IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

JEFFREY REED,

v.

Appellant,

No. 82575

Electronically Filed Apr 19 2021 10:56 a.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown
DOCKETING STATEMES Upreme Court
CIVIL APPEALS

ALECIA DRAPER (Ind./Conserv.), Respondent,

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. Id. Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District : Eighth	Department : H
County : Clark	Judge T. Arthur Ritchie
District Ct. Case No. 05D338668	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statement	:
Attorney : Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.	Telephone (702) 474-7007
Firm : Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group	
Address 4411 South Pecos Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89121	
Client(s) :Jeffrey Reed	
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompaniling of this statement.	e names and addresses of other counsel and anied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s)	:
Attorney : Elizabeth Brennan	Telephone (702) 834-888
Firm Brennan Law Firm	
Address 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135	
Client(s) Alecia Draper as conservator for Emil	y Reed
Attorney Benamin La Luzerne	Telephone (702) 268-5708
Firm La Luzerne Law	
Address 2449 N. Tenaya Way, #36306 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	
Client(s) Alecia Draper, Individually	

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
	☐ Dismissal:
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute
☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	Other (specify):
☐ Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:
☐ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	\square Original \square Modification
☐ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (specify):
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	rning any of the following?
☐ Child Custody	
☐ Venue	
☐ Termination of parental rights	
	his court. List the case name and docket number ently or previously pending before this court which
Reed v. Eighth Judcial District Court, et. commencement of Trial in this matter.	al. (81581), it was a Writ prior to the

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition: None.

8. Nature of the action. B	Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:
This action is brought by an was twenty-two (22) years of	n adult daughter for child support due to a disability when she old.

- 9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
- 1. Whether a request for support beyond the age of majority under NRS 125B.110 can be brought after a child reaches the age of majority.
- 2. Whether Jeffrey Reed should have been given the opportunity to retain a rebuttal witness after all documents had been disclosed Alecia Draper (individually and as Emily's conservator).
- 3. Whether Jeffrey Reed should have been given the opportunity to recall Emily Reed as a witness after the testimony of the expert for Alecia Draper (individually and as Emily's conservator) was questioned regarding her testimony.

SEE ATTACHED ADDITIONAL SHEET

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

None..

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
⊠ N/A
☐ Yes
□ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
⋈ A substantial issue of first impression
⊠ An issue of public policy
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
☐ A ballot question
If so, explain: The issue involves

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly
set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to
the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which
the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite
its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circum-
stance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or
significance:

This matter should be maintained by the Supreme Court pursuant to NRAP 17 (a)(11) and (12). The case involves a request for support of an adult child which was brought once adulthood was reached.

14.	Trial.	If this	action	proceeded	to trial.	how	many	days	did t	he tria	l last?	4
				1				v				****

Was it a bench or jury trial? Bench Trial.

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of	of written judgment or order appealed from $1/28/2021$
If no written jud seeking appellat	lgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for se review:
17. Date written n	otice of entry of judgment or order was served Jan 28, 2021
Was service by:	
\square Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electron	ic/fax
18. If the time for (NRCP 50(b), 52(b)	filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion), or 59)
(a) Specify the the date of	e type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
	e pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the g a notice of appeal. See <u>AA Primo Builders v. Washington</u> , 126 Nev, 245 l0).
(b) Date of ent	try of written order resolving tolling motion / / 2019
(c) Date writte	en notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served / /2019
Was service	e by:
\Box Delivery	
☐ Mail	

19. Date notice of appea	al filed Feb 26, 2021
If more than one par	ty has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	lle governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
NRAP 4 (a)(1)	
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order at (a)	or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from:
☑ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	☐ NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	☐ NRS 233B.150
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	□ NRS 703.376
☐ Other (specify)	
(b) Explain how each author The Orders being appealed	ority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: l are final Orders.

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties: Appellant/Defendant, Jeffrey Reed; and Respondent/Plaintiff and Intervenor, Alecia Draper (indivdiually and as conservator for Emily Reed).
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:
23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
The Respondent is seeking child support for an adult child beyond the age of majority. The request was brought when the adult child was over nineteen (19) years old.
The Appellant believes the request was barred by the age when the request was brought.
24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below? ☐ Yes ☐ No
25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
☐ Yes
□ No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
☐ Yes
□ No
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:
 The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims

- 27

 - Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
 - Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
 - Any other order challenged on appeal
 - Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Jeffrey Reed Name of appellant	Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. Name of counsel of record
Apr 19, 2021 Date	Signature of counsel of record
State of Nevada, County of Clark State and county where signed	
CERTIFIC	CATE OF SERVICE
I certify that on the day of _ completed docketing statement upon all of By personally serving it upon him	
⊠ By mailing it by first class mail w	with sufficient postage prepaid to the following and addresses cannot fit below, please list names
SEE ATTACHED	
Dated this 9 th day of	April ,2021_
	Signature Manda M. ROMA Signature

Additional Issues On Appeal:

- 4. Whether income was properly imputed to the parents.
- 5. Whether the Court's findings are supported by the record.
- 6. Whether the alleged expenses for Emily Reed are reasonable.

Certificate of Service:

Service was made on the \q day of April, 2021, to the following:

Elizabeth Brennan, Esq. 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Alecia Draper as conservator for Emily Reed

Benjamin La Luzerne, Esq. 2449 N. Tenaya Way, #36306 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Alecia Draper, Individually

3 4

5 6

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

ORIGINAL

COMD Law Offices of Douglas C. Crawford

DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 000181 602 South Tenth Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 383-0090

Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED

Jun 14 2 30 PM '05

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ALECIA ANN REED, Plaintiff,

VS. JEFFREY A. REED.

Defendant.

D338668 CASE NO: **DEPT NO:**

DATE OF HEARING: TIME OF HEARING:

COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

COMES NOW Plaintiff, ALECIA ANN REED, by and through her attorney, DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD, ESQ., and for her Complaint for Divorce against the Defendant, JEFFREY A. REED, complains and alleges as follows:

I.

At all times relevant, Plaintiff was, and for at least six weeks prior to the commencement of this action, is a resident of Clark County, Nevada and has the intention of making the State of Nevada her permanent domicile for the foreseeable future.

RECHIVED JUN 14 2005

COUNTY CLERK

11.

At all times herein relevant, Defendant, JEFFREY A. REED was and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada

III.

Plaintiff and Defendant were married to each other September 14, 1996, in Laguna Beach, California.

IV.

There are three minor children born to the parties as a result of their relationship, namely, Emily Christine Reed, born: November 16, 1996; Anthony Jeffrey Reed, born: May 26, 1999; and, Adam Parker Reed, born: January 23, 2001. The parties have not adopted any minor children before or during their marriage and the Plaintiff is not now pregnant.

٧.

The parties are fit and proper parents to have joint legal custody of their minor children, with Plaintiff having primary physical custody, subject to Defendant's right of supervised visitation. That Defendant complete parenting classes and anger management.

VI.

That Defendant pay child support of \$100.00 per child, per month, or, 29% of his gross monthly income, whichever is greater, pursuant to NRS125B.070. That Defendant provide health insurance for the minor children with the parties equally paying for any of the children's unpaid or un-reimbursed medical expenses.

VII.

That Plaintiff have an award of spousal support.

VIII.

That Plaintiff have as her sole and separate property the business known as "Little Pastry Chefs".

That Plaintiff have exclusive possession of the marital residence located at: 2029 Riva Del Garda Place, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89134.

There is community property and there are community debts to be adjudicated by this court the full extent to which Plaintiff has yet to determine. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint as community property or community debts are later discovered that are not now known by Plaintiff. The Court should make a fair and equitable distribution of the community assets and community obligations.

IX.

The parties are incompatible in marriage.

X.

That Plaintiff be awarded her costs and disbursements, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That the bonds of matrimony now existing between the parties be dissolved and the parties be returned to the status of single unmarried persons.
- 2. That the parties have joint legal custody of their minor children, with Plaintiff having primary physical custody, subject to Defendant's right of supervised visitation. That Defendant complete parenting classes and anger management.
- 3. That Defendant pay child support of \$100.00 per child, per month, or, 29% of his gross monthly income, whichever is greater. That Defendant provide health insurance for the minor children and that the parties equally divide and pay any unpaid medical expenses of the minor children.
 - 4. That Plaintiff have an award of spousal support.
- 5. That Plaintiff have as her sole and separate property the business known as "Little Pastry Chefs".

1	5.	That Plaintiff have as her sole and separate property the business known
2	as "Little Pa	stry Chefs".
3		
4	6.	Plaintiff have exclusive possession of the marital residence located at:
5	2029 Riva D	Pel Garda Place, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89134.
6	7.	That the court equitably divide the community assets and obligations of
7	the parties.	
8	8.	That Plaintiff be awarded her costs, disbursements and reasonable
9	attorney's fe	es.
10	9.	For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.
11	DATE	ED this 4th day of John, 2005.
12		Respectfully submitted:
13		Was Office of Develop of Growth and
14		Law Office's of Douglas & Frawford DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD, ESQ.
15		Nevada/Bar No. 000181/ 602 South Tenth Street
16		Las Vegas, Nevada/89101
17		(702) 383-0090 ✓ Attorney for Plaintiff
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	3	!
25		
2526		·
1		

1					
2	VERIFICATION				
3	STATE OF NEVADA) : ss.				
4	COUNTY OF CLARK)				
5	ALECIA ANN REED, under penalties of perjury, being first duly sworn, deposes				
6	and says:				
7	That she is the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action; that she has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE and knows the contents thereof; that the same				
8	is true of her own knowledge, except for those matters therein contained stated upon				
9	information and belief, and as to those matters, she believes them to be true.				
10	alieur ann Reed				
11	ALECIA ANN REED				
12 13	·				
14	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me				
15	this day, or d				
16					
17	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State				
18	NOTARY PUBLIC				
19	LILY MANCILLA				
20	COUNTY OF CLARK MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES MAY 19, 2006				
21	No: 97-2244-1				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
~	l ·				

ORIGINAL



	1
	2
	3
20	4
9r	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
G	24
CCSNTY	24 25 UL 26?

ANS
JEFFREY A. REED
2029 Riva Del Garda Place
Las Vegas, NV 89134
(702) 241-2486
Defendant in proper person

FILED

JUL 29 4 49 PM '05

Shirting B. Famogine

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ALECIA ANN REED,)	Case No.	:	D338668
Plaintiff,)	Dept. No.	:	J
vs.)			
JEFFREY A. REED,	, ,			
Defendant.)			
	,			

ANSWER IN PROPER PERSON

Comes Now JEFFREY A. REED, Defendant in proper person and hereby files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein.

As to the allegations in paragraphs I, II, III, IV, VI and VIII, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

As to the allegations in paragraphs V, VII, IX and X, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

Wherefore, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by reason of her complaint on file herein and that judgment be entered for Defendant in this matter.

Dated this $27^{\tau H}$ day of July, 2005.

RECEIVE

JEFFREY A. REED 2029 Riva Del Garda Place Las Vegas, NV 89134 (702) 241-2486

Defendant in proper person

DECD Law Offices of Douglas C. Crawford 2 DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000181 3 602 South Tenth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 383-0090

ALECIA ANN REED,

Plaintiff,

JEFFREY A. REED,

Defendant.

Aug 5 | 19 PM '05 Alexander of my jours

Attorney for Plaintiff

7

5

6

8 9

10

11 12

13 14 VS.

15

16 17

19

20

18

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No. D338668

Dept. No.

DECREE OF DIVORCE

A Complaint for Divorce having been filed by Plaintiff, ALECIA ANN REED, appearing by and through her attorney, DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD, ESQ. and the Defendant, JEFFREY A. REED, appearing in proper person and having filed an Answer, the parties have settled all issues, and after reviewing the pleadings on file herein and otherwise being fully advised in the premises, the Court Finds it has complete jurisdiction, both as to the subject matter herein as well as the parties herein; Plaintiff ALECIA ANN REED is now, and has been, an actual and bona fide resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and has been actually domiciled therein for more than six weeks immediately preceding the commencement of this action; the Parties were married in Laguna Beach, California on September 14, 1996; the Parties have freely and voluntarily agreed to custody and visitation of their minor children, as well as distribution of their community assets and debts.

This Court Also Finds that all of the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint are true as therein alleged and that Plaintiff is entitled to a Decree of Divorce from the Defendant on the ground as set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint; and that Defendant has waived Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and written Notice of Entry of Judgment in said cause.

THE COURT ALSO FINDS:

That the parties have three (3) minor children, to wit:

EMILY CHRISTINE REED, born November 16, 1996;

ANTHONY JEFFREY REED, born May 26, 1999; and

ADAM PARKER REED, born January 23, 2001.

and that the Defendant is the natural father of said children. That Plaintiff is not now pregnant, nor are there any minor children adopted by the parties hereto.

That the parties have met, negotiated and stipulated to the relief to be entered in this action by direct negotiations with one another, and through their respective counsel, if applicable, and by way of their agreement have resolved all issues relating to their marriage, including providing for division and allocation of their respective separate property, their community property, and their debts and by the waiver by each party of any right to request or pursue support from the other, except as herein provided.

That the terms of this Decree of Divorce have been made and entered into freely and voluntarily by each of the parties herein, free from any duress, constraint, or influence of any kind or nature on the part of the other and acting absolutely upon the independent judgment of each, or as advised by independent counsel.

That the parties have each agreed that if any claim, action or proceeding is brought seeking to hold the other party liable on account of any debt, obligation, liability, act or omission assumed by the other party, such party will, at his or her sole expense, defend the other against any such claim or demand and that he or she will indemnify, defend and hold harmless the other party, as specifically provided for below.

That the parties each have verified to the other that they have made a full investigation and disclosure to the other of the joint, common and community property and all debts known to them.

That the parties each have verified that they are aware of their respective rights to alimony or spousal support and to any share of any pensions or retirement benefits now or in the future, and they hereby waive said rights, except as specifically provided below. Nevertheless, they waive any right to assert any other claim of any kind, sounding in contract, tort, or other field of law, understanding that this waiver is permanent and that they may not petition the court for such relief in the future, this Decree of Divorce being intended as a final settlement of all such actual, potential, or latent claims, whether known or unknown. They each have agreed to seek no monetary award, or any other remedy or benefit that would be in conflict with or in addition to what they agreed upon in this instrument, and have agreed that a copy of the Decree of Divorce shall be offered into evidence in any further proceedings between the parties, or in any suit between the parties.

