## APPENDICES TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1
Criminal Complaint1AA000001-2
Second Amended Criminal Complaint1AA000003-7
Third Amended Criminal Complaint1AA000008-12
Fourth Amended Criminal Complaint1AA000013 -18
Minutes 9/23/15 Arraignment
Indictment1AA000020-26
Superseding Indictment1AA000027 -33
Second Superseding Indictment1AA000034 -40
Transcript – Calendar Call1AA000041-1AA000059
Transcript Jury Trial Day (tr. p. 1-143) .1AA000060- 1AA000202
Transcript Jury Trial Day (tr. p. 1-48 of 175)1AA000203-250
Volume 2
Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 (tr. cont. p. 49-175) 2AA000251-AA000251-377
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 9/14/16 (tr. p. 1-123)
Volume 3
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 (tr. cont. p. 124-228)3AA000501-605
Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 (tr. p. 1-145)3AA000606- 750
Volume 4
Transcript Trial Day 4 (tr. cont. p. 146-197)4AA000751-802
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. p. 1-198)4AA000803 -4AA001000
Volume 5
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. cont. p. 199-215).5AA001001-5AA001017
Transcript Jury Trial Day 6 9/19/16 (tr. p. 1-121)5AA001018-1138
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 9/20/16 (tr. p. 1-112 of 176)5AA001139-1250
Volume 6
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr. cont. p. 113-176)6AA001251-6AA001314
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)6AA001314-1447
Transcript Jury Trial Day 9 9/22/16 (tr. p. 1-53 of 150)6AA001448-1500
Volume 7
Transcript Jury Trial Day 9 (tr. cont. p. 54-150)7AA001448-1597
Transcript Jury Trial Day 10 9/23/16 (tr. p. 1-153 of 251).7AA001598-1750
Volume 8
Transcript Jury Trial Day 10 (tr. cont. p. 154-251)8AA001751-1848
Transcript Jury Trial Day 11 9/27/16 (tr. p. 1-145).8AA001849-8AA001993
Transcript Jury Trial Day 12 (tr. p. 1-7 of 150)8AA001994-8AA002000
Volume 9
Transcript Jury Trial Day 12 (tr. cont. p. 8-150)9AA002001-9AA002143

Transcript Jury Trial Day 13 (tr. p. 1-107 of 165).9-AA002144- 9AA002250
Volume 10
Transcript Jury Trial Day 13 (tr. cont. p. 108-65)10AA002251-10AA002308
Transcript Trial Day 14 (tr. p. 1-192 of 258)10AA002309-10AA002500
Volume 11
Transcript Jury Trial Day 14 (tr. cont. p. 193-258)11AA002501-2566
Transcript Jury Trial Day 15 10/3/16 (tr. p. 1-68)11AA002567-2634
Transcript Jury Trial Day 16 10/4/16 (tr. p. 1-116 of 140)11AA002635-2760
Volume 12
Transcript Jury Trial Day 16 (tr. cont. p. 117-140)12AA002761 -2774
Transcript Jury Trial Day 17 10/5/16 (tr. p. 1-32)12AA002775-3806
Transcript Jury Trial Day 18 10/6/162 (tr. p. 1-127)12AA002809-2933
Transcript Jury Trial Day 19 10/7/16 (p. 1-67 of 79)12AA002934-3000
Volume 13
Transcript Jury Trial Day 19 (tr. cont. p. 68-79)13AA3001-3012
Judgment of Conviction 12/2/16
Notice of Appeal 12/22/16
Appendix Volume 14
Disbarment of Trial Attorney William Wolfbrandt14 AA 3018-3029
Grand Jury Transcript Volume I January 8, 201514 AA 3030-3086
Grand Jury Transcript Volume II January 29, 201514 AA 3087-3226
Defendant Mendoza's Proposed Jury Instructions14 AA 3227-3236
(Not used at Jury Trial)
Appendix Volume 15
Jury Instructions Used and Blank Verdict Forms15 AA 3237-3299
Verdict Form
Appellant's Opening Brief in Prior Appeal 7205615 AA 3309-3341
Respondent's Answering Brief
Court of Appeals Order of Affirmance for Appeal 7205615 AA 3374-3378
Inmate filed Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus 10/18/1915AA 3379-3387
Inmate filed handwritten Request for Hearing on Motion
To Amend and Appoint Counsel 11/14/1915 AA 3388-3395
State's Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas
Corpus (Post-Conviction) Motion for Appointment of
Counsel, Request for Evidentiary Hearing and Motion
To Amend 12/10/19
9/20/20 Supplemental Brief in Support of Postconviction
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
Exhibit 1 Affidavit of Jorge Mendoza15 AA 3454-3457
Appendix Volume 16

State's Response to Petitioner's Supplemental Brief in Support of Petitioner's Postconviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
Postconviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
And Supplement 12/14/2020
Records for Consideration
Exhibit 1 Mendoza Medical Records Directly
After Being Shot September 21, 201416 AA 3592-3626
Appendix Volume 17
Court Minutes from Evidentiary Hearing on
Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus & Motion for
Leave to Add to Record
2/23/2021 Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing re Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion for Leave to Add
Hospital Records
3/14/2021 Objection to Proposed Findings of Fact,
Conclusions of Law & Order
4/2/2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law & Order17 AA 3692-3740
4/5/2021 Notice of Appeal
4/8/2021 Minute Order Admitting Hospital Record and
Photos as Hearing Court's Exhibits 1 & 2
ALDITADETICAL ODDED OF ADDENDICES 1 17
ALPHABETICAL ORDER OF APPENDICES 1-17 Affidavit of Jorge Mendoza
Arraignment Minutes 9/23/15
Criminal Complaint1AA000001-2
Criminal Complaint Second Amended1AA000003-7
Criminal Complaint Third Amended1AA000008-12
Criminal Complaint Fourth Amended1AA000013 -18
Disbarment of Trial Attorney William Wolfbrandt14 AA 3018-3029
Evidentiary Hearing 2/23/21 Transcript17AA 3628-3682
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law & Order Objection
to Proposed 3/14/2021
Hospital Police Statement of Jorge Mendoza Part 116 AA 3487-3539
Hospital Police Statement of Jorge Mendoza Part 216 AA 3540-3556
1

Hospital Records of Mendoza 9/21/14
Indictment
Indictment Superseding
Indictment Second Superseding 1AA000034 -40
Inmate filed Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus 10/18/1915AA 3379-3387
Inmate filed Handwritten Request 11/14/1915 AA 3388-3395
Judgment of Conviction 12/2/16
Jury Instructions (Mendoza's Proposed Not Used) 14 AA 3227-3236
Jury Instructions Used and Blank Verdict Forms15 AA 3237-3299
Notice of Appeal (First Notice Direct Appeal) 12/22/16 .13AA003017- 3018
Notice of Appeal (Writ of Habeas Corpus) 4/5/202117 AA 3741-3743
Prior Appeal Appellant's Opening Brief Appeal 7205615 AA 3309-3341
Prior Appeal Respondent's Answering Brief15 AA 3342-3373
Prior Appeal Order of Affirmance
Reply to State's Response on Supplement 12/14/202016AA 3557-3587
State's Initial Response to Petition 12/10/1915 AA 3396-3422
State's Response to Petitioner's Supplemental
Supplement to Petition for Writ 9/20/2020
Transcript Grand Jury Transcript Volume I 1/8/1514 AA 3030-3086
Transcript Grand Jury Transcript Volume II 1/29/1514 AA 3087-3226
Transcript Calendar Call 9/7/171AA000041-1AA000059
Transcript Jury Trial Day 1 (tr. p. 1-143)1AA000060- 1AA000202
Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 (tr. p. 1-48 of 175)1AA000203-250
Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 (tr. cont. p. 49-175)2AA000251-AA00251-377
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 9/14/16 (tr. p. 1-123 of 228)2AA00378-500
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 (tr. cont. p. 124-228) 3AA000501-605
Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 (tr. p. 1-145 of 197)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 (tr. cont. p. 146-197)4AA000751-802
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. p. 1-198)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. cont. p. 199-215)5AA001001-1017
Transcript Jury Trial Day 6 9/19/16 (tr. p. 1-121) 5AA001018-1138
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr p. 1-112 of 176)2016 5AA001139-1250
114115011pt 341 y 11141 Day / (4 p. 1 112 01 1/0)2010 51111001159 1250
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr. cont. p. 113-176) 6A A 001251-6A A 001314
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr. cont. p. 113-176)6AA001251-6AA001314 Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133) 6AA001314-1447
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133) 6AA001314-1447
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133) 6AA001314-1447 Transcript Jury Trial Day 9 (tr. p. 1-53 of 150)6AA001448-1500
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)

Dated July 25, 2021
BY /s/ DIANE C. LOWE
DIANE C. LOWE, ESQ
Nevada Bar #14573

THE COURT: All right, so the -- the statute is, if it's consistent with the declarant's testimony and offered to rebut an express or implied charge against the declarant of recent fabrication or improper influence or a motive. So, you know, your argument was well, he had that motive at the time he made that statement. That's -- you can argue that --

MR. LANDIS: It's directly from --

THE COURT: -- but that doesn't mean necessarily that it doesn't come in as a prior consistent statement because as the State's pointing out, this -- those statements were made before.

Now, did he get -- did he have in his mind, perhaps, a motive? Yeah, maybe, but to me that's more an argument as opposed to it doesn't come in.

MR. LANDIS: Well, my opinion of the law, the NRS, is a prior consistent statement is only admissible if it's to rebut the admission of an inconsistent statement and the prior consistent statement was made at a time when the witness had no motive to fabricate. That's a legal issue, I believe.

THE COURT: Well, that's not what it says. Are you saying that there is a case that further interprets the statute? Because the statute says that hearsay is -- does not include, you know, a statement that is consistent with the declarant's testimony and offered to rebut an express or imply charge against the declarant of recent fabrication or improper

influence or motive.

MR. LANDIS: And that's exactly what that's saying.

I think the case law explains it the way I explained it.

MS. McNEILL: Right. And I think I join Mr. -THE COURT: You better tell me what case you're
citing to.

MS. McNEILL: I would join Mr. Landis's objection, and I read that statute to say that when you're questioning the witness, if you are implying that they've recently fabricated their testimony, then they're allowed to bring in consistent statements to show that this is what you've always said. And I think Mr. Landis is correct, we're not saying you've recently changed your testimony. We're saying at the time that you made this statement to the police, these were all fabrications.

And so I don't think they're bringing consistent statements to show this is what you've always shown. Where we're saying this isn't what you've always said. You've recently changed your mind. You've recently fabricated these statements. We're saying these statements have always been fabricated.

THE COURT: Well, that's really not how it went. In front of the jury it was he didn't -- you didn't say X, Y, Z at the time. You didn't tell us the -- what time, you know, of the morning. You didn't -- you know, there were specifics.

And the implication to me was that he's made it up since he gave those statements. And so to -- and there's been further -- certainly, the cross to me is all about that he has a motive, that being that he's going to get some favorable treatment or has gotten favorable treatment to lie in court.

MR. LANDIS: I don't -- I'm sorry, I don't understand what the point that he had a motive to fabricate would be if it's not at the arrest or at October 24th when he makes his first statement -- his first proffer.

MR. DiGIACOMO: The implication is, is that there's only one motive in this case. Was there a motive for him to cooperate on October 24th? Absolutely. But the questions that were asked of him after that were hey, now you're adding facts that you didn't tell before. You're now making your testimony, you know, more beneficial to the State in conforming it to the evidence, I guess -- I think that didn't directly come out, but that was the implication. That's one.

But two, the reason that the statement would be admissible is if you think about the case in context, what he says on 10/24, if it's corroborated by evidence afterwards, that's not even offered for the truth of the matter asserted. It's offered just solely to check that, what he said, against the evidence afterwards and thus, it establishes that he didn't lie. The implication that he had a motive to lie.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Well, he tells this story before we have any of the

evidence, and that's certainly relevant and admissible and the jury has to know what did he say since they've now received the other evidence, in order to make that conclusion. And that was the entire subject of their cross-examination is that you are now suddenly conforming your testimony to the evidence that the State want -- you know is asserting is what happened in this case, and they didn't get a chance to look at that first statement and decide could he have done that, and the answer is absolutely not. And that's why it's also admissible.

I mean, there are so many bases of which to admit this particular thing. The fact that he had a motivation to make that statement is not the only thing that matters in assessing the admissibility of a statement.

MR. LANDIS: It does if we're going to admit it as a prior consistent statement. I would agree if the argument's other, refresh recollection or whatever it might, that's a different argument. But if we're arguing it as a prior consistent statement, motive to fabricate is 100 percent a relevant controlling factor.

THE COURT: Well, I disagree with that, but as well, you, you know, showed him many times to refresh his recollection the various statements. And we had a bench conference where I said you need to read this whole page or a couple pages in because it's taken -- the part you're wanting

to single out is taken out of context. And so yes, when you repeatedly, you know, refresh his recollection or purport to refresh his recollection with certain things, then that's concerning.

Now, I guess, part of the question that we have is have you had the opportunity even to look at these statements, these redacted statements yet?

MR. LANDIS: I haven't looked at them, no.

MS. McNEILL: I've looked at all of them except the Grand Jury transcript. I haven't made my way to that yet.

MR. LANDIS: But to the extent the Court ruled, let me just say as to the refreshed recollection admissibility, I think the case law is clear that it wouldn't be an entire transcript. It would be the portions that are relevant to whatever it is that refreshes recollection on, and I'd cite Barnett v. State (phonetic), 105 --

THE COURT: I agree with that. You don't even have to cite the law.

MR. LANDIS: Okay.

THE COURT: I agree with that, but that's why I said yesterday I haven't seen the statements, and you're going to need to look at the statements and then make those kind of arguments as far as well, this isn't relevant for whatever reason, but I need you to address it, because I just -- these just got dropped on me -- you know, I haven't had any time.

I've been on the bench since 9:00 o'clock this morning and I did a search warrant before that. So I haven't had any time to look at them.

MR. LANDIS: And I -- State, correct me if I'm

MR. LANDIS: And I -- State, correct me if I'm wrong, I believe the redactions they have made were exclusively bad act inferences.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. I mean, the 10/24 statement, which is the one which is most important in the subject matter. The 10/20 statement's a prior inconsistent statement. I don't think they even care if that comes in. And then there's the 1/25 statement where he was crossed on, and it's very short on almost the entire substance of it.

On the 10/24, if you recall, Ms. McNeill asked, where in this entire statement, look at this entire statement and tell me where in it, in here, you said X. So he looked at the entire statement. So I can't imagine why the entire 10/24 statement wouldn't be admissible at this point because she showed the entire statement to the witness.

THE COURT: Okay. And your response to that.

MR. LANDIS: I don't see --

THE COURT: Or Ms. McNeill, I was -- I'm sorry.

MR. LANDIS: Okay.

THE COURT: I was looking at her because --

MS. McNEILL: That's okay, Mr. Landis.

MR. LANDIS: No, go ahead.

MS. McNEILL: Well, I mean, one, I don't know if he actually looked at the entire statement when he said that. But I mean, I did ask him to do that. But again, I don't -- they can certainly ask him because I think what they were arguing yesterday was that the police didn't actually ask him for a specific time, and there was no reason they can't then stand up and say on redirect, well, we were talking about the times you did these things, did the police ask you for a specific time, and they can certainly address that by asking that question.

I don't know if the whole document needs to come in because again, if you admit the portions that are relevant, it's not the entire document that's relevant. It's the portions that talk about what time these things happened.

THE COURT: When you do -- when you ask a witness show me through this -- you know, look at your entire statement and show me, you didn't say anywhere in your statement X, Y, Z, you know, and the statement doesn't come in, then basically, you've been allowed to testify as to what's in a statement.

MS. McNEILL: Well, the State could always ask on redirect if that was a mischaracterization of the statement, if there was somewhere in the statement where it said that. Again, they can ask on redirect, you did, in fact, say X. The police asked you this and you gave them that time. So, I

mean, I wasn't mischaracterizing his testimony such that I was testifying about something that they can't clean up on redirect.

MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't know what rule of evidence says if there's an alternate way to prove a fact, you could do it the alternate way. The question is, is this legally admissible at this point? And it squarely falls within president statute because he was shown the entire statement.

So unless there's something that they're going to argue is more prejudicial than probative from it, and I would also argue that it's independently admissible as non-hearsay, but as a hearsay document for the truth of the matter asserted, it is certainly admissible under the statute once he's asked to look at it in his testimony and look at not just page 25 or page 27.

I can ask the witness isn't it true you didn't say that and he says no. Well, now you're still relying upon his credibility. We're entitled to put in the statement and let the jury look. What was asked, what was answered and was the answer appropriate based upon what he with know his testimony to be?

MR. LANDIS: And my response would be I agree and admissibility is the only question. What Ms. McNeill did I do not think is a refreshed recollection. I think that it amounts to a prior inconsistent statement. Showing somebody a

whole transcript and asking them to show you where something's in it is not a refreshed recollection.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Well --

MR. LANDIS: And that's --

THE COURT: He was asked to --

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, 50.125 is if you put any portion of a document in, any other relevant portion. Well, you asked him to look at the entire thing and said in the entire thing that's not in there, correct? So you've put a portion of the document in. So there's two statutes, either one of which would be independently available for admissibility purposes.

MR. LANDIS: And the last thing I want to say for the record, Ms. McNeill's conduct should not admit anything against my client. Our conduct should not admit anything against Mr. Wolfbrandt's client, in my opinion.

MS. McNEILL: I would agree with that. I think
Mr. Wolfbrandt probably has, you know, if the issue seems to
be questions Mr. Landis and I asked that are bringing in these
documents, certainly he may need to -- want to make a record
about how that may affect his client.

THE COURT: All right. I'm looking at 50.125.

Okay. So it says, "If a witness uses a writing to refresh his or her memory while testifying, an adverse party is entitled to introduce in evidence those portions which relate to the

testimony of the witness for the purpose of effecting the witness's credibility.

Is that what you're talking about?

MR. DiGIACOMO: That's one of them. And then there's also 47.120.

THE COURT: 47.120.

determination.

of --

MR. DiGIACOMO: And that would tell you -THE COURT: Well, yeah, that's just the remainder

MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. This is the <u>Dominquez</u> case in which the State attempted to put in a portion of a defendant's statement and not the remainder of his statement, just the inculpatory portions, and not the exculpatory portions. And the Nevada Supreme Court said no, once you put a portion of a statement in, that entire statement comes in. And they cite, I think, both 50.125 and 47.120. There is no way around this. Once it is you go into that, this is

THE COURT: Okay. And so the statute you're referring to 47.120, of course, is -- allows -- "When any part of a writing or a recorded statement is introduced by a party, the party may be required to introduce any other part of it which is relevant to the part introduced, and any party may introduce any other relevant parts." And it says, this does

admissible for the jury to make that credibility

not limit cross-examination. 1 2 So the issue is, is there anything that's irrelevant -- that's what I was talking about yesterday. So you have to tell me what you think is irrelevant, because it's relevant, 4 and I think they're talking about relevant to the part that's 5 introduced. I mean, that's --6 MR. DiGIACOMO: That's subsection (1). Subsection (2) says any other relevant part, and that's what Dominguez 8 talks about is look, you can't put in that the guy admitted to being in possession of the credit cards when later he says in 10 his statement, you know, that he took the credit cards 11 12 afterwards. Once a party introduces one part of a statement, the entire statement that is relevant to the proceeding comes 13 is what <u>Dominguez</u> says. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. So --16 MR. DiGIACOMO: That's subsection (2). 17 No, subsection (2) says this section THE COURT: does not limit cross-examination. 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: Or I mean, there's an (a) and a (b) 19 or maybe -- whatever maybe it is but it's --20 21 THE COURT: No, there's no (a) or (b). It's just all 22 part of one sentence, but it does says, just how I read it. There's -- it's, "and any party may introduce any other 23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

Other relevant parts.

24

25

relevant parts". Okay.

MR. DiGIACOMO:

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And what the Supreme Court says that's relevant to the proceeding, not relevant to what it was that he was asked about.

THE COURT: Well, and moreover, if it's relevant to his credibility, potentially, the whole thing comes in anyway. To me that's the point. When you're putting in -- you're trying to impeach someone from their prior statements, it goes to credibility and therefore, if the rest of the statement would go to that, it comes in anyway.

So under the first part of the sentence or the second, but again, so if you have some specific argument that something is completely irrelevant, that's why the redactions, I suppose, were done, in part, too, to keep out things that might not be relevant. But you need to look at it so that before they're -- you know, they're offered to be admitted, that you have the opportunity to specifically make your objections.

MR. LANDIS: And we will do so.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LANDIS: Two more things for clarification. I'm trying to be quick so the jury -- so if the questions I asked on redirect that calls for a prior consistent statement, do you want concurrent objections at this point?

THE COURT: Yes. I think you need to make

concurrent objections so the Supreme Court is, you know, saying that they're not considered to be made. If you're not making them, you're not making them.

MR. LANDIS: And then second, just so everyone's aware, to the extent the Court rules that these transcripts or portions of them are admissible under best evidence, I think it's the tapes that go back or at least the tapes on the transcripts.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Only if there is a argument that the transcript is not consistent with the original tapes, and thus, I don't think they're making that argument unless I'm confused, like there's something in there that he didn't actually say on the recordings, which were previously disclosed. They have to come up with something to say the tape's not -- the transcript -- in order to -- for the best evidence rule to apply, you have to dispute the original.

MR. LANDIS: Best evidence rule, the original is the original. But yeah, there are spots where there's misprints, there's blanks that if you listen to the tape you know what they said. Yeah, I mean, there's plenty of those in there.

