## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TON VINH LEE, AN INDIVIDUAL, Appellant,

vs. INGRID PATIN, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND PATIN LAW GROUP, PLLC, A NEVADA PROFESSIONAL LLC,

Respondents.



21-28353

## **ORDER GRANTING MOTION**

Cause appearing, respondents' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondents shall have until October 27, 2021, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the disposition of this appeal without an answering brief. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Jardesty, C.J.

cc: Resnick & Louis, P.C./Las Vegas Nettles Morris Doyle Law Group Claggett & Sykes Law Firm

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(D) 1947A