1		
2	MICHAEL P. LOWRY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10666	
3	E-mail: Michael.Lowry@wilsonelser.com Electronically File	d S0 a m
4	Las Vegas, NV 89119 Elizabeth A. Brow	'n
5	Tel: 702.727.1400/Fax: 702.727.1401 Attorneys for Dave & Buster's of Nevada, Inc.	
6	IN THE SUDDEME COUDT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
7	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
7	Damaso S. Puente, individually and on Supreme Ct. No.: 82554	
8	behalf of the Estate of Damaso I. Puente; Maria Puente; Daniel Malone; Diane Dave & Buster's of Nevada, Inc's	
9	Malone, individually and on behalf of the Response to Order to Show Cause Estate of Christa Puente,	
10	Appellants/Cross-Respondents	
11	VS.	
12	Dave & Buster's of Nevada, Inc.,	
13	Respondent/Cross-Appellant.	
14		
	On May 4, 2021 an order was entered for Dave & Buster's to show cause	
15	concerning its cross-appeal. There are two orders at issue in this appeal. Dave &	
16	Buster's first moved to dismiss the complaint, arguing that the entire complaint	
17	was barred by NRS 41.1305(1). The district court granted that motion, with one	
18	exception. "NRS 41.1305(1) does not bar a cause of action against Dave &	
19	Buster's to the extent that Dave & Buster's agents or employees may have assisted	

Buster's to the extent that Dave & Buster's agents or employees may have assisted

20

1

Mr. Aparicio to the vehicle he drove that was then involved in the collision that
killed Damaso & Christa Puente."

When discovery opened, Dave & Buster's commenced discovery to determine who may have assisted Mr. Aparicio to the vehicle he then drove. Dave & Buster's subpoenaed and obtained Metro's investigative file that conclusively identified the person who may have assisted Mr. Aparicio to his vehicle was an employee of a co-defendant. Dave & Buster's then moved for summary judgment on the one remaining cause of action and it was granted.

9 Dave & Buster's understands the order to show cause as asking why a crossappeal is necessary. Dave & Buster's has reviewed Ford v. Showboat Operating 10 11 *Co.*¹ and agrees that the cross-appeal would not change any aspect of the judgment that was entered in its favor. The cross-appeal was filed due to a concern that 12 13 without it Dave & Buster's might have waived its arguments that the exempted conduct described in the district court's order on the motion to dismiss was not 14 actionable. If those arguments are still available to Dave & Buster's via an 15 answering brief, without the need for a cross-appeal, because they are alternative 16 17 bases to affirm the district court's judgment, then Dave & Buster's agrees the 18 cross-appeal may be dismissed.

2

19 20

¹ 110 Nev. 752, 877 P.2d 546 (1994).

253762299v.1

1	DATED this 6 th day of May, 2021.	
2		
3		
4	/s/ Michael P. Lowry MICHAEL P. LOWRY, ESQ. 6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Dave & Buster's of Nevada,	
6	Inc.	
7	Certificate of Service	
8	Per NRAP 21(a) and 25(c), I certify that I am an employee of Wilson Elser	
9	Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP, and that on May 6, 2021, Dave & Buster's	
10	of Nevada, Inc's Response to Order to Show Cause was served via electronic	
11	means by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to:	
12	Thomas F. Christensen	
13	Christensen Law 1000 S Valley View Blvd	
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	BY: <u>/s/ Amanda Hill</u>	
16	An Employee of WILSON ELSER	
17	WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
18		
19		
20		
	3	
	253762299v.1	