since the transcripts have not been yet prepared, there is no intent to delay for mere

28

delay's sake.

2
 3

4 5

67

8

10

11

12 13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

Appellant has in all ways been diligent in this case. All issues in the lower court were extensively briefed and litigated. A though evidentiary hearing was conducted. Federal and State constitutional issues exist in this case which should be addressed by this Honorable Court and possibly beyond. This case involves an Appellant who was a victim of sex trafficking at a very young age. Simply stated, had advances in the forensic science existed at the time of the offense, there is a substantial likelihood that Appellant would have ever been charged.

Appellant asks that she be granted an extension of 90 days from the date of this filing, which would make this Opening Brief and Appendix due Tuesday, November 23, 2021.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 31 states as follows:

- (3) **Motions for Extensions of Time.** A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.
- **(A) Contents of Motion.** A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:
- (i) The date when the brief is due;
- (ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted, and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;
- (iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;
- (iv)The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary; and
- (v) The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.

This motion for extension of time is reasonable, fair, and there is clearly good cause to grant a 90 day extension from the original due date, which would be Tuesday, November 23, 2021.

2
 3
 4

This Motion is also submitted under **NRAP 2**, which states as follows:

"On the court's own or a party's motion, the court may--to expedite its decision or for other good cause--suspend any provision of these Rules in a particular case and order proceedings as the court directs, except as otherwise provided in Rule 26(b)."

This Motion is also submitted pursuant to **NRAP 26(b)**, which states as follows:

(b) Extending Time.

(1) By Court Order.

(A) For good cause, the court may extend the time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires. But the court may not extend the time to file a notice of appeal except as provided in Rule 4(c).

It is respectfully submitted that "good cause" is broad in scope...Intermountain Lumber & Builders Supply, Inc. v. Glens Falls Ins. Co., 83 Nev. 126, 129, 424 P.2d 884, 886 (1967). Further, in cases dealing with excusable neglect, which is included in the determination of "good cause," this is at bottom an equitable determination. Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Associates Ltd. P'ship, 507 U.S. 380, 395, 113 S. Ct. 1489, 1498, 123 L. Ed. 2d 74 (1993). Appellant submits that there is no prejudice to the State, and that the equities should favor the allowance of good cause to permit this Opening Brief and Appendix to be filed.

Appellant submits that this Court's holding of <u>Stratton v Raine</u>, 192 P 471 (1921), should, this Court stated, at 472:

"On the oral argument, counsel for appellants admitted that technically he was in default for not filing and serving his opening brief within the time

allowed, but as we are convinced that he desires in good faith to prosecute the appeal, and we cannot say with a reasonable degree of certainty that his neglect to file his opening brief is so inexcusable as to amount to a failure to prosecute the appeal, we are unwilling to dismiss it.

It is ordered that the motion be denied, and that counsel for appellants be, and he is hereby, required to file and serve his opening brief in this case within 15 days after receiving notice of this decision. Stratton v. Raine, 192 P. 471, 472 (Nev. 1920)

Stratton was mentioned positively in Hotels El Rancho v. Pray, 176 P.2d 236,

238, 64 Nev. 22, 25–26 (Nev. 1947):

We have no reason to question the good faith of appellants or their counsel, and we are impressed with the showing made that the appeal has been taken in good faith and the delay has not appreciably, if at all, postponed the hearing on the appeal on its merits. *Moreover, we have previously declared it the policy of the law that cases should be disposed of in this court on their merits wherever possible*. In re McGregor, 56 Nev. 407, 48 P.2d 418, 55 P.2d 10; Stratton v. Raine, 45 Nev. 7, 192 P. 471; Smith v. Taecker, 127 Cal.App. 78, 15 P.2d 193: Bourne v. Root, 117 Cal.App. 618, 4 P.2d 264; Tyner v. Axt, 111 Cal.App. 187, 295 P. 97; Righetti v. Monroe, Lyon & Miller, 106 Cal.App. 346, 289 P. 650; Fishman v. Silva et al., 108 Cal.App. 121, 291 P. 430

The appellant, as well as counsel, are in the utmost of good faith, and there cannot be any prejudice to any party since the transcripts have not yet been prepared.

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | /// 28 | ///

WHEREFORE, the Appellant prays that this Court grant an Extension of time to file and serve her Opening Brief and Appendix. Dated this 25th day of August, 2021 /s/ Tony Abbatangelo, Esq. TONY L. ABBATANGELO, ESO. State Bar Number 3897 4560 S. Decatur, Ste 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 702-707-7000 FAX (702) 366-1940 tony@thevegaslawyers.com Attorney for Appellant **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I certify that on the 25th day of August, 2021, I electronically served a copy of this docketing statement upon all counsel of record: STEVE WOLFSON, ESQ. 200 S. Lewis Avenue Las Vegas NV 89101, and AARON FORD, ESQ. 100 N. Carson Avenue, Carson City, NV 89710 /s/ Tony L. Abbatangelo, Esq.

EXHIBIT 1

4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300

THE VEGAS LAWYERS

Case Number: 03C191253

Docket 82686 Document 2021-13682

Electronically Filed 5/11/2021 2:12 PM Steven D. Grierson

THE VEGAS LAWYERS 4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Tele: (702) 707-7000 • Fax (702) 366-1940

1	4. July 5, 2019.
2	5. July 15, 2019.
3	6. January 13, 2020.
4	7. February 24, 2020.
5	8. March 2, 2020.
6 7	9. March 4, 2020.
8	10. June 5, 2020.
9	11. June 15, 2020.
10	12. June 29, 2020.
11	13. January 22, 2021.
13	14. January 28, 2021.
14	15. June 3, 2003.
15	16. March 4, 2020.
16	Portions of the transcript requested: hearing conducted on the above date: all One copy
17 18	required.
19	Dated this 11 th day of May, 2021.
20	
21	Janes Mayler
22	TONY L. ABBATANGELO, ESQ. State Bar Number 3897
23	4560 S. Decatur, Ste 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103
24	702-707-7000 FAX (702) 366-1940
25	tony@thevegaslawyers.com Attorney for Appellant
26	
27	

THE VEGAS LAWYERS 4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Tele: (702) 707-7000 • Fax (702) 366-1940

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of this Request for Transcripts was electronically served

and/or mailed to the following parties on the 11th day of May, 2021:

4 AARON FORD, ESQ.
5 Nevada Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701,

STEVE WOLFSON, ESQ. 200 S. Lewis Avenue Las Vegas NV 89101, and

VICTORIA BOYD, court reporter boydv@clarkcountycourts,us

Tony L. Abbatangelo, Esq.