IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Case No. 82723

Electronically Filed Oct 22 2021 07:26 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

DAVID PATRICK STUCKE Appellant

And

CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE Respondent

Appeal from Order from February 25, 2021 regarding Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order and Decree of Divorce, Clark County Nevada, Eighth Judicial District Court Family Division Department F Appellant's Appendix Volume 8

ROSENBLUM ALLEN LAW FIRM

Molly Rosenblum, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8242
Sheila Tajbakhsh, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 15343
376 E Warm Springs Road, Suite 140
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Phone (702) 433-2889
Fax (702) 425-9642
staff@rosenblumlawlv.com
Counsel for Appellant

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID PATRICK STUCKE

Appellant,

Supreme Court Case No.: 82723

VS.

CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE,

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX INDEX VOLUME 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ALPHABETICAL LISTING

Defendant's Amended Pre-Trial Memorandum
(September 11, 2020)
Defendant's Answer to Complaint For Divorce and Counterclaim
(December 13, 2018) 0009-0014, Vol. 1
Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition
to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of
Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs
and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and
Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions
of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to
Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For
Attorney's Fees
(June 19, 2019)
Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify
Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses;
Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property;

Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial

Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief

(March 13, 2019), 0226-0229, Vol. 2

Defendant's Exhibits Appendix to Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For
Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's
Fees; and Related Relief and Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why
Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order
Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 6, 2019)
Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive
Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees; and Related Relief and
Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held
in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019
and For Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 6, 2019)
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to
Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties'
Trial and for Related Relief and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees
(October 30, 2020)

Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief (March 13, 2019) 0194-0225, Vol. 1 Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce From Trial Minute Order Minute Order (May 6, 2019) 0474-0476, Vol. 3

Minute Order for August 3, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for June 11, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for June 23, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for March 10, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for November 6, 2020 Hearing
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff; Notice of Perfection of
Retaining's Lien; And to Foreclose on Retaining's Lien on the Plaintiff For
Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds
in Trust
(May 21, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce
(February 25, 2021)
Notice of Entry of Order After April 17, 2019 Hearing
(August 5, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of August 20, 2019
(October 3, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 30, 2020
(February 28, 2020)
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 7, 2020
(February 28, 2020)

Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of October 7, 2019
(October 31, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After March 27, 2019 Hearing
(May 3, 2019) 0456-0462, Vol. 3
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Judgment Against Defendant
(June 28, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order to Show Cause
(January 15, 2020)
Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff;
Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Plaintiff for Unpaid Fees and
Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and
Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order,
Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold
Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees
(June 5, 2019)
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Residence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence
(February 21, 2020)

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D.
to Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the
Parties' Trial; and for Related Relief
(October 7, 2020) 0997-1004, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Modify Custody; For Child
Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the
Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For
Related Relief
(February 15, 2019)
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Change Custody;
For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's
Fees and For Related Relief
(August 20, 2019) 0674-0697, Vol. 4
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply in Support of Motion to Change
Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence;
Attorney's Fees and for Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for
an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of
Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For
Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 30, 2019)

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; Birkland Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Second Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Residence and For Defendant to Vacate the Residence Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial; And for Related Relief

Plaintiff's Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of
the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(August 19, 2019)
Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills
and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the
Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(February 15, 2019)
Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum
(September 10, 2020) 0912-0961, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review
Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial;
and For Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees
(November 6, 2020)
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support;
Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and for
Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause
Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the
Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 30. 2019)
Plaintiff's Reply to Counterclaim (January 8, 2019)

Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child
Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the
Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For
Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server,
Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief
(March 22, 2019)
Plaintiff's Second Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support;
Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital
Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related
Relief
(April 15, 2019)
Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment
of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence;
Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(April 8, 2019)
Plaintiff's Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Residence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence
(February 25, 2020)
Register of Actions for Case No. D-18-580621-D 1083-1095, Vol. 6

Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)
CHRONOLOGICAL LISTING
CHRONOLOGICAL LISTING Complaint for Divorce (November 28, 2018)
Complaint for Divorce (November 28, 2018)

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief (March 13, 2019) 0194-0225, Vol. 1 Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief (March 13, 2019), 0226-0229, Vol. 2 Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child

Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the

Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For

Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server,			
Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief			
(March 22, 2019)			
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's			
Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills			
and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the			
Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and			
Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees			
and Other Related Relief			
(March 22, 2019)			
Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment			
of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence;			
Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief			
(April 8, 2019)			
Plaintiff's Second Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support;			
Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital			
Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related			
Relief			
(April 15, 2019)			

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Second Supplement to Motion to
Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses;
Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property;
Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(April 16, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After March 27, 2019 Hearing
(May 3, 2019) 0456-0462, Vol. 3
Notice of Entry of Order After April 17, 2019 Hearing
(August 5, 2019) 0463-0473, Vol. 3
Minute Order
(May 6, 2019) 0474-0476, Vol. 3
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff; Notice of Perfection of
Retaining's Lien; And to Foreclose on Retaining's Lien on the Plaintiff For
Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds
in Trust
(May 21, 2019)

Attorney of Record for Plaintiff; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Plaintiff for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees

(June 6, 2019) 0534-0609, Vol. 3

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees

(June 19, 2019) 0610-0625, Vol. 4

Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees

(June 19, 2019) 0626-0651, Vol. 4

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Judgment Against Defendant

3.4.	\cap 1
Minute	()rder
williate	Oruci

	(July 29, 2019)	0656-0658, Vol. 4	
Plaint	tiff's Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclu	sive Possession of	
	the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and For Related Re	elief	
	(August 19, 2019)	0659-0673, Vol. 4	
Plaint	tiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to	Change Custody;	
	For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Res	idence; Attorney's	
	Fees and For Related Relief		
	(August 20, 2019)	0674-0697, Vol. 4	
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of August 20, 2019			
	(October 3, 2019)	0698-0703, Vol. 4	
Defer	ndant's Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For Child S	Support; Exclusive	
	Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees; and	Related Relief and	
	Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff St	hould Not Be Held	
	in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered or	n August 22, 2019	
	and For Attorney's Fees and Costs		
	(September 6, 2019)	0704-0724 Vol 4	

Defendant's Exhibits Appendix to Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees; and Related Relief and Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and for Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply in Support of Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and for Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs

Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of October 7,	2019
(October 31, 2019)	0827-0839, Vol. 5
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 7, 2	2020
(February 28, 2020)	0840-0847 Vol. 5
Notice of Entry of Order to Show Cause	
(January 15, 2020)	0848-0851, Vol. 5
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 30,	, 2020
(February 28, 2020)	0852-0858, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff to C	omplete the Refinance of the
Maule Residence and For Defendant to Vacate	the Residence
(February 21, 2020)	
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Emerge	ncy Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Res	idence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence	
(February 21, 2020)	0867-0898, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Emerge	ncy Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Res	idence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence	
(February 25, 2020)	
Minute Order for March 10, 2020 Hearing	

Minute Order for June 11, 2020 Hearing		
Minute Order for June 23, 2020 Hearing		
Minute Order for August 3, 2020 Hearing 0910-0911, Vol. 5		
Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum		
(September 10, 2020)		
Defendant's Amended Pre-Trial Memorandum		
(September 11, 2020) 0962-0982, Vol. 5		
Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review Newly Discovered		
Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial; And for Related		
Relief		
(October 7, 2020)		
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D.		
to Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the		
Parties' Trial; and for Related Relief		
(October 7, 2020)		
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to		
Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties'		
Trial and for Related Relief and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees		
(October 30, 2020)		

Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review
Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial
and For Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fee
(November 6, 2020)
Minute Order for November 6, 2020 Hearing
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce From Trial
(February 15, 2021)
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce
(February 25, 2021)
Register of Actions for Case No. D-18-580621-D 1083-1095, Vol. 6
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)

DATED this 22nd day of October 2021.

ROSENBLUM ALLEN LAW FIRM

Molly Rosenblum, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 8242

Sheila Tajbakhsh, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 15343

376 E Warm Springs Road, Suite 140

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Phone (702) 433-2889

Fax (702) 425-9642

staff@rosenblumlawlv.com

Counsel for Appellant

1 -- did you consider those personal or business in nature? 2 Α Personal. And there's transactions related to withdrawals to 3 Christie and then transfers to family members, Christie's daughter, and Joel (ph), Christie's son. Do you have those on 6 there? 7 Α Yes. Okay. Now, you also have cash withdrawals. 8 withdrawals made in a branch bank, casino, cash bar, casino, 10 cash withdrawn at ATMs. Do those total -- you have on here \$25,188? 11 | I don't know where you're -- I'm looking at 24,830, 12 Α 13 but --It's -- it'll be total cash withdrawals. 14 Which page are we looking at? 15 It's the same page, 560 -- or 5760. 16 17 Let's see, I'm on another -- different page. Okay. Α You can -- see here. Okay, 25,000, yeah, okay. Yeah, I see 18 it. 19 20 Now, in regards to -- all right, so now let's look 21 at the Atomic Radiology one, which is next page, Bates 5761. 22 l Now quickly, David, is -- are these businesses cash business? 23 Α No. 24 All right. And is there any transaction that's Q

taking place in local bars or at casinos? 1 2 Α No. 3 Where are the doctors located who do the imaging --They can be --4 Α -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)? 5 0 -- all over the place. In --6 Α 7 Are --Q Some in --8 Δ -- there any in --9 0 10 -- New York. Α 11 Q -- Las Vegas? New Jersey, she has some in Florida, you know, a 12 13 variety of places. 14 Are there any in Las Vegas? 15 Not to my knowledge. 16 Okay. All right. Now, for Atomic Radiology, it has on there business income and then software, GoDaddy, 17 freelance, Dropbox, computer services, payments to doctors, 18 Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce, subscriptions, virtual office 19 rent, postage and delivery, do you re -- con -- consider these 20 normal business expenses? 21 Yeah. For the telephone, I think I gave her \$100 22 instead of -- it's almost 400 she put as her telephone. She 23

pays for -- for all of her kids and stuff. So I think only

gave her 100 out of the 300-and-something it was. So that 1 one's split in partial -- partial business, partial -- but the rest, yes. 3 Okay, so on her phone that she lists as a business 4 expense, how many lines does she have on there? 5 A lot. Six or seven, I believe. 6 7 All right, and you said her -- her children are on those lines? 8 9 And friends, lot -- lots of people. I don't know Α all the people that are on there. 10 11 MR. PAGE: Objection --12 MR. MAYO: Now --13 MR. PAGE: -- speculation, foundation. THE COURT: I -- objection --14 15 MR. MAYO: All right, so let me lay a foundation. BY MR. MAYO: David, did -- did Christie have anyone on her 17 account -- on her cell phone plan when you guys were married? 18 19 Α Yes. All right. And who was -- to your knowledge, who 20 21 | was on there when you were married? Her grandmother, her daughter, and I believe both of 22 her sons, and I know her ex-roommate was on there. I think

there's other people, too. I know she added one of her

one that was difficult in terms of trying to turn it into a

23

24

PDF.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	BY MR. MAYO:
3	Q All right. Now, David, same thing for this one.
4	Profit and loss January through December 2019. Is this
5	related to it says business checking 5639, business savings
6	7578?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Are are those the business accounts for PCCG?
9	A Yes, and this is the one that has the telephone that
10	I was talking about.
11	Q Okay. Now again, did you go through and list
12	expenses that you identified as being business-related and
13	expenses that you identified as being personal in nature?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then that goes through Bates 5764. Now, it has
16	cash withdrawals withdrawn at ATM, cash withdrawals at branch
17	bank, ATM cash withdrawals made at bars, and ATM cash
18	withdrawals made at casinos, with the correlating amounts. Do
19	those total on here for 2019, \$24,830?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Now, on here in terms of cash withdrawal made at
22	bars, how did you identify these were bars?
23	A The address is listed on the bank statement. So
24	just look them up. You get to know them very quickly.

Okay, and so you were able to look up the address 1 0 2 and identify the --3 Α Yes. -- establishment based on the address? 4 5 Uh-huh (affirmative). Α All right. Did Christie -- what are some of these 6 -- these bars that are listed in the Wells Fargo statements? The bars are primarily PT's. And the casinos are Α the Cosmo, the Silverton, you know, the Tropicana. They're 10 all over. Okay. Now, did Christie frequent these 11 establishments prior to the divorce? 12 Pretty much. Yeah, I think --13 Prior to the --14 0 -- she extended --15 -- the divorce? 16 17 -- her web. Α All right. Now, there's ATM lo -- withdrawals made 18 at these establishments. Do you know of any reason Christie 20 needed to make ATM withdrawals --21 Not for --Α 22 Q -- at these --23 Α -- business purposes. 24 -- establishments? 0

1	A	No.
2	Q	All right. Do you know how much having reviewed
3	the docume	ents, do you know what the typical range was in
4	regards to	cash being withdrawn
5	A	It varies
6	Q	from this account?
7	A	by lots. But she would start with 300 or 500 and
8	sometimes	go back to the ATM the same evening, multiple times.
9	Sometimes	she would do 1,000 multiple times. It just varied.
10	Q	Okay. Now, is that information reflected in the
11	А	General ledger, it'll be in there.
12	Q	the general ledgers in terms of the actual
13	amounts wi	thdrawn from the accounts?
14	А	Yeah, it'll show you the dates and all that stuff so
15	you can te	11.
16	Q	Okay, all right. All right, let's I think we're
17	almost don	e.
18	(Paus	e)
19	BY MR, MAY	0;
20	Q	All right, now we also have the bottom of it,
21	it's yo	u you listed Christie's personal account; is that
22	correct?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	All right. And did she have expenses listed on

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 09/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

on here in terms of transactions and transfers? 1 2 A Yes, that's just to help balance really, mostly. And there -- and there's some business spen -- expenses on there as well, but. Now, if we look at the first page on this exhibit, it's Bates 5759. Are you there? 6 7 Α Yes. Okay, you have -- let's -- now, this information --8 what is this information based on? 10 A Five-nine? Five-seven-five-nine. 11 12 Okay, no, I was on a different page. All right. MR. PAGE: I don't have a 5759. Starts off at 5760. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay, yeah, five-nine, I have. That's 14 15 a overall summary, but Christie's account is, like, 65, I 16 | believe. 17 | BY MR. MAYO: Okay, correct. So I'm asking you, in terms of -- to 18 look at 56 -- fi -- sorry, five -- 5759. 19 20 Α Yes, I'm there. Okay. Now you have on here, this information on 21

this -- this summary. What is this information -- where --

where do you have -- where did you formulate it from? What's

22 |

23

24 | it based on?

\$4,572?

24

```
A
              Yes.
 1
 2
              All right, so you have a total on these listed as
 3
    $118 -- 475,000 (sic); is that correct?
              Yes.
 4
         Α
              All right. Now, in fairness, in terms of the cash
 5
    withdrawals made at branch bank other, you had previously
 6
    testified that you had looked at the statements and had looked
 7
    at the casino records to correlate in regards to cash taken.
    Now, was there cash taken on any individual day that may have
10
    been spent at a casino?
              Yes, probably --
11
         Α
              Okay --
12
         Q
13
              -- a lot of it.
         Α
              -- but you can't --
14
         Q
15
              Not 100 --
         Α
16
              -- I mean, you can't --
         0
17
              -- percent, but --
         Α
18
              -- you can't say for sure --
         Q
19
              -- it's a lot of it.
20
              You can't say for sure in terms of cash withdrawals
         Q
21
    made at --
22
         Α
              Well, some --
              -- a casino.
23
24
              -- of it, you can. From early 2000 --
```

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 09/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	Q Hold on, David David, will you	
2	THE COURT: Let her	
3	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	
4	THE COURT: Let him finish.	
5	MR. MAYO: please stop?	
6	THE COURT: Let him finish	
7	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	
8	THE COURT: asking the question.	
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
10	BY MR. MAYO:	
11	Q All right. So I in fairness, you can't say for	
12	sure in terms of cash withdrawals made in branch bank other,	
13	that every dollar taken went to gambling; is that correct?	
14	A Of course. No, you can't.	
15	Q Okay. But to the extent that you correlated them	
16	with the gambling records from the casinos, you're able to do	
17	that?	
18	A Yeah. I mean, it wasn't the primary goal of this,	
19	but yeah.	
20	Q Okay. All right. All right. Now lets	
21	(Pause)	
22	BY MR. MAYO:	
23	Q All right. Now, to was there also now we're	
24	looking at	

MR. MAYO: And again, Your Honor, I can kind of go 1 through it quickly or not. But I -- you know, I -- I want to try to be fair. So the same thing that was done for 2019 was 3 done for 2020. And those -- that's Exhibit 41, 40, and 34, in 5 regards to ActionRAD, PCCG transactions from the bank statements. So first one would be Exhibit 41. 6 7 THE COURT: So what are you saying? MR. MAYO: I'm -- I'm just letting you know that I'm 8 presenting the -- you know, you said earlier when we started 9 back up, hey, look, can you kind of give me idea of what we're 10 11 looking at and why we're looking at it. So I'm representing that Exhibit 41--12 THE COURT: So those exhibits are the same thing for 13 2020. But I guess what I'm asking, are you just asking that 14 those be admitted and -- and so that we don't have to go 15 through --16 17 MR. MAYO: Correct. 18 THE COURT: -- each and every one of them? I mean, I don't know whether -- does mister --19 2.0 MR. MAYO: Well, so -- so yeah, the -- there's a 21 general ledger. And then there's also -- I'll have -- I have the ActionRAD and PCCG P&L for 2020, just like we did for 22

THE COURT: Right. So your client can --

23

24

2019.

```
MR. MAYO: But --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: -- testify --
 3
              MR. MAYO: -- again --
 4
              THE COURT: -- to that. And then they --
              MR. MAYO: Correct.
 5
              THE COURT: -- you can move to admit them. And
 6
    Mr. Page can make -- make an objection or not. And then we
    can go from there. But I don't think you need to go through
    each and every ac -- you know, if there's some --
10
              MR. MAYO: I -- I didn't --
              THE COURT: -- entry --
11
              MR. MAYO: -- want to --
12
13
              THE COURT: If there's some entry that you think is
    suspect or there's something that needs to be known, those
14
15
    things can be pointed out to me in --
16
             MR. MAYO: Right.
             THE COURT: -- your closing, right?
17
1.8
             MR. MAYO: Okay. Correct.
19
             THE COURT: Okay.
20
             MR. MAYO: Okay.
21
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
   BY MR. MAYO:
22
23
             So Exhibit 41, David, is that ActionRAD general
   ledger account 1401 through June 30th of 2020?
24
```

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 09/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

+	A 165. I have It.
2	Q All right. And is that, again, based on your revie
3	of the bank statements bank statements and inputting them
4	into this spreadsheet?
5	A Yes, same as 2019.
6	Q Okay. All right. And then same thing for Exhibit
7	40, PCCG general ledger for the account ending in 7578, as of
8	June 30th, 2020 through January 2020 through June 30th,
9	2020?
10	A Yes, same thing.
11	Q Okay. All right. And same thing you had, is this
12	based on your review of the the bank statements and
13	inputting that information into this ledger?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now again, it has if you look at the ledger
16	strike that. We're good. All right. Now, it has so,
17	David, you can clarify. It has listed account 7578 on this
18	Bates page number 7280. Are you there?
19	A The Exhibit 40?
20	Q Yes.
21	A So it's 7578 57. Say it again. What's the
22	number?
23	Q Yeah, so it's got the let the account number
24	A Okay, sorry.

