

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. A
CORPORATION; RASIER, LLC, A
CORPORATION; AND RASIER-CA,
LLC, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellants,

vs.

MEGAN ROYZ, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND
ANDREA EILEEN WORK, AN
INDIVIDUAL,

Respondents.

No. 82556

FILED

DEC 28 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellants' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellants shall have until January 14, 2022, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Handwritten Signature, C.J.

cc: Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins, Gunn & Dial, LLC/Las Vegas
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas
Sullivan & Sullivan
Quirk Law Firm