry. What exhibit did you say?
14		MR. JONES: Ten.
15		THE COURT: Ten, it's the photograph, ma'am.
16		THE WITNESS: I'm there.
17	Q.	(BY MR. JONES:) Okay. Can you read the sign in
18	its entire	ty for the record, please.
19	Α.	Sure. "Elk Point Country Club private members
20	and guests	only."
21	Q.	Do you have an understanding as to what guests
22	refers to?	
23	Α.	Are you asking me how I read it?
24	Q.	Yes. As a homeowners what's your understanding
		CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322 684
		OIL TOD MADE OFFICE

1	as to guests?
2	A. If I invite my friends they are my guests. If I
3	invite family members they are my guests.
4	Q. So earlier on today during your testimony you
5	referred to an influx of VRBO's of short-term vacation
6	renters. Do you recall that testimony?
7	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
8	Q. Were you ever did you ever quantify the influx
9	or increase in VRBO's?
LO	A. Did I quantify them?
11	Q. Correct.
12	A. We watched the rental calendars and the calendars
13	from 2018 forward that we got we received access so we can
14	see a significant influx, and we look also on the internet.
15	We look at
16	THE COURT: So the question is did you quantify
17	them, now how you did.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes.
19	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Do you have any written
20	tabulation or computation of anything that shows an increase
21	in VRBO's?
22	A. We did not keep a tabulation.
23	Q. Okay. Earlier you testified that, and correct me
24	if I'm wrong, that you believe there was an increase in

people we don't know at the Elk Point Country Club. 1 that sound about correct? 2. Uh-huh. Α. 3 Okay. Ο. 4 The answer is yes. THE COURT: 5 Yes. THE WITNESS: 6 MR. JONES: Thank you. 7 Thank you, ma'am. It's hard. Ι THE COURT: 8 know. 9 THE WITNESS: I apologize. 10 THE COURT: It's okay. 11 Tell me more about what you (BY MR. JONES:) Q. 12 observed with the increase in people you don't know. 13 other words, I want to hear a little bit more about that. 14 Okay. We would try to -- we would go to the Α. 15 beach and the beach would be, instead when we first bought it 16 was in the summertime at least usable and it was open and we 17 could enjoy it. Now, there are tents literally and umbrellas 18 literally almost up to the waterline through all the way back 19 to the volleyball courts to the point where we turn around 2.0 and just we go -- if we try to go in we don't know people. 21 They have got -- it's large groups of people we 22 There -- we have glass bottles on the beach don't know. 23 which as we know they wouldn't be a member because that's 24

not -- that's prohibited. They are violating our rules on the beach, dogs, various other issues. So it's uncomfortable because when we try and use our beach it's difficult to even get to the water when we've been down there so we leave. We don't use the beach very often in the summertime. Maybe if a family member comes to visit we take them to the beach, but we will often times because it's so overcrowded we leave.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Gilbert, I'm going to ask you to do me a favor here, please. I'm going to ask you to listen to the question. Give the answer to the question and not give us a speech.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. You were asked specifically that time to quantify, and so I understand that there's more information you want me to have, but please just answer the question and that way we can move along.

THE WITNESS: I thought he was asking for an example. Okay. I apologize, Your Honor.

In terms of quantifying, the beach is difficult to get to because of the number of people that are there.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Do you have any way of knowing that these people at the beach that you're describing if they are quests of homeowners?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Are you able to rule that out that they were
3	guests of homeowners?
4	A. I'm not able to rule it out but if I'm not
5	able to rule it out.
6	Q. So for example, if a homeowner were to be out of
7	town for a week on vacation, they let their nephew and their
8	family stay at the home for the weekend and they were at the
9	beach you would have no way to rule out whether this was a
10	situation involving people on the beach, would you?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Would you have any way to rule out if the people
13	on the beach that you described in your response before are
14	long-term tenants of any of the homeowners at the Elk Point
15	Country Club?
16	A. Other than I would recognize them.
17	Q. But it's possible that you wouldn't recognize
18	them, correct?
19	A. If I recognize them from seeing them because they
20	have been there for at the Point I would see I would know
21	what they look like.
22	Q. But it's possible that you wouldn't be able to
23	recognize them, correct?
24	A. Absolutely it would be possible.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Thank you. I want to turn to
4	Exhibit 12. It was your testimony before that Exhibit 12 is
5	a series of advertisements for short-term vacation rentals,
6	correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Who put these advertisements on the internet to
9	your knowledge?
10	THE COURT: And if you don't know you can just
11	say that.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
13	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Do you believe it to be
14	homeowners?
15	MS. KERN: Objection. Speculation.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Do you have any reason to
18	believe that the HOA itself put any of these advertisements
19	that are included in Exhibit 12?
20	A. Again, I would be guessing.
21	Q. Does the HOA itself own any homes at the Elk
	1
22	Point Country Club?
22 23	

1	A. The caretaker's.
2	Q. Do you believe the caretaker's home to be
3	included in any of the homes listed in Exhibit 12?
4	A. It's not in this packet.
5	Q. Do you believe the caretaker's home was rented
6	out as a short-term vacation rental?
7	A. No. It's not I think you're asking me about
8	Exhibit 12. It's not in Exhibit 12.
9	Q. Do you have any reason to believe the caretaker's
10	home was listed as a short-term vacation rental at any point
11	in time?
12	A. I didn't find it on any kind of advertisement.
13	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the HOA
14	itself listed any homes for
15	THE COURT: So wait a minute. Wait a minute.
16	That answer was nonresponsive. Do you have any reason to
17	believe that the caretaker's home was listed as a short-term
18	rental. That's a yes or no question, not whether it's in
19	this packet. It's a yes or no question, ma'am.
20	THE WITNESS: I don't believe it's been listed
21	as
22	THE COURT: So you have no reason to believe
23	that?
24	THE WITNESS: I have no reason to believe it.

1	THE COURT: That was the question. Thank you.
2	Now you have an answer. Now ask your next
3	question.
4	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Thank you, Ms. Gilbert. Do you
5-	have any reason to believe that the HOA has ever listed any
6	homes for rent as a short-term vacation rental?
7	A. I haven't seen any so no.
8	Q. Thank you. Do you have any reason to believe
9	that any of the rent obtained from short-term vacation
10	rentals has gone to the HOA?
11	A. I don't have any knowledge of that.
12	Q. Okay. Of course the rent would go to the
13	homeowner him or herself, correct?
14	A. I would I'm not involved in the board in terms
15	of what they do so I don't know.
16	Q. What's your understanding of the short-term
17	vacation rentals at the HOA that you've been testifying about
18	so far today? That money would go to the homeowner, correct?
19	MS. KERN: Objection. She's asked and answered
20	it. She doesn't know.
21	MR. JONES: I don't think we have an answer, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: She hasn't answered that one. So
24	that particular objection is overruled.

1	THE WITNESS: I would assume it goes to the money
2	from the transient commercial use would go to the unit owner.
3	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Okay. Thank you, Ms. Gilbert.
4	I have no further questions.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Kern, do you have some other
6	questions you would like to ask, ma'am?
7	MS. KERN: No further questions, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Ms. Gilbert, you may step down.
9	Thank you very much. Would you hand me those exhibits,
10	please.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
13	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
14	(Witness excused.)
15	THE COURT: Your next witness, ma'am?
16	MS. KERN: Kurt Brown.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Brown, come on up, sir. If you
18	would pause about right there, sir. Face the clerk and raise
19	your right hand. Thank you.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

KURT BROWN,
called as a witness on behalf of the
Plaintiff having been first duly sworn,
was examined and testified as follows:
THE COURT: Come on up.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. KERN:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Brown. Could you please state
your name for the court reporter.
A. Kurt Brown.
THE COURT: Is that Kurt with a K or a C?
THE WITNESS: K-u-r-t.
THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.
Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Mr. Brown, how long have you
lived in Nevada?
A. Almost 67 years.
Q. And where do you currently reside as your primar
residence?
A. Carson City, Nevada.
Q. Do you also own any property and a membership
with the Elk Point Country Club?
A. I do with my son and my daughter.
Q. Can you explain how that property came to be in
CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322695

1	your family.
2	A. We bought into the Elk Point Country Club in 1979
3	I believe. There was a house on the property that we bought
4	from a Reno couple who were the original owners and had been
5	members of Elk Point since 1929.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. And we tore that property down and rebuilt a
8	modern house that was used by our family, our grand kids, my
9	father, my mother, my son and my daughter, my cousins.
10	Q. So you and your family still utilize the property
11	at Elk Point?
12	A. Yes, we do, but with this Covis thing we occupy
13	it at separate times.
14	Q. Prior to that you occupied it or would enjoy the
15	area together?
16	A. The total family would be there all of the time.
17	THE COURT: And, sir, when you say Covis do you
18	mean COVID?
19	THE WITNESS: COVID. I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: That's quite all right. I just want
21	the court reporter to get it right. Thank you.
. 22	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Over the years since you have
23	been a member at Elk Point have you had any involvement with
24	the governance of the country club?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

- A. I was a member of the board and president -member of the board from '94 to '98. I was president from
 '96 to '98. I served on a couple of committees of the
 security committee recently and the rules committee recently.
- Q. In connection with your work on the board and your long-term membership are you cognizant of the social club exemption with the IRS?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Can you explain some of the historical functioning of the social club from your time with respect to your membership?
- A. From the time of my membership and historically prior to that?
 - Q. Correct.
- A. Based upon conversations I've had with members and people who have been there when we bought into this present date, this club was founded by the Reno Elks Club for summer residence for their members to bring their families and their guests there during the summertime.

When the club was first founded there was not paved roads. There was no natural gas there. There was not a water source there when it first started and over the years the improvements have been made.

Q. At some point was the, for example was the entry

23

24

Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Has that fee ever increased or

THE WITNESS: That's a one-time fee, yes.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

23

24

THE COURT:

MR. JONES: Object to form. Vague. Ambiguous.

It is indeed and -- and maybe not

2.

23

24

It's

portable, boat storage area, a harbor for boats to be docked.

1	I believe I've covered it.
2	Q. Okay. Would you say that those amenities are
3	designed to encourage fellowship and recreation among the
4	members?
5	MR. JONES: Objection. Leading.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Do you have an understanding of
8	the purpose of having those amenities within the common
9	areas?
10	A. With the common with the beach and the
11	barbecue area and the harbor, those are the amenities that we
12	use as a family and we greatly appreciate it.
13	Q. Do you ever use those amenities in connection
14	with your fellow members?
15	A. I meet fellow members down there for functions
16	such as the breakfast and a past president's functions that
17	we had.
18	Q. Are you familiar with any transient commercial
19	use being made of any of the properties by the members within
20	the country club?
21	A. Yes, I am.
22	Q. Can you explain or describe what that knowledge
23	is.
24	A. I know of two that are in my vicinity, okay. One
23	is.

2.0

2.1

2.2

of which I have known has been rented to Aaron Rogers during the golf tournament. One of which I know of because I've interacted with one of the short-term rentals who I had a conversation with about why he comes there and why he rents on short-term.

- Q. Have you noticed a difference in the number of commercial use being made of the properties in your time there? For example was it happening when you first bought and it's just been consistent or has there been a change?
- A. When I was on the board in the '90s I was acquainted with almost every individual within Elk Point. That is not true today.
- Q. And is that because of the commercial use being made of the properties?
- A. Without knowing the individuals based upon past experiences with them I'm a little shy about having conversations with people I have not seen before and have not talked to before.
- Q. Are you noticing different people depending on the weekend that you're there or is it the same person that you don't know?
 - A. I notice different people.
- Q. And has there been an increase in what you are observing in connection with the comings and goings of the

1	people within the community?
2	A. I have noticed increased traffic on the road and
3	increased people within the Point itself.
4	Q. And do you attribute that to the commercial use?
5	A. I personally attribute that to the use but I
6	don't know that as a fact.
7	Q. So how would you describe then the, how the
8	commercial use has affected the community?
9	MR. JONES: Object to form. Vague and ambiguous
10	as to affected.
11	THE COURT: No. The question was how did he
12	observe that use changing. The objection is overruled.
13	THE WITNESS: From my personal point of view and
14	what I have observed is the social aspect of our community is
15	changed based upon the interaction of the people within the
16	Point that are there now and that were there in the past.
17	That's affected the social fabric of that community.
18	Q. Has it affected the fellowship of the club?
19	A. In my opinion, yes.
20	Q. Do you have any concern about the threat that the
21	commercial use may have to the social club?
22	A. From my point of view I have concerns about the
23	Elk Point Country Club losing its social status with the IRS
24	and the ramifications thereof in monetary value that may be

assessed against the members. 1 And I also have a concern about the potential 2 fraud that may have been perpetrated through the IRS filings 3 with our social club status through the IRS. 4 MR. JONES: Move to strike as nonresponsive to 5 the question. 6 Those are his concerns. THE COURT: Overruled. 7 They may or may not be legitimate, but they are certainly his 8 concerns, and that was the question about his concerns. 9 the objection is overruled. 10 (BY MS. KERN:) Did you have any of those Q. 11 concerns prior to say 2018, 2019? 12 I never had those concerns until the short-term 13 rentals were getting significantly more and being part of the 14 Elk Point Country Club. 15 In Ms. Gilbert's testimony she referred to 16 something called a rental report or renter report. I don't 17 know if I'm saying that correctly. Are you familiar with 18 19 that? Not -- no. Α. 20 Okay. That's not something that you have Q. 2.1 reviewed? 2.2 No. Α. 23 Okay. Those are all of the questions MS. KERN: 24

I have, Your Honor. Thank you. 1 Sir, before Mr. Jones asks any THE COURT: 2 questions, there was a question that I didn't really 3 necessarily understand. I want to clear this up. If you --4 if you sold your -- your home there, are you also selling 5 your membership? In other words, if you wanted to sell your 6 home to me and we agreed on a price of a \$1.27, am I also 7 buying your membership or do I -- when I come in do I then 8 have to pay that \$20,000? 9 THE WITNESS: You have to pay the \$20,000 and you 10 have to put -- you have to make application. 11 THE COURT: Now, can anyone buy that property and 12 then be denied a membership? 13 THE WITNESS: From my understanding, yes. 14 THE COURT: Has that ever happened? 15 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 16 THE COURT: So you and I, when we negotiate the 1.7 price of your home there, you're just selling me the home. 18 You're not selling me the membership. 19 That is correct. THE WITNESS: 2.0 THE COURT: So it is entirely possible for people 21 to buy property in Elks Point and not require membership? 22 THE WITNESS: They have to have certain documents 23 that they certified reading, and they have to be accepted as 24

a member prior to becoming and have the exclusive rights for that social club.

THE COURT: That's for the social club but not to own a home there, right?

THE WITNESS: I don't know that, okay.

THE COURT: Okay. So that -- that's -- that's kind of what I'm getting at. So it is entirely possible that someone -- and if I'm wrong you just tell me, sir, because I'm not one of your neighbors right now but -- but I could buy your home and then say I'm not going to read the paperwork you want me to read or I might not be accepted into the club. So I would not have access to the marina, the harbor, as you put it I believe, sir, or the beach or the, some of the common areas, but I could still own a home there, correct?

THE WITNESS: My understanding after listening to this and thinking about it.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

THE WITNESS: If somebody buys a home in there they have to be required to understand what the rules, regulations and bylaws are because only members can own property there. That's my understanding.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, there's your chicken and egg.

2.2

- 1	
1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Do I have to become a member first
3	before I buy the property?
4	THE WITNESS: From my opinion, yes, you do have
5	to become a member first.
6	THE COURT: So someone could become a member and
7	then not buy property?
8	THE WITNESS: I suppose they could.
9	THE COURT: Yeah, these are not trick questions,
10	sir.
11	THE WITNESS: No.
12	THE COURT: I'm not trying to fool you or
13	anything. I'm trying to figure out how it works, and you've
14	been on the board and all like that.
15	THE WITNESS: But I don't think a member would
16	want to pay \$20,000 and not own property there.
17	THE COURT: They can come down and sit on the
18	beach, right?
19	THE WITNESS: They could.
20	THE COURT: Use the boat harbor, right?
21	THE WITNESS: If they have a boat.
22	THE COURT: Have a barbecue?
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
	707

So if my questions give rise to questions from 1 you, ma'am, I'm going to let you open that back up. And 2 then, Mr. Jones, we'll hear what you have to say after that. 3 MS. KERN: Thank you. 4 Please, ma'am. THE COURT: Go ahead. 5 Ι Because I'm a little bit confused. MS. KERN: 6 want to get some clarification from Mr. Brown. Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Kern. 8 (BY MS. KERN:) The original question that the 9 Ο. judge asked you was you as the seller of property don't have 10 anything to do with the application for membership. Am I 11 understanding what you said? You said if you sell property 12 it's the country club that deals with the membership. You 13 don't do both, and you as the property owner when I use that 14 15 term. From my recollection they are two separate 16 Α. occasions or two separate entities. 17 Right. So there's the property owner who sells 18 0. the property? 19 Α. Right. 20 And goes through escrow just like we do when we 21 Q. 22 buy property. Α. Right. 23 When there's no membership. And then the 24 Q.

1	It was your prior testimony that you're not
2	you don't know for a fact if the increase in people in the
3	HOA is as a result of the VRBO's, correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. It was your later testimony though that
6	the social fabric of the club and the fellowship of the club
7	was affected by the VRBO's; is that correct?
8	A. I don't recall specifically but I said about
9	faces that I did not recognize.
10	Q. So I asked the same question of Ms. Gilbert
11	before. Is it possible that the faces you didn't recognize
12	were guests of the homeowners?
13	A. They could be.
14	Q. Is it possible that they were long-term tenants
15	of the homeowners?
16	A. I think that's a little more easily answered
17	because of their long-term tenant. I would recognize them as
18	long-term tenants.
19	Q. Well, it's possible?
20	A. Seeing their faces.
21	Q. Sure. It's possible though you would have to see
22	the long-term tenant's face for the first time, correct, and
23	you may not, in fact, recognize them then?
24	A. The first time but over time I would.