1	Q	ending 75
2	A	I was on the wrong wrong file.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	Seven
5	Q	So it would be Bates 7280.
6	A	Seven-two-eight-zero, got it.
7	Q	Okay. It has account number ending in 7578, but
8	then it re	ferences checking Wells Fargo checking 1401.
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Again, how how is that related to the PCCG?
11	А	Is it there are transfers in and out from the
12	other acco	ounts.
13	Q	Okay. But again, when you did your analysis in
14	regards to	income classified as income monies as income,
15	did you ta	ke into consideration the transfers between the
16	accounts s	o that there was no double-dipping?
17	A	Yeah, that's taken off on the P&L.
18	Q	Okay. All right.
19	A	And and it nets to a zero because those
20	positive/n	egative it it work so it works out.
21	Q	You were mumbling again. What did you say?
22	A	I'm sorry. It it nets out to zero. You know,
23	transfer f	rom one, transfer into the other. It shows there

24 was income on one and an expense on the other.

Okay, cash withdrawals. Cash withdrawals.

24

Α

```
On Bates --
         Q
 1
 2
         Α
             Okay, I see them.
 3
             -- page 7270?
         Q
 4
         Α
              Okay.
              Okay. All right. And again, that information,
 5
    those cash withdrawals, that's based on the bank statements?
 6
 7
         Α
             Yes.
             All right. Let's go to 36. It's the PCCG 2020 P&L.
 8
         Q
   Are you there?
10
        Α
             Yes
             All right. And again, same question. Is this based
11
         Q
   on the general --
12
13
             Bank statements? Yes.
             -- ledgers that --
1.4
         0
15
        Α
             Yes.
16
         0
             -- were based on the bank statements?
17
         A
             Yes.
18
         Q.
             Okay. And that's the ones that you had created?
19
         Α
             Yes.
              Okay. And again, you list on here expenses that
20
   were considered business -- like --
21 |
22
        Α
             Yes.
             -- legitimate business expenses?
23
24
        A
             Yes.
```

All right. And then the expenses for, you know, 1 Q Golden Gate, Sparks, Prime Video rental, Music Box, automobile, casinos, meals, restaurants, cash that was --3 casinos, cash at bars, were those expenses that you listed as personal in nature? 5 Α 6 Yes. MR. PAGE: I'm going to object to this line of 7 questioning as relevance. Your Honor, this is in 2020, after they've long-since been separated. And we're quizzing her about what she spent after they separated? 10 THE COURT: Well --11

MR. MAYO: Your Honor, based on the analysis in Kogod, any spending that takes place during the marriage after the parties have separated can be considered waste and should be considered waste, depending on the nature of the expense.

My -- our -- what our -- what we're trying to point out is, A, the amount -- the excessive amount of gambling that was going on by Christie at the same time in 2019 and 2020, when she had claimed that she was broke, that her businesses were going under, that she couldn't afford to pay the West Maule mortgage, that she --

THE COURT: Right.

12

13 |

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

MR. MAYO: -- couldn't afford to pay the van loan --

THE COURT: Right, overruled.

MR. MAYO: -- when she's spending hundreds of 1 2 thousands of dollars during that time at casinos --3 THE COURT: Overruled. 4 MR. MAYO: -- and at -- okay. THE COURT: Agreed, it's -- it's relevant. But you 5 6 can point it out to me in your argument, too. So the information -- are you moving to -- go ahead, Mr. Mayo. MR. MAYO: I -- I have one more -- yeah. I had one more, and I wasn't going to go through them. I was just going to trying to be efficient in regards to presenting them. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 11 BY MR. MAYO: 12 13 And then again, exhibit -- Exhibit 40, in terms of Christie's 2020 personal general ledger, that's Exhibit 40. 14 Did we talk -- we did Exhibit 40, right? 15 We're -- we did. It's PCCG. 16 Α Okay. All right. So let me try -- let's see, I 1.7 think I have left. I just have Chris -- oh, Exhibit 35, which 18 19 is Christie's personal checking account P&L for 2020. MR. MAYO: So, Your Honor, I mean, two things. 20 First of all, I'm show -- I'm going through and trying to 21 22 l establish the income from the business in regards to what is legitimate business income and what is personal in nature and 23

what was reported in regards to the FDF regarding, you know,

24

her income. The disparity between what's in the bank 1 statements and what's in her FDF. And second of all, in regards to what has been spent at different gambling 3 establishments. THE COURT: Right, I understand. 6 MR. MAYO: All right. 7 BY MR. MAYO: All right. So, David, again, same thing, is this based on the personal checking ending in -- sorry -- 7685 in terms of transfers? 10 Yes. 11 Α 12 Okay. And there's not very much in terms of here --13 Right. Yeah, there's not much. Okay. 14 Q (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --15 Α You have personal expenses, business expenses you 16 considered business in nature, and then cash withdrawals --Right. 18 Α -- and transfers to family members. Okay. 19 Right. 20 Α All right. And then the last one should be Exhibit 21 33. Look at the summary in Exhibit 33. 22 | 23 (Pause) 24 BY MR. MAYO:

Τ	Q All right. Now, is this similar to the summary you
2	did on the very top of Exhibit 20
3	A Yeah, for the cash withdrawals. Same thing, it's
4	for 2020 instead.
5	Q Okay, hold on. Exhibit 20 27, you did a summary
6	at the very top of the exhibit in regards to the the ca
7	the income and then the the cash. Same thing for this one?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. So you have income showing for ActionRAD
10	and for PCCG in 2020, and then cash withdrawals taken at
11	banks, at casinos, at bars, and the ATM fees on withdrawals at
12	casinos and at for personal transactions.
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay.
15	A Yeah, about 30,000 in income and 15,000 or something
16	in cash withdrawals.
17	Q Okay. All right.
18	MR. MAYO: So, Your Honor, we would so again, we
19	would move 41 the ones that we discussed 41, 40, 34, 36,
20	40, 35, and 33 as summaries.
21	THE CLERK: And 39.
22	THE COURT: And which one, 39?
23	THE CLERK: Thirty-nine.
24	MR. PAGE: I'll object on the grounds of foundation

and speculation. Some of them don't have dates on them. 1 2 THE WITNESS: The general ledger will --3 MR. PAGE: So the --THE WITNESS: -- have all the dates. 4 5 MR. PAGE: -- thirty-three doesn't have a date. MR. MAYO: I'm sorry, which one doesn't have a date? 6 MR. PAGE: Thirty-three. 8 THE WITNESS: Thirty-three 9 MR. MAYO: Thirty-three is based on -- it's a summary of the total amount, so we've already done the dates in the individual general ledger and the P&L. This is just 12 like it was for Exhibit 27. It's just --13 MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --MR. MAYO: -- showing the total --14 15 MR. PAGE: -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) -- 03:37:45 16 17 MR. MAYO: It doesn't -- we've already established the information in the other exhibit. This is just 18 summarizing the total amount. It doesn't have to have the 19 20 dates because that was already provided. 21 THE COURT: All right. So what you're saying -your client's testimony is that the -- 33 was a summary of the 22 23 other exhibits, which have dates. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, up until --

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. So --
 2
              THE WITNESS: -- we received those.
 3
              THE COURT: -- mister --
 4
              MR. MAYO: Correct.
              THE COURT: -- Mr. Page, you can -- you can cross
 5
    examine all day long on all these. I'll let them in. And
    then we'll see whether -- what your issues are with them.
              THE CLERK: I didn't have 27 in.
 8
              MR. PAGE: I will be, Your Honor.
 9
              MR. MAYO: And it's fine --
10
              THE COURT: Which one?
11
             MR. MAYO: -- Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT: Twenty-seven?
             MR. MAYO: That's right.
14
15
             THE CLERK: He's talking --
             THE COURT: Wait. Hold on --
16
17
             MR. PAGE: Who is (indiscernible - simultaneous
   speech) --
18
             THE COURT: -- hold on, hold on. I want to confirm
19
   the numbers again. Lay out the numbers for me that -- that
20
   we're mo -- that we're moving to admit.
21
             MR. MAYO: Sure. I think -- we -- so we la --
22
   previously, we had 27. And then so in this more -- in the
23 |
24 |
   2020 ones we've --
```

```
THE COURT: Yep.
 1
 2
              MR. MAYO: -- been talking about, the general
    ledgers and P&Ls --
 3
              THE COURT: It was 26, I thought.
 4
              MR. MAYO: -- should be Exhibit 41 --
 5
              THE CLERK: Yeah.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: I thought that was -- it was 26 before,
   but yeah, 27 --
 8
 9
              MR. MAYO: No, it was --
              THE COURT: -- was Christie's financials.
10
              MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --
11
12
              MR. MAYO: Correct, Your Honor.
13
              THE DEFENDANT: There's so many numbers they don't
14
   know --
15
              THE COURT: So hold on one second. Yeah, 27 as
16
   well. Those are summaries.
              THE CLERK: And these are the ones that we have.
17
18
              THE COURT: And then for -- hold on. And then I
   have 33, 35, 30 -- oh, the -- I'm sorry, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39,
19
    40, and 41, yes?
20
             MR. MAYO: Thirty-three, 35 --
21
             THE COURT: Thirty-four.
22
23
             MR. MAYO: -- thirty-six --
24
             THE COURT: Thirty-five.
```

```
MR. MAYO: -- thirty-four --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: So it's 33 --
 3
              MR. MAYO: -- forty and 41.
              THE COURT: -- thirty-four, 35, 36, 39, 40, and 41,
 4
             And 26 --
 5
    correct?
 6
              MR. MAYO: You --
 7
              THE COURT: -- and 20 --
              MR. MAYO: You said 39?
 8
              THE COURT: You said --
 9
              MR. MAYO: I don't think there's a 39.
10
              THE COURT: You said 39 earlier, I'm -- just wrote
11
    down what you said, so hold on.
12
13
              MR. MAYO: Oh, sorry, I thought you were talking
   about the motion to -- the -- the recent ones for 2020.
14 I
15
              THE COURT: No, I'm just trying to get all of them.
16
    So 26 --
17
              MR. MAYO: No, I understand.
              THE COURT: -- twenty-seven --
18
19
              MR. MAYO: So -- so no, it should be -- okay. So it
   should be 22 -- in -- in this line of questioning in regards
20
   to the -- the summaries, it should be 22, 26, 29 --
22
              THE COURT: Twenty-seven.
             MR. MAYO: -- twenty-seven. Then we had 41. And
23
   I'm just going in the order my documents -- 41, 40, 34, 35,
```

```
1
    and 33.
              THE COURT: Not 36?
 2
              MR. MAYO: I don't believe -- I don't believe 39 or
 3
    36. Let me make sure. Oh, no, you're right. Sorry, 36 was
    in there, too. My apologies.
              THE COURT: Yeah, that's what I thought. And then
 7
    39?
 8
              MR. MAYO: Yeah, but then 39 -- so we have 30 -- 39
   was a month-by-month.
10
              THE COURT: All right.
             MR. MAYO: No, I didn't have that --
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay, not 39.
             MR. MAYO: -- today. I can. It's a month -- it's a
13
   month-by-month. So basically, it shows each month what was
14
   total amount for those categories.
16
              THE COURT: Okay, he hasn't te -- he didn't testify
   about 39, though --
             THE CLERK: Right.
18
19
             THE COURT: -- correct?
20
             MR. MAYO: No.
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
22
                        (Plaintiff's Exhibits 27, 33 through 36,
23
                                              40, and 41 admitted)
24
             MR. MAYO: All right.
```

THE COURT: All right. We need to take a break. 1 MR. MAYO: Okay. Okay, that's fine, Your Honor, 2 3 thank you. 4 THE COURT: Uh-huh. We're going to go off the 5 record. (COURT RECESSED AT 03:41 P.M. AND RESUMED AT 03:53 P.M.) 6 7 THE CLERK: Back on the record. 8 THE COURT: Do we have Mayo? 9 THE CLERK: He's in here. He's just muted. THE WITNESS: He went to the restroom. He should be 10 back any second, I think. 11 (Pause) 12 THE CLERK: There he is. 13 MR. MAYO: I'm -- I'm back, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right, so we're back on the 16 record. 17 MR. MAYO: And, Your Honor, it -- this may help for purposes of Thursday. So we are -- how do I say this? We're 18 willing to go by -- for purposes of time, Fred had a concern 19 in regards to time, and I respect that. 2.0 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 21 22 MR. MAYO: For purposes of custody, we're fo -we're willing to follow in terms of Paglini's recommendations 23 |

with some -- with some changes in terms of some of the -- the

timing issues. But part of his recommendation was concerns regarding the allegations made against David that he believes would have an effect on custody if they were established to be 3 true. So what I'm representing is that our questioning in 5 6 terms of information in Paglini's report, we're willing to go with it. But I do have questions for him. And I do have -need to establish evidence in regards to those issues. But it shouldn't -- it shouldn't take much time on Thursday, I believe, to do so if that helps with Fred's issue regarding 10 11 timing. THE COURT: Okay, wait a minute. Okay, so can I --12 can we go off the record and let me talk to Counsel --13 MR. MAYO: Sure. 14 THE COURT: -- please? 15 MR. PAGE: Yeah, let me send my client back to my 16 office. It's a ways --17 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 03:57:09 P.M.) 18 19 I do hereby certify that I have truly and 20 21 correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. 22 23

/s/Shellie A. Callaway

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 09/14/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

Shellie A. Callaway

TRANS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FILED

(C(0))

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DAVID PATRICK STUCKE, Plaintiff, CASE NO. D-18-580621-D vs. DEPT. F 12 CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE,) APPEAL NO. 82723 Defendant. (SEALED)

> BEFORE THE HONORABLE DENISE L. GENTILE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

> > TRANSCRIPT RE: NON-JURY TRIAL

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2020

22 23 24

1	APPEARAN(CES:			
2	II .	PLAINTIFF: THE PLAINTIFF:	DAVID PATRI VINCENT MAY	YO, ESQ.	
3 4			6252 South Boulevard, Las Vegas,	Suite 100	
5			(702) 222-4		
6		DEFENDANT: THE DEFENDANT:	CHRISTIE LE FRED PAGE,	ESQ.	
7			6930 South Cimarron Ros Suite 140 Las Vegas, NV 89113	Road,	
8			(702) 823-2		
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	IND	EX OF WI	TNES	SES	
2	PLAINTIFF'S	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	<u>WITNESSES</u> :				
4	John Paglini	8	94		
5	David Stucke	106	241		
6					
7	DEFENDANT'S				
8	WITNESSES:				
9	(None presented)				
10		* * * *	*		
11					
12	т ът			ш с	
13	<u></u>	DEX OF EX	птвт	1 5	
14	PLAINTIFF'S				
15	EXHIBITS:				ADMITTED
16	21 - Combined profit 37 and 39 - Profit a				129 183
17	69 - Facebook Messen 135 - PayPal records	ger screenshot	.1165		144
18				78	
19					
20	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:				
21	EAHIBIIS.				
22	(None presented)				
23					
24					
					_
		21-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 I REPORTING & TRANSCRIP		T (SEALED)) 303-7356	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

(PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 09:02:20 A.M.)

(THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS MULTIPLE INDISCERNIBLES DUE TO POOR RECORDING QUALITY)

THE CLERK: We're on the record.

THE COURT: Now we're on the record in the Stucke matter, case D-580621. JAVS is working now. So, Counsel, you want to state your appearances for the record?

MR. MAYO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vince Mayo, Bar number 8564, on behalf of the Plaintiff, David Stucke, who is present through BlueJeans.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PAGE: Good morning. Fred Page, Bar number 6080, on behalf of Defendant, Christie Stucke, who's present with me in my conference room.

THE COURT: Okay, so this is the time that's set for the second day of the parties' trial. Counsel, we're still in Plaintiff's case, right? So, Mr. Mayo?

MR. MAYO: Yes, Your Honor. Before we start, I wanted to disclose that I had spoken to Mr. Page yesterday. And he had informed me that he has a brief hearing at 10:00 o'clock in front of Judge Ritchie. And he had asked me if I

was willing to take a break so that he could conduct that hearing. 3 THE COURT: That's fine. MR. MAYO: Obviously, you know --4 5 THE COURT: That's fine. 6 MR. MAYO: Yeah, so I just wanted to let the Court know in terms of -- of that, especially since we have Dr. Paglini first. And we want to be able to, you know, go through his testimony as quickly as possible so that we can release him and -- and move on. 11 THE COURT: Right, but we --12 MR. PAGE: Also --THE COURT: -- might need to -- we might need to 13 14 take break during his testimony, though. So --15 MR. MAYO: That's what I was trying -- that's what I 16 wanted the Court to be aware of. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. PAGE: Judge Ritchie tends to be pretty quick. And the hearing is not one that lends itself to taking a lot 19 20 of time. 21 THE COURT: Oh, I've heard that before. Okay. MR. PAGE: I'm -- I'm going to drop some names here. 22 23 This Judge Ritchie. It's not Judge Moss.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: Okay. All right, well, that's not a

24

```
problem. We can acco -- we can accommodate that. And I hope
    Dr. Paglini understands we just -- we're all trying to juggle
    -- yeah, it's all we can do. So all right. With that,
    Mr. Mayo, what's up next?
 5
              MR. MAYO: Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: Dr. Paglini, right?
 7
              MR. MAYO: Correct.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay, so let's go ahead and swear in
 9
   Dr. Paglini.
10
              THE CLERK: Okay.
11
              You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to
    give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
12
13
    nothing but the truth, so help you God?
14
              THE WITNESS: I do.
15
              THE COURT: I can't hear him.
16
              THE CLERK: Can you --
17
             THE COURT: Did he speak?
             THE CLERK: Yeah, he said, I do.
18
             THE COURT: Okay.
19
20
             THE CLERK: Uh-huh
21
             THE COURT: All right. Well, whatever -- Dr.
   Paglini, I'm just going to ask you, whatever you're doing on
22
   your end, it's really soft. So I ca -- I could barely hear --
23
```

I couldn't hear you.

1	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, can you hear me now?
2	THE COURT: Yes, but it's not very loud, just so you
3	know.
4	THE WITNESS: Does this sound better?
5	THE COURT: Better.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll try to I'll try to
7	yell, okay?
8	THE COURT: Okay. I just really don't want you to
9	have to, like, yell throughout your entire testimony, but.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay, I'll do the best I can. If you
11	can't hear me, just te keep telling me to bring up the
12	volume.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: Normally, I'm the one with the hearing
15	problem, okay?
16	THE COURT: Well, I hear
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Vince really well and Mr. Page, but
19	you're you're just for whatever reason, the volume on
20	your speaker or whatever is just a little lower. So
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	THE COURT: it's all good.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Uh-huh.

All right, I just wanted to make sure I wasn't --

24

1	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
2	
3	THE COURT: All right. Sorry, Mr. Mayo, delete the
4	G.
5	MR. MAYO: No, so I I apologize. I've always
6	pronounced the G, so I learned something new today.
7	THE WITNESS: You can say whatever you want.
8	Paglini, Palini, I'm good. Don't worry
9	THE COURT: Hey, I
10	THE WITNESS: about it.
11	THE COURT: I have the same issue. People
12	mispronounce or pronounce mine many ways, too. So I I get
13	it.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: It's we Italians, I think that's
16	it's our names are ripe for that, right? So anyway.
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Mayo.
19	MR. MAYO: All right.
20	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
22	BY MR. MAYO:
23	Q And, Dr. Paglini, you had issued a report, a child
24	custody evaluation in this case, dated July 23rd, 2020.

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

and/or rape allegations for secondary gains. This would be an ultimate act of parental alienation. And the court would clearly give Mr. Stucke primary custody. What did you mean when you had -- when you used the word secondary gains?

A Well, secondary gains would be that someone created the allegations to get the children. So some people --

Q And --

A -- some people resort to throwing out allegations to win in court. And I'm not saying she did that. I'm just throwing that out there that there were -- this is a very difficult case. And there were a lot of different allegations out there.

- Q Understood.
- A Yes.
- Q And again, to be clear, you haven't provided an opinion on whether Christie's motivation for making the accusations was for purposes of obtaining leverage in the custody case or not; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q All right. Now, do you have experience in custody evaluations involving parental alienation?
 - A Yes.
- Q I want to talk about -- and I'm probably going to butcher his name -- Mr. Hentschl. Are you familiar with

1	Mr. Hentschl?
2	A Yes. The ex-husband of Christie?
3	Q Correct.
4	A Yes.
5	Q All right. Now, you had spoken to him in this case
6	related to your evaluation, right?
7	A That is correct, on May 22nd, 2020.
8	Q All right. Now, we had provided you filings relate
9	to the custody case between Christie and Mr. Hentschl
10	Mr. Hentschl; is that correct?
11	A Say what what did you provide?
12	Q We had provided you filings related to the custody
13	case between Christie and Mr. Hentschl; is that correct?
14	A I did receive a lot of information, I think, from
15	your client. That is correct.
16	Q Okay. And if you turn to page four of your report.
17	Let me know when you're there.
18	A I'm there.
19	Q All right. On the second half of the page, there's
20	a number of filings related to that custody case. Do you see
21	those?
22	THE COURT: Uh-oh.
23	BY MR. MAYO:
24	Q Sorry

1	THE COURT: If the
2	BY MR. MAYO:
3	Q (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
4	A (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
5	Q psychedelic on us.
6	A the second half of the page, I think there's som
7	related to John Hentschl and Christie Hentschl.
8	Q Correct, okay. Now, if you could all right.
9	Sorry about that. We had submitted to you, a number of
10	exhibits. I believe the one we had sent you was number 46 in
11	the documents related to his case. Can you turn to that
12	number 46?
13	A I'm sorry, where am I going?
14	Q It's the the electronic filing well,
15	electronic documents that we had submitted to you as part of
16	your evaluation. I believe it's listed as number 46.
17	A How do I get to that? I have no clue.
18	Q It's the ones on it's the ones that we had
19	provided you provided you as part of the evaluation.
20	Sorry, I'm
21	A (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
22	Q I'm getting a lot of feedback.
23	A I don't have that available. And I don't know how
24	to get that that right now with with the computer on. Can

