

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AARON LEIGH-PINK; AND TANA
EMERSON,

Appellants,

vs.

RIO PROPERTIES, LLC,

Respondent.

No. 82572

FILED

SEP 03 2021

PARADETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until October 1, 2021, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the resolution of this matter without an answering brief. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

/s/ Pardesty, C.J.

cc: Robert A. Waller, Jr.
Cozen & O'Connor/Philadelphia
Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman, LLP/Las Vegas
Cozen O'Connor
Brandon Smerber Law Firm
Cozen & O'Connor/New York
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
Gesund & Paillet, LLC
Marta D. Kurshumova
Jones Lovelock