THE COURT: Best evidence rule isn't about originals and copies. People confuse that all the time. So certainly, if is a concern that this is not an accurate transcription, then you need to let us know because if those -- if it's going to be the audio, then those need to be redacted. So --

MR. LANDIS: And I'm not saying it's necessarily quote/unquote "inaccurate", but it's incomplete and there's some small typos, not a few significant, but it's incomplete as to a ton of words and some of it is.

MS. McNEILL: That's correct. There are -- in the transcript there's areas where it says "inaudible" or "unintelligible", and I think those are the portions that Mr. Landis is referring to that certainly the audio would be best for the jury to have instead of where the transcriptionist wasn't able to discern what was said.

MR. LANDIS: And I agree with the original copy thing, but best evidence, a recording, which is the original, is more accurate than these transcripts prepared by another person. I think that's exactly what the best evidence goes to.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And the whole purpose of us redacting these was to keep out the fact that Mr. Laguna and Mr. Figueroa were cell mates, and thus, it was very easy to do in a Word document in such a way that that information wouldn't be conveyed to the jury nor would they know it was redacted.

In this particular case, if they don't -- I mean, theoretically, if that's their objection, then we will put in both a redacted version of the audio as well as a transcript so they have something to follow along while they're listening

to it, but, you know, otherwise, this is the statement of the witness, and if they don't dispute the accuracy of it, I think they're just trying to create a situation where it's more difficult for a jury to be able to quickly leaf through the information to see hey, what was said, what wasn't said. And I don't think that's a basis to object to -- to the evidence.

THE COURT: If there is -- if there are portions of the transcript that say "inaudible" and that's in a typical, there is certainly the chance that a jury listening to the actual tape might understand what's said or reading along might realize that the transcriber put the wrong word.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure.

THE COURT: I mean, that's possible. And so I think it's -- it is helpful to a jury to have a transcript. And so unless you're saying that it is completely inaccurate, and if it's completely inaccurate, then there's the problem with you using it to impeach, you know. So, I mean, I think it's fine, and I like the idea of having the audio, but you're going to need to do the redactions to the audio, but I think they should also have the transcript.

MR. LANDIS: And I'm not objecting to that.

MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't have a problem with that. I mean, I can happily redact the audio. I'll have to listen to the unintelligible portions to see if he says something that is going to implicate them so I'd ask them --

THE COURT: Oh, yeah.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

happy.

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- as I sent these around last night, because we were going to offer them on redirect. We are going to offer all of these on redirect.

Obviously, they're not going to have them until they go back to the jury room so if there's any dispute or anything that needs to happen, but I don't have a problem with redacting that portion they could have both the audio and the transcript.

Okay. So you really, you know, need to THE COURT: get to work to make sure there's nothing in that, because again, I don't have at that audio. So you need to listen to it and make sure there's nothing that's been overlooked from the redactions so it gets redacted, because I don't want to be hearing from you, you know, oh, motion for mistrial because something came in because you weren't paying attention to it because that's not going to make me happy at all. Okay. And I know you don't care whether I'm happy. It's fine because I don't expect you to.

MR. LANDIS: Are you saying we don't care?

21 Of course, you don't care whether I'm 22

23 MR. LANDIS: I don't know about that.

24 THE COURT: I'm just -- I'm not -- I'm just tired,

I'm sorry. Anything else outside the presence? 25

MS. McNEILL: Well, I had my record about his 1 2 nickname, but we can do that at a different break --3 MR. LANDIS: Yes. MS. McNEILL: -- since the jury's waiting. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. We can do that. MS. McNEILL: 6 All right. THE COURT: MR. WOLFBRANDT: Just real quick, on behalf of 8 Mr. Mendoza, I want to join in the objections and the comments made by Mr. Landis and Ms. McNeill. 10 Oh, okay. Mr. Murphy wants to use the 11 THE COURT: 12 bathroom. Yes, please. 13 DEFENDANT MURPHY: Let's do it. Let's hurry. 14 THE COURT: And Mr. Laguna's okay with me making 15 MS. McNEILL: 16 the record about his nickname while in the bathroom if we want to utilize that time. 17 Okay, let's do that. 18 THE COURT: 19 DEFENDANT MURPHY: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: You're welcome. Thank you, Your Honor. 22 the testimony of Mr. Figueroa on direct that the State asked 23 him what my client's nickname was. I asked to approach on 24 that and made an objection at the bench that I believed his 25 nickname was prejudicial and not relevant because my

understanding of the meaning of the word Montone was that it meant potentially "killer" and I think we looked it up at the bench and it means "thug" or "bully".

The State indicated they weren't going to be eliciting from Mr. Figueroa any meaning of the name, but that it went to how Mr. Figueroa knows my client. And so I asked if the Court was going to let it in to at least allow for a limiting instruction that it was only coming in for identity purposes and the jury wasn't to take any type of meaning from that.

I just want today put that on the record that that objection was made and that I asked for that limiting instruction. Mr. Laguna is concerned that the witness is — that the State as well as some of other defense attorneys keep referring to him by that nickname. And so I — he asked me to make a record as well that it be — that since yes, it's just for identity purposes from Mr. Figueroa to him, that his name be used when people are questioning, and I told him I would make what record.

THE COURT: All right. Your response.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah. With all due respect, throughout all of these transcripts, and more importantly, the witness that in opening the defense discussed that Mr. Figueroa allegedly told some information to Mr. Sotelo, he describes an individual and the location where he lives as

Montone. And thus, in order to tie it all up, and I imagine it's the witness, just that's how he's always called the individual. I don't think he's intentionally saying, you know, Montone versus Mr. Laguna versus whatever, you know, and at this point, I can't imagine that there's any additional prejudice.

The Court did give a limiting instruction and for the record, when we looked it up, you know, maybe "bully" was the worse thing that was in there. I can't remember everything else the Court said. But we are certainly not going to ask the witnesses who say it means killer or something, that question. And maybe in some slang it is, or maybe the witness is wrong, but I'm not aware of what Montone means myself, other than that's what everybody's calling him in this case.

THE COURT: All right. So I ran it on Google translate at the bench, and of course, translating Spanish to English, and of course, there are many Spanish dialects so it gave many definitions. The primary one being bully and then some other, you know, things like -- that are similar synonyms, ruffian, thug, but certainly not killer. That was not anywhere in any of the synonyms. It was basically, I think, bully was the number one translation for that because that would be the most easily understood of any of the words. But, you know, like ruffian, I think, some people might not

even know what a ruffian is supposed to be these days, but killer wasn't among those.

So I don't know if at some point running up to trial someone told somebody else that that's what it meant, but I couldn't find anything like that. And since this witness has only known Mr. Laguna by his nickname and because he was talking to the police about that using that nickname, you know, it has to be used for identification purposes, and that's the reason. And I don't -- he's never spoken disrespectfully, if you will, in using that nickname to -- in the course of his testimony so I think it's appropriate.

If that's the name he always knew Mr. Laguna by, and he didn't even know his real name until after these proceedings commenced or, you know, the charges were brought.

MS. McNEILL: Well, Your Honor, just on that point in his -- one of his first statements to the police he does say Joey Laguna without any prompting. So and I think that was one of the things I was asking the State at the bench was are you saying he doesn't know Mr. Laguna's name other than Montone because he certainly had that information available to him before Mr. Laguna was ever even charged in this case, and that was my concern.

But I understand the Court let it in and I just wanted it on the record for Mr. Laguna's appellate purposes.

THE COURT: Sure. But I thought you were asking me

to admonish -- I mean, I don't think that it's -- I don't think the State needs to address him or talk about him by nickname, but if the witness uses that nickname because that's how he knew him, I'm not having a problem with that especially given the fact that I gave the limiting instruction and observed the jury all nodding in the affirmative that they understood this. And I think we -- you asked for that limiting instruction because you thought that maybe at least one person on the jury might speak Spanish and --

MS. McNEILL: Yes.

THE COURT: -- you know, know what the word meant but that's --

MR. WOLFBRANDT: And not only just know what the word meant, but take it one step further and consider that a moniker that's gang related. And that's -- that was the concern, I'm sure.

MS. McNEILL: Right, that was -- and I made that -- I said that at the bench as well that it was my -- I think it was a -- it's a gang nickname, would be a guess based on statements that other people have made about potential gang affiliation here, but --

THE COURT: There's been no mention --

MS. McNEILL: No, there has not.

THE COURT: -- of a gang affiliation in front of the jury.

MS. McNEILL: Right.

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And I don't know that the lay person with no law enforcement connection would tend to immediately draw the conclusion that because someone goes by a nickname they're gang affiliated. So I mean, I've known lots of people that have gone by nicknames and they weren't gang affiliated so.

MS. McNEILL: Thank you, Your Honor, for letting me make the record.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else before we bring them in?

MR. DiGIACOMO: No.

MR. LANDIS: No.

THE COURT: All right, let's bring be them in.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please.

(Jury reconvened at 2:17 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, all members of the jury and the three alternates are present.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And the record will reflect we're back within the presence of all 12 members of the jury as well as the three alternates. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

THE JURY: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: And the three defendants are present with their respective attorneys, the Chief Deputies District

Attorney prosecuting the case are present as are all officers 1 2 of the court. Mr. Figueroa's still on the witness stand. Mr. Figueroa, I just further remind you you're still under 4 oath. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And --6 THE WITNESS: Your Honor. -- Mr. Landis, you may proceed. 8 THE COURT: MR. LANDIS: Thank you. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED) 11 BY MR. LANDIS: 12 Mr. Figueroa, I'm going to try to start where we Q kind of left off yesterday, which was talking about -- your 13 testimony regarding whether or not my client, Mr. Murphy, 14 called you as you were hunkered down in that backyard, right? 15 Yes, sir. 16 Α 17 Let me start, though, your trial testimony regarding Q a phone call is what? 18 Excuse me? 19 Α Your trial testimony regarding whether or not 20 Q Murphy called you during that time period is what? 22 Yes. Yes, sir. Α Let me go back, then, to the October 24th, 2014 23 Q 24 statement, the first time you sat down and cooperated with the

25

police.

Yes, sir. 1 Α In that statement, which I believe is the same I was 2 showing you when we ended yesterday, do you recall if you told 3 them that you received a text or a phone call from Mr. Murphy? 4 5 I believe -- I believe I had received texts from, I Α don't know, either Montone or Duboy, but I've also received a 6 call. With you did not have Mr. Murphy's phone number at 8 Q that point in time, correct? No, sir. 10 Α And you're saying however Mr. Murphy contacted you, 11 Q 12 it was on a number you didn't recognize, correct? Yes, sir. 13 Α My question to you is then as of today, is your 14 Q memory that it was phone calls, texts, what? 15 16 Both. Α 17 Both from Mr. Murphy? Q 18 Well --Α From somebody you decided --19 Q I -- I don't know. I know I was getting texts, you 20 Α know -- texts here and there, but I also know I had got a phone call that night. 22 From a number you didn't recognize? 23 24 Yes, sir. Α

That you concluded was Mr. Murphy?

25

Q

A Yes, to -- yes.

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q What happened first, the texts or the phone calls?
- 3 A I believe -- I believe a text, sir.
  - Q And you responded to these texts from this unknown number, right?
    - A To the unknown number.
  - Q And let me be clear if my if he was less than that. The texts that you received that you concluded were from Mr. Murphy from an unknown number, did you respond to those texts?
  - A I believe so. I responded to multiple texts.
  - Q And the phone call you're testifying that you received from an unknown number that you believed to be Mr. Murphy, how long do you think that lasted?
    - A About a minute.
  - Q Certainly, you recall the talk, right?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q You testified that you've called -- you called a lot
  19 of people from your phone that night. More or less went down
  20 the list in your contacts, correct?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q Joey Laguna was one of those contacts, correct?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q He was probably one of your early calls, I would assume, right?

A Yes, sir.

- Q Why was he an early call?
- A Because he was there, and I knew his phone number, and I assumed that, you know, he would come to my distress call.
- Q Did you at any point reach out to Mr. Mendoza, to Jorge Mendoza?
  - A No, sir.
- Q Why not?
- A Because that -- that phone number would had been in my call log in which I was going down -- basically, going down my -- that -- that would have been in like my incoming call log, and I was going down, you know, my actual call log.
- Q That call you had received earlier that night from Mr. Mendoza, though, was somewhere around 7:00 o'clock, right?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q You didn't recall it as of 9:00, 10:00 o'clock when you're behind that wall?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q The previous time you testified on under oath in this case, which is in front of the Grand Jury in January 2015, do you recall being specifically asked, who was with David Murphy when you guys came across him near that cul-desac in the morning; do you remember being asked that?
- A Yes, sir, I -- yes, sir, I believe so.

Do you recall what your answer was? Q Α I would assume -- during the first incident in the cul-de-sac? I would assume, you know, Montone, Mendoza and his girlfriend with the tattoos. I don't want you to assume what you said. Would 0 looking at the transcript refresh your recollection? Α Yes, sir. MR. LANDIS: May I approach the witness? THE COURT: Yes. MR. LANDIS: 41, State. BY MR. LANDIS: Please take your time to read as much of it as you Q

Want today because I don't want you to have any less than a -this is the page I believe I'll ask you questions about, but
please take your time.

MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm sorry, Counsel, I didn't hear the page.

18 MR. LANDIS: 41.

THE WITNESS: (Witness reading transcript). Yes,

20 | sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

21 BY MR. LANDIS:

Q And let me preface my question with this, instead of us disagreeing about context, the jury's going to have this transcript before it's all said and done.

A Yes, sir.

Having said that, my question is simply, on page 41 1 Q were you asked, was someone else in the car with Duboy or was he boy himself, yes? I say -- I say he was by his self in the 4 Um-h'm. truck. 5 Just to put some time frames in context, you were 6 Q arrested in October 2014, yes? Yes, sir. 8 Α The murder happened September 2014? Q Yes, sir. 10 Α October 24th, 2014 is the first time you gave a 11 Q cooperating statement to the State? 12 Yes, sir. 13 Α You testified before the Grand Jury in January 2015? 14 Q Yes, sir. 15 Α Do you recall when you signed the actual Guilty Plea 16 Q 17 Agreement with the State? Not when you were in court, but when you signed it? Does January 2015 sound correct? 18 Yes, sir, around -- around that time area. 19 Α 20 Q In --21 Time frame 22 -- February 2015, does that sound about the time Q 23 that you actually came to this court and pled guilty in open 24 court pursuant to that agreement?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

That sounds about right.

25

- Q As of July 2015, you believe that Mr. Brown, your previous attorney, provided misrepresentation about your situation in this case, right?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q You believed he misinformed you, correct?
- 6 A Yes, sir.

1

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q And he failed to discuss options with you before you sat down with the State that morning?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q When you were originally arrested and charged with murder, are you aware of what sentencing risk you faced? What was the potential sentences you could deal with?
  - A Murder, that's -- that's life.
- Q Beyond that, were you also concerned potential sentences because you could have an enhanced sentence because of habitual criminal sentencing enhancements?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
  - Q So just so it's clear that means that if you were convicted of a felony, doesn't matter if it was murder or not, your sentence could be substantially enhanced because you had prior felonies?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q And now turning to what your negotiation is based on your Guilty Plea Agreement with the State, we talked some about what you expect the sentence to be or what you

anticipate it to be, but having said that, let me -- let me 1 question this; you at least have a possibility of walking out of that sentencing with a sentence of three to eight years? Yes, sir. I mean, that's the bare minimum, the 4 highest up there. Understood. But that is a possible sentence that 6 Q you could hope to get? Yes, sir. 8 Α 9 MR. LANDIS: I have no further questions. Can I approach the witness to get the transcript? 10 You may. Mr. Wolfbrandt? 11 THE COURT: 12 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Our --13 THE COURT: Or --It's our fault. We went out of order. 14 MR. LANDIS: 15 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Right. MR. LANDIS: So we've all done our cross. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WOLFBRANDT: We started in them middle here and 18 19 then --I -- I knew you had started and Ms. 20 THE COURT: McNeill 22 MS. McNEILL: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 25 BY MS. LEXIS: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

- Q Good afternoon, Mr. Figueroa.
- A Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q Mr. Figueroa, I can't remember which of the defense attorneys -- actually, I believe, it was Mr. Landis. Do you recall Mr. Landis characterizing your interview with the police on October 24th, 2014 as an audition? Do you remember that?
- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And he went over first the fact that you had indicated you didn't really have an opportunity to speak with your attorney prior to giving this interview; do you remember that question?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And actually, let me start off by doing this.

  (Pause in the proceedings)
- 16 BY MS. LEXIS:
  - Q So that you have the actual transcripts in front of you that we will be referring to, I did have your transcripts -- the transcripts of each of your interviews marked as proposed exhibits.
  - MS. LEXIS: So Your Honor, may I approach with what's been previously marked as State's Proposed exhibits 326, 327, 328, 329 and 330.
- THE COURT: And these are just marked as -- okay.
- MS. LEXIS: They're currently marked as Exhibits.

May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. LEXIS: And I just want him to have them up here to kind of save time in case he has to look over exhibits or the transcripts to refresh his memory.

BY MS. LEXIS:

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

22

- Q So they actually -- various defense attorneys asked you about whether you had had an opportunity to speak with Mr. Brown, who was your attorney at the time?
- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. So let me just ask you; prior to you speaking with detectives and the taped interview starting, did you have an opportunity to speak with Mr. Brown, your attorney at the time?
- 15 A Not really.
  - Q Okay. Do you recall in the taped statement on October 24th, 2014, one of the detectives saying you had, you know, a few -- at least a few minutes to speak with Mr. Brown?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. And prior to that October 24th meeting that was recorded, did you have an opportunity to discuss the case with Mr. Brown?
- 23 A No, ma'am.
- Q But you indicated -- but it was you who indicated to the corrections officers that you wanted to speak with

homicide detectives, correct?

- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And prior to you giving up information or giving this full confession, essentially, Mr. Brown admonished you concerning your obligation to be truthful, is that right?
- A Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

- Q And that the benefits and favors that Mr. Landis and Ms. McNeill and a little bit with Mr. Wolfbrandt, that those benefits and favors would not come into play if you were not truthful; do you remember that?
- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Do you remember exactly what it was that your attorney told you word for word?
- A No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Would looking at page 3 of your statement on October 24th, 2014 refresh your memory? There are various ones.
- THE COURT: Why don't you refer to it as the marked.
- MR. LANDIS: Just so the record is clear, I assume they're admitting this for effect on the listener as opposed to the truth of the matter asserted.
  - THE COURT: Right now she's just asking him -- it's refreshing his recollection. She's seeing if it would refresh his recollection. He hasn't answered that question yet.

MR. LANDIS: 1 Okay. 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. So it would be State's Proposed Exhibits 327, and I'm directing him to page 3, labeled A1. BY MS. LEXIS: 4 5 Do you mind reading that to yourself, Mr. Figueroa. Q (Witness reading document). Okay. 6 Α So yesterday you were asked --Q Okay. Wait, does it refresh his recollection? 8 THE COURT: 9 BY MS. LEXIS: Does it refresh your recollection as to what it was 10 Q your attorney told you that particular day? 11 12 Yes, ma'am. Α He told you that you needed --13 Q Wait, wait. What was it that he told 14 THE COURT: You don't get to read the statement if he -- it's 15 refreshed his recollection and now he can testify. 16 17 MS. LEXIS: Okay. THE COURT: 18 Okay. 19 BY MS. LEXIS: What is it that he told you? 20 Q He told me it would be in my -- basically, in my best interest to be truthful. If I'm untruthful, it's -- it 22 23 basically is going to look real bad on my part. 24 Okay. Did he tell you how many chances it was that Q you would have an opportunity to speak with detectives 25

concerning your side of the story? 1 One chance, if I was not truthful. 2 And -- okay. At that particular point in time, did 3 Q he tell you then to tell your story? 4 Yes, ma'am. 5 Α Did the detective -- now I know that your defense 6 Q attorney admonished you to be truthful, but did the detective also tell you the importance or stress the importance of you 8 being truthful during the begin of that interview? Yes, ma'am. 10 Α I object to relevancy if they're 11 MR. LANDIS: 12 admitting this for truth of the matter asserted. If they're admitting it for effect on the listener, that's one thing. 13 Your Honor --14 MS. LEXIS: They're admitting what? Nothing's been 15 THE COURT: 16 admitted. 17 Those statements. MR. LANDIS: 18 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I'm objecting to hearsay if 19 MS. LEXIS: they're admitting them for truth of the matter asserted. 20 The statements of the lawyer, I would 21 MS. McNEILL: 22 object to as well. 23 MR. LANDIS: And the detective. 24 MS. McNEILL: Right. 25 Overruled on a hearsay objection for THE COURT: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 | that reason.

2 BY MS. LEXIS:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Speaking of promises and favors and things like that, that you were asked about, do you recall during the same interview on October 24th, 2014, whether any promises were made to you?
  - A No promises were made.
- Q Do you remember being specifically told by your attorney that at that point in time no promises were being made?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Do you remember also towards the end of that same interview, October 24th, 2014, your attorney telling you that after you had -- towards the end of this interview now, that he was going to talk to the State, the prosecutors on this case, and discuss your case; do you remember him saying that?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Do you also -- do you recall him saying flat out to you that you're not going to get a pass?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
  - $\mathbb{Q}$  Okay. And that you were going to go to prison?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Do you remember that?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. So fair to say that as early as October 24th,

2014, you knew what you were up against concerning this case? 1 MR. LANDIS: Objection. 2 Leading. Sustained. 3 THE COURT: BY MS. LEXIS: 4 As of October 24th, 2014, did you know that any deal 5 0 you entered would involve prison time? 6 MS. McNEILL: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. 8 9 BY MS. LEXIS: Do you know what consequences you were facing even 10 0 after you gave this October 24th, 2014 statement? 11 12 Prison time. Α Mr. Landis asked questions, actually, just today 13 Q about whether or not Duboy called or text messaged you while 14 you were hiding at that house near the crime scene; do you 15 remember those questions? 16 17 Yes, ma'am. Α Okay. On October 24th, 2014, do you recall whether 18 Q -- were you specifically asked if Duboy called you? 19 By detective -- by the detectives if I was 20 specifically asked, I don't believe so. 22 Okay. Do you recall when -- do you recall your Q 23 response when the detectives asked you how it was that you got 24 out of that crime scene? 25 I told him my sister.