That the parties have agreed that the provisions in this Decree of Divorce are equitable, fair and reasonable and agreed to be bound by all its terms. The parties have further acknowledged that they have made an independent investigation into the existence and value of the assets and liabilities divided hereunder, and that upon their direction the LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD have not conducted an investigation or analysis of said assets and liabilities. The parties have waived any and all claims against said attorneys or their law firms related to the value and/or existence of any asset or debt divided or distributed hereunder.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be, and the same are hereby wholly dissolved, and an absolute Decree of Divorce is hereby granted to the Plaintiff, and each of the parties hereto is hereby restored to the status of a single, unmarried person.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court acknowledges that there are three (3) minor children born the issue of the parties hereto, to wit:

EMILY CHRISTINE REED, born November 16, 1996; ANTHONY JEFFREY REED, born May 26, 1999; and

ADAM PARKER REED, born January 23, 2001.

and that Nevada is the home state of the children and the United States of America is the habitual residence of the children.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that there are no adopted children of the parties, nor is the Plaintiff now pregnant.

LEGAL CUSTODY PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff and Defendant are awarded joint legal custody of the minor children, which entails the following:

The parents shall consult and cooperate with each other in substantial questions relating to religious upbringing, education programs, significant changes in social environment, and health care of the child.

The parents shall have access to medical and school records pertaining to their children and be permitted to independently consult with any and all professionals involved with the child.

All schools, health care providers, day care providers and counselors shall be selected by the parents jointly. In the event that the parents cannot agree to the selection of a school, the children shall be maintained in the present school pending mediation and/or further Order of the Court.

Each parent shall be empowered to obtain emergency health care for the children without the consent of the other parent. Each parent is to notify the other parent as soon as reasonably possible of any illness requiring medical attention, or any emergency involving the child.

Each parent is to provide the other parent, upon receipt, information concerning the well being of the child, including, but not limited to, copies of report cards; school meeting notices; vacation schedules; class programs; requests for conferences; results of standardized or diagnostic tests; notices of activities involving the child; samples of school work; order forms for school pictures; all communications from health care providers; the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all schools; health care providers, and counselors.

Each parent is to advise the other parent of school, athletic, and social events in which the children participate. Both parents may participate in activities for the child, such as open house, attendance at an athletic event, etc.

Each parent is to provide the other parent with the address and telephone number at which the minor children reside, and to notify the other parent within ten days prior to any change of address and provide the telephone number as soon as it is assigned.

Each parent is to provide the other parent with a travel itinerary and, whenever reasonably possible, telephone numbers at which the children can be reached whenever the children will be away from the parent's home for a period of 48 hours or more.

Each parent shall be entitled to reasonable telephone communication with the child. Each parent is restrained from unreasonably interfering with the child's rights to privacy during such telephone conversations.

PHYSICAL CUSTODY PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff is awarded primary physical custody of the minor children and the Defendant shall have the following visitation with the minor children:

Every Thursday from after school (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) through Saturday at 8:00 p.m.

The children shall reside with Plaintiff at all other times.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party shall be responsible for providing day care services for the minor children, if needed, during their visitation times with said minor children and such party shall pay the cost thereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that, in light of the parties' agreement and understanding, should the parties' work schedules change, they agree to communicate to attempt to formulate a new parenting agreement providing for an equal time-share with the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that holiday visitation shall supersede the normal visitation schedule and that holiday visitation shall be as follows:

Halloween / Nevada Day: Halloween shall be defined as being between 3:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. on October 31^{st.} unless such date is not a school day and in such case Halloween shall be defined as commencing at 6:00 p.m. on October 30th and concluding at 9:00 p.m. on October 31st. The parties shall alternate Halloween with the Plaintiff having such visitation during odd numbered years and Defendant having such visitation during even numbered years.

Thanksgiving: Thanksgiving shall be defined as commencing at 3:00 p.m. on the Wednesday immediately preceding Thanksgiving Day and concluding at 6:00 p.m. on the Sunday immediately following Thanksgiving Day. The parties shall alternate Thanksgiving with the Plaintiff having such visitation during even numbered years and Defendant having such visitation during odd numbered years.

Christmas/ Winter Break: The parties shall alternate Winter Break with the Plaintiff having such visitation during odd numbered years and Defendant having such visitation during even numbered years, so that each parent may be able to travel out of town with the children every other year and if a parent has plans to travel out of town with the children during Winter Break, then Winter Break shall be defined as commencing at the end of school on the last day of school before the Winter Break,

28 | / / /

according to the calendar of the Clark County School District and concluding at 6:00 p.m. on the day immediately preceding the return to school after January 1st, according to the calendar of the Clark County School District.

Nevertheless, if the parent having such Winter Break in any given year will not be traveling out of town with the children, then such Winter Break shall be divided into two parts, and the Plaintiff shall exercise visitation during odd numbered years (and Defendant during even numbered years) from the end of school on the last day of school before the Winter Break, according to the calendar of the Clark County School District until 12:00 noon on Christmas day. During such years, Defendant shall exercise visitation during odd numbered years (and Plaintiff during even numbered years) from 12:00 noon on Christmas day until 6:00 p.m. on the day immediately preceding the return to school after January 1st, according to the calendar of the Clark County School District

Easter/ Spring Break: Spring Break shall be defined as commencing at 3:00 p.m. on the last day of school before the Winter Break, according to the calendar of the Clark County School District and concluding at 6:00 p.m. on the day immediately preceding the return to school after Spring Break, according to the calendar of the Clark County School District. The parties shall alternate Spring Break with the Plaintiff having such visitation during even numbered years and Defendant having such visitation during odd numbered years.

Plaintiff shall exercise visitation during Spring Break, during odd numbered years and Defendant shall exercise visitation during Spring Break during even numbered years.

Mother's Day Weekend: Plaintiff shall exercise visitation every year from the end of school on Friday until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

Father's Day Weekend: Defendant shall exercise visitation every year and his normal weekend visitation shall be extended until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

July 4th: Defendant shall exercise visitation during odd numbered years; Plaintiff shall exercise visitation during even numbered years. Visitation shall occur from 9:00 a.m. through 9:00 p.m. on July 4th.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party shall be entitled to exercise two weeks of uninterrupted vacation with the minor children. The party requesting the visitation must provide the other party at least thirty (30) days advance written notice.

ADDITIONAL TIME

Any additional time with the children shall be by mutual agreement of both parents.

TRANSPORTATION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the responsibility for providing transportation shall be assumed by both parties and shared equally.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS

The parents agree to communicate directly regarding the needs and well-being of their children and agree not to use the children as communicators regarding parental issues.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the terms and conditions of the parties' parenting plan may be modified, in writing, as the needs of the minor children and/or the circumstances of the parents change. However, the parents understand that the concurred changes do not modify this Court Order. The parents are encouraged to utilize mediation to resolve parenting issues prior to seeking Court intervention

; ||/// ; ||

27 ||///

28 ////

CHILD SUPPORT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that in accordance Nevada Revised Statutes Chapter 125B, that it is hereby determined that the Defendant has the obligation to support the minor children of the parties herein. In accordance with the applicable formulas, child support is to be set at 29% of the Defendant's gross monthly income with the minimum amount of child support being set at \$100.00 per child per month.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Defendant's gross monthly income is currently \$1,290.00 and the parties agree that the Defendant is expected to be earning approximately \$3,000.00 in gross monthly income very soon and therefore, child support shall be based upon said gross monthly income of \$3,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that based upon Defendant's gross monthly income of \$3,000.00 that his initial child support obligation shall be set at \$870.00 per month as and for the support of the minor children of the parties herein in accordance with the applicable formula.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that in light of the fact that Defendant will be providing health insurance coverage for the minor children and in light of the timeshare of the parties and the resulting fact that Defendant will be providing clothing for the minor children while they are in his care, the parties agree that there shall be a deviation from the above amounts in the amount of \$270.00 monthly and therefore, Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the amount of \$600.00 per month as and for the support of the minor children of the parties herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that as stated in the property distribution hereinbelow, the Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant the amount of \$600.00 per month as and for a property equalization payment for a period of 3 years, that during said period of time, neither party shall pay the other since such amounts would create a "wash" between the parties. Therefore, Defendant's child support

obligation shall commence in August, 2008 and such amount shall be due and payable on the 1st day of each month, commencing August 1, 2008 and continuing monthly thereafter, until modified by order of the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the child support obligation herein, shall continue until the youngest minor child reaches the age of majority (currently 18 years of age), or 19 years of age, if the child is still enrolled in high school, whichever is later, unless the child is otherwise emancipated accordingly to law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the parties may request a review of the child support obligation every three (3) years.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the party responsible for paying child support is hereby put on notice that he/she is subject to the provisions of N.R.S. 31A.010 through 31A.240 and Sections 2 and 3 of Assembly Bill No. 395, which deal with the recovery of payments for the support of children by the welfare division of the Department of Human Resources or the District Attorney, and that his/her employer can be ordered to withhold his/her wages or commissions for delinquent payments of child support, and that the County Clerk may collect and disburse those withholdings.

HEALTH INSURANCE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Defendant shall maintain health care insurance, including medical, dental and surgical for the minor children and the cost of such insurance coverage for the minor children shall be the responsibility of Defendant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff and Defendant shall divide equally all unreimbursed health care expenses for the subject minor child in accordance with the following procedure:

27 11/1/

28 | 1///

The party that incurs an out of pocket and/or un-reimbursed (not covered by the policy) medical, dental and optical expense for the minor child, shall submit proof of payment or payment due to the other party within thirty (30) days of receipt and the other party shall have thirty (30) days to reimburse the party that acquired said expense.

CLAIMING THE MINOR CHILDREN FOR INCOME TAXES

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that for tax year 2004, the parties shall file a joint federal income tax return and equally divide the amount of any refund and the parties shall be equally responsible for any tax liability that may be due for tax year 2004.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that commencing for tax year 2005, the parties shall file separate tax returns and Plaintiff shall be entitled to claim the minor children for all purposes of her Federal Income Taxes (including, but not limited to exemptions, credits or other allowances) for tax year 2005 and each year thereafter.

WAIVER OF ALIMONY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERE, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that based upon the waiver of the parties, that there shall be no award of alimony to either party.

DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY ASSETS AND DEBTS

1. MARITAL RESIDENCE & PROPERTY EQUALIZING PAYMENT:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff shall be awarded all right, title and interest in the real property located at 2029 Riva Del Garda Place, Las Vegas, NV 89134 as her sole and separate property with all rights of quiet enjoyment therein and she shall hold the Defendant harmless from any obligations associated therewith.

26 | / / /

27 || ///

28 | 111

28 | / / /

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant the amount of \$69,100 as and for his interest in said residence and as an equalizing payment for the property distribution stated hereinbelow. Plaintiff shall pay \$69,1000 according to the following schedule:

\$47,500.00 lump sum payment upon the filing of this Decree of Divorce; and \$21,600.00 to be paid in monthly installments of \$600.00 per month for thirty-six (36) months, commencing August, 2005.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that said monthly payments shall be offset by Defendant's monthly child support obligation for thirty-six (36) months and therefore, during said period of time, neither party shall pay the other since such amounts would create a "wash" between the parties. Therefore, said debt shall be paid in full in July, 2008.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Defendant shall be able to occupy the Riva Del Garda Place residence up to November 30, 2005.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff shall be be responsible for payment of the monthly mortgage, insurance, property taxes and all utilities (i.e. electricity, natural gas, water, refuse/recycling, cable, internet), associated with said property and that if Defendant intends to "shut-off" any utilities prior to or after vacating said residence, that he shall notify Plaintiff of such intention prior to the "shut-off" of said utility thereby allowing Plaintiff the opportunity of establishing such utility service in her own name.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that while Defendant shall have the limited right to occupy said property until November 30, 2005, nothing hereinabove shall be construed to establish any type of tenancy relationship between the parties herein, and should Defendant not vacate said property by 11:59 p.m. on November 30, 2005, then Plaintiff shall have the right to "lock out" Defendant, without any further order of court, and that the Constable shall act in accordance herewith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that upon the Defendant's vacation from the Riva Del Garda Place residence that he shall be entitled to only take the personal property as listed in item 3, hereinbelow, and that all other property (including, but not limited to all appliances, lighting fixtures, etc.) shall remain with said property and shall be awarded to Plaintiff as her sole and separate property.

2. COMMUNITY PROPERTY TO BE DISTRIBUTED TO PLAINTIFF:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff is awarded the following items of community property as her sole and separate property:

- The martial residence located at 2029 Riva Del Garda Place, Las Vegas,
 NV 89134, under the terms stated in item 1 hereinabove;
- All assets, bank accounts, investments, equipment, accounts receivables, goodwill, and all other items of value, of the business known as Little Pastry Chefs, Inc.;
- 3. The 2002 Chevrolet Venture, subject to any encumbrances thereon;
- 4. All furnishings and property, currently in her possession, except for those items specifically awarded to Defendant in item 3 hereinbelow.
- All retirement accounts, pension plans, etc. in her name or being held for her benefit;
- 6. All bank accounts, investments, and/or property in her name; and
- 7. All of her clothing, jewelry and personal items.

3. COMMUNITY PROPERTY TO BE DISTRIBUTED TO DEFENDANT:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant is awarded the following items of community property as his sole and separate property:

- 1. The 2001 Nissan Xterra automobile, subject to any encumbrance thereon.
- 2. All of his clothing and personal items;
- All retirement accounts, pension plans, etc., in his name, including, but not limited to 100% of all retirement benefits that he may have through employment with the Vons Companies.

All bank accounts, investments, and/or property in his name; and

4.

1

5. COMMUNITY DEBTS TO BE AWARDED TO DEFENDANT:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant shall be responsible for paying the following debts, and shall hold the Plaintiff harmless therefrom:

- 1. The encumbrance, if any, on the 2001 Nissan Xterra automobile;
- The debt owed to Wells Fargo Financial Account number 538xxx30, in Defendant's name, with an approximate outstanding balance of \$700.00;
- 3. Any and all credit cards and debts in his own name; and
- 4. Any encumbrance on any property awarded to Defendant hereinabove.