```
you just highlight what it is?
 1
 2
              Okay, I can do that.
 3
              Thank you, sir.
         Α
 4
              All right.
 5
              MR. MAYO: So you're -- so you're -- then --
 6
              THE COURT: Hold on. Before you --
              MR. MAYO: -- it will be our --
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Hold on --
 9
              MR. MAYO: -- Exhibit --
              THE COURT: -- one second.
10
              MR. MAYO: -- 108.
11
12
              THE COURT: Hold on, hold on, hold on, hold on, Mr.
13
         Is there, like, a second person in the room with the
14
   same, like, sound on? I -- we kee -- we keep getting
15
   feedback. And I can't -- it feels like there's maybe -- I
16
   don't know. It's -- can you look and see what's going on on
17
   the side where the people are?
18
              MR. MAYO: It might be Mr. Page. I think he's got
19
   -- he and Christie are in the same room.
20
              THE COURT: If -- if -- if Christie and -- if
21
   Christie and Mr. Page are on at the --
22
             MR. PAGE: Yeah, she's --
23
             THE COURT: -- same time --
             MR. PAGE: -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
24
```

Q So this is a supplemental petition for modification of final judgment, filed on April 22, 20 -- 2004 in the case -- the custody case between John Hentschl and Christie -- at the time, Christie Hentschl.

A Yeah.

21

22

23

24

ТΘ

Q Okay. In the filing, number five -- and this is Mr. Hentschl's filing -- it states, there has been a substantial change of circumstances since the entry of the final judgment.

To wit, the former wife's behavior while exercising her visitation rights and during telephone contact with the minor children has been inappropriate, including but not limited to making disparaging, false, and/or hurtful statements with the intent to interfere with the former husband's parent-child relationship. The former wife has also made false and unsubstantiated reports to the Department of Child and Family Services, alleging that the former husband has been physically abusing the children.

A Okay.

Q All right. Now, would any -- would any accusation of Christie having made false representations to CPS regarding prior -- regarding abuse in a prior case be relevant to your evaluation in this case?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Now, I believe in your report, Mr. Hentschl had not reported this to you; is that correct?

A That's my understanding. When I interviewed him on May 22nd, I asked him numerous questions about his relationship with Christie, Christie's relationship with the

children. I asked him about -- I had heard that Mr. Stucke, I guess, had stated that she made sex abuse allegations against Mr. Hentschl. I asked him about that. And he stated no, it was not her, it was a -- someone who briefly worked for the family who had a history of making false allegations. So that cleared her on that part.

He did speak extensively of -- of Ms. Stucke abu -- not abusing, I'm sorry -- abandoning the children. And -- and then when he got sick, that Ms. Stucke came back in and cared for the children. And then when he was better, I think they fought in family court pertaining to resolution of child custody.

Q Did Mr. Hentschl in you -- in your report, you indicate that Mr. Hentschl stated he was reluctant to provide information because, quote, he didn't want to piss Christie off, end quote?

A Yeah, I -- I think what happened was, is he would constantly say, hey, look, I'm getting along well with her, I don't want to get her angry. And then he would go on and talk excessively about what he thought she did wrong in the marriage and how difficult it was for him. And so you know, it -- he -- he wasn't hesitant. That's for sure. He -- he verbalized everything was feeling. Sometimes he talked to his wife, making sure he gets -- he had dates correct.

Such a long report. And it was long ago, so I

24

Α

apologize.

- Q That's not a problem.
- A What part of the page? I'm sure it's the -- the front.
 - Q Should be in the very first paragraph.
 - A Repeat the question, please?
 - Q Sure. Let me get to the page here. All right.
- A Oh, I got it. I found it. This reflects she puts her own needs before her children's needs. Yes, what I was referring to in that, is that and her -- with her three children, she left the marriage abruptly. And at first, she said, if I'm not mistaken, to pursue a more polyamorous lifestyle. And then in a -- in a s -- latter interview, I think it was because of employment opportunities. And -- and then there was a time when she began her relationship with Mr. Stucke, if I'm not mistaken, her daughter was an adult by that point and had a lot of drug problems.

Her younger -- maybe not younger son, but Joel had a lot of behavioral and emotional problems and John was doing fairly well. And -- and my point was, I think in that, is that, you know, she left the family. She left her children to pursue her own needs. And you know, and that reflected her putting herself in front of her children's needs.

Q All right. And then if you look at the end of -- on

the same page, paragraph F, the very last line.

- A Oh, thank you. Okay.
- Q Sure.

A Yeah, and I -- I think what -- what I meant by that is that during the course of assessment, at times, I -- I thought that she would rationalize her behavior -- her angry outbursts or perhaps the statement about her daughter and the pool.

And I understand that things could be said out of frustration. But one of the things her prior therapist said in Florida that worked with her was that, you know, Christie was focused on kind of being a victim and not really seeing her part. And that's where my -- my recommendations come in therapy, that it -- you know, it's important to see what your part is of all this and what you can do different to de-escalate.

Q All right. Now, in terms of the actual allegations themselves, I believe you reported that there was a custody exchange between David and Christie on July 31st of 2020. Do you recall that?

A Well, my report was dated July 23rd. So would that have been May, then?

Q Sorry, I was -- I'm sorry. I'm referencing a July 30th -- the Ju -- the July 23rd report, an exchange on July

31st, 2019.

A Okay, I don't recall it. But is it on a certain page?

Q The -- the actual exchange during which the first representations were made by Sarah?

A Yeah, just in terms of my report -- in my report, where do I di -- ca -- talk about that?

Q One (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --

A But there were so many con -- there was numerous conflictual exchanges. And there was one, I think, in May that we wen -- I went into detail. And there was a video.

Q So I was -- I'm sorry. And so I was talking about 2019, when the first allegations of sexual abuse were made.

A Okay.

Q That's what I was talking about. So my -- my question to you was, there was an exchange on July 31st, during which the parties exchanged the children. Do you generally recall the parties discussing the -- that exchange?

A I think that's when Sarah discussed that -- she made a comment about her father. And if I'm not mistaken, that's when David was picking up the children and then this led eventually to Ms. Stucke contacting her attorney and then taking her daughter to a pediatrician; is that correct? Is that what --

Correct.

2

-- you're referring to? Okay.

3

Yes. 0

4

Yeah.

5

All right. So I believe that the actual words used by Sarah were, Daddy touched my pee-pee. Is that -- does that strike a bell?

7

8

That is -- that sounds accurate.

9

Okay. And you just said Sarah had taken -- or 0 sorry, Christie had taken Sarah to the doctor in August of

11

2019.

10

Thir --Α

13

12

Now, in your --

14

-- the 31st and then went to the pediatrician.

15

Correct. All right. Now, in your report on page

16

63, you -- you had stated that -- it's page 63, third

17

paragraph. That when the medical staff at the pediatrician's

18

office had greeted Sarah, that she had instantly told them

19

that her father had touched her pee-pee. Do you recall that?

20

Yeah, I think one of the -- the nurse practitioner,

21

perhaps made a comment of that, that it was said. And it's

22

practitioner invited Sarah, came in, and went back on the

actually page 64, and I had it underlined. The nurse

23 24

scale. She blurted out, quotation marks, my daddy touched my

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Okay. And you said that Sarah had easily interacted

24

with David; is that right?

A Yeah, I observed a father and two children at my office. And just to kind of go through that -- this will save you some time, answering your question, of course -- Father came in very prepared. You know, he had, like, a -- a bag for -- to change David Jr. And he had numerous educational toys.

He did a really nice job. He interacted very, very well with both children. Both children were very responsive to him. He set up appropriate boundaries, meaning when David Jr. would, like, get on my chair and maybe want to jump off, Dad was re -- right there and direct -- redirecting him.

When he wanted to open up my closet door, Dad's right there. He used really positive statements with both children. And more importantly, Sarah, she was close to her father. There was no apprehension, no disengagement. Ev -- everything seemed very natural and comfortable.

Q Okay. In your professional experience, is such behavior by a child that has allegedly been sexually or inappropriately abused by her father typical?

A Repeat the question again because it -- it kind of faded out a little. I was hearing some other noises.

Q That's fine. In your professional experience, is such behavior typically not witnessed in a child that is being sexually abused by a parent?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

A Well, I think we would expect that a child would be apprehensive and distressed. Maybe not all the time, but in -- in this scenario, I -- witnessing the child, the child was comfortable. Plus, I relied on Donna Wilburn's testor -- testimony, excuse me. And she had observed the child for maybe a little less than a year on multiple sessions. And you know, the child never verbalized any sexual abuse and seemed to have appro -- a healthy relationship with her father.

Q Now -- and I appreciate that. I was about to ask some questions regarding that. In your report, you stated that Ms. Wilburn noted Christie often appeared, quote, fixated, end quote, on David during the sessions; is that right?

A Say that again, sir.

Q In your report, you note that Ms. Wilburn reported that Christie was often fixated on David during the sessions.

A Oh, I -- that's what I didn't hear. I didn't hear Wilburn. Okay.

Q That's okay.

A Yeah, that -- yeah, what -- what Ms. Wur -- Wilburn stated was that, at times, you know, Christie would talk about the dynamics that are going on. And she would do so in front of Sarah. And that sometimes, the therapist would have to kind of help her try to keep it down and not verbalize these

I did on July 1st, 2020.

21

23

24

0

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

And she was the parties' marriage counselor?

Correct. Α

2

3

4

5

6

dynamics?

Α

Q

That's page --

It was --

7

-- brought up --

8

-- 50 --

9

-- I think --Α

10

-- 55 --Q

11

Α -- initially.

12

-- of your report. Q

13

It was brought up initially at the beginning of

Now, did Ms. Di Lauro convey to you that both David

and Christie told her that Christie has borderline personality

14

I said I -- in my report on page 55, I advised therapy.

15

Ms. Di Lauro that I looked in her notes, and there was references of Ms. Stucke having borderline personality

16 17

dynamics. Ms. Di Lauro reported, yes, that it was first told

18

to her by the couple. She recalled that Ms. Stucke gave

19

Mr. Stucke a book on how to deal with people with borderline

20

personality disorder. And that was conveyed in the first

21

session. She wanted Mr. Stucke to read the book.

22

23

24

she had seen those with Ms. Stucke. And Ms. Di Lauro acknowledged Ms. Stucke appeared highly emotionally reactive

Then I asked her about borderline themes, that if

```
to the dynamics, highly anxious, emotionally -- I already kind
    of said that. And that was -- and then a fear of abandonment
    and pursuing dynamics.
 3
 4
                   (COURT AND CLERK CONFER BRIEFLY)
    BY MR. MAYO:
 5
 6
              All right.
 7
              MR. MAYO: Are we good, Your Honor?
 8
              THE COURT: Uh-huh. I think so.
 9
              MR. MAYO: Oh, okay. Sorry, I --
              THE COURT: I just needed --
10
11
              MR. MAYO: -- thought I heard you --
              THE COURT: I was just --
12
13
              MR. MAYO: -- say something.
14
              THE COURT: -- talking to my clerk about something.
   You're fine.
15
16
             MR. MAYO: Oh.
17
              THE COURT: I'm listening. 1 just --
18
             MR. MAYO: My --
19
              THE COURT: -- needed --
20
             MR. MAYO: -- apologies.
21
              THE COURT: I need -- I'm -- I was -- it's a
   technical issue. No problem.
22
23
             MR. MAYO: All right.
24
                   (COURT AND CLERK CONFER BRIEFLY)
```

D-18-580621-D. STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

BY MR. MAYO:

2.1

Q Now, did Ms. Di Lauro also state that David struggled with issues Christie had regarding gambling?

A Yes.

Q And I believe in your report, did Ms. Di Lauro also convey to you that neither party had ever reported any sexual abuse allegations by either of them or as either child?

A There was nothing of that until I looked at her notes in the last session. And -- but it wasn't sexual abuse of -- of David towards Sarah. It's -- I -- on my page 56, first paragraph, during the session on December 17th, 2018, she now heard from Ms. Stucke that Mr. Stucke was sexually inappropriate.

And Ms. Di Lauro thought this was odd, as she had never heard something like this before and it was contrary to earlier experiences. Ms. Di Lauro felt that Ms. Stucke's disclosure of these painful sexual memories were suspect at the time, as she heard the opposite in previous sessions.

Now, one of the things I noted that was important to understand historically, that the alleged rape allegations were in late November of 2018. So if that was true, then Ms. Di Lauro wouldn't hear about it previous to that. And at this point, they're breaking up. Yeah, so that -- I think that answered your question.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

10

11

12 13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

you that following Sarah's statements about David to the pediatric staff -- sorry, strike that. According to your report, Christie -- when Christie took Sarah to a doc -- to the pediatrician's office, she had told Sarah to tell a doctor what happen -- tell a doctor what happened with Daddy; is that right?

A On page 64, I had underlined, on August 1st, 2019, Ms. Tiffany Keith spoke with medical and noted that Sarah did not say anything until after her mother said to Sarah, quotation marks, don't you want to tell the doctor something, end of quotation marks. Then Sarah state -- said Daddy touched her pee-pee. There were no -- there were no findings. And there was an error on that. It says not findings. Yeah.

Q Okay. And what do you mean when you said there were not findings?

A Apparently, there were no sexual findings at that time.

Q Oh.

A Well, the -- if noth -- yes.

Q Okay. Now, you had said on page 31, second paragraph, that in your opinion, this statement by Christie sounded like she was leading the child; is that right?

A Third paragraph?

Q Second paragraph, page 31.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

right.

- Q No, that's -- I and -- agree. One second.
- A Oh, I got -- I got it. I found it.
- Q Okay.

A On page 31, second paragraph, about two sentences at the end, I said -- well, she was asked what she told Sarah.

Ms. Stucke stated that she already had a scheduled check-up and took her in for a next-day visit. She told Sarah to tell the doctor what happened with Daddy. And then I put down, sounds leading.

I mean, I don't think a lot of people know this, but you know, you have to -- you have to be concerned about contamination. And parents, obviously, are doing the best they can when -- when they -- they hear something. And if you know, they're not -- they're not -- they're probably not aware of contamination.

And that would be that when you're talking your child, and then you're telling -- maybe you're saying something in the car with a child like, well, make sure you tell the doctor what you told me and -- or ma -- make sure you emphasize this point.

I'm not saying she did that. But what I'm saying is, is that she told Sarah to tell the doctor what happened with Daddy. And you know, it's -- it's -- it's important to

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

kind of have an open format when you're asking children questions about sexual abuse allegations.

And -- and then you know, if you're interjecting something, sometimes the -- the children may believe something happened because they heard it from a parent. And -- and so that's where I was like, sounds leading. I'm not saying it was done with malice. But you know, I mean, when I heard it, I had some concerns.

- Understood. Now, in your report, you said that Christie had spoken to Sarah regarding her comments at home and actually recorded the conversation; is that correct?
 - In my report?
 - Yeah, should be page 31, third paragraph. Q
 - Α Yes.
- Okay. And you have relayed that Christie had provided the recording to CPS; is that right?
 - Α Yes.
- Okay. And Christie herself had relayed to you that CPS had told her that they -- she wa -- they suspected that she was potentially coaching Sarah; is that right?
- She made a comment that, yeah, they thought that she was potentially coaching. Quotation marks, I never coached her at all, end of quotation marks.
 - 0 Okay.

page?

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

AND	RESUMED	AT	10:47	A.M.)

1

3 |

1

4

- 1

5

6

7

8

Ŭ

9

1 0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CLERK: We're on the record.

THE COURT: Okay, we're back on the record.

(COURT AND CLERK CONFER BRIEFLY)

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. MAYO:

Mr. Mayo?

Q Okay. All right, let me pull up where we left off.

Oh, we were talking about the exch -- the exchange, it was on

page 31, third paragraph. Did you find that, sir? Oh,

Dr. Paglini, you're -- you're on mute.

A Is that better? Am I on? Am --

Q Yes.

A -- I good? All right, thank you. I found what you're referring to on page 31. What's your question?

Q My question was -- you had reported that -- sorry.

You had said that during the exchange at McDonald's between

Christie and David, that Christie was recording their convers

-- re -- recording the exchange. You had said that when Sarah

got out of the vehicle, she stated to David that he touched

her pee-pee; is that correct?

A Yes.

All right. Based on your professional experience,

would you consider it suspicious that Christie knew to record a child exchange during which Sarah immediately made such a comment to her father?

A Well, I think --

MR. PAGE: Objection --

THE WITNESS: -- there was --

MR. PAGE: -- foundation.

THE WITNESS: -- a -- if I'm not mistaken -- and there was a little confusion because this has been quite some time. But I was looking at the break at my notes on March 3rd. And I asked her about the CPS -- kind of like the -- Sarah's disclosure. And she stated that Sarah disclosed initially to her, I think, in the bathroom that her father touched her pee-pee and stirred it up. I asked her if she asked questions. And Christie said -- Ms., you know, Stucke said, I asked what happened, where, and how. And she said you know, at this time, I didn't know that was the wrong thing to do.

I asked da -- did Daddy wipe your pee-pee, you know, to clean you. I asked where. She said it was underneath the bathing suit in the pool. There -- you know, she -- she was confused at this time. She told Sarah to let her know if it happens again, to tell her mom. That shar -- Sarah's smart and verbal.

The conversation was 15 minutes. It was calm. And she didn't want to scare her because she wants her to talk to her again. And then she called her girlfriend and -- to process what was going on because she was freaking out. And the girlfriend said call the attorney. And that's when she called it at -- Dawn Throne. And then that's where she was -- said go to the pediatrician. And I think it was the next day, the doctor talked to Sarah alone. And the pediatrician called CPS. And she did.

I said, what did you tell Sarah. I said, you already had a scheduled check-up. I took her in, next-day visit. I said to her, tell her what the -- happened with Daddy, the doctor will help you, tell her the truth. Sarah talked to the doctor. And then CPS reached out to her, advised her she had to bring -- I -- I think David still hadn't (indiscernible). And that's where the McDonald's visit comes in.

So she videotaped this. I mean, you can -- you can hypothesize because of the dynamics and what's kind of emerging. And then Sarah gets out of the vesicle and then -- the vehicle, excuse me -- and then says, you know, Daddy touched my pee-pee. And then at that point, she called CPS, sent the video to CPS.

She said something about -- there was a vid -- a

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 video in a bedroom. And now she looked like she was coaching. Quotation marks, I've never coached her at all. And she was up -- end of quotation marks -- she was upset during those -as she was processing those dynamics. Does that clarify?

- It does, thank you.
- Thank you. And I --Α
- All right.
- Α -- the break worked out well because I was able to find that.
- Now, you state in your report that Christie has issues with emotional dysfunction. What is emotional dysfunction?

Well, you know, it's -- let's kind of keep this in context. You know, emotional dysregulation. So looking at all the videos, there was -- you -- there's no doubt at times she was being goaded. You know, because you know, both of these people did something wrong.

And what I mean by that is, you know, I -- I can understand, you know, that Mr. Stucke wants to kind of get some of this on tape because of these dynamics of how she's responding because perhaps, there's a lot of times he didn't have it on tape.

But when he's -- when he's filming her, and she's feeding the baby and she -- she's holding Sarah and -- and --

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21 22

23

2.4

you know, sometimes he makes a comment, and she's screaming at him, and -- and then she gets up and maybe she throws a chair or she tries to strike him. There was a lot of -- there were several videos where, you know, she -- she clearly lost control. And she gets -- that's the emotional dysregulation part. Also kind of like, you know, saying things in front of the children, like with Sarah and with -- with Ms. Wilburn.

And -- and so that's the part where I want her to kind of work on that. Because you know, she'll say, yeah, I get triggered, you know, by David. And it inflicts intense issues of mistrust and -- and you know, emotional hurt. But nevertheless, you respond to that. And you know, you're no -you know, you have to regular yourself better in front of the children.

Now, you state your report that Christie was upset with David over David allegedly having an affair on her; is that right?

Α Correct.

Okay. And this was despite the fact that Christie had wanted a -- a poly-relationship -- an open marriage with David; is that right?

Α Yes. You know, that -- that is a complicated dynamic. When you listen to Ms. Stucke, she can explain this exceptionally well, about what the rules are and how to go

about things. And as the therapist, Ms. Di Lauro stated, that you know, this is the first time that Mr. Stucke was in a polyamorous relationship. And you know, there are clearly boundary issues. And he was trying to nega -- navigate this. And you know, for me, it's, you know, you're thinking that people are establishing, you know, connections.

You know, and it's not a surprise that -- that this can go wrong many ways, I'm sure. And sometimes it works out if everyone can maintain healthy boundaries. I would be surprised if that -- you know, I mean, I just -- I just think that might be the -- I think it just might be rather difficult. And so they -- they had -- she had severe trust issues with him.

She was emotionally hurt. At times, she was willing to forgive him if they move forward. As the notes reflect in therapy, although he loved her and even at the end when all these things were going on, he's -- he still wanted to be with her. But then I think he got to the point where, you know, it just -- it was just getting worse and worse. You know, the -- the anger and the -- the conflict.

- Q All right. Now, you spoke to a Ms. Mount. Who is Ms. Mount?
 - A I don't know. Who is Ms. Mount?
 - Q Was she a therap -- therapist for Christie in

