

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID PATRICK STUCKE,  
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,  
vs.  
CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE,  
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

No. 82723

**FILED**

NOV 18 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *S. Young*  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS*

This is an appeal and cross-appeal from a divorce decree and determination of custody, and is subject to the fast track provisions of NRAP 3E. Appellant/cross-respondent has filed a second motion to transmit original exhibits admitted at trial. *See* NRAP 30(d). Although appellant/cross-respondent has identified certain specific exhibits, rather than the entire collection, the exhibits appear to be ordinary documents that are capable of being reproduced and included in an appendix, as anticipated by NRAP 30(d).

Appellant/cross-respondent fails to demonstrate that the documents are relevant to specific issues on appeal and are necessary to this court's determination of those issues. Appellant/cross-respondent also fails to demonstrate that the documents are incapable of being reproduced in the appendix. Accordingly the motion is denied.

Notwithstanding its untimeliness, respondent/cross-appellant's motion for an extension of time to file the combined fast track response on appeal and fast track statement on cross-appeal and an appendix is granted to the following extent. NRAP 3E(f)(3). Respondent/cross-appellant shall have until November 29, 2021, to file and serve the combined brief and an

appendix. Failure to comply may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 3E(i); NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sanchez, C.J.

cc: Rosenblum Law Offices  
Page Law Office