COOPERATION AND EXECUTION OF DOCUMENTS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties shall cooperate and execute any and all documentation to effectuate the division of assets and debts as stated hereinabove and that the parties will use good faith in executing all such documents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that any and all transfers of property, execution of documents evidencing any ownership of the parties and/or of the community interest therein which have occurred within the last 6 months prior to the filing of the decree are hereby ratified by this Decree of Divorce to have occurred incident to this divorce.

believe and agree that the transfers of property between them required by this Decree of Divorce are tax free transfers of property between them incident to the Divorce and are therefore tax-free transfers of property made pursuant to Section 1041 of the Internal Revenue Code and are not taxable sales or exchanges of property or payments for alimony, except where this agreement specifically denotes payments as such. Each party covenants and agrees not to take any position inconsistent with this belief and agreement, including, without limitation, any position with respect to the basis of any asset on his or her tax returns after the date of this Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that should either party fail to cooperate in transferring title to property as ordered by this Decree of Divorce, then said aggrieved party may seek the services of the Clerk of Court, in accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes and/or Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure including, but not limited to Rule 70 thereof, to sign all necessary documents to accomplish said transfers of title, without further order of this court and as to any real or personal property within the State of Nevada, this Decree of Divorce shall be considered a judgment vesting title therein as stated hereinbelow and divesting the other spouse of said title and this judgment shall have the effect of a conveyance executed in due form of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that where this judgment is for delivery of possession, the party in whose favor said delivery is entered is entitled to a writ of execution or assistance upon application to the clerk of court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that based upon the agreement of the parties, that the division of property as stated hereinabove is fair and equitable.

RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125B.055(3), the parties are hereby notified that within ten (10) days after a Court of this State issues an Order for the support of a child, each party to the cause of action shall file with the Court that issued the Order and the welfare division the following:

- (a) His or her social security number;
- (b) His or her residential and mailing addresses;
- (c) His or her telephone number;
- (d) His or her driver's license number; and
- (e) The name, address, and telephone number of his or her employer.

||///

28 1111

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall submit the information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130 and NRS 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date the Decree of Divorce is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

THE PARTIES ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that each party shall update the information filed with the Court (Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division, located at 601 North Pecos, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101); and (2) the Welfare Division (located at 3120 East Desert Inn Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89121) within ten (10) days after the information becomes inaccurate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS §125B.145, the parties are hereby notified that children support shall be reviewed at any time upon a showing of changed circumstances, or every three (3) years, pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes, whichever occurs first.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are hereby put on notice that, pursuant to NRS 125.450, a parent responsible for paying child support is subject to NRS 31A.020 to 32A.240, inclusive, and Section 2 and 3 of Chapter 31A of the Nevada Revised Statues, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for the delinquent payment of support. These statutes and provisions require that, if a parent responsible for paying child support is delinquent in paying the support of a child that such person has been ordered to pay, then that person's wages or commissions shall be immediately subject to wage assignment, pursuant to the provisions of the cited statutes.

26 | / / /

27 1///

28 ||///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125.510 (6):

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER; THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(6) and (7), the parties are hereby notified that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International law are applicable to the parties as follows:

Section 8: If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

- (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in Subsection 7.
- (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125C.200:

If custody has been established and the custodial parent intends to move his residence to a place outside of this state and to take the child with him, as soon as possible and before the planned move, attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to move the child from this state. If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, the custodial parent shall, before he leaves this state with the child, petition the court for

28 ///

permission to move the child. The failure of a parent to comply with the provisions of this section may be considered as a factor if a change of custody is requested by the noncustodial parent.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that under the terms of the Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act, 28 U.S.C. §1738A, and the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, NRS 125A.010 et seq., the Courts of Nevada have exclusive modification jurisdiction of the custody, visitation and child support terms relating to the child at issue in the case so long as either of the parties, or the child, continues to reside in this jurisdiction.

INDEMNIFICATION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that each party shall so conduct their affairs so as to not contract, charge or answer for a debt, for which the other's property or estate shall be or may become liable or answerable, and further in accordance with the distribution of debts as stated hereinabove, each party shall hold the other harmless from said debt and shall indemnify the other therefrom.

ENFORCEMENT OF THIS DECREE OF DIVORCE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that should either party, not be in compliance with this Decree of Divorce and should the aggrieved party bring the appropriate action before any court to enforce said Decree, then the non-compliant party shall be responsible to the aggrieved party for all attorneys' fees, costs and interest thereon, from the date of notice of non-compliance.

CONTEMPT OF COURT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that should either party, not be in compliance with this Decree of Divorce, such action shall be considered a contempt of court, and the noncompliant party shall be subject to any sanction, or order imposed by the court, including but not limited to imprisonment and/or fine.

ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party st	hall
bear their own attorneys fees and costs in this matter through the filing of this Decree	
Divorce.	
Divorce. DATED and DONE this	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE LISA M. BROWN

	L	ISA IVI. DICO
	Agreed to this <u>a6</u> Day of <u>July</u> , 2005 for the purposes and reasons as stated hereinabove. Furthermore, I hereby declare that I have freely and voluntarily entered into the foregoing agreement	Agreed to this 27 Day of JULY, 2005 for the purposes and reasons as stated hereinabove. Furthermore, I hereby declare that I have freely and voluntarily entered into the foregoing agreement
	Alecia ANN REED	JEFFREY REED
		Las Vegas NV, 8134 Defendant, in proper person
	STATE OF NEVADA)	Bolondani, in propor poleon
	COUNTY OF CLARK)) ss:	A
_	On this Old day of All	2005, before me, a
	notary public, personally appeared ALECIA AN	NREED, personally known or proven to
	me to be the person whose name is subscrib	ed to the above Decree of Divorce who
	acknowledged that she executed this instrument purposes stated therein.	NOTARY PUBLIC LILY MANCILLA STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES MAY 19, 2006
	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for Said County & Sta	110, 37-2244.1

1	STATE OF NEVADA
2	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss:
3	On this 57th day of Auly, 2005, before me,
4	notary public, personally appeared JEFFREY REED, personally known or proven to m
5	to be the person whose name is subscribed to the above Decree of Divorce wh
6 7	acknowledged that she executed this instrument freely and voluntarily and for th
8	purposes stated therein.
9	LILY MANCILLA STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES MAY 19, 2006
10 11	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for Said County & State
12	Respectfully Submitted by:
13	LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD
14	LAW OF JOEG OF BOOGLAG OF ORDER
15	a had be colden
16	By: DOUGLAS C. CRAWFORD, ESQ.
17	Nevada Ba#No. 000181 602 South/Tenth Street
18 19	Las Vegas, NV 89101 // \((702) 383-0090
20	Attorney for Plaintiff ALECIA ANN REED
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
, Suite 220	7
ray, Su	5 6 7 8 9
Parkv 9113 8	9
rossing vada 89 34-888	10
7455 Arroyo Crossing Pa Las Vegas, Nevada 8911 Phone: (702) 834-8888	11
455 Ar as Veg hone: (12
7 L A	13
Ħ	14
v Fir	15
aw.	16
ın	17
nna	18
Bre	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

25

26

NOTC ELIZABETH BRENNAN Nevada Bar No. 7286 Brennan Law Firm 7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888 Fax: (702) 507-1466 Elizabeth@BrennanLawFirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Alecia Ann Draper, Case No.: D-338668 Plaintiff Dept No.: S

vs.

Jeffery Allen Reed,

Defendant

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF REQUEST TO CONTINUE CHILD SUPPORT FOR EMILY AFTER HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION **DUE TO CHILD'S DISABIILTY** & REQUEST TO VACATE EVIDENTIARY HEARING

NOTICE is hereby provided by Plaintiff, Alecia Ann Draper, that she hereby withdraws her 16 | request to have child support continue for the minor child, Emily Reed, after she graduates from high school due to her disability. Accordingly, Plaintiff hereby requests that the Court vacate the evidentiary hearing (which is solely on this issue) this is scheduled for May 11, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted:

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

/s/Elizabeth Brennan ELIZABETH BRENNAN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil of Procedure 5(b), I certify that on the 9th day of

March, 2015, I served the above and foregoing document entitled:

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF REQUEST TO CONTINUE CHILD SUPPORT FOR EMILY AFTER HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION DUE TO CHILD'S DISABILTY & REQUEST TO VACATE EVIDENTIARY HEARING

by the following method:

X	Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) and Administrative Order 14-2 captioned "In the Administrative Matter of Mandatory Electronic Service in Eighth Judicial District Court," by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;
	by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;
	by hand delivery with signed Receipt of Copy;
	pursuant to EDCR 7.26, to be sent via facsimile, by duly executed consent for service by electronic means;
To the	e parties listed at the address, email, and/or facsimile number below:

Frank J Toti 6900 Westcliff Drive #500 Las Vegas, NV 89145 frank@fitesq.com Fax # (702) 364-1603

/s/ Elizabeth Brennan

An Employee of Brennan Law Firm

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MOT
ELIZABETH BRENNAN
Nevada Bar No. 7286
Brennan Law Firm
7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
Phone: (702) 834-8888 Fax: (702) 507-1466
Elizabeth@BrennanLawFirm.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COUR

Defendant

Electronically Filed 1/22/2019 4:28 PM Steven D. Grierson

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT – FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Alecia Ann Draper, Individually and as Conservator for Emily Reed

Plaintiff
vs.

Plaintiff
Date of Hearing: 03/04/2019

Time of Hearing: 10:00 AM

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION (AS CONSERVATOR FOR EMILY REED) FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR A DISABLED CHILD BEYOND THE AGE OF MAJORITY

NOW COMES, Plaintiff, Alecia Ann Draper, in her capacity AS CONSERVATOR FOR EMILY REED ("Emily"), and hereby moves for an Order requiring both of Emily's parents, Alecia Draper and Jeffery Reed, to pay child support for Emily due to her ongoing disability, for all the reasons set forth herein, as well as the reasons set forth in the Countermotion for Child Support Et Al filed in the captioned matter on July 21, 2017, which is incorporated herein by reference (which has not yet been ruled on by the Court). Plaintiff attempted to resolve this matter prior to filing this supplemental motion; however, it became clear that the parties were at a roadblock and additional settlement discussions would not have been fruitful.

This motion is brought in good faith and is based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings on file herein, and such further evidence and oral argument of this matter at the hearing.

7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888 BRENNAN LAW FIRM

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respectfully Submitted:

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

/s/Elizabeth Brennan
ELIZABETH BRENNAN, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff

NOTICE OF MOTION

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

/s/ Elizabeth Brennan

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES

THE LAW

NRS 125B.110, Nevada's Handicapped Child Support Statute, provides the following:

- A parent shall support beyond the age of majority his or her child with a handicap until the child is no longer handicapped or until the child becomes self-supporting. The handicap of the child must have occurred before the age of majority for this duty to apply.
- 2. For the purpose of this section, a child is self-supporting if the child receives public assistance beyond the age of majority <u>and</u> that assistance is sufficient to meet the child's needs.
- 3. This section does not impair or otherwise affect the eligibility of a person with a handicap to receive benefits from a source other than his or her parents.
- 4. As used in this section, "handicap" means an <u>inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity</u> by reason of a medially determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. (Emphasis supplied).

In Nevada, the definition of "self-supporting" means the ability to work, or receive sufficient disability, in order to *financially* support oneself. *Edington vs. Edington*, 119 Nev. 577, 80 P.3d 1282, 1288 (Nev. 2003). In addition, the statute requires that the impairment itself (which must be capable

7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888 BRENNAN LAW FIRM

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respectfully Submitted:

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

/s/Elizabeth Brennan ELIZABETH BRENNAN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff

NOTICE OF MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that undersigned will bring the above and forgoing Motion on for hearing before this Court in Department S on the _____ day of ______, 2019, at the hour of _____ m. of said day, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

/s/ Elizabeth Brennan

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES

THE LAW

NRS 125B.110, Nevada's Handicapped Child Support Statute, provides the following:

- 1. A parent shall support beyond the age of majority his or her child with a handicap until the child is no longer handicapped <u>or</u> until the child becomes self-supporting. The handicap of the child must have occurred before the age of majority for this duty to apply.
- 2. For the purpose of this section, a child is self-supporting if the child receives public assistance beyond the age of majority and that assistance is sufficient to meet the child's needs.
- 3. This section does not impair or otherwise affect the eligibility of a person with a handicap to receive benefits from a source other than his or her parents.
- 4. As used in this section, "handicap" means an <u>inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity</u> by reason of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. (Emphasis supplied).

In Nevada, the definition of "self-supporting" means the ability to work, or receive sufficient disability, in order to *financially* support oneself. *Edington vs. Edington*, 119 Nev. 577, 80 P.3d 1282, 1288 (Nev. 2003). In addition, the statute requires that the impairment itself (which must be capable

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

of being medically established by accepted diagnostic techniques) be the cause of the fact that the person is unable to support themselves. *Id at 1289*.

As shown herein, Emily meets all of the requirements necessary for this Court to order both parents to pay child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110 as interpreted by the Nevada Supreme Court in Edington.

THE FACTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

26

Plaintiff Alecia Draper ("Alecia"), is the conservator for the parties disabled daughter Emily Reed ("Emily"). Attached hereto is the Sworn Declaration of Alecia, which provides the initial testimony and documents in support of Plaintiff's request for child support for Emily. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraphs 1 and 2.

Emily was born on November 16, 1996. Emily is currently 22 years old. Emily lives with Alecia when she is not hospitalized. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 3. Emily is handicapped. Emily suffers from major depressive disorder with psychotic features, post-traumatic stress disorder, and severe stressors. Emily's handicap began BEFORE she reached the age of majority and has continuously required treatment. See all exhibits attached hereto. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 16||4.

Emily's medical history is extensive, and her disability has been medically established. Emily has remained disabled since prior to her 18th birthday and is unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity and is unable to be financially self-supporting. See Exhibit 1, Dr. Farrell Medical Record & Expert Report; Exhibit 2, Summary of Emily's Medical History; Exhibit 3, Summary of Emily's Income & Expenses for 2017 and 2018; Exhibit 4, Detailed List of Emily's Expenses for 2017 and 2018. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 5. As a result of Emily's continuing disability, Alecia filed a Petition for Appointment of Conservator over Emily in Superior Court of California, County of Orange, Case Number: 30-2018-00970067-PR-LP-CJC (the "Conservatorship Case"). In October of 2018, Alecia was appointed as Emily's conservator. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 6.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

26

Due to Emily's qualifying disability and her inability to financially support herself, Alecia hereby requests that both parents be ordered to pay support for Emily, retroactive to the filing of Plaintiff's original request, and continuing for the duration of Emily's disability. Declaration, Paragraph 7.

Emily was sexually abused by Allen Richard Gorry prior to her eighteenth birthday, from age 7 through age 17, during her visitation time periods with her Dad, Jeffrey Reed ("Dad" or "Defendant"). In January of 2018, Mr. Gorry pled guilty to a felony charge related to these horrendous sexual crimes against Emily and is now in jail serving time for these crimes. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 8.