```
Florida?
 2
              Oh, okay. What page are you on?
 3
              Let me get to it. It should be page --
              Or her --
 4
         Α
 5
              -- fifty-one.
 6
              -- current therapist?
         Α
 7
              No, no, no, a prior therapist. It -- page 51.
         Q
              Page 51? I don't have that. You mean Patsy Evans
 8
         Α
 9
    (ph)?
              No, sorry, page 50. Sarah Mount?
10
         Q
11
              Oh, Sarah Mount.
12
              Yes.
13
         Α
              That was a current therapist of Ms. Stucke.
14
              Yes, my -- my apologies, her current therapist.
         0
15
         Α
              Okay, so what are your questions?
              Did Ms. Mount convey to you that Christie had -- has
16
    extreme fears of losing her children?
17
18
              I'd have to read this again.
19
              Page 51, third paragraph.
20
         (Pause)
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
22
   BY MR. MAYO:
23
              Okay.
         Q
24
         Α
              Secondary to estranged husband's allegations.
```

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Q Now, in your professional experience, have you ever witnessed a parent make claims of misconduct by the other parent in order to deflect from their own issues?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- A I have.
- Q Is this generally, more likely with a parent who's afraid of losing custody of their children?
 - A Well, I think --
 - Q Generally speaking.

A I think what -- what this is referring to is that, you know, the -- the therapist is saying that you know, Ms. Stucke was, you know, really engaged in therapy and doing everything well. And by this time, you know, the therapist's position was that Mr. Stucke was an abusive person because he's (sic) probably hearing that information that it's more verbal and emotional and manipulative abuse than physical.

But there was the rape and -- alleged rape, excuse me.

And so she's at a point now where she's in a custody battle. And her husband is, you know, kind of claiming, you know, that she has all these issues. And she's afraid of losing the children. So for me, that's kind of -- that's normal.

Q But my question is, in terms of someone who is

making claims of misconduct towards the other parent, is it more likely in someone who has a -- has a pronounced fear of losing custody?

A Right. You're echoing. And I -- I think I understand the question. I -- I think it depends. Every -- every situation, there's a context. And so I don't say -- I don't know if it's most likely or less likely. I just think that in -- in this situation, you know, she expressed concerns because of the dynamics.

- Q I'm sorry, who expressed concerns?
- A Ms. Stucke to her therapist about --
- Q Okay.

A -- about potentially losing the kids because of everything that's happening.

Q Okay.

A I mean, she was aware that -- you know, she thought she had concerns her daughter was sexually abused. And -- and she had concerns because of perhaps a certain dynamic with Mr. Stucke. And you know, you have three hypothes -- a few hypotheses. One hypothesis is the sexual abuse occurred. The second hypothesis is the sexual abuse didn't occur. And if didn't occur, perhaps, sometimes you have an overly concerned mother who is misreading -- or parent who is misreading things. Or you may have a parent who is creating false

4

5

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

allegations for secondary gains. Those are some of the hypotheses. And --

0 Okay.

-- and with -- with -- with Ms. Stucke, she felt as if she wasn't being heard. She thought CPS was conveniently placing this in a divorce or custody scenario and, therefore, discounting what's -- what's happening. And -- and she still -- she still believed her daughter. Or she believed her daughter -- not still believed, but she believed her daughter.

Okay. Now, in your professional experience, have Q. you ever witnessed a parent make claims of misconduct against the other parent out of anger towards them?

Yes.

Okay. Now, in terms of Christie's anger issues, you've been concerned enough where -- to the point where you've recommended that Christie undergo an exten -- extensive anger management class; is that right?

Yes. You know, let me -- let me clarify this. You know, the goal here is that, you know, with my recommendations -- you know, my -- my -- I -- I give a report to the judge. It's -- it's a -- an understanding of what's going on. And then things change by the time I give my report to now, if -if they have changed. And it's something for the judge to launch from. So I did recommend anger management because I

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

wanted her to be able to exhibit better self-control, especially when she gets upset, triggered, whatever else it is.

Because you know, her part -- you know, they both have a responsibility here. And you know, she was reacting to him (indiscernible). And then there was the other, you know, time where she -- I think it was 2017, where he was nude. And there's a video of the -- an argument and he runs into the child's room -- I think Sarah's room. And she's right after him. And she's going after him. And he holds his daughter, and he trips. And then she's on him. And then he gets on her.

And you know, all this is played out in front of the child, right? And -- and she says oh, you know, he was abusive to me because he strangled me. Well, to me, it looked like some -- he was def -- he was kind of defending himself. And I don't -- and -- and it was all very, very quick. But he had marks on him.

And so you know, there was this -- there was this claim that hey, you know, this guy's an abusive guy and then --- but you know, she's creating trauma, too. And so they both have a responsibility in this. And -- and I wanted to make sure she addressed those components of her pa -- her issues. And that's why I made the recommendation, if that

Q Okay. Now, are you aware of Sarah having verbalized any inappropriate behavior by David to anyone when it's not been in Christie's presence?

A Yes. Okay, so there was a time that Mr. Stucke, I think was filming, I guess -- I -- I can't recall if he was in a car or something like that. And -- and Sarah spontaneously said, you know, Mom touched my pee-pee. Then you know, she said that several times. And he said, no, that -- she didn't do that. And then she said, you -- you know, you touched my pee-pee. And then I think she made a reference to her brother touching her. And it -- that was a difficult one to understand. I had to listen to it like eight or nine times. And I think that was my interpretation. So -- so yes, there was that emergence of that conversation without her being present.

Q You -- but do you know if she's ever conveyed that David has touched her in any inappropriate way to any investigating or, you know, reporting authorities when Christie has not been present?

A Okay. You -- say that again, please. I -- I (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --

Q Are you aware of Sarah -- are you aware of Sarah stating that David had touched her in any inappropriate way to

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2.4

any investigating authority when Christie has not been present?

My impression with CPS was that she was very, very young and did not disclose. My impression with -- with the therapist that -- Ms. Donna Wilburn is that she perhaps indirectly or kind of just tried to bring it up or brought it up. And she said that Sarah never disclosed that in, I guess, somewhat less than a year of treatment. Are you there?

- I am, sorry.
- Α That's okay. Sorry.
- Sorry, my computer's going a little slow. trying to pull it up. Now, you had -- you state in your report that you asked Christie in May of this year if she believes Sarah had been sexually abused by Mr. Stucke; is that right?
 - Yes. A
- Okay. And Christie stated that, yes, she believed that he had; is that right?
- I -- I think that's where she was leaning. she believed her daughter. And so she didn't -- that's -that's, you know, what her thought patterns were at the time. She still had concern --
- All right. So -- so despite CPS, the doctors, the police, Sarah's counselor, even yourself saying that they

couldn't find any evidence of sexual abuse, Christie's still claiming that David had sexually abused Sarah. Is that your understanding?

A Well, it's -- it -- she -- she has concerns. All right? So I -- I -- you know, listening to --

Q Well, no, but she says -- she says that David -- she says -- you -- you report that she said, yes, David did sexually abuse her.

A She --

Q That's --

A -- she had --

Q -- different than having concerns; is that right?

A Well, I mean -- I guess they would be almost the same, that you know, it's -- it's -- you know, are you going to not believe your daughter. And then your daughter is like, you know, very young to make a disclosure. And -- and that, I think, confounds the variables. The var -- you know, the -- the dynamics. And so you know, she still believed that that was -- that was something she was very concerned about.

Q All right. Now, so you had said -- you previously testified that scenarios were -- there was some kind of sexual -- inappropriate sexual contact. There wasn't. And if there wasn't, Christie was either overly concerned, or Christie had a secondary motive; is that -- is that correct?

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Well, you could have that hypothesis in this scenario. I mean, look, I mean your -- the -- your scenarios are -- and I'm not saying this -- this is true. Your scenario is that the child could have been sexually abused and been able to verbalize it, okay, because of it -- because of the age. The child -- the child wasn't sexually abused. And -- and like I said, if you're an overly concerned mother, you may still want to protect your child. And then your third hypothesis could be that, you know, a -- a mother or a parent could have secondary gains. So yes.

Q Okay. And you would agree, there's a difference between being overly concerned and systemically pushing an agenda. Is that fair to say?

A Yes. It's sometimes difficult to decipher, but yes.

Q Okay. Do you believe that Christie's position, in your words, that she firmly -- quote, firmly, unquote believes David sexually abused Sarah, makes sense in light of the lack of evidence to the contrary -- I mean --

A What page --

Q -- lack of --

A -- did I --

Q -- evidence?

A What page did I say that at?

Q Let's see. I believe it's in the -- hold on.

-- it's sensible for Christie to believe that David did

24

1 sexually abuse Sarah, in light of -- especially when the child is three years of age -- in light of the total, you know, evidence to the contrary. 4 MR. PAGE: Objection, incomplete hypothetical. 5 THE COURT: Overruled. What was your -- what was your objection again? 6 7 MR. PAGE: Incomplete hypothetical. He -- he gave some factors -- if, if, if, would you then believe X. 8 9 MR. MAYO: Right, which --10 THE COURT: Well --11 MR. MAYO: -- I'm allowed to with an expert in regards to their opinion. 12 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I -- I don't -- I still 13 14 couldn't understand what the objection was. But yeah, I mean, 15 all he's saying is even with everything, is it still reasonable for her to believe what she believed. I think 16 17 that's --MR. MAYO: Correct 18 19 THE COURT: -- not a bunch of ifs, but it's one. So 20 -- but yeah, he -- he'll -- he can testify to that. Overruled. 21 22 THE WITNESS: Okay, please restate. BY MR. MAYO: 23

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

All right. Would you agree that, in the absence of

24

any logical or sensible explanation for Christie's claim that she believes David sexually abused Sarah, is your position -- essentially, does her position make sense? Is her position sensible?

A Well, you know, I've been doing this for 25 years. And I've dealt with parents who were concerned about sexual abuse allegations. And you know, they you have the -- like I said, the overly concerned person, and then you have the person who may be pathological.

And my job was to kind of outline what I understood to be true. I didn't put it in my report that -- you know, that she is making this up -- pathologically making this up. So you know, I'm -- I'm put -- I'm putting out the dynamics for Court's consideration on this.

You know, I -- I -- look, I mean, the reality is, is that if -- if the child was sexually abused, then we have to protect the child. And I -- and I recognize were the evidence is leaning. And if the child was not sexually abused and if this was created for secondary gains, that's pathological.

But I'm not here to say that that's what's happening, okay?

I'm not going to back that up. That's, I think, for the Court's consideration on that. And that you could sometimes have both parents who are, you know -- you know, they -- they're going to believe their child. And -- and the

child's saying different things.

You know, I mean, if -- you know, the child's also saying Mom touched her pee-pee and then Dad touched her pee-pee. So you know, there's -- there's conflicting dynamics here. And I -- I can't say that came from -- you know, originally from -- I can't say this was created or not, if that makes sense.

Q It -- it does. My -- my -- I guess my point is, if you have a three-year-old who makes a statement like she did. And then there's -- so you have these investigating authorities, and they're all essentially saying there's no evidence substantiating it. And you have a child herself who is making, as you've stated, spontaneous representations where she's saying Mom touched her pee-pee, you know, and she's saying her brother touch her pee-pee.

To have a mother to state essentially that she firmly believes that the father sexually abused that three-year-old child when there is no ever -- there's never any sexual abuse claims prior to divorce, is that sens -- is that sensible to you in light of the circumstances?

A And -- and -- and I have a unique version of the world because I deal with cus -- with custody cases. And -- and I've seen a lot of different things. And I can see reasonable mothers at times -- I wouldn't say mothers, but

) L

3

4

_

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

1 ~

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

parents -- still believing something in -- in the face of evidence.

You know, and it's -- I -- I'm not going to -you know, I hear what you're saying. I don't have a
conclusion for this. If -- if -- if I believed -- if I -- if
my conclusion in my report was that she made this up for
secondary gains, I wouldn't have made my recommendations,
then.

Q But I mean, you didn't -- but you didn't -- you know, you -- you said that -- you didn't opine on whether or not you believed it was true or not; is that correct?

A I don't think -- I don't -- I did not opine. I saw where the evidence was leaning. You have to -- you also have to remember and -- and once again, I'm not suggesting this occurred. But if it's -- it's -- it's difficult for like a two or three-year-old to report things accurately.

And then if you -- if -- contamination would be you know, how did the child disclose, what questions were asked, and at what point did the DFS become involved or CPS, and you know, how many people talked the child before this occurred. And every time someone talks to the child, things can change, you know, due to susceptibility. So you have to be careful with these dynamics. It's -- it's very complex, as we all can see.

Q Okay. Now, I had provided you a -- an exhibit and it's listed on page 5 of your report. It's called Defendant's response to opposition and countermotion with added countermotions.

A Okay.

Q It's filed -- it's a filing in this case, filed June 22, 2020.

A Okay.

Q Now, in it, da -- now, this is -- again, this is the end of June. Christie requests from the Court that David have limited supervised visitation going forward, with Christie acting as primary residential parent until such time as Sarah is of an older age, and the Court will take action and take seriously any abuse claims in the event that the child makes the abuse claims in the future.

So Christie's essentially saying I want primary custody in the event that something happens in the future.

Does that affect your -- your answer -- your previous answer, in regards to the reasonableness of her belief?

A Well, I think -- you know, initially, I think she wanted shared custody. And then I think there was a point where she had some more concerns. And you know, does -- does it change -- I mean, from her perspective, she's -- she has concerns about David. I don't -- I don't think necessar -- I

THE COURT: Yep.

necessarily share those concerns, based on the evidence. But that's where she's at. And -- and so the question is, is that, you know -- you know, how is this going to be de -- played out from this point on. And so no, I -- I read a lot of motions with a lot of different things in it. So yeah, so that's where she's at in the summer of 2020.

Q All right. Now, if -- if Christie had told anyone that David is a pedophile, would that have effect in regards to your recommendations?

A Well, I mean, I don't know what the context is. It depends on -- you know, I think that would be -- I know she believed that her -- her daughter was sexually abused. I would have concerns about any parent spreading information because we don't have any conclusions. I understand that she would be highly concerned. But I think the cons -- I would -- I would have concerns by that statement. I don't know if it would change my conclusions because I'd have to understand it from her perspective and what -- who it was said to.

Q And just so you know, my -- my hypothetical is related to the allegation between the -- by her in terms of David and -- and Sarah. Another question. If --

MR. MAYO: And this is -- Your Honor, this is based on your ruling from --

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. MAYO: -- November 6th.

2

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

BY MR. MAYO:

So, Dr. Paglini, if there was a video of Sarah telling Ms. Jenkins (ph) -- and Ms. Jenkins is David's significant other, who you had interviewed. If Sarah had told Ms. Jenkins, while she was crying, that she has secrets with her mother that -- that she doesn't like to have, would that

8

be a concern to you?

10

I would want to know about that.

11

MR. MAYO: All right. Your Honor, based on Dr. Paglini's answer, I request to play the -- the video.

12 13

believe it's only about two minutes long.

14

MR. PAGE: I -- I would argue that it's -- the dangers of undue prejudice are outweighed by its potential of

15 16

probative value, if any. Therefore, it wouldn't be relevant.

17

Also --

18

THE COURT: I quess it --

19

MR. PAGE: -- there's no context for --

20

THE COURT: My question -- can I talk? Let me ask

21

Dr. Paglini something. Okay. So if you heard those words

22

come out of the child's mouth in a video and you want to know

23

about it, what would -- what would it -- how would it change

anything? And I guess, that was my -- my point with Mr. Mayo

24

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

when I made that -- when I made that ruling. Like, what would it change?

THE WITNESS: Well, I -- I'd want to know the context. Like, what was the date of this and what was -- were there leading questions? How long were they talking to the child beforehand? Was this something that was spontaneous from the child, or was this something that they talked to the child, like, 10 or 15 minutes beforehand? And what would it - you know, what are the secretes?

THE COURT: Right, and --

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: I don't --

THE COURT: -- and that's --

THE WITNESS: -- know. Are --

THE COURT: -- the thing.

THE WITNESS: Are the secrets --

THE COURT: Right. So we don't know what the secrets are, she just has secrets. So the video doesn't -- and I -- I'm -- again, I'm just kind of speaking as I understand it, that the video doesn't tell you the secrets, the video just says I have some secrets I don't like keeping. And so --

MR. PAGE: Correct.

THE COURT: -- whatever that means. And I mean, a part of the issue was, Dr. Paglini, this came up after your

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

5

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

report was finished and after discovery was closed. And there's forever constant changing facts, right? We -- we could -- we could continue to discovery to be open for years and years.

Because obviously, something will always happen, espe -- between these two, something's always going on. And I did not allow you to update your report with that video having been viewed because my thought was what -- just because she said this, does it change your opinion. And we could talk to you about it here.

But at the end of the day, you wouldn't have been able to then keep going. Okay, so now I need to interview stepmom, and I need to interview the child again, and I need to know all the context. We wouldn't have had the opportunity to do that. So just --

MR. PAGE: Correct.

THE COURT: -- with that video alone, what would it do?

THE WITNESS: I -- I -- it would be hard for me to come to a conclusion because I would have to do more evaluation. I don't --

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what it -- what it means and -- and how it was done. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

2

THE WITNESS: That make sense?

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Yeah, absolutely. That was one of my concerns. I mean, it was like, if we -- if we give the video to you and say, okay, now view the video and we'll have you update your report, then that meant that right in the middle of our trial, which we'd already started, that you would have to do more discovery. And I don't know whether -- I mean, I -- I didn't know whether it would change your opinion, but let's just lear -- say that you learned that she was keeping secrets that Mom had her keep. How would that change anything?

THE WITNESS: I don't -- it wouldn't change anything un -- unless I knew what the secrets were.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And -- and if -- were -- were the secrets connected to alleged sexual abuse? You know, this is -- this is a child who also said --- and Dad recorded it, and it seemed spontaneous -- that Mommy touches my pee-pee, Daddy touches my pee-pee, and I think her brother touched her.

So if we take off child at its word, does it mean everyone's sexually abusing the child? So does Mother -- is Mother on supervised visits, is Dad on supervised visits if we're taking that at -- at its word? What's going on here?

C

Clearly, there's a lot of confusion for the child.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

THE WITNESS: And the child witnessed ex -- severe arguments and I would even say domestic violence. And -- and you know, it's -- it's been very distressing. And -- and whether the child's in a -- a bond loyalty or not, you know, that's -- that's something that -- I mean, that's what the therapist is working with her, I -- I assume. Hopefully, she's still in therapy.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I don't -- I don't have a problem with you playing the video. But I don't think that it would change much. I mean, you can play it, Mr. Mayo, for the record. I don't -- mister -- Mr. Page, I -- I hear your -- I hear your objection. But again, based on what Dr. Paglini's saying, I don't know that it would change anything. I mean, if you want -- if you really think it's necessary, Mr. Mayo, you know, you said how long is it?

MR. MAYO: Actually, I'm looking right now. I believe it's -- I thought it was just under two minutes. It's two minutes and 38 seconds, so about two-and-a-half minutes.

MR. PAGE: I would say it's un -- unwise use of judicial resources since Your Honor's already concluded or stated that it's not going to change anything. Since it's --

THE COURT: Well, I haven't --