1	Q.	Uh-huh, okay. But it's possible though, correct?
2	Α.	Yeah.
3		THE COURT: What's possible?
4		MR. JONES: That he wouldn't recognize the face
5	of a long-to	erm tenant.
6		THE COURT: Okay.
7		THE WITNESS: If they are a long-term tenant I
8	would recog	nize them over time.
9	Q.	(BY MR. JONES:) Sir, do you recall signing your
10	name to a d	eclaration that was attached to the motion for
11	preliminary	injunction?
12	Α.	I recall, yes.
13	Q.	Do you recall making a statement that you believe
14	that a sign	ificant number of homeowners are opposed to
15	short-term	vacation rentals?
16	Α.	I do.
17	Q.	Do you still believe that to this day?
18	Α.	A significant number, yes.
19	Q.	Do you believe it to be a majority of the
20	homeowners	?
21	Α.	I don't know that for a fact.
22	Q.	Do you recall there's an election that took place
23	for the HO	A board on July 4, 2020?
24	Α.	Yes, I do.
	1	711

1	Q. Do you recall whether or not short-term vacation
2	rentals were an issue in that election?
3	A. When you say issue what do you mean by issue?
4	Q. Do you recall if any of the candidates for the
5	HOA board made their positions known as to short-term
6	vacation rentals, whether they should be allowed or not?
7	A. There was discussion about the election about
8	short-term rentals and who supported what and where, yes.
9	Q. Mr. Felton, who is sitting next to me today, he's
LO	the president of the HOA, correct?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. And he was the president of the HOA prior to
13	July 4, 2020, correct?
14	A. That is correct.
15	Q. So it's fair to say he ran for reelection and he
16	won, correct?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Do you recall that Mr. Felton's position was as
19	to short-term vacation rentals prior to the election?
20	A. He was in favor of them, yes.
21	Q. Okay. Mr. Charles Jennings is the vice president
22	of the HOA. Do you believe that to be correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. And he was also vice president of the HOA
	712

—CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322**-**79

1	prior to the July 4, 2020 election, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And do you know Mr. Jennings' position as to
4	whether or not short-term vacation rentals
5	A. I believe he was also in favor of short-term
6	rentals.
7	Q. Okay. And he was, of course, reelected correct?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. Okay. Mr. Brown, that's all of the questions I
10	have. Thank you for your time.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jones.
12	Do you have anything else, ma'am?
13	MS. KERN: No. The witness may be excused.
14	THE COURT: Sir, thank you very much. You may
15	step down.
16	(Witness excused.)
17	THE COURT: Your next witness, ma'am?
18	MS. KERN: Tim Gilbert.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Gilbert.
20	
21	TIM GILBERT,
22	called as a witness on behalf of the
23	Plaintiff having been first duly sworn,
24	was examined and testified as follows:
	713

1	
1	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Come on up and make
2	yourself comfortable.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. KERN:
5	Q. Would you please state your name for the court
6	reporter.
7	A. Timothy Gilbert.
8	Q. Thank you. And are you the spouse of Nancy
9	Gilbert that testified earlier?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And you are owners of 464 Elks Avenue at
12	the country club?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Can you give a little background of your
15	education and work history.
16	A. I received a degree in mathematics with a minor
17	in computer science from the University of Nevada.
18	During that time I worked as a hotel night
19	auditor which is a bookkeeping job. Afterwards I worked for
20	31 years in gaming software. I did a variety of assignments,
21	slot machine accounting system software and software that
22	operates the slot machines and video poker machines
23	themselves.
24	Q. Are you aware whether the Elk Point Country Club

1	has a committee that oversees rentals?
2	A. Yes, they do.
3	Q. Can you describe that for me, please.
4	A. They are empowered by the Elk Point Board of
5	Directors to oversee short-term vacation rental activities
6	within the Elk Point Country Club.
7	Q. So what what oversight do they provide?
8	A. They they maintain a rental calendar which
9	enumerates occupancy for each one of the short-term vacation
10	rental properties within the Elk Point Community.
11	They also are charged with enforcing the rules of
12	Elk Point Country Club regarding the short-term vacation
13	rental customers who occupy those properties.
14	Q. Do you know when it was formed?
15	A. It was in existence when we purchased our
16	property in 2014. I don't know the formation date.
17	Q. Okay. When it was originally formed was it for
18	long-term renters or short-term renters?
19	A. I do not know.
20	Q. But to your knowledge at the present time it is
21	mainly involved in connection with short-term rentals. Was
22	that your testimony?
23	A. Correct, short-term rentals.
24	Q. Okay. So you also said that one of its function

1	was some enforcement. Have you been able to observe or are
2	you aware of what that enforcement is or isn't?
3	A. I am not aware of any enforcement activities on
4	the part of the rental committee. We have filed complaints
5	with board of directors regarding violation of rules by these
6	short-term rental motel customers, and at times those
7	complaints are ignored.
8	We've also attempted to enforce the rules of the
9	Elk Point Country Club regarding violations by short-term
10	rental motel customers, and those are usually ignored as
11	well.
12	THE COURT: Ignored by whom? And when you say
13	we, do you mean the committee, sir?
14	THE WITNESS: Oh, let me rephrase that. When my
15	wife and I have filed formal complaints with the Elk Point
16	Board, those complaints regarding short-term vacation rental
17	motel customers, we have not received a favorable response.
18	Those complaints are ignored.
19	THE COURT: Okay. But it's not the committee
20	filing those complaints?
21	THE WITNESS: No. It's us as
22	THE COURT: Okay. As individuals.
23	THE WITNESS: As country club members, correct.
24	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

1	THE WITNESS: Correct. Correct, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I just needed to
3	know who the we was, sir.
4	THE WITNESS: Of course, it's a vague term.
5	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
6	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Have you done any analysis or
7	work to compare the advertisements that appear for example on
8	VRBO or Airbnb, those kind of websites with the
9	self-reporting on the rental reports that you referred to?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Have you made any observations about that?
12	A. I think the observations are somewhat anecdotal
13	but many of the short-term vacation rental motels are high
14	volume, high capacity, and the maintenance of the short-term
15	vacation rental calendar by the rental committee of Elk Point
16	Country Club is based on the honor system. So the individual
17	short-term vacation rental owners are on their honor to
18	volunteer that information to the committee.
19	Q. Did you ever observe or identify times where you
20	saw what appeared to have been a rental on the commercial
21	websites and there was no report on the rental report by the
22	owner?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Can you give some examples of those.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Sure. The verbal descriptions of all of these on-line advertisements for the short-term vacation rental motels in Elk Point feature the amenities of the country club, the social club. They don't discuss per se the interior decor of these motels or the towels or the linens or anything else. They are featuring the beach and the parking and the amenities, the private gate, the gated community, all of which are amenities that we as unit owners all own.

Now, the individual room rates are, they can be quite high. But as an investigation I looked at the average room rate for two adults and one child both in low season and high season, and I came up with a ballpark figure of \$500 per night for that occupancy for low season and \$1,000 per night for that occupancy for high season.

MR. JONES: I object to the extent the witness is providing an expert opinion.

1	THE COURT: Well, that's not an expert opinion,
2	sir. So your objection is overruled. Thank you.
3	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Are the in looking at the
4	websites were the advertisements limited geographically in
5	any way? In other words could only people that live in
6	Nevada see them or something like that?
7	A. No. No. They are they are listed on such
8	international websites and national websites as Airbnb and
9	VRBO, and there's no screening process either. I
10	communicated with the board at one time that that poses a
11	danger to our unit owners.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Gilbert, you're going beyond the
13	answer to that question. So I'm going to ask you to stop.
14	THE WITNESS: Of course, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: It's nonresponsive. Thank you.
16	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) When you were looking at the
17	websites how would a transaction be triggered from your
18	observation of how they worked?
19	THE COURT: Wait a minute, ma'am. He's he's
20	looked at a number of different websites here that he
21	indicates Airbnb. So that question could be multilayered.
22	MS. KERN: Okay.
23	THE COURT: And I think it's a little ambiguous.
24	MS. KERN: Okay. I'll narrow it down. Thank

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (BY MS. KERN:) Let's take for example looking at Q. the VRBO website. Can you explain what your process was to investigate or look at how these transactions were conducted?
- I decided to use a fictitious name to Α. reserve a weekend at one of the Elk Point short-term vacation rental motels that's listed there. In fact, it was one right across the street from us. So I used the occupancy level I just described, two adults, one child, and everything was accepted. All that was needed was a valid credit card, ID and the transaction would be completed.
 - Okay. Q.
- There was no questions There was no screening. about criminal record or anything else or whether I had COVID-19. I was accepted.
- If you -- if I could have Exhibits 13 and 14, please. Thank you. I'm handing the witness Exhibits 13 and 14. Could you take a look at those, Mr. Gilbert, and when you're done let me know.
 - T'm done. Α.
- Is this -- are you familiar with these two Ο. exhibits?

THE COURT: Let's do one at a time.

ļ	ı
1	MS. KERN: I just
2	THE COURT: Let's
3	MS. KERN: I just corrected it.
4	THE COURT: And the poor judge.
5	MS. KERN: I caught that.
6	Q. As I said, could you please just look at
7	Exhibit 13.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Could you tell me what that is? Is that
10	something you prepared?
11	A. I did.
12	Q. And what does it represent?
13	A. There's two sources of data that were used to
14	compose this spreadsheet. The one source was the rental
15	calendar we just described, and the other source was my
16	observation of roommates that were advertised on-line for
17	these rental properties and so the figures
18	THE COURT: You may not testify as to the
19	figures, sir.
20	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: That's okay, sir.
22	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Were there any other records that
23	you reviewed in order to put this document together?
24	A. Those were the two sources of data, the rental

calendar composed by the Elk Point Rental Committee and my observations of the on-line room rates advertised for an occupancy of two adults, one child, both in low season and high season.

- Q. And what was the purpose of your compiling this data? What were you trying to determine?
- A. I was going to compare what I compiled with the reports that I obtained from Douglas County through the Freedom of Information Act on vacation rental room tax revenue that was collected by Douglas County from Elk Point Vacation Rental Properties only.

MS. KERN: Okay. I would move for the admission of Exhibit 13.

MR. JONES: I'm going to object to that. The document on its face is an expert opinion. The conclusions regarding seasonal revenue and yearly revenue are expert opinions. The computation of an average low season rental rate and high season rental are expert opinions. And I think even if we were to simply redact or remove those portions the entire document should go as well.

THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. What he said he did was just create some figures.

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Based on a calendar of rentals which

is records that apparently the rental committee keeps and based on what the, what the rental rate was. Now, how is that expert opinion?

2.0

MR. JONES: I have no objection to the number of days each unit was rented because I agree with Your Honor that that's publicly available data. What I object to is the calculation of average room rental rate on a low season and a rental rate in the high season. I don't have the data that Mr. Gilbert used to calculate that, and I don't believe he's been qualified as an expert anyway to make that determination.

THE COURT: Yeah, but that doesn't require expert opinion. If he just looked at the different costs and did some math, any of us who got through fifth grade can do that multiplication. That's not expert. So that particular objection is overruled. And if that's your only objection then 13 is admitted. I'm not -- I'm not sure how relevant it's going to be, but that objection is overruled.

So what's your next question, ma'am?

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Okay. I would also like to have you take a look at Exhibit 14 and ask you is this the same kind of information and data for the year 2019?
- A. Correct. This is based on the rental calendar maintained by the Elk Point Rental Committee for the year

2019.

2.0

2.3

MS. KERN: I would also move for the admission of Exhibit 14.

MR. JONES: I'm going to object on relevance grounds, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the relevance?

MS. KERN: The relevance is to demonstrate.

We've already looked at the advertisements. These
advertisements for the commercial use is you get to use my
membership facilities that I have as a result of being a
member of Elk Point Country Club.

THE COURT: Have a seat, sir. I only need one lawyer standing at a time. Thank you very much, Mr. Jones.

MS. KERN: And as a result of being able to market their property by being able to profit from those amenities and recreational facilities we are now tying in that the facilities are generating a tremendous amount of income which will jeopardize the 501c7 social exempt status and we will tie that in with -- with Michelle Salazar, who will be testifying next.

THE COURT: Okay. So what you have is individual properties that are creating revenue. You don't know that they are creating profit and -- and it doesn't reflect any profit to the -- to the association.

MS. KERN: Two responses to that, Your Honor. 1 would agree that what we are showing is revenue rather than 2 necessarily profit. But with respect to your second concern 3 that's irrelevant because the IRS does not look at solely the 4 income that is generated by the entity. 5 The social club exemption is a specific benefit 6 for its members, and so the IRS, in fact, does look at what 7 the income generated by its members are when a social club 8 claims an exemption under 501c7. 9 Well --THE COURT: 10 It is not separate. MS. KERN: 11 THE COURT: We don't have that evidence yet, but 12 I will admit it pending that evidence. 13 MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So 14 is admitted. 15 (BY MS. KERN:) With respect to the conclusions 16 that you reached after identifying first if you could look at 17 Exhibit 13 for the year 2018, and I will note that 18 Mr. Gilbert correctly identified it as total revenue and it 19 is identified. Can you please explain now what the numbers 20 are that you extrapolated from the data that you described. 21 MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. Any use of 22 extrapolation turns into expert testimony. I don't believe

2.3

24

the witness has been qualified for that.

1	MS. KERN: Extrapolation is adding and
2	multiplying.
3	THE COURT: Well, why don't you ask it that way.
4	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Okay. Can you please explain and
5	identify what you added together and multiplied in order to
6	get the revenue that you identify?
7	A. We can see from Exhibit 13 that the number, the
8	total revenue is lower than 2019, and it looks like 2019 was
9	a very good year for the vacation rental motels at Elk Point.
10	So what we can derive from these figures is
11	there's been an acceleration in the short-term vacation
12	rental motel business up there, and they all pay a 14 percent
13	room tax, and that is my answer.
14	Q. Okay. But I want a more specific so so that
15	we all can understand. For example, in the first line on
16	Exhibit 13 on the left side it has an identification of an
17	address.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And then you have an identification of the days
20	rented?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. That's three?
23	A. Yes, for low season.
24	Q. And so in order to identify the revenue what did
	·

you multiply or add?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

2.3

24

A. Low season was multiplied by, I counted the number from the vacation rental calendar. I counted the number of days each individual property was rented. I divided the calendar year into low season and high season. High season being June, July, August. So after I completed that count for each property I multiplied the days rented for low season by \$500 per night, and I multiplied the days rented during high season by \$1,000 per night, and then I added those two figures together to come up with a total revenue figure for each property. And then down at the bottom —

THE COURT: Wait a minute. The \$500 per night, is that what was actually, this place was actually rented for? Is that a number you came up?

THE WITNESS: No, that's a generalization because the room rates vary depending on holidays seasons.

THE COURT: So these are not real figures.

THE WITNESS: They are estimates, sure.

THE COURT: Well, it's completely irrelevant

then.

MS. KERN: Well, can I respond to that?

THE COURT: Yeah. He's made up the numbers.

MS. KERN: No, he has not made up the numbers.

2.0

2.2

He said that he looked at the advertisements. So the actual — he took an average. So these rentals might be from 400 a night to 600 a night and he averaged it at 500. You're right. It's not the actual number, but it is based upon the actual numbers and an average that was made.

THE COURT: I can't -- I can't find that that's acceptable evidence. You know, if he used the actual numbers and knew what the rooms were rented for, I understand these numbers, but coming up with an average that's hard for me to rely on.

MS. KERN: Even if it is based on the actual advertisements that are being made for this particular club.

THE COURT: He would have to go through each number and verify that for me, how he came up with each particular number.

MS. KERN: Okay.

THE COURT: You know, different -- these are -these are all different properties, ma'am, and some of them
may have one bed or some may have two beds. Some of them may
sleep two people. Some of them may sleep eight people. I
can't rely on these numbers.

MS. KERN: And that's why he did it as an average.

THE COURT: Well, they are not acceptable to

1	provide me with any information that I can really rely on,
2	other than the fact that this witness believes that there was
3	income generated. How much income was generated? It simply
4	cannot be proven by Exhibit 13 and 14. You may have some
5	other way to do that.
6	MS. KERN: Can I ask one other question?
7	THE COURT: Sure you can.
8	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) You testified that you also
9	looked at the Douglas County records in which taxes were paid
10	for revenue that was generated from Elk Point Country Club;
11	is that correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Okay. I want to make sure I was remembering
14	correctly.
15	THE COURT: That's a different way to approach
16	it, ma'am.
17	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Did you cross-check if they paid,
18	was it, did you tell me 14 percent is the tax?
19	A. Yes. There's a room tax collected of 14 percent
20	on each one of these short-term vacation rental motels. So
21	it's the same tax that's collected from hotel rooms and let's
22	say Harrah's Casino or Hard Rock Casino.
23	Q. Okay. But, in fact, you saw and obtained the

public records to look at those taxes that were paid?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. From the if they were paying 14 percent could
3	you multiply and determine at least what revenue was being
4	reported to Douglas County that had been generated from the
5	commercial motel rentals within Elk Point?
6	A. Yes.
7	THE COURT: Now, that's a more accurate way to do
8	this, ma'am. That's not based on estimates and averages.
9	That's based on income.
10	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Did you compare that number to
11	what you had estimated?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Was there a difference?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Can you tell me what the difference was?
16	A. I don't have that figure in front of me but it
17	was a significant difference.
18	THE COURT: 13 and 14 are no longer admitted.
19	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Okay. Do you have a recollection
20	of what the revenue was that was reported to Douglas County?
21	A. I think it was around $$145,000$ for one of the two
22	calendar years, either 2018 or 2019.
23	Q. Do you have a recollection of the revenue that
24	was generated for the other year or is that the only one that

1	you recall?
2	A. That's the only one I recall.
3	Q. Okay. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Give me that number again.
5	THE WITNESS: I think it was around 145,000 but I
6	don't I don't have it right in front of me.
7	THE COURT: In total revenue?
8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Did that correlate to the
11	information that was within the association as to when there
12	had been the actual commercial rental of those properties?
13	MR. JONES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as to
14	correlate.
15	THE COURT: Rephrase it.
16	Q. Okay. Did they identify days rented to the
17	country club?
18	THE COURT: The country club rental calendar?
19	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) On the country club rental
20	calendar that would be equal to more or less than would it
21	appear they were reporting to Douglas County?
22	A. The room rate would have to be quite low to
23	really correlate. So it would not be it would not be \$500
24	per night. It would be something significantly less.

1	Q. When you were looking at the advertisements on
2	VRBO, for example, did you find any rental rates that were
3	significantly less than 500?
4	A. Describe significantly less.
5	Q. I used your word. What did you mean by
6	significantly less?
7	A. There's no 20 dollar a night room rates up there
8	at Elk Point. So \$500 was was arranged between 400 and
9	600.
LO	Q. My question let met go back to what my
11	question was. Did the report to Douglas County, was it
12	consistent?
13	THE COURT: The taxes paid to Douglas County?
14	MS. KERN: Well
15	THE COURT: Based on the reporting.
16	MS. KERN: Correct.
17	THE COURT: Reported rentals.
18	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Was that consistent with the
19	number of nights that were being reported to the rental
20	committee, if you know?
21	A. I can't
22	THE COURT: I don't know how he can answer that,
23	ma'am.
24	MS. KERN: Well, if he had looked at both records

1	and he recalled.
2	Q. That's why I said if you know?
3	A. No. I can't I can't answer that question.
4	Q. Okay.
5	THE COURT: So here's the question, sir. When
6	you looked at the tax revenue.
7	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
8	THE COURT: And the 14 percent.
9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
10	THE COURT: And that gets, as Ms. Kern was
11	saying, reported to Douglas County.
12	THE WITNESS: Correct.
13	THE COURT: Let's use that phrase, okay.
14	Included in that report is the report of the number of nights
15	that are rented.
16	THE WITNESS: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	MS. KERN: No further questions, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Jones?
20	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. JONES:
23	Q. Good morning, Mr. Gilbert. My name is Prescott
24	Jones. I represent the Elk Point Country Club. I believe
	733

1	you and I also met at a previous NRED mediation. It's good
2	to see you again in person.
3	A. Good morning, Mr. Jones.
4	Q. I have just a few questions for you today.
5	THE COURT: Lawyers always say that and you
6	should never believe them, sir.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. This is my
8	first time on the witness stand.
9	THE COURT: I want you to be comfortable, sir.
10	MR. JONES: And I agree.
11	Q. Mr. Gilbert, you spoke earlier this morning about
12	the lack of screening of short-term vacation renters, and I
13	believe you included that these renters were not screened for
14	a criminal record. They were not screened for COVID. Do you
15	recall that testimony?
16	A. Yes, I do.
17	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the guests
18	of members of the Elk Point Country Club are screened for
19	either a criminal record or screened for COVID?
20	A. There's an implicit screening based on their
21	relation to members of the country club who have to go
22	through an initiation process, membership process.
23	MR. JONES: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
24	THE COURT: Oh, it's responsive. It may not be

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

(BY MR. JONES:) Can you explain what you mean by 0. implicit screening?

THE COURT: Your objection is overruled.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

Sure. Country club members have to THE WITNESS: apply for membership and there should be or there is documents, a set of documents they must read. And, well, it could possibly -- it's in within the realm of possibilities that criminals and COVID-19 carriers could be invited as quests to a member's cabin.

- So the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ so what is the implicit screening that Q. you referenced? I'm not sure I understood your response. What is the implicit screening you're referred to in your prior response to my question?
- Members don't have the volume and capacity, the Α. occupancy levels that the short-term vacation rentals have so it's a matter of frequency. If you have a rental occupied every weekend the chances of having a criminal or COVID-19 carrier in your cabin are much higher than if occasionally you let a family member use your cabin.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I move to strike as nonresponsive again.

THE COURT: Overruled.

(BY MR. JONES:) So what's your basis for your

1

24

Q.