- Q Do you recall telling them that you had just 1 2 started making a bunch of phone calls? Oh, yes, ma'am. 3 Α Okay. And eventually, you got a hold of your 4 Q 5 sister? Yes, ma'am. 6 Α And she came and got you? Q Yes, ma'am. 8 Α So you did tell them that you made phone calls? 9 Q Yes, ma'am. 10 Α Do you recall during that same statement, October 11 Q 12 24th, 2014, the detectives asking you if you called Duboy. Ιf you don't recall, we can refresh your memory. 13 Yeah, that -- that would help. 14 Would looking at the statement of -- or 15 Q actually, I'm sorry, let me rephrase. I have the wrong 16 17 statement date. On the January 25th, 2015 interview with detectives, do you recall them asking you if you ever called 18 Duboy? 19 Yeah, I'm still not --20 Α Would looking at that particular transcript refresh 22 your memory? 23 Yes, ma'am. Α 24 Okay. I'm going to direct you to page 16.
  - Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MS. LEXIS: And may I approach, Your Honor?

Q

25

And

(inaudible)? 1 2 Yes, and which proposed exhibit --THE COURT: 3 THE WITNESS: 25? -- is he looking at? 4 THE COURT: It would be State's Proposed 328. 5 MS. LEXIS: 6 BY MS. LEXIS: And I'm going to direct you, sir, to page 16. Q (Witness reading document). 8 And Mr. Figueroa, I saw you kind of flip to a 9 Q previous page. Let's just make a record, did you also flip to 10 page 15? 11 Yes, ma'am. 12 Α Okay. And are you still reading? 13 Q (Witness reading document). Okay, I'm --14 Α So did that refresh your memory about whether 15 Q the detectives asked if you ever called Duboy and what your 16 17 response was? Yes, ma'am. 18 Α When you were asked by detectives if you ever 19 Q called Duboy, what did you say? 20 I said I know he was texting me on some phone. don't know if it was his or whose it was. 22 23 And then the detective says something like uh-huh, and then what do you further explain to him what it was that 24 Duboy told you during this conversation? 25

- Talked about where was I at. I told them -- then I Α told him -- then, I told him where I was at. And so when you were interviewed on January 25th, 0 2015, you did make reference to text messages from Duboy? Yes, ma'am. Α And so when you clarified and said at first he was, you know, asking where you were at and you told him where you were at, was that by text message or by phone call? recall? I believe, that was by text message. So is it your testimony today that you received both Q text messages and phone calls from an individual you believed to be David Murphy or Duboy? Yes, ma'am. Α While you were hiding in that -- in that little Q backyard? Yes, ma'am. Α Now, at the Grand Jury, do you recall testifying to Q also receiving a text message from someone you believed to be Duboy? Yes, ma'am
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.

Q

2015?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q During that time, do you recall explaining to the

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

And that was at the Grand Jury on January 29th,

ladies and gentlemen of the Grand Jury during your testimony how it was that you believed the person that you were talking to was Duboy?

A Basically, it was off a number I didn't recognize, and at that time, there was only a couple people who knew what were happening.

- Q And so was the person who was text messaging you, the person that you believed to be Duboy, talking about the incident that you all had just taken part in?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.

1

4

6

8

11

12

- Q You received or you made a phone call to Joey Laguna while you were hiding as well; is that right?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Did you ever connect with Mr. Laguna that you to can recall?
- 16 A I don't believe so.
- 17 Q Did you send him text messages?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q Okay. Did he ever respond?
- 20 | A No, ma'am.
- 21 Q And certainly, did Mr. Laguna come and get you?
- 22 A No, ma'am.
- 23 Q You were asked by Ms. McNeill about the differences 24 in the details that you testified about or that you told the 25 police about on October 24th, 2014 and your testimony

afterwards at the Grand Jury on January 29th, 2015; do you remember those questions?

- A The questions she was asking me?
- Q Yes.

1

4

5

6

8

10

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- A Somewhat.
- Q Okay. Let me be a little more specific. Do you remember her asking you about whether you gave an actual time during your October 24th, 2014 interview, an actual time as to when Mr. Laguna or Montone called you for the first time that Sunday?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And do you recall saying no, you didn't give a specific time?
- A No, I don't recall that. I believe, I gave a specific -- a specific time.
  - Q Okay. Let me ask you this; during your October 24th, 2014 interview, were you asked for a specific time as to when Mr. Laguna or Montone would have called you for the first time?
    - A I -- I believe that they did ask. They might have.
  - Q And do you recall what your response was?
  - A Probably around, what, 6:00, 7:00 o'clock in the morning early in the morning.
- Q And when you were testifying at the Grand Jury, do you recall giving the same time frame?

A Yes, ma'am.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

- Q Ms. McNeill also asked you about how at the Grand Jury you were able to give a part of town concerning where this stash house was located.
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q But that you were not able to do that or that you didn't do that October 24th, 2014 when you first really spoke with police officers; do you remember those questions?
  - A Yeah, somewhat.
- Q Do you recall during your October 24th, 2014 interview with detectives telling the detectives that you could show them where this particular house was located?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. At that point in time, they didn't bring a map to you or did they?
- 16 A No, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. And they didn't take you out so that you could physically show them, did they?
- 19 A No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Now, at the Grand Jury I questioned you, 21 correct?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And I specifically asked you if you recalled the location of the stash house; is that right?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

- And that's when you indicated a part of the valley Q that it was located in? Yes, the north northwest area. So is it fair to say, Mr. Figueroa, that both in your October 24th, 2014 statement and also the January 29th, 2015 Grand Jury testimony, you were essentially responding to questions posed to you? Yes, ma'am. Α And at times, perhaps, during the Grand Jury, the Q questions were a little more specific? Yes, ma'am. Α And certainly, when you testified at the Grand Jury concerning what part of town it was located, you did identify that it was located on a cul-de-sac; do you remember that?
  - A Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q Mr. Wolfbrandt asked you during his cross-examination yesterday several times if you fired the .40 caliber Ruger at any point in time during the Sunday night robbery; do you remember those questions?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And your response was?
- A No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Do you recall being asked that same question by detectives during your October 24th, 2014 interview?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

During that same interview, Mr. Figueroa, did you 1 Q tell detectives the location of that .40 caliber Ruger? 2 Yes, ma'am. 3 Α And you again, told them that you had not fired that 4 weapon; is that right? 5 Yes, ma'am. 6 Α During this exchange with detectives, do you recall Q either you or the detectives saying something to the effect of 8 you're going to test it? The detectives, they said that they were going to 10 test it to see if it has been fired. 11 Okay. Do you recall the detectives telling you that 12 Q they had recovered cartridge casings at --13 I object to hearsay as to what the 14 MR. LANDIS: detectives told him. 15 And I object to leading in addition. 16 MS. McNEILL: 17 THE COURT: Sustained. MS. LEXIS: As to which portion, all? 18 19 THE COURT: Both. Okay. 20 MS. LEXIS: LEXIS: BY MS. 22 When you told the detectives that you hadn't fired Q that weapon and you gave up your weapon, did you think that 23 they were going to test your story? 24 25 Yes, ma'am, of course.

Q That they were going to investigate your 1 Okay. claims? 2 Yes, ma'am. 3 Α During your October 24th, 2014 interview --Q 4 well, first of all, one or more of the defense attorneys asked you about Emanuel Barrientos, correct? 6 Yes, ma'am. Α Okay. And whether he was with you the night of the 8 Q Sunday night robbery; do you remember that? 9 Yes, ma'am. 10 Α Do you recall, Mr. Figueroa, during your 11 Q 12 October 24th, 2014 interview, whether you told the detectives about a phone call you had with Manny or whether you tried to 13 call Manny? 14 Yes, ma'am. 15 Α Okay. What did you tell them? 16 Q 17 Basically, that I had been shot, I'm hurt, can you Α 18 come get me. So you told them that you did, in fact, call 19 Q Manny? 20 21 Yes, ma'am 22 And told them what happened and asked them to Q pick you up? 23 24 Yes, ma'am. Α

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

You were asked questions about your testimony

concerning Montone having a .38 caliber snub nose revolver; do you remember those questions?

A Yes, ma'am.

1

4

6

8

16

17

18

22

- Q Okay. What did you tell the police -- did you tell the police whether Montone had a weapon during your October 24th, 2014 interview?
  - A I said that he had a .38 snub nose.
- Q And did you tell the Grand Jury on January 29th, 2015 whether Montone had a weapon?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q And which weapon was that?
- 12 A .38 snub nose.
- Q Ms. McNeill yesterday asked you about dumping
  clothing or your mask or something like that. Do you recall
  that line of questioning?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. And Ms. McNeill read out a portion of your October 24th, 2014 statement; do you recall that?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q Something to the effect of, you know, dumping 21 something while you were running; do you remember that?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. During your interview with detectives on

  October 24th, 2014, did they, in fact, ask you what you did

  with the items --

```
Objection. Leading.
              MS. McNEILL:
 1
 2
              MS. LEXIS:
                          Did they ask you --
                          Sustained. Oh, well --
 3
              THE COURT:
                          I mean, just because it's --
              MS. LEXIS:
 4
 5
                          I mean, let her finish the question, but
              THE COURT:
    don't answer it until I rule. Okay, go ahead.
 6
    BY MS. LEXIS:
              Did they ask you what it was that you had done with
 8
         Q
    the items that you were wearing or the clothing or the mask
 9
    that you were wearing that particular night?
10
                                 That's a yes or no question.
11
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                                                 The
12
    answer is to that question calls for a yes or no.
                            Did they ask me what I did with it?
13
              THE WITNESS:
    BY MS. LEXIS:
14
15
         Q
              Yes.
16
         Α
              Yes.
17
                    What did you tell them?
         Q
              I got rid of it.
18
         Α
             When did you get rid of it?
19
         Q
             After the incident.
20
         Α
21
              Okay. Did you at any time tell them that you dumped
22
    any of your clothing while you were running?
23
             No, ma'am.
         Α
24
         Q
             Okay. As a matter of, when Ms. McNeill read that
25
    one particular portion about dumping while it (sic) was
```

running, that was not you talking during that interview, 1 2 correct? 3 MS. McNEILL: Objection. MR. LANDIS: Objection. That was leading. 4 5 Well, let's refer him to the transcript. THE COURT: 6 BY MS. LEXIS: Sir, on your 10/24/2014 interview, I'd like to refer Q you to page 39. 8 MS. LEXIS: And what exhibit number is that? 9 Proposed 327 is the October 24th? 10 THE COURT: 11 MS. LEXIS: Yes. 12 327? MS. McNEILL: 13 BY MS. LEXIS: And that was page 39, Mr. Figueroa. 14 Q And is there a line number you can refer 15 THE COURT: 16 him to? There is not, but it's towards --17 MS. LEXIS: actually, I believe the entire page would be relevant to 18 refresh his memory. We're trying to put it into context that 19 particular question. 20 COURT: What page was that again? 22 MS. LEXIS: Page 39. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 BY MS. LEXIS: 25 So the whole use of the word "dumping while Okay. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

running", did that come out of your mouth? 1 No, ma'am. 2 Α Who said that? 3 Q Okay. The detectives, ma'am. 4 Α 5 And when the detective made that comment, Q what was your response? 6 I got rid of everything. 7 Α Okay. But towards the last -- I want you to look at 8 Q the last statement or the last answer on page 39 from you. What did you tell them? Does that refresh your memory looking 10 at that particular page and that line? 11 12 On page 39. Α 13 The last answer. Q (Witness reading document). 14 Α Okay. 15 Court's brief indulgence. MS. LEXIS: And I apologize, Your Honor, with some of the changes, it's a 16 17 different page. Page 39. BY MS. LEXIS: 18 Does that refresh your memory, sir? 19 Q Yes, ma'am. 20 Α Okay. So when the detective said something about 22 dumping items of clothing while running, what was your 23 response? "No, I figured I'd keep all the evidence on me and 24 25 get rid of it later."

Q Okay. Does that put it more into context as to what that conversation was about?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q And in fact, later during the interview, that same interview, you do tell them that you got rid of it later?

MR. LANDIS: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: All right. Would you approach am I'm lost on this so, page numbers, and sorry.

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: All right. So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a recess. We're going to sort out some technical issues as well as this is basically the time for our afternoon break. Although, I know you haven't been at it as long as we have because we weren't playing around at 1:30. We were already working.

And so ladies and gentlemen, during this recess, we'll have at least 15 minutes, and it's probably to be completely candid with you, going to be closer to half an hour, okay? So if you're not back after 15 minutes, I'm not going to hold it against you. All right.

So during this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including

without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet.

You're not to form or express an opinion on any subject

connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you.

We're in recess.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please.

(Jury recessed at 3:01 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect that the jury has departed the courtroom. So do we need to take a break and have you look over those statements now?

MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor, please. I've looked overall of them except the Grand Jury testimony. I did briefly look at it, and one concern I have right now is that the redactions are black bars, and so it's apparent that something's been redacted, which I think then leads the jury into speculating what that might be so that's my --

MR. DiGIACOMO: That's the nature of redactions in a transcript. It's not like the transcript of an audio recording. This is like if we had to put in prior testimony or something else like that, the nature of the redactions, you do it black -- I guess you could do it white, but either way, it's painfully obvious that there is a redaction to the document and that's why you instruct the jury to draw no inference because there's no other way to redact a Grand Jury transcript. It's a court transcript.

THE COURT: All right. The ones that I was given by

my law clerk this morning, are those are the redacted or --1 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes. THE COURT: They are. Okay. I did notice, I think, 3 it's in the January 29 --4 5 That's the Grand Jury transcript. MR. DiGIACOMO: THE COURT: Yes, there's --6 MR. DiGIACOMO: There's just no other way to redact that transcript. I can change it -- and Ms. Lexis had done 8 this, but I can change it here to white and e-mail it and 9 either way, you're going to get halfway through a sentence and 10 realize the word isn't done. 11 MR. LANDIS: It's just the one (inaudible) 12 (Mr. Landis/Mr. DiGiacomo conferring). 13 Okay. So here, I'm thinking. 14 THE COURT: I'm just looking at -- let's go off the record so we can just talk 15 16 about this. 17 (Off the record at 3:04 p.m. until 3:21 p.m.) (Outside the presence of the jury) 18 Okay. We're on the record outside the 19 THE COURT: presence of the jury and we've been discussing the 20 admissibility of certain statements. So let us put on the 22 record our discussions about the statement that was taken from Mr. Figueroa on October 20th, 2014, all right, and where 23 basically, he lied to the police about what had occurred and 24 he, of course, did not implicate any of the defendants here 25

because he was basically telling them the complete lie story.

So we have, Mr. Landis is objecting to the admission. There was an objection that it was hearsay. My ruling on that was that it's not hearsay, as it's not offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement, because it's all lies and State has made that extremely clear. So it's not being admitted for that purpose.

The secondary admission was that it wasn't relevant. And my ruling on that was that I believe it is relevant because it goes to the overall credibility of this witness, which is a very important factor in this trial. Ms. McNeill wants the statement in. I assume probably for that reason, though, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but since it -- since we have a whole bunch of statements. We have the statement on the 20th, then we have -- of October '14, then we have another statement on October 23rd of 2014. Then we have a January 25th, 2015 -- we have a October 24th, 2014 and we have Grand Jury testimony January 29th, 2015.

So to me it seems relevant to hear what this witness has said throughout the course of his testimony and his recitation of what occurred.

MS. McNEILL: Yeah, so on behalf of Mr. Laguna, I do not object to this statement coming in, sort of, for the reasons that you indicated, and so, you know, I would just defer from Mr. Landis and just make my record on behalf

Mr. Laguna that I'm not objecting to the admission of that 1 statement. 3 MR. LANDIS: And I concur with the record the Court made. 4 5 All right, thank you. Do we want to THE COURT: take up another -- while we're on the record or go off the 6 record and discuss or are we prepared for --I have -- I just need someone to 8 MR. DiGIACOMO: print the exhibits (inaudible). 9 THE COURT: All right, let's go off the record. 10 (Off the record at 3:24 p.m. until 3:36 p.m.) 11 (Outside the presence of the jury) 12 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record 13 still outside the presence of the jury. The topic of 14 conversation now is the plea agreement for Mr. Figueroa. 15 MR. LANDIS: Right. And what I assume we mean -- by 16 17 that we mean that Agreement to Testify. MR. DiGIACOMO: Right, we're going to offer the --18 Yes, the --19 THE COURT: MR. DiGIACOMO: -- GPA with an unredacted version 20 of the Agreement to Testify. 22 MR. LANDIS: And my position would be none of my 23 questions made it admissible. That would be my -- I don't 24 think I even said Agreement to Testify. I definitely didn't 25 ask him any specific questions about the Agreement to Testify.

If I mentioned it, it was just off-hand, but I -- I don't think I made it admissible through my questions.

THE CLERK: So are you objecting? Is that what that is?

MR. LANDIS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. You're objecting --

MR. LANDIS: You're objecting to the truthfulness within the Agreement to Testify because I don't think I opened the door to let it in through my cross-examination.

THE COURT: And the State's response since we're on the record.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Obviously, it's the whole reason we're talking about all these other documents, which is the suggestion of the implication on the cross-examination of at least two, if not all three, lawyers was that Mr. Figueroa is now providing information that he didn't previously know in order to get a better deal, or a better chance at sentencing and thus, the fact that it's -- what was bargained for was truthful testimony and the Court makes that decision now becomes relevant and thus, it shouldn't be redacted.

MR. LANDIS: And let me just -- I don't think he can make arguments that us three opened the door because she can't open the door to evidence against my client. She can't.

THE COURT: Well, I think that independently you did attack the credibility of the witness on cross-examination as

thought nobody really directly attacked her credibility concerning any plea negotiation. But you have here. You've talked about his discussions with his lawyer, what he understood -- I mean, it's just very clear to me that you have suggested to the jury that he's lying to get the benefit of his lies and to, you know, get a better deal.

And the case law on that is it doesn't -- it wouldn't come in except if you do that, if you attack his credibility in regards to the Agreement to Testify. I think that does come in, unlike Ms. Larsen's.

MR. LANDIS: And I'm not going to -- since we have a thousand things to say --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LANDIS: -- I respect the Court's ruling.

MS. McNEILL: And Your Honor, I would just add, I think we discussed this last time with Ms. Larsen that if that portion does come in, there's also a limiting instruction that goes along with it that he has yet to be sentenced and therefore, still faces the pressure of the prosecutor deciding whether or not he's been truthful.

THE COURT: Correct. And you're going to be offering --

MS. McNEILL: Yes. I will, yes.

THE COURT: -- such an instruction, of course.

MS. McNEILL: Yes, I will make myself a note. 1 2 THE COURT: And yes, they will be so instructed. Mr. Wolfbrandt, do you --MR. WOLFBRANDT: I'm okay. I just agree with 4 5 everything Mr. Landis and Ms. McNeill said. Is the Court making a ruling about --6 MR. LANDIS: sorry to cut you off, Mr. Wolfbrandt, I'm so sorry -- about cross admissibility or no? 8 9 THE COURT: As far as cross admissibility. Well, no, you haven't -- I don't think I need to rule on that 10 because I think you both independently attacked -- all right. 11 12 What about any other of the statements? Are there any that 13 need to be redacted? MR. LANDIS: As to his motion to fire Mr. Brown, I 14 think the State and I -- the State and Ms. McNeill and I 15 agreed to a couple small redactions. Otherwise, they want to 16 17 admit it, and I'm not going to object. Is that fair, Mark? That is correct. And Judge, what we 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: can do so we don't not delaying the jury is it will be the 19 next in order, which will be 330. 20 COURT: 331, I think. 21 THE 22 331. MS. LEXIS: 23 THE CLERK: It was a motion to dismiss? 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: We'll use Yeah. It will be 331. this copy of 331. I am downloading and doing the redactions 25

that Mr. Landis requested, which is the advice of Mr. Brown that essentially the felony murder rule is going to absolutely apply. Both Mr. Figueroa and Mr. Brown say that. And while I believe it's admissible, I would not oppose redacting it because it may be more prejudicial than it's probative in a sense that it's going to invade the province of the jury as it relates to these defendants.

So redact that with the agreement that they don't oppose a leading question in the nature of Mr. Brown told you or Mr. Gaffney (phonetic), depending on how the question's asked. Basically, if you don't go through with this, you're looking at never getting out of prison, essentially.

And so other than that, I think we're in agreement.

MS. McNEILL: That's correct, Your Honor. I did review it. I made the same objection as Mr. Landis to that portion. Mr. DiGiacomo's agreed to take that out. I would again, as with Mr. Landis, agree to allow that leading question.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. McNEILL: On behalf of Mr. Laguna. I don't know if Mr. Wolfbrandt had a record to make or not.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Well, I know what they're talking about. I would concur.

THE COURT: All right. And I agree that I think that's appropriately removed. So 331 is Mr. Figueroa's

motion --

MR. DiGIACOMO: To dismiss counsel.

THE COURT: -- to dismiss counsel? Is that how it's styled? Okay. So that -- those redactions will be made. And otherwise, we've made the redactions to Exhibit 326, the Grand Jury transcript?

MR. DiGIACOMO: That has been done.

THE COURT: 327 is the October 24th, 2014 statement. And there, I'm seeing no redactions on the copy of the motion.

MR. DiGIACOMO: There were redactions that are agreed upon by the parties, but I had that -- all the statements in Word so you can't tell that it's redacted. So if he said cell mates, we just put a line like it was unintelligible type thing, and thus, when we took the things out, without changing any of the content, you can take out the parts that are potentially objectionable.

