

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHARLES ROCHA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF
PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,
Respondent.

No. 82485

FILED

AUG 06 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS

Appellant has filed a motion requesting permission to file a video recording of the incident that gave rise to the instant dispute. The procedure to get such an exhibit before this court is for a party to file a motion requesting that this court direct the district court clerk to transmit specifically identified original exhibits. NRAP 30(d). Accordingly, the motion is denied without prejudice to appellant's right to file a motion to transmit exhibits pursuant to NRAP 30(d).

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the opening brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until August 26, 2021, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of this appeal. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

21-22873

cc: Law Office of Daniel Marks
Attorney General/Carson City
Attorney General/Las Vegas