- Let's get back to maybe my initial question about Q. the screening. What were to happen if a guest of a member of the Elk Point Country Club had a criminal record, what would happen to the owner?
- I would imagine if the guest who had a criminal record committed a crime at Elk Point Country Club there would be some liability on the part, personal liability on the part of the unit owner.
- What about if a short-term vacation renter were to have a criminal record wouldn't there be the same issue involving the homeowner?
- Oh, there would be the same issue, and that brings up an interesting question, Mr. Jones. Many of these commercial business operations, these transient commercial use operations at Elk Point are seriously under-insured. if a -- if a motel customer at one of these establishments injures himself on Elk Point Country Club property the Elk Point Country Club is liable not the individual owner.
 - I appreciate that response, Mr. Gilbert. Ο.
- Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike a portion of his response that was nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	THE COURT: It's stricken.
2	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) I apologize, Mr. Gilbert. One
3	moment. I want to take a look at my notes here.
4	THE COURT: Take your time, sir.
5	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) All right. Mr. Gilbert, I think
6	I stayed true to my promise for just a few questions for you.
7	That's all I have. Thank you, sir.
8	A. Thank you, Mr. Jones.
9	THE COURT: It may be my comment that made him do
10	that. We'll see.
11	Ms. Kern, do you have further questions, ma'am?
12	MS. KERN: No further questions, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Gilbert, you may step down.
14	Thank you very much.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	(Witness excused.)
17	THE COURT: Ms. Kern, you've been really good on
18	getting your witnesses on and off, but we're nine minutes
19	until noon, and I really suspect that you can't get a witness
20	on in nine minutes.
21	MS. KERN: No. It is our last witness, I will
22	tell Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: You have one witness left?
24	MS. KERN: I have one witness but it is not ten

1	minutes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So what I would like to do is
3	to break for the noon hour and allow everybody to have lunch
4	and then come back, and I would like to see you at 1:30 and
5	we'll resume.
6	Mr. Jones, how many witnesses do you anticipate,
7	sir?
8	MR. JONES: Maximum of one, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, very well.
10	We'll get through all of this this afternoon one way or
11	another, and I will see you at 1:30. I appreciate it.
12	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Could I have all these exhibits back.
14	Thank you, deputy. Would you provide those
15	exhibits to the clerk. Deputy Addington helps me in a 1,000
16	ways.
	(Whereupon, a lunch recess was taken.)
17	Į.
17 18	THE COURT: Very well. So you're next witness,
	THE COURT: Very well. So you're next witness, please, ma'am.
18	
18 19	please, ma'am.
18 19 20	please, ma'am. We are, by the way, back in session in 20CV124.
18 19 20 21	please, ma'am. We are, by the way, back in session in 20CV124. MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you okay? 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Very well. I'm sorry, Ms. Kern. 3 apologize for interrupting. 4 MS. KERN: No, no worries at all. Before I call 5 my next witness if I might be heard and make a record just 6 very briefly on Exhibits 13 and 14. 7 THE COURT: Yeah. 8 MS. KERN: Not as to the numbers or the amounts, 9 but with respect to one of the categories that Mr. Gilbert 10 testified he obtained and wrote down the number of days 11 rented by the units on the -- from the rental report that is 12 the country club's records. That is that first category 13 where it says days rented for the season and it identifies 14 three in what he identifies as the low season and days 15 16 represented high season 28. THE COURT: I see that. 17 MS. KERN: Those records -- those numbers were --18 are specific and were from the rental reports, and if we 19 could introduce or admit 13 and 14 for the purposes of those 20 columns. 21 THE COURT: Do you want to recall your witness? 22 23 MS. KERN: Okay. THE COURT: And lay a foundation for that and 24

then offer the exhibits.
MS. KERN: Okay.
THE COURT: I think that's a better way to do it.
MS. KERN: I thought he had already testified to
that.
THE COURT: Well, let's make it clear.
MS. KERN: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Gilbert, would you come back up
for us, please, sir. It's a long way to walk after a big
lunch, but you're still under oath. Please have a seat.
We'll see if you have an objection for this
purpose.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. KERN:
Q. First with respect to Exhibit 13, Mr. Gilbert.
A. Yes.
Q. Under the column that is identified days rented
low season, can you tell me where you got, for example for
402 Elks Avenue, where you got the number three?
A. I reviewed the rental committee's rental
occupancy calendar, and I counted the number of days that 402
Elks Avenue was rented for the months of January through May
and September through December.
Q. Okay. And is that similar for each of the

1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	THE COURT: And is it reflected anywhere?
3	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
4	THE COURT: Not on the exhibit but do you keep
5	track of that sort of thing?
6	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
8	Do you want to ask him about 14?
9	MS. KERN: Yes, I do.
10	Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 14 and with respect
11	to the column that identifies days rented low season are the
12	numbers that are in that column reflected and consistent with
13	your review of the rental report that you obtained from the
14	rental committee?
15	A. Yes. That reflects the number of days 402 Elks
16	Avenue was rented during the low season of 2019. Where the
17	low season is defined as January through May and September
18	through December.
19	Q. And similarly for the column that says days
20	rented high season?
21	A. Yes. The same procedure was used to to derive
22	the 30-day occupancy for months of June, July and August.
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. For 402 Elks Avenue.

	_,
1	Q. Okay.
2	A. And the same procedure for every number down each
3	one of those columns.
4	Q. On this exhibit at the bottom, at for 440 Center
5	and 454 Lakeview you have some question marks. Can you
6	explain what those are.
7	A. Those are properties which we suspect are rentals
8	but do not have a license with Douglas County.
9	Q. And you did not see any rental dates on the
10	rental report so there's no number correlated with those?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. And I might add that if the maintenance of this
14	rental calendar is is dependent on every every property
15	owner submitting days of occupancy. So if they did not
16	submit some occupancy days they would not be reflected in the
17	two columns we just discussed.
18	Q. Okay. So this is based upon the actual numbers
19	submitted by the members?
20	A. Correct.
21	MS. KERN: Okay. With that I would move to admit
22	Exhibit 14 for the purpose of identified days rented in low
23	season and high season.
24	MR. JONES: My only objection, Your Honor, would

be to the last two properties, 440 Center and 454 Lakeview to 1 the extent they contain speculation by the witness they are 2 being rented out without approval. 3 THE COURT: Well, you should have objected to his 4 testimony previously. You didn't do that. Your objection is 5 overruled. There's no information on this chart as to 6 those -- those addresses, and I'm not going to consider that 7 they were rented out. There's no evidence that they were. 8 This is just offered for the number of days this witness 9 believes that these units were rented out according to the 10 information that he garnered. I'm going to admit 14 for the 11 same reason I did for 13. 12 I'm only going to consider the days rented 13 columns to whatever relevancy that may have, and I'm not sure 14 it will have any but it's admitted for that purpose. 15 MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all I 16 17 have. THE COURT: And none of the other information 18 will be considered by the Court. 19 Mr. Gilbert, thank you for coming back up, sir. 20 May I please have those exhibits. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: It's like going to the bank these 23 days, huh, you have to slide everything under. 2.4

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
3	THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you, Your
4	Honor.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	THE COURT: Your next witness, please, ma'am?
7	MS. KERN: Michelle Salazar.
8	THE COURT: Would you pause right there, ma'am.
9	Pause right there. Face the clerk. Thank you.
10	
11	MICHELLE SALAZAR,
12	called as a witness on behalf of the
13	Plaintiff having been first duly sworn,
14	was examined and testified as follows:
15	
16	THE COURT: Ms. Salazar, come on up. Have a
17	seat. Please make yourself comfortable.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	MS. KERN: Thank you.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. KERN:
22	Q. Will you please state your name and publicly
23	spell your last for the court reporter.
24	A. Yes. Michelle Lynn Salazar, S-a-l-a-z-a-r.
	746

1	Q. Ms. Salazar, can you identify for the Court what
2	your profession is.
3	A. Yes. I am a certified public accountant
4	specializing in forensic accounting, economic loss, business
5	valuation and general litigation services. I am also a
6	certified valuation analyst. I am a certified fraud
7	examiner. I'm an accredited in business valuation, and I'm
8	also a certified divorce financial analyst.
9	Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert witness
LO	in any proceeding before?
L1	A. Yes, I have.
12	Q. Can you identify for the Court what those have
13	been.
14	A. Yes. I've been qualified on 57 different
15	occasions. 32 of those occasions were during trials and 25
16	in various depositions.
17	MS. KERN: I would like to move for the Court to
18	recognize Ms. Salazar as an expert with respect to the tax
19	information that she will be testifying to.
20	THE COURT: Well, ma'am, that's I see
21	attorneys do that all of the time and it's really not
22	necessary.
23	MS. KERN: Oh, okay.
24	THE COURT: So what you lay a foundation for
	1

1	her credentials and then you go ahead and then you ask her
2	the questions.
3	MS. KERN: Okay. Is that old school?
4	THE COURT: That's the rules of evidence.
5	MS. KERN: Okay.
6	THE COURT: And so and so you really don't
7	need to do that. And then you just ask the questions and if
8	there's an objection based on her not being an expert I'll
9	hear that.
10	MS. KERN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I
11	apologize.
12	THE COURT: No, ma'am. That's quite all right.
13	MS. KERN: 36 years I still learn.
14	THE COURT: And I believe I would happen to be
15	something called old school.
16	MS. KERN: No. No. What I was doing was old
17	school. No. No.
18	May I please have Exhibit 16. This is a large
19	one. Thank you.
20	Q. Could you describe briefly what Exhibit 16
21	encompasses?
22	A. Yes. Exhibit 16 is the federal income tax return
23	for Elk Point Country Club Inc. which is Form 990 filed with
24	the Internal Revenue Service.

1	Q. And it is if you could thumb through and
2	identify whether or not there are similar returns for a
3	period of some time, 2004, 2007, 2008, 2009.
4	A. Yes. There are multiple years of tax returns in
5	this exhibit.
6	MS. KERN: Okay. I would move for their
7	admission.
8	MR. JONES: Object. I think that lacks
9	foundation.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Where did you were these
12	provided to you by our office?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And do they purport to be having been
15	received and filed with the IRS, can you tell? For example
16	on plaintiffs' page 159.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Is the does the stamp that appears there, is
19	that similar to what you are familiar with which would have
20	been received by the IRS?
21	A. Yes, it is.
22	Q. And does the form comport with what your
23	understanding a Form 990 with the IRS, is it consistent with
24	what you understand that form to be?

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

Yes.

- If you will turn to page, plaintiffs' page 168. Does this appear to be an accurate copy of the Form 990 for 2006 for the Elk Point Country Club Inc.?
- It also has a receive stamp from the Yes. Internal Revenue Service.
- So do each one of these represent accurate copies of what would be submitted by an entity like the Elk Point Country Club to the IRS in reporting as required in connection with a Form 990?

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Don't answer.

MR. JONES: Objection. Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: I agree. And I think -- I think you need to go through the various forms and have some authentication or foundation of each of them. They are a number of different years here and different forms, and so that's one of the hazards of taking multiple documents and trying to admit them all as one exhibit.

MS. KERN: Well, it was -- yes.

If you could go to plaintiffs' 180. Can you review that and does that appear to be an accurate identification of a Form 990 for the Elk Point Country Club Inc.?

1	MR. JONES: Object to form. Same objection.
2	Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation as to the second
3	part of her question regarding
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	MR. JONES: Thank you.
6	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) What is a Form 990?
7	A. A Form 990 is the income tax form that is
8	prepared and filed with the Internal Revenue Service for a
9	tax exempt organization.
10	Q. Does every tax exempt organization, are they
11	required to file a Form 990 with the IRS?
12	A. Not every tax exempt organization, but in this
13	case Elk Point Country Club as a 501c7 is required to file a
14	Form 990, and on page one of the 990 it indicates that
15	organization type.
16	Q. Okay. So have you ever reviewed or seen a Form
17	990 that was completed by any entity and filed with the IRS?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Does for example plaintiffs' 180, is that similar
20	to a Form 990 that you would be familiar with that would need
21	to be required to be filed with the IRS?
22	THE COURT: Ma'am, this is your problem, and so
23	to kind of just tell you where the problem is. This form
2.4	doos not seem to have that same stamp as having been received

by the Internal Revenue Service. 1 Now, unless this witness can say that she has 2 reviewed this business' tax return and that this is 3 consistent with that tax return, she's going to be 4 speculating as to whether this is their tax return or just a 5 document that somebody filled out. 6 MS. KERN: If I --7 THE COURT: So that's where you need to go with 8 9 this. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you look at the left-hand 10 Ο. side of plaintiffs' 180 where it says scanned where there's 11 some writing. Does that look familiar to you? Is that 12 similar to what is done by the IRS at any time? 13 I don't recall seeing a postmark date or a scan 14 date, but I think what we would look at is if you turn to 15 plaintiffs' 188, as an example this income tax return was 16 signed by Richard Jaret, the president, on April --17 THE COURT: Ma'am, did you witness that 18 19 signature? I did not. THE WITNESS: 20 THE COURT: Then you don't know that he signed 21 22 it, do you? THE WITNESS: No, I do not. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's not go to what we 24

don't know.

2.0

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Is there -- is there any other way that you can determine an entity status other than evaluating the or determining if the Form 990 is filed to evidence a 501c7 exemption?
- A. You could look at evidence that was submitted to like Douglas County for property taxes indicating their tax exempt status which would be 501c7.
 - Q. And did you do that?
- A. I spoke with Douglas County and they did indicate that Elk Point Country Club is a 501c7 according to their records.

MR. JONES: Object. Hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained. There's no such thing as speaking with Douglas County. Douglas County is a political subdivision in the State of Nevada. It does not speak.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Did you see any records of Douglas County that identified the 501c7 status of Elk Point Country Club?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. In your determination or your conclusion that it was a 501c7, would you have relied upon for example plaintiffs' 159 that identifies that the Form 990 was received by the IRS?

1	MR. JONES: Objection. Leading the witness.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you turn to page to
4	plaintiffs' 159.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Is there anything in connection with this
7	document that would lead to your conclusion that Elk Point
8	Country Club is a 501c7?
9	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on, ma'am. So the
10	problem, Ms. Kern, is you're asking her to testify from the
11	document that's not in evidence and she cannot do that.
12	This you know, again, you submitted, you know, 100 pages
13	or more. I don't know how many. I haven't counted them but
14	at least an inch worth of paper as a single exhibit and
15	and it's not in evidence. She cannot testify from the
16	substance of the document that is not in evidence.
17	MS. KERN: I appreciate that, but can I make an
18	offer of proof?
19	THE COURT: Well, you're always allowed to make
20	an offer of proof but that go ahead.
21	MS. KERN: Okay. I would like to call one of the
22	plaintiffs who obtained these documents directly from the Elk
23	Point Country Club.
24	THE COURT: Are you asking this witness to step

1	down?
2	MS. KERN: I am asking you if that I am
3	identifying that as an offer of proof and asking you if I may
4	have leave of the Court to do that. It I will be honest.
5	It didn't even cross my mind that Elk Point Country Club
6	would try to deny that they were a 501c7 tax exempt.
7	THE COURT: Do you want to ask them to stipulate
8	to that?
9	MS. KERN: Will you stipulate that these are?
10	THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. Now you're
11	saying something different entirely. First of all, you said
12	it didn't cross your mind that Elk Point would deny that they
13	were a 501c7. Now you're asking them to stipulate to the
14	admission here. Those are two different things.
15	MS. KERN: The reason that these are submitted is
16	just to establish it is a 501c7
17	THE COURT: So, ma'am, again, if you're asking
18	them for a stipulation that they are a 501c7 organization you
19	may ask for that stipulation.
20	MS. KERN: So asked.
21	MR. JONES: So stipulated.
22	THE COURT: Done. And the Court assumes that as
23	a fact that's true.
24	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Are you familiar with a 501c7

1	entity?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. Can you explain what that is.
4	MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. I believe the
5	witness has not been qualified to testify as an expert as it
6	relates to tax exempt entities or qualifications where stated
7	as valuation and fraud examiner. I don't recall any
8	discussion of tax exempt entities.
9	THE COURT: She's a certified public accountant.
LO	Your objection is overruled.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am familiar with 501c7
12	organizations.
13	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you explain what they are.
14	A. They are social clubs that are tax exempt by
15	exempted from tax by the Internal Revenue Service.
16	Q. What is the central purpose of a social club
17	according to the IRS?
18	A. According to the IRS the central purpose of a
19	social club is to provide benefits to its members, including
20	social and recreational benefits such as a marina or private
21	beach and also to provide equal benefits to all members.
22	Q. What's the rationale for providing tax exempt to
23	such a club?
2.4	MR JONES: Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know that that's true.

She may have studied the legislation and she can tell me if she knows.

2.1

2.3

2.4

MR. JONES: I would be okay with that.

THE WITNESS: According to the IRS the rationale for the tax exempt status is that the private members will be in the same financial position with or without the club.

MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor. I think that response lacks foundation. There's no reliance -- according to the IRS doesn't constitute sufficient foundation for that opinion.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) What information or documents did you review from the IRS or have you reviewed to support your conclusion or your identification of what the rationale is?
- published by the Internal Revenue Service, including documents such as continuing education memorandums that are published by the Internal Revenue Service. I have also reviewed the internal revenue website which provides guidance specific to social clubs, and I have reviewed various tax court documents just to get an understanding of the IRS treatment of a social club 501c7 organization.
 - Q. Did you review any documents with respect to the

A. I did.

- Q. Can you identify what those documents were.
- A. Yes. I reviewed the federal income tax returns

 Forms 990 that was provided to me by your office. I reviewed
 the various organization documents of the Elk Point Country
 Club. I reviewed the rules and regulations of Elk Point
 Country Club. I reviewed various VRBO advertisements for the
 various properties within Elk Point Country Club, and I am
 physically familiar with the location.
- Q. Okay. Did you form an opinion as to whether the Elk Point Country Club was organized in accordance with IRS requirements for a social club and exempt entity?
- A. Yes. In reviewing the preamble to the bylaws of Elk Point Country Club it indicates that the purpose of the club is to provide pleasure, recreation and other non-profitable purposes. And it also indicates in those bylaws that the intent of the country club is to not provide a profit to the unit owners, to the members.
- Q. And are those factors consistent with what the IRS identifies as necessary factors for a social exempt club?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you identify other factors the IRS looks at in determining tax exempt status?

1	A. Yeah. There's there's three main factors.
2	The first factor is you have an organization that consists of
3	individual members and that they are commingling and have
4	common interest, that's number one.
5	And number two is that a tax exempt club provide
6	pleasure, recreation and other non-profitable purposes not on
7	a commercial basis.
8	And number three is that none of the net earnings
9	inure to the private benefit of the members or the
10	shareholders.
11	Q. Are the activities of the individual members of
12	the club relevant to the IRS in reviewing a tax exempt
13	entity?
14	A. Yes. Based upon the criteria that the IRS looks
15	at I believe that the individual members' activities are very
16	relevant because if a member is generating income or profit
17	from the use of the private tax exempt facilities that could
18	jeopardize the entity's tax exempt status.
19	MR. JONES: Object to the witness' response to
20	the extent that it uses speculation, her use of the term
21	could jeopardize tax exempt status.
22	THE COURT: You'll be able to cross-examine her

23

24

on it.

Thank you.

MR. JONES:

- A. The IRS cares about inurement to the private members of the organization, and so if they are generating income as a result of the benefit of using the private facilities I believe that they would.
- Q. Can you explain what inurement means as you are using it.
- A. Yeah. A inurement is not necessarily just specific to a member receiving distributions from the entity. It can also include distribution or earnings that are not distributed to the members. And so an example of that would be if the entity is providing additional services to the members but is not increasing the membership dues, that would be an example of a inurement.

And then secondly if the members are receiving income or profit from the use of the private facilities that could also be inurement. So really in this context we're looking at are the members privately benefiting from the use of the Elk Point Country Club facilities.

Q. And does --

THE COURT: Privately financially benefiting.

THE WITNESS: Privately financially benefiting,

2.4

1	
1	yes.
2	THE COURT: Because it's got to be a financial
3	benefit. Every one of the members of the organization would
4	say that they receive a benefit by having a membership there
5	and the opportunity to use the marina or the harbor or the
6	beach or whatever facilities that there are?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes. So private financial benefit,
8	yes.
9	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Could an increased amount of
0	rental being received for a property within Elk Point
1	constitute that financial benefit?
L2	A. It can.
13	THE COURT: Wait a minute. An increase from
14	what? That question is ambiguous. You said an increase from
15	the rent. Refine that question a little bit.
16	MS. KERN: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Because I don't know what you're
18	increasing.
19	MS. KERN: Okay. I'll rephrase. Thank you, Your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Could you would based upon
23	your review of the advertisements that you looked at?
24	A. Yes.