Emily threatened suicide prior to her eighteenth (18th) birthday. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 9. In addition, Emily was hospitalized a total of 81 days during the time period of 3/18/14 - 4/16/15 before graduation from high school, as set forth below:

	3/18/14 - 4/7/14	UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center	19 days	Exhibit 10
	4/7/14 - 5/12/14	Center for Discovery	35 Days	Exhibit 11
	3/7/2015 - 3/30/2015	Del Amo Hospital	23 Days	Exhibit 15
	4/16/15 - 4/20/15	UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center	4 days	Exhibit 16
e Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 10.				

From 2008 through 2014 (5th grade – 12th grade), Emily required special education services in the form of an Individualized Educational Program ("IEP"). See Exhibit 5, 2008 - 2011 IEP's Clark County School District. See also Exhibit 6, 2011 - 2014 IEP's Huntington Beach School District. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 11.

In September and October of 2013 (while Emily was 16 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily's school conducted two Multidisciplinary Psycho-Educational Assessments to determine if Emily continued to have a disability and a continued need for special education services. The answer was yes! The assessments concluded that Emily had a Specific Learning Disability and was Hearing

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1 Impaired. See Exhibit 7, 09/09/13 - 09/16/3 Psycho-Educational Assessment Report. See also Exhibit 8, 10/03/13 Psycho-Educational Assessment Report. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 12.

In March of 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily disclosed to her school counselors she was thinking about killing herself. As a result, Emily was transported from school to UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center on March 18, 2014. See Exhibit 9, Suicide Assessment by School Psychologist. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 13.

From March 18, 2014 – April 7, 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily was hospitalized for three weeks at UCI psychiatric hospital as a result of being sexually molested for years as a young girl, her suicide ideation, and her emotional issues. This was Emily's first hospitalization as a minor. The medical records reveal auditory hallucinations and regressed, selfinjurious behavior. Emily disclosed sexual abuse by her father's roommate for years as a young girl where she was forced to watch pornography and engage in oral sex. See Exhibit 10, UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center Medical Records. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 14.

From April 7 – May 12, 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily was hospitalized for 35 days at Center for Discovery. This was Emily's second hospitalization as a minor. See Exhibit 11, Center for Discovery Medical Records. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 15.

In September and October of 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 12th grade), Emily's school conducted another Multidisciplinary Psycho-Educational Assessment, again to determine if Emily continued to have a disability and a continued need for special education services. The answer again was yes! Now, however, the diagnosis was changed to **Emotional Disturbance**. See Exhibit 12, 09/16/14 - 10/22/14 Psycho-Educational Assessment Report. To this very day, Emily continues to suffer from emotional disturbances diagnosed before her 18th birthday. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 16.

On February 9, 2015 (while still in high school), Emily applied for Vocational Rehabilitation Services due to her disability. See Exhibit 13, Vocational Rehabilitation File. See Sworn Declaration, 26 Paragraph 17.

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

On March 2, 2015 (while still in high school), Emily was approved by the Department of Rehabilitation for Vocational Rehabilitation Services because she met the eligibility criteria, namely she was found to have a "physical or mental impairment which constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment based on information from her doctor or another valid source." She was given Priority for Services in Category 1, 2 and 3. Unfortunately, Emily was unable to complete the interview process due to her emotional breakdowns, hospitalizations, and the continued worsening of her mental state. As a result, it was determined that Emily was not a candidate for vocational services and her case was closed on April 3, 2015. See Exhibit 13, Vocational Rehabilitation File. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 18.

In March 2015 (while still in high school), Emily was admitted to Del Amo hospital for suicidal ideation after she tried to strangle herself with the sleeves of a sweater. See Exhibit 15, Del Amo Hospital Medical Records. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 19.

In April of 2015 (while still in high school), Emily was hospitalized again at UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center for four (4) days when, according to her school psychologist, she was agitated, rolling around on the asphalt in the fetal position for thirty-five (35) minutes and screaming. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 20.

In April of 2015 (while still in high school), Emily began treating with Elise Collier at Pure Light Counseling for treatment of her complex PTSD, chronic, severe and severe Dissociative Identity Disorder, NOS. See Exhibit 16, Pure Light Counseling. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 21.

In April of 2015 (while still in high school), Emily applied for and was awarded Social Security Disability. See Exhibit 17, Social Security Approval Letter. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 22.

<u>In July of 2015</u>, it was recommended that Emily could benefit from a service dog. <u>See Exhibit</u> 18, Pure Light Counseling. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 23. Since 2015, Emily has been receiving Social Security Disability Income. Attached as *Exhibit 19* is a summary listing of all Social Security Disability Income checks Emily has received to date. See Exhibit 19, Social Security Disability Income Bank Ledger. Emily will continue to receive Social Security Disability Income in

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

12

13

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888 BRENNAN LAW FIRM

2019. See Exhibit 20. Social Security Approval Letter. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 24. Unfortunately, Emily cannot work due to her disability and her Social Security Disability Income is NOT sufficient to meet her monthly financial expenses. See Exhibit 3, Summary of Emily's Income and Expenses for 2017 and 2018. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 25.

Most recently in February of 2018, Emily was admitted to a twenty-one (21) day intense therapy program at Collin A. Ross Institute in Texas. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 26.

Defendant received information about each of Emily's hospitalizations and has been very much aware of Emily's diagnosis of severe PTSD, Major depressive disorder with Psychotic features, stressor related disorder. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 27. Emily continues to suffer from severe posttraumatic stress and depression from years of sexual abuse as a young child. She has seen many different psychiatrists and psychologists but has not gotten better. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 28. Emily takes medication for her chronic PTSD, depression, and anxiety. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 29. Emily is not able to maintain a job. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 30. Emily cannot be left alone for long periods of time without supervision. In fact, Alecia went on FMLA to be home with Emily. Alecia also quit her job to be home with Emily. If Alecia cannot be home, she makes 16|| sure that either one or both of Emily's brothers are there, or her husband in home. Emily's grandma also comes to visit once a month for a week to help care for Emily. See Sworn Declaration, Paragraph 31.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court GRANT her Motion and order BOTH of Emily's parents to pay child support for Emily - a handicapped adult child under Nevada law.

Respectfully Submitted:

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

/s/ Elizabeth Brennan ELIZABETH BRENNAN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff

DECLARATION OF ALECIA DRAPER AS CONSERVATOR FOR EMILY REED IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR CHILD WITH HANDICAP BEYOND AGE OF MAJORITY

- I, ALECIA DRAPER, swear under penalty of perjury that the following statements are true and correct.
 - I am the Plaintiff, individually and as conservator for my disabled daughter Emily
 Reed ("Emily"), in the above-named action. I have personal knowledge of all matters
 stated herein, I am over the age of eighteen (18), and I would be competent to testify
 thereto if called to do so, except as to matters stated on information and belief, and as
 to those items, I believe them to be true.
 - I provide this Sworn Declaration in support of Plaintiff's Original and Supplemental Motion for Child Support For Disabled Child Beyond Age of Majority (collectively "Emily's Motion").
 - 3. Emily was born on November 16, 1996. Emily is currently 22 years old. Emily lives with me when she is not hospitalized.
 - 4. Emily is handicapped. Emily suffers from major depressive disorder with psychotic features, post-traumatic stress disorder, and severe stressors. Emily's handicap began BEFORE she reached the age of majority and has continuously required treatment.
 See all exhibits attached hereto.
 - 5. Emily's medical history is extensive and her disability has been medically established. Emily has remained disabled since prior to her 18th birthday and is unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity and is unable to be financially self-supporting. See Exhibit 1, Dr. Farrell Medical Record & Expert Report; Exhibit 2, Summary of Emily's Medical History; Exhibit 3, Summary of

- Emily's Income & Expenses for 2017 and 2018; <u>Exhibit</u> 4, Detailed List of Emily's Expenses for 2017 and 2018.
- 6. As a result of Emily's continuing disability, I filed a Petition for Appointment of Conservator over Emily in Superior Court of California, County of Orange, Case Number: 30-2018-00970067-PR-LP-CJC (the "Conservatorship Case"). In October of 2018, I was appointed as Emily's conservator.
- 7. Due to Emily's qualifying disability and her inability to financially support herself, I hereby request that both parents be ordered to pay support for Emily, retroactive to the filing of Plaintiff's original request, and continuing for the duration of Emily's disability.
- 8. Emily was sexually abused by Allen Richard Gorry prior to her eighteenth birthday, from age 7 through age 17, during her visitation time periods with her Dad, Jeffrey Reed ("Dad" or "Defendant"). In January of 2018, Mr. Gorry pled guilty to a felony charge related to these horrendous sexual crimes against Emily and is now in jail serving time for these crimes.
- 9. Emily threatened suicide prior to her eighteenth (18th) birthday.
- 10. Emily was hospitalized a total of 81 days during the time period of 3/18/14 4/16/15 before graduation from high school, as set forth below:

3/18/14 - 4/7/14	UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center	19 days	Exhibit 10
4/7/14 - 5/12/14	Center for Discovery	35 Days	Exhibit 11
3/7/2015 - 3/30/2015	Del Amo Hospital	23 Days	Exhibit 15
4/16/15 - 4/20/15	UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center	4 days	Exhibit 16

11. From 2008 through 2014 (5th grade – 12th grade), Emily required special education services in the form of an Individualized Educational Program ("IEP"). See Exhibit

- 5, 2008 2011 IEP's Clark County School District. See also Exhibit 6, 2011 2014 IEP's Huntington Beach School District.
- 12. In September and October of 2013 (while Emily was 16 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily's school conducted two Multidisciplinary Psycho-Educational Assessments to determine if Emily continued to have a disability and a continued need for special education services. The answer was yes! The assessments concluded that Emily had a Specific Learning Disability and was Hearing Impaired. See Exhibit 7, 09/09/13 09/16/3 Psycho-Educational Assessment Report. See also Exhibit 8, 10/03/13 Psycho-Educational Assessment Report.
- 13. In March of 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily disclosed to her school counselors she was thinking about killing herself. As a result, Emily was transported from school to UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center on March 18, 2014. See Exhibit 9, Suicide Assessment by School Psychologist.
- 14. From March 18, 2014 April 7, 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 11th grade), Emily was hospitalized for three weeks at UCI psychiatric hospital as a result of being sexually molested for years as a young girl, her suicide ideation, and her emotional issues. This was Emily's first hospitalization as a minor. The medical records reveal auditory hallucinations and regressed, self-injurious behavior. Emily disclosed sexual abuse by her father's roommate for years as a young girl where she was forced to watch pornography and engage in oral sex. See Exhibit 10, UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center Medical Records.
- 15. From April 7 May 12, 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 11th grade),
 Emily was hospitalized for 35 days at Center for Discovery. This was Emily's

- second hospitalization as a minor. See Exhibit 11, Center for Discovery Medical Records.
- 16. In September and October of 2014 (while Emily was 17 years old and in the 12th grade), Emily's school conducted another Multidisciplinary Psycho-Educational Assessment, again to determine if Emily continued to have a disability and a continued need for special education services. The answer again was yes! Now, however, the diagnosis was changed to Emotional Disturbance. See Exhibit 12, 09/16/14 10/22/14 Psycho-Educational Assessment Report. To this very day, Emily continues to suffer from emotional disturbances diagnosed before her 18th birthday.
- 17. On February 9, 2015 (while still in high school), Emily applied for Vocational Rehabilitation Services due to her disability. <u>See Exhibit 13, Vocational</u> <u>Rehabilitation File.</u>
- 18. On March 2, 2015 (while still in high school), Emily was approved by the Department of Rehabilitation for Vocational Rehabilitation Services because she met the eligibility criteria, namely she was found to have a "physical or mental impairment which constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment based on information from her doctor or another valid source."

 She was given Priority for Services in Category 1, 2 and 3. Unfortunately, Emily was unable to complete the interview process due to her emotional breakdowns, hospitalizations, and the continued worsening of her mental state. As a result, it was determined that Emily was not a candidate for vocational services and her case was closed on April 3, 2015. See Exhibit 13, Vocational Rehabilitation File.

- 19. In March 2015 (while still in high school), Emily was admitted to Del Amo hospital for suicidal ideation after she tried to strangle herself with the sleeves of a sweater.

 See Exhibit 15, Del Amo Hospital Medical Records.
- 20. In April of 2015 (while still in high school), Emily was hospitalized again at UCIMC Neuropsychiatric Center for four (4) days when, according to her school psychologist, she was agitated, rolling around on the asphalt in the fetal position for thirty-five (35) minutes and screaming.
- 21. In April of 2015 (while still in high school), Emily began treating with Elise Collier at Pure Light Counseling for treatment of her complex PTSD, chronic, severe and severe Dissociative Identity Disorder, NOS. <u>See Exhibit 16</u>, Pure Light Counseling.
- 22. <u>In April of 2015</u> (while still in high school), Emily applied for and was awarded Social Security Disability. <u>See Exhibit 17</u>, Social Security Approval Letter.
- 23. <u>In July of 2015</u>, it was recommended that Emily could benefit from a service dog.

 <u>See Exhibit 18</u>, Pure Light Counseling.
- 24. Since 2015, Emily has been receiving Social Security Disability Income. Attached as Exhibit 19 is a summary listing of all Social Security Disability Income checks Emily has received to date. See Exhibit 19, Social Security Disability Income Bank Ledger. Emily will continue to receive Social Security Disability Income in 2019. See Exhibit 20, Social Security Approval Letter.
- 25. Unfortunately, Emily cannot work due to her disability and her Social Security Disability Income is NOT sufficient to meet her monthly financial expenses. See <u>Exhibit</u> 3, Summary of Emily's Income and Expenses for 2017 and 2018.
- 26. Most recently in February of 2018, Emily was admitted to a twenty-one (21) day intense therapy program at Collin A. Ross Institute in Texas.

27. Defendant received information about each of Emily's hospitalizations and has been

very much aware of Emily's diagnosis of severe PTSD, Major depressive disorder

with Psychotic features, stressor related disorder.

28. Emily continues to suffer from severe posttraumatic stress and depression from years

of sexual abuse as a young child. She has seen many different psychiatrists and

psychologists but has not gotten better.

29. Emily takes medication for her chronic PTSD, depression, and anxiety.

30. Emily is not able to maintain a job.

31. Emily cannot be left alone for long periods of time without supervision. In fact, I

went on FMLA to be home with Emily. I also quit my job to be home with Emily. If

I cannot be home, I make sure that either one or both of Emily's brothers are there, or

my husband in home. Emily's grandma also comes to visit once a month for a week

to help care for Emily.

Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and

correct.

Signed on this 7th of January 2019.

<u>/s/ Alecia Draper</u> ALECIA DRAPER

6

L	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888 Fax: (702) 507-1466 Elizabeth@BrennanLawFirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT – FAMIL CLARK COUNTY, NE Alecia Ann Draper, individually and as Conservator for Emily Reed Plaintiff vs. Jeffery Allen Reed, Defendant NOTICE OF JOINE Pursuant to this Court's Decision and Order filed on page 15, lines 22 – 24), notice is hereby given by Alecia Dr this date forward as the Plaintiff individually AND as Conservator Respectfully Services BRENNAN Lasses BRENNA	Case No.: 05D338668 Dept No.: S DER n 5/22/2018 (page 14, lines 22 – 24, and raper that she shall be proceeding from servator for Emily Reed. Submitted: AW FIRM Brennan BRENNAN, ESQ.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Pursuant to this Court's Decision and Order filed on page 15, lines 22 – 24), notice is hereby given by Alecia Dr this date forward as the Plaintiff individually AND as Cons Respectfully S BRENNAN La /s/Œlizabeth ELIZABETH	n 5/22/2018 (page 14, lines 22 – 24, at raper that she shall be proceeding from servator for Emily Reed. Submitted: AW FIRM Brennan BRENNAN, ESQ.

AMOT
ELIZABETH BRENNAN

Nevada Bar No. 7286
Brennan Law Firm
7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
Phone: (702) 834-8888 Fax: (702) 507-1466
Elizabeth@BrennanLawFirm.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed 4/10/2019 10:12 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT – FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Alecia Ann Draper, Individually and
as Conservator for Emily Reed

Plaintiff
vs.

Dept No.: H

Date of Hearing:

Time of Hearing:

Defendant ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

<u>PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED MOTION</u> (AS CONSERVATOR FOR EMILY REED) FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR A DISABLED CHILD BEYOND THE AGE OF MAJORITY

NOW COMES, Plaintiff, Alecia Ann Draper, in her capacity AS CONSERVATOR FOR EMILY REED ("Emily"), and hereby AMENDS the Motion for Child Support for A Disabled Child Beyond the Age of Majority as follows:

Pursuant to this Court's May 22, 2018 Order (page 15, lines 19 – 20), <u>Plaintiff amends her prior Motion to clarify that she is seeking child support SOLELY from Emily Reed's ("Emily") father, Jeffery Reed. Plaintiff withdraws any request for an order for support against Emily's mother. Plaintiff does not feel that it is in Emily's. best interest to pursue a court order for child support against Emily's mother, Alecia Draper, inasmuch as she has been voluntarily paying 100% support for Emily and will continue to do so, if necessary, without a court order. Furthermore, Plaintiff believes this change should resolve the "conflict of interest" alleged by Defendant, which Plaintiff does NOT believe exists. Plaintiff believes it is in Emily's best interest to remain counsel in this case inasmuch</u>

as Ms. Brennan is familiar with all facts, the procedural history of this case, and the extens					
	2	records of Emily.			
	3	The above is the only change to Plaintiff's Motion.			
	4	Respectfully Submitted:			
	5	BRENNAN LAW FIRM			
	6	/s/ Elizabeth Brennan			
7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888	7	ELIZABETH BRENNAN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff			
vay, Su	8				
g Parkv 9113	9				
Crossing	10				
gas, Ne	11				
7455 A Las Ve Phone:	12				
	13				
٤	14				
Law Firm	15				
Law	16				
nan	17				
Brennan	18				
Ω	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23 24				
	25				
	23				

Brennan Law Firm 7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil of Procedure 5(b), I certify that on the 10th day of

April 2018, I served the above and foregoing document entitled:

<u>PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED MOTION</u> (AS CONSERVATOR FOR EMILY REED) FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR A DISABLED CHILD BEYOND THE AGE OF MAJORITY

by the following method:

Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) and Administrative Order 14-2 captioned "In the Administrative Matter of Mandatory Electronic Service in Eighth Judicial District Court," by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;

To the parties listed at the address, email, and/or facsimile number below:

Amanda Roberts
Attorney for Defendant

/s/Elizabeth Brennan
An Employee of Brennan Law Firm

Electronically Filed 4/30/2019 6:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUP

ORDR

ELIZABETH BRENNAN

Nevada Bar No. 7286

Brennan Law Firm

7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Phone: (702) 834-8888 Fax: (702) 507-1466

Elizabeth@BrennanLawFirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Alecia Draper Solely as Conservator for Emily Reed

6

7

8

9

10

7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888 11

13

12

15

16

14

17

19

18

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Alecia Ann Draper,

Plaintiff

vs.

Jeffery Allen Reed,

Defendant

Case No.: 05D338668

Dept No.: H

ORDER

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing on April 9, 2019 on Plaintiff's First Amended Motion (As Conservator for Emily Reed) For Child Support For A Disabled Child Beyond The Age of Majority ("Motion"). Plaintiff, Alecia Draper, appeared individually and as Conservator for Emily Reed, by telecommunications equipment. Plaintiffs' counsel Elizabeth Brennan, Esq. of Brennan Law Firm appeared in person in Court. Defendant, Jeffery Reed, was also present and represented by counsel, Amanda Roberts.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard arguments of counsel, and having been fully apprised of the facts and matters herein, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

1) The Decision and Order entered by Judge Ochoa filed in the captioned matter on May 22, 2018 granted Emily Reed the right to seek support from either or both of her parents based

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

on her ability, or inability, to support herself pursuant to NRS 125B.110. See Decision and Order, page 15, lines 19-21.

- 2) In the Decision and Order, Judge Ochoa held that Emily Reed has a statutory cause of action against her parents and must be joined as a party or as a party through her guardian or guardian ad litem for this case to proceed. See Decision and Order, page 23, lines 22 – 24.
- 3) In the Decision and Order, Judge Ochoa further stated that Emily Reed could file a separate action or "the parties may join the guardian for Emily as a party [to this action] without requiring a separate action by Emily. To hold otherwise would cause a court with general jurisdiction authority to consider multiple cases where one would suffice. In the interest of judicial economy, Emily should be joined as a party." See Decision and Order, page 14, line 18 - 22.
- On January 22, 2019, a Notice of Joinder and Motion were filed in the captioned matter by Emily Reed, through her Conservator Alecia Draper. The Court hereby finds that these filings constitute Emily Reed's request for permissive joinder into this Case, which is hereby granted.
- The Court finds adequate cause for the parties to develop their claims and defenses to 5) Emily Reed's request for child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110 so discovery is open.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED that EMILY REED, through her Conservator Alecia Draper, is hereby JOINED as a party to this action

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that DISCOVERY IS OPEN.

23

24

25

26

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that a Case Management Conference is set for July 24, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. On this date and time, the Court will set an Evidentiary Hearing for any unresolved issues.

DATED this 22 day of April 2019.

DISTRICT JUDGE

T ART RITCHIE, JR.

Respectfully Submitted:

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

Econology

Electronically Filed 4/30/2019 7:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **NEO** Elizabeth Brennan 2 Nevada Bar No. 7286 **BRENNAN LAW FIRM** 3 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89135 4 Telephone: (702) 834-8888 Facsimile: (702) 507-1466 5 elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff Emily Reed, through her Conservator Alecia Draper 7 8 **DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 10 Alecia Ann Draper, Case No.: 05D338668 11 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: Η 12 ٧. 13 Jeffery Allen Reed, 14 Defendant. 15 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Order from the April 9, 2019 Hearing in the referenced 17 case was duly entered on April 30, 2019, by filing with the Clerk, and the attached is a true and 18 correct copy thereof. 19 20 **BRENNAN LAW FIRM** 21 22 By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Brennan</u> **ELIZABETH BRENNAN** 23 Attorney for Plaintiff Emily Reed, 24 through her Conservator Alecia Draper 25 26 27 28

BRENDAN LAW FIRM 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89135 (702) 834-8888

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of Brennan Law Firm and that on this April 30, 2019, service of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER was made by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known address:

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. Attorney for Jeffery Allen Reed

Benjamin La Luzerne, Esq. Attorney for Alecia Reed, Individually

/s/ Elizabeth Brennan
An Employee of BRENNAN LAW FIRM

7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Phone: (702) 834-8888

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ORDR
ELIZABETH BRENNAN
Nevada Bar No. 7286
Brennan Law Firm
7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 220
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
Phone: (702) 834-8888 Fax: (702) 507-1466
Elizabeth@BrennanLawFirm.com
Attorney for Plaintiff, Alecia Draper
Solely as Conservator for Emily Reed

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK CO

Electronically Filed 4/30/2019 6:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT – FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Alecia Ann Draper,

Case No.: 05D338668

Plaintiff

Dept No.: H

VS.

Jeffery Allen Reed,

Defendant

ORDER

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing on April 9, 2019 on Plaintiff's First Amended Motion (As Conservator for Emily Reed) For Child Support For A Disabled Child Beyond The Age of Majority ("Motion"). Plaintiff, Alecia Draper, appeared individually and as Conservator for Emily Reed, by telecommunications equipment. Plaintiffs' counsel Elizabeth Brennan, Esq. of Brennan Law Firm appeared in person in Court. Defendant, Jeffery Reed, was also present and represented by counsel, Amanda Roberts.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard arguments of counsel, and having been fully apprised of the facts and matters herein, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

The Decision and Order entered by Judge Ochoa filed in the captioned matter on May 22,
 2018 granted Emily Reed the right to seek support from either or both of her parents based

25

26

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

on her ability, or inability, to support herself pursuant to NRS 125B.110. See Decision and Order, page 15, lines 19 - 21.

- 2) In the Decision and Order, Judge Ochoa held that Emily Reed has a statutory cause of action against her parents and must be joined as a party or as a party through her guardian or guardian ad litem for this case to proceed. See Decision and Order, page 23, lines 22 -24.
- 3) In the Decision and Order, Judge Ochoa further stated that Emily Reed could file a separate action or "the parties may join the guardian for Emily as a party [to this action] without requiring a separate action by Emily. To hold otherwise would cause a court with general jurisdiction authority to consider multiple cases where one would suffice. In the interest of judicial economy, Emily should be joined as a party." See Decision and Order, page 14, line 18 - 22.
- On January 22, 2019, a Notice of Joinder and Motion were filed in the captioned matter by Emily Reed, through her Conservator Alecia Draper. The Court hereby finds that these filings constitute Emily Reed's request for permissive joinder into this Case, which is hereby granted.
- The Court finds adequate cause for the parties to develop their claims and defenses to 5) Emily Reed's request for child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110 so discovery is open.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED that EMILY REED, through her Conservator Alecia Draper, is hereby JOINED as a party to this action

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that DISCOVERY 22 IS OPEN.

23

24

25

26

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that a Case Management Conference is set for **July 24, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.** On this date and time, the Court will set an Evidentiary Hearing for any unresolved issues.

DATED this 22 day of April 2019.

DISTRICT JUDGE

T ART RITCHIE, JR.

Respectfully Submitted:

BRENNAN LAW FIRM

ELIZABETH BRENNAN ESO

Electronically Filed 11/8/2019 1:54 PM Steven D. Grierson

CLERK OF THE COURT

OPPS

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

4411 South Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

PH: (702) 474-7007 FAX: (702) 474-7477

EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant, Jeffrey Allen Reed

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ALECIA ANN DRAPER,

Plaintiff,

٧. 13

JEFFREY ALLEN REED. 14

15 Defendant.

Case No: 05D338668

Dept No: H

OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF POSITION FOR DEFENDANT ON THE REQUEST FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR AN ADULT-**EMILY REED**

COMES NOW the Defendant, Jeffrey Reed, by and through his attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., and hereby provides his position regarding the request for child support for the Parties' adult child, Emily Reed. The Defendant, Jeffrey Reed, reserves the right to make additional claims if more information becomes available.

1. There is a pending claim that Emily Reed (DOB: 11/16/1996) was handicapped before she reached the age of majority pursuant to NRS § 125.110 (1)

Page 1 of 4

27

28

and 125.110 (4). The Defendant disputes this allegation. The Defendant does not believe that Emily Reed was handicapped before she reached the age of majority or that she had "an inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months."

2. There is a claim by the Defendant that the request being made is barred from bring the claim. Specifically, on December 9, 2014, Alecia filed a Motion with the Court wherein, among other things, she requested to reset child support based upon a change in the custodial arrangement. At that time, Emily had reached the age of eighteen (18), but was still in high school. Alecia's Motion did not include a request for child support for Emily upon graduation from high school, but at the hearing on January 12, 2015, her Counsel argued for same and the matter was set for an Evidentiary Hearing. The Defendant alleges the Evidentiary Hearing was set to determine if Emily was disabled prior to reaching the age of eighteen (18).

On March 9, 2015, Alecia filed a "Notice of Withdrawal of Request to Continue Child Support for Emily After High School Graduation Due to Child's Disability & Request to Vacate Evidentiary Hearing." It is worth noting that this was voluntarily filed, not a stipulated agreement. As a result of this document being filed, the Evidentiary Hearing was vacated. **This was done based upon a**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and on the Hole day of November, 2019, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic service, pursuant Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF POSITION FOR DEFENDANT ON THE REQUEST FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR AN ADULT- EMILY REED, as follows: Elizabeth Brennan Esq. Email: Elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Alecia Draper, In her Capacity as Conservator for Emily Reed Benjamin La Luzerne, Esq. Email: Ben.laluzerne@laluzernelaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Alecia Ann Draper, Individually Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/28/2021 8:03 AM

Electronically Filed 01/28/2021 8:03 AM

1

ORDR

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 DISTRICT JUDGE

T ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT H

LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ALECIA A. REED, nka

Alecia Ann Draper,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JEFFREY A. REED,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 05D338668

DEPT. NO. H

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

This matter came on for evidentiary hearing before Art Ritchie, District Court Judge, Family Division, Department H, on August 6, 2020, August 7, 2020, November 19, 2020, and January 12, 2021. Alecia Draper and Emily Reed were represented by Elizabeth R. Brennan, Esq. Jeffrey Reed was represented by Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. The court reviewed the papers and pleadings on file, the evidence admitted at the hearing, and for good cause, makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, decision and order.

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This post-judgment order resolves Alecia Reed's countermotion for an order establishing that the parents have a support obligation past the age of majority for their adult daughter, Emily Reed, pursuant to NRS 125B.110 that was filed on July 21, 2017.

Alecia Draper and Jeffrey Reed were married on September 14, 1996. Three children were born the issue of the parties, Emily, who was born on November 16, 1996, Anthony, who was born on May 26, 1999, and Adam, who was born on January 23, 2001. Alecia Draper filed a Complaint for Divorce on Jeffrey Reed's Answer was filed on July 29, 2005. The parties June 14, 2005. resolved their divorce case with a stipulated judgment. The Decree of Divorce was filed on August 5, 2005. The parties agreed that they would share joint legal custody, with Alecia Draper having primary physical custody. Jeffrey Reed's child support was set at \$870.00, representing 29% of income of \$3,000.00 per month.

Alecia Draper reopened the case on May 17, 2011, with the filing of her motion to remove the children to California. Jeffrey Reed's opposition and countermotion was filed on May 31, 2011. The court held and evidentiary hearing on July 25, 2011, and the matter was decided with the Decision and Order filed on August 2, 2011. The court granted the motion to move, modified the visitation order, and modified Jeffrey Reed's child support to \$725.00 per month.

Alecia Draper reopened the case on December 9, 2014, with the filing of her motion to modify legal and physical custody. Jeffrey Reed's opposition and countermotion was filed on January 2, 2015. The court set an evidentiary hearing. At the evidentiary hearing the parties made a partial agreement. The parties' Stipulation and Order from the January 12, 2015 hearing, was filed on March 18, 2015. It contains the parties' agreement that the court will set an evidentiary hearing to resolve Alecia Draper's request that child support continue for Emily Reed after she graduates from high school due to a disability. The parties agreed to continue the joint legal custody order, and modified Jeffrey Reed's visitation. The parties agreed that Alecia Draper would provide insurance for the children, and that Jeffrey Reed would pay \$66.00 per month beginning January 1, 2015, towards the insurance cost. The parties agreed that Jeffrey Reed's child support shall be based on an average income of \$60,000.00 per year. Jeffrey Reed's child support was set at \$1,450.00 per month beginning January 1, 2015, with \$725.00 due on the fifth (5th) and \$725.00 due on the twentieth (20th) day of each month.

On January 14, 2015, the court filed an Order setting the evidentiary hearing to resolve Alecia Draper's request that child support continue for Emily

26

Reed after she graduates from high school due to a disability for May 11, 2015. On March 9, 2015, Alecia Draper, through counsel, filed Plaintiff's Notice of Withdrawal of request to Continue Child Support for Emily after High School Graduation Due to Child Disability & Request to Vacate Evidentiary Hearing. The court vacated the evidentiary hearing, and Department S statistically closed the case on June 29, 2015, citing the parties' Stipulation and Order filed on March 18, 2015.

Jeffrey Reed reopened the case on June 29, 2017, with the filing of his motion to modify child support based upon emancipation of a child. Alecia Draper filed her opposition and countermotion for child support for Emily pursuant to NRS 125B.110 on July 21, 2017. The matter was heard on August 28, 2017. At the hearing, the parties agreed that two of the three children had emancipated. The parties agreed that Jeffrey Reed would pay the presumed maximum for one child, \$837.00 per month, plus \$66.00 per month towards insurance for the remaining minor child. The court continued the matter to November 8, 2017, to address the claim for post-majority support for Emily. The order from the hearing was filed on December 15, 2017.

The court heard the matter on November 8, 2017. The court requested briefings in anticipation of an evidentiary hearing. On January 2, 2018, Jeffrey

23 24

25

26 27

28

Reed filed a motion for summary judgment. Alecia Draper's opposition was filed on February 8, 2018. The matter was heard on April 9, 2018. The court took the matter under advisement for decision. Judge Ochoa denied the motion for summary judgment in his Decision and Order filed on May 22, 2018. The court found, in part, that even though Alecia Draper's request to continue child support for Emily was withdrawn on March 9, 2015, Jeffrey Reed continued to pay child support for Emily for the next two years until he filed for child support modification on June 29, 2017. The court found that Emily was receiving Social Security Disability payments, and that there was a factual dispute about whether Emily was disabled prior to age 18, and not able to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of her significant and chronic mental impairment. The court concluded that Emily had the right to bring her own action for support from her parents. The court concluded that while a separate action for support was available to Emily because she was an adult, this claim for post-majority child support against the parents could be brought in the parties' divorce case.

On July 23, 2018, the case was administratively reassigned to Department H. Alecia Draper became Emily Reed's legal guardian in California in October, 2018. On January 22, 2019, Alecia Draper filed a Notice of Joinder in her individual capacity and as Conservator for Emily Reed. On January 22, 2019, Alecia Draper, as Conservator for Emily Reed, filed a motion for child support

pursuant to NRS 125B.110. The motion was set for hearing on March 4, 2019. Prior to the hearing, the parties submitted a stipulation and order to continue the hearing. On April 9, 2019, Jeffrey Reed filed a motion to disqualify counsel from bringing the child support claim on behalf of Emily Reed. On April 10, 2019, Alecia Draper, as Conservator for Emily Reed, filed an amended motion for child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110, clarifying that Ms. Draper is seeking child support for Emily solely from Jeffrey Reed. The matter was heard on April 10, 2019. The court granted the motion to join Emily Reed as a party in interest. The court set a discovery schedule, and set a case management conference for July 24, 2019.

The matter was heard on July 24, 2019. The court received a report from counsel concerning the status of discovery, and continued the case management conference to October 23, 2019. On that date, the court received a report from counsel concerning the status of discovery, and continued the case management conference to January 8, 2020. The matter was heard on January 8, 2020, and this case was set for evidentiary hearing on April 16, 2020, and April 17, 2020.

After the entry of Administrative Orders, AO 20-09 and AO 20-11 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the court scheduled a telephone conference with counsel. On March 31, 2020, the court spoke with counsel, and found good

LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

2

3

cause to continue the evidentiary hearing. The matter was rescheduled for June 18, 2020, and June 19, 2020. The court filed a Second Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing on May 12, 2020, resetting the evidentiary hearing for August 6, 2020, and August 7, 2020.

The evidentiary hearing was held over four days between August 6, 2020, and January 12, 2021. Alecia Draper, Jennifer Love Farrell, Emily Reed, and Jeffrey Reed testified. The court received closing briefs, and the matter was submitted for this decision and order. The court concludes that the witness testimony and the documentary proof admitted at the hearing were sufficient for the court to decide the child support matter.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

This court has subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this case.

POST- MAJORITY CHILD SUPPORT

Support of child with handicap beyond age of majority. NRS 125B.110

A parent shall support beyond the age of majority his or her child with a handicap until the child is no longer handicapped or until the child becomes selfsupporting. The handicap of the child must have occurred before the age of majority for this duty to apply.

- 2. For the purposes of this section, a child is self-supporting if the child receives public assistance beyond the age of majority and that assistance is sufficient to meet the child's needs.
- 3. This section does not impair or otherwise affect the eligibility of a person with a handicap to receive benefits from a source other than his or her parents.
- 4. As used in this section, "handicap" means an inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. (Added to NRS by 1987, 2268; A 1991, 1336)

The court concludes that the duty to support Emily Reed applies to both parents based on its finding that Emily Reed was handicapped prior to her reaching the age of majority. The court finds that Emily Reed suffered significant mental and physical trauma prior to reaching the age of majority, and that Alecia Draper proved that Emily suffers from severe mental illness. Emily was sexually abused for more than eight years during her minority. The molestation was not discovered until 2014. Emily attempted suicide more than once before she graduated from high school. Records admitted at trial show that Emily suffered panic attacks, and injured herself while in high school. Emily applied for SSI in March, 2014, and she was granted Social Security Disability benefits in October, 2015. Jeffrey Reed advanced a defense that Emily was not disabled before she reached the age of majority, and that Emily is not currently disabled. The court heard evidence that Emily graduated from high school with a 3.78 GPA, obtained a California driver's license, and has some independence in Alecia Draper's

26

home. The court weighed the evidence as the trier of fact and concludes that Emily is handicapped and that her mental health issues began prior to the age of majority. Emily has been diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Dissociative Identity Disorder, Major Depressive Disorder, and Dependent Personality Disorder. Exhibit 5 shows that Emily was diagnosed with PTSD, Major Depressive Disorder, and Anxiety Disorder before she turned age eighteen.

Emily is being treated by Dr. Jennifer Love Farrell (Dr. Love). Alecia Draper selected Dr. Love to manage Emily's medication. Dr. Love testified on August 6, 2020, that she has seen Emily approximately 46 times since 2016. Exhibit 14 and Dr. Love's testimony support the finding that Emily continues to suffer from chronic PTSD, Major Depressive Disorder, and Dissociative Identity Disorder, and Dr. Love describes Emily as one of her most severely ill patients. Emily was hospitalized most recently from December 31, 2019, through January 27, 2020.

Dr. Love testified that Emily has many "alters" and that Emily will switch personalities frequently. Dr. Love testified that Emily suffers auditory hallucinations, and engages in strange public behavior. The court had the opportunity to see Emily testify on August 6, 2020. Emily answered questions from counsel, and testified through several "alters". The court heard from Heidi,

age 7; Lilly, age 16; Holly, age 2; Dorothy, age 9; and Rose. Emily did not engage as Emily during the testimony. This part of the case was unsettling. Counsel for Alecia Draper spoke with Emily the day before the testimony, and coordinated how she would examine Emily and the "alters". This made Emily's testimony look contrived. The court carefully considered all of the evidence and concludes that Emily's testimony was not contrived, and was consistent with the observations of Emily's treating doctors, and the documentary proof.

The court concludes that Emily Reed is not self-supporting, and that her Social Security Disability benefits are insufficient to meet her needs. A Conservatorship or Guardianship to manage Emily Reed's person and estate was granted in October, 2018, by a California court. Alecia Draper filed a Financial Disclosure Form for Emily on August 4, 2020. Emily Reed receives monthly income of \$686.24 SSI, plus \$194.00 from Cal Fresh. Emily's expenses are \$48.00 for a cellular phone, \$228.00 for food, \$376.75 for insurance, and \$600.00 for rent paid to Alecia Draper. Additional expenses include ongoing professional fees for Dr. Love's treatment that are not covered by insurance.

The court concludes that Emily Reed is unable to engage in any substantially gainful activity by reason of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment which has lasted for a continuous period of not less than

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

"Substantial gainful activity" means economic activity that twelve months. results in the child being financially self-supporting. Edgington v. Edgington, 119 Nev. 577, 585, 80 P.3d 1282, 1288 (2003). The Nevada handicapped child support statute is designed to ensure that handicapped children have adequate ongoing financial support from their parents, if needed. Edgington v. Edgington, 119 Nev. 577, 585, 80 P.3d 1282, 1288 (2003).

NRS 125B.110 was intended to require parents to bear some of the financial burden for the support of their disabled child. As a general rule, court ordered support obligations cease "[w]hen the child reaches 18 years of age if he is no longer enrolled in high school, otherwise, when he reaches 19 years of age." The law presumes that once a child reaches the age of majority, the child is capable of self-support. Nevada's Legislature has created a statutory exception to this general rule; under NRS 125B.110, Nevada's handicapped child support statute; parents must support a handicapped child beyond the age of majority if the child cannot support himself or herself because of a qualifying disability. Edgington v. Edgington, 119 Nev. 577, 582, 80 P.3d 1282, 1286 (2003). 125B.110 authorizes a court to obligate either or both parents to support his or her handicapped child for an indefinite period, even if that child has reached the age of majority.

11

/////

B. <u>ALECIA DRAPER'S SUPPORT OBLIGATION</u>

There are financial implications to this custody order. The obligation to support one child is 16% of the obligor parent's gross monthly income pursuant to NAC Alecia Draper testified on August 6, 2020, that she earned \$49,000.00 per 425. year or \$4,100.00 per month. On January 11, 2021, Alecia Draper testified that she has gross monthly income of \$4,260.00. Ms. Draper testified that she is a 51% owner of Moonwood Coffee Co., and that she receives \$1,000.00 per month from that catering business. This testimony was contrasted by the profit and loss statement that was attached to Alecia Draper's Financial Disclosure Form that was filed on April 9, 2019, that showed Moonwood Coffee Co.'s gross profits of \$51,374.00 in the first quarter of 2019. The pandemic has materially affected the business, but Alecia Draper testified that she received federal government assistance including a PPP payment of \$17,000.00 and an EIDL loan of \$117,000.00. The court concludes that Alecia Draper can receive more income that \$1,000.00 per month from her ownership interest in Moonwood Coffee Co.

Alecia Draper testified that the bulk of her income comes from her compensation from the State of California for In-House Social Services. Alecia Draper is paid \$14.50 per hour to care for Emily Reed. Alecia Draper testified that she often incurs overtime. The court concludes that Alecia Draper's true

27

22

23

24

25

earning capacity for calculating her child support obligation is at least \$60,000.00 per year. On January 11, 2021, Alecia Draper testified that her income of approximately \$4,200.00 per month was sufficient to pay her current living expenses.

Alecia Draper testified that she is divorcing her husband and that she receives no other sources of income. Ms. Draper testified that she will not receive spousal support in her divorce settlement, and that while her two other adult children live with her, unlike Emily, they do not pay rent or contribute to household expenses. Alecia Draper testified that Emily pays her \$500.00 per month, \$400.00 for rent and \$100.00 for a cellular phone.

Based on Alecia Draper's employment and earnings history, the court finds that Ms. Draper's gross monthly income for calculating child support is \$5,000.00, representing her current true earning capacity. 16% of \$5,000.00 is \$800.00, which is the base child support calculation. The court exercises discretion to adjust the child support formula amount by \$300.00, per month, taking into consideration the collateral source of the other parent's child support payment and the federal SSI and state benefits Emily receives. With this order, Emily Reed will receive child support from her parents in the amount of

\$1,000.00, plus SSI of \$686.24, plus \$250.00 on the arrears judgment, for a total of \$1,936.24 per month.

Beginning on February 1, 2021, Alecia Draper should pay child support for Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month. Payment shall be due on the first day of each month thereafter.

The court concludes that Alecia Draper owes no constructive arears for post majority child support. Emily Reed lives with Alecia Draper. The court received substantial proof that Alecia Draper provided support for Emily Reed in excess of an amount that might have been ordered since July, 2017.

The court concludes that this child support order complies with Nevada law.

C. <u>JEFFREY REED'S SUPPORT OBLIGATION</u>

There are financial implications to this custody order. The obligation to support one child is 16% of the obligor parent's gross monthly income pursuant to NAC 425. Jeffrey Reed testified on January 11, 2021, that he was employed by the Neptune Society, part of Palm Mortuary. Mr. Reed testified that he works in a mortuary and that his income is based on sales commissions. Mr. Reed testified that his 2020 gross annual income was \$38,000.00. In 2019, Jeffrey Reed earned \$69,299.00. Exhibits 83, and 84, support the finding that Jeffrey

Reed earned \$80,301.00 in 2018 and \$78,564.00 in 2017. Jeffrey Reed testified that because of a health issue, he changed to a less stressful job in 2019. Mr. Reed testified that his income expectation in the less stressful job was \$50,000.00 - \$60,000.00 per year. Jeffrey Reed testified that he lives with a significant other, and that she is employed, and they share monthly living expenses equally.

Based on Jeffrey Reed's employment and earnings history, the court finds that Mr. Reed's gross monthly income for calculating child support is \$5,000.00, representing his current true earning capacity. 16% of \$5,000.00 is \$800.00, which is the base child support calculation. The court exercises discretion to adjust the child support formula amount by \$300.00, per month, taking into consideration the collateral source of the other parent's child support payment and the federal SSI and state benefits Emily receives. With this order, Emily Reed will receive child support from her parents in the amount of \$1,000.00, plus SSI of \$686.24, plus \$250.00 on the arrears judgment, for a total of \$1,936.24 per month.

Beginning on February 1, 2021, Jeffrey Reed should pay child support for Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month. Payment shall be due on the first day of each month thereafter.

The court concludes that Jeffrey Reed owes a judgment for constructive post-majority child support. Jeffrey Reed voluntarily paid child support to Alecia

Draper for Emily for approximately two years after Emily reached age 18 and graduated from high school. Mr. Reed paid no additional post-majority child support for Emily after the countermotion was filed on July 21, 2017. The court exercises its discretion to deviate or adjust the amount of constructive child support arears after considering that Jeffrey Reed paid child support for Adam Reed in the amount of \$903.00 per month until 2019. The court reviewed the formula amount for two children in relationship to the gross income for 2017 -2019, and reviewed the formula amount for one child for 2019 and 2020. The court adjusted the formula support amount downward after considering the collateral source of post-majority child support through SSI and the support from Alecia Draper. The court concludes that the constructive arrears judgment should be granted by multiplying an averaged net child support amount of \$500.00 by 43 months (July, 2017 - January, 2021). Judgment should be entered in favor of Emily Reed against Jeffrey Reed in the amount of \$21,500.00. The court will order a monthly payment on the arrears judgment in this order.

The court concludes that this child support order complies with Nevada law.

/////

/////

7 || /////

LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

345

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

D. ALECIA DRAPER'S REIMBURSEMENT CLAIMS

Alecia Draper seeks a judgment against Jeffrey Reed for monies that she and her husband spent related to Emily Reed in 2017, 2018, and 2019, well after Emily reached the age of majority. Alecia Draper summarized the claimed expenses in Exhibit 82. Alecia Draper claims that she and her husband spent \$33,752.00 in 2017, for cost of living, a therapy dog, Dr. Love, Dr. Rowanzoin, and other medical expenses. Alecia Draper claims that she and her husband spent \$40,623.35 in 2018, for cost of living, for conservatorship, a therapy dog, Dr. Love, Dr. Rowanzoin, Dr. Boehm, and other medical expenses. Alecia Draper claims that she and her husband spent \$50,057.00 in 2019, for cost of living, for conservatorship, a therapy dog, Dr. Love, Dr. Boehm, and other medical expenses. The court had difficulty reaching a conclusion that these expenses were paid as alleged. The court had issues with the credibility of Alecia Draper's testimony and filings concerning her financial condition. Specifically, Alecia Draper testified that she earned little or no income, and that her husband contributed only \$5,000.00 to \$7,200.00 per month toward household expenses. The allegation of available resources was inconsistent with the amount Alecia Draper and her husband allege they spent on Emily Reed. Alecia Draper filed a Financial Disclosure Form on July 21, 2017, alleging that she left employment in June, 2017 to care for Emily. Alecia Draper filed a Financial Disclosure Form on

April 9, 2019, alleging that she earned \$1,500.00 per month or \$18,000.00 in 2019. On August 6, 2020, Alecia Draper testified that she earns \$4,100.00 per month or \$49,000.00 per year.

Emily reached the age of majority in 2014. Alecia Draper seeks a judgment in excess of \$120,000.00 against Jeffrey Reed. There is no contract between the parties for reimbursement for any of the post-majority living expenses for Emily. Any responsibility for these expenses would have to come from Nevada statutes or decisional law. The court concludes that the request for judgment should be denied because Alecia Draper provided insufficient proof, and because the amounts requested are disproportional to a parent's post-majority support obligation pursuant to Nevada child support laws. The amount of post-majority child support must have some relationship to income, the Nevada child support formula, and adjustment or deviation considerations. The court concludes that Alecia Draper's motion for judgment should be denied.

ORDER

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Alecia Draper's motion, as Conservator for Emily Reed, for child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110 is granted. Alecia Draper and Jeffrey Reed shall pay child support to

Emily Reed pursuant to this order, and those payments shall be managed and accounted for by the Conservator pursuant to applicable California law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Alecia Draper shall pay child support to Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month beginning February 1, 2021. This child support obligation shall be paid on the first day of each month thereafter, and may be modified or terminated by the court based on material changes in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jeffrey Reed shall pay child support to Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month beginning February 1, 2021. This child support obligation shall be paid on the first day of each month thereafter, and may be modified or terminated by the court based on material changes in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a judgment for constructive child support arrears is granted in favor of Emily Reed against Jeffrey Reed in the amount of \$21,500.00, representing \$500.00 per month owed from July, 2017 through January, 2021. This judgment shall be paid in the amount of \$250.00 per month beginning February 15, 2021. This arrears payment shall be paid on the fifteenth day of each month thereafter, and may be modified by the court based on material changes in circumstances.

24
25
26
27
27
28
T ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT H
LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Alecia A Reed, Plaintiff CASE NO: 05D338668 6 DEPT. NO. Department H VS. 7 Jeffrey A Reed, Defendant. 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 12 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 1/28/2021 14 elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com Elizabeth Brennan. 15 Elizabeth Brennan elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com 16 17 Amanda Roberts efile@lvfamilylaw.com 18 ben.laluzerne@laluzernelaw.com Benjamin La Luzerne 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Electronically Filed 1/28/2021 9:22 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ

28

ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR. DISTRICT JUDGE FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT H LAS VEGAS, NV 8915

DISTRICT COURT **FAMILY DIVISION** CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ALECIA A REED,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JEFFREY A REED,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 05D338668 **DEPARTMENT H**

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

TO: ALL PARTIES AND/OR THEIR ATTORNEYS

Please take notice that the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order from the Evidentiary hearing that concluded on January 12, 2021, was prepared and filed by the court. A copy of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order is attached hereto, and the following is a true and correct copy thereof.

I hereby certify that on or about the file stamp date the foregoing Notice of Entry of Order was:

E-Served pursuant to NEFCR 9; or mailed, via first-class mail, postage fully prepaid to:

Elizabeth R. Brennan, Esq. for PLAINTIFF

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. for DEFENDANT

Katrina Rausch

Katrina Rausch Judicial Executive Assistant Department H

Electronically Filed 01/28/2021 8:03 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

1

ORDR

2

3 4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ALECIA A. REED, nka

Alecia Ann Draper,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JEFFREY A. REED,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 05D338668

DEPT. NO. H

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

This matter came on for evidentiary hearing before Art Ritchie, District Court Judge, Family Division, Department H, on August 6, 2020, August 7, 2020, November 19, 2020, and January 12, 2021. Alecia Draper and Emily Reed were represented by Elizabeth R. Brennan, Esq. Jeffrey Reed was represented by Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. The court reviewed the papers and pleadings on file, the evidence admitted at the hearing, and for good cause, makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, decision and order.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This post-judgment order resolves Alecia Reed's countermotion for an order establishing that the parents have a support obligation past the age of majority for their adult daughter, Emily Reed, pursuant to NRS 125B.110 that was filed on July 21, 2017.

Alecia Draper and Jeffrey Reed were married on September 14, 1996. Three children were born the issue of the parties, Emily, who was born on November 16, 1996, Anthony, who was born on May 26, 1999, and Adam, who was born on January 23, 2001. Alecia Draper filed a Complaint for Divorce on June 14, 2005. Jeffrey Reed's Answer was filed on July 29, 2005. The parties resolved their divorce case with a stipulated judgment. The Decree of Divorce was filed on August 5, 2005. The parties agreed that they would share joint legal custody, with Alecia Draper having primary physical custody. Jeffrey Reed's child support was set at \$870.00, representing 29% of income of \$3,000.00 per month.

Alecia Draper reopened the case on May 17, 2011, with the filing of her motion to remove the children to California. Jeffrey Reed's opposition and countermotion was filed on May 31, 2011. The court held and evidentiary hearing on July 25, 2011, and the matter was decided with the Decision and Order filed

on August 2, 2011. The court granted the motion to move, modified the visitation order, and modified Jeffrey Reed's child support to \$725.00 per month.

Alecia Draper reopened the case on December 9, 2014, with the filing of her motion to modify legal and physical custody. Jeffrey Reed's opposition and countermotion was filed on January 2, 2015. The court set an evidentiary hearing. At the evidentiary hearing the parties made a partial agreement. The parties' Stipulation and Order from the January 12, 2015 hearing, was filed on March 18, 2015. It contains the parties' agreement that the court will set an evidentiary hearing to resolve Alecia Draper's request that child support continue for Emily Reed after she graduates from high school due to a disability. The parties agreed to continue the joint legal custody order, and modified Jeffrey Reed's visitation. The parties agreed that Alecia Draper would provide insurance for the children, and that Jeffrey Reed would pay \$66.00 per month beginning January 1, 2015, towards the insurance cost. The parties agreed that Jeffrey Reed's child support shall be based on an average income of \$60,000.00 per year. Jeffrey Reed's child support was set at \$1,450.00 per month beginning January 1, 2015, with \$725.00 due on the fifth (5th) and \$725.00 due on the twentieth (20th) day of each month.

On January 14, 2015, the court filed an Order setting the evidentiary hearing to resolve Alecia Draper's request that child support continue for Emily

26

Reed after she graduates from high school due to a disability for May 11, 2015. On March 9, 2015, Alecia Draper, through counsel, filed Plaintiff's Notice of Withdrawal of request to Continue Child Support for Emily after High School Graduation Due to Child Disability & Request to Vacate Evidentiary Hearing. The court vacated the evidentiary hearing, and Department S statistically closed the case on June 29, 2015, citing the parties' Stipulation and Order filed on March 18, 2015.

Jeffrey Reed reopened the case on June 29, 2017, with the filing of his motion to modify child support based upon emancipation of a child. Alecia Draper filed her opposition and countermotion for child support for Emily pursuant to NRS 125B.110 on July 21, 2017. The matter was heard on August 28, 2017. At the hearing, the parties agreed that two of the three children had emancipated. The parties agreed that Jeffrey Reed would pay the presumed maximum for one child, \$837.00 per month, plus \$66.00 per month towards insurance for the remaining minor child. The court continued the matter to November 8, 2017, to address the claim for post-majority support for Emily. The order from the hearing was filed on December 15, 2017.

The court heard the matter on November 8, 2017. The court requested briefings in anticipation of an evidentiary hearing. On January 2, 2018, Jeffrey

24

23

25 26

27

Reed filed a motion for summary judgment. Alecia Draper's opposition was filed on February 8, 2018. The matter was heard on April 9, 2018. The court took the matter under advisement for decision. Judge Ochoa denied the motion for summary judgment in his Decision and Order filed on May 22, 2018. The court found, in part, that even though Alecia Draper's request to continue child support for Emily was withdrawn on March 9, 2015, Jeffrey Reed continued to pay child support for Emily for the next two years until he filed for child support modification on June 29, 2017. The court found that Emily was receiving Social Security Disability payments, and that there was a factual dispute about whether Emily was disabled prior to age 18, and not able to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of her significant and chronic mental impairment. The court concluded that Emily had the right to bring her own action for support from her parents. The court concluded that while a separate action for support was available to Emily because she was an adult, this claim for post-majority child support against the parents could be brought in the parties' divorce case.

On July 23, 2018, the case was administratively reassigned to Department H. Alecia Draper became Emily Reed's legal guardian in California in October, 2018. On January 22, 2019, Alecia Draper filed a Notice of Joinder in her individual capacity and as Conservator for Emily Reed. On January 22, 2019, Alecia Draper, as Conservator for Emily Reed, filed a motion for child support

pursuant to NRS 125B.110. The motion was set for hearing on March 4, 2019. Prior to the hearing, the parties submitted a stipulation and order to continue the hearing. On April 9, 2019, Jeffrey Reed filed a motion to disqualify counsel from bringing the child support claim on behalf of Emily Reed. On April 10, 2019, Alecia Draper, as Conservator for Emily Reed, filed an amended motion for child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110, clarifying that Ms. Draper is seeking child support for Emily solely from Jeffrey Reed. The matter was heard on April 10, 2019. The court granted the motion to join Emily Reed as a party in interest. The court set a discovery schedule, and set a case management conference for July 24, 2019.

The matter was heard on July 24, 2019. The court received a report from counsel concerning the status of discovery, and continued the case management conference to October 23, 2019. On that date, the court received a report from counsel concerning the status of discovery, and continued the case management conference to January 8, 2020. The matter was heard on January 8, 2020, and this case was set for evidentiary hearing on April 16, 2020, and April 17, 2020.

After the entry of Administrative Orders, AO 20-09 and AO 20-11 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the court scheduled a telephone conference with counsel. On March 31, 2020, the court spoke with counsel, and found good

cause to continue the evidentiary hearing. The matter was rescheduled for June 18, 2020, and June 19, 2020. The court filed a Second Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing on May 12, 2020, resetting the evidentiary hearing for August 6, 2020, and August 7, 2020.

The evidentiary hearing was held over four days between August 6, 2020, and January 12, 2021. Alecia Draper, Jennifer Love Farrell, Emily Reed, and Jeffrey Reed testified. The court received closing briefs, and the matter was submitted for this decision and order. The court concludes that the witness testimony and the documentary proof admitted at the hearing were sufficient for the court to decide the child support matter.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

This court has subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this case.

POST- MAJORITY CHILD SUPPORT

Support of child with handicap beyond age of majority. NRS 125B.110

A parent shall support beyond the age of majority his or her child with a handicap until the child is no longer handicapped or until the child becomes selfsupporting. The handicap of the child must have occurred before the age of majority for this duty to apply.

