	A COTO A		
1	ASTA		
2	ROSENBLUM LAW OFFICES		
3	MOLLY ROSENBLUM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 08242	Electronically Filed	
	SHEILA TAJBAKHSH, ESQ.	Apr 14 2021 08:27 a.m.	
4	Nevada Bar No. 13518	Elizabeth A. Brown	
5	7375 S Pecos Rd, Ste 101	Clerk of Supreme Court	
6	Las Vegas, NV 89120-3773		
7	(702) 433-2889—Phone		
′	(702) 425-9642—Fax		
8	Email: staff@rosenblumlawlv.com		
9	Attorney for Appellant		
10	IN THE SUPREME COURT		
	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
11			
12	DAVID PATRICK STUCKE,		
13	,	Supreme Court Case No.: 82723	
14	Appellant,	Supreme Court Case No.: 82723	
	vs.	District Court Case No.: D-18-580621-D	
15	CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT		
10	1. NAME OF APPELLANT FILING THIS CASE APPEAL STATEMENT: David Patrick Stucke		
19			
20			
21	2 IDENTIES THE HIDGE ISSUING THE DECISION HIDGMENT		
22	2. IDENTIFY THE JUDGE ISSUING THE DECISION, JUDGMENT, OR ORDER APPEALED FROM:		
22	The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce entered on		
23	February 25, 2021.		
24			
25	3. IDENTIFY EACH APPELLANT AND THE NAME AND ADDRESS		
	OF COUNSEL FOR EACH APPELLANT:		
26		1	
27	Appellant: David S	tucke	
20			

Appellate Counsel: Molly S. Rosenblum, Esq. 1 Sheila Tajbakhsh, Esq. 2 Rosenblum Law Offices 3 7375 S Pecos Rd Ste 101 Las Vegas NV 89120 4 5 **District Court Counsel:** Vincent Mayo, Esq. The Abrams Law Firm, LLC 6 6252 S Rainbow Blvd # 100 7 Las Vegas, NV 89118 8 4. IDENTIFY EACH RESPONDENT AND THE NAME AND ADDRESS 9 **APPELLATE** COUNSEL, KNOWN. OF IF **FOR EACH** 10 **NAME** THE RESPONDENT (IF **OF** A **RESPONDENT'S** APPELLATE COUNSEL IS UNKNOWN, INDICATE AS MUCH AND 11 PROVIDE THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THAT RESPONDENT'S 12 TRIAL COUNSEL): 13 Respondent: Christie Leeann Stucke 14 15 Appellate Counsel: Fred Page, Esq. Page Law Firm 16 6930 S. Cimmaron Rd, Suite 140 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 18 **District Court Counsel:** Fred Page, Esq. 19 Page Law Firm 6930 S. Cimmaron Rd, Suite 140 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 21 22 5. INDICATE WHETHER ANY ATTORNEY IDENTIFIED ABOVE IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION 3 OR 4 IS NOT LICENSED TO 23 PRACTICE LAW IN NEVADA AND, IF SO, WHETHER THE 24 DISTRICT COURT GRANTED THAT ATTORNEY PERMISSION TO APPEAR UNDER SCR 42 (ATTACH A COPY OF ANY 25 **DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING SUCH PERMISSION):** 26

N/A

27

28

- 6. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT WAS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL IN THE DISTRICT COURT: Retained counsel.
- 7. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT IS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL ON APPEAL:
 Retained counsel
- 8. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT WAS GRANTED LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND THE DATE OF ENTRY OF THE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING SUCH LEAVE: N/A
- 9. INDICATE THE DATE THE PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED IN THE DISTRICT COURT (E.G., DATE COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, INFORMATION, OR PETITION WAS FILED):

The case was originally opened with a Complaint for Divorce filed on November 28, 2018.

The Evidentiary Hearing lasted four (4) days and took place on September 10, 2020, December 9, 2020, December 10, 2020 and December 17, 2020.

The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce was filed on February 15, 2021, and the Notice of Entry of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce was filed February 25, 2021.

10. PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF THE ACTION AND RESULT IN THE DISTRICT COURT, INCLUDING THE TYPE OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER BEING APPEALED AND THE RELIEF GRANTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT:

NATURE OF THE ACTION: The parties engaged in a trial before the District Court regarding divorce, the division of assets and debts, separate property, child custody, child support, and other divorce related matters.

///

///

RESULT IN THE DISTRICT COURT: That based on the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision of the District Court, neither party was the prevailing party, as the orders were neutral.

TYPE OF ORDER BEING APPEALED: An order regarding separate assets; an order regarding community waste; a custody order; and a child support order.

RELIEF GRANTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT:

- a. The Court divided the equity in the marital residence equally between the parties, despite making a finding that it was separate property of the appellant;
- b. The Court denied Appellant/Plaintiff's request for recovery of community funds wasted by Respondent/Defendant;
- c. The Court awarded joint physical custody to the parties;
- d. The Court ordered that no child support shall be paid.

11.INDICATE WHETHER THE CASE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN THE SUBJECT OF AN APPEAL TO OR ORIGINAL WRIT PROCEEDING IN THE SUPREME COURT AND, IF SO, THE CAPTION AND SUPREME COURT DOCKET NUMBER OF THE PRIOR PROCEEDING:

None.

12.INDICATE WHETHER THIS APPEAL INVOLVES CHILD CUSTODY OR VISITATION:

This appeal involves child custody and visitation.

13.IF THIS IS A CIVIL CASE, INDICATE WHETHER THIS APPEAL INVOLVES THE POSSIBILITY OF SETTLEMENT:

There is a possibility of settlement.

Dated this 13th day of April, 2021.

Submitted by:

ROSENBLUM LAW OFFICES

MOLLY RØSENBLUM, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 08242

SHEILA TAJBAKHSH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 15343 7375 S Pecos Rd Ste 101 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the <u>14th</u> day of <u>April, 2021</u>, I served APPELLANT'S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT in the above-entitled matter electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list maintained by the Clerk of the Supreme Court, to the Parties listed below:

l	Fred Page, Esq.
l	Fred Page, Esq. Page Law Firm 6930 S. Cimmaron Rd, Suite 140
l	6930 S. Cimmaron Rd, Suite 140
l	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
l	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Attorney for Respondent
ı	

Israel Kunin, Esq. 10161 Park Run Dr., Ste 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Settlement Judge

An Employee of Rosenblum Law Offices