1	Q. Could obtaining income from the rental of the
2	properties constitute a financial benefit?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Why?
5	A. Because the individual members or the unit owners
6	are generating income or profit from the rental of their
7	units while advertising for the use of the private benefits
8	the Elk Point Country Club owns.
9	Q. So for example if I could give a contrast. If I
10	rent and I say but there's this really beautiful private
11	beach there but you don't get to use it. You just can use my
12	house. They are not utilizing any their renter is not
13	going to be utilizing any of the common facilities. So they
14	wouldn't in that case would I be correct that they wouldn't
15	be getting a financial benefit from the club facilities?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. But if I instead offer my property and the
18	benefit is you get this private exclusive beach I am now
19	gaining some financial benefit from the facility?
20	MR. JONES: Objection. Calls for speculation.
21	Relevance.
22	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that, and
23	then I'm going to ask you something.
24	THE WITNESS: In my experience and based upon

1	involvement in various real property appraisals with other
2	experts, a vacation rental that includes a private beach and
3	facility like Elk Point Country Club would rent for a higher
4	value per night than the similar property.
5	THE COURT: You weren't asked that, ma'am. I
6	don't think that's what you were asking.
7	MS. KERN: I was asking if there was an
8	additional financial benefit as a result of having the club
9	facilities.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay. As compared to having that
12	same property without access to the private beach
13	recreational area.
14	THE COURT: What about access to a private road?
15	The road to the cabin is private and it belongs to the
16	organization.
17	THE WITNESS: Correct.
18	THE COURT: So if you get to use the road to come
19	into the house that's using the association's property, isn't
20	it?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And that would be the same as using
23	the beach, right?
24	THE WITNESS: I would have to I would have to

- 1	
1	speculate on that answer, but.
2	THE COURT: Well, so but it becomes critical
3	here.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
5	THE COURT: Because you are you're then
6	quantifying
7	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
8	THE COURT: how much extra utility there is to
9	renting this home.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	THE COURT: Via the beach via the barbecue,
12	patio, via the harbor or via the road?
13	THE WITNESS: Correct.
14	THE COURT: And so what if you only get to use
15	the patio that belongs to the association? Does that
16	increase the value?
17	THE WITNESS: I think that we would have to
18	analyze specific transactions wherein there is a difference
19	in the advertisement of the different amenities throughout
20	the country club.
21	THE COURT: Well, I'm not asking you about
22	advertisement.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Because I don't think the IRS really

cares about advertisement. They care about value, right? 1 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So it's a value added, right? 3 Right. We're trying to see whether THE WITNESS: 4 the criteria of if the member is in the same position they 5 would be without the benefits of the club. So that's why the 6 IRS permits tax exempt status is because they say whether we 7 have the club that provides these services or not as long as 8 the financial transaction is the same with or without the 9 club then it's tax exempt. 10 THE COURT: Well, what if you had to park up at 11 the edge of the road and walk down to the cabin? 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: So using the road is a value. 14 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 15 THE COURT: Yes? 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know that answer. 17 THE COURT: You've never had to pack in. 18 If I could follow-up on that question MS. KERN: 19 2.0 a little bit. THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 (BY MS. KERN:) If you rent a property without 22 the private beach, those amenities, you always have access to 23 the unit someway, whether it's by a road or walking in, 24

1	correct? I mean, and I guess let me ask a better question.
1	
2	Parsing out access from the beach facilities
3	really is apples and oranges, is it not?
4	MR. JONES: Object to form. Vague. Ambiguous.
5	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure I understand it.
6	MS. KERN: I guess I
7	THE COURT: I might have thrown her off with my
8	road usage, but.
9	MS. KERN: And I wanted to follow-up on that.
LO	Q. Because do you see a distinction between parsing
L1	out a road as adding value as opposed to looking at a beach
12	and a marina and access to like private access to Lake Tahoe?
13	Do you see that as the same kind of value?
14	A. I see that as if I'm renting a VRBO property I
15	would expect to have access somehow and that would be part of
16	its value. But if I had access to a private beach and
17	private facilities that would be added value in addition to
18	the access value.
19	Q. And that added value is what you were talking
20	about with concerns about inurement to the owners that were
21	renting and advertising the private access?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Therefore, I guess the next question then
24	is does that commercial transient use that's being

1	advertised, does that give an extra benefit to those owners
2	versus the other members within the social club?
3	A. Can you ask that question again.
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Does the rental by a member on one of the
7	websites and actually renting it with private access, does
8	that create an inequality with the members that are not doing
9	that?
10	MR. JONES: Object to form. Vague ambiguous as
11	to inequality.
12	THE COURT: Sustained. And there's no
13	evidentiary foundation for that.
14	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can I I thought that you
15	testified that one of the factors that the IRS looks at is
16	whether or not the members were treated equally.
17	A. That is one of the factors, yes.
18	Q. Okay. So my question is does the ability to
19	transient commercial rent their properties create an
20	inequality among the members?
21	THE COURT: Well, the problem there is there's
22	not evidence that all of the members don't have that same
23	ability. So if you're talking you know, some may choose
24	not to, but how does that create an inequality? And this

witness wouldn't be able to testify to that I don't think. 1 I'm talking about reality. We haven't 2 MS. KERN: identified that -- we have identified that there are -- we've 3 already had testimony that some people do not rent. 4 THE COURT: But that's a choice, ma'am. That's a 5 choice. 6 MS. KERN: But that's a different issue than 7 whether or not the fact that it is occurring is creating an 8 inequality that jeopardizes the tax exempt status. That's 9 the question that I'm asking. 10 THE COURT: I don't see that, ma'am. 11 Well, okay. MS. KERN: 12 THE COURT: You know, I don't regard it as an 13 inequality. It is choice as to how to use that property. 14 Now, it may be that some individuals are engaging in behavior 15 that -- that they shouldn't be allowed to engage in, but 16 everybody is allowed to engage in that same behavior 17 apparently up until now until I get done. 18 19 MS. KERN: Okay. THE COURT: And maybe once I get done they won't 2.0 be allowed to. Maybe they will. We're not done with our 2.1 22 hearing yet. MS. KERN: Okay. 23 But they all have the same THE COURT: 24

1	opportunity.
2	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) In your opinion can the Elk Point
3	Country Club tax exempt status still be jeopardized if it's
4	not the Elk Point Country Club that's actually doing the
5	renting?
6	A. Doing the advertising and the soliciting?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. In my opinion based upon the fact that Elk Point
9	Country Club is encouraging and facilitating the unit owners
10	in renting their properties to the public that would be
11	providing pleasure and recreation on a commercial basis, and
12	so I think that it does jeopardize the tax exempt status of
13	Elk Point Country Club.
14	THE COURT: What if their bylaws allow for
15	tenants?
16	THE WITNESS: Their bylaws do allow for tenants.
17	THE COURT: Right. So that would be for profit,
18	right, within the bylaws?
19	THE WITNESS: But we're specifically I mean,
20	that's outside of what I've been asked to testify on.
21	THE COURT: Not now it isn't.
22	THE WITNESS: In regards to the 501c7
23	organization the requirements are that they do not provide
24	pleasure, recreation and non-profitable purposes for a

commercial basis. 1 THE COURT: So could it be that their bylaws are 2 not consistent with a 501c7? 3 THE WITNESS: It could be, yes. 4 THE COURT: That could very well be the case. 5 Yes. So they have to be organized THE WITNESS: 6 for a non-exempt purpose and they have to operate in that 7 That's one of the things that the IRS says. fashion. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And so, ma'am, I'm going to 9 let you pick back up here. But there are issues that we're 10 trying to guide us to here, and I'm not trying to try your 11 case, and you'll get to it when you do. 12 But does it matter how long the rental is for if 13 it's done for profit? 14 THE WITNESS: Not from the IRS 501c7 standpoint. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 Go ahead, Ms. Kern. 17 (BY MS. KERN:) But from the standpoint of the 18 Q. county for example there is a distinction as to what is 19 commercial rental and what is non-commercial rental. 2.0 short-term or transient commercial use rental are required to 2.1 22 pay taxes, correct? MR. JONES: Objection. That's a very leading 23 question, Your Honor. 24

call non-related income.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21

22

23

24

And specifically in regards to non-related income they identify factors such as solicitation of the public for a fee. Recurring activities or activities that are intended to generate income or a profit, and so those factors call into question the tax exempt status of the organization.

MR. JONES: Object to the witness' response, Your Honor, to the extent that it calls for speculation. There's no pending IRS action. The question even was phrased could the IRS take a certain action if this were to occur.

THE COURT: Overruled. The argument here is that that is the concern of these homeowners and members of the organization, and they fear the prospect of the IRS taking action and, therefore, it's very relevant and thank you.

Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) Can you give examples or would you identify examples specific to Elk Point Country Club of unrelated business income or non-traditional business activities that you said were something that would be looked at?
- A. Yeah. I mean following that criteria the generation of net income or a profit to the members would be part of that.

Also because they are soliciting fees from nonmembers from members of the public that also falls within

that same criteria, and the vacation rentals or the short-term transient rentals are on a recurring basis, and the purpose of the short-term rentals is to generate a profit for the unit owners, and so I think that those fall within the criteria that the IRS says this entity is no longer a non-exempt entity.

1.2

2.0

MR. JONES: Your Honor, objection to the extent that the purpose of the -- the testimony regarding the purpose of the rentals is to generate a profit for the unit owners. There's no foundation for that and it calls for speculation.

THE COURT: I understand it. You'll get to cross-examine her.

- Q. (BY MS. KERN:) With respect to you testified that there was some involvement by the country club in connection with the rentals, either condoning or facilitating. Can you identify what activity you believe the Elk Point Country Club is doing to facilitate or condone it?
- A. It's my understanding that Elk Point Country Club and its board members are facilitating and encouraging the use of the units for transient rental units because they have a calendar in which they track the rentals of the properties.

And also they are aware that the unit owners are renting their units to nonmembers and allowing the use of the

1	private facilities of Elk Point Country Club?	
2	Q. And you did not find or were provided anything	
3	that would suggest that they were trying to prevent it or do	
4	any enforcement to stop it? You weren't aware of any of	
5	that?	
6	A. I didn't see anywhere where they were trying to	
7	stop it, no.	
8	Q. In your opinion what could happen if the IRS	
9	discovered that this transient commercial use was taking	
10	place at the Elk Point Country Club?	
11	MR. JONES: Objection. Calls for speculation.	
12	THE COURT: Sustained, but I think you can	
13	rephrase that and probably get the same answer that you want.	
14	MS. KERN: Okay.	
15	Q. What do you see as the possible consequences with	
16	respect to the tax exempt status if the IRS were to determine	
17	that the transient commercial use was occurring?	
18	MR. JONES: Same objection, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Sustained. Ms. Kern, you'll get	
20	there.	
21	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) What happens? Let's say the IRS	
22	determines that the TCU would affect their tax exempt status,	
23	what are the things that the IRS could do?	
24	A. The IRS could take away their tax exempt status.	

The IRS could start a tax fraud investigation if they wanted to. They could require an opening of the tax files from the inception of the entity which is over 100 years ago. But really the exact damage from that is unknown because, one, we don't know what the Internal Revenue Service would do, whether they would consider this to be tax fraud and if so what years they would consider.

2.0

2.2

And then also we don't have current financial information for Elk Point Country Club to see how they -- what they have submitted to the Internal Revenue Service over the past couple of years and for 2020.

Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the information that you have reviewed could give rise to the IRS determining that they would revoke the tax exempt status?

MR. JONES: Objection. Calls for speculation.

Lacks foundation.

THE COURT: Well, it is speculation. Her opinion as to whether they might do something is --

MS. KERN: That's not the question. The question is whether or not based upon the information that she has reviewed she has determined that it is more likely than not or possible, her words, I don't want to -- I don't want to imply words, whether or not it could lead to the IRS revoking

I want -- I want her to be able to testify the tax status. 1 to that. I don't want to ask the question in a way that is 2 suggesting what the answer is. 3 THE COURT: Well, you've just suggested it 4 several times there. 5 MS. KERN: Well, I didn't make the objection. Ι 6 had to answer the objection. 7 THE COURT: Ma'am, do you have any reason to 8 believe that the Internal Revenue Service is engaging in an 9 investigation of this organization? 10 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of an investigation 11 by the IRS, no. 12 THE COURT: Do you have any reason to believe 13 that you know what the outcome of such an investigation would 14 be or what -- what the potential penalty would be? You just 15 named several. 16 Right. THE WITNESS: 17 THE COURT: Do you have any reason to believe you 18 could pick one that is more likely than not would happen? 19 THE WITNESS: I think the IRS would look at 20 criteria for being a tax exempt -- tax exempt entity, and so 21 by looking at the documents and the transactions that are 22 occurring I think that Elk Point Country Club has not met the 23 criteria becoming a tax exempt entity. So I think that the 24

IRS would look at those factors that they have created to 1 determine whether Elk Point Country Club can remain tax exempt or not, but I think that their exempt status is 3 jeopardized. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 (BY MS. KERN:) And is it your opinion that an Ο. 6 entity should wait until there's an investigation to do 7 something about the conduct that might jeopardize their tax 8 9 exempt status? MR. JONES: Objection. Leading. 10 THE COURT: No doctor encourages people to keep 11 smoking until they get a lung problem. I already understand 12 that as a CPA she would encourage people to take care of the 13 problem before -- to slow down before they get pulled over, 14 1.5 right? THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 Thank you. I needed testimony of that MS. KERN: 17 because there's been a lot of argument that it's all 18 speculation and we shouldn't worry about it. There's no 19 20 investigation. THE COURT: I get it. 21 MS. KERN: And I think -- I wanted the record to 22

reflect that with testimony that, in fact, that's not what

23

2.4

should occur.

1	THE COURT: You think someone ought to fix
2	something?
3	THE WITNESS: I think if there's a potential
4	issue it needs to be figured out and addressed before the IRS
5	becomes aware of it.
6	MS. KERN: Thank you. Those are all my
7	questions.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. JONES:
11	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Salazar. My name is Prescott
12	Jones. I represent the Elk Point Country Club. I don't
13	believe you and I have ever met before.
14	A. We have not.
15	Q. I have a few follow-up questions for you. How
16	many instances are you aware of where an HOA's 501c7 status
17	has been revoked by the IRS due to short-term vacation
18	rentals?
19	MS. KERN: Objection. It is an inaccurate
20	statement of the law. There's not an HOA social club
21	exemption. The IRS has a social club exemption.
22	THE COURT: Modify your question.
23	MR. JONES: Well, I think the question is fair,
24	Your Honor. We can start with the broader.

2

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

(BY MR. JONES:) Ms. Salazar, how many instances Ο. are you aware of a 501c7 nonprofit organization having their tax exempt status revoked as a result of short-term vacation rentals?

- I am not -- I have never been personally involved Α. in a 501c7 that had their tax exempt status revoked.
- Did you review IRS guidance, rulings or other Ο. documents of the IRS to determine whether or not, in fact, a 501c7 tax exempt status has been revoked as a result of short-term vacation rentals?
- I did read various guidance from the Internal Α. Revenue Service in which they were talking about social clubs, soccer clubs, but I don't recall the final opinion being the revocation of the 501c7 status in those instances.
- So just to summarize your testimony now, it's Q. fair to say you have never seen an instant where 501c7 tax exempt status has been revoked due to short-term vacation rentals?
- I did not specifically research that issue, and if I did research that issue I would be able to, you know, answer that, but I didn't specifically try to figure out how many 501c7 organizations were revoked.
 - I'm not sure that's the question I was asking. Ο.

Is it fair to say you have not encountered an instance where 1 501c7 tax exempt status has been revoked as a result of 2 short-term vacation rentals? 3 MS. KERN: I'm going to object. She answered the 4 He doesn't like her answer. 5 question. THE COURT: Overruled. 6 MS. KERN: But she answered it. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 THE WITNESS: I have not. 9 MR. JONES: Thank you. 10 In your opinion can an individual member of a Q. 11 501c7 organization ever financially benefit from the 12 organization? 13 I'm going to object. That's vague as 14 MS. KERN: to what financially benefit is. 15 THE COURT: I think all of her testimony has been 16 about financial benefits and I asked her about that 17 specifically. Go ahead and answer the question. 18 THE WITNESS: In order for a 501c7 entity to 19 remain tax exempt they have to be a membership with 20 individuals participating commingling with common benefit or 2.1 common purposes. They have to provide pleasure, recreation 2.2 and other non-profitable purposes not commercially benefiting 23 and, three, the members cannot inure a benefit from the net 2.4

4

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

- (BY MR. JONES:) So is it fair to say your Q. response to my question is you believe that, no, an individual member can never financially benefit from a 501c7 organization?
- The tax exempt status requires that the Α. individual members do not privately benefit, and also there's that criteria of all members should be treated equally. So the purpose is that the entity is not generating income otherwise it wouldn't be a tax exempt status.
- Isn't it true though that IRS guidance does allow Q. a 501c7 nonprofit organization to derive some profit from its common element as long as it doesn't constitute substantially all of its earnings?
- A 501c such as Elk Point Country Club generates Α. membership dues, and Elk Point Country Club specifically also generates investment income in which they pay taxes on, but they are not supposed to -- the members of Elk Point Country Club are not supposed to derive a private benefit from the use of the private facilities of Elk Point Country Club.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I move to strike the response as nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Well, I think it was very responsive. That motion is denied.

MR. JONES: I apologize for cutting you off, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's okay.

1.0

2.0

2.3

Q. (BY MR. JONES:) So it's your opinion then that despite the substantially all test that I described in my last question, the benefit the individual owners of and members of the Elk Point Country Club cannot have any financial benefit whatsoever from renting their property; is that correct?

MS. KERN: I'm going to object. Asked and answered.

THE COURT: No. Overruled. No. It's a different question.

THE WITNESS: You have to still follow the criteria of the tax exempt organization under the IRS rules. So what I think that you're asking is there is the issue of the nontax exempt related income. So it's when you're soliciting the public for a fee it is the recurring activities such as a recurring vacation rental and then also generating activities that are intended to generate an income or a profit, and if those nonbusiness or nonentity related transactions are happening then they are no longer considered tax exempt.

Q. So your answer to my question would be then, no,

there's not an instance where a member of a 501c7 organization like the Elk Point Country Club can profit off of their ownership of a house; is that correct?

MS. KERN: I'm going to object. He wants to pigeonhole it into a different portion, but she's answering it in connection with the criteria. I'm going to object that he's asking and answering and misstating her testimony.

THE COURT: That objection is overruled. It's cross-examination. He needs to do this.

THE WITNESS: Under the IRS rules, if the members are receiving private benefit from the facilities offered by Elk Point Country Club it calls into question their tax exempt status.

- Q. (BY MR. JONES:) So it's fair to say then your testimony is that, no, the private member cannot benefit from their ownership of a property within the Elk Point Country Club under your opinion regarding 501c7; is that correct?
- A. Under the guidelines set forth by the Internal Revenue Service they say that the private member should not receive any inurement from the earnings of the Elk Point Country Club.
- Q. In your opinion that would encompass short-term vacation rentals, correct?
 - A. Yes.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-152

1	short-term or long-term. They care about the private benefit
2	to the individual owners.
3	Q. Okay. So in your opinion then the Elk Point
4	Country would be in violation and perhaps putting its tax
5	exempt status in jeopardy by allowing not only short-term
6	vacation renters but also long-term renters too, correct?
7	A. It depends on if the renters are, if the
8	advertisement for those renters includes the use of the
9	private facilities offered by Elk Point Country Club.
10	THE COURT: No. Wait a minute, ma'am. It
11	doesn't depend on the advertisement at all.
12	THE WITNESS: The use of.
13	THE COURT: It depends on the actual use.
14	THE WITNESS: Right.
15	THE COURT: The advertisement
16	THE WITNESS: Right.
17	THE COURT: May be evidence of that use.
18	THE WITNESS: The access and the use of.
19	THE COURT: Right.
20	THE WITNESS: Right.
21	THE COURT: It does depend it all on
22	advertisement.
23	THE WITNESS: Correct. You're right. Yep.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

1	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Okay. And it would be fair to
2	say the use of the roads would also be encompassed within the
3	common elements as well, correct?
4	A. I would expect access to the roads to have entry
5	into a property at Elk Point, yes.
6	Q. Ms. Salazar, are you retained by the plaintiffs
7	in this case as an expert witness?
8	A. I have been retained to assist in the
9	determination of whether the tax exempt status is at question
10	or not.
11	Q. Do you recall when you were retained by the
12	plaintiffs in this case?
13	A. Yes, March of 2020.
14	Q. There's a declaration that was attached to the
15	plaintiffs' reply in support of the preliminary injunction.
16	Do you recall that declaration?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did you draft that declaration?
19	A. I assisted in the drafting of that declaration,
20	yes.
21	Q. Do you recall when you drafted that declaration?
22	A. August of two it was filed on August 24th of
23	2020.
24	Q. You said your retention date was back in March.

Do you recall the exact date? I apologize if you already testified to that.

A. I don't recall the exact date. It was March, mid-March.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I would like to renew my request to strike the expert's testimony as it relates to the fact that the preliminary injunction was filed on June 29th, 2020, without any opinion of the expert. The expert's opinion was only included in the reply brief which was contemporaneously submitted with a motion or request for submission of the briefing which does not allow my client to retain an expert and file an expert opinion in order to rebut this expert's opinion that she is presenting today. I believe it's improper gamesmanship and shouldn't be tolerated by this Court.