MR. LANDIS: I'm sorry, we confused the Court, but there's also some of those in there that aren't his doing.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, that's true, too.

MS. McNEILL: Right, there were already in there.
Right.

THE COURT: The unintelligible, but in Exhibit -- in Proposed Exhibit 327, which is the statement from October 24th, 2014 -- just want to make sure -- if there's something unintelligible, it's shown as a parenthetical notation so it's

in parentheses and it says unintelligible. 1 That's not always true. 2 MR. LANDIS: MR. DiGIACOMO: That's not always true. 3 Sometimes it was just a line because they --4 5 THE COURT: Oh. -- not always the same 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: transcriptionist does it. They all do things differently. THE COURT: 8 Okay. MR. DiGIACOMO: So it could be either one of them, 9 10 Judge. So I think just to be clear, so you're 11 THE COURT: satisfied with how it looks now? 12 13 MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor. 14 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Yeah. All right. And is that true, Mr. Landis 15 THE COURT: for you as well? 16 17 MR. LANDIS: I'm looking through it on the fly. THE COURT: 18 Okay. He did e-mail these last night, I'm not 19 MR. LANDIS: going to lie about that. I did not receive -- I received the 20 e-mail. I didn't open and see what it was so I'll look 22 through them now. But I still -- they're coming in now under 23 completeness and/or refresh recollection? 24 THE COURT: Yes. So I previously stated that -- at 25 the bench that I agreed with your argument that it wouldn't

come in as a prior consistent statement based upon the case that I cited to you --

MR. LANDIS: It was (inaudible).

1

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. LANDIS: <u>Cruz v. State</u> (phonetic).

-- Cruz, right? Because they're --THE COURT: based upon Mr. Figueroa's statement, it seems clear that he did have a motive to fabricate. In other words, to benefit his position back at the time of that -- the first statement of October 24th. So everything past that time he's -- has the motive. So it doesn't come in as prior inconsistent -- or prior consistent statement under the Crew (phonetic) case, but it would -- it could come in under both the completeness doctrine and statute as well as the -- to the extent that it was past recollection recorded, remainder of writings or recorded statements is 47.120, which any party may introduce any other relevant parts. And so unless you have a specific objection as to relevance that I would need to address, I'd need to hear what that was because I haven't read the entire statement so that's why I put it on you.

MR. LANDIS: My position would be this, under either evidentiary basis, it still would only admit the sections of the transcript that are relevant to whatever was brought up on cross. I don't think it brings in the whole transcript by any way unless there's some weird thing where the whole transcript becomes relevant to what's brought up on cross.

But whatever's necessary to give the jury a full picture of whatever was brought up on cross in every portion of the transcript, that is, I think that comes in. I do not think just because it's used on cross, it comes in in full cloth under the rule of completeness. Just because it's used to refresh his recollection, a portion of it, doesn't mean the whole transcript comes in. That's my opinion.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LANDIS: As to prejudicial things in this one, I don't believe there's anything I'm concerned about.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so yeah, I think it does -- it can come in because 47.120 does indicate that not only can any other part, which is relevant to the part introduced, be admitted, but then any party may introduce any other relevant parts, and if the State is arguing that the other parts are relevant and you don't have any specific counter to that as to why it's not relevant, then it's going to come in.

MR. LANDIS: And I'm sorry, what I meant -- just to make sure that's clear. What I mean by relevant, it's not relevant to what it was used for on cross because portions of that transcript had nothing to do with it. That's what my argument is, if that makes sense.

THE COURT: Yes. Okay, so Ms. McNeill, were you going to object to --

MS. McNEILL: I will submit it on Mr. Landis's 1 argument and the Court's ruling. 2 3 Okay. Mr. Wolfbrandt. THE COURT: MR. WOLFBRANDT: Judge, I would just submit it as 4 5 well. Okay. So all the same arguments and for 6 THE COURT: the same reasons I've already articulated and I believe, the State had argued this -- I can't remember whether it was at the bench before -- but I do believe it will come in, so it will come in under that section that I've already referred you 10 to, 47.120, the second part of subsection (1). 11 12 That's 327. Okay, next is, let's see, 328 is the January 25th, 2015 voluntary statement. Are there redactions 13 14 in that or are the lines that are there --It's the same thing. We had it in 15 MR. DiGIACOMO: Word. So to the extent that there was any, and off the top of 16 17 my head I can't recall if there was a reference to Mr. Figueroa and Mr. Laguna being cell mates, but to the 18 extent there was any, we took them out. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 LANDIS: Same with like locos stuff 22 Have you done any further redactions to THE COURT: 23 328 because as I just turned to page 3, I see, "How do you know Joey Laguna?" 24 25 Answer, "Uh, he was my blank. He was my blank for

probably um, about" --1 MR. DiGIACOMO: Those were actually -- some of those 2 were already inaudible in the recording. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. DiGIACOMO: Like, when you pull that transcript 5 up, it starts off as he was my cell mate, blank, blank, 6 something, couple words, blank, blank, and that's how they did the blanks throughout the transcript was just these lines. 8 So because he testified he was his roommate, read it in context, that appeared to be --10 And it does -- on the unredacted one, 11 MS. McNEILL: it does say he was my cellie and -- and it's got a long blank, 12 and then he was my cellie for probably, um, about nine months. 13 So this is the one where I think, Your Honor had asked about 14 the lines and it seems to be that's how the transcriptionist 15 did the portions that she couldn't hear. 16 17 Okay. But do you have any objection to THE COURT: 18 how it is now? How it's been further redacted? 19 MS. McNEILL: No. Just add them to the lines or --20 THE COURT: 21 McNEILL: No, I don't to the lines because, 22 guess, it's consistent throughout portions that she couldn't

MR. LANDIS: But the tapes, I can tell you, almost everything she can't hear, you can if you try.

23

24

25

hear.

THE COURT: You can if you try, is that what you're 1 2 saying? MR. LANDIS: Yes, ma'am. 3 Okay. And that could be -- so that's THE COURT: 4 why it's good to have the tapes, too. All right. And so we'll make sure to redact anything that would be inappropriate 6 about cell mates or cellies. 8 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. THE COURT: Right. Okay. So 328 is coming in for 9 the same reasons we've already talked about over your same 10 objections --11 MR. LANDIS: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: -- is that right? 13 MR. LANDIS: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: 15 Okay. So are these going to be admitted on the 16 THE CLERK: 17 record or no? We haven't offered them yet, but 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: we'll offer them with the witness. 19 We're just getting the rulings ahead of THE COURT: 20 So 329 is the October 23rd, 2014. Are there redactions 22 on that? 23 MR. LANDIS: Is that a question for State? THE COURT: It's for anyone who knows. 24 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: Which one?

THE COURT: 329, it's the October 23rd, 2014 1 2 interview. MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't believe so because his 3 response is I want to do it with my lawyer. 4 5 Okay. I haven't read these. I'm just THE COURT: 6 so --MR. LANDIS: I don't think there's anything 7 prejudicial to my client in the 10/23 statement. 8 Okay. So you're not objecting to --9 THE COURT: 10 MR. LANDIS: No, no, same --Same objections, all right. 11 THE COURT: Yeah, and there's -- I don't --12 MS. McNEILL: there's nothing in the 10/23 that's --13 14 Needs to be redacted. THE COURT: MS. McNEILL: -- prejudicial to Mr. Laguna so I 15 don't -- that was the one I didn't object to anyway. 16 17 Okay. And so it will be admitted for THE COURT: the reasons we've already discussed, and we'll put that, you 18 know, in front of the jury, they'll be offered and admitted. 19 Number 330 is the October 20th, 2014, so --20 LANDIS: That's the one you already ruled on it. 21 22 THE COURT: Right. And this is the one where he, you know, made the lies, the phoney story. Is there anything 23 24 -- any redactions in this document? 25 Not that I'm aware of. MR. DiGIACOMO:

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so that is coming in. All right, I think we've covered all those now. All right. Are we ready to forge on?

MR. LANDIS: This was -- I'm ready. Is this your

stuff up here, right?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, those are the exhibits themselves.

(Off the record at 3:54 p.m. until 3:57 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please.

(In the presence of the jury)

THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, all members of the jury and the three alternates are present.

THE COURT: Thank you. And the record will reflect we're back within the presence of all 12 members of the jury as well as the three alternates, the three defendants are present with their respective counsel. The Chief Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case are present as are all officers of the court.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go until 5:30 today, and I know I have one juror who has to get out to pick up children and has to be out of here at 5:30 so that will catch us up for a half an hour we've missed. So Ms. Lexis, you may proceed.

MS. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. Prior to beginning, at this point, the State would move to admit what's been

previously marked as State's Proposed Exhibits 326 through 1 If I could just make a record as to what each --Yes. THE COURT: MS. LEXIS: -- exhibit is? 4 Through 330, right? Is that what you 5 THE CLERK: 6 said? Your Honor, State's Proposed MS. LEXIS: Yes. Exhibit 326 would be the transcripts of Mr. Figueroa's Grand 8 Jury testimony from January 29th, 2015. Your Honor, 327, State's Proposed 327 are the transcripts of Mr. Figueroa's 10 statement to detectives on October 24th, 2014. 11 12 328 is a transcript of the State's -- or excuse me, of Mr. Figueroa's interview with the State and with detectives 13 on January 25th, 2015. And State's Proposed Exhibits 329 is 14 the transcript of Mr. Figueroa's interview with detectives on 15 October 23rd, 2014. And State's Proposed Exhibits 330 would 16 17 be the transcript of his statement from October 20th, 2014. All right. And those will be admitted. 18 THE COURT: The objections have been previously noted by the Court. 19 (State's Exhibits 326 through 330 admitted) 20 21 THE COURT: And so those will be admitted into 22 evidence. 23 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 24 BY MS. LEXIS: Mr. Figueroa, you were asked by each of the defense 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

attorneys concerning the charges that you pled guilty to and 1 2 the type of sentence that you were facing; is that right? Yes. 3 Α Q Okay. 4 5 Your Honor, at this point, I'd move to MS. LEXIS: admit State's Proposed Exhibit 325 into evidence. 6 THE COURT: And we've already discussed this and so that will be admitted. 8 (State's Exhibit 325 is admitted) 9 And does it not have a sticker? 10 THE CLERK: THE COURT: That --11 12 MS. LEXIS: It's 325. State's Proposed Exhibit 325. Yeah, I'm showing admitted so that's --13 THE CLERK: 14 I think the Court said that outside the MR. LANDIS: 15 presence. What do you show as No. 325? 16 THE COURT: 17 Oh, no, I went up too high. THE CLERK: GPA. Yes, Your Honor. 18 MS. LEXIS: I went up too high on the 9/28 date. 19 THE CLERK: All right. That will be admitted. 20 THE COURT: For the record, it's the Guilty Plea 22 Agreement plus subsequent attached Agreement to Testify. BY MS. LEXIS: 23 24 And Mr. Figueroa, you were also asked by Q 25 particularly Mr. Landis concerning what he referred to as a Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

motion to fire your previous attorney? 1 2 Α Yes, ma'am. 3 Q Okay. Your Honor, I'd move to admit State's MS. LEXIS: 4 5 Proposed Exhibit 331 into evidence. And that is Mr. Figueroa's motion to 6 THE COURT: dismiss counsel, and that will be admitted as well. (State's Exhibit 331 admitted) 8 MS. LEXIS: 9 Thank you. 10 BY MS. LEXIS: Mr. Figueroa, concerning the motion to dismiss 11 Q 12 counsel, why did you file this? What was your concern? My main concern was basically, I felt that David 13 Α Brown was not doing his job to the best of his ability, and my 14 main concern was my sentencing. 15 In terms of sentencing, what did he tell you 16 0 17 your options were? Basically, face the felony murder rule or go ahead 18 and basically, tell -- tell my side of the story. 19 Okay. When you were facing the murder charge, did 20 he indicate to you that one of potential sentences included 22 life --Yes, ma'am. 23 Α -- in prison? Okay. Did he indicate to you that 24 Q you're receiving a benefit by pleading guilty to a robbery 25

with use of a deadly weapon and conspiracy to commit robbery? 1 2 Yes, ma'am. Α Q Did you have -- after you had those concerns, did 3 you have an opportunity to consult with another attorney? 4 Yes, ma'am. 5 Α And did you do that? 6 Yes, ma'am. Α And who's your current -- who's the attorney that 8 Q you consulted with? 9 10 Α Lucas. And after speaking with Mr. Gaffney, is that 11 Q 12 his last name? Yes, ma'am. 13 Α 14 Okay. Q 15 Α Gaffney. What did you decide to do concerning your plea? 16 Q 17 Continue with -- with the original agreement. Α During the motion to dismiss counsel or in the 18 Q handwritten portion of your motion to dismiss counsel, did you 19 at any time point in time, Mr. Figueroa, in this motion ever 20 say that you were forced by Mr. Brown to tell lies to the 22 police? No, ma'am. 23 Α Or to tell a story that wasn't true? 24 Q 25 No, ma'am. Α

Q Okay. So that was not your concern and those were not your allegations? No, ma'am. Α Concerning the Guilty Plea Agreement, which is State's now admitted State's Exhibit 325, you were asked questions concerning, you know, your possible sentence; do you remember those questions? Yes, ma'am. Α And one of the defense attorneys indicated Q you could get as little as three years? Yes, ma'am. Α Were you ever advised of your maximum penalties? Yes, ma'am. Α And what was your understanding of the maximum Q penalties you would be facing under this deal? The maximum penalty is that they could all be ran Α consecutive, one sentence after another, and basically, the top number was like, 35, 36 years. And in addition to the Guilty Plea Agreement that's Q shown in State's Exhibit No. 325, there is -- you signed an Agreement to Testify; is that right? Α Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

Yes, ma'am.

today?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

And that's essentially why you're testifying here

What is your obligation under this Agreement to Q 1 Testify? 2 To tell the whole truth -- the whole truth and 3 Α nothing but the truth. 4 And who decides whether or not you're telling the 5 Q truth? 6 Your Honor or, yeah. Α The Court? 8 Q 9 The Court. Α And who decides your sentence? 10 Q 11 Your Honor. Α 12 Mr. Figueroa, when you gave the statement on October Q 20th, 2014, when you were first taken into custody by police, 13 which would be State's Exhibit 330, did you tell the complete 14 15 truth? No, ma'am. 16 Α 17 When you spoke with detectives to the extent that Q you gave them information on October 23rd, 2014, as stated in 18 State's Exhibit 329, did you tell them the truth? 19 Yes, ma'am. 20 Α When you were interviewed and gave a statement with 22 your attorney present on October 24th, 2014, as shown by State's Exhibit No. 327, did you tell the complete truth? 23 24 Yes, ma'am. Α 25 When you were reinterviewed by detectives and myself

on January 25th, 2015, as shown in State's Exhibit 328, did 1 2 you tell the complete truth? Yes, ma'am. 3 Α When you testified before the Grand Jury on January 4 5 29th, 2015, as shown by transcripts in State's Exhibit No. 326, did you tell the complete truth? 6 Yes, ma'am. Α And as you're sitting here today testifying, have 8 you told the truth? 9 Yes, ma'am. 10 Α Court's brief indulgence. 11 MS. LEXIS: I have no 12 further questions, thank you. Does the Court want us to go in order 13 MR. LANDIS: we did the original cross of him and then we'll get back in 14 shape? 15 Sure, that would be fine. 16 THE COURT: 17 MR. LANDIS: All right. RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MS. McNEILL: Mr. Figueroa, I won't be long with you. I just have 20 a few follow-up questions. Ms. Lexis asked you some questions 22 about who you think decides if you're telling the truth, 23 right? And you indicated that you felt that was up to the 24 Judge, correct? 25 Yes, ma'am. Α

- All right. Do you think that the district attorneys Q 1 make any decision about whether or not you're telling the 3 truth? Together everyone as a whole, I believe, you know, 4 Α 5 comes together and decides whether I'm telling the truth or not. 6 Q You indicated upon questioning from Ms. Lexis about that the detectives told you that you would only get one 8 shot during that October 24th interview, do you remember that? 9 Yes, ma'am. 10 Α Did you talk to the detectives after October 24th? 11 Q 12 Basically, yes, in --Α
- 13 Yeah. Q
- -- in different interview, yes, ma'am. 14 Α
- So you had actually several shots to talk to 15 Q Okay. the detectives, correct? 16
- 17 One shot for telling the truth. Α
- Okay, well, let's talk about that. So you had 18 Q multiple interviews with the detectives after October 24th, 19 right? 20
- 21 Yes, ma'am
- 22 Okay. And then you testified at the Grand Jury for Q 23 the district attorney, correct?
- 24 Yes, ma'am. Α
- And then you testified today for the district 25

attorney, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And it's fair to say that in some of those statements after October 24th, and at the Grand Jury and testifying yesterday and today, there are some things that you've said that you didn't say on October 24th, correct?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And the things that you said we've heard for the first time were things like Manny provided the .38 snub nose, correct?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. And when you add a detail like that, do you think that helps Mr. Laguna?
- 14 A I don't know.
- 15 Q Do you think it helps them?
- 16 A It helps the whole story.
- 17 Q It helps the whole story, okay. And you're aware 18 that you haven't been sentenced yet, correct?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And the district attorney gets to decide what they're going to say at your sentencing, right?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. You indicated that when you decided to speak
  to the police on October 24th, you -- they hadn't made you any
  promises, correct?

A Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q Okay. But you would agree with me that on October 24th, prior to speaking -- prior to saying anything, you would agree with me that your lawyer indicated that he told you that people who talk get a much better break, correct?
  - A I believe so.
- Q Okay. And you knew that before you ever opened your mouth, you had already been charged with murder, right?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And you knew that murder carries a potential for a life sentence --
  - A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q -- right? And so you knew when you went into that room with those detectives that you were going to talk to them to get a deal, right?
    - A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Okay. If they had said we're never making you a deal, what would have been the point of talking to them?
    - A Not to face a felony murder charge. I mean --
- Q Okay. But that would have been a deal that somebody would have given you, correct?
  - A Basically, yes.
  - Q Because prior to any talk of any negotiations, the day you were arrested, you didn't say anything, right, other than the story you made up about the drug deal?

1	A Yes, ma'am.		
2	Q Right? And in fact, on October 24th, do you		
3	remember that your attorney telling you the DA knew that the		
4	police were there talking to you?		
5	A Yes, ma'am.		
6	Q In fact, Mr. DiGiacomo knew they were there, right?		
7	A I believe so.		
8	Q And he said that detectives aren't going to		
9	negotiate a murder case or that the DAs aren't going to		
10	negotiate a murder case without talking to the detectives,		
11	right?		
12	A Yes, ma'am.		
13	Q And that was prior to you saying anything, correct?		
14	A I'm not sure if that was prior to me saying		
15	anything. I think that was at the end of the		
16	Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at the		
17	statement?		
18	(Ms. McNeill/District Attorneys conferring)		
19	MS. McNEILL: May I approach the clerk?		
20	(Pause in the proceedings)		
21	MS. McNEILL: Sorry. Court's indulgence. If I may		
22	approach the witness, Your Honor.		
23	THE COURT: You may. And which exhibit are we		
24	MS. McNEILL: I'm sorry, the exhibit is 327.		
25	THE COURT: Page 3?		
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890		

MS. McNEILL: And page 2. 1 THE COURT: 2 Page 2. 3 BY MS. McNEILL: If you could just read this section here to refresh 4 your recollection. 5 Okay, yes, ma'am. 6 Q Okay. So you would agree with me that's the beginning of your interview, correct? 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 Α And that's before you had given any information? 10 Q Yes, ma'am. 11 Α And you were told not only by your lawyer --12 Q Right? well, you were told by your lawyer multiple times that it 13 would benefit you to talk? 14 Yes, ma'am. 15 Α And that the district attorney, was the one who 16 Q would negotiate a murder case, correct? 17 Yes, ma'am. 18 Α And that's what you wanted to do is negotiate your 19 Q murder case, right? 20 A Yes, ma'am. 22 You indicated that when you were down on Long Cattle Q 23 and looked back, you saw Mr. Laguna get in the car with 24 Mr. Murphy, right? 25 Yes, ma'am. Α

Q And they drove away and left you behind? 1 Yes, ma'am. 2 Α 3 Q You never saw that car come back at any point? 4 No, ma'am. 5 Α And then you indicated that you tried to call 6 Q Mr. Laguna, correct? Yes, ma'am. 8 Α And that was because you said that you knew his 9 Q phone number and you believed he would come to your distress 10 call, correct? 11 12 Yes, ma'am. Α But he'd left you behind, hadn't he? 13 Q Yes, ma'am. 14 Α And while you were waiting, making all these phone 15 Q call, you hear sirens, right? 16 17 Yes, ma'am. Α And they're police sirens, right? 18 Q Yes, ma'am. 19 Α You could see an air unit, right? 20 Q Yes, ma'am. So you knew that the police were there? 22 Q 23 Yes, ma'am. Α In fact, that's why you didn't come out, right? 24 Q 25 Α Yes, ma'am. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1	Q	Okay.	
2		MS. McNEILL: Court's indulgence.	
3	BY MS. McNEILL:		
4	Q	You were asked some questions about if you called	
5	Manny to	come get you, correct?	
6	A	Yes, ma'am.	
7	Q	And you indicated that you did?	
8	A	Yes, ma'am.	
9	Q	Did you ever connect with him?	
10	A	I yes, ma'am.	
11	Q	All right. How come Manny didn't come get you?	
12	А	He was I think he was that night I think he	
13	was a female friend and they were out drinking.		
14	Q	Okay. All right. Did you indicate to Manny that	
15	you believed you might be dying?		
16	А	I told him I was hurt real bad.	
17	Q	Okay. Did you tell him you had been shot?	
18	A	I believe so.	
19	Q	Okay.	
20		MS. McNEILL: I'll pass the witness.	
21		MR. WOLFBRANDT: Judge, have I no more questions.	
22		MR. LANDIS: Even shorter than Ms. McNeill.	
23		RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. LANDIS:		
25	Q	I just have a couple questions about your Grand Jury	
		Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890	

testimony January 2015. On that date, the complete truth you told did not mention a Mexican girl with David Murphy at any point. I don't believe so. When you testified in front of the Grand Jury about Q pulling up to David Murphy that morning, you testified he was by himself in that white truck? Yes, sir. Α And when you talked about you guys going back to Q Laguna's house to regroup after that attempt, you specifically said who went back, who was involved and you mentioned four people, four males, collect, including yourself? Yes, sir. Α And you never mentioned the Hispanic girlfriend 0 being any part of that? No, sir. Α MR. LANDIS: That's all I have, Judge. No redirect, thank you. MS. LEXIS: Thank you. May this witness be excused? THE COURT: MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes. Thank you for your testimony. You may call your next witness. right. MR. DiGIACOMO: State calls Barry Jensen. DETECTIVE BARRY JENSEN, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN Please be seated and then please state THE CLERK:

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

```
and spell your first and last name for the record.
 1
                             My name's Barry Jensen.
 2
              THE WITNESS:
                                                        It's
    B-a-r-r-y, J-e-n-s-e-n.
 3
              THE COURT:
                           You may proceed.
 4
 5
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
 6
              Sir, how are you employed?
 7
         Q
              I'm employed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
 8
    Department.
 9
              In what capacity?
10
         Q
              I'm assigned to the homicide detail.
11
         Α
              How long have you been in homicide?
12
         Q
              Almost 16 years.
13
         Α
              How long have you been a cop?
14
         Q
              Almost 28 years.
15
         Α
              I'm going to direct your attention to September 21st
16
         Q
              Were you assigned an investigation of a homicide
17
    of 2014.
    that occurred at 1661 Broadmere here in Clark County, Las
18
    Vegas, Nevada?
19
              Yes, I was.
20
         Α
              And they've heard a little bit by an individual,
    detective by the name of Tod Williams. Do you know Tod
22
23
    Williams?
24
              I do.
         Α
25
              How do you know Tod?
                   Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

- A Tod Williams is my partner.
- Q When you responded to this particular location, what was your responsibility?

A On this particular call, I was assigned to do the crime scene along with a crime scene analysts. Tod was assigned to do interviews with the help of other detectives.

Q They've heard from Adam Felabom, the crime scene analyst that was working -- or one of them that was working that night. But during the course of processing the crime scene, do you have communications with your partners that are interviewing witnesses and learn the information that Roger Day provided to them?

A Yes, I did.

Q I'm going to put for you State's Exhibit 6 on the overhead for us, and you can -- from looking at that, can you recognize the area of the crime scene?

A Yes.

Q And in there was there a location where there was information that possibly there was a shooter outside the residence near the corner of Broadmere and Long Cattle?

A Yes. The -- the witness said that he had -- he saw a person standing on the corner of Long Cattle and Broadmere and it looked like he was shooting a gun.

Q Based on that information, was there a search conducted of that area to see if there was any firearms

related evidence that seemed to corroborate the fact that there was a shooter at that location? Yes, there was. Α And specifically, what were you looking for? We were looking for a shell casing, anything that Α would be related to firearm. Did you also check back in the area of the crime Q scene to see if there was some sort of bullet strike to the home that would be consistent with a shooter in that location? Yes, we did. Α And did you find any bullet strike consistent with Q that? No, we did not. Α Did you find any casings consistent with a shooter Q at that location? No, we did not. Α Did you find any evidence other than what's up near Q 1661 Broadmere of a second shooter or a second, what I'll call offensive shooter in this case? Α No. At some point, do you MR. LANDIS: Your Honor, I'm going to --BY MR. DiGIACOMO: -- come in --Q Kind of quick, I'm going to object MR. WOLFBRANDT:

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

to the characterization of offensive shooter. I think it mischaracterizes -- or it -- I'll just object to that form of the question, use of that that word offensive shooter.

THE COURT: All right, well, you have to state a legal objection for me.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: There's no foundation for that. It's leading.

THE COURT: All right. Lay a better foundation as to how he knows whether something might be offensive as opposed to defensive.

## 11 BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

1

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q I'll rephrase it this way, in your review of the crime scene, was there evidence of somebody shooting from outside of 1661 -- into 1661 Broadmere?
  - A Yes, there was.
- Q Was there evidence of somebody from inside 1661 shooting out?
  - A Yes, there was.
- Q And so when I use the term offensive, essentially what I mean is did you have evidence of more than one weapon shooting from outside in as opposed to from inside out?
- MR. WOLFBRANDT: Still objecting to the term offensive as being leading and without foundation.
- THE COURT: Well, overruled. He's now defined the term so I think it's not confusing, although, do you

understand the question now that we've talked all around since it's been --

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

BY MS. LEXIS:

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q Do you have evidence of more than one shooter that either fired at the doorway in or outside in to Broadmere?

A No, there's no evidence there's more than one shooter from the outside.

Q Now, I had this on my desk yesterday. At some point, did you -- sorry, I have to grab an exhibit. And I'm not near a mic so I just won't talk for a moment.

Within your crime scene, so inside the house itself, did you have some .40 caliber cartridge cases expended?

A Yes, we did.

Q And at some point, sometime later, do you come into possession of a .40 caliber firearm based on the interview of Robert Figueroa?

A Yes, I do.

Q And was that submitted to the lab for testing to see if it could possibly have fired the four casings in the inside of the scene?

A Yes.

Q As you sit here today, do you have any evidence that Robert Figueroa fired a weapon at this crime scene on

September 21st?

A No, I do not.

Q This may seem somewhat self-explanatory to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, but no one actually said it, so at a point in time, do you learn that a individual was taken out of a car down on Long Cattle?

A Yes, I do.

Q And I'm going to put up State's Exhibit No. 12 and you're going to have to give me half a second, Detective, to rotate this.

Showing you State's Exhibit No. 12, is this a crime scene diagram of the location of that vehicle along of the truck and the house that it was at?

A Yes.

Q And the black vehicle that's referenced in this location here, did you determine that that vehicle was somehow associated with the person that was residing in that house?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did you also engage in a search of the -- and I'll put 6 back up -- of this area to see if there was any vehicle that appeared to be associated with an individual named Jose -- or Jorge Mendoza?

A Yes, I did.

Q And did you find any vehicles associated with Jorge Mendoza?

- A No, we did not.
- Q Did you conduct a search of the area to see if there was some other vehicle that stood out as being out of place from the residences in this neighborhood?

MR. LANDIS: I'm going to object as to vague, search the area. I don't think it's been defined well enough.

THE COURT: What do you mean when you say area?

MR. DiGIACOMO: I said area. Do you want me to ask him?

THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead. Yeah.

11 BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

- Q Do you conduct -- my follow-up. I'll do it backwards, then. How much of the area or can you show us on Exhibit 6 how much the area do you go there to look to see if there is some vehicle that could potentially be the suspect vehicle?
- A Yes, I do. We actually staged out here onto Homestead. We walked in from -- can I draw on this?

  THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: We walked in from Homestead, did our -- you know, started working a little bit of the crime scene.

After -- this is all after our briefing. We came down to Long Cattle. That's where Jorge or Jorge Mendoza was taken into custody. We walked down Long Cattle, Walrus, Ranch Hand, some people went -- and I can't read that street. I don't have my

-- my cheaters with me.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Some of them went up this street. I continued down here on to Shifting Winds. And we walked back up Homestead.

- Q And you keep saying Homestead. Does that say Home --
  - A I'm sorry, Homestretch.
  - Q -- Homestretch? Thank you.
- A Homestretch. And then back to the -- the crime scene. The other -- I believe, there was other officers that walked up through this street and back up to Broadmere.
- Q During that search of that area, did you find any vehicle that, to you, appeared to be out of place within the neighborhood?
  - A No, we did not.
- Q There was questions asked, although it seems like a very long time ago, about gunshot residue testing being conducted on Monty Gibson. First of all, Monty Gibson, who is Monty, since it's been a long time since we've talked about stuff like this?
- A Monty -- victim -- is the -- is the victim of this homicide.
- Q And he's the individual that's within the doorway there of 1661?
- 24 A Yes, he is.
- 25 Q Did you conduct any gunshot residue testing on

Mr. Gibson?

- A No, we did not.
- Q Why not?

A Gunshot residue testing, it's a very unreliable test. If you're near a gunshot, if you're near a firearm that goes off, that discharges, you're going to get some of the gunpowder or the residue on your hands, on your clothing.

If you've -- if you've touched the counter, if you've touched the walls where a gunshot's went off, you're going to pick up some of the gunshot residue on your hands and on your clothing. We knew Monty was inside the house at the time that the -- that there was gunfire so obviously, if we -- if we checked his hands, his hands would test or should test positive for gunpowder. I would be surprised if they didn't. But that doesn't indicate that he fired a weapon.

- Q So in other words, the testing itself would not provide you any information as to whether or not he did or didn't fire a weapon?
  - A That's correct.
- Q That night while you're still working the scene, do you learn that your partner has made contact with a woman identified as Amanda Mendoza?
  - A Yes, I do.
- Q And during that time period, does your partner relay to you either that night or some time in the future a phone

number that was provided to him by Michelle Estavillo, the 1 mother of Amanda Mendoza? Α Yes. And ultimately, do you do a court order for the 4 phone records associated with that number? Yes, I do. 6 Α Did you do a court order for 702-286-3557? Q Yes, I did. 8 Α In addition -- well, do you know Tod Williams's 9 Q department cell phone? 10 Yes, I do. 11 Α 12 What's that cell phone number? Tod's phone number is 702-289-5615. 13 Α As you're working the scene, do you receive 14 Q information that there's a person named Ashley Hall that may 15 have some relevant information? 16 17 Yes, we do. Α And initially, Ashley Hall, is she spoken to you by 18 Q you or other detectives? 19

A Other detectives.

20

22

23

24

25

Q Did those detectives come back and provide you contact information for Ms. Hall?

A Yes, they did.

Q And does there come a point in time where you dial a particular phone number for Ms. Hall in order to talk to her?

- Yes. 1 Α And do you dial 702-832-9016? 2 Q Yes, I do. 3 Α And the person on the other end of the phone do they 4 Q identify themselves as Ashley Hall? 5 Yes, she does. 6 Α After the initial investigation where you have Q Mr. Mendoza at the scene and some information related to 8 Summer Larsen, do you try and figure out if there's any connection that you can find between Mr. Mendoza and Summer 10 Rice or Summer Larsen? 11 Yes, we do. 12 Α And were you able to find any connection between the 13 Q 14 two? No, we were not. 15 Α Leading up to about maybe October 20th or close 16 Q 17 thereto, do you have any additional leads to follow as it relates to who else could be involved in this homicide? 18 Yes, we do. 19 Α 20 Q Like what? 21 We had information that a Robert Figueroa was 22 involved and possibly another person named Manny, oh, and I
  - Q And this information, who do you get it from?
- 25 A We get this information from a gentleman named

can't think of his last name.

23

24

Gabriel Soto (sic). 1 And during the course of your conversation with 2 Mr. Sotelo, does he provide you phone numbers --MR. LANDIS: Objection. Misstates his testimony. 4 On the name you're talking about? 5 THE COURT: So he said --6 Did he not just say --7 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- Soto and you said Sotelo so hence, 8 THE COURT: objection. 9 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 10 Do you recall the exact name of the person that 11 Q 12 provided you the information? Well, I do now. It's Sotelo. 13 Α Sorry. I wasn't listening. In your conversation or 14 Q ultimately, in your conversation with Mr. Sotelo, does he 15 provide you information concerning a Robert Figueroa? 16 17 Yes, he does. Α Does he also provide you information concerning an 18 Q individual identified as Manny Barrientos? 19 Yes, he does. 20 Α Does he provide you phone numbers for those two 22 individuals? 23 Yes, he does. Α 24 Based on the information that you receive from Q 25 Mr. Barrientos, do you -- or sorry, Mr. Sotelo, excuse me --

do you have -- do you set up in sort of surveillance? Are you looking to come into contact with any particular individual?

A Yes, we set up a surveillance on Robert Figueroa. We had other detectives helping with the -- actually doing the surveillance. We were on the outside of it.

Q Eventually, on October 20th, does -- do you come into contact with Robert Figueroa?

A Yes, we do. He was taken into custody by the surveillance team. They gave us their location. We drove up there. And I introduced myself to -- to Robert Figueroa, who was in handcuffs at the time standing outside one of the buildings.

Q If your report reflects this is somewhere in the area of Casey in Clark County; does that sound right?

A Yes, it does.

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. And when you come into contact with Mr. Figueroa, during the surveillance, did you have an opportunity to sort of see Mr. Figueroa from a distance?

A Yes, we did.

Q And looking at him from a distance, were you able to identify any gunshot wounds to him?

A No, we were not.

Q When you first approached Mr. Figueroa and introduce yourself, what do you do?

A I was -- I was aware that he had been shot in the

face, and more specifically the mouth, I believe, and I was looking for a gunshot wound and -- and it really didn't stick out, and I thought oh, you know, I don't know if this is the right guy.

We were able to lift up his shirt and he had a gunshot wound to the flank, which also was corroborated, and so I realized then that we had the right guy.

Q Based upon the fact that you had Mr. Figueroa, what did you do with him at this point, once he's taken into custody?

A We took him -- at that time, my partner was on vacation so I was using one of the other detectives, Detective Wildmann. We took Robert Figueroa, put him in our unmarked police car. I advised him of his rights. He stated he understood his rights. And I asked him about his involvement in the home invasion homicide.

MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes.

## BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

Q Detective, at some point is an audio tape recorded statement taken from Mr. Figueroa?

A Yes. I had the -- I turned the recorder on before we got in the car.

Q And is that then submitted for transcription and then sent back to you to review to see if it's accurate?

A Yes, it is.

1

2

5

8

10

- Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as -- or now been admitted as State's Exhibit 330. Does that appear to be a copy, an accurate copy of the transcription of that audio recording?
- 6 A Yes, it does.
  - Q Okay. There was some questions asked of Mr. Figueroa concerning some of the first questions, the Q1 and Q that goes on here. Do you know who Q1 -- who the speaker would be, looking at that?
- 11 A I think that's Marty, is Q1.
- 12 Q And who's Marty?
- 13 A I'm sorry, Detective Wildman.
- Q So do you have more than one person on your squad?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q And we have sort of heard that some time in October

  17 Detective Williams went on his yearly hunting trip.
- 18 A Yes.
- Q And did you then partner up with another partner to sort of assist in the follow-up?
- 21 A I did.
- 22 \ Q And who was that?
- 23 A And that was Detective Wildmann.
- Q Okay. Is the other Q on there you?
- 25 A Yes.

- So when you look at these transcripts Q and Q1 are Q detectives?
  - Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- What does the A represent? Q
- That would represent Robert Figueroa's answer. Α
- There was a question asked about Mr. Figueroa that Q the first thing said to him is, "Robert, do you remember my Being that that's a Q, is that you? name?"
  - Yes, it is. Α
- Why is it that -- well, let me ask you Q All right. this, prior to you saying, Robert, do you remember my name, had you had any substantive conversation with Robert Figueroa?
  - No, I did not. Α
- So why is it you were able to say to him, Q Robert, do you remember my name?
- Because as we approached him when he was taken into Α custody outside, I introduced myself to him. I said, Robert, my name's Barry Jensen. I'm with Las Vegas Metro, I'm going to need to talk with you.
- During the time period that Mr. Figueroa is taken into custody, is there a search warrant executed at the apartment he shared with both an individual named Jeff Bonne as well as Cindy Cruz?
- Yes, there was. Α
- 25 And during the course of that search warrant, were

there some firearms located in a room associated with Mr. Bonne?

- A Yes, there was.
- Q Additionally, during the course of that search warrant, were there photographs taken?
  - A There were.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q I'm going to put up for you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit B and ask you if that appears to be a photograph taken during the execution of that search warrant?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q During the course of the search warrant, was there any marijuana found within that residence?
  - A No, there was not.
- Q Had there been marijuana located within that residence, would it have been collected?
  - A Yes, it would have.
- Q There's been some suggestion that the items contained within those two jars included -- or includes marijuana. I guess, I should ask a question before you actually answer. Did you do research and did you look at this photograph to determine whether or not, first of all, does there appear to be anything within that jar right there?
  - A No. That appears to be an empty jar.
  - Q And what appeared to be within that jar?
- A To me it looks like a shopping bag, a plastic

shopping bag that was crinkled up and put in there.

Q Now, when you first contact Mr. Figueroa and you see that he has two gunshot wounds, do you have a belief as to whether or not he's associated with the crime that occurs at 1661 Broadmere?

A Oh, there's not a doubt in my mind he's involved in that crime.

Q And based upon that belief, is there certain things that you say to a suspect when you first get them into custody and you're about to interview them?

A Yes. I -- I always talk to them. I generally use the same rapport. I try to make them think that I may know a little more than what I do. But I try to convince them that now's the time to tell me the truth. This -- you know, get your involvement out.

I -- I -- basically, my goal is to get a story with them. I can go and confirm their information and find out how -- how honest they're being at, you know, later through the investigation.

Q During the course of speaking to a suspect, you said you sort of let them believe you know more than you actually do. Are you allowed to misrepresent the basis of your knowledge?

A Yes, I am.

Q And during the course of your career -- well, let me

ask you this, within that transcript, there's a large section where you say something to the effect of, you know, everybody minimizes, those type of things. What do you say and why are you saying it?

A It's human nature to try to paint yourself into a better light. You know, I want the person that I'm interviewing, if they're a suspect in a crime, I want them to know that it's better to tell me the truth the first time. If you -- you know, if you're telling me a story and -- and it's not honest and I find out it's not honest, you're not that credible further on down the road when you try to be honest.

And -- and that's what I'm telling him when I'm -- when I'm telling him, you know, people try to minimize their involvement, you know, and things like that.

Q In your experience in coming into contact with the suspects or defendants, I guess, ultimately, in your experience, do individuals normally always just come out and give a full fledged confession to a crime?

A No.

Q And thus, are there tools that you use to try and coax that information out of them?

A Yes.

Q Now, ultimately, after trying these tools on Mr. Figueroa, there's been some questions about him invoking his lawyer and your reaction. Were you frustrated when

Mr. Figueroa requested a lawyer? 1 2 No. Α During the course of -- so you learn information Q from Gabriel Sotelo, you go find Mr. Figueroa. 4 5 Α Correct. Thus, some of the information, obviously, Mr. Sotelo 6 has provided you is corroborated by the fact that there's two bullet holes in Mr. Figueroa, right? 8 Correct. Α Okay. Did he provide you phone numbers for both 10 Q Mr. Figueroa and for this Manny Barrientos? 11 12 Yes, he did. Α And ultimately, do you do court orders? 13 Q 14 I do. Α The phone number, the 702-542-8981, what the jury 15 Q knows to be the Maria Sandoval phone, when you issued your 16 17 order for that, are you looking to confirm whether or not Manny Barrientos was at the scene of the crime? 18 Yes, I am. 19 Α And ultimately, the returns, the evidence that we 20 have back here is what it is you got back? 22 Α That's correct. 23 Did you also enter an order or do an order for the 702-504-1148 number?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

Yes, I did.

24

25

Α

For the number that he was provided as it related to 1 Q 2 Mr. Figueroa? 3 Yes. Α And were you able to get information back from any 4 phone company on that particular number? I don't -- we never got a return on that. 6 So let's talk a little bit about Mr. Sotelo. Q How do you meet Mr. Sotelo? 8 Gabriel Sotelo was in -- in custody in North Las 9 Α He was being investigated for a possession of stolen 10 property charge by a Detective Stucky (phonetic) with North 11 12 Las Vegas. Did he want something in return for providing you 13 Q information on this homicide? 14 I don't recall him asking for any -- any favors. 15 Α Was he released from custody at the end of having 16 Q 17 that conversation? Yes, he was. 18 Α Well, let me ask you this, did Mr. Sotelo want to be 19 Q released from custody if he provided you information? 20 I'm not sure he was going to jail that night with 21 him providing information or not. 22 So he's taken into custody by Officer Stucky, how do 23 you find out about who he is? 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

He provides Officer Stucky that -- information that

25