```
MR. PAGE: -- not going to change anything --
 1
              THE COURT: I didn't make a --
 2
 3
             MR. PAGE: -- for his --
              THE COURT: -- conclusion. I said I don't know if
 4
 5
   it'll change anything. Dr. Paglini's saying I would want to
   know more, but.
 6
 7
             MR. PAGE: Since that more is not available to him,
   what's the point?
8
9
              THE COURT: I don't know. Well, I quess --
10
             MR. PAGE: He's just trying --
             THE COURT: -- maybe I --
11
12
             MR. PAGE: He's grasping --
             THE COURT: -- would be able to find out --
13
14
             MR. PAGE: -- at straws at this point.
15
             THE COURT: -- more from Mom or -- or Dad. Pardon?
             MR. PAGE: It's -- it's as though he's -- he's
16
17
   grasping at straws. We -- you know, he wants to have just one
18
   more thing to try and change his opinion. And we had that
19
   back on September 14th. We had a half-hour conference with
20
   you where we thought, okay, well, we'll stipulate to -- to
21
   Dr. Paglini's report, we'll have joint physical custody. Then
22
   he changes his mind. And we just keep going and going and
23
   going.
```

THE COURT: Yeah, I --

24

would let you play it, but I just -- again, I don't know what

24

-- what it -- the pro -- like Mr. Page says, what's the
probative value? If Dr. Paglini can't make any further
findings and the Court hears the video, I don't under -- I
don't know with all of the other information that I have, that

that would be the tipping point.

It doesn't sound like it, especially when, you know, Dr. Paglini's done, you know, 60-some-odd pages of, you know, investigation and has all the collaterals and all the therapists and everybody involved that have come forward and said what they believe to be the case. So I -- I get -- I don't think that it's necessary. So I'm changing my mind. I'm going to keep you from playing -- playing the video. I've heard enough. You made your offer of proof. And we've heard Dr. Paglini talk about it, so.

MR. MAYO: All right. And I --

THE COURT: All right. But --

MR. MAYO: -- would -- I would simply add that
Ms. Jenkins, you know, actually did speak to the child
directly. And we had asked for Ms. Jenkins to be allowed to
testify. Your Honor had ruled against our request. And that
she would have some additional information to provide, but I
understand Your Honor has --

THE COURT: I can only --

MR. MAYO: -- said that she cannot.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

10

1112

13

1415

1617

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Look, if we have rules to follow. And I understand. But I mean, look, the -- as much time and effort that's been spent trying to get to the bottom of this, I -- I I ju -- and again, we have deadlines and time frames and -- and if I continue to allow one more thing in and one more -- we -- like I said, this trial will go on for five years because there's always something new happening. There's always going to be a new conversation, a new video, a new argument. And I -- we've got to finish this case, guys.

MR. MAYO: Understood.

THE COURT: So --

MR. MAYO: All right.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. MAYO:

Q So, Dr. Paglini, I -- I want to quickly move through some questions I have regarding the -- the rape allegations as they are related to the custody matter, as you stated on page 72 of your report. So you had -- now, I -- and I only bring -- I'm bringing this up only because I believe it has a light in regards to the circumstances. You had stated that Christie is involved in and enjoys a -- a BDSM alternative lifestyle; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And that includes a liberal sexual

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

relationship that she's had with David and other individuals that includes role-playing and, as David testified, pretend rape?

A Yes, there's pretend rape and also pretend -- kind of daddy/little girl sexual play. So yeah, there -- there's a lot of different sexual role playing for both of them.

Q All right. And is it your understanding that this is -- this role playing was something that David became involved in after he started dating and married Christie?

A That's my assumption. I'm not -- I didn't really explore if he was doing this beforehand.

Q Okay.

A But -- but based on what the therapist stated, that this was all new to him, I assumed that.

Q Okay. Now, Christie had reported to you that she had told David that he could have sex with her, even when she was asleep, unless she was sick; is that right?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Now, you report that on November 26th, Christie was angry at David and accused him of cheating on her; is that right?

A Yes.

Q All right. And there was actually an audio file that we had provided you, during which Christie was yelling at

David, alleging that he was cheating on her. Does that --1 that strike a bell? I -- it doesn't strike a bell because I had so many 3 Α audio files I listened to that -- that -- where they were screaming at -- or she was screaming at him. So I -- I can't recall on November 26th what happened at this point. 6 7 Okay. In terms of your -- sorry, let's see. Here we go. On -- I believe -- I'm citing to the file you have on 8 page 13. It was from November 26th, 2018. 10 (Pause) 11 THE WITNESS: I'm reviewing it now. 12 ∥ BY MR. MAYO: 13 O Sure. 14 (Pause) THE WITNESS: Okay, what's your question? 15 BY MR. MAYO: 16 17 Well, in the -- I think -- well, my question was that there was a recording during which Christie was yelling 19 at David and accusing him of being a cheater. 20 Α Yes. Is that correct? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. 0 24 Α Yes.

But then, you know, there was emotional attachments developing. And she became insecure. And -- and then there

22

23

24

pregnant.

S

was conflict. And her point was, is he was being unfaithful. And this escalated her.

Q Okay. Now, that was on -- we talked about the video on the 26th. On the 27th, you had stated that David had given Christie divorce papers.

- A Yeah, I --
- Q Now, you sa --
- A -- don't recall the date, but. So the video was Nove -- oh, November 26th, and the 27th was divorce papers. Okay.
- Q Correct. And now, you -- now -- then that -- that night, Christie had reported to you that David had allegedly raped her; is that right?

A Apparently, David wanted to have sex with her. She had stated that in the past, he was allowed to have sex with her if she was sleeping, just as long as she wasn't sick. She had fo -- informed him no. He still had sex with her. She was very distraught that he had sex with her. She stated he -- she was raped. And I think the following day, she went to a -- a clinic. I don't -- I can't remember the name of it -- a rape clinic or something. And she claimed that afterwards, on occasions, they had conversations. And he kind of apologized for his behavior.

Conversely, Mr. Stucke's point was, first of all, he

MR. MAYO: Is this a relevancy argument?

24

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. PAGE: Yes.

MR. MAYO: Okay. Well, it was a little bit -- it was a little bit narrative, so I just wanted to get down to what we were actually talking about.

MR. PAGE: I know.

MR. MAYO: It's an issue -- it goes towards the -the alienation, Your Honor. Dr. Paglini has stated in his
report that if the allegations of rape were false, that it
would go towards, first of all, Christie's tendency to make a
statement that would have the effect of, you know, limiting
David's contact with the child. Under Nevada Law, if there's
been domestic violence by a party against the other party,
that it could create a presumption in regards to fitness
issues.

And therefore, our concern is this ties in -- our -our belief is that Christie firmly believed or un -understood that there was no -- there was not any real
evidence of abuse by David towards Sarah. And that that was
part -- she had -- she had push -- she had pushed that issue
both in terms of a fear of a losing her child as well as anger
at David for not -- for wanting to divorce her when she begged
him several times, not to divorce her, to stay with him. And
that she had pushed that agenda as a defensive effort.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

The same thing applies to the rape claim. That after he -- she got -- files divorce, she filed for TPO, not really addressing the rape issue. Then -- then the next day, she files another TPO. And this time, she says she's raped. And then you have the -- you have the agenda in regards to this claim as well as her telling David's mother, which we'll get into the next month, that -- as Dr. Paglini saw, that if David you know, doesn't divorce her, that she'll drop her criminal claims and they can move on.

This is part of the -- this is part of the -- the men -- the mentality -- in our opinion, the bad judgment that her counselor had stated to Dr. Paglini, that she, when it -- when she gets emotionally distressed, she lashes out. And when she does it, she does it in an intentional and at times reckless manner.

THE COURT: Okay, so what --

MR. MAYO: And it --

THE COURT: -- are you trying --

MR. MAYO: -- affects her --

THE COURT: -- to get out of --

MR. MAYO: -- ability to parent.

THE COURT: -- him -- what are you trying to get out

of Dr. Paglini in this regard?

MR. MAYO: I'm trying to address the issue in

-- of rape; is that right?

24

1 Α That's my impression, yes. 2 Okay. Q 3 And what's the question? 4 Now, you had -- in your report, you had said that on November 29th, Christie had sent text messages to David asking him to have sex with her; is that right? 6 7 Α What page was that? 8 Page --0 9 Α Is that some --10 Page 10, paragraph four. Sorry to go so slow on this. It's just that there's 11 so much information. 12 13 No, it's okay. I understand. I mean, we could review it and review it. And 14 15 you're still not going to re -- recall everything. THE COURT: Counsel, are we stipulating --16 17 MR. MAYO: Yes? 18 THE COURT: -- to admit this report? 19 MR. MAYO: I -- I --20 MR. PAGE: Yes. 21 MR. MAYO: -- believe so. I thought we had already 22 done that at the --THE COURT: I can't remember. 23 24 MR. MAYO: -- the first trial. But if not --

THE COURT: No, I don't think so. Unh-unh. It's 1 not been --3 MR. MAYO: Okay. 4 THE COURT: -- admitted. Mr. Page, you don't have any objection? 5 6 MR. PAGE: No objection. 7 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 207 ADMITTED) THE COURT: Okay. Who's -- who's got it? I -- I 8 don't -- I don't have it front of me. Who's got it in their exhibit list? 10 THE CLERK: Plaintiff. 11 MR. MAYO: You know, because it's a confidential 12 13 | report, we didn't --THE COURT: I understand that --14 15 MR. MAYO: -- include it in the --16 THE COURT: -- but I still have to -- we're going to 17 make it confiden -- keep it confidential. But it still needs to be labeled. It's -- it'll be sealed. 18 19 MR. MAYO: Okay. 20 THE CLERK: So it's the one they've been talking about this whole time? 21 22 MR. MAYO: No, I was -- I was simply pointing at --23 I wanted to address -- notify the Court that I didn't have it as an -- as an exhibit. 24

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay, that's fine.
 2
              MR. MAYO: Yeah.
              THE COURT: We'll make it one.
 3
 4
              MR. MAYO: I mean, I could -- I could always provide
 5
    -- I -- I'm assuming we could both provide you a copy of it.
              THE COURT: No, no, I have it.
 6
 7
              MR. MAYO: Yeah.
              THE COURT: I have it. I just -- because, in these
 8
    trials they -- you know, it's not evidence until it's
    admitted. You're talking about it --
10
              MR. MAYO: Right.
11
12
              THE COURT: -- and you're allowed to do that and
   whatever. But I'm -- I really prefer to have it admitted so
13
   that I -- I can go along with you. But I don't -- wasn't sure
14
15
   if there was going to be any objection to it. So --
              MR. MAYO: I see. And I've --
16
17
              THE COURT: -- with that --
              MR. MAYO: -- and I've got about --
18
19
              THE COURT: -- there isn't.
20
              MR. MAYO: I've only got about 10 more minutes of
   questions, Your Honor, so I -- I --
21
22
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. MAYO: -- can move through --
23
24
              THE COURT: All right.
```

allege any rape or inappropriate sexual contact between David 1 and Christie. 3 I don't recall because I don't have any text message in front of me. Okay. But the text message at -- do you remember -in terms of your report, your report doesn't indicate that there was anything in the text message indicating that there had been any kind of rape, right? I don't recall that say --9 10 Q Okay. 11 -- that statement, but she did say that she wanted to have sex in it. And so you would --13 Okay. 14 -- assume that, yeah. 15 Now, your report also indicated Christie attended a Q rope class, essentially a -- a style of -- a form of BDSM, on 16 17 November 30th with David during which they had sex; is that right? That's page --18 That's what --19 20 -- ten. 0 2.1 -- what my report says. Α 22 Right. And your report indicates that Christie had 23 made numerous attempts --

24

Α

Hang on here. This -- this is what Mr. Stucke is

Q Correct.

A Okay, just -- just so we're clear on that. And miss -- Ms. Stucke's not saying this. This is what he's saying.

. ||

Yes.

,

Q Correct. And both parties had admitted to you that Christie made several attempts to have David dismiss his divorce after November 28th; is that right?

A The information I reviewed is that, you know, she still wanted the relationship to work out. She wanted to resolve it. He also was ambivalent. That was also reflected with the therapist when they went in individually, that they still cared for each other, but they -- they had issues with each other for several different -- on several different levels.

Q Okay. And Christie -- Christie had reported to you that after November 28th, she believed that she and David had consensual sex at least one other time; is that correct?

A That's what was reported to me. I don't know if that's true or not, but that's what's reported to me. Mister -- Mr. Stucke stated they had consensual sex on numerous occasions. Ms. Stucke stated that they may have had sex on one occasion and, I think she was ambivalent during the time.

Q Okay. Now, there was a audio recording that you had

10

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

been provided and you include in your report from December 14th, 2018, between Christie and David's mother, in which she conveys to David's mother that she — that if David dropped the divorce case, that she would drop the TPO and the criminal charges; is that right? That's on page 14.

- A Yeah, I'm looking for it right now.
- Q Read paragraph four.
- A Mr. Stucke would agree to dismiss all of his stuff because she would agree dismiss all of her stuff. I would not be doing any of this if David wasn't trying to take away my children. Said as a mother, she must understand how she does not want her children taken away from her. The -- Mrs. Stucke's re -- the mother's recording this.
 - Q Okay. Now --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- my question is -- all right, thank you. And do you have any experiences with cases involving allegations of rape, either in custody evaluations or separately?
 - A Repeat the question again.
- Q Do you have experience in cases involving rape, either as part of custody evaluations or separately?
- A The answer is yes to both. I've done probably 2,000 sex offender assessments for Clark County, and some of them involved rape. And then I've done child custody cases,

It's -- it's hard to, I think -- and research

indicates that, you know, it's hard to encode memories, you

23

24

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Well, I think she -- she said that she was in an

repeating the same bad behaviors. Do you recall that?

23

24

Q

Correct.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

I'm not finding it.

It should be right where you were -- where you're -where it talks about Christie's judgment and Christie portraying herself as a chronic abuse victim.

Oh, yeah, miss -- Ms. Stucke's pattern is she always seems to be in an abusive relationship. And then she went on to highlight the -- the di -- the difficulties with her kids and then some relationships with men that were difficult, abusive.

Okay. And Christie had portrayed Mr. Hentschl as being abusive; is that right? Did he report that to you?

I don't -- I'm sorry, Christie reported Mr. Hentschl was abusive?

Correct.

Christie stated, if I remember correctly, that it was more kind of emotional abuse and I think not necessarily physical abuse, manipulation. He had a -- a gambling issue.

Okay. Now, kind of finishing up a couple of questions. Back on Ms. Di Lauro. You had -- we had -- you had briefly got into some questions I had. Ms. Di Lauro stated that for the first time on December 17, 2018, Christie reported, quote, past painful sexual memories that came up recently, end quote. You're not aware of Ms. Di Lauro -- if Christie was referencing the alleged rape or something prior

to the alleged rape on November 28th.

A She wasn't sure. Because I was trying to figure out, you know, the -- the alleged rape occu -- would have occurred about two-and-a-half weeks earlier. And -- and that came up recently. Sim --

- Q Well, now --
- A Go on.

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q But then Ms. Di Lauro asked Christie if she was referring to rape. And Christie reported -- Ms. Di Lauro says Christie said maybe, but that she did not know -- that she did not remember; is that right?

A It says here on page 55, I asked her if it was rape. And Ms. Di Lauro reported maybe, but she doesn't remember. That's Ms. Di Lauro doesn't remember, not Christie. So I -- if I'm not -- if I was not clear on that, I apologize.

- Q Okay, that was --
- A Not implying that --
- Q -- confusing. That --
- A -- Christie doesn't remember.
 - Q That didn't -- that's not how I read it. I assumed it was Ms. Di Lauro conveying Christie's statement.

A No, it's -- it's -- and I apologize if I was not -- if my writing was off. I do remember -- it says the question is, I asked her if it was rape. And Ms. Di Lauro reported

maybe, but she does not remember. So Ms. Di Lauro was saying that, yeah, that might have been rape, but she can't recall.

And I should have specified that a little better, and I apologize.

Q Okay.

A I apologize.