MS. KERN: We didn't even think that we would need to have that testimony until we saw the opposition. The opposition ended up going into a great deal of what we was in our opinion inaccurate description of what the IRS would look at and what the IRS would do. And as a result that is when we determined that it would be necessary to counter what the opposition. If you look at the reply it is solely related to the assertions and arguments that —

THE COURT: I've already ruled on this issue and

1	my ruling stands.
2	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	Q. I apologize, Ms. Salazar. I need to review my
4	notes.
5	THE COURT: Sir, you take your time.
6	MR. JONES: Thank you.
7	Q. Ms. Salazar, is it fair to say based on your
8	testimony earlier today that you didn't see any evidence
9	whatsoever that the HOA received any proceeds of any
10	short-term vacation rentals?
11	A. The only access to financial information that I
12	have is the income tax returns that we initially talked
13	about. And on the income tax returns it just shows
14	membership dues and assessments and then interest from the
15	investment income that I discussed.
16	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the HOA
17	received any of the proceeds of the short-term vacation
18	rentals that have been discussed so far today?
19	MS. KERN: Objection. Speculation.
20	THE COURT: Overruled. The question is whether
21	she has any reason to believe that.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't have any reason to believe
23	that Elk Point Country Club received income from the vacation
24	rentals.

1	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) And I apologize. When I refer
2	to the HOA I'm, of course, talking about the Elk Point
3	Country Club, but I appreciate your clarification on your
4	response. Thank you.
5	A. Sure.
6	Q. Do you have any opinion as to whether or not
7	appreciation of the value of a home would constitute an
8	inurement to an individual member of the HOA?
9	A. The IRS guidelines that I looked at didn't talk
10	about appreciation of real property, and so I didn't see
11	anything in that regard as it relates to inurement.
12	Q. Well, the guidelines that you looked at also
13	didn't mention anything about short-term vacation rentals
14	too; isn't that the case?
15	A. It didn't specifically address short-term
16	vacation rentals, no.
17	Q. All right. Ms. Salazar, I don't think I have any
18	other questions. Thanks so much.
19	A. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Kern, I have a few questions, and
21	you might want to wait until I'm done so you can incorporate
22	mine into yours.
23	MS. KERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Salazar, you're familiar with the

i	
1	term, just to follow up on these last questions, income
2	property.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What if someone were to purchase
5	units, a unit or units there or income property to rent for
6	long-term rental, say six months or a year or longer and it's
7	an income property, would that violate these rules?
8	THE WITNESS: Under the guidelines that the IRS
9	provides for social club 501c7 status I think it would
10	jeopardize the tax exempt status of the organization.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Now, moving from the idea of
12	income property to someone who owns a home there and owns a
13	home in another place.
14	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
15	THE COURT: Suppose they decide, you know, we
16	really don't use it during the winter.
17	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
18	THE COURT: So let's have a long-term lease, six
19	months.
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	THE COURT: And pay our mortgage and pay our dues
22	and that sort of thing. That would violate it also, wouldn't
23	it?
24	THE WITNESS: Are those in that scenario are
	1

1	they renting that unit and then also allowed access to the
2	private beach?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	THE WITNESS: Or are they just
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	THE WITNESS: So they are allowed access to all
7	of the amenities?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	THE WITNESS: Then I think, yes, it does.
10	THE COURT: Now, one of when you so when
11	you talk about recurring.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Is there some guideline with the IRS
14	as to how frequently that recurrence has to be?
15	THE WITNESS: No, it didn't define that.
16	THE COURT: So it could be, you know, every week
17	for a rental, every two-week rental or it could be a
18	six-month rental or a year rental. It's just recurring that
19	we lease it again when that
20	THE WITNESS: Right. It just says recurring,
21	correct.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Now, the distinction between
23	reporting to Douglas County and the Douglas County taxes and
24	the IRS, the IRS doesn't is not dependent on what Douglas

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	County does.
2	THE WITNESS: They are not, correct.
3	THE COURT: And they make an independent
4	decision?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. And when you say inuring
7	to the several times, and what I wrote down, if I got it
8	wrong you tell me.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	THE COURT: But you spoke about the social club
11	having income that inures to the benefit of the individual?
12	THE WITNESS: Correct.
13	THE COURT: But if the social club isn't getting
14	income from it.
15	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
16	THE COURT: And and only the individual is,
17	that inures to the benefit of the individual, does that still
18	violate the rule?
19	THE WITNESS: The issue there is that the country
20	club and the board members are encouraging and facilitating
21	the use of their private facilities, thereby allowing the
22	members to privately benefit.
23	THE COURT: How are they doing that? Tell me how
24	they are doing that.

1	THE WITNESS: So they are it's my
2	understanding that the members are required to include any
3	vacation, upcoming vacation rentals on the calendar of, you
4	know, I'm going to rent out my
5	THE COURT: What if they don't?
6	THE WITNESS: I think that that's required under
7	the rental committee requirements of the bylaws.
8	THE COURT: But they don't have to do a long-term
9	rental, right?
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know that. Yeah. So they
11	are not stopping it or preventing and they are continuing to
12	offer services, and I haven't seen any information that says
13	they are trying to stop it.
14	THE COURT: Well, what if their bylaws again,
15	we'll go back to this.
16	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
17	THE COURT: What if the bylaws talk about, you
18	know, allowing tenants?
19	THE WITNESS: Right. So their bylaws, the
20	purpose of the organization is consistent with what the IRS
21	is looking for a tax exempt organization. But if the bylaws
22	also talk about the vacation rental, which it does, then I
23	think that that's contrary to the tax exempt status that the
	1

IRS is offering.

1	THE COURT: So the problem might be that the
2	bylaws need to be amended.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	THE COURT: In order to prevent the rental.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Of tenancy.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: However intermittent that is.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And it could be with the very
11	foundation of the organization?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Which is the bylaws.
14	THE WITNESS: Correct.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: I appreciate that.
20	Now you may.
21	MS KERN: You addressed a couple of things that I
22	was going to ask about that the
23	THE COURT: I'm so sorry.
24	MS. KERN: No, it's good. I wanted to I
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322 795

1	wanted to address that, but I do have a question about the
2	bylaws that you just asked.
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. KERN:
5	Q. You referred to the purpose of the preamble of
6	the bylaws. Is that language consistent with the IRS tax
7	exempt status?
8	A. It is, yes.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. Exactly consistent with the criteria.
11	Q. So when you responded to the judge with respect
12	to perhaps changing some of the bylaws, it wasn't the very
13	basis of the purpose of the entity. It was as it relates to
14	having any language in there that would suggest the tenants
15	may be okay?
16	A. Yes. Exactly.
17	Q. Okay. I just wanted to make that distinction
18	because it was my understanding that you had testified
19	earlier that the purpose and the documents, such as the rules
20	that said no individual owner can generate any profit or have
21	any commercial business.
22	A. And that's right in the preamble and that is
23	consistent with the IRS tax exempt entity requirements.
24	Q. Okay. Thank you.

That's all I have, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Well, and I'll give you a turn in a 2 3 minute. The preamble says it, referring to the country 4 club? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: It shall not operate its properties 7 or facilities with the view of providing profit to its 8 members but rather such properties, facilities be held, operated, made available for use of enjoyment of its members. 10 Operate its properties or facilities with the view of 11 providing profit to its members. Is that what you're talking 12 13 about? So just above -- I don't know if THE WITNESS: 14 you have the most current bylaws. There are several of them. 15 THE COURT: It's the same in every preamble. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. So at the top of the 17 preamble it talks about pleasure, recreation and another 18 non-profitable purposes, and then a little bit down further 19 down it talks about that its intent is not to provide a 2.0 profit to its unit owners. 21 THE COURT: Well, it doesn't say that. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. What does it say? 23 THE COURT: It does have the statement it's 24

1	hereby affirmed to provide its members the pleasure.
. 2	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
3	THE COURT: Of fellowship and recreation.
4	THE WITNESS: Right.
5	THE COURT: And it's corporate function shall be
6	designed to achieve in highest measures said purpose.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Now, rather than what you said it
9	says what I said a moment ago. It shall not operate its
10	properties or facilities with the view of providing profits
11	to its members.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Is that what you mean?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	THE COURT: So you can't operate with the view
16	but how but that's just the preamble. Then in the in
17	the in the actual duties or I mean in the actual body of
18	the of the bylaws there's a reference to tenancy, and I
19	forget where that is but I can find it.
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	THE COURT: So and I don't think you get to weigh
22	in on this as to which is more dispositive under the law.
23	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
24	THE COURT: But do you have an opinion as to what
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1	the IRS would view as more dispositive either a preamble or a					
2	substance of the of the bylaws which appears to allow					
3	tenancy.					
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know what the IRS would do.					
5	THE COURT: And that is that the duties of the					
6	directors are to adopt rules for the conduct and government					
7	of the members, their guests and tenants.					
8	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.					
9	THE COURT: So clearly the duties of the					
10	directors specifically within this within this document					
11	are to create rules for tenants.					
12	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.					
13	THE COURT: Now, that's fairly fairly					
14	specific. Would you agree?					
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.					
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'm not going					
17	to ask you for a legal opinion on what the IRS would view as					
18	more more relevant, the general or the specific, but I					
19	think I have an idea as to that.					
20	Do you have questions?					
21	MR. JONES: I don't, Your Honor. Thank you.					
22	THE COURT: Do you have questions?					
23	MS. KERN: I do.					
24	Q. My understanding from your testimony was what the					

1	IRS is going to look at is whether or not the conduct of the					
2	club and the conduct of its members meets that criteria; is					
3	that correct?					
4	A. That's true, yes.					
5	MR. JONES: Leading question, Your Honor.					
6	THE COURT: But I overruled it. I'm trying to					
7	get to it.					
8	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) So the extent there is some					
9	inconsistency with the documents is it your opinion the IRS					
10	would base its determination to revoke an exemption based					
11	upon some inconsistency in the documents if it didn't have					
12	conduct that called into question that criteria that they					
13	look at for the social exemption?					
14	A. They look at what is actually taking place in the					
15	organization, yes.					
16	Q. Okay. And they are going to base it on their					
17	criteria as to what you have to comply with in order to get					
18	the social exemption?					
19	A. Yes.					
20	Q. Okay. Thank you.					
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Anything based on that?					
22	RECROSS-EXAMINATION					
23	BY MR. JONES:					
24	Q. There was discussion a second bag about					

1	inconsistency. Can you tell me what that inconsistency is
2	that was being referred to?
3	A. The inconsistency is in relation to the judge's
4	question regarding the bylaws.
5	Q. Well, are the bylaws inconsistent in your
6	opinion?
7	A. The preamble of the bylaws is consistent with
8	what the IRS indicates for a tax exempt entity, but Your
9	Honor referenced a section in the bylaws that talks about
10	tenants and the duties of a director.
11	Q. So how is it that the bylaws reference to tenants
12	is inconsistent with the preamble?
13	A. I did not make that conclusion and that really
14	requires a legal conclusion.
15	Q. Do you believe that the preamble is inconsistent
16	with the bylaws and the reference to tenants?
17	MS. KERN: I'm going to object. She was not
18	asked to in fact, Your Honor specifically said I'm not
19	going to ask you the legal conclusion of that. She wasn't
20	testifying as to that.
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	MS. KERN: She was answering your questions.
23	THE COURT: That's that objection is
24	sustained. I think that is up to me to determine if there is

1.6

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

any ir	nconsis	stency.					
	Q.	(BY MR.	JONES:)	So a portion	of the	e preamble	we
spoke	about	before 1	ceads "Its	primary pur	pose is	s hereby	
affin	med to	provide	its unit	owners with	the pl	easure of	
				_		, ,	

- And that portion of the preamble. I apologize.
- So wouldn't the language "primary purpose" imply that there could be secondary purposes?
- The -- I mean, if you follow the criteria that we've talked about and the reason why the IRS allows for social clubs it's to provide members to, benefits to members which includes social and recreation for which includes commingling and common interests, and so that's the exact language essentially as what the preamble says.
- Correct. I agree but wouldn't imply though that Q. there could be secondary purposes if the preamble states a primary purpose?
- I'm going to object. That calls for a MS. KERN: legal conclusion. She is here to testify as to what the IRS views with respect to social exempt status.

THE COURT: With all due respect, she is an

1	accountant, not an English teacher. I understand what					
2	primary means.					
3	MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I have					
4	no further questions.					
5	THE COURT: Thank you.					
6	Although, you may very well be.					
7	THE WITNESS: Not today.					
8	THE COURT: I don't know what you do in your					
9	spare time, ma'am. Thank you for being here.					
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.					
11	(Witness excused.)					
12	MS. KERN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs rest for					
13	this portion of the hearing reserving any rights to call					
14	impeachment.					
15	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.					
16	MR. JONES: Your Honor, at this point I would					
17	like to move for whatever the equivalent of a directed					
18	verdict would be in a preliminary injunction evidentiary					
19	hearing. The plaintiffs have not met their burden under the					
20	rules for a preliminary injunction.					
21	There has been, for example the moving party					
22	hasn't shown that there's an irreparable harm that would be					
23	suffered by the plaintiffs in the event that the preliminary					
24	injunction is not granted. There's no pending IRS action.					

There's no threat of IRS action. In fact, there's no delineation between -- there's no difference between short-term vacation rentals and long-term rentals and appreciation value of the homes and investment properties as testified to by the witness a few minutes ago.

There's no reason to -- to say that if only short-term rentals were to continue plaintiff would suffer irreparable harm. The damage to the property testified by Ms. Gilbert and some of the other plaintiffs' witnesses regarding violations of rules, damage to the property, overcrowding the beaches, there was no discussion as to and no evidence that this was caused by short-term vacation renters. There's discussion it could have been caused by guests. It could have been caused by long-term renters. It could have been caused by workers that are arriving on the property. It could have been caused by people that are entering the property uninvited following for perhaps someone behind the gate, someone walking through the gate.

There's absolutely no evidence to show, to justify a preliminary injunction at this stage of the case. Certainly some discovery needs to be done. We can talk about this again a few months down the road. At this stage of the litigation no irreparable harm at this point int time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else, sir?

MR. JONES: No, sir.

THE COURT: Do you wish to respond to that

motion?

2.1

2.2

MS. KERN: The suggestion that you have to wait until the IRS is actually going to do an investigation or has issued some kind of violation that would revoke the tax exempt status is exactly what we're trying to prevent. That is the irreparable harm. This is an entity that has existed for nearly 100 years. The tax exempt status has been in place for nearly 100 years. It has not been at risk until there was an issue with the benefits and the inurement and the selling of the common area for a greatly increased amount. As Ms. Salazar testified, it is the recurring activity that causes the issue and the problems.

I would agree perhaps we need, we will be looking at whether or not long-term and short-term are going to be an issue but for purposes of this hearing it is the short-term transient commercial use, utilizing these properties as a motel and having people utilize the common area that is owned by the social club. It is not owned by any other entity. It's owned by the social club, and the social club is made up of those members that paid a membership fee and are required to comply with all of the criteria and requirements of the

IRS.

And the only testimony Your Honor has is from Ms. Salazar in which it is her opinion that as a result of that activity that she saw that activity with respect to the rentals that are occurring on a short-term basis that she feels and has concluded that there is a risk that the IRS will determine the tax exempt status could be revoked. It's not speculation. You have an uncontroverted evidence that that is a consequence because the conduct is inuring to the benefit of those members. They are basically selling their rights in associated — associated with those short-term rentals.

You have in evidence the advertisements which show that the people that are doing this are getting 600 or they are advertising for \$600 a night for \$375 a night or \$1,000 a night for \$452 a night. That is because they are advertising it as Elk Point, beach, bated — excuse me, Elk Point, beach, gated gem. I apologize.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. KERN: And they consistently are identifying that that is an extra value. Ms. Salazar, again, uncontradicted testimony that in her experience that value added to those VRBO's, to those Airbnb's, to that commercial use of the properties is why they can get more money for it,

and that is the risk that is against this -- against this country club.

And keep in mind what we are asking for is simply to impose an injunction that would preserve what was the status quo for 90 years. The testimony which is uncontradicted is that the issue of these transient commercial use has exploded in the last couple of years. This isn't something that's been going on for a really long time. It's something that is being taken advantage of now and it — the plaintiffs simply want to preserve the character as this club was originally designed for the purpose of the recreation and fellowship of its members.

That is the very simple relief that is requested to preserve what was in place for over 90 years and has recently been put into jeopardy and the tax exempt status put into jeopardy as a result of this recent conduct and activity that is occurring in order -- as a result of being able to utilize those club facilities.

So we certainly have met our burden that there is irreparable harm to have the jeopardy to have the tax exempt status in jeopardy for over — that has been in place for over 90 years cannot be replaced with damages. That is irreparable harm.

THE COURT: That's not the only -- that's not the

only burden you have to meet, ma'am. You also have to show a likelihood of prevailing in this.

2.1

MS. KERN: And the only testimony that you have is that it is that jeopardy against the tax exempt status.

and so I'm going to -- to give you a fair opportunity to address that. The problem that you have is an inherent conflict in these bylaws that the members of the community have apparently never attempted to fix or change, and the preamble is one thing, but the body of the bylaws specifically reference tenancy, renting this property out.

And, I mean, that's -- that's part of the bylaws here, and that seems to be that that's going to be a substantial burden for you to prevail at trial, and I think you really need to address that because this is -- this is a problem for you and it -- you know, I -- I'm very sympathetic. I don't want to -- personally I don't want to live next to people who are coming in and out every week. I understand that, and I'm extremely sympathetic with that for every one of those people.

But the problem is the evidence that I have is that there was recently an election. The choice that the election was to elect people who were in favor of short-term rental. The evidence that I have is that there is no

evidence of an attempt to change these bylaws, to eliminate tenancy as one of the things that people can do with their property and -- and it specifically -- and the duties of the 3 directors to make rules for tenants, and one of those rules 4 seems to be to have a list of who you're renting to. So it 5 seems like they have that rule that's consistent with 6 7 tenancy. And it may be as I asked Ms. Salazar that your 8

bylaws, your bylaws are inconsistent with a 501c7 and she acknowledged that. That -- now, you have to show me today in order to be successful today that you have a likelihood of prevailing on that and that, you know, way more than the -the difficulty posed and the damage done if, in fact, you're stripped of this tax exempt status, you have to show me you're likely to prevail on this and how do you overcome that.

> May I respond? MS. KERN:

I'm done, ma'am. THE COURT:

MS. KERN: Okay.

But I wanted to guide you there so THE COURT: that you know that that's where I'm concerned.

MS. KERN: And I very much appreciate it because I think you flipped us on its side.

THE COURT: All right.

24

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

22

23

24

MS. KERN: The issue isn't whether or not -first of all we know that the IRS doesn't really care what the bylaws say. What the IRS cares about is the criteria and whether you have met the social exempt status.

So to the extent that there is an inconsistency in the bylaws, we don't have to meet the burden that we can somehow prevail that it is violated or that it is prohibited by the bylaws. That's not the argument that was made. argument that was made was that by continuing to allow it we are triggering the criteria to be evaluated by the IRS and to

THE COURT: But maybe you're not entitled to 501c7 status. So if you lose it that might just be fair. Maybe you're not entitled to that.

MS. KERN: But to your point shouldn't that be a vote of the members to actually take away that tax exempt status? You can't allow that risk to occur without giving an opportunity for the community to understand what that would Under -- so and let me take your -- your --

THE COURT: Yeah.

Your --

THE COURT: Help me out.

MS. KERN: -- evaluation of the bylaws. bylaws clearly in the preamble are completely consistent with

2.4

the concept of a 501c7 social club. They want the fellowship and the relationships and it's the Elks Club. I mean, all of us of a certain age I think have heard of the Elks' Club.

THE COURT: My dad was an Elk.

MS. KERN: I told her about it. I don't know if she knew about it.

THE COURT: Where you played poker every Thursday

MS. KERN: You know, my father, my uncle, they were all in it. So that was established in 1925 and it -- I guarantee you its purpose back then, I mean I don't think anybody even contemplated the concept of somebody renting out their house for a day or two like a motel, but its purpose was to provide a place of fellowship for its members. It applied for and obtained a social exempt status from the IRS. Every year they submit and they utilize that tax exempt status when they file their tax returns.

If you -- the determination that there might be a conflict in the bylaws is irrelevant to what the IRS is going to look at as to whether the tax exempt status is -- is honored.

What you're suggesting is that perhaps the members need to take a good hard look and evaluate whether or not they are willing to give that up, but that should be a

conscious decision not a decision made because the IRS might trigger it through a revocation.