he knows about this home invasion up in this -- in this general area. She called Metro, they put her in contact with me.

- Q And then you come down there and now he's going to tell you about the homicide?
- A Yes.

1

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q On 10/20 as well as Mr. Figueroa, was Mr. Figueroa's roommates as well as his sister interviewed?
  - A Yes, they were.
- Q And during that time period, do you receive a different phone number for Mr. Figueroa from his sister?
  - A Yes, I did.
    - Q On 10/23, did I request you to go do something?
- 14 A Yes, you did.
- 15 Q What did I request you to do?
  - A You asked me -- you said that you had information that Robert Figueroa wanted to talk to the detectives so my -- again, my partner's on -- on a vacation so I -- I was able to get Detective Wildmann to come with me and went and talked with Robert Figueroa.
  - Q And when you went to talk to him, did you really have a substantive conversation with him?
- A No, we didn't.
- Q What was his request?
- 25 A He wanted to -- he wanted to provide us with

information, but he would -- he felt more comfortable with his attorney being there.

- Q And did you make arrangements to have his attorney there the next morning?
  - A Yes, we did.
- Q The next morning, do you go back and talk to Mr. Figueroa?
- A Yes, we do.

- Q Now, at this point, are you your officers' reports even written?
  - A No, they're started, but not written.
- Q And all these phone returns that we're talking about here, had they been -- well, had you even received the overwhelming majority of them at that point?
  - A No, we had not.
- Q When you go in the room on October 24th, and talk to Mr. Figueroa, do you have any idea what it is he's going to tell you?
- 19 A No.
  - Q And thus, what happens?
  - A His attorney was -- him and his attorney had a while to talk. I believe, his attorney came out and said they were ready. We went in, and again, I believe, I Mirandized him, but I -- I just told him, I said, you know, just tell me the story, and, you know, tell me the truth, if you're involved in

other crimes, I don't particularly care. I'm more focused on this crime.

You know, I -- I don't care if you're selling weed. That's not what I'm focused on. I just wanted to know about what happened at the Broadmere address where the murder occurred. And he started telling me his story.

Q And when he tells you this story, what is the purpose of you gathering this information from Mr. Figueroa?

A This is information that we want to gather and then we want to try to corroborate it over the next days, you know, through the course of the investigation.

Q And when Mr. Figueroa is telling you this information, it's pretty damning information against him; is that fair?

A That's correct.

Q And he also provides you information on other individuals?

A Yes, he does.

Q During the course of this conversation or at least prior to this conversation, did you know who Joey Laguna was?

A No.

Q Did you know -- did you had the name Montone come up at all?

A Yes, we did.

Q And who -- where did Montone come up?

- Montone came -- Montone came up there Gabriel. Α 1 2 Sotelo? Q 3 Α Sotelo. How about Duboy or David Murphy, had that name come 4 Q 5 up? Not to my knowledge at that time. 6 Α Q Now, there's been some question about Tod Williams had heard the name David Murphy and knew that David Murphy was 8 Amanda Mendoza's cousin on September 22nd. Did you know that information? 10 11 Α I did not. 12 If detectives had written his summary by Q All right. then, if Detective Williams had written that summary, even if 13 you had read that summary, would you know the name David 14 Murphy? 15 16 No. Α 17 Why not? Q Because in the summary, he just says that Amanda was 18 Α picked up by her cousin, and he -- he doesn't provide a name. 19 Now, there was some suggestion that there is an 20 Q audio recording of Amanda Mendoza's statement. In practical 22 terms, is there any chance you would have listened to that 23 audio before October 20th or 24th of 2014?
  - Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

24

25

Α

Q

No.

Why not?

A It's -- it's time consuming, and I know that sounds terrible, but we're investigating a homicide, we're gathering information, it's -- it's a fluid investigation. So as we're gathering information on this homicide, we're still working other homicides. We're -- we have court appearances and -- and things that we have to -- to go through.

So it -- you know, you try to save some time when you can. To sit and listen to even a 15-minute recording, I mean, we have several of these recordings to sit and listen to, and you just don't have the time to do it.

- Q On your squad, how many detectives are there?
- A There's -- at that time, there was six.
  - Q And there's six people out there doing work?
- 14 A That's correct.

1

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And so in order to -- correct me if I'm wrong, you're not trying to duplicate the work that the other detectives have already done?
  - A That's correct.
- Q During the course of this conversation with Mr. Figueroa, does he provide you some information about who Duboy is?
  - A Yes, he does.
  - Q And what does he tell you?
- A He tells me that he's -- he's known Duboy for a

  25 little while. He met him through Joey Laguna. He describes

Duboy for me. And through the course of the investigation, he -- or through the course of that interview, he tells me that he believes that David Murphy is having a sexual relationship with the guy that lives in that -- in that house, his wife, Summer. I know her name, he didn't.

- Q And you just said the word David Murphy. Does Mr. Figueroa know the name David Murphy?
  - A No, he does not.
    - Q He just knows Duboy?
- 10 A Correct.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q During the course of that conversation, does he tell you whose idea it was for both the first, what I'll call the drug supplier's residence, as well as for the murder scene residence, whose idea it was --
- MR. WOLFBRANDT: I'm going to object again -
  16 BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
  - Q -- for these robberies?
  - MR. WOLFBRANDT: -- to the form of the question and the use of the term murder. It's leading, it's argumentative and it's for the jury to decide whether it's a murder scene or not. It's a homicide.
  - THE COURT: I didn't even hear the entire question before you got it out.
- MR. DiGIACOMO: I can rephrase it, Judge. I don't have a problem with that.

THE COURT: All right.

## BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

23

24

25

- Q During the course of the conversation you're having with Mr. Figueroa, does he provide you information as to whose idea -- well, who knew about the location, I will say, of the drug supplier house as well as the homicide scene, that house, the Broadmere address?
- A Yes, he does. He -- he told me that it was Duboy's idea for both -- both houses.
- Q Does there come a point in time when you take a break in the interview and go outside and discuss sort of like what's come up so far during the interview?
- A Yes, we do.
- Q And that's you and who?
- 15 A Me and Detective Wildmann.
- Q And at that point, has he brought up or does -- have you shown him any photographs of anybody yet?
- 18 A I don't believe so, no.
  - THE COURT: Who are we talking about "he" because now we're outside with Wildman so I'm unclear.
- 21 MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure
- 22 BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
  - Q During the course of this conversation now with Detective Wildmann outside, had you shown Mr. Figueroa any photographs of individuals yet?

- A No, we had not.
- Q When you go back inside, do you show him a photograph of Joey Larsen?
  - A Yes, I do.

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q And does Mr. Figueroa indicate whether or not he knows Joey Larsen or not?
- A I don't think he recognizes him. He -- he -- I think he said he might have bought weed from him or something in the past.
- Q Then when you show a picture of Summer Larsen, does he recognize her face?
  - A I don't believe he recognizes her face.
- Q At that point, does he tell you something about how Joey must -- or sorry, about Duboy must know this woman that you've shown him?
  - A Oh, yes, he does.
- Q What does he do? What does he say?
  - A He says -- that's when he tells me that -- that he thinks that this is the girl that -- that Duboy was having the affair with and that she would talk about her -- her problems with her husband and things like that to -- to Duboy.
  - Q Now, prior to you bringing up this picture of Summer Rice and everything, earlier in the conversation did Mr. Figueroa talk to you about a Hispanic woman with tattoos?
- 25 A Yes, he did.

And did he refer to that woman having a relationship 1 Q 2 with Duboy? Yes, he -- he called her his girlfriend. So when you show the picture and there's this 4 conversation between this picture of Summer Larsen and Duboy, do you clarify then like, girlfriend versus what type of 6 relationship? Oh, yeah, I mean, I clarified, she wasn't the girl 8 involved in the earlier surveillance and -- and other stash 10 house. While you're talking to Mr. Figueroa, does he 11 Q 12 provide you the phone he had in his possession when he was at Broadmere? 13 I believe, he does. 14 Α Does he provide you the phone number as well? 15 Q 16 Yes. Α 17 And that phone number is the 702-241-1051 number? Q Yes. 18 Α Is that the same number that Elizabeth Bird? 19 Q Yes, it is. 20 Α 21 Mr. Figueroa's sister? 22 Yes. Half -- half sister. Α 23 During the course of this conversation that you're Q 24 having with Mr. Figueroa, he brings up Joey Laguna?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Yes, he does.

25

Α

- And he's -- does he describe certain things about Q Joey Laguna that allows you to identify who he is? Yes, he does. Α At the end of your conversation with Mr. Figueroa, do you go back to your office and attempt at this point to see if any of the information he has provided you is accurate? Yes, we do. Α Do you do a court order for Mr. Figueroa's cell phone, the 241 number? Yes, we do. Α Has there been or at this point, is there one done Q for the Mendoza phone, the 666-4948 number? I -- I think we do it around that time. And do you do some research to see if you could 0 figure out a phone number for Mr. Laguna? Yes, we do. Α And during the research of certain records that you Q had access to, or information you had access to, do you find a number, the 702-762-1584 number? Yes, we do. Α Do you also go and see Mr. Figueroa's girlfriend?
- 20

1

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

- 22 Yes, we do. Α
  - And why do you do that? Q
- Because during our interview, Mr. Figueroa told us 24 Α that his girlfriend had -- had the weapon that he'd used that 25

night during the home invasion homicide. 1 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? THE COURT: 3 Yes. BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 4 Detective, I'm going to show you what's been marked 5 Q as State's Proposed Exhibit 227. Do you recognize that 6 package? I do. 8 Α And while crime scene analysts have testified, do 9 Q you do a similar process when you impound evidence yourself? 10 Is that a terrible question? 11 12 Yes. I --Α Q Let me ask you this, do you recognize the 13 package? 14 I do. 15 Α And is that in substantially the same or similar 16 Q 17 condition with the exception of that blue tape at the bottom as when you impounded the item that's contained therein? 18 Yes, it is. 19 Α And if we were to cut that open, what would we 20 expect to find inside? 22 You would expect to find a Ruger .40 caliber Α 23 semi-automatic handgun in it. 24 Q And that blue tape on the bottom is indicative of 25 forensic work being done on that firearm?

Yes, it is. 1 Α 2 When you recover that firearm, is it covered in that red/brownish substance the jury saw in the pictures from the 3 DNA analyst? 4 5 Yes, it is. Α I'd move to admit 227. MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm not 6 going to open it because of the biohazard. THE COURT: Any objection? 8 9 MR. LANDIS: No. 10 MS. McNEILL: No. 11 MR. WOLFBRANDT: No. 12 It will be admitted. THE COURT: (State's Exhibit 227 admitted) 13 14 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: On or about November 6th of -- well, before November 15 Q 6th of 2014, do you do research into somebody named Duboy? 16 17 Yes, I do. Α And can you describe for us at some point do you 18 Q find an individual that you think is Duboy? 19 It was -- I had the -- I call it a moniker, a 20 nickname, Duboy, and we were running that through our --22 police records, and I located a Duboy that his name was Jesus Mendez-Martinez. He was a Hispanic male about in that same 23 age group, and he had the nickname or the moniker Duboy, and I 24 -- I just focused on that and I said this -- you know, this is 25

Duboy. This is the guy.

- Q Now, did you have information prior to that time that Summer Larsen may have had a Hispanic boyfriend as well?
  - A Yes, we did.
  - Q So the Hispanic part jumped out at you?
- 6 A Yes.

1

2

4

5

8

10

11

15

16

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you -- let me ask you this, at some point -- and we've heard about and I don't know that we've actually -- the jury's seen it, but we've heard about what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit A. Do you recognize that particular document?
- 12 A I do. That's my officer's report.
- Q Let's talk little bit about that officer's report.

  How is that generated?
  - A I generate -- the detective that is doing the cases, they -- they will generate the officer's report.
- Q And how is it that information from other detectives gets placed in that report?
  - A They -- it's -- it's located in our H drive.

    They've got access. They can input the -- their synopses
    themselves or they can e-mail them to -- to us and we put them
    in, cut and paste.
  - MR. LANDIS: Can I object to the use of "us" on these answers. "Us" and "we", whether it's not clear as to who he's talking about.

1 THE WITNESS: I. All right. Sustained. Let's try and 2 THE COURT: keep it specific. BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 4 5 Who's ultimately responsible for the report? Q I'm ultimately responsible for the report. 6 And when you find this Jesus Martinez -- or Q Mendez-Martinez and you think that this individual is the 8 Duboy, what do you do? I -- I put his picture and his identifiers in the 10 report and we try to make other attempts to -- to find a 11 connection between him and the -- the people that we know or 12 believe that are involved in the -- in the crime. 13 Leading up to November 6th of 2014, do you finalize 14 0 the report? 15 Yes, we do. 16 Α 17 And when you say finalize it, what does that mean Q 18 happens? I turned it into the sergeant, and the sergeant 19 signs off on it, and it's put in the books. 20 Thus, becoming a permanent record of the Las Vegas 21 22 Metropolitan Police Department? 23 Yes, sir. 24 Q Now, there was questions of Detective Williams about the date and time of report that's on the front of that 25

Can you flip to the front there. 1 report. I'm on the front. 2 Okay. Looking at the front of that report, first of 3 Q all, do you recognize your signature? 4 5 I do. Α Do you recognize your partner, Tod Williams' 6 signature? I do. 8 Α And do you recognize your then acting sergeant, 9 Q Anette Mullin? 10 11 That's correct. Α 12 Now, there is a place that says date and time of Q Do you recognize that handwriting? 13 report. That's my handwriting. 14 Α That date and time, what does it say? 15 Q It says 9/22/2014 at 10:00 hours. That's 10:00 in 16 Α the morning. 17 And why is that date and time on that report? 18 Q Because that's when I started the report. 19 Α 20 So after you're done with the scene, you get there Q what time do you think on the 21st, maybe? 22 Oh, 9:30. Maybe -- maybe a little later. 23 Okay. And do you stay there as long as the crime Q 24 scene analysts are there? I -- I think we're there until 7:00 or 8:00 in the 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 morning.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Q So a substantial period of time?

A Yes.

Q After that time period, you then go back and start the report?

A I go back to the office, I -- I pull a blank officer's report, get the -- get the file started, get a book so we can put -- put that stuff together and try to kind of debrief on what we have so far.

- Q And that's when the report starts to get generated?
- 11 A Yes.
  - Q Now, on the usual officer's report that you do, is that date and time typed in?
- A Usually, I type it in. I -- I didn't type it in on this one.
  - Q And so that date is added after the report is completed, but it's consistent with all your other reports?
    - A Yes.
  - Q When you issued that report, is there any mention -well, do you have anything other than your analysis of law
    enforcement records to suggest that Duboy's, in fact, Jesus
    Mendez-Martinez?
  - A I do not.
- Q Based upon the information that you had gathered at this point, though, do you issue a warrant on November 6th for

any other individual associated with this case? 1 Yeah, I issued a warrant for Summer Larsen. 2 And when you issued the warrant for Summer Larsen, 3 Q for what crime? Do you recall the exact crime you --4 5 Α I ---- issued it for? 0 6 I believe it was just a conspiracy to commit Α robbery. 8 At the time that you issued the warrant for Ms. 9 Q Larsen, was the primary basis of knowledge that you had 10 actually Hall's information? 11 12 Yes. Α And eventually, do you come into contact shortly 13 Q after issuing the arrest warrant with Summer Larsen? 14 Yes, we do. 15 Α And on November 18th of 2014, how is it you come 16 17 into contact with Summer Larsen? She was involved in a domestic violence situation 18 with her husband, Joey Larsen. 19 So Joey Larsen and Summer Larsen are together 20 despite the incidents that occurred on September 21st? 22 Yes, they are. Α 23 And it's during that that the police come into Q 24 contact with Ms. Larsen and she's arrested? 25 That's correct. Α

Where is she brought? 1 Q She's taken to the LVMPD homicide office for an 2 Α interview. 3 And during the time period she's at Metro, do you go 4 Q in and have a conversation with her? 5 Yes, I do. 6 Α When you talk to her, do you raise the fact that Q there is -- you have information about a conversation she was 8 having with a black male in front of Ashley Hall? Yes, I do. 10 Α Did she identify that black male? 11 Q 12 I don't recall her identifying him. Α 13 Let me --Q Ashley Hall said he -- his name was Evil. 14 Α And does Summer Larsen tell you it's actually 15 Q 16 Twisted? 17 Yes, she does. And she identifies Twisted as Robert Α 18 Mead. Q During the course of that interview, do you talk to 19 her about her Hispanic boyfriend whose moniker is Snoop? 20 21 Yes, I do. 22 And ultimately, do you identify that Hispanic Q 23 individual Snoop? 24 I do. Α And you make contact with Isaac Rodriguez? 25

A Yes, I do.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

- Q And when you come into contact with Mr. Rodriguez, describe what he looks like for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
- A He is a thin Hispanic male. I met with him. He provided me a DNA sample. He had no injuries.
- Q Based upon the description that Mr. Figueroa gave you, did you think that Mr. Rodriguez was involved in the crime at all?
- 10 A No, I did not.
  - Q Does Ms. Larsen give you a cell phone number that she had during this time period?
- 13 A Yes, she does.
- Q And was it consistent with numbers that you had gathered from other people who knew Summer Larsen?
- 16 A I think it was a different number.
- 17 Q When you did a court order for the cell phone
  18 number, did you learn that it wasn't turned on at the time of
  19 the crime?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- Q Did she also provide you a Pinger phone that she had on her during her arrest?
- 23 A Yes, she did.
- Q And did you identify that number as 702-551-2617?
- 25 A Yes.

Q During the course of this conversation that you're having with her, you're telling her -- are you telling her like what your theory is?

A Yeah, I'm -- I'm asking her, you know, what her involvement was? Did she talk to her -- her friend Duboy about this and maybe put a bug in his ear about, you know, she's mad at her husband. He's got some -- some marijuana in his house, maybe some money, whatever else. That's kind of the nexus of our conversation.

- Q And your conversation with Summer is centered around the homicide scene?
- A Yes.

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q During the course of this conversation, when you bring up Duboy, does she say -- do you -- does she tell you who Duboy is?
  - A Yes, she does.
- Q What does she tell you?
- A She tells me that Duboy is David Murphy.
- Q Based upon the conversation you're having with the suspect and she tells you that, what's your first reaction?
- A I thought she was trying to cover up for Jesus Mendez-Martinez.
  - Q Do you show her a picture of Jesus Mendez-Martinez?
- 24 A Yes, I do.
- 25 Q And when you show her that picture, what's her

reaction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- A She says she doesn't know the guy.
- Q And during the course of the conversation with Summer Larsen, do you show her pictures of Mr. Figueroa and Mr. Mendoza as well?
  - A Yes, I do.
- Q And during the conversation, Ms. Larsen was asked about questions where she points out to a picture and says well, I might have seen Robert before and one be of the detectives says that's not Robert. Did you look back to see what picture was actually being shown to her at the time?
  - A I -- I don't recall what picture was shown to her.
- Q If during the course of the interview you showed her a picture and she said well, I sort of recognize Robert and she taps it and that wasn't, in fact, Robert Figueroa, and would you have said hey, that's not Robert Figueroa?
  - A Yes, more than likely.
- Q And based upon the review of the transcript, did it appear to you that she was actually saying Robert Figueroa looks familiar to me?
- . A Yes.
- 22 \ Q Yes, she was saying or yes, she wasn't saying?
- A I'd have to look at the transcript, if you've got it with you.
  - Q I have it. I'll go back to it in just a moment.

A Okay.

Q Once you're done talking to Summer, what are you thinking about Duboy?

A Well, I'm thinking two things. I'm thinking that Summer Larsen is trying to protect her -- her friend or I may have the wrong guy.

Q So what do you do?

A I believe, the next day I make arrangements to -- to visit with Robert Figueroa, and I show him the picture of Jesus Mendez-Martinez and -- and I asked him is this Duboy, and he said, that's not the Duboy that was involved in the home invasion homicide.

- Q Does Mr. Figueroa tell you, in fact, I don't know who that guy is at all?
  - A Yes, he does.
  - Q And what's your reaction when he says that?
- A Then I absolutely believed that I had the wrong guy as Duboy.
- Q And what about the fact that you've just issued an arrest -- or just issued a police report that has
- 21 Mr. Mendez-Martinez in it, what are you thinking about that?

A Well, it is what it is, and it's a -- to me it's a simple process. I have to do a supplemental officer's report explaining how I misidentified Jesus Mendez-Martinez as Duboy and hopefully, I can get the right Duboy identified.