Q Now, did Ms. Di Lauro actually state that based on -- that Christie actually stated that she frequent -- Christie frequently stated during their counseling that -- actually, strike that. Did Ms. Di Lauro state that Christie's statements of alleged sexual misconduct by David were odd to her and contrary to her prior experiences?

A Yeah, and I think that you have to remember context here. You're -- you're absolutely correct on that. But what you have to remember is, the alleged rape occurred in late November. So of this whole history she was working with the family, this -- you know, the couple -- this is happening at the tail-end. And that is why she was saying, hey, that was kind of odd to me because I never heard about that before.

So you know, there's -- to me, there's two hypotheses. You know, one is, is maybe it was odd to her because, you know, it was made up. And the other hypothesis is, maybe it was odd to her because it just occurred, and now she's talking about it at the end. But it -- well, she can't

21

22

23

24

talk about it earlier because it oc -- it only occurred, like what, November 28th. Like, two-and-a-half weeks ago. So I -- I gave a little -- I -- I didn't want to assume, you know, too much, because she wouldn't have known, like, when that occurred.

- Q Okay. And -- and to be --
- A (Indiscernible simultaneous speech) --
- Q -- clear, Christie had never conveyed to you or Ms. Di Lauro any alleged sexual misconduct by David towards her prior to the November 28th allegation; is that right?
- A I -- I didn't -- I didn't hear the beginning of your sentence. Say it again, please.
- Q That's fine. Christie -- Christie never conveyed to you any sexual abuse or, you know, rape of her prior to November 28th; is that right?
- A Well, that's correct. Otherwise, you would think it would have been discussed with me.
- Q Okay. Two more issues that I have just a couple of questions on. May -- you were provided photos of a video -- a video of a polyamorous party Christie had at the West Maule residence on May 4th, two -- 2019; is that right?
- A I don't recall it at the moment. There was tons of videos I had seen -- you're talking about videos or photos?
 - Q There was photos and video.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yeah, I don't recall.

Okay. If there was a -- do you -- do you believe it proper for Christie to have any kind of polyamorous sex parties at her residence in the same place where the children frequent?

- Are the children there at the time? Α
- Not -- not at the time.
- You know, I mean, I -- I think that would have been Α the big garage they have. That -- that's possible where the party was at.
- Well, no, the photos -- the photos we sent you had photos of third parties, people inside the actual home.
 - Α Okay.
 - In the living room, the kitchen.
- You know, I -- the children aren't there. You know, Α people are having sex in the house as -- as -- are people cleaning up and, you know, making sure everything's fine? And you know, she's -- they've had -- I think they had sex in the house with other people. They have two beds in her bedroom. There's additional bed for where they have sex with other people. And so I guess that occurred.
- Do you think it's something -- in your professional opinion, it's something that should be avoided if possible?
 - Α Well, I'm -- I'm making a judgment here. And -- and

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1 22

23 24 then you're asking me to say, you know, she shouldn't have polyamorous relationships. But she --

No, no, no, I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about in -- in the home in regards to where the children frequent.

Well, ideally, it's best if -- if she wouldn't have that in the home at all. Because you know, what if kids find something? You know, if they find a condom -- a used condom or other dynamics -- sanitary reasons. It -- it's best to have it at a different location if she's going to engage in that behavior.

Okay. My last question, you had addressed the summer vacation in your report. I believe David had stated that he believed each side should have the children for no longer than one week at a time for summer vacation. And I believe Christie was of the opinion that each side should have the children for two weeks at a time. You recommend in your report that due to the children's age, that until they got older, it would be beneficial for them to -- for each side to have the children no longer than a week at a time; is that correct?

That's correct. And I think if -- if you modify that -- like, if he went back -- if he went back to Pennsylvania to visit his family, then you would have to do --

10 11

1213

14

1516

17

1819

20

2122

23

24

you would have to make sure there was -- there was a lot of contact with mo -- Mom and -- and the kids. I just don't like long periods of time, especially when you have a child who's two years old, you know, coming on three. But nevertheless, that's a long time.

If the parents co-parent accurate -- appropriately and make sure there's a lot of video time, you know, sometimes you can stretch it. But I just have concerns with that, weeks versus their age.

Q If there was -- if -- if one parent had the children for, say, a week for their time and then took another two weeks, you know, for the vacation time, where it would be three weeks where that -- where they don't see the child, do you think that appropriate based on the children's age?

A You know, people do that all the time. I just don't think it's -- it's healthy for the age. And -- and if -- if that was going to happen, once again, I would make sure that the children have frequent Skype contact or FaceTime to help them with, you know, not being around the other parent.

Q Okay. But at this time, your posi -- your -- your recommendation is still that they should go no longer than a week at a time for vacation purposes?

A Yeah, unless there's extenuating circumstances. And -- and once again, you have to have the parents cooperating,

1 yes. 2 Well, I mean, are the parents -- if the other parent still goes, you know, two weeks or three weeks without seeing the child, right? I -- I think two weeks is a long time at this point. You know --6 7 0 Okay. 8 -- people do go on family vacations. But if you're just staying in your home and not going anywhere and it's -and it's, you know, it's what's -- it's -- it's best to have 10 11 shorter periods. That's why a lot of people have the 2/2/5 plan, you know, with children this young. Because you 12 know, it's -- it's a long time when they're two years old, 13 being away from the other parent. 14 15 MR. MAYO: No further questions, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Page? 17 MR. PAGE: Yes. (Pause) 18 19 CROSS EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. PAGE: 21 Dr. Paglini, you spent a lot of time with the --22 with these folks. Would you agree? 23 THE COURT: Okay, can you hear him --24 THE WITNESS: I don't see --

THE COURT: -- Dr. Paglini? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I can barely hear him, and I don't see 3 him. THE COURT: Right. I don't either. Mr. Page, can 4 5 you turn on your video so we can see you and maybe turn up? 6 MR. PAGE: Yeah, I think -- I think the video's 7 locked up, so I'm going to --8 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 9 MR. PAGE: I'm going to --10 THE COURT: As long as we --MR. PAGE: -- turn it off and --11 12 THE COURT: -- can hear you. 13 MR. PAGE: -- turn it on again. Did that come back? THE COURT: You're not back. But we can hear -- I 14 15 mean, as long as we can hear you better is -- that's okay if 16 you can't get the video. But you were really hard to hear. 17 MR. PAGE: Yeah, that's one of the things when I 18 have the -- the webcam on top of the television, I don't think 19 the microphone's really strong enough necessarily to pick up 20 everything. But I'll -- I'll try and talk louder. THE COURT: Oh, I hear him. Do you hear him, 21 22 Dr. Paglini? 23 THE WITNESS: I do now because I just increased my hearing aid volume. 2.4

provided?

A Well, I think the Judge said it best. This could go on and on and on and on. My job was to write a report and do the best I can to educate the family courts on the parents' dynamics and hopefully provide enough information to the Judge to make a healthy decision. And you know, I -- here -- here's -- let me just say something. Oh, yes. Yeah, you know, we could always get more information. It could go on and on. It could be another 30, 40 hours. One of the things that we have here that's important is, you know, David's an emerging father.

He's -- he's -- you know, the collaterals suggest he's a good father. He's -- you know, he -- you know, he's -- he did well with me with his -- with his observation. There were -- I didn't have any concerns, you know, that were evident. I -- I know there are serious allegations. And that's where eventually I think the Judge makes a decision.

Conversely, your client, Christie you know, she -- I interviewed her kids. They love her. You know, two of the three kids have some issues. I don't know if you could always throw that on Christie. But you know, her -- her son John was -- you know, said that, yeah, you know, she left for a period of time. But she was -- she kept -- when she came back, she took care of us, she was available, she try -- kept trying to

get more time.

So she was putting in the effort. And he felt understood and loved and supported. And even the daughter, who had a lot of drug problems, felt -- said she -- you know, I put my mom through everything, and she was always there and loving.

So you know, there's -- you know, she's a -- she's a mixed bag. And what I mean by that is, you know, I struggle with the abandonment dynamic for the kids for a period of time. But she's -- she's trying to be present. And even when, you know, when I looked at the house and I looked at Sarah's room and how beautiful it is and, you know, there's all these toys and you can probably attribute it to both of them -- the educational activities.

So parent-wise, there's -- there's not -- we don't - we don't have a risk, per se. And then we look at the

personality dynamics. And then it gets a little confusing and
murky. I'm sorry to go off, but I thought that might be
helpful to the Court.

Q So you would -- you've concluded that both David and Christie are -- they're fit parents?

A I -- they're fit parents that, you know, they're -- they're -- they're not going to be abusive or neglectful to the child. They're -- they're trying to operate in -- in the

child's best interest. But then you have this dynamic of sexual abuse allegations, rape allegations. And all I can do is give the information to the Judge to make a healthy decision.

Q Do you find that sometimes in divorce cases that emotions and reactions tend to be more heightened during the pendency of a case?

A Very much so. One of the things I try to do when I'm doing an assessment is, I'm asking myself, is this a trait or a state? So if I'm seeing a parent who's really acting out tremendously, is that historic or is that situational? And so like, with the dynamics of borderline personality disorder, if that is truly there then I'm going to see that for the last 30 years of her life.

And she would have those conflicts her kids. And I'm sure she loses it on occasions because there's no doubt there's a histrionic dynamic. And you know, there -- there are some, let's say, soft borderline themes, but not to the point of a personality disorder.

You know, she has you know -- you know, she -- her son, John, loves her and cares for her. And I'm sure they had conflict before because what kid doesn't. Her daughter who's, you know, struggled with drug addiction loved her. But we didn't get the borderline themes. And then talking to the

therapist, her current therapist didn't get the borderline themes. More of the perhaps, you know, kind of, you know, what she's struggling with.

So yes, in divorce cu -- custody cases, there could be, you know, very heightened dynamics. If you look at their psych testing, you know, Mr. Stucke and -- and personally is pre -- predominately a passive guy who can, you know, maybe, you know, have -- have difficult times emoting his feelings.

And conversely, Christie is one of these -- you know, she's all over the place, she's assertive, she's highly involved. And there's a lot of, you know, kind of demonstrative expressions of affection. But then you also get the negative stuff, which is, you know, when she's angry, you're -- you're going to get the down side.

Q But those fall within the range of normal.

Actually, for both people, they're just -- they're different.

A You know, I -- normal is a -- a tough situation.

And what I mean by that is, there's no doubt that I think, you know, Ms. Stucke needs a little help. I want to make sure that she doesn't say anything negative to her children about, you know, Mr. Stucke. I want to make sure that everybody's seeing their issues and are moving forward in a healthy way. I think she downplayed some of her stuff towards Mr. Stucke.

And that's why I made the recommendations I made. But I'm not

24

Okay. So of course, you know, I -- I would prefer not to give recommendations to the Court on custody. When I don't do this, it costs the litigants like 30, 40 grand arguing about my analysis. And so am I sa -- there -- there's no doubt that this is a complex case and -- and there's int -there's very interesting dynamics on both sides. But I'm -after my testimony, I'm not moving the ball to primary unless that's what the Judge wants. If the Judge sees a difference and more information than I do, that's her call. I'm -- this With that, I don't think I have anything further. I appreciate your time. By the way, I thought you were very -- of information here, and you recalled it all. THE COURT: Any follow-up, Mr. Mayo, redirect? MR. MAYO: On -- only since Mr. Page had complimented Dr. Paglini, I have to throw in my -- Dr. Paglini, you did a very (indiscernible). Appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Yes.

> D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

```
1
             MR. MAYO: No, you always do a great job.
 2
              THE COURT: Yep, the Court --
 3
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 4
              THE COURT: The Court appreciates it, too. And I
    think, then, that concludes his testimony. Nothing else,
    guys? You're sure --
 6
 7
             MR. PAGE: I think that's --
 8
             THE COURT: -- before we --
 9
             MR. PAGE: -- it for me.
10
              THE COURT: -- let him go? Okay, we thank you,
11
    Dr. Paglini. Good to see you.
12
             THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you,
    everyone. Stay safe. Be well.
13
14
             THE COURT: Yeah, you, too. Thank you.
15
             THE WITNESS: Thank you.
             MR. MAYO: Thank you.
16
17
             THE WITNESS: All right.
18
             THE COURT: All right.
19
             THE WITNESS: Bye-bye.
20
             THE COURT: We'll let Dr. Paglini go. Thank you.
   All right, Counsel, it is 12:13. So what -- what else do we
21
22
   -- what else is going to be presented? I need to know because
23
   look, I -- I -- here -- just so you know, I don't have any
```

other real time between now and -- I have, like, tomorrow if

24

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

we don't finish today. But I'm no longer sitting on the D case type after the end of the year. And I'm moving to guardianship. So I need to get this trial completed now because I won't have court time aside from the court time that I have there.

Like, I won't have any other time to finish it.

It's going to make it tough, so we need to finish it, like,

pronto. So I don't know if you guys are expecting to finish

today. I'm hoping, but I need you guys to know that. That we

don't have a lot of time left. Follow --

MR. MAYO: Understood.

THE COURT: Are you following me?

MR. MAYO: We -- we -- I am. I -- I am, Your Honor.

assuming -- I'm hoping that -- I know that Christie will be put on. I don't know what else everybody has in terms of a presentation, but just be aware. Kind of keep your focus and try to make sure you get out what you need to get out, as opposed to a lot of the secondary stuff that may not be necessary because we want to make good use of the time. But anyway, so in terms of a break for lunch, do you want to just come back in an hour-and-15, 1:30?

MR. MAYO: That works, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Does that work for you, Mr. Page?

MR. PAGE: That's fine. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. PAGE: It works. THE COURT: Okay. Don't sound so excited. All 4 right, we'll talk to you guys then. We'll talk to you at 5 1:30. 6 7 MR. MAYO: All right, thank you. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. PAGE: Okay, thank you. 10 THE COURT: We'll go off the record. (COURT RECESSED AT 12:15 P.M. 11 AND RESUMED AT 01:47 P.M.) 12 THE CLERK: We're on the record. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay, so we're back on the record in the Stucke matter, case D-580621. Mr. Mayo? 15 MR. MAYO: Your Honor, we -- when we left off last 16 17 time, I was -- I had my client on the stand. So I was going to --18 19 THE COURT: Yep. 20 MR. MAYO: -- pick back up with him. THE COURT: Okay, let's swear David in again. 21 22 THE CLERK: Okay, please raise your right hand. 23 You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to 24 give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	Q	mean
2	A	the
3	Q	business expenses?
4	A	Right, minus business expenses. Exactly. So 23,393
5	is what I	have after business expenses.
6	Q	Okay. All right. And the same thing for
7	A	Same thing for P
8	Q	PCCG?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	All right, thank you.
11		MR. MAYO: Your Honor, move to admit Exhibit 33.
12		THE COURT: No objection?
13		MR. PAGE: Objection as to foundation. I
14	(indiscer	nible) what he thinks he's going to (indiscernible)
15		
16		THE COURT: I'm having a hard time hearing you,
17	Mr. Page.	
18		MR. MAYO: Yeah, you have a bad echo.
19	ľ	THE COURT: I think you need I think you need to
20	turn somet	thing down again.
21		MR. PAGE: Is that better?
22		THE COURT: Little bit, yeah.
23		MR. MAYO: We're still getting an echo, though.
24		MR. PAGE: Let me try this. One moment.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

been admitted yet. The other -- the statements from which he

-- I don't think they are. But I think we -- but I know we

THE COURT: I don't -- I don't know that those have

22

23

24

Yes, primarily PT's Club.

24

Α

1 did. Are you getting --2 THE COURT: Well, go --3 MR. MAYO: -- an echo --THE COURT: -- ahead and proceed. 5 MR. MAYO: -- from me as well? 6 THE COURT: Yeah, a little bit. 7 MR. MAYO: I don't know what changed --8 THE WITNESS: Coming through --9 MR. MAYO: -- between this morning and now. 10 THE WITNESS: It's coming through the (indiscernible). 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Might be from David or -- or Vincent. 13 14 THE COURT: What I'll ask is that, Mr. Page, maybe 15 if you mute while you're not objecting or talking. And then may -- maybe that will help. And same with -- well, David's 16 got to keep his open, so -- unless he can go back and forth. 17 18 Might be a little tough, but Mr. Page can mute. That works. 19 MR. MAYO: All right. I think I was asking -- all 20 right. So sorry, let me see. I -- I'll try to move through these, Fred. And if I asked it, I'll try to move on. But I 21 22 -- again, I wasn't quite sure on some of these. 23 THE COURT: I mean, look, I'd rather -- if you -- if

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

you need to go through them and I mean, unless there's --

24

your financial stability?

it is evidence of his financial instability. It's also an incomplete hypothetical because you're saying what if a person did X and then Y happened, there's --4 THE COURT: Well, I mean, that's --5 MR. PAGE: -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) 6 7 THE COURT: -- isn't that for me to -- the weight that I would give the hypothetical? But, I mean -- I mean --8 9 MR. MAYO: Right. THE COURT: -- look, that's what -- the weight I 10 would give it. The question becomes how does he know this, 11 12 right? That was your foundational objection. 13 MR. PAGE: Yeah, because he really hasn't placed a foundation. I -- that was just --14 15 MR. MAYO: Actually, I did. I said having been a pro -- I established last time that he's a professional 16 17 gambler and that he made his money from gambling. It wasn't like something he did recreationally. And that's why I then 18 19 asked the question from there, and Your Honor upheld it. 20 Because he has that --21 THE COURT: Right. 22 MR. MAYO: -- prior experience. 23 MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --24 THE COURT: And he -- and he just --

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. PAGE: -- foundation as a recreational gambler, 1 but he says he not. So it's just an apples to oranges comparison. THE COURT: No, the question was whether there was a 4 problem if you allowed yourself to become financially unstable 5 with your gambling, not whether it was a professional versus a 7 recreational gambler. That's the way I understood it, anyway, and correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Mayo. But his -- his answer 8 was based on, that's one of the questions that they ask you. And it was just sort of vaque, they. I don't -- as to whether 10 you have a -- a problem, right? As to whether your --11 12 MR. MAYO: Correct. THE COURT: -- bills are actually getting paid or 13 14 not getting paid. So --15 MR. MAYO: Correct. 16 THE COURT: -- maybe some -- lay some -- lay some 17 foundation as to what he's talking out there. MR. MAYO: Well, and -- okay. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 20 BY MR. MAYO: 21 0 So --22 I --Α -- David, you had --23 24 Α -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech).

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

did you -- I also asked last time, if you also gambled recreationally.

No, I -- I don't gamble recreationally. Well, it -once in a while, for a tiny bit of money if I have family in town or something. But otherwise, no.

Okay. All right. And I was asking in regards to --I think the Court already (indiscernible) the question, but I'll ask again. Is gambling recreationally -- is gambling recreational when it doesn't affect your financial stability? Is it -- is that fair to say?

I don't think so. I think that could be either way, right? It could be that you make enough money, and you just gamble less. So it's -- it's not -- I don't think there's enough information.

Okay. Well, my next question was if a person foregoes payment of monthly expenses to use money for the pa -- for gambling and they're not generating income, would that affect a person's financial stability?