And what we are looking at and what we are arguing is that preserve the status quo so that we don't trigger any jeopardy with respect to that 501c7 status. And if we need to go suggest an amendment or we need to do something and that's the way we solve this problem, maybe that's what we do, but right now the issue before the Court is is there a jeopardy to the 501c7 status and can you avoid that jeopardy simply by preserving the status quo that was in place for over 90 years.

THE COURT: But, Ms. Kern, part of the status quo that is in place now, status quo meaning now, is that there are short-term rentals and there are -- and there are tenancies that are consistent with this -- with these bylaws too. The status quo is that there are tenancies. The status quo is that you have a special classification under the federal tax code. That's one part of the status quo and you have an inconsistent apparently, I don't know. I'm not the IRS, and this is -- and my ruling is not any guidance on the TRS.

But it appears that you also have as status quo rentals. Now, maybe they have increased recently. That's the testimony that I've heard. I've heard that they have

1	blown up and and I believe that to be true, and I find
2	that as fact that's true because that's the only evidence
3	that I have, but I also find this fact that it is part of the
4	bylaws that those tenancies are envisioned within the
5	creation of this organization.
6	MS. KERN: Well, some tenancy is envisioned.
7	There's nothing in the bylaws nor in the rules that talk
8	about commercial use.
9	THE COURT: Can you tell me what that tenancy
10	would be referring to? Can you give me any guidance as to
11	anywhere in the bylaws, any evidence how I can interpret the
12	word tenancy differently?
13	MS. KERN: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay, great.
15	MS. KERN: Because we know from the testimony
16	that Douglas County requires a permit if you are going to
17	rent your property for less than 28 days because they
18	consider it to be commercial use.
19	THE COURT: But Ms. Salazar says it doesn't
20	matter, short-term, long-term. Now, your clients don't like
21	people coming in short-term. They just don't like it.
22	MS. KERN: No. I'm responding to your question
23	about tenancy.
24	THE COURT: Okay.

1	MS. KERN: You said can I is there a
2	distinction. I think that there is a very fair reading of
3	the governing documents that tenancy under the bylaws does
4	not mean the commercial short-term use of units.
5	THE COURT: What if it means commercial long-term
6	use?
7	MS. KERN: Long-term use is not viewed as
8	commercial use. It's not viewed by Douglas County. It's not
9	viewed in the law.
10	THE COURT: No. Douglas County the evidence
11	is very clear from Ms. Salazar. What Douglas County thinks
12	is irrelevant to the IRS. So don't tell me what Douglas
13	County thinks because you're arguing to me about IRS, and her
14	testimony was that a tenancy longer than 28 days is just the
15	same to the IRS.
16	MS. KERN: I'm responding to your question, Your
17	Honor. You asked a question about how do
18	THE COURT: It's all tenancy.
19	MS. KERN: But I'm suggesting, Your Honor if I
20	can just finish.
21	THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
22	MS. KERN: I'm suggesting that the term tenancy
23	in the bylaws can clearly be viewed and defined as a
24	long-term tenancy. I agree. We gotta deal with whether or

not that might also be a jeopardy under the criteria of the 1 IRS, but I'm just talking about the bylaws. The bylaws 2 provide that you cannot or should not or shall not have any 3 business or profits within the community and it's not just 4 the bylaws. The 1988 rules specifically say --5 THE COURT: Tell me what exhibit you're looking 6 7 at. MS. KERN: It is Exhibit Number 6, and it was 8 reported in Douglas County. There's recording information at 9 the bottom. 10 THE COURT: And you're looking at number ten. 11 MS. KERN: Number ten. 12 THE COURT: A person operating any business. 13 "No person shall operate any business 14 MS. KERN: on the club premises nor on their individual property within 15 the club." If you take that and you couple it with the 16 definition that Douglas County views, if it's less than 17 28 days it is a commercial use of a property. It is a 18 rental, correct. You have a tenant, correct, but it's 19 commercial. It is operating a business on their individual 20 2.1 property. So if you couple that with the term tenancy 22 which -- which you are suggesting might include any kind of a 23 tenant, short-term or long-term, I would suggest that's not 24

consistent with the governing documents. The governing documents make a distinction. We have determined that we being Douglas County, Douglas County has determined that renting for less than 28 days constitutes commercial use. It's a business. We're going to tax you for it. So it is consistent to make a decision even under the bylaws separate from whether we need to make a distinction in connection with the IRS, but we certainly need to make a distinction.

We can make a distinction under the bylaws and it can be entirely consistent. It's not inconsistent. There is the same consistency that this is a social club. It's for recreation. It's for the members and still allow them to rent their units as long as it is not a commercial rental. That is what is I would argue consistent with the prohibition that the IRS may look at when looking at the criteria of whether or not there are members benefiting running their property as a commercial entity by utilizing the social club property.

If we didn't have the specific statements within the rules or within the bylaws that made a distinction between the commercial use of property I would agree with you that the word tenancy may be ambiguous.

THE COURT: Commercial is not -- not in the preamble.

1	MS. KERN: Well
2	THE COURT: or profit.
3	MS. KERN: For profit, business, okay.
4	THE COURT: Which may be something different.
5	MS. KERN: Okay. But clearly the concept that we
6	are not going to be profiting, I mean to me profit is the
7	equivalent of running a business. I mean I don't profit from
8	something I'm not trying to generate or it's not a commercial
9	venture.
10	And so it is consistent and it it doesn't
11	require you to find that they absolutely have to allow
12	short-term rentals, especially when you are faced with the
13	uncontradicted evidence that by allowing it it is going to
14	I'm sorry. It could trigger an evaluation by the IRS that
15	the criteria has not been met.
16	THE COURT: I just have to tell you I'm not
17	particularly persuaded by that. Your better argument has to
18	deal with paragraph ten of the rules.
19	MS. KERN: Well well, I wasn't rejecting that
20	argument. I had that one too.
21	THE COURT: Of course you weren't. All right.
22	Thank you.
23	So if Mr. Jones, if the if the rule
24	specifically prohibit operating a business how do you get

around that?

1.7

2.0

MR. JONES: I think the issue --

THE COURT: How do you get around that?

MR. JONES: Well, the question is is it a business? What is a business? Their own expert testified a few minutes ago it doesn't matter if it's a short-term rental, if it's a long-term rental, if it's an investment property, whatever, it could be potentially run afoul of IRS quidance as it relates to 501c7.

THE COURT: It may. But how do you get around the club allowing people to run a business when the rules you can't run a business? Now, you know, that's -- that's your problem. Certainly Ms. Kern heard me tell her I think I know what her problem is with the argument. But, you know, you've got to be able to -- they have a right to enforce the rules of the organization.

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: So, you know, short-term rentals are a business. Long-term rentals may be a business. All of those rentals may be a business, and it may be these rules which were enacted after the bylaws prohibit or define that and maybe tenancy means life tenancy. I didn't hear that, but there are a number of different tenancies that we all remember from property law class, don't we, and so maybe a

life tenancy.

2.1

2.4

And so how do you get around that the board seems to be allowing through, you know, having a rental calendar and that sort of thing, allowing rentals or allowing a business to happen in violation of its own rules? Tell me how that works. How is that okay?

MR. JONES: Well, I think that the definition of a business as it relates to section ten of the rules is in my opinion more of a traditional business. It's different when you're dealing with real estate. If someone --

THE COURT: Come on, sir. You and I both know many people are in the business of renting property out.

MR. JONES: Certainly, on a short-term basis. A long-term basis, there's people that are in the business --

THE COURT: Right. It's a business.

MR. JONES: -- of investing properties.

THE COURT: You get \$600 a night that's a business.

MR. JONES: Sure. There's people who operate, who own these homes as a second or third home or an investment home. All of these are generally permitted uses in Nevada. It's permitted under NRS 116.340 as well. NRS 116.340 sets forth the policy of the State of Nevada that's been interpreted —

THE COURT: No. No. No. Sir, there's a policy of this club. There's a policy of this club that says you can't run a business out of this place. It doesn't mean a 7-11.

MR. JONES: Well, I think the fact that it was an issue going into the election this past July whereas was testified by some of the plaintiffs' witnesses earlier that this was an issue that was decided as one of the main issues that was voted on.

THE COURT: Oh, did you hear me suggest to the other side that maybe they need to amend their bylaws? You were here. Yeah, you heard it. Well, maybe if — if the people who won that election want to amend something they need to amend these rules to allow for a business. I mean, you know, you got rules, sir.

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: And there's not -- you point me to an exception in these rules that says except you can rent your house out as a business I'll read it.

MR. JONES: Well, it's the contemplation of tenancy, Your Honor. It's the plaintiffs' position that any tenancy would constitute a business. The rules absolutely contemplate tenancy, and I think that's how you delineate it.

THE COURT: Does life tenancy constitute a

business? 1 Well, we don't know. It's a tenancy. MR. JONES: 2 If I leave my place to you for life THE COURT: 3 and then to someone else, that's a life tenancy, right? 4 MR. JONES: Sure. 5 Is that a business? I'm dead. THE COURT: 6 I don't believe it is. MR. JONES: 7 No, it isn't. So not every tenancy THE COURT: 8 is a business. 9 I'm not saying every tenancy is, but MR. JONES: 10 the fact that the rules contemplate tenancy to be allowed I 11 think delineates what I would consider to be a more 12 traditional business and then what's at issue here which is 13 short-term vacation rentals which, again, I believe it's 14 allowed. It's the policy of the State of Nevada of 116.340 15 to allow for vacation rentals. It's an issue that is my 16 understanding being contemplated by Douglas County as we 17 speak right now. This isn't something that --18 THE COURT: It is. 19 MR. JONES: And I think that unless you had a 20 ruling by Douglas County saying no short-term rentals are 21 allowed within Douglas County that's a whole different issue 2.2 obviously, but that's not the case right now, Your Honor. 23

Do you

24

THE COURT: Well, your motion is denied.

1	have any witnesses?
2	MR. JONES: May I have a minute, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: You certainly may, sir.
4	MR. JONES: Do you mind if we take a quick break?
5	THE COURT: Well, I got to tell you it's time for
6	the break for my clerk and the court reporter anyway. So we
7	are going to do that. So we're going to take a 15-minute
8	break and let them get up and walk around and and, ma'am,
9	I'm telling you don't go back to your office and just work
10	again because that's what she does. I want you to know I
11	have the best employees in this court. They are wonderful.
12	So take a little break. We'll be back in 15 minutes.
13	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
15	THE COURT: All right. We're back in session in
16	20CV124. The parties and their attorneys are all here.
17	Sir?
18	MR. JONES: Thank you, again, Your Honor. I
19	would like to call Robert Felton.
20	THE COURT: Absolutely. Would you come up,
21	please, sir.
22	
23	
24	

	l de la companya de
1	ROBERT FELTON,
2	called as a witness on behalf of the
3	Plaintiff having been first duly sworn,
4	was examined and testified as follows:
5	
6	THE COURT: You have to wait until she finishes
7	asking you the question before you jump into the answer.
8	Sir, your collar is bent up a little bit. I'm
9	not usually one to fix anyone's sartorial splendor there.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: You look much better now I assure
12	you. Have a seat and relax.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. JONES:
15	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Felton. Could you please
16	spell your name for the record.
17	A. Robert Wayne Felton, F-e-l-t-o-n.
18	Q. Mr. Felton, are you on the board of the Elk Point
19	Country Club?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What is your position?
22	A. President.
23	Q. What do your duties involve as president?
24	A. To hear the agenda, to be in charge of the
	823

1	meeting and to have a fiduciary duty and a duty to make our
2	decisions for the community as a whole.
3	Q. As part of your duties as the president of the
4	Elk Point Country Club HOA are you familiar with what the
5	current version of the rules and regulations of the HOA are?
6	A. I am.
7	MR. JONES: Okay. Your Honor, may I approach the
8	witness?
9	THE COURT: You may, sir.
10	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Mr. Felton, I presented you with
11	what's been marked as Exhibit 17. Have you seen this
12	document before?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. What do you understand the document to be?
15	A. It's the homeowners association rules,
16	regulations and guidelines.
17	Q. And what is the effective date of this document,
18	sir?
19	A. 9-14 2019.
20	MR. JONES: Your Honor, I would like to move to
21	admit this into evidence.
22	MS. KERN: We object. There's no evidence that
23	they are recorded. There is no identification of any indicia
24	with respect to the other rules which were clearly recorded

1	with Douglas County. There's nothing on the copy that I was
2	provided that shows any recording information. So I would
3	object. They are not valid or in effect to change any rules
4	that are recorded and are of and run with the land and our
5	covenance and conditions that apply to the various properties
6	within Elk Point.
7	THE COURT: Well, your objection is overruled.
8	He said these are the regulations. We'll see whether they
9	are valid or not, but that's what the witness' testimony.
10	He's identified them. So the objection is overruled. 17
11	will be admitted.
12	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	Q. Mr. Felton, I want to turn your attention to page
14	three of Exhibit 17.
15	THE COURT: Sir? Sir? Mr. Felton?
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Do not lick your finger and then put
18	it on a document that you intend to hand back to the clerk.
19	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
20	THE COURT: Do not do that again.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get the page. Page
22	three.
23	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Mr. Felton, do you see a
24	paragraph 14 on page three?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you please read that in its entirety.
3	THE COURT: Do you have the same document right
4	there in front of you?
5	MR. JONES: I do, Your Honor. Would you like me
6	to
7	THE COURT: Provide it to the clerk.
8	17 is stricken and 17 this will be 17A and it
9	is going to be admitted if it's the exact same document.
10	MR. JONES: It is, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: I'm not going to ask the clerk to
12	handle that.
13	MR. JONES: Am I able to present the page we just
14	discussed to the witness, Your Honor, on Exhibit 17A?
15	THE COURT: Is the one he has the exact same?
16	MR. JONES: It is, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: I'll allow him to testify from 17A.
18	MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Or 17. Let me have 17A. Thank you,
20	ma'am.
21	Q. (BY MR. JONES:) Mr. Felton, can you please read
22	paragraph 14.
23	A. "14, business operations. Unit owners may engage
24	in a business activity within their residence as long as

1	there is no customer-employee contact within EPCC. EPCC
2	facilities including the beach and beach deck shall not be
3	used for any business activity."
4	Q. Thank you, Mr. Felton. That's all of the
5	questions that I have.
6	THE COURT: Do you have questions, ma'am?
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. KERN:
9	Q. Were the rules identified in Exhibit 17, did you
10	cause them to be recorded?
11	A. I don't know.
12	Q. The document that has been presented to the Court
13	has no recording information. Do you any information that
14	they were ever recorded?
15	A. They were approved by the board. I don't know if
16	they were recorded or not.
17	Q. When were they approved?
18	A. I would have to check the board meeting we did it
19	on. It was done at a board meeting. I don't know if that
20	board meeting was August 17th or not.
21	Q. Where did you get the date August 17th?
22	A. Top of the document.
23	Q. The top of your document says August 17th?
24	That's a different document.

1	A. I'm sorry, September 14th. I'm sorry. I don't
2	have any glasses on. September 14, 2019.
3	Q. So you adopted these after this litigation was
4	commenced and after the motion for preliminary injunction was
5	filed?
6	MR. JONES: Objection. I don't believe that's
7	the case at all.
8	MS. KERN: Oh, it's 2019. I apologize. It's
9	late in the day. I'm sorry. I wish it were still.
10	THE COURT: It's late in 2020 too.
11	MS. KERN: I know. Very much apologize. I was
12	thinking it was right now.
13	Q. So if you'll look at that number 14 that
14	Mr. Jones asked you to look at.
15	A. Yep.
16	Q. On page three.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So this business activity is the type of business
19	activity that would have a customer or an employee?
20	A. I don't understand the question. The statement
21	says may have a business activity within the residence as
22	long as there is no customer employee contact within EPCC.
23	Q. So it would be business activity that had a
24	customer or employee involved?

1	Α.	I don't know if that means that or not.
2	Q.	Well, it doesn't say anything about tenants, does
3	it?	
4	Α.	No.
5	Q.	And it doesn't say anything about transient
6	commercial	use, does it?
7	Α.	No.
8	Q.	It talks about business activities that might
9	have a cust	omer or an employee?
10	А.	No.
11	Q.	Well, it says if, and tell me if I'm not reading
12	this correc	ctly. Unit owners may engage in a business
13	activity wi	thin their residence as long as there is no
14	customer en	mployee contact within EPCC?
15	А.	That's what it says.
16	Q.	In September of 2019 were you aware that there
17	was a conce	ern with the short-term transient commercial use
18	within the	association?
19	Α.	Probably.
20	Q.	As a director did you request or obtain any kind
21	of an opin	ion from the CPA for the association as to whether
22	or not you	were jeopardizing the 501c7 exempt status by
23	including	that provision in your rules?
24	Α.	No.
	i .	•

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-196

1	
1	MS. KERN: Your Honor, if I may have some lead to
2	go beyond direct since there was only one or two questions?
3	It would be within the scope of his service as a board
4	member.
5	MR. JONES: I'm going to object to that, Your
6	Honor.
7	MS. KERN: Then I would ask for leave to be able
8	to call him on redirect or on direct.
9	THE COURT: As a rebuttal witness?
10	MS. KERN: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Why don't we save time and you just
12	do it now, and I'll object to that or I'll overrule your
13	objection. She would be calling him as a rebuttal witness if
14	it's related to that sort of thing.
15	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) In or about August of 2018 were
16	you on the board?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. At that time was there a request by some of the
19	members of the social club to send out for a vote of bylaw
20	amendment to address the issue of transient commercial use?
21	A. I recall there was some request to send out a
22	survey. I don't remember if it was bylaw amendment or not.
23	Q. Would you disagree that do you actually recall
24	that it was not a request for bylaw or are you just not

1	remembering?
2	A. I don't recall.
3	Q. Okay. So it's very possible that there was a
4	request of the board to send out a bylaw amendment to address
5	the transient commercial use?
6	A. I don't believe you can come to that conclusion.
7	I don't recall whether it was or not, and I think that that's
8	where I have to leave it.
9	Q. You certainly didn't do so, did you?
10	A. We discussed the survey and the board and decided
11	not to do it.
12	Q. And you certainly didn't send out a bylaw
13	amendment for a vote of the membership to address the
14	transient commercial use, did you?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Are you aware that four out of the five members
17	currently rent either their property or the use?
18	THE COURT: Four out of the five members of what,
19	ma'am?
20	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) I'm sorry. Four of the five
21	board members. I thought I said board members. I apologize
22	if I did not. Are you aware that four of the five board
23	members currently rent their properties or rent the social

24

club facilities?

1	Α.	That's an incorrect statement.
2	Q.	So you're not aware?
3		MR. JONES: Object.
4		THE COURT: That's not what he said.
5		THE WITNESS: That's not what I said. Your
6	statement is	s incorrect.
7	Q.	(BY MS. KERN:) What is incorrect?
8	Α.	The number of board members that rent property.
9	Q.	What is the correct number?
10	Α.	Three.
11	Q.	Can you identify them?
12	Α.	Yes. Mark Marouski, Rick Laramacino. No,
13	there's onl	y two. Mark Marouski and Rick Laramacino. Three
14	of us do no	t rent.
15	Q.	I thought do you have two properties within
16	Elk Point?	
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	Oh, you do not?
19	Α.	No. My wife has one, but I do not.
20	Q.	Thank you for the distinction. Are you aware
21	that of a r	rental by Mr. Goslin of the beach areas to any
22	individual?	
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	Would you be concerned that Mr. Goslin was
	,	

1	renting the ability to use the social club beach areas for
2	\$100 a month?
3	MR. JONES: Objection. Calls for speculation.
4	Lacks foundation.
5	THE COURT: That objection is overruled.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of it.
7	Q. (BY MS. KERN:) No. I didn't ask you if you were
8	aware. I said would you be concerned if that occurred?
9	A. Yes.
10	THE COURT: Does your wife rent her property?
11	THE WITNESS: She does. Long-term rental. She's
12	done it for eight years.
13	THE COURT: How long have you been married?
14	THE WITNESS: Four.
15	THE COURT: Four years?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. Obviously, it's not our first
17	marriage but we've been together 16.
18	THE COURT: You could have just been holding out.
19	THE WITNESS: We did.
20	MS. KERN: I apologize, Your Honor. If you would
21	give me just a few moments.
22	Q. Is there an individual on the board by the name
23	of Chuck Jennings?
24	A. There is.

