#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

### INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF BRECK WARDEN SMITH FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Appellant,

vs. BRECK WARDEN SMITH, Respondent. No. 82696 Electronically Filed
Apr 20 2021 03:15 p.m.

DOCKETING STATEMENT Supreme Court
CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

**Revised December 2015** 

1. Judicial District Eighth	County Clark		
Judge Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney	District Ct. Case No		
2. If the defendant was given a sentence,			
(a) what is the sentence? N/A			
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending	gappeal? <sub>N/A</sub>		
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pendir	ng appeal? N/A		
3. Was counsel in the district court appoint	red or retained ? N/A		
4. Attorney filling this docketing states	ment:		
Attorney Katrina A. Samuels	<b>Telephone</b> 702-486-3770		
${f Firm}$ State of Nevada-Office of the Attorney G	eneral		
Address: 555 E. Washington Avanua Sta. 2006			
555 E. Washington Avenue Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101	0		
Client(s) Appellant, the State of Nevada			
5. Is appellate counsel appointed $ extstyle  extstyle $	ained CX?		
If this is a joint statement hy	multiple appellants, add the names and		

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

Attorney _	Michael J. McAvoy	Telephone 702-685-0879		
Firm M	cAvoy Amaya & Revero Attorneys			
Address:	400 S. 4th Street, Ste. 500 Las Vegas, NV 89101			
Client(s) _	Breck Smith			
Attorney _		Telephone		
Firm				
Address:				
	(List additional counse	el on separate sheet if necessary)		
	_			
_	nt after bench trial nt after jury verdict	Grant of pretrial habeas		
•	nt after jury vertice nt upon guilty plea	☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence [X Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)		
•	f pretrial motion to dismiss	☐ Grant ☐ denial		
	robation revocation	Cother disposition (specify):		
_	or new trial	coposition (oposity).		
☐ grant	denial			
☐ Motion t	o withdraw guilty plea			
☐ grant	⊏ denial			
8. Does thi	s appeal raise issues conceri	ning any of the following:		
C death	sentence	juvenile offender		
□ life ser	ntence	pretrial proceedings		
	d appeals: The court may decide wor of proceeding in such mann	le to expedite the appellate process in this matter er?		
□ Ves	Γ₹ No.			

10. **Pending and prior proceedings in this court.** List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):

11. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

This action is a time-credits habeas action challenging the district court's statutory interpretation of NRS 213.1517(4) and how it applies to the calculation of respondent's parole violation hearing date and the calculation of his parole eligibility date based on the adjudication of his new criminal charge arising from his parole violation. The district court granted respondent's habeas petition and ordered the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC) to recalculate respondent's sentence in order that respondent would start receiving credit on the new charge from the time he was returned to NDOC's custody for his parole violation instead of after the adjudication of his new charge.

13. <b>Issues on appeal.</b> State specifically all issues in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
Whether the district court erred in its statutory interpretation of NRS 213.1517 (4) by granting respondent's petition for writ of habeas corpus and ordering the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC) to recalculate his sentence in order that he would start receiving credit on the new charge from the time he was returned to NDOC's custody for his parole violation instead of after the adjudication of his new charge.
14. Constitutional issues: If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?  □ No □ Yes □ No
If not, explain:

15. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

Although this case may be assigned to the Court of Appeals (NRAP 17(b)(4)), this Court should retain the case because it involves an issue which the Court has never resolved in a published opinion and which will likely arise in future cases, i.e. the application of credits during the pendency of a parole violation hearing and the pending adjudication of a new criminal case. The issue is also one of statutory interpretation as to how NRS 213.1517(4) applies to the timeframe of holding a parole revocation hearing while an inmate's new criminal case has yet to be adjudicated. Sportsco Enterprises v. Morris, 112 Nev. 625, 629 (1996) ("interpretation of statutory provisions are reviewed de novo by this court").

		Public interest. Does this appeal present a sion in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important
First impression:	☐ Yes	Tx No
Public interest:	☐ Yes	∏X No
		oceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district evidentiary hearing last? N/A
	Would you objec	ct to submission of this appeal for disposition without
□ Yes □	No	

# **TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL**

19. Date district court announced decision	n, sentence or order appealed from January 27, 2021	-
20. Date of entry of written judgment or o	order appealed from February 17, 2021	
	as filed in the district court, explain the basis for	-
	g or denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, judgment or order was served by the district court	2/24/2
(a) Was service by delivery ☐ or by m	ail 🗀	
22. If the time for filing the notice of appear		
Arrest judgment	Date filed	
New trial (newly discovered evidence)	Date filed	
	Date filed	
(b) Date of entry of written order resolu	ving motion	_
23. Date notice of appeal filed March 26	5, 2021	
24. Specify statute or rule governing the t 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.0	time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 015(2), or other	_
NRAP 4(b)		

## **SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY**

25. Specify sta	atute, rule or oth	er authority	that grants this co	ourt jurisdiction to review from:		
NRS 177.0	015(1)(b)		NRS 34.560			
NRS 177.0	015(1)(c)					
	015(2)					
	)15(3)					
NRS 177.0	055					
I certify tha	t the informat the best of my	ion provide	RIFICATION ed in this docketing, information and	ng statement is true and d belief.		
The State of N			Katrina A. Sa	amuels ansel of record		
•	CHant					
4/20/2021 Date			/s/ Katrina A. Samuels Signature of counsel of record			
		CERTIFIC	CATE OF SERVI	CE		
I certify that	on the 20th	_ day of 20	April 2021, I served	a copy of this completed		
docketing sta	tement upon all	counsel of re	ecord:			
☐ By per	rsonally serving	it upon him/	her; or			
$\int \overline{\mathbb{X}} \frac{\mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} \ \mathbf{m} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{s}}$	ailing it by first o ss(es):	lass mail wi	th sufficient postag	e prepaid to the following		
Michae 400 S.	oy Amaya & Revercel J. McAvoy 4th Street, Ste. 50 egas, NV 89101	-		•		
Dated this	20th	_ day of	April ,	20		
				/s/ M. Landreth Signature		
				Digitature		