Yeah. And the -- the statement about -- the question of who they are, sorry, yes, it wasn't clear. It -so when you go to a casino, they have these pamphlets at nearly every casino cage, I believe. It's, you know, if you have a problem gambling, call this number. And then they have, you know, questions for you to answer. And that is one

```
of them, about do you ever use bill money to gamble and things
    like that. There are other ones, but that -- that's one of
    them.
 3
 4
             Now, did Christie's gambling become an issue in
 5
    2018?
              Yes, it's --
 6
         Α
 7
             MR. PAGE: Objection --
 8
              THE WITNESS: -- been --
 9
             MR. PAGE: -- foundation.
10
              THE COURT: He's --
11
             MR. MAYO: Okay, that --
12
              THE COURT: -- laying it.
13
             MR. MAYO: -- was my -- that was my preliminary
14 |
   question to get into the actual foundation.
15
             THE COURT: Yeah, he's laying it. It's preliminary.
16
   Go ahead.
17
             MR. MAYO: Yeah.
18
             THE COURT: Overruled.
   BY MR. MAYO:
19
20
             Okay. And how was it --
21
             THE COURT: Lay your foundation.
   BY MR. MAYO:
22
23
           How was it an issue in 2018?
24
            Well, we mostly kept our finances separate. So even
        Α
```

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

- '

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

though I hated the waste aspect to the -- like, I didn't know the amount of the waste at the time. The -- my big issue was she would disappear for sometimes days at a time, leave me with the kids with no car seat. Or you know, I'd have to order food on Amazon. And she would just, you know, not answer my calls. And I'd say, when are you coming home, are coming home, that sort of thing. So that was the -- the issue that was front and center.

Of course, there was financial stuff under the hood. But she always made her \$1,500 payment to help the utilities and things and the car payment and the car ins -- or and the health insurance and stuff. Otherwise, that was it. We kept our finances separate, otherwise.

- Q All right. What is advantage gambling?
- A It means you're gambling a game where if you play it long enough, you will eventually win.
 - Q Okay. Has --
 - A Usually --
 - O -- Christie ever --
- A -- you do it in a scenario where there's a casino promotion involved. Or card counting would be an example of advantage gambling as well, for blackjack.
- Q Okay. Has Christie ever stated in court during this -- in this case that she does advantage gambling as part of

her ARI/PCCG medical imaging business?

accounts --

0	Okay	
Q	Okay	•

- A -- for 2017.
- Q Now, on this P&L for PCCG, you list a net income of \$171,000. How did you calculate that, based off the bank statements?

A I went through every transaction and entered it and categorized it as a reasonable business expense or not.

- Q Okay. And then, how did you identify in terms of a reasonable business expense or not?
- A If it was a computer thing or a web hosting thing or a payment to a -- a customer or something or a doctor, then it was a business expense. Otherwise, like, if it's a cash withdrawal, then it wasn't. Or if it's groceries or gas, tho -- those sorts of things are -- were personal.
- Q So a question for you. Were there any -- was there ever a need to pay for Atomic Radiology, ActionRAD, or PCCG expenses with cash?
 - A No.
- Q Were expenses for the companies either paid through the mail or online?
- A Yes, she either got a check or a -- some would deposit directly into an account. She would get checks. And I believe she may have gotten some transfers as well.
 - Q And then I was talk -- and so I think you may have

the house, I believe, to get that -- some of the client

23

24

information.

Okay, and so --1 Q 2 Α With -- because that was --3 -- you were saying that something --4 Α That was the big item. 5 So I'm sorry. So is it -- you're --6 So ---- saying that's listed on here? 7 8 Right. So I'm saying -- there's no longer an Α I'd have to go back and look at the general ledger because all -- not all the stuff shows on the P&L because the 10 11 way they -- they simplify it down. But the number is roughly 120,000 that is outstanding. But it shows it as income on a 12 13 P&L because you're owed the money, right? 14 Okay. So the 146,246 on top of the page, you're --15 The real --Α -- saying --16 17 Well, the real --Α 18 -- 120,000 is --Q 19 The real number would be more like 20, 26, or 20 something. I'm sorry --21 0 22 Α Because there were --23 Q -- say again? 24 Α -- there were real business expenses for Atomic

claims that he made or any reference to the actual --

1 THE COURT: He --2 MR. PAGE: -- exhibits. 3 THE COURT: -- doesn't have to. So a -- again, it's 4 about the weight I give it, right? So he --5 MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --THE COURT: -- summarized the voluminous -- and I'm 6 -- I don't know yet, whether he's going to admit the docu --7 8 the underlying documents, but he doesn't have to. It's summarized, and a summary is permitted. What weight I give it is a different story. 10 11 So just because he says it, you're right, it doesn't 12 automatically mean it's anything. But it's about his credibility. And I'd have to look at the documents. And it 13 14 depends upon what weight I give it. So -- so I'll allow it 15 because summaries are permitted. And he's laid the foundation for how he created it. So with that, Mr. Mayo? 16 17 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 21 ADMITTED) MR. MAYO: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 18 19 BY MR. MAYO: 20 Now, David, going into 2019, were you aware of any 21 factors that would -- that were anticipated to result in any 22 of the businesses losing profit -- profit decreasing? 23 Α No. 24 And can you turn to Exhibit 69? 0

24

I have to look at the exact -- exact date. But I

MR. MAYO: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech).

```
THE COURT: But it --
 1
 2
              MR. MAYO: -- by her.
 3
              THE COURT: But -- but the document that -- but if
    it has his -- I don't -- I can't see the document yet, so.
    But if it has his statement in it -- if it has Dad's statement
    in it --
 7
              MR. MAYO: Right, we --
 8
              THE COURT: -- then --
 9
              MR. MAYO: -- we can exclude -- we can exclude Dad's
    statement. But her --
10
11
              MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --
12
              MR. MAYO: -- statement would be a party opponent
    admission and we also --
13
14
              THE COURT: Absolutely.
15
             MR. MAYO: -- did provide this --
16
              THE COURT: Agreed.
17
             MR. MAYO: -- and there was no objection to it --
             THE COURT: To the --
18
19
             MR. MAYO: -- within the --
20
             THE COURT: -- authenticity.
             MR. MAYO: -- 20 days as required --
21
22
             THE COURT: Right, so --
23
             MR. MAYO: -- under 16.2.
24
             THE COURT: All right.
```

MR. PAGE: -- lay a foundation --

```
THE COURT: -- you're saying --
 1
 2
              MR. PAGE: -- through her.
 3
              THE COURT: You're saying because of the -- because
    of the fact that it's between her and dad. Is that what
    you're saying?
 6
              MR. PAGE: Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: He's right.
 8
              MR. MAYO: Well, no, but it's --
 9
              THE COURT: He's right, Mr. Mayo.
              MR. MAYO: -- but it's docu -- but it's documented.
10
    It's different if it was something that dad said --
11
12
              THE COURT: Wait, wait --
13
              MR. MAYO: -- but this was --
              THE COURT: -- wait.
14
              MR. MAYO: -- actually documented --
15
              THE COURT: But how do --
16
17
              MR. MAYO: -- that they said --
              THE COURT: Wait, wait --
18
              MR. MAYO: -- if the --
19
20
              THE COURT: -- wait.
              MR. MAYO: -- if -- but they said it was authentic.
21
22
   They said it --
23
              THE COURT: No, no.
24
             MR. MAYO: -- was authentic.
```

MR. MAYO: -- another party --

```
THE COURT: -- her or --
 1
 2
              MR. MAYO: -- or not --
 3
              THE COURT: -- anybody else.
              MR. MAYO: -- if it's in person. But --
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Right.
 6
              MR. MAYO: -- since it's in paper and it's authentic
 7
    -- so we -- they -- so the authenticity is that it is a --
              THE COURT: Right.
 8
 9
              MR. MAYO: -- statement from her. The question --
10
              THE COURT: Right.
11
              MR. MAYO: -- is, can we --
12
              THE COURT: I got you.
13
              MR. MAYO: -- allow the statement from her because
14
   it's hearsay? And because she's a party opponent admission,
15
   we can --
16
              THE COURT: Well --
17
             MR. MAYO: -- allow it.
18
              THE COURT: -- we can let it in because it's not
             It becomes -- well, it's a --
19
   hearsay.
20
             MR. MAYO: Correct.
21
              THE COURT: -- an exception, right? So it's a party
22
   opponent admission, it is what it is. And I understand --
23
             MR. PAGE: But they claim it --
24
             THE COURT: -- your point as to it --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Oh, conte --
 2
              MR. PAGE: -- foundation, but lay it through my
 3
    client.
              THE COURT: You don't ha -- I --
 4
              MR. MAYO: I --
 5
              THE COURT: -- I mean --
 6
 7
              MR. MAYO: -- don't have to.
              THE COURT: -- look, at the end of the --
 8
             MR. PAGE: It --
 9
10
              THE COURT: -- day -- all right, hold on. How do --
11
   how do you know that it's a text message from her?
12
              MR. PAGE: I don't.
13
              THE COURT: Not you. Not you, Mr. Page. I'm asking
14
   Mr. Stucke, how does he know it's a text message from
   Christie. The foundation is the -- the objection because I --
15
   I mean, if you have a statement there, but you can't tell that
17
   it's from Christie, how do you tell it's from Christie?
             THE WITNESS: The reason --
18
19
              THE COURT: I understand --
20
             THE WITNESS: -- you can tell --
             THE COURT: -- they didn't --
21
22
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
23
             THE WITNESS: -- there was no objection to the
24
   authenticity, but -- what's that?
```

1 THE WITNESS: Nothing, I -- I'll wait for you to finish. I'm sorry. 2 3 THE COURT: Well, I'm just saying I get that there is no objection to the authenticity of a text message that ex -- that it's on that piece of paper, but how do I know it's from Christie? 7 THE WITNESS: Her face is on there to the left of 8 that -- the big block of text. 9 MR. MAYO: I can ask a --THE COURT: But not of --10 11 MR. MAYO: -- Da -- Dave -- oh, I can ask a question 12 on it, but go ahead. 13 THE COURT: No, go ahead. DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 14 BY MR. MAYO: 15 16 So, David, did Christie and your dad ever 17 correspond? 18 On occasion. Usually, it was an argument, but -but yeah. It was either an argument or they were sending pictures of the kids or something. That was -- that was about 20 2.1 it. 22 And did they do so via text messaging? 23 A Yeah, this is Facebook Messenger, but essentially 24 that. Yes.

going to ask her about this when it's her --

```
1
              MR. MAYO: -- it's an exception --
 2
              THE COURT: -- got it.
 3
              MR. MAYO: -- to hear -- it's hearsay, so it's --
              THE COURT: I un --
 4
 5
              MR. MAYO: -- an exception.
                                          It wa --
              THE COURT: I understand.
 6
 7
              MR. MAYO: Yeah.
 8
              THE COURT: I -- look, I -- I -- look, I made the --
    look, I'm going to make this finding. I just don't think this
 9
10
    case is going to hinge on this text message or this Messenger
   message. But authenticity was not objected to when it was
11
   provided. David just laid the foundation as to how he
12
13
   obtained the -- the communication, which was he logged into
   his dad's Messenger and printed it. And so that's the
14
15
   foundation for how he obtained it. Now, is it -- it's -- it's
16
   her -- it's her communication with his fath -- his -- her
17
   communication with his father's Facebook Messenger. That's --
    that's how I --
18
19
             MR. PAGE: Allegedly.
20
             THE COURT: -- understand it. Okay --
21
             MR. PAGE: For which we don't have --
22
             THE COURT: She --
23
             MR. PAGE: -- the date.
```

THE COURT: -- can tell me it's not, then.

MR. MAYO: Yeah. 1 2 THE COURT: She can tell me it's not, then. If she 3 says it's not hers, then she can testify about it. But that's -- that alone is enough foundation. And there is no authe -authenticity objection. So it'll come in and then you can cross examine everybody about it, or you can direct examine 7 your client about it. But it comes in. (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 69 ADMITTED) 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. MAYO: 10 11 Okay. Now, David --THE COURT: Go ahead. 12 13 MR. MAYO: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 BY MR. MAYO: 15 Did you speak to Christie about the divorce in November of last year? I'm sorry, November of 2018? 16 17 Sorry, I lost connection for a minute, but I -- I'm back on. 18 19 Okay. Did you speak to Christie about divorce in 20 November of 2018? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. Did Christie make any threats to you in Q 23 regard to the businesses at that time?

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. PAGE: Objection --

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, she --2 MR. PAGE: -- leading. 3 THE COURT: It's a foundational --MR. MAYO: Well, he ju --4 5 THE COURT: -- question. The next -- the answer to 6 that is yes or no. And then he -- he's saying leading. That's a -- that's a foundational question. Did she say X to you or did she --9 MR. MAYO: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: -- make any -- okay. And then your next 11 question, but the answer to that is yes or no. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Hold on. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay, sorry. THE COURT: Then, Mr. Mayo, your follow-up? 15 MR. MAYO: Okay. 16 17 BY MR. MAYO: 18 And -- and what was it she stated? 19 She has said that I'll never get any money from her, it -- that she keeps all her money in the businesses, she 20 21 makes very little money. In fact, I believe I have a 22 recording of that. She's also said that I would pay max child support and that she would just do her business in another

name, under somebody else's name.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Okay. Has Christie ever made any threats to destroy what you and her have built?

Yes. This was right at -- shortly after a day or so Α after she found out that I had filed divorce papers. She called the police on me to try to get me run out of the house, saying I was drunk, which I didn't even have a drink. And she said, screaming, I'm gon -- I'll destroy you, I'm going to destroy everything.

And you know, the next morning, she's, like, same kind of thing. And she said that you'll be out -- talking -threatening to get me out of my house because I told her she should start to look for a place to live. I wasn't throwing her out, I was trying to be amicable. But I told her that you know, it was my house and that she should look for a place to live.

- Okay. And so you -- did you make a recording? You said -- sorry. Did you -- you said you made a recording of that conversation wherein Christie had said that she was going to destroy what you and she had built?
 - Α Yes.
- All right. And are you familiar with Christie's -where -- sorry, where was that conversation? Where was it recorded?
 - I was going to bed. I came back -- I went to a -- a

any point in --

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

THE COURT: What the -- what's the relevance? Hold on. What's the relevance? I want to know what the relevance is.

MR. MAYO: The relevance is that upon Christie believing that David was having an affair and seeking to be separate from her and -- and divorce, that she had specifically stated that she was going to destroy everything they had built together. The -- the offer of proof is that after the divorce started, the businesses that were generating hundreds of thousand dollars per year, one of them shut down and the other two allegedly make a fraction of what they did.

THE COURT: Right, which we know.

MR. MAYO: Which we know, which -- which --

THE COURT: So?

MR. MAYO: So it's a matter of -- it's a -- for me, Your Honor, it's a matter of if the Court says, look, David made a representation, therefore, he's credible, then that's fine. But it's not, and the Court says well, he's not, and I didn't play the recording, then Christie says well, I deny that I ever said that, and there's no recording on the record.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. I'll allow it.

MR. PAGE: So is the objection over -- upheld?

THE COURT: No, I -- I'm allowing the -- I'm

allowing the -- hey -- her -- it's her ca -- her statement.

And he laid the foundation for how he got it, so. 2 MR. PAGE: It's an emotional -- again, it's not relevant (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --3 THE COURT: I understand. I understand. Look, at 4 5 the end of the day, Mr. Mayo made a point that if I don't -if she -- if -- if I don't find David's testimony credible, and she denies the statement was made, and somehow I find that that has some bearing on the -- the assets and the value of the assets, then I have erred by not letting it in. So, 10 Mr. Mayo, play your tape. 11 MR. MAYO: All right, thank you. 12 (Pause) 13 MR. MAYO: It gets kind of quiet first. It gets 14 louder. 15 THE COURT: Playing it now? MR. MAYO: I am. It's -- let's see. 16 17 (Pause) 18 MR. MAYO: Can you hear it? 19 THE COURT: Nope. 20 MR. MAYO: Okay. For some reason, when I play it --21 I think it's because --22 MR. PAGE: I renew my objection. 23 MR. MAYO: What's that? 24 THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. MAYO: Exhibit 87.

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay, hold on.
 2
              MR. MAYO: Sure.
 3
         (Pause)
              THE COURT: Unh-unh.
 4
 5
         (Pause)
              THE COURT: Nope, it doesn't seem to be working on
 6
 7
    our end, either.
 8
             MR. MAYO: Okay, let's see.
 9
              MR. PAGE: I ask that we move on.
             MR. MAYO: All right, I'll see if I can work on it.
10
11
   Let me try something.
12
              THE WITNESS: I can probably send it to my phone and
13
   play it in a few minutes.
             MR. MAYO: Let me try to -- actually, let me try to
14
15
   mute myself while we're --
16
             MR. PAGE: I ask that we move on.
             MR. MAYO: Okay, David, I -- Fred, I get it, you
17
   don't want her to --
18
19
              THE COURT: Hold on.
20
             MR. MAYO: -- hear it. But I have --
             THE COURT: Hold on a second.
21
22
             MR. MAYO: -- a right to try to play it.
             THE COURT: Well, hold on. Hold on. Hold --
23
24
             MR. PAGE: Well, we have a right --
```