1	Q. And you are not aware that he is advertising on
2	Expedia to rent his property as recently as August 5th of
3	2020?
4	A. I'm aware that he advertised and got put in. It
5	was not by him and he does not rent his property and he's
6	here.
7	Q. You're aware that it was not posted by him?
8	A. Not posted by him. He told me that, and he also
9	said has not rented the property since they moved in
10	permanently I think three years ago.
11	Q. Did he speculate or know who had posted it?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Do you or will you realize any financial interest
14	as a result of the outcome of this hearing?
15	A. No.
16	MS. KERN: No further questions.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Jones?
18	MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Felton. I have no
19	questions.
20	THE WITNESS: Sorry about this.
21	THE COURT: That's okay. Sir, leave it right
22	there.
23	Is the your wife's property, is it community
24	property between the two of you?

1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	THE COURT: It's separate property?
3	THE WITNESS: Separate property.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. You can leave the exhibit
5	there.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	THE COURT: Take your time now.
8	THE WITNESS: It's late.
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Do you have any other
10	witnesses here?
11	MR. JONES: No, Your Honor. We'll rest.
12	THE COURT: Do you have any other witnesses?
13	MS. KERN: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Do you have
15	closing argument?
16	MS. KERN: I apologize if some of my argument
17	overlaps some of the discussion that we had.
18	THE COURT: Ma'am, you've thought this out. I
19	have thrown you off that train of thought. You get back on
20	board anywhere you would like.
21	MS. KERN: No. I appreciate it. I actually very
22	much appreciate the argument that we had or I shouldn't say
23	argument, the discussion that we had with respect to the
24	distinction between the bylaws and the criteria that the IRS

is going to evaluate.

1.5

2.0

I do want to briefly address before I talk about the standard and the discussion of the legal analysis with respect to this hearing. The exhibit that was introduced through Mr. Felton, as the Court is aware, the --

THE COURT: Before you get into that, let me make clear what the exhibit is. The exhibit is 17A that is admitted. 17 the Court has ordered stricken. The Court -- the record will reflect that 17 constitutes biohazard that this Court is not going to require the clerk to handle, and unless there's an objection I intend to have it destroyed. Any objection from counsel?

MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you would agree that 17A is an exact duplicate?

MR. JONES: Agreed.

THE COURT: 17A is all the clerk will have to handle. I'll take care of 17.

MS. KERN: Okay. With that correction the Exhibit 17A that was reviewed is not recorded. And as basic or real property law says that if there is a recorded document it becomes a covenant and exception against the real property that is at issue.

In this case the only recorded rules and

regulations which have not been changed, this document, 17A, cannot change the recorded rules and regulations that were admitted into evidence. And I do want to make a confession that --

2.2

2.3

THE COURT: This is the room for that, ma'am.

MS. KERN: Exhibit Number 6, which are the recorded rules and regulations are actually two rules and regulations. One was recorded as Document 370678 which is identified as plaintiffs' 0054. And the other one was a recorded Document 70784 as plaintiffs' 55. I apologize for combining those two. I, quite frankly, did not realize that they had been combined. I don't think it is of any import. The page plaintiffs' 54, which is the first page of that exhibit, Document 370678 is the one we were talking about and was later recorded.

The paragraph in that was important and that we were talking about is the same in both, but I did want to bring that to the Court's attention, especially because we have had a little bit of an issue with combining documents. That one was not intentional. So I do apologize.

Those rules that were recorded are the ones that the homeowner's are entitled to rely upon and are encumbrances upon their property. You cannot change something that is recorded without recording something

subsequently.

2.0

So for purposes and to the extent it is relevant for any evaluation or analysis by the Court the rule that provided and is consistent I would suggest with the bylaws that no person shall operate any business on the club premises nor in their individual property within the club is the controlling rule.

Even if you consider the attempted change by the board in 2019 in 17A to change that with the adoption of Rule 14, that rule does not and is limited to business activity where there is a customer or an employee. It doesn't talk about tenants. It doesn't talk about transient commercial use. It talks about a particular type of business activity that has customers and employees and those customers and employees cannot have any contact with EPCC.

But to the point of the motion that is before the Court by the plaintiffs, the issue and the requested relief is to prevent and enjoin the defendant from allowing or facilitating the commercial use of properties within Elk Point in order to maintain what had been in existence for many years.

In order to prevent the impermissible inurement to the members who are utilizing their property for commercial activity that would run afoul of the criteria that

is evaluated by the IRS in determining whether or not an entity can continue to enjoy its social exempt status.

The likelihood of success on the merits as far as the Court has heard evidence is uncontradicted. There was no contradiction to Ms. Salazar's conclusion and opinion as to the risks that are associated with continuing to allow inurement to the benefit of the members in conducting commercial activity. That also is part and parcel of the irreparable harm.

A tax exempt status carries with it several different components. One of the major components is that a representation has been made to the IRS that they are entitled to that social exempt status that has been granted and that they want to enjoy all of the benefits of that exempt status.

If that is jeopardized the possible consequences are revocation. The possible consequences are a determination that there is fraud. Based upon the fact that the board has apparently been facilitating it and I'm sure you are going to hear argument that Exhibit 17A is evidence that the board has changed the rule and decided that we can have commercial use of individual residences, so it kind of cuts two ways against them. Now, we've got a board that is actively facilitating the ability for its members to generate

income in direct violation of the criteria of the IRS.

2.0

Coupled with the fact that they facilitated in connection with, as I recall and I apologize, I believe it was Mr. Brown or it might have been Mr. Gilbert that the, when the permit is submitted to Douglas County there has to be some kind of a letter from the country club with respect to the transient commercial use, as well as the rental committee that actually keeps track of and facilitates the information about those that are renting for the motel and commercial use.

The practical considerations is that by imposing the injunction it's actually protecting the entity. It is avoiding any possible adverse consequences that would occur should there be any evaluation or review of whether or not the tax exempt status should be revoked and/or whether or not there has been any fraud in continuing to submit Form 990's and submit to the IRS that they are entitled to that social club exemption.

THE COURT: You know, ma'am, you consistently use the word fraud, and you've talked about it all day long. Fraud is quite a reach here. It's -- no, it's really quite a reach, and the difficulty that you and your client may have is probably much less about fraud than just about some maybe back taxes, but and it is -- it is really difficult for me,

and I need to tell you this because you keep using this word and -- and it paints a really ugly picture about people when we talk about them engaging in fraud because fraud is a crime.

2.0

And -- and it may be that the loss of an exempt tax status could have some great consequences economically. They may be fairly limited also, but the notion of fraud is I've seen no evidence of actual fraud here, and I need you to quit saying that because it's -- I think that it paints people with a brush that is just not realistic based on the evidence I've heard and I don't -- I don't hear any intent to defraud, and I don't find that there's evidence of that.

I'll be clear with you.

Now, there may be a tax problem and the IRS can come in and assess back taxes, and the IRS can come in and impose a penalty without a finding of fraud, but fraud requires a particular mens rea that I don't know that we've got evidence of, and I think we need to be really careful when we use language that sounds like we're accusing people of a crime as opposed to mismanagement.

MS. KERN: May I respond to that?

THE COURT: You can.

MS. KERN: I actually did not think I was accusing them. I thought what I intended to do was identify

1	that that is one of the potential consequences.
2	THE COURT: Yeah, but you've used it all day long
3	but you have presented no evidence of it.
4	MS. KERN: No. No. But I'm not I would
5	agree. I wasn't trying to argue that the Court could find
6	that. I was identifying that if the IRS does an
7	investigation, as I understood Ms. Salazar's testimony, that
8	is something they could look at and if they did find it it
9	would have different consequences.
10	THE COURT: Well, I hear you.
11	MS. KERN: If the word if was not broad enough I
12	apologize, but I was not intending to
13	THE COURT: Well, let's move on from fraud.
14	MS. KERN: Okay.
15	THE COURT: I think that there are other problems
16	here and and they may be very real, but I don't see that
17	as a real one based on what I heard.
18	MS. KERN: Okay. But I do want to make it clear
19	I was not suggesting it. I agree with you. I didn't
20	introduce evidence for it.
21	THE COURT: Then let's move on.
22	MS. KERN: Thank you. The practical
23	considerations are that there will be no harm to the country
24	club by stopping the commercial use of residences for

short-term motel purposes.

2.3

The only thing that will happen is we will remove a risk and we will be able to proceed through the course of the litigation as to whether or not it should be permanent but as a -- as an initial -- as an initial determination. In fact, the entry of the injunction clearly is something that my clients want very much, but it also inures to the benefit of the defendants. It takes away the risk that they are now facing.

In addition there are some board members that are also engaging in the rental or the benefits from the transient commercial use that can be considered by the Court.

The final element that the Court must evaluate is whether --

THE COURT: When you talk about those board members, let's be really clear about who is in this litigation right now. They are not named individually.

MS. KERN: Absolutely not but the corporation acts through its board of directors.

THE COURT: So property owners who are renting out property are not in this litigation. You're asking me to order the association to prohibit or to not facilitate the short-term rentals. It's not an order to those property owners.

MS. KERN: Correct. And that's a very good distinction.

THE COURT: It's a big distinction.

MS. KERN: It is, absolutely, and the reason that the Court would be able to do that is the following. The country club is required to enforce its governing documents. The recorded governing documents prohibit commercial activity on the individual residences so they would simply be enforcing. It's the same thing as if they were — the governing documents said you can't paint your house purple and somebody painted their house purple. We would be able to require that the — the entity do something to stop that purple — to do something and change and enforce and make sure that the house wasn't purple anymore.

THE COURT: How would -- how would the entity here, how would you have them engage in that? What do you want them to do should you prevail here? No longer publish a calendar?

MS. KERN: They need to enforce and require that those owners that are, in fact, using their property for commercial use face -- I mean, and you are right. An entity has limited hammers, but we have hammers. What they can do is they can bring them to a hearing. They can fine them.

They can inform Douglas County that those individuals --

THE COURT: How do they get to fine them? 1 Pardon? 2 MS. KERN: THE COURT: How do they get to fine them? 3 Where's that in the rules for this? And, you know, how do 4 you want this -- them to have to enforce this? Do they have 5 to seek an injunction against the property owner? 6 MS. KERN: No, I don't believe they have to. 7 They just simply have to enforce the obligation that there 8 cannot be transient commercial use, and they can do it in 9 enforcement mechanisms that they do for all kinds of rules 10 11 within the country club. THE COURT: How would they enforce this? 12 MS. KERN: By a notice and a hearing and fines 13 and sanctions. Can you revoke privileges for somebody who is 14 in violation of the governing documents. You can revoke the 15 privileges of utilizing the facilities or the recreational 16 facilities. 17 THE COURT: Where -- where are those rules set 18 forth, the authority of the -- of the board to impose some 19 So tell 20 punishments? And I haven't looked at these rules. 21 me where they are. In the bylaws, if you look at, and 2.2 MS. KERN: hopefully I'm in the correct bylaws. Number eight has 23

penalties by action, the executive board. And, I'm sorry,

this is Exhibit 8, plaintiffs' 119. It's Article 20, and 1 2 this is also referenced in the recorded rules. THE COURT: Because the new rules have rules all 3 about vacation rental in 17A. There's a whole series of 4 rules about vacation rentals. 5 Well, since it's the first time that MS. KERN: 6 I've seen is today and the only rule that was identified by 7 Mr. Jones was 13. I apologize, but I --8 THE COURT: Do you need a moment to look at it? 9 I'll give you time if you want. 10 Well, I suppose that's another reason 11 MS. KERN: why this document is not enforceable and it's not an 12 appropriate governing document. 13 THE COURT: I don't know. I haven't ruled on 14 15 that yet. 16 MS. KERN: But can I answer the first question and then go back to the second question if I could. 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MS. KERN: Your first question is how do you 19 enforce and it's in Article 20 of the bylaws. It's page 119 20 to 120 and it specifically provides and gives authority to 21 the board of directors to enforce any documents to suspend, 22 23 to -- to, it also has a provision for attorney's fees and

2.4

costs.

1	If they are operating under 116, 116.31031	
2	provides for notice and hearing and fines and revocation of	
3	privileges and revocation of voting.	
4	THE COURT: Where are you reading? Where are you	
5	reading? I'm looking at the page you referred to, 119.	
6	MS. KERN: If there is a violation	
7	THE COURT: Where are you reading?	
8	MS. KERN: "By action of the executive board the	
9	unit's owner's rights shall be suspended for any of the	
10	following causes. A, violation or failure of any unit owner	
11	to comply with any corporation."	
12	THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. Or the tenant	
13	or the guest. So, again, under these rules it refers to	
14	tenants. Go ahead.	
15	MS. KERN: Correct, or guests of the unit owner	
16	to comply with any corporation, bylaw, articles of	
17	incorporation or any of the rules and regulations promulgated	
18	by the executive board after due notice and hearing by the	
19	executive board. NRS 116.31031 also provides for fines.	
20	THE COURT: Where does it say that?	
21	MS. KERN: Pardon?	
22	THE COURT: Are you reading something different	
23	now?	
24	MS. KERN: I am referencing the Nevada Revised	

1	Statutes 116.31031.	
2	THE COURT: What does this say about penalties	
3	though? Don't skip around because I want you to look at the	
4	rules. You want me to enforce these rules. So it just says	
5	the rights shall be suspended for any of the following causes	
6	and that seems to be the penalty that that the board cou	
7	impose is suspension of the unit owner's rights.	
8	MS. KERN: Which would include the use of the	
9	THE COURT: Beach.	
10	MS. KERN: beach.	
11	THE COURT: The harbor.	
12	MS. KERN: The harbor and also voting rights.	
13	THE COURT: Picnic area.	
14	MS. KERN: Those are the rights of a membership	
15	of a member. During the period of suspension the suspended	
16	unit owner shall not be entitled to any services from the	
17	corporation nor from its caretaker.	
18	THE COURT: And you can shut off their water.	
19	MS. KERN: I'm not positive that's legal, but I	
20	won't comment on that.	
21	THE COURT: I'm not positive a lot of this is	
22	legal.	
23	MS. KERN: But, and also voting privileges could	
24	be denied.	

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

MS. KERN: And I will note that in the rules that are recorded, plaintiffs' 054, Exhibit Number 6 it specifically references Article 20 of the bylaws in Rule 15 and provides for as we discussed the suspension of the rights of the member if there is any kind of violation and it also discusses assessment which is irrelevant to this.

So from our standpoint the board could do several things. It could proceed with enforcement. It could communicate to Douglas County that commercial use for rentals of less than 28 days for which the county gives a permit is not allowed in Elk Point, and it could consider fines under 116.31031.

So I do -- it is my opinion that just as they are allowing it they can also disallow it and comply with the Court's order that the Elk Point Country Club is not allowed to have transient commercial use within the club. And the reason that it has to be directed at the country club is that it is the country club that has the tax exempt status. The individual members do not. It is the country club that has that tax exempt status.

THE COURT: I hear that.

MS. KERN: And we were going to talk about

24 another and I --

THE COURT: We're going to talk about the fact that 17A specifically talks about vacation rentals.

MS. KERN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Which --

MS. KERN: And as I said, this is the first time I've seen this.

THE COURT: Do you want me to --

MS. KERN: So it would appear that I guess this is further evidence that the board is facilitating and encouraging vacation renters because they actually have a form for having the primary renter execute it. It provides for a contact number. They are not to have utilized the caretaker. So, I mean, these clearly contradict the recorded rules.

The recorded rules say you can't have commercial activity within a unit, and a vacation renter -- rental pursuant to the laws of Douglas County are clearly a commercial activity which is taxed, and now they are adopting rules that are contradicting the recorded covenants that run against the land of all of the owners within the Elk Point Country Club, and documents that are recorded would need a vote of the membership. So, again, I -- this is clearly catching me off guard. I will be very frank. He never provided these rules to us.

THE COURT: Ma'am, if you need a moment to think about this I'll give you a few minutes. Why don't you take five minutes. I think it's only fair that you read through this. We'll be in recess for five minutes.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

THE COURT: Did you have time?

MS. KERN: I will confess I did not review the entire document. I reviewed the sections that talk about rentals.

THE COURT: All right.

1.0

1.2

2.2

MS. KERN: And here's my argument. First of all, I do not — it is my opinion that this document cannot change the recorded rules that prohibit commercial use. But even if it does, even if you find that this somehow or that this did revise and amend the recorded rules that have been encumbering everybody's real property at Elk Point Country Club, I would argue that this actually creates a bigger problem under the IRS.

Because now the IRS is going to review and determine that those criteria that they are going to find as so important as to whether or not the club is organized for pleasure, recreation and other not profitable purposes, meaning it does not provide pleasure and recreation on a commercial basis.

In this document 17A, they specifically reference that the purpose of this, the introduction says that they wish to establish requirements for transient commercial use of units. So what this is going to do is give the IRS another reason for determining that the criteria that they are looking at has not been met, and that the exemption will be revoked.

This is evidence that will be used against the association, and I find it very compelling that when they adopted this they didn't bother to consult with their CPA to determine whether or not it would have created an issue or a problem with the social club's tax exempt status. That at the very least should have been done as an entity. They are obligated and required to preserve and to ensure that what they are doing is consistent with the reporting that they are making to the IRS. This actually demonstrates that they are not.

That is why the plaintiffs had to come to court to ask for this injunction because we cannot depend or rely upon the board to take action that's in the best interest of the country club, and it is in the country club's best interest to preserve and not jeopardize its tax exempt status, and most certainly it is not the board that should be taking action that adds to the evidence that may be evaluated

to determine whether or not the criteria is met or has been violated that would result in that revocation.

2.0

Based upon the uncontradicted evidence that the Court has there is no question that commercial use is being made of the units within Elk Point Country Club. Not only was their testimony to that, not only do you have evidence of exhibits that are advertising it as such but now you have a document that was apparently adopted by the board that specifically addresses the transient commercial use of units. No dispute they are being used for commercial use. That is a direct jeopardy to the social club tax exempt status of this entity.

The final issue that the Court would have to address if it determined that it would be granting the requested relief is whether or not -- actually, let me go back before I talk about the bond. Let me talk about that.

You did ask about the enforcement and that it may be difficult. In our pleadings we actually suggested to the Court that a third party administrator may be appropriate to be appointed. I have had experience with and I have a great deal of respect for Master McMorris, and I would certainly suggest that if the Court were so inclined appointing Master McMorris as the special administrator to ensure the enforcement and to facilitate that enforcement would be

something that we certainly would be in favor of and would support and that would assist in ensuring that the Court's order for injunction to require that the board take action to prevent and stop the commercial use of units within Elk Point would be complied with.

The final issue for the Court's review, of course, is whether or not the amount of a bond that would be posted by the plaintiffs. We suggested in our pleadings a bond in the amount of \$500, and the reason that we did that is because a nominal bond is clearly appropriate because as I argued before it is the benefit of not having its tax exempt status as clearly the benefit will inure to the social club, and the purpose of posting a security bond is to protect a party from damages occurred as a result of a wrongful injunction.

Let's say we get down the road and you determine that this -- that you no longer find that the injunction is appropriate and you dissolve, there are no damages to the country club, none. The only thing --

THE COURT: What about if they get sued by homeowners who they are trying to enforce? They are trying -- I ordered them to enforce this. Let's say we go there. I don't know that we will but let's say that we do and -- and so they do something to enforce this because I asked you

about enforcement and say how are you going to enforce it, and they are going to be required to do something. Maybe suspend memberships, suspend voting. I don't know if they will turn off somebody's water but who knows what they will do.

MS. KERN: I hope not.

THE COURT: Because the language in the, that you referred to me that's on in the bylaws on page 119 says shall. I think it says shall. So it's mandatory. So they have to do something. Let's say they do something. Now, wait a minute. Listen up. And they get sued by somebody who could have rented their property for \$1,500 a night and they had -- you know, they had the next 16 nights rented out, \$500 ain't going to cover that.

MS. KERN: Those are not damages that are going to be incurred by the country club.

THE COURT: Really?

MS. KERN: The country club is -- well, the attorney's fees. But \$1,500 a night are not damages necessarily that are going to be incurred by the country club. What you're identifying is by stopping the commercial activity the member of the club no longer gets to make money off of its unit.

THE COURT: And maybe it's -- maybe they are able

to come out and show that it was wrongful that they got 1 prohibited from doing that and they want damages for the days 2 that they could have rented. Is that a stretch? 3 That's a pretty far stretch. You're MS. KERN: 4 saying that a Court would find that an entity is liable for 5 following a lawful order. I mean, I haven't done any 6 research on this, but I have a hard time that there would not 7 be some kind of a shield. If I'm following a Court order I 8 am entitled to some protection against liability for 9 10 following that Court order. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 11 Mr. Jones? 12 13 Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you for MR. JONES: your attention during which turned out to be a pretty full 14 15 day. I think both the plaintiffs' counsel and I and everyone 16 in the room appreciates that. THE COURT: Well, that's my job, sir. 17 welcome. 18 19 MR. JONES: Certainly, Your Honor. Your Honor, the question I think for this Court 20 is what is the conduct of my client that if allowed to 21 continue will cause imminent harm to the plaintiffs? What I 2.2 just heard counsel say is that conduct is continued allowance 23

24

of short-term vacation rentals and the harm being, of course,

potentially losing the HOA's tax exempt status over 501c7.

2.3

They requested a preliminary injunction, this

Court preventing short-term vacation rentals from occurring.

The problem is if this Court was inclined to grant that order the testimony of their own expert says that's not enough.

That's not enough to stop the potential loss of tax exempt status. You have to ban short-term vacation rentals, long-term rentals, investment properties. All of those things have to go in order to guarantee that the tax exempt status of the HOA will be continued and allowed.

I think if we start looking at that the analysis of the Court should be, well, what's the harm to the association then if they have to kick out all of their investment owners. They have to stop all long-term tenancy and they have to stop short-term vacation rentals. It's massive, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the harm? How does that harm the association? It might harm an individual renter. How does it harm the association?

MR. JONES: Well, Your Honor, it's not hard to I guess conclude that if a homeowner who was renting or who owned a home has an investment property likely has a primary a residence somewhere else.

THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. JONES: Suddenly is not allowed to rent out	
2	that property they if it's financed, they potentially lose	
3	the ability to pay their mortgage.	
4	THE COURT: How does that hurt the association?	
5	MR. JONES: I'm getting there. To pay the	
6	association fees as well, Your Honor. The association fees	
7	are not nominal and the community is beautiful as the Elk	
8	Point Country Club.	
9	THE COURT: Yeah, but if they bought it for a	
10	purpose that's inconsistent with having a home there then	
11	they ought to lose the home. So too bad. It will go to	
12	somebody who is going to live there.	
13	MR. JONES: Well, the issue though, Your Honor, I	
14	mean, the HOA I don't believe has the authority to order or	
15	to enforce or to have its homeowners sell their property.	
16	THE COURT: They don't have to sell their	
17	property. They just don't have to rent it. They have to go	
18	get the money for their mortgage somewhere else under your	
19	scenario.	
20	MR. JONES: Uh-huh, but the issue is the	
21	plaintiffs requested relief isn't just isn't all of those	
22	things. It's limited to short-term vacation rentals.	
23	THE COURT: I don't have to limit it to that. We	
24	have had pretty spirited discussion here.	

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: I could prohibit any leasing of any of the property.

MR. JONES: And it would go beyond leasing though as well, Your Honor. It would also go to investment properties, appreciation.

THE COURT: I don't know. I think -- I don't think that that's included here.

MR. JONES: Well, I think even beyond that though, Your Honor, is that the imminent harm that would be suffered is it just simply hasn't been shown anywhere else. The plaintiffs' own expert, the accounting expert testified that there is not a single instance in the years of short-term vacation rentals have been around where the IRS has revoked tax exempt status.

The fear that the plaintiffs are trying to instill on this Court has never happened before. Short-term vacation rentals are not a brand new thing. Yes, they peaked in popularity in the past few years, but they have been around for guite a while, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted, but you can't -- you still haven't told me any damage to your client. Maybe damage to a homeowner but not to your client.

MR. JONES: Well --

2.3

1	THE COURT: Tell me how is your client damaged.
2	MR. JONES: Well, Your Honor, my client, it's
3	certainly a corporate entity but it's an entity that's
4	composed of its members.
5	THE COURT: It's an entity that's separate from
6	the individual members, and the individual members aren't
7	being sued here. Your client is being sued to enforce its
8	own bylaws.
9	MR. JONES: Uh-huh.
10	THE COURT: How is your client damaged by having
11	to enforce its own rules?
12	MR. JONES: Well, I mean, assuming for the sake
13	of example that to enforce its own rules it has to stop all
14	leasing on the property.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. JONES: One of the goals of an HOA, as we
17	discussed before, is to maintain property values. It's to
18	that's why the example we talked about, you can't paint your
19	house purple. Why is this? Well, because who wants to buy a
20	house next to someone who paints their house purple.
21	THE COURT: Who wants to buy a house next to one
22	that's being rented out to somebody different every week?
23	MR. JONES: Well, that was something that was
24	discussed and voted on at the July 24th, 2020 election. The

majority of the homeowners decided that, yes, I'm someone who 1 wants to, first of all, be able to rent out my house. 2 THE COURT: Ma'am, I'm not talking to you. You 3 4 stop. They voted and agreed, a majority on 5 MR. JONES: a two to one margin. 6 But so what? Do they -- they didn't 7 THE COURT: amend the bylaws. They didn't amend the bylaws that say you 8 9 can't do this. Well, I don't believe that the bylaws 10 MR. JONES: state that you can't do a short-term vacation rental. 11 argument is that potentially the tax exempt status of the HOA 12 is in doubt if short-term vacation rentals are allowed to 13 14 move. That's not what the bylaws say. In THE COURT: 15 the preamble to the bylaws it says that the association can't 16 take action and essentially, I'm not quoting it, take action 17 that allows the -- the individual members to act for profit 18 19 within that property. MR. JONES: Well, it was -- the preamble to the 20 bylaws say that the rules and bylaws are not -- I probably 21 should quote it, but it's with a view -- with a view towards 22 or I should probably quote the exact same, Your Honor. 2.3

24

THE COURT: You can quote exactly what it says.

MR. JONES: Sure. It operates it into properties 1 or facilities with the view of providing profit to its 2 3 members. 4 THE COURT: Right. With the view doesn't foreclose the 5 MR. JONES: possibility of members profiting off of their properties. 6 7 THE COURT: Well, you can't operate -- you can't operate the bylaws with that view. 8 MR. JONES: Correct. But with the view towards, 9 shall not with the view of providing the profit. 10 THE COURT: So how do you -- so how do you -- how 11 do you allow people then to rent for profit? 12 MR. JONES: Well --13 THE COURT: How can you be consistent with that? 14 MR. JONES: Well, because it's -- it's with the 15 view toward providing a profit. That doesn't -- again, that 16 doesn't foreclose the possibility, but it's also tenancy is 17 contemplated throughout. And I know Your Honor, you noted 18 19 the fact that there could be a life tenancy. 2.0 contemplated. THE COURT: Well, there are a number of different 2.1 kinds of tenancies in Nevada. So it could be a joint 22 23 tenancy.

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

24

1	THE COURT: It could be a tenancy in common. It		
2	could be a tenancy for years. Nevada recognizes all of those		
3	tenancies in addition to a life tenancy. So tenancy doesn't		
4	necessarily mean a rental. There are a number of different		
5	kinds of tenancies recognized within the Nevada Revised		
6	Statutes, and nowhere does it say a rental tenancy.		
7	MR. JONES: Sure.		
8	THE COURT: It says tenancy.		
9	MR. JONES: Sure. I make reference to some		
10	language that's present throughout I believe each of the		
11	bylaws. I'm looking at		
12	THE COURT: Site to me a particular exhibit,		
13	please, sir.		
14	MR. JONES: I'm looking at Exhibit 4, plaintiffs'		
15	0038.		
16	THE COURT: I'm looking at the same one. 38 you		
17	said, sir?		
18	MR. JONES: Correct, 0038.		
19	THE COURT: I don't think that's in four. I		
20	think that's in five, sir.		
21	MR. JONES: That may be the page, Your Honor. My		
22	apologies. Yeah, you're correct. Exhibit 5, 0038.		
23	THE COURT: All right.		
24	MR. JONES: Your Honor, this is under duties of		

the directors and subsection G, towards the top of the page reads to adopt annually rules for the conduct and government of the members comma their guests and tenant. To me that --3 THE COURT: It says members comma tenants and 4 5 quests. 6

MR. JONES: Well, correct. To me that delineates between the members, the owners of the HOA and their guests and their tenants. That's something separate then.

THE COURT: So -- so I could -- we heard the evidence is and I don't mean to keep interrupting you, but we need to be clear here. The evidence is that you can own property without being afforded a membership and that was the evidence because I asked that.

So you can -- I could buy that property from you and then I have to apply for the membership, but I could -- I guess I could also have the membership. Suppose I have the membership and then I grant you a life tenancy. Now you would be the tenant. I could still have the membership. You can read that. That's -- that provision consistent with that. I could have the membership and I could get married and have a tenancy in common.

MR. JONES: Correct, Your Honor. I think the way that that particular provision is written.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

24

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

1	MR. JONES: And the plain reading of that would		
2	be if we were to present it to a jury, the plain reading of		
3	that would be their guest and their tenants. That implies it		
4	separate and apart from membership, and I'm not certain that		
5	it was established through the evidence that you can actually		
6	be a member and not an owner of just some sort of two		
7	different classes of ownership or membership in the HOA. I'm		
8	not sure that was completely established, and I'm certain		
9	that it wasn't established that there actually exists out		
10	there members who are owners or owners that aren't members.		
11	THE COURT: Well, I didn't ask that question.		
12	MR. JONES: Of course.		
13	THE COURT: I did ask after I buy the property		
14	then I have to apply to be a member.		
15	MR. JONES: Uh-huh.		
16	THE COURT: And that's the second thing that I		
17	have to do.		
18	MR. JONES: Correct.		
19	THE COURT: And if I don't do it then I don't get		
20	to use the beach and the harbor and all of that stuff. I		
21	just get to have the house.		
22	MR. JONES: I think there was also evidence		
23	though that there's not a single instance where someone		

applied for membership and was denied. I mean, it seems to

24

me it's a rubber stamp formality if anything from what we've seen.

2.2

THE COURT: No, I believe it's a 20,000 dollar stamp formality, sir.

MR. JONES: It's a very expensive stamp, Your Honor. It's a very expensive stamp, but over the 95 years of existence of the Elk Point Country Club not a single — there's not evidence of a single owner who applied for membership who was denied. And I realize it's not in evidence but there's contemplation of being rid of that requirement because of the implication of that denial of the membership and exposures to the association that could exist.

THE COURT: Sir, you own property up there and you're a member. You purchased the membership. You're a single gentleman, living the good life, living at Elks Point. You meet someone just down the road at what used to be the old Sam's Club and now it's something else, and you wander in there and you wander out. It turns out you have fallen in love and you get married.

Now, you -- you have someone who lives with you and you've got a partner. That partner isn't a member. That could very easily happen. And what sort of tenancy that person may have depends on how you change the deed to your property, whether you do a quitclaim deed and give someone a

joint tenancy in your property, but they are not a member. It's not hard to imagine.

2.0

2.1

MR. JONES: It might be hard for my wife to imagine at this point.

THE COURT: It's hard to imagine you've got a wife. I apologize.

MR. JONES: A second wife unfortunately, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We are all blessed in one way or another.

MR. JONES: Sure. And to respond to your question though, Your Honor, it's not contemplated that the tenancy would be exclusively the scenario that you described. Just simply because it says tenancy to me allows for both the joint tenancy, tenancy in common, all of the various tenancies that the State of Nevada allows or tenancy in the sense of a renter, a short-term vacation rental, a long-term vacation rental. It's not exclusive of the situation you described. We have to read the rules to say what they are, and it says tenancy which the plain reading of that rule offer all types of tenancy not just simply one type of tenancy or another.

The rules do not delineate or do not exclude short-term renters or long-term renters. In fact, the rules

that we saw in Exhibit 17A specifically allow for short-term rentals, specifically contemplate short-term rentals. So that would be my response to that, Your Honor.

And regardless though I want to talk about just one more issue in closing, sir.

THE COURT: Please do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. JONES: That relates to the second prong of this Court's analysis for the preliminary injunction and that is compensatory damages not being sufficient to remedy the plaintiffs' situation.

Now, if for instance, for example if the worst case scenario happens, this Court denies injunction, the short-term vacation rentals continue, the IRS finds out. IRS -- someone in here works for the IRS. Hey, I think I should tell my boss and does an investigation. Ultimately determine that the first time ever a homeowner association loses its tax he exempt status because of short-term vacation What are the damages to the plaintiffs? The renters. damages are taxes presumably divided up into assessments, compensatory damages, Your Honor. That's it. nothing that compensatory damages can't fix even in the worst case scenario of the association's tax exempt status being revoked which I'll submit to this Court is pure speculation.

But regardless, even assuming the worst case

scenario, being the compensatory damages are adequate to fix 1 what the plaintiffs are complaining of. 2 So with that said, Your Honor, I don't believe 3 that the plaintiffs have met their burden of establishing 4 5 entitlement to the extraordinary relief of a preliminary injunction at this point in time. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you very much. MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 Do you have any rebuttal and by that 9 THE COURT: I mean brief? 10 I can take a hint, Your Honor. 11 MS. KERN: only thing that I would like to respond to is the issue of 12 compensatory damages. The entire character of this entity 13 14 established in 1995 is a social club, consistent with that social club exemption from the IRS. I know you don't want me 15 to talk about all of the particular consequences that might 16 apply if the IRS revoked that, but we -- but that would not 17 be covered with compensatory damages. 18 And on the flip side there is absolutely no 19 20 damage to the Elk Point Country Club to make sure that we preserve that tax exempt status. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 2.2

Let me first tell you, Ms. Kern, and, Mr. Jones, I greatly

2.3

24

All right. Counsel, here's what I'm going to do.

appreciate your arguments today and your skill as advocates. I've enjoyed talking with you and questioning you, and I appreciate your preparedness in this.

Ms. Karadanis, I appreciate your arguments too. You talk to Ms. Kern and the next time you come back you get a speaking role.

MS. KARADANIS: I hope I didn't tear your ear off too mch.

THE COURT: Slip her a five out in the parking lot.

But I do appreciate good lawyers and I -- and it's just a pleasure to see you, but I will tell you that this is -- this is how I see this thing. When I came into the room this morning I was very skeptical of the notion of issuing a preliminary injunction here and -- and that was for a lot of reasons.

I read your documents obviously. I think you probably know that, and -- and it seemed to me there were some really significant hurdles that the plaintiffs would have to surmount in order to get what they were asking for. I think that, frankly, throughout the course of the day I continued to have those questions, but eventually it became clear to me that, in fact, here's where we are.

There are bylaws of this association, and those

bylaws make it clear to me that the intent of -- of this association, in fact, is to be a social club.

2.2

Now, I don't want to comment on this -- on this business that makes it sound like the social club wants to exclude people who aren't like us or who are just, you know, on a vacation or something like that and that they are not as good of people and I don't find that at all.

But what I do find is the members of the association organized this to be, in fact, a social club and — and that the application, although there's not evidence of a history of people being denied the ability to join, there is an application process and a requirement that they make certain commitments to the social club.

And while there are things like the past
President's Breakfast and whatever other social events
happen, it's been a nonprofit 501c7 and it is apparently the
intention under the bylaws that it maintain that status, and
it is also clear from the preamble that has been duplicated
and repeated in every iteration of the bylaws that I have
that the organization be for the specific purpose of the
pleasure, of fellowship and recreation, and its corporate
function and design to achieve in highest measure said
purpose.

It shall not operate its properties or facilities

with a view of providing profit to its members but rather such properties and facilities shall be held, operated and made available for the use and enjoyment of its members on payment of such assessments and charges as fairly meet the cost.

2.2

And -- and it is clear that the intent is that the -- that the association not engage in behavior which encourages a particular profit to its members. Now, I don't know -- you know, the evidence -- there's no evidence that 17A has been recorded. And, in fact, Mr. Felton told me he didn't know that it ever was recorded. But I will tell you this, whether it was recorded or not it is completely at odds with the bylaws, and those rules which allow vacation rental are absolutely contrary to the bylaws of this organization as I can read the preamble and the rest of the bylaws.

Now, I have to go back and say Mr. Jones makes this great point, and I made the point several times as we went through this, the discussion about tenants and guests. I am obligated to read the documents in a manner that is consistent with upholding them, and it's the same way that I would interpret a statute. If you can read a statute in two different ways, and one which makes it unconstitutional and one which makes it constitutional you have to read it in a constitutional fashion.

I have to read the bylaws in a way that makes them upheld if there is such a way, and I read the term tenants, as I've discussed with you. There are a number of different tenancies recognized by the State of Nevada. The joint tenancy, a tenancy in common, a life tenancy, a tenancy for years and — and that all applies.

2.0

2.2

That statute or that part of the bylaws which is in Article 5G and it's on page nine in one iteration and on another page it's another iteration of the bylaws but it's consistent throughout. If you read it consistent with that then it is consistent with not operating the units within the association for profit. If you read it any other way it is inconsistent with that.

Whether it be long-term rental or short-term rental, people who rent for the short-term by Airbnb or vacation rental by owner, all of the other methodologies are not lesser people. They are not worse people. They are not bad people, but I don't think they are allowed to rent at Elk Point because now it can't be for a business. It can't be for purpose here. If any homeowner wants to give their property out and allow somebody to stay there they can do that, but it doesn't appear to me that it can be done for profit.

And as I look at 17A, all of these rules are

about renting for profit which is directly contrary to the bylaws, and this rule can't be enforced. It simply can't be, and I find that there is a likelihood that the plaintiffs will prevail in this matter.

2.2

And I also find that there is a threat of permanent damage to them through the loss of the tax exempt status but also through the change in the nature of the very corporation itself, the very association itself. If the association is as it is purported to be, one that is designed to promote the social and recreational benefit to those who are members it changes the nature of that organization to become a commercial organization.

And the Elk Point Country Club Homeowners is ordered to enforce its bylaws.

Ms. Jones -- or I mean, Ms. Kern, with Ms. Karadanis' help, you're to prepare this order.

MS. KERN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I have thought about the bond in this case, and I think that the bond should -- needs to be something more than \$500 that is suggested. I recognize that there's a benefit to the Country Club Association to not lose its tax status, but it seems to me that the bond should be in the amount of \$5,000.

And what you would have to do if the association

were not enforcing the bylaws and if it were allowing and not doing something to enforce those bylaws to prevent rentals, either short-term or long-term, because as the Court has made clear, I see them the same way, then you'll have to request the Court to order that those be enforced.

That's the ruling. I really do appreciate you being here, and I appreciate your argument. I appreciate members of the community coming in and listening. I know you all have an interest in this, and you all see it different ways, but it is clear to me that you can't make rules that are in violation of your bylaws, and you can't engage in behavior that's in violation of your bylaws.

Either side to this can have a meeting and change the bylaws, and that's done by a vote, and that might work for you, and I made that clear on your side too. If you see an ambiguity in that word tenancy you need to change it. And if you want to change the bylaws to allow rentals you need to change them, but you may lose your tax exempt status, and it would be really silly to go make some big changes without talking to your accountant.

We're in recess. And I thank you all very much. I kept you all a little bit past 5:00 o'clock. To all of you in the audience drive home carefully.

But most specifically to the staff that works for

me, the court reporter, the deputy, I really appreciate you staying on a Friday evening to allow us to finish this. You have my appreciation very much. Thank you, and we are in recess. Oh, and, Ms. Kern, thank you for the souvenir, but I'm returning to this to you.

1	STATE OF NEVADA,)) ss.
2	CARSON CITY.)
3	
4	I, KATHY JACKSON, Nevada Certified Court Reporter
5	Number 402, do hereby certify:
6	That I was present in the District Court in Minden on
7	Friday, October 23, 2020, for the purpose of reporting in
8	verbatim stenotype notes the within-entitled hearing;
9	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
10	through 244, is a full, true and correct transcription of
11	said hearing.
12	
13	Dated at Carson City, Nevada, this 26th day
14	of October, 2020.
15	
16	
17	/s/ Kathy Dackson CCR
18	Nevada CCR #402
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CAPITOL REPORTERS 123 W. Nye Lane, Suite 107 Carson City, Nevada 89706	
775-882-5322	
THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS	
K.J. BROWN, LLC AND TIMOTHY D. GILBERT, ET AL.,	Case No. 2020-CV-00124
Plaintiffs, v.	Dept. No. 1
ELK POINT COUNTRY CLUB, INC. Defendant.	
	FIRMATION to NRS 239B.030
The Undersigned does hereby affirm that the following document DOES NOT contain the social security number of any person: (List of document(s) attached below)	
1) Hearing 10/23/20	
named below DOES contain the person as required by state administration of a public p	orogram or for an application fo List of document(s) attached
1)	
2)	
V.	hash a Carpana
(Your signature) Kathy Jack	sonthul Quebson (Bate) 11/3/20
CARTMAL REPOR	TERS (775)882-5322 879
CAPITOL REPOR	245