

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAMASO S. PUENTE, INDIVIDUALLY
AND ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF
DAMASO I. PUENTE; MARIA PUENTE;
DANIEL MALONE; DIANE MALONE,
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF
THE ESTATE OF CHRISTA PUENTE,

Appellants,

vs.

DAVE & BUSTER'S OF NEVADA, INC.,
D/B/A DAVE & BUSTER'S,

Respondent.

No. 82554

FILED

NOV 09 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellants' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellants shall have until November 22, 2021, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

1. J. J. J., C.J.

cc: Christensen Law Offices, LLC
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas
Tanner Law & Strategy Group, Ltd.