```
THE COURT: -- on.
 1
              MR. PAGE: -- to expect that it's --
 2
 3
              THE COURT: Hold on.
 4
              MR. PAGE: -- going to be an exhibit.
 5
              THE COURT: It's in the exhibits, so the question
    is, are you moving to admit the exhibit? I mean, I can try to
 6
 7
    figure out a way to listen to it separately.
              MR. PAGE: I can't even hear -- we can't even hear
 8
    it, so we can't even argue what the content is because we
10
    can't hear it.
             MR. MAYO: So that's why I said give me a second to
11
12
    try something --
13
             THE COURT: Did --
14
             MR. MAYO: -- else.
15
             THE COURT: -- you get a copy of it?
             MR. PAGE: That's why I asked to move on.
16
17
             MR. MAYO: I -- I did give him a copy --
18
             THE COURT: Stop --
19
             MR. MAYO: -- of it.
20
             THE COURT: -- Mr. Page. Did you get --
21
             MR. PAGE: I'm sorry?
22
             THE COURT: -- a copy of it to know what the content
23
   is?
24
             THE WITNESS: I just emailed it. It should be a few
```

minu -- a minute here because I don't have it on my la -- I 1 should be able to play it on my phone. 3 MR. MAYO: So we -- we did provide it to Opposing Counsel, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Right. 5 MR. PAGE: There's going to be -- there's going to 6 7 be no record, should this matter get appealed, on what the actual content -- or audio is because they can't play it, which is why I asked to move on. MR. MAYO: I'm trying --10 THE COURT: Nope. We're going to try -- they're --11 12 he's going to and play it, so that's what we're doing. Hold on one second. 13 14 (Pause) 15 MR. MAYO: And you can't play it, Fred? MR. PAGE: No. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I -- I almost have it now. I'm downloading it on my phone. It's -- it should be just another 18 19 few minutes, probably. 20 MR. MAYO: All right, hold on. Let me try 21 something. And, Your Honor, I apolo -- I started using the 22 share feature since we were -- first time in court, which is a little bit easier, but I still haven't (indiscernible -23

24

simultaneous speech) --

Christie as to whether she denies it happened, I guess, maybe

1 and --2 MR. MAYO: Well, look, and I'll -- and -- and I'll 3 try to fix it. And if so if she does that, then I'll -- I'll play it for -- in rebuttal. THE COURT: Okay, very good. 5 6 MR. MAYO: All right. 7 THE COURT: Let's move on. 8 MR. MAYO: Okay. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. MAYO: 10 Now, when you -- when your divorce was initiated, 11 12 David, were you the CEO of any of the businesses we were 13 discussing? 14 For Atomic Radiology, I was. Okay. And did you -- at some point, did you stop 15 being the CEO? 16 17 Α Yeah, I found a --What happened? 18 0 19 Well, I found a document later that says that I 20 signed my rights to Christie, which I never did, filed with 21 the Secretary of State. At least, it was supposed to be, it 22 says. 23 Okay. Now --0

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

Α

It was on Decem --

Q

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23 24

Well, hold -- hold -- hold on.

Α Okay.

Twenty-five, okay.

Okay. Christie was asked basically, any expense that could be deemed personal in nature, for her to explain why she constituted it to be a legitimate business expense. What's -- can you read Christie's answer -- answer to number 25?

Yeah, it says, all money spent of personal nature from any business account has not been labeled as a business expense and are counted as personal income.

Okay, all right. Now, has -- during this litigation, has Christie made any claims in regards to the businesses not being profitable?

Yeah, she changed -- well, okay. So the -initially, it started with Atomic Radiology. It was May or June of 2019. At one of the exchanges, she said that she couldn't afford the malpractice insurance anymore and that she was going to have to close down the business.

Later that summer, we had a court date, I believe. And she said that it was because the medical director didn't want to get involved with the divorce. So she changed her story, but yes. For Atomic Radiology, the -- she said that. For the other ones, she --

9

11

12

1314

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q -- of any -- do you know of any reason why Christie would lose clients in 2019 or 2020?

A Well, I mean, it could be because she wasn't keeping up with the work or something. I'm not sure without being directly involved at that point.

Q Okay. And 2018, was there any discussions between you and she regarding the loss of her clients for the businesses?

A Yeah, at one point, she lost one of the big -- one of a big compan -- big clients. It was USARAD, is what it was -- was called. And she was concerned and wanted to update her software. She was working with some Indian groups. And I don't know the final outcome. Presumably, it either didn't happen. Or I'm not sure what the outcome was. But she was working -- she was trying to get her software updated.

Q Okay. Now, did Christie ever claim that her software need to be updated so that she wouldn't lose business or income?

A It was more, I think, to keep up and try to gain new clients. It's -- the ones that she had, I -- I -- it's my understanding, it was fine for maintenance. But it's harder to gain a client when other companies have, you know, superior looking products.

Q Did she ever -- did you and she ever talk about the

page 5?

A Oh, I thought I was. Oh, it says four. It's five on the PDF reader, sorry about that. You said 11 to 14?

O Eleven to 23.

A Eleven to 23, okay. In response to page 12 of Plaintiff's countermotion, David has caused the late payments regarding the vehicle and mortgage. David intentionally denied assistance in trading in the van at the dealership when the vehicle was found to have significant transmission and engine issues that were not covered under warranty. Christie had alerted David and asked for his help via Our Family Wizard and has documented in -- yeah, and has documented in providing the contract and business card at the dealership and the information.

Christie then had to come up with significant funds to pay for a manufacturer's warranty because she was unable, without David's assistance, to trade in the van. Whereas, if she would have been able to trade in and not pay for such, would have had the funds to make the mortgage and vehicle payments on time and would have eliminated the van even being in his name and his concerns about such late payment. Every payment, including the trade-in vehicle, was made by Christie to note regarding -- to note regarding true ownership.

Q Okay. So does Christie blame you for her failing to

1 spooked. And --2 MR. PAGE: Objection, hearsay. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can tell you what happened. So he went to talk to his manager --5 MR. PAGE: Objection, hearsay. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. Sustained. 8 MR. MAYO: All right. 9 THE COURT: Unless you're -- yeah, unless you're 10 offering for the -- not the truth of the matter asserted. But 11 it sounds like you are, so sustained. Unless you have an 12 exception. 13 MR. MAYO: No, no, that's okay, Your Honor. One 1.4 second. 15 (Pause) BY MR. MAYO: 16 17 All right, David, can you turn to Exhibit 142? Okay. Yeah, I have it. 18 19 All right. Do you recognize this document? 20 Let's see. Okay, yeah, it looks like a login -online login for home warranty -- or not for a home warranty, 21 22 for the car warranty. It's either -- let me ro -- I've got to 23 rotate it, and then I can read a little better. Okay, yeah, it's DealerCONNECT, the home -- home warranty (sic) thing. 24

Τ	Mr. Page?
2	MR. PAGE: Exhibit 37, the print on there is so
3	small, as to be illegible. While he's questioning Mr. Stucke,
4	I'm trying to use the zoom feature on my iPhone camera to try
5	and begin to read what's even there. Should if they want
6	to do submit something, submit something that's legible. I se
7	would ask that the admission be
8	THE WITNESS: He's looking
9	MR. PAGE: denied based on
LO	THE WITNESS: on his phone, is the (indiscernible
11	- simultaneous speech)
L2	MR. PAGE: that ground. Also as for Exhibit 39,
13	the same issues again
4	THE COURT: Okay, hold
15	MR. PAGE: (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
6	
.7	THE COURT: on, Mr. Page. Hold on. Hold on, let
. 8	me ask you a question. Did you say 37 is fine?
.9	MR. PAGE: No, I said 37 is illegible. Well, he
20	(indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
21	THE COURT: Okay, so are you objecting to what it
22	says? Are you objecting to some is there some legal
3	objection, or is that it's illegible? Because if I can't read
4	

1	MR. PAGE: I (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
2	
3	THE COURT: it, then it's not going to do me any
4	good.
5	MR. PAGE: If memory serves me correctly, I believe
6	illegible is a is a valid
7	THE COURT: Hold on.
8	MR. PAGE: objection.
9	THE COURT: Well, let me look at mine. Hold on
10	MR. PAGE: I
11	THE COURT: one second. Because if yours
12	MR. PAGE: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: is illegible, then we can maybe fix
14	that. Let me see if mine is illegible on my end because I
15	don't know the answer. Hold on.
16	(Pause)
17	MR. MAYO: Mine's legible. It's just small because
L8	we're trying to we were trying to, instead of having it on
L 9	for like, you know, 20 pages, we were trying to do what we
20	could to keep him on as few pages as possible.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's pretty readable. You might
22	have to click zoom once or twice, but it'll print fine also.
23	MR. PAGE: Well, the current version is too small to

be considered legible.

2

5

6

7

Honor?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MAYO: Okay.

MR. PAGE: I'm not required to use a magnifying glass to try and read it. That should have been considered before it was put in an exhibit, quite frankly.

THE COURT: Yeah, mine's really small, too.

MR. MAYO: Can you zoo -- can you zoom in, Your

THE COURT: I am zooming. Hold on one second.

Zooming -- zooming -- yeah, I did a couple zooms, and I can review it. Do you have it on -- does -- Mr. Page, do you have it on -- do you have it on electronic version? You have an electronic version; don't you?

MR. PAGE: He did email an electronic version. point is, I printed everything out. As far as what the record, should this go on appeal is, it's going to be the printed-out version. This is illegible.

THE COURT: You sure about that? I don't know about that.

MR. PAGE: While he's -- while he's questioning his client, I'm using the zoom feature on my camera on my iPhone to try and read what's going on -- what -- what's there -what he's asking questions about. No one should have to do that.

MR. MAYO: You -- Your Honor, he's got a laptop in

looking at it. I see, you know, at the top of the column, 100 percent 2020, 100 percent -- I -- and this number is not real legible -- 4,204, it appears, 100 percent 5,025, 3,047. I mean, I'm seeing the numbers at 100 percent, they're just not

22

23

2.4

```
real easy to see.
 1
 2
              MR. PAGE: Agreed. They're (indiscernible -
 3
    simultaneous speech) --
 4
              THE COURT: And like I said, I don't know what your
    computer is -- is showing them --
 6
              MR. PAGE: Actually --
 7
              THE COURT: -- to you at --
              MR. PAGE: I'm --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: -- but if they're --
10
              MR. PAGE: I'm not -- I -- I don't mean to interrupt
   Your Honor. I'm not using my computer. We are to use paper
11
12
   because that is the record. The record, what I've printed
13
   out, is too small to be legible. Should this, however it may
14
   qo --
15
              THE COURT: Well, my point being --
16
             MR. PAGE: -- up on (indiscernible - simultaneous
17
   speech) --
18
             THE COURT: -- when we print -- if we were --
19
             MR. PAGE: -- the --
20
             THE COURT: -- to -- well, wait --
21
             MR. PAGE: -- record is going --
22
             THE COURT: -- wait, wait.
23
             MR. PAGE: -- to be printed out and is not going to
   be legible.
24
```

```
THE COURT: Well, I don't know. That's my point.
 1
    If we're utilizing -- if we're printing at 100 percent, then
    it's legible. That's what I'm trying to --
             MR. PAGE:
 4
                         I --
             THE COURT: -- tell you. I can --
 5
             MR. PAGE: I printed out --
 6
 7
             THE COURT: -- see it.
             MR. PAGE: -- at 100 percent. I printed it out as
 8
    it comes in. And this is how it printed out when I --
10
             THE COURT: Well, I don't know --
11
             MR. PAGE: -- did all --
12
             THE COURT: -- because it wa --
13
             MR. PAGE: -- 8,000 pages.
14
             THE COURT: -- because when it first -- hold on.
15
   Hold on. Because when I first opened it up, it was at 77 or
    something percent. And if you printed it at that number, it
16
17
   would be absolutely illegible. It's still not --
18
             MR. PAGE: Well --
19
             THE COURT: -- great. I will --
20
             MR. PAGE: -- when I had --
             THE COURT: -- agree with you.
21
22
             MR. PAGE: This fills up the entire page. It's
23
   still too small to read.
24
             MR. MAYO: Your Honor, I can read it. And if I
```

```
don't, I have my glasses here to put it on. It's not that
    hard.
 3
              THE COURT: Well, like --
 4
              MR. PAGE: It's not --
 5
              THE COURT: -- I said --
 6
             MR. PAGE: -- that hard to (indiscernible -
 7
   simultaneous speech) --
 8
              THE COURT: -- at 100 percent, which is what it
    should be printed at.
10
             MR. PAGE: -- that is legible. It should be a basic
11
   requirement.
             MR. MAYO: Okay, Your Honor, we are in the
12
13
   technology age. The administrative orders said, during this
14
   time, that we can submit exhibits electronically and look at
15
   them --
16
             THE COURT: I agree.
17
             MR. MAYO: -- electronically --
18
             THE COURT: I understand --
19
             MR. MAYO: -- through a shared screen --
20
             THE COURT: -- that. And I'm --
21
             MR. MAYO: It's like --
22
             THE COURT: -- saying at a hun -- I -- I hear you.
   At 100 percent, which is what I'm going to say this needs to
```

be printed at, I can see it, 100 percent size. It's not

Ь

great. And there are some numbers that I have a hard time seeing with my bare eyes, without my glasses on. And so to me, that's what the record should be, 100 percent size. I can see it. I read the num -- I can read the numbers across the bottom. I can read the percentages. Again, not -- not great. And if I can't see it and that -- and that causes me to not be able to consider a number, then that's life.

Because I'm not going to say it should be blown up to 250 percent just -- you know, no. One hundred percent size, this is what it is. So I don't know -- again, I -- I sa -- like I said, Mr. Page, I don't know what you printed on your end. But whatever the record will be, whatever we print on our end -- and I'm saying this needs to be at 100 percent size, period. The end. And so I can see most of the numbers. Some of them I cannot. And then if I cannot see them, that's on Mr. Mayo's client and Mr. Mayo, however they presented this. One hundred percent.

I don't know, Mr. Mayo, if it's going to be utilized in electronic form. If it is and somehow if it goes up to the court of appeals and it's -- they're willing to take the electronic version per -- version and blow it up to a thousand percent, fine. But from my perspective, if it's going to be printed, it'll be printed at 100 percent. And that's what I'll utilize.

have to for summaries.

1

MR. MAYO: Right. And -- and --

2

THE COURT: But --

3

MR. MAYO: -- if you recall, we had -- we had this

4

argument on the first day of trial.

THE COURT: I know.

5 6

MR. MAYO: And Your Honor had said --

7

THE COURT: I know.

8

MR. MAYO: -- that I had disclosed -- I disclosed

the documents, which was -- I was required to, that they had

10

time to review the documents, to review the summary. And if

there's issues regarding either one, they have the right to

11 12

cross examine my client or to ask Christie as to inaccuracies

13

or unreliability. But I'm --

14

THE COURT: I --

15

MR. MAYO: -- not required -- yeah.

16

THE COURT: -- understand. Yeah, we had -- we did,

17

that's what I'm saying. What -- the weight I give it is a

18

different story. But the fact that those documents are

19 20

that was voluminous, which Mr. Page pointed out was

21

voluminous, then he's entitled to make those summaries.

created and summarized utilizing various documentation that --

-- the weight I give it is the question.

23

And mister -- Mr. Page is absolutely entitled to ask him any questions about that, the underlying documentation he

24

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

```
1
   used, sum -- how he created the summary. And so I think we're
   -- we're beating a dead horse at this point. So -- and we --
    and we do not have a lot of time, guys. I keep saying this.
 3
    Like, Mr. Ma -- Mr. Mayo, you've got to, like, focus and get
    this -- get us through this --
              MR. MAYO: I --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: -- because --
              MR. MAYO: I am, but I'm -- I'm getting ex -- I'm
 8
    getting --
 9
              MR. PAGE: He's not --
10
11
              MR. MAYO: -- objections every --
12
              MR. PAGE: He's not focused.
13
              MR. MAYO: -- five seconds that --
              THE COURT: I know, but -- I -- I mean, I need some
14
15
    -- I need some -- I'm just telling you, I need some focus.
16
    Like, what are we trying to accomplish here by all of this?
17
    If they can't have him -- I just think --
18
             MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --
19
              THE COURT: -- we need to move faster.
20
             MR. PAGE: -- two weeks.
              THE COURT: We mo -- we need to move faster.
21
22
             MR. MAYO: All right, that's fine, Your Honor.
23
   we would -- again, I -- my -- I have requested to admit 37 and
24
   39, just waiting for a ruling.
```

1 January. 2 MR. MAYO: No, the order was filed February 27th. 3 It was from the January 7th hearing. 4 THE COURT: Right, I allowed some monies to come out of the account to pay the bills. 5 6 MR. MAYO: Correct. 7 BY MR. MAYO: 8 All right. Now, so, David, that would have been 13 0 -- 13 months' worth of payments that were paid for by you and re -- and taken out of the client trust account? 10 Α Yes. 11 12 All right. So that would have come to \$20,790.64? I -- I believe you. (Indiscernible - simultaneous 13 Α 14 speech) --15 MR. PAGE: Objection, foundation. MR. MAYO: I --16 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MR. MAYO: My founda -- no, the foundation was 19 monthly payments of \$1,599 and that there was 13 months of 20 payments that were made, totaling \$20,000 --THE COURT: One thousand -- what was the number? 21 Okay, so did --22 23 MR. MAYO: Well --24 THE COURT: -- you hear that, Mr. Page?

```
1
           I believe Christie made two since then, so I -- I
    think 11.
 3
         0
              Okay, so 11 --
 4
              MR. PAGE: I'm sorry --
 5
              MR. MAYO: -- months times --
 6
              MR. PAGE: -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
    months was that?
 7
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: Eleven.
 9
              MR. PAGE: Eleven months?
10
              MR. MAYO: Yes.
    BY MR. MAYO:
11
           So 11 months times $331.60, that's $3,647.60. Does
12
    that sound accurate?
13
14
             MR. PAGE: Three-six-four-one.
15
             MR. MAYO: Three-six -- 3647.60 (indiscernible) a
16
   month.
17
              THE COURT: That's the number I got, 3647.6.
18
             MR. MAYO: Correct. Okay.
19
              THE COURT: Is that what you got, Mr. Page?
20
             MR. PAGE: What was the number again?
21
             THE COURT: Three-six-four-seven, point, sixty.
22
             MR. PAGE: I have 3641 -- 331 times 11.
23
              THE COURT: 331.60 times 11. That's where the
24
   difference comes.
```

1	MR. PAGE: Three-six-four-seven-sixty, got it.
2	THE COURT: Perfect, thanks.
3	MR. MAYO: All right, thank you.
4	(Pause)
5	BY MR. MAYO:
6	Q Now, let's let's look at your affidavit, David,
7	which should be exhibit
8	MR. MAYO: Actually, Your Honor, we had filed answer
9	documents called updated let me pull this up.
10	(Pause)
11	MR. MAYO: One second.
12	THE COURT: A notice of updated balances. I see it
13	in the system. What is
14	MR. MAYO: We -
15	THE COURT: that?
16	MR. MAYO: We correct. So basically, the marital
17	it's a marital balance sheet updated bank statements from
18	my party my client and from (indiscernible)
19	MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible)
20	MR. MAYO: It's based on the it's man, this
21	echo is horrible.
22	THE COURT: What was that?
23	MR. MAYO: I keep getting echo of myself and
24	everyone else.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. MAYO: -- schedule.

provided you. I -- I just don't know because I know it's only

been filed two days ago and --2 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No. 3 THE COURT: All right, well, I mean --4 MR. MAYO: But, Your Honor --5 THE COURT: -- is there --MR. MAYO: But, Your Honor, it's -- it's based on 6 7 the statements he gave us for his own client. 8 MR. PAGE: Closing argument. 9 THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let me make that 10 determination. I'm trying to figure out, the documents that you -- so you updated this. I mean, are you guys using those 11 12 updated documents? I mean, look, we have Kogod to deal with, 13 right? So I need to know what the most updated information 14 is. 15 MR. MAYO: I --16 THE COURT: Otherwise, I --17 MR. MAYO: -- I'm representing --18 THE COURT: -- can only do --19 MR. MAYO: -- what's --20 THE COURT: -- so much. MR. MAYO: I'm representing what's in our updated 21 22 statement is the updated balance for both parties' accounts. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Does Mr. Page have the

information for your client's accounts as well as his own,

```
THE COURT: -- the retirement accounts are what they
 1
    are, right? I mean, whatever they are, they div --
              MR. PAGE:
 3
                         But they --
 4
              THE COURT: -- get divided --
              MR. PAGE: They -- they're un --
 5
 6
              THE COURT: -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
 7
 8
              MR. PAGE: They're prejudicial because they're
    claiming things that they've characterized as to separate
10
   property that are actually community property. It --
11
              THE COURT: Well, that's a --
             MR. PAGE: There is --
12
13
              THE COURT: -- different story --
14
             MR. MAYO: Well --
15
             THE COURT: -- right?
             MR. MAYO: -- that's -- that's a different iss --
16
17
             THE COURT: I just need to know -- I just -- I mean,
18
   I -- my concern is, am I using the right numbers and does
19
   anybody have any issue with these numbers. But I -- it
20
   doesn't sound to me like there's really an issue with the
   numbers. It -- we're go -- you know what the updated amounts
21
22
   are. And I mean, I'll utilize as most updated as I can if it
   makes the most sense to do that. But I -- I -- that's why I
23
```

was asking you, Mr. Page, if there was any issue with --

MR. PAGE: I mean --

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

THE COURT: -- the question. Hold on. I don't know what they're even trying to accomplish with it. It's just a notice of updated values, which it sounds to me like everybody's exchanged the documents. Are you utilizing the newest documents now? Is everybody utilizing tho -- that's, I guess what I'm trying to figure out. And I don't know what Mr. Mayo is trying to accomplish with this new document, this notice. If he's utilizing it as an exhibit or if he just wants the Court to consider it as part of their prior -- like, an updated --

MR. MAYO: Yeah, I'm asking the Court to consider it as part of our prior marital balance sheet, just updating in terms of some of the -- so basically, there was --

THE COURT: So this is --

MR. MAYO: -- some updated --

MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --

MR. MAYO: -- credit card --

THE COURT: So this is part of your prop -- so this is really part of your proposal or sort of your pretrial memo kind of like division of assets?

MR. MAYO: Cor -- correct. Well, it's -- it's in terms of listing the assets so the Court -- so we can all look at it for purposes of tra -- of identifying what they all are.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/09/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

not matter. Because like, a retirement account, if it's