- 2. For the purposes of this section, a child is self-supporting if the child receives public assistance beyond the age of majority and that assistance is sufficient to meet the child's needs.
- 3. This section does not impair or otherwise affect the eligibility of a person with a handicap to receive benefits from a source other than his or her parents.
- 4. As used in this section, "handicap" means an inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. (Added to NRS by 1987, 2268; A 1991, 1336)

The court concludes that the duty to support Emily Reed applies to both parents based on its finding that Emily Reed was handicapped prior to her reaching the age of majority. The court finds that Emily Reed suffered significant mental and physical trauma prior to reaching the age of majority, and that Alecia Draper proved that Emily suffers from severe mental illness. Emily was sexually abused for more than eight years during her minority. The molestation was not discovered until 2014. Emily attempted suicide more than once before she graduated from high school. Records admitted at trial show that Emily suffered panic attacks, and injured herself while in high school. Emily applied for SSI in March, 2014, and she was granted Social Security Disability benefits in October, 2015. Jeffrey Reed advanced a defense that Emily was not disabled before she reached the age of majority, and that Emily is not currently disabled. The court heard evidence that Emily graduated from high school with a 3.78 GPA, obtained a California driver's license, and has some independence in Alecia Draper's

24

25

26

home. The court weighed the evidence as the trier of fact and concludes that Emily is handicapped and that her mental health issues began prior to the age of majority. Emily has been diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Dissociative Identity Disorder, Major Depressive Disorder, and Dependent Personality Disorder. Exhibit 5 shows that Emily was diagnosed with PTSD, Major Depressive Disorder, and Anxiety Disorder before she turned age eighteen.

Emily is being treated by Dr. Jennifer Love Farrell (Dr. Love). Alecia Draper selected Dr. Love to manage Emily's medication. Dr. Love testified on August 6, 2020, that she has seen Emily approximately 46 times since 2016. Exhibit 14 and Dr. Love's testimony support the finding that Emily continues to suffer from chronic PTSD, Major Depressive Disorder, and Dissociative Identity Disorder, and Dr. Love describes Emily as one of her most severely ill patients. Emily was hospitalized most recently from December 31, 2019, through January 27, 2020.

Dr. Love testified that Emily has many "alters" and that Emily will switch personalities frequently. Dr. Love testified that Emily suffers auditory hallucinations, and engages in strange public behavior. The court had the opportunity to see Emily testify on August 6, 2020. Emily answered questions from counsel, and testified through several "alters". The court heard from Heidi,

age 7; Lilly, age 16; Holly, age 2; Dorothy, age 9; and Rose. Emily did not engage as Emily during the testimony. This part of the case was unsettling. Counsel for Alecia Draper spoke with Emily the day before the testimony, and coordinated how she would examine Emily and the "alters". This made Emily's testimony look contrived. The court carefully considered all of the evidence and concludes that Emily's testimony was not contrived, and was consistent with the observations of Emily's treating doctors, and the documentary proof.

The court concludes that Emily Reed is not self-supporting, and that her Social Security Disability benefits are insufficient to meet her needs. A Conservatorship or Guardianship to manage Emily Reed's person and estate was granted in October, 2018, by a California court. Alecia Draper filed a Financial Disclosure Form for Emily on August 4, 2020. Emily Reed receives monthly income of \$686.24 SSI, plus \$194.00 from Cal Fresh. Emily's expenses are \$48.00 for a cellular phone, \$228.00 for food, \$376.75 for insurance, and \$600.00 for rent paid to Alecia Draper. Additional expenses include ongoing professional fees for Dr. Love's treatment that are not covered by insurance.

The court concludes that Emily Reed is unable to engage in any substantially gainful activity by reason of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment which has lasted for a continuous period of not less than

twelve months. "Substantial gainful activity" means economic activity that results in the child being financially self-supporting. *Edgington v. Edgington*, 119 Nev. 577, 585, 80 P.3d 1282, 1288 (2003). The Nevada handicapped child support statute is designed to ensure that handicapped children have adequate ongoing financial support from their parents, if needed. *Edgington v. Edgington*, 119 Nev. 577, 585, 80 P.3d 1282, 1288 (2003).

NRS 125B.110 was intended to require parents to bear some of the financial burden for the support of their disabled child. As a general rule, court ordered support obligations cease "[w]hen the child reaches 18 years of age if he is no longer enrolled in high school, otherwise, when he reaches 19 years of age." The law presumes that once a child reaches the age of majority, the child is capable of self-support. Nevada's Legislature has created a statutory exception to this general rule; under NRS 125B.110, Nevada's handicapped child support statute; parents must support a handicapped child beyond the age of majority if the child cannot support himself or herself because of a qualifying disability. *Edgington v. Edgington*, 119 Nev. 577, 582, 80 P.3d 1282, 1286 (2003). NRS 125B.110 authorizes a court to obligate either or both parents to support his or her handicapped child for an indefinite period, even if that child has reached the age of majority.

/////

B. <u>ALECIA DRAPER'S SUPPORT OBLIGATION</u>

There are financial implications to this custody order. The obligation to support one child is 16% of the obligor parent's gross monthly income pursuant to NAC 425. Alecia Draper testified on August 6, 2020, that she earned \$49,000.00 per year or \$4,100.00 per month. On January 11, 2021, Alecia Draper testified that she has gross monthly income of \$4,260.00. Ms. Draper testified that she is a 51% owner of Moonwood Coffee Co., and that she receives \$1,000.00 per month from that catering business. This testimony was contrasted by the profit and loss statement that was attached to Alecia Draper's Financial Disclosure Form that was filed on April 9, 2019, that showed Moonwood Coffee Co.'s gross profits of \$51,374.00 in the first quarter of 2019. The pandemic has materially affected the business, but Alecia Draper testified that she received federal government assistance including a PPP payment of \$17,000.00 and an EIDL loan of \$117,000.00. The court concludes that Alecia Draper can receive more income that \$1,000.00 per month from her ownership interest in Moonwood Coffee Co.

Alecia Draper testified that the bulk of her income comes from her compensation from the State of California for In-House Social Services. Alecia Draper is paid \$14.50 per hour to care for Emily Reed. Alecia Draper testified that she often incurs overtime. The court concludes that Alecia Draper's true

26

earning capacity for calculating her child support obligation is at least \$60,000.00 per year. On January 11, 2021, Alecia Draper testified that her income of approximately \$4,200.00 per month was sufficient to pay her current living expenses.

Alecia Draper testified that she is divorcing her husband and that she receives no other sources of income. Ms. Draper testified that she will not receive spousal support in her divorce settlement, and that while her two other adult children live with her, unlike Emily, they do not pay rent or contribute to household expenses. Alecia Draper testified that Emily pays her \$500.00 per month, \$400.00 for rent and \$100.00 for a cellular phone.

Based on Alecia Draper's employment and earnings history, the court finds that Ms. Draper's gross monthly income for calculating child support is \$5,000.00, representing her current true earning capacity. 16% of \$5,000.00 is \$800.00, which is the base child support calculation. The court exercises discretion to adjust the child support formula amount by \$300.00, per month, taking into consideration the collateral source of the other parent's child support payment and the federal SSI and state benefits Emily receives. With this order, Emily Reed will receive child support from her parents in the amount of

LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

\$1,000.00, plus SSI of \$686.24, plus \$250.00 on the arrears judgment, for a total of \$1,936.24 per month.

Beginning on February 1, 2021, Alecia Draper should pay child support for Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month. Payment shall be due on the first day of each month thereafter.

The court concludes that Alecia Draper owes no constructive arears for post majority child support. Emily Reed lives with Alecia Draper. The court received substantial proof that Alecia Draper provided support for Emily Reed in excess of an amount that might have been ordered since July, 2017.

The court concludes that this child support order complies with Nevada law.

C. <u>JEFFREY REED'S SUPPORT OBLIGATION</u>

There are financial implications to this custody order. The obligation to support one child is 16% of the obligor parent's gross monthly income pursuant to NAC 425. Jeffrey Reed testified on January 11, 2021, that he was employed by the Neptune Society, part of Palm Mortuary. Mr. Reed testified that he works in a mortuary and that his income is based on sales commissions. Mr. Reed testified that his 2020 gross annual income was \$38,000.00. In 2019, Jeffrey Reed earned \$69,299.00. Exhibits 83, and 84, support the finding that Jeffrey

Reed earned \$80,301.00 in 2018 and \$78,564.00 in 2017. Jeffrey Reed testified that because of a health issue, he changed to a less stressful job in 2019. Mr. Reed testified that his income expectation in the less stressful job was \$50,000.00 - \$60,000.00 per year. Jeffrey Reed testified that he lives with a significant other, and that she is employed, and they share monthly living expenses equally.

Based on Jeffrey Reed's employment and earnings history, the court finds that Mr. Reed's gross monthly income for calculating child support is \$5,000.00, representing his current true earning capacity. 16% of \$5,000.00 is \$800.00, which is the base child support calculation. The court exercises discretion to adjust the child support formula amount by \$300.00, per month, taking into consideration the collateral source of the other parent's child support payment and the federal SSI and state benefits Emily receives. With this order, Emily Reed will receive child support from her parents in the amount of \$1,000.00, plus SSI of \$686.24, plus \$250.00 on the arrears judgment, for a total of \$1,936.24 per month.

Beginning on February 1, 2021, Jeffrey Reed should pay child support for Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month. Payment shall be due on the first day of each month thereafter.

The court concludes that Jeffrey Reed owes a judgment for constructive post-majority child support. Jeffrey Reed voluntarily paid child support to Alecia

Draper for Emily for approximately two years after Emily reached age 18 and graduated from high school. Mr. Reed paid no additional post-majority child support for Emily after the countermotion was filed on July 21, 2017. The court exercises its discretion to deviate or adjust the amount of constructive child support arears after considering that Jeffrey Reed paid child support for Adam Reed in the amount of \$903.00 per month until 2019. The court reviewed the formula amount for two children in relationship to the gross income for 2017 -2019, and reviewed the formula amount for one child for 2019 and 2020. The court adjusted the formula support amount downward after considering the collateral source of post-majority child support through SSI and the support from Alecia Draper. The court concludes that the constructive arrears judgment should be granted by multiplying an averaged net child support amount of \$500.00 by 43 months (July, 2017 - January, 2021). Judgment should be entered in favor of Emily Reed against Jeffrey Reed in the amount of \$21,500.00. The court will order a monthly payment on the arrears judgment in this order.

The court concludes that this child support order complies with Nevada law.

/////

/////

7 📗 /////

1

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

D. <u>ALECIA DRAPER'S REIMBURSEMENT CLAIMS</u>

Alecia Draper seeks a judgment against Jeffrey Reed for monies that she and her husband spent related to Emily Reed in 2017, 2018, and 2019, well after Emily reached the age of majority. Alecia Draper summarized the claimed expenses in Exhibit 82. Alecia Draper claims that she and her husband spent \$33,752.00 in 2017, for cost of living, a therapy dog, Dr. Love, Dr. Rowanzoin, and other medical expenses. Alecia Draper claims that she and her husband spent \$40,623.35 in 2018, for cost of living, for conservatorship, a therapy dog, Dr. Love, Dr. Rowanzoin, Dr. Boehm, and other medical expenses. Alecia Draper claims that she and her husband spent \$50,057.00 in 2019, for cost of living, for conservatorship, a therapy dog, Dr. Love, Dr. Boehm, and other medical expenses. The court had difficulty reaching a conclusion that these expenses were paid as alleged. The court had issues with the credibility of Alecia Draper's testimony and filings concerning her financial condition. Specifically, Alecia Draper testified that she earned little or no income, and that her husband contributed only \$5,000.00 to \$7,200.00 per month toward household expenses. The allegation of available resources was inconsistent with the amount Alecia Draper and her husband allege they spent on Emily Reed. Alecia Draper filed a Financial Disclosure Form on July 21, 2017, alleging that she left employment in June, 2017 to care for Emily. Alecia Draper filed a Financial Disclosure Form on

April 9, 2019, alleging that she earned \$1,500.00 per month or \$18,000.00 in 2019. On August 6, 2020, Alecia Draper testified that she earns \$4,100.00 per month or \$49,000.00 per year.

Emily reached the age of majority in 2014. Alecia Draper seeks a judgment in excess of \$120,000.00 against Jeffrey Reed. There is no contract between the parties for reimbursement for any of the post-majority living expenses for Emily. Any responsibility for these expenses would have to come from Nevada statutes or decisional law. The court concludes that the request for judgment should be denied because Alecia Draper provided insufficient proof, and because the amounts requested are disproportional to a parent's post-majority support obligation pursuant to Nevada child support laws. The amount of post-majority child support must have some relationship to income, the Nevada child support formula, and adjustment or deviation considerations. The court concludes that Alecia Draper's motion for judgment should be denied.

ORDER

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Alecia Draper's motion, as Conservator for Emily Reed, for child support pursuant to NRS 125B.110 is granted. Alecia Draper and Jeffrey Reed shall pay child support to

Emily Reed pursuant to this order, and those payments shall be managed and accounted for by the Conservator pursuant to applicable California law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Alecia Draper shall pay child support to Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month beginning February 1, 2021. This child support obligation shall be paid on the first day of each month thereafter, and may be modified or terminated by the court based on material changes in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jeffrey Reed shall pay child support to Emily Reed in the amount of \$500.00 per month beginning February 1, 2021. This child support obligation shall be paid on the first day of each month thereafter, and may be modified or terminated by the court based on material changes in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a judgment for constructive child support arrears is granted in favor of Emily Reed against Jeffrey Reed in the amount of \$21,500.00, representing \$500.00 per month owed from July, 2017 through January, 2021. This judgment shall be paid in the amount of \$250.00 per month beginning February 15, 2021. This arrears payment shall be paid on the fifteenth day of each month thereafter, and may be modified by the court based on material changes in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Alecia Draper's request for judgment against Jeffrey Reed for post-majority monies spent by Ms. Draper and her husband for Emily Reed between 2017 and 2020 is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that both parties shall bear their own costs incurred in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter shall be closed upon the entry of this order.

Dated this 28th day of January, 2021

948 FB6 70E0 C363 T. Arthur Ritchie District Court Judge

CSERV 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Alecia A Reed, Plaintiff CASE NO: 05D338668 6 DEPT. NO. Department H vs. 7 Jeffrey A Reed, Defendant. 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 12 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 1/28/2021 14 elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com Elizabeth Brennan. 15 elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com Elizabeth Brennan 16 17 Amanda Roberts efile@lvfamilylaw.com 18 ben.laluzerne@laluzernelaw.com Benjamin La Luzerne 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28