```
You didn't go back and change your report in such a
 1
         Q
 2
    way that he was no longer in there?
             No, I did not.
         Α
              So when he tells you that ain't Duboy, what do you
 4
 5
    do?
             Well, I sit down and I said -- I go, let's get some
 6
         Α
    -- let's get this right now. And, you know, provide me his
    description again, what else do you know about him, and he
 8
    says that he's got a brother named Michael or Mike and that --
    that Mike had a -- like a crease in his head and couldn't talk
10
    very well.
11
12
              Does he also use the term Cornbread?
              Yeah -- yes, he uses the -- the moniker Cornbread
13
         Α
14
    for Mike.
             And at that point, is there somebody else present
15
         Q
    who goes, wait, I know who Cornbread is?
16
17
             Yes, there is.
         Α
             Who's that?
18
         Q
19
             Who's --
         Α
              Does somebody go, that's -- Cornbread, I know who
20
         Q
21
    Cornbread is?
22
             Yeah, but I don't know their -- I don't remember
         Α
    their name. It was --
23
24
         Q
             Okay.
25
              It was another officer.
         Α
```

Another officer who's presents? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. When you say you don't remember the name, it was a 3 Q corrections officer --4 5 Α Yes. -- who's at the jail, right? 6 Α That's correct. And thus, do the corrections officers go out and 8 Q identify who Cornbread is? 9 Yeah, we went to a computer and identified Cornbread 10 as Michael Lamb, and through that we were able to find Michael 11 12 Lamb's brother, David Murphy, and David --And so you generate a picture of David Murphy, what 13 Q do you do? 14 I take it in and I show Robert Figueroa. 15 And once you show Robert Figueroa the picture of 16 Q 17 David Murphy, what happens? Robert Figueroa says that's the -- that's the -- or 18 Α that's the Duboy that was with us during the home invasion 19 homicide. 20 Once you have that information identified, do you go 22 back and look at Summer Larsen's jail calls? 23 Yes, I do. Α 24 Q And when you do so, do you hear calls where she's

talking to somebody that she's calling Dough or Doughy?

25

A Yes, she is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Do you do research into David Murphy to find out where his residence is located?
  - A Yes, we did.
- Q And I guess, I could put it up there for ladies and gentlemen of the jury. I think they've probably seen enough of these photographs at this point, but -- of course, it's got to be the last one. So I'm going to put up State's Exhibit 319. Do you have information that Mr. Murphy resides where that pin is placed on State's Exhibit 319?
- 11 A Yes, I do.
- 12 Q And this's the 6637 Delphinium address?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q How far is that address from Steve Larsen's home?
- 15 A It's like right down the street.
- 16 | Q Very --
- 17 A Very close.
  - Q During the course of these phone calls, do you hear the person that Summer Larsen's talking to do anything that confirmed for you this is David Murphy?
    - A Yeah. Summer Larsen asked the person she was calling Doughy or Dough on the phone, she said I -- I need your address, I just need the numbers, and he said 6637.
- Q At that point, you drew the conclusion that this is the David Murphy we're looking for?

- A Yes, I was fairly confident.
- Q Once you've identified this David Murphy, do you do anything so that you can come into contact with him?

A Yeah, I -- I start looking for him. I put a -- a want line in his SCOPE where if he would be stopped by law enforcement, they would see to contact me on my cell number 24/7, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. And I also got a hold of some -- they're -- it's a PSU team, they're problem solving unit, and they're assigned -- they're patrolmen assigned to work plain clothes and they can get a plain car and they do a little bit of investigative work and they're -- they're young hard working coppers.

So I got a hold of some of those guys. I asked their sergeant if they -- if he would have some people that would be able to do a surveillance for me on this address or, you know, to find -- find David Murphy.

- Q At some point, do those coppers or those officers take Mr. Murphy into custody?
- A Yes, they do.
- Q And when they do, do you wind up coming into contact with Mr. Murphy?
  - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- Q Okay. When Mr. Murphy was taken into custody, was the in a vehicle?
- 25 A Yes, he was.

What type of vehicle was it? 1 Q He was in a white pickup with a -- with a camper 2 Α 3 shell on it. At some point -- well, let me ask you this, 4 5 recently, did I ask you to drive by the Delphinium address? Yes, you did. 6 Q And when you drove by, did you see any other type of white pickup truck there? 8 There was a white Ford Ranger. It didn't have a 9 Α camper shell on it. 10 During -- on February -- or sorry, December 11th, 11 Q 12 2014, do you take a Mirandized taped statement from an individual identified to you as David Murphy? 13 Yes, I do. 14 Α Do you see Mr. Murphy here in court today? 15 Q I do. 16 Α 17 Can you point him out and describe something he's Q wearing? 18 Yeah, he is -- he's got a beard, a gray shirt and 19 Α tie and glasses. 20 And is he in the front row or the back row of these 21 22 two? 23 I'm sorry, he's behind the attorney with the peach Α 24 shirt. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: May the record reflect

identification of Mr. Murphy? 1 2 THE COURT: It will. BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 3 Where did this conversation take place? 4 5 At the homicide interview rooms. When you first have contact with Mr. Murphy in the 6 Q interview rooms, what do you ask him? I ask him -- I -- I believe, I introduced myself and 8 I ask him if he has any idea why I would want to be talking to 10 him. And what's his response. 11 Q 12 He says, yeah, he has an idea. And I asked him what Α I said, what do you think I want to talk to you, and 13 he says it was something to do with Joey and Summer. 14 During the course of your conversation with 15 Q Mr. Murphy, does he indicate to you that he's known Summer 16 Larsen since he was a kid? 17 18 Α Yes. Did he indicate to you that he also knew Joey 19 Q 20 Larsen? 21 Yes, he did. 22 During the course of the conversation that you have Q 23 with David Murphy, does he acknowledge to you that he had been 24 to the Broadmere address on one prior occasion? 25 Yes, he had. Α

And from the -- what he had said to you, did it Q 1 2 indicate that it had been when both Summer and Joey had lived there? Yes. 4 Α 5 Q Now, up until December 11th, when you have this conversation with Mr. Murphy, as it relates to Summer Larsen, 6 did you believe that the suspects would have only been able to find Broadmere based upon Summer Larsen showing them that 8 location? 9 Yes, I did. 10 Α And once Mr. Murphy told you that, that was no 11 Q 12 longer something to tie in Summer Larsen? Objection. 13 MR. LANDIS: Leading. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. What -- I just didn't hear what the 15 MR. DiGIACOMO: basis of the objection was. 16 17 MR. LANDIS: Leading. THE COURT: Leading. 18 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 19 As of December 11th, 2014, was Ashley Hall still the 20 only information that tied Summer Larsen? 22 Yes. Α 23 During the course of the investigation -- and I'm 24 going to put up for you 234 -- this is a portion of a Did you find this photograph or did you receive 25 photograph.

this photograph from Mr. Murphy's Facebook page? 1 2 Yes. Α And that appears to be Mr. Murphy on the rite side? Q Yes. 4 Α 5 And the woman on the left side, the Hispanic female Q with tattoos, were you able to identify her? 6 Α Yes, we were. And what was -- and what's her name? 8 Her name is Maricella Turango (phonetic). 9 Α Some time between December 11th, obviously, and 10 Q January -- the early part of February, do you do some research 11 12 to see if you can find -- well, let me back up. Mr. Murphy is taken into custody, does he have a cell phone on 13 14 him? Yes, he does. 15 Α And is that cell phone consistent with the number 16 Q 17 that Summer Larsen had been calling him on from jail? I don't believe so. 18 Α If you recall? 19 Q I don't -- I'm not sure. 20 Α 21 Okay. But that, was that number -- was that number 22 taken down and did you do some research into that number? 23 Yes. Α

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

able to determine whether or not that was the phone being used

24

25

Q

And at the time that you did that research, were you

back in September of 2014?

- A I would have to look at the phone number, I'm sorry.
- Q Well, let me ask this, at some point do you go looking for a different phone number?
  - A No.

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

16

17

18

19

20

- Q Do you --
  - A Yeah, we did. Went to -- I -- I checked some -- I checked some police data banks, and I was able to find a pawn ticket from September 11th of 2014 that had a different phone number on it.
- 11 Q Prior to finding that particular phone number, did 12 you -- before finding that particular pawn ticket, did you 13 have the 702-542-1558 number?
- A I don't recall if I had that before that pawn ticket or not.
  - Q But obviously, at least by the time you pull that pawn ticket you have it?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Once you have that pawn ticket, do you do a request for court order related to that phone number?
- 21 A Yes, I do.
- 22 Q And when you receive that phone number back, at some 23 point do you come to the Grand Jury and is Mr. Laguna,
- 24 Mr. Figueroa, Ms. Larsen -- sorry, let me rephrase.
- 25 Mr. Mendoza, Mr. Figueroa, Ms. Larsen and Mr. Murphy indicted

for the seven charges we're here for today?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

20

22

23

24

- Q So I'm going to -- well, at some point the information you had gathered for that 702-762-1584 number from the database that is associated with Mr. Laguna, do you did an order for that number?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q And once you did an analysis of those phone records on February 13th of 2015, did you come into contact with Mr. Laguna?
- 11 A Yes, we did.
  - Q When you came into -- or during the time period you were doing research on Mr. Laguna, and let me put up 318 for you. Let's try that one more time. I'm going to try it. Can you read the 3668 Lucky Horseshoe Court?
    - A Yes.
- Q Did you determine that to be the residence of Mr. Laguna?
- 19 A Yes, we did.
  - Q And during the course of the investigation, we heard in Detective Williams that early on the Horseshoe -- first Horseshoe Court on the night of the 22nd and then later the address itself as it related to a phone ping, were you aware of that information that there was a phone ping in that area?
- 25 A In that area, yes.

```
And at the time that you receive that information,
 1
         Q
    when you receive phone pings, is there a plus or minus certain
    number of feet?
         Α
              Yes.
 4
 5
         Q
             And based upon that plus or minus number of feet,
    how far did you narrow the area where that phone could have
 6
   been?
              If I recall, it was -- it was like a hundred feet or
 8
         Α
    200 feet.
             And thus it could have been --
10
         Q
              It was --
11
         Α
12
              -- on any one of a number of properties?
         Q
13
              Yes.
         Α
             Okay. So it's just generally in the area of 3668
14
         Q
    Horseshoe?
15
16
              That's correct.
         Α
17
              During the -- does there come a point in time when
         Q
    you have contact with Mr. Laguna?
18
             Yes, there does.
19
         Α
             When you have contact with Mr. Laguna, where is that
20
21
    at?
             At my -- at the homicide office at the interview
22
         Α
23
    rooms.
             And during the course of that conversation, is it a
24
         Q
   Mirandized conversation you have with Mr. Laguna?
25
```

Yes, it is. 1 Α And after the Miranda warnings or, I guess, during 2 the course of this conversation anyways, do you ask Mr. Laguna for him, his wife's cell phone numbers? 4 5 Yes, I do. Α And initially does he provide you the 762-1584 6 number that you know about? No, he does not. 8 Α During the course of the conversation, does 9 Q Mr. Laguna acknowledge that he knows Robert Figueroa? 10 Yes, he does. 11 Α 12 And does he indicate that they used to be roommates? Q Yes, he does. 13 Α Does he indicate to you that he knows who Cornbread 14 Q 15 is? Yes, he does. 16 Α 17 And does he indicate to you that doesn't know Summer Q or Joey Larsen? 18 19 That's correct. Α Or Monty Gibson for that matter? 20 Q That's correct During the course of your conversation, did you ask 22 Q 23 him where he was on September 21st of 2014? 24 Yes, I did. Α 25 And what does he tell you? Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

He told me he was at home with his wife. 1 Α 2 All day? Q All day. 3 Α Did he tell you anything about his ability to go out 4 Q 5 at night or drive at night or anything like that? He -- he's got -- he said he had bad eyes. 6 Α He -- he couldn't see well so he doesn't go out at night. He doesn't drive a car. 8 And during the course of the conversation, do you 9 Q ask him -- initially do you ask him like, hey, is there any 10 other sort of phones you might have? 11 Yes, I do. 12 Α And what's his first sort of answer to those 13 Q questions? 14 His first answer is no, these -- this was the only 15 phone that they had. 16 17 At some point, do you say -- do you tell him well, Q what about this 702-762-1584 number? 18 He said that -- that -- he thinks that was 19 Α Right. 20 his wife's number. He was pretty sure that was his wife's old 21 number. 22 Do you talk to him about the subscriber on that, Q 23 Anthony Flores? 24 That's his stepson. Α Yes, I do. 25 Mr. DiGiacomo, I hate to stop you, but THE COURT:

it's like 5:30.

MR. DiGIACOMO: That's fine. That's --

THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. And I know that we've got a juror we've got to get out the door here. So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take an overnight recess. Tomorrow I have 80 people or more on drug court so we can't start until 1:30.

among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial about I any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. I'll see you tomorrow at 1:30.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please.

(Jury recessed at 5:27 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect that the jury has departed the courtroom. Any matters outside the presence?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Not from the State.

MR. LANDIS: I -- I don't have to do it now. I just have to put two more bench conferences from yesterday on the record, but I don't have to do it today.

Okay. Just remind me what they are so 1 THE COURT: we'll do it first thing. 2 3 MR. LANDIS: That picture dealio with the collage that was made into a smaller collage. Am I making sense? 4 5 MR. DiGIACOMO: 234. MR. LANDIS: They tried to bring in that collage. 6 got upset, you cut it --THE COURT: Right. 8 MR. LANDIS: -- cut one picture out of it. That's 9 one of them. 10 Okay. It's not a collage anymore. It's 11 THE COURT: 12 just a single photo. MR. DiGIACOMO: Just one picture. 13 14 MR. LANDIS: I know. MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, 234. 15 MR. LANDIS: I know. I just wanted to put it on the 16 17 record. Okay. So that's the one you're going to 18 THE COURT: talk about that tomorrow? 19 MR. LANDIS: Or I can just do it -- we're halfway 20 there 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. LANDIS: Are we still on? THE COURT: 24 Yeah. 25 What number was it? MR. LANDIS: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MR. DiGIACOMO: 234. 1 234 was a picture the State tried to 2 MR. LANDIS: admit through --3 MS. McNEILL: Figueroa. 4 5 -- Figueroa, thank you, which was a MR. LANDIS: collage of pictures that I'm assuming they're saying came off 6 of Facebook. Most of the pictures were either David Murphy or David Murphy and what they're alleging to be his girlfriends. 8 Two of the pictures in the collage, however, though 9 were marijuana. One was like marijuana on a tray. The other 10 one was like a marijuana bud being held by somebody. 11 12 objected because of the marijuana pictures. We approached. -- the Court granted the objection, cut out the picture that 13 showed Murphy and the alleged girlfriend and the State used 14 15 that as the exhibit. Correct, and also Mr. Figueroa prior to 16 THE COURT: 17 that had seen the photograph and pointed only to that center picture in identifying the two. So the other pictures weren't 18 relevant and they were prejudicial, which is why I granted 19 your objection. 20 21 And to my knowledge, it wasn't published to the jury. 22 23 THE COURT: No, it was not. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: It was in the published to the jury,

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

that's correct. And --

25

MS. LEXIS: I moved to admit, they objected, we 1 2 approached. 3 THE COURT: Correct. And for the record, considering the MR. DiGIACOMO: 4 nature of the weed or the marijuana allegation, I didn't think 5 it was bad act, but either way, that's fine, I have no problem 6 with you redacting it. But it's only offered for purposes of identification of Ms. Turango. What's the other one was real 8 Did you want to put -quick? MR. LANDIS: The other one we -- we're done. 10 There isn't anymore. We covered it in our big dealio. 11 12 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Perfect. I'll see you tomorrow then at 1:30. 13 THE COURT: MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you. 14 (Court recessed at 5:30 p.m. until Thursday, 15 September 29, 2016, at 1:42 p.m.) 16 17 I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly 18 ATTEST: transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled 19 case to the best of my ability. 20 21 (ulit Kond) 22 23 24 JULIE LORD, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Alun D. Elmin

TRAN

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA, . CASE NO. C-15-303991-1

CASE NO. C-15-303991-4

Plaintiff, . CASE NO. C-15-303991-5

vs. DEPT. V

DEFI.

JORGE MENDOZA, . TRANSCRIPT OF DAVID MURPHY, a/k/a . PROCEEDINGS

DAVID MURPHY, a/k/a
DAVID MARK MURPHY,
JOSEPH LAGUNA, a/k/a
.

JOEY LAGUNA,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 13

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2016

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: MARC P. DiGIACOMO, ESQ.

AGNES M. LEXIS, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MENDOZA: WILLIAM L. WOLFBRANDT, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MURPHY: CASEY A. LANDIS, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT LAGUNA MONIQUE A. McNEILL, ESQ.

<u>COURT RECORDER:</u> <u>TRANSCRIPTION BY:</u>

DEBRA WINN VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

District Court Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

## INDEX

## <u>WITNESSES</u>

## STATE'S WITNESSES:

Direct examination by Mr. DiGiacomo (resumed)
Cross-examination by Mr. Landis
Cross-examination by Ms. McNeill
Cross-examination by Mr. Wolfbrandt
Redirect examination by Mr. DiGiacomo

#### **EXHIBITS**

## STATE'S EXHIBITS:

Exhibits	25,	232,	and	233	- '	Photos.		•		•		•	•	•	•	20
Exhibit	228	- Ma	gazir	ne/ca	rt	ridges/	hols	ster		•		•	•	•	•	26
Exhibit	332	- Au	dio d	of Fi	gu	eroa's	4 re	ecor	ded	st	ate	mer	nts	•	•	28
Exhibit	333	- Li	st of	Pho	ne	Number	s	•		•		•	•	•	•	34

#### LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2016, 1:42 P.M. 1 2 (Outside the presence of the jury) We are on the record and we're outside 3 THE COURT: the presence of the jury. The record will reflect the 4 5 presence of the defendants with their respective counsel, the Chief Deputies District Attorneys prosecuting the case, all 6 officers of the court. And we want to make a record regarding some blow-ups of previously admitted phone records that are so 8 small that you need a magnifying glass to see them, so. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. And I think that the clerk still has the records. I -- well, actually, if I have my list 11 12 here, I can tell you what the numbers are. Are you talking about the blow-ups? 13 THE CLERK: MR. DiGIACOMO: 14 Yeah. Yeah, they're in here. 15 THE CLERK: 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: The --17 So, are you wanting -- you want to have THE COURT: those marked as "A" towards the -- to go with the number? 18 19 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. 20 THE COURT: Okay. MR. DiGIACOMO: So, for example, 299, which is the 22 -- oh, sorry, 298, which is the --23 THE CLERK: Yeah, I was going to say, I don't have 24 298. MR. DiGIACOMO: 298 is the Jorge Mendoza -- the 666 25

number. That CDR has been blown-up in a paper that is almost two-feet-wide, as well as 299, as well as 303.

So, 299 is the Amanda -- or, sorry. 298 is the

Jorge Mendoza CDR. 300 is the Amanda Mendoza CDR. 303 is the

Murphy call and text records that were in the really, really
tiny print. 305 is the Barientos CDRs, the 8981 number.

Figueroa's were readable, so there is none for him that are
blown-up. Laguna's are 309. And then, as it relates to

Laguna's 310, the Cricket tower list that went along with it
was really small print. So, those have all been blown up on
either two-foot-wide or legal-sized documents.

As I made a record about -- oh, Mr. Barientos's, the 305, when it -- when I did the change to it, one call detail at the end on September 23rd from the Barientos fell off the page and I can't figure out why it did. So, these are just for demonstrative purposes and I -- that's what I would ask that they're admitted for, and that the originals that were authenticated by the various custodians of records be the originals, but this will just make it easier for them to look at.

THE CLERK: Okay. Just to verify though, you have 303 -- wait, 300 -- no, 298, 300, 303, 309, and 310? Am I missing any?

MR. DiGIACOMO: There should be 305.

THE CLERK: I thought I had that 305 a minute ago.

Let me see. Yep, there it is. Okay. 1 MR. DiGIACOMO: 2 Okay. All right. And did the defense have an 3 THE COURT: objection? 4 5 MS. McNEILL: No, Your Honor. 6 MR. LANDIS: No. You're saying demonstrative, but you THE CLERK: want them admitted, so they will go back --8 MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, they'll go back with the jury, 9 10 but --But just duplicates, although it will be 11 THE COURT: 12 important to let them know that that one call detail record is not on that, because otherwise it could look as if you were 13 trying to pull a fast one on them or something, and I don't 14 15 want them to think that. MR. DiGIACOMO: And if I could ask the clerk, what 16 is the next two defendant's in order? 17 I'm sorry? Oh, it's --18 THE CLERK: Defense exhibits. 19 THE COURT: What exhibits? 20 THE CLERK: MR. DiGIACOMO: No, what will be the next two in 22 order? 23 They go up to F now, so G, H. THE CLERK: 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. So, Mr. -- Mr. Landis wants 25 two photographs from the Figueroa residence that weren't print Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

-- he had an issue with his printer. I have them 1 electronically, so we can display them. And so, for the 2 record, the photograph that's 009 will be the next in order, which I believe will be G; and 37 is going to be the next in order, which will be H. We're just not going to have them here. I'll print them and bring them in the morning for Mr. 6 Landis. MR. LANDIS: So, is it okay with this witness if I 8 move to publish Defense Proposed G? They're just search warrant pics, and then ask him if he recognizes it, and then 10 I'll admit it at that. 11 12 Right. How are you going to show him --THE COURT: MR. DiGIACOMO: We would stipulate to their 13 14 admission. He can go through the process, but --Okay, even better. 15 MR. LANDIS: MR. DiGIACOMO: -- for purposes of this, we can put 16 17 it up first and just go that way. That will be fine. That's fine. As long as you stipulate 18 THE COURT: to the admission, it's not a problem and we'll do it that way. 19 So, on the easier way, all I have to 20 THE CLERK: worry about is G and H will be provided tomorrow? 22 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. 23 MR. LANDIS: And then --24 THE CLERK: Let's go with that. 25 MR. LANDIS: -- I have that jail call I promised to

admit some years ago when we crossed Summer. If I can 1 2 approach the clerk? 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LANDIS: And this was going to be admitted I 4 think as a court exhibit. 5 That's correct. 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: THE CLERK: What is it? MR. LANDIS: The jail call from Summer Rice. I put 8 a sticky on it. But it's -- I used it -- I used a portion of it during the Rice cross-examination. 10 It's a court's exhibit? 11 THE CLERK: It's just a court's exhibit. So, the 12 THE COURT: only other court's exhibits we've had have been the jury 13 questions, so --14 Right. 15 THE CLERK: -- it will be next in order. 16 THE COURT: 17 MR. DiGIACOMO: Madam Court Reporter, can you put this on me? And do we have to turn these TVs on? 18 they don't look on. Oh, there you go. They came on on their 19 And Mr. Landis, if you could just come over here and 20 confirm I got the right two pictures, we'll be good to go. 22 THE MARSHAL: Will the jury be needing this? 23 MR. DiGIACOMO: G and H. Yes. 24 THE MARSHAL: Okay. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: G and H, those two. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

MR. LANDIS: I didn't know -- here, let me look at 1 2 -- that's G, yeah. That's G, right? And then H will be 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: [inaudible]. That's H? 4 5 MR. LANDIS: Yeah. MR. DiGIACOMO: 6 Okay. MR. LANDIS: And would that proposed Police Report I've proposed be in that accordion? 8 9 I can put it in here. MR. DiGIACOMO: MR. LANDIS: No, no, no, it's the hard copy. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, in the -- oh, yeah, it's in 11 12 there. 13 MR. LANDIS: Okay. (Off-record colloquy) 14 Okay. Are we otherwise ready to go? 15 THE COURT: 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: We are, Judge. 17 Okay, let's bring them in. THE COURT: THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please. 18 (Jury reconvened at 1:49 p.m.) 19 THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, all members of the jury 20 and the three alternates are present. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And the 23 record will reflect we're back within the presence of all 12 24 members of the jury, as well as the three alternates. And of 25 course the three defendants are present with their respective

counsel, the Chief Deputy DA's prosecuting the case are present, as are all officers of the court. Detective Jensen is still on the stand, and Detective, you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And you may proceed with your -- the continuation of your direct.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

#### BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

Q Detective Jensen, I think we left off somewhere in February, but I want to back up to a few things I didn't ask you about yesterday. So, I'm going to go back to the scene, September 21st, 2014, okay? Either about the time you're arriving or somewhere thereafter, do you learn that the helicopter unit was up in the air looking for potential suspects?

A Yes, we did.

Q And during the course of your investigation, did you learn that Metro received a 911 call at about 9:55 P.M. concerning information related to that helicopter?

A Yes, we did.

Q And I'm going to put up for you Exhibit No. 8.

Maybe I'm going to put up Exhibit Number 8. Ah, here we go.

Now, looking at Exhibit No. 8, can you sort of familiarize

yourself with the location that's there? Do you recognize

that?

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

A Yes, I do.

Q Okay. And the area where someone was reporting information, do you see that generally reflected on this diagram?

A I do.

Q And where is that area?

A That area is over here by the -- this is the Home Depot, and it's over in this area.

Q Did you document that information in your -- in a report, as well as get a copy of that 911 call for your case file?

A Yes, we did.

Q Additionally, a couple of days later, do you learn information about a shotgun that was found on a porch some 4.7 miles away or something to that effect?

A Yes, we did.

Q And did you document that information?

A Yes, we did.

Q Now, early on in the investigation -- I think you said this yesterday -- you didn't have a lot of information about suspects other than Mr. Mendoza; is that fair?

A That's correct.

Q Did you consider the 9:55 911 call or that shotgun to be associated with the events that you were investigating?

Well, we didn't know about the shotgun until I Α 1 believe two days later, but at the time, we thought -- we didn't believe it could be. I mean, there -- it's two hours after the call. They're approximately a half-a-mile away as 4 5 There's a helicopter up in the air. the crow flies. When -when people see a police helicopter -- and I believe the 6 caller says that the spotlight was like --MS. McNEILL: Objection to what the caller said, and 8 I think this is non-responsive to the question, because I think the question was about the shotgun. 10 THE COURT: 11 No --MR. DiGIACOMO: Actually, I asked him about both 12 incidents. 13 Not both. So, it's sustained as to the 14 THE COURT: hearsay objection. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 Don't tell us what the caller said, THE COURT: 18 but --19 THE WITNESS: Okay. -- overruled as to the other objection. 20 THE COURT: You can 22 THE WITNESS: But anytime the helicopter's up, if 23 there's people in the area, they may want to, you know, not be 24 seen by the police helicopter for whatever reason. 25 BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

Is this a fairly populated area of Las Vegas? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. And in Las Vegas when the helicopter's brought out 3 Q with its spotlight, is it unusual to receive calls from all 4 kinds of people reporting information that they think may or may not be suspicious? 6 Α That's correct. I want to sort of jump forward. Yesterday, we 8 Q talked a little bit about what Mr. Figueroa told you both on the 20th and the 24th. Do you remember those questions? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And you mentioned something about Mr. Q Okay. Figueroa saying he might have known Joey Larsen; do you 13 remember that testimony? 14 Yes, I do. 15 Α At what point in time does Mr. Figueroa tell you, I 16 Q 17 might know who Joey Larsen is? That was on the 20th when we took him into -- into 18 Α custody on October 20th. 19 At that time, did you have a photograph of him? 20 21 No. 22 Not with you anyways? Q 23 Correct. Α

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

to Mr. Figueroa. Do you now ask him, hey, do you actually

Now let's move forward on the 24th when you talked

24

25

Q

know the guy that lives in 1661 Broadmere?

- A Yes, I did.
- Q And what's his response?
- A He said he's never met the guy before.
- Q Do you then show him a picture?
- 6 A Yes, I do.

1

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

22

23

- Q And does he confirm that he's never met this guy before?
- A Yes, he does.
- Q Yesterday, I was asking you questions -- I want to move forward to September 18th of 2014 -- about Summer Larsen's interview. Do you remember me asking you a few questions about that?
- 14 A Yes, that's on November 18th.
- Q Sorry, November 18th, 2014. And when I asked you those questions, I was asking you about a situation in which Ms. Larsen indicates on a picture that Robert looks familiar. Do you remember that?
  - A I do.
  - Q Okay. Have you had a chance to go back and review the transcript and determine who the person in the picture she's saying this guy looks familiar; Robert looks familiar?
  - A Yes.
- MR. LANDIS: I think that question was asked and answered yesterday.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Actually, his response was I don't 1 2 remember. THE COURT: No, right. 3 MR. LANDIS: Oh. 4 5 So, it's a different question today. THE COURT: Overruled. 6 Yeah. She was -- Summer was looking THE WITNESS: at a photograph of Jorge Mendoza, and that's who she thought 8 was Robert. 10 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: And that's who she thought looked familiar? 11 Q Yes, and that's who she thought looked familiar. 12 Α But she referred to him as Robert, and I said that's not 13 14 Robert. And when she did, did she tell you why it is she 15 Q thought that person looked familiar? 16 17 Yeah, she said she'd run him up on Facebook. Α Yesterday, I was asking you questions about the time 18 Q you took Mr. Murphy -- or you interviewed Mr. Murphy and he 19 was taken into custody, he had a particular cell phone on him. 20 Do you remember those questions? 22 Yes, I do. Α 23 And I asked you questions about whether that cell 24 phone was physically on his person when you took those items

and then impounded them later on?

25

- A That's correct.
- Q Okay. And I asked you, is that the same number that Summer Larsen or Summer Rice was calling from the jail. Do you remember those questions?
  - A I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q If I were to tell you that number was 702-606-5374, does that sound correct to you?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q And did you go back and confirm whether or not that's the same phone that Summer Larsen was calling Mr. Murphy from, from the jail?
- 12 A Yes, it is.
  - Q Yesterday, I briefly talked about the pawn receipt from this case, and I'm going to put up for you one of those, which is 277, which is the actual pawn receipt. And if you give me half-a-second, I will flip it over. Now, when you're a law enforcement officer doing research into pawn activity, is this the document you actually see?
  - A Yes, it -- no, it is not.
    - Q Okay. What do you see?
- 21 A I see a printout.
- Q And does it have information that's not contained on maybe the receipts themselves?
- 24 A Yes, it does.
- 25 Q Did you -- on that printout -- did you maintain that

printout in your case file?

A I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q And does that printout identify the date that you actually located the pawn activity?

A Yes, I did -- it does.

Q And do you recall off the top of your head what that date is? If I were to indicate to you that the document showed January 29th of 2015, does that sound about right?

A That's about right.

Q Prior to January 29th of 2015, you did not have the David Murphy phone records that has been identified as the 542-1558 records?

A That's correct.

Q On that same day, do you issue a court order for those records?

A Yes, I do.

Q Now, I'm sort of back to where I was yesterday. I talked about Mr. -- your contact with Mr. Laguna, and I want to ask you a couple of questions before I get to that. Back in 2014, when you had contact with Mr. Laguna, does -- did he look somewhat different than he did today?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And now that you're looking at him, do you know which person is in fact Joey Laguna?

A Yes, I do.

Can you point him out and describe something he's Q 1 2 wearing? He's wearing a -- he's got long dark hair. 3 Α He's wearing a gray shirt and a gray tie, I believe. 4 And he's in the row with the other defendants? 5 Q He's in the middle. 6 Α MR. DiGIACOMO: May the record reflect the identification of Defendant Laguna? 8 It will. 9 THE COURT: BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 10 Now, Mr. Mendoza -- did you ever have personal 11 Q contact with Mr. Mendoza back then? 12 13 Α No. But did you receive a photograph of Mr. Mendoza at 14 Q the time he was taken into custody and booked into the Clark 15 County Detention Center? 16 17 Yes. Α And looking at -- well, have you seen that 18 Q photograph? 19 20 Α Yes. Okay. As you sit here today, do you know who Mr. 22 Mendoza is? 23 Yes, I do. Α Can you point him out, describe something he's 24 Q 25 wearing?

Jorge Mendoza is wearing the white shirt with the 1 Α 2 red tie and a dark sports jacket. 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: May the record reflect identification of Mr. Mendoza? 4 It will. 5 THE COURT: 6 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: Does Mr. Mendoza look somewhat different in that Q photograph you received two years ago? 8 Yes, he does. 9 Α MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? 10 THE COURT: 11 Yes. 12 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm going to show you what's been marked as Grand 13 Q Jury -- oh, sorry, marked as State's Proposed Exhibits 2 --14 well, let's just start with 2. Do you recognize the 15 individual that's depicted in that photograph? 16 17 Yes, I do. Α 18 Who is that? Q That is Jorge Mendoza. 19 Α And is that the way he looked generally in 2014? 20 Q 21 Yes, it is. 22 I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Q 23 Proposed Exhibit No. 5. Do you recognize that individual? 24 Yes, I do. Α 25 And who is that? Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

- A That is Joseph Laguna.
- Q And is that how -- generally how he looked in 2014?
- 3 A Yes, it is.

1

2

4

6

8

13

- Q I didn't ask you this about Mr. Murphy, but looking at State's Proposed Exhibit No. 233, do you recognize that individual?
- A Yes, I do.
  - Q And is that generally how he looked in 2014?
- 9 A Yes, it is.
- Q And finally, I'm going to show you State's Proposed
  11 Exhibit 232. Do you recognize that individual?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
  - Q And is that generally how they looked in 2014?
- 14 A Yes.
- MR. DiGIACOMO: I'd move to admit 25, 232, and 233.
- 16 MR. LANDIS: As to --
- 17 THE CLERK: 25, 2 what?
- 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: 25, 232, and 233.
- MR. LANDIS: As to the picture of Mr. Murphy, unless
  there's a basis laid that he looks in any way different today
  than he did two years ago, I don't know what the relevancy is
- 22 of it.
- MS. McNEILL: And as to the photo of Mr. Laguna, I
  don't know what the relevancy of what he looked like in 2014
  is, because he didn't have contact with law enforcement until

2015. 1 2 THE COURT: All right, approach. I have to see the photos, for one thing. MR. DiGIACOMO: 4 Sure. (Off-record bench conference) 5 MR. WOLFBRANDT: I just was going to get the numbers. 6 THE COURT: Wait, come back. (Off-record bench conference) 8 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm going to ask you one clarifying question. 10 Q State's Proposed Exhibit No. 5, the photograph of -- that 11 12 you've identified as Mr. Laguna, you didn't actually have contact with Mr. Laguna until 2015; would that be fair? 13 14 That's correct. Α And does that accurately reflect what he looked like 15 Q in 2015? 16 17 Yes, it does. Α Okay. 18 Q So, now I move to re-admit 25, 232, 19 MR. DiGIACOMO: and 233. 20 LANDIS: No objection. 22 MS. McNEILL: No objection. 23 No objection. MR. WOLFBRANDT: 24 THE COURT: They'll be admitted. 25 (State's Exhibits 25, 232, and 233 are admitted) Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

#### BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

20

- Q So, let me get back to the statement that you had with Mr. Laguna. I believe I left off where Mr. Laguna has said that he's home all day with his wife, correct?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And have you actually been out to the 3668 Lucky Horseshoe address? Have you seen that residence?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q And is that residence -- well, have you had other witnesses describe that residence to you before? Without telling us who.
- 12 A Yes, I have.
  - Q Okay. And was the description consistent with what the witness had told you?
- 15 A Very consistent, yes.
- Q I can't remember if I asked you, but during the course of your conversation, did you learn that Mr. Laguna has some problems with his eyesight?
- 19 A Yes, I did.
  - Q And did he tell you what those problems were?
- 21 A Yes, he said he couldn't see at night.
- 22 Q At some --
- 23 A And I think --
- Q At some point after he -- during the course of the investigation, do you confront him with the 702-762-1584

number? 1 2 Yes, I do. Α And his response is? Q 3 He says he thinks that's his wife's old number. Α 4 5 Q Do you ask him about who would use that Okay. phone? 6 Yes, I did. Α And what did he tell you? 8 Q He told me that him or his wife would use it; they 9 Α don't lend it to anybody. You know, some people lend phones, 10 they don't, but he said that it would be him or her that would 11 use that phone. 12 During the conversation with Mr. Laguna, do you 13 Q bring up Mr. Figueroa? 14 15 Α Yes, I do. Does he acknowledge that he knows him? 16 Q 17 Yes, he does. Α Do you ask him questions -- or do you specifically 18 Q ask him if he has a call with Mr. Figueroa, would it be his 19 wife on the phone? 20 Yes, I do. 22 And what's his response to that? Q 23 He says, no, it would be him. He would be calling Α 24 Robert Figueroa. 25 And at some point later in the interview, to clarify

the situation, do you ask him sort of a broad question about friends and would his wife be calling his male associate friends type thing?

A Yes.

1

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

Q What do you ask?

A As the conversation went on, I asked him if the phone calls to his friends -- the male friends, Robert Figueroa, David Murphy and these, if they would be from him or his wife, and he says, no, they would be from him. I said, she wouldn't be calling them to check on how they're doing, or inviting them to a surprise party, and so it wouldn't be your wife? He said, no, shit no, it would be him.

- Q Subsequent to your conversation with Mr. Laguna, is he arrested for the crimes that he's here facing trial for now?
  - A Yes, he is.
- Q I want to ask you about buccal swabs. Do you know what a buccal swab is?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- 20 Q What is it?
  - A It's a way to obtain DNA samples from people. It's like a large toothbrush with -- with much smaller teeth.

    Usually it's a piece of like felt or a Q-tip, and you have them rub it up and down inside of their mouth, and you box it up, and identify it as theirs, and you send it in.

When -- well, in the course of this investigation, Q 1 did you collect buccal swabs from a number of people? 3 Yes, I did. Α And ultimately, after you sort of gather all the 4 Q evidence, do you submit five of those or maybe six of those for comparison by the DNA analysts? 6 Yes, I do. Α Did you collect buccal swabs from David Murphy, Joey 8 Q Jorge Mendoza, as well as Robert Figueroa? Yes, I did. 10 Α And were they all impounded under the event number, 11 Q 12 the 140921-3020? 13 Yes, they were. Α Do you also attend the autopsy? 14 Q Yes, I did. 15 Α And at the autopsy, is it standard for the crime 16 Q 17 scene analyst to impound a buccal swab from the victim, Monty 18 Gibson? 19 Yes. Α Did that happen in this case? 20 Q 21 Yes, it did. 22 Q And would it have been impounded under the same 23 event number? 24 Yes, it would have been. Α Yesterday, when I was talking about the search 25 Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

warrant to Mr. Laguna -- or sorry, Mr. Figueroa's residence, I 1 showed you a firearm that you later collected from his girlfriend, Chandelea. Yes. Α 5 Do you remember those questions? Q 6 Α Yes. At the time you collected the firearm, did you also Q collect a holster, as well as some additional magazines for 8 it? Yes, I did. 10 Α Q Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed 11 12 Exhibit No. 228, do you recognize that? Yes, I do. 13 Α Is that in substantially the same or similar 14 Q condition as when you impounded it? 15 Yes, it is. 16 Α And with the exception, obviously, of those blue 17 Q markings for further forensic analysis? 18 19 Α Yes. And if you were to cut that open, what would you 20 Q expect to find inside there? 22 There would be a Ruger magazine for a .40 caliber Α 23 with 10 cartridges, and a soft Blackhawk holster for a .40 24 caliber Ruger. 25 I'd move to admit 228 and its MR. DiGIACOMO:

contents. 1 No objection. 2 MR. LANDIS: No objection. MS. McNEILL: 3 No objection. MR. WOLFBRANDT: 4 5 It will be admitted. THE COURT: (State's Exhibit 228 is admitted) 6 THE CLERK: Counsel, it's not being opened though, right? 8 MR. DiGIACOMO: 9 That's correct. 10 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: Detective, throughout 2000 and -- well, the end of 11 Q 12 2014 into 2015, did the charges for Summer Larsen change from conspiracy to commit robbery to all the charges that these 13 defendants are facing? 14 15 Α Yes. And on September 6th of 2016, did you learn that she 16 Q 17 had entered a plea to conspiracy to commit robbery, as well as the attempt robbery of the drug supplier's house, the early 18 morning robbery? 19 Yes, I did. 20 Α Judge, I think it's been previously 22 admitted outside the presence of the jury. It is State's 23 Exhibit No. 276. I just wanted to make a record in front of the jury that they also have the Guilty Plea Agreement for Ms. 24 25 Larsen, in addition to the one we admitted yesterday for Mr.

Figueroa.

THE COURT: That's correct.

BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

Q Detective, yesterday, there were some transcripts admitted of -- I guess one testimony and four statements that Mr. Figueroa gave; the 10/20 initial one, the 10/23 when he says "I want my lawyer," the 10/24 where he talks to you, and then the 1/25 when he talks to you and Ms. Lexis. You also indicated that you talked to him sometime in mid-November the day after you talked to Summer Larsen and she says, "That ain't Duboy." Do you remember that?

A Yes, I do.

Q Okay. Was that conversation with Mr. Figueroa recorded?

A No, it was not.

Q Why not?

A Because I was simply going to walk in and show him a picture and say, hey, is this Duboy, and maybe a few other pictures. So, it wasn't anything -- I wasn't going to glean anything new, in my opinion. I wasn't going to do a full-blown interview.

Q And it's only once you showed him the picture and he said that it wasn't Duboy that it took longer than you thought you were going to be in the room?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I've had marked State's Proposed Exhibit No. 332. Yesterday, State's Exhibits 227 through 330 were the four audio recorded statements of Mr. Figueroa that were admitted by transcript. This is the audio associated with that. Each file is labeled by which audio goes with which transcript. I would offer it at this point.

MR. LANDIS: No objections.

MS. McNEILL: No objection.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. So, that will be the actual audio of all of those is on that one disk, and that will be admitted.

(State's Exhibit 332 is admitted)

MR. DiGIACOMO: And so the jury is aware, the transcripts are typed up by a human, which means that there theoretically could be either unintelligible's that were intelligible or words that the transcriptionist hears differently than the jury. The audio controls, so whatever they believe the audio says, if it disputes the transcript, they are to rely on these.

THE COURT: Correct. That's why we're providing it for you, in case you wanted to compare the actual audiotape with the transcriptions that you've been provided.

25 BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

Q Yesterday, during the course of your testimony, I think that we referenced a number of phone numbers that the jury actually had never heard before. And did I forward to you sort of a list of phone numbers that you were able to identify in this particular case?

A Yes, you did.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q Did you then take that list and confirm against the information to make sure that the list we gave was accurate to the information that you had?

A Yes, I did.

Q Detective, I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit No. 333 and ask you is that the list of phone numbers that you were able to gather during the course of the investigation?

A Yes.

Q And that list may not be exhaustive of every phone number you might have had, but this list as it relates to the name associated with the number is accurate?

A That's correct.

Now, I want to go through some of these numbers to make sure there's a foundation for each of them for you, and some of these are going to be fairly simple. There's the Mr. Mendoza number, which is the 666 --

A Correct.

Q -- which we've already heard about.

Right. 1 Α 2 Mr. Laguna, which is the 762 we've already heard Q about, correct? Α Yes. 4 5 Q Mr. Figueroa, which is the 241 number we've already heard about? 6 That's correct. Α There's the David Murphy number, the 542 number that 8 Q we heard about? 10 Α Yes. The David Murphy, 606, the number that's in his 11 Q possession at the time --12 13 Α Correct. -- he's taken into custody? Summer Larsen, that 14 Q first phone number -- you had testified yesterday that she 15 provided you a cell phone number that when you got the records 16 back learned was inactive at the time of the crime? 17 That's correct. 18 Α Is that the number that she had provided? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 The second number -- when she was taken into 22 custody, she had a Pinger phone on her? 23 Yes, she did. Α And thus, were you able to confirm the number for 24 Q 25 that particular Pinger phone?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

AA002173

1 Α Yes. And that 702-551 number, 2617, I think you testified 2 Q yesterday that is the number that's associated with that Pinger phone? 5 Α Yes. Amanda Mendoza, the jury's already heard about the 6 Q 750 number. The Michelle Estavillo, they heard yesterday the 286 number. Ashley Hall, they learned yesterday the 832 8 Tod Williams, you told us his department-issued cell number. yesterday. 10 Correct. 11 Α 12 Now, Jeff Bonne -- was Jeff Bonne interviewed during 0 the course of the investigation? 13 Yes, he was. 14 Α 15 And during the course of the investigation, he's the Q roommate at the residence of Figueroa where the search warrant 16 occurs at; is that correct? 17 18 That's correct. Α Him and Cynthia Cruz? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. And during the course of your -- of the 21 22 investigation and contact with him, were you able to identify phone numbers for him and Ms. Cruz? 23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And is Mr. Bonne's number the 702-630-3890?

24

25

Yes.

Α

Q

Yes, it is. Α 1 2 And the Cynthia Cruz, is that the 702-337-6324 Q 3 number? Yes, it is. 4 Α 5 Q Now, yesterday, we talked about you received two phone numbers from Gabriel Sotelo for two individuals, 6 correct? 8 Α Yes. During that time, did you also get his phone number 9 Q from him? 10 Yes, we did. 11 Α 12 Okay. And is his phone number the 702-337-0892? Q Yes, it is. 13 Α We also talked about Ms. Bird providing you a number 14 Q for Mr. Figueroa. Did you also get her phone number so that 15 you could cross-reference it against the records? 16 17 Yes, I did. Α And that number was the 702-272-4809? 18 Q Yes, sir. 19 Α Okay. Now, as to the Robert Figueroa, the 20 Q 702-504-1148 number, I believe you testified yesterday that 22 when you did a court order, you got no return back on it? 23 That's correct. 24 Q Okay. And then there's the one that is identified as the 702-542-8981 number. That number was allegedly tied to 25

Manny Barientos based upon the information you got from Mr. 1 Sotelo? 3 Α Yes. At this point, have you done any research on law 4 Q enforcement records to confirm that Mr. Barientos has reported that particular number himself previously? 6 This morning when I got into the office, I Α Yeah. did some -- or we did some research through the police 8 records, and we found that Manuel Barientos (phonetic) has that phone number on a North Las Vegas Crime Report in October 10 10th of 2014. 11 I object. 12 MