

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PIERRE A. HASCHEFF,  
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,  
vs.  
LYNDA HASCHEFF,  
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

No. 82626

**FILED**

**OCT 18 2021**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION*

Appellant/cross-respondent has filed an unopposed motion to seal the opening brief and appendix under SRCR 3(4)(e) and (h). However, appellant/cross-respondent does not provide with his motion a copy of any settlement agreement entered into by the parties, or even assert that the parties entered into a settlement agreement. *See* SRCR 3(4)(e). Although appellant/cross-respondent asserts the opening brief and appendix contain private, confidential information, he fails to explain why redaction would not adequately protect such information. *See* SRCR 3(4)(h), 3(5)(b). To the extent appellant/cross-respondent contends that certain documents should be sealed in this court because they were sealed in the district court, *see* SRCR 3(7), it is not clear that SRCR 3(7) applies to this matter, as appellant/cross-respondent does not explain on what basis the district court sealed any documents. *See* SRCR 1(4) (stating that the rules for sealing and redacting court records “do not apply to the sealing or redacting of court records under specific statutes”). Accordingly, the motion is denied without prejudice.

Appellant/cross-respondent filed the opening brief and appendix on September 27, 2021, several days before he filed the motion to seal. Accordingly, these documents were filed on this court’s public docket.

Appellant/cross-respondent may file a renewed motion to seal, or a motion to file certain documents subject to redaction, within 7 days of the date of this order. Any such motion should be accompanied by a copy of any district court order sealing documents and should explain why sealing or redacting is appropriate in this court, either pursuant to the SRCR or another applicable statute or rule. Any motion must also be accompanied by the documents appellant/cross-respondent proposes to file under seal or subject to redaction. These documents should be transmitted to this court via mail or personal service and will be kept confidential pending resolution of any motion to seal or redact. Should appellant/cross-respondent file a motion to redact documents, he must provide this court with both redacted and unredacted versions of the documents. If appellant/cross-respondent will not be filing a motion to seal or redact, he shall file the opening brief and appendix within 7 days of the date of this order.

Wardlaw, C.J.

**SUPREME COURT  
OF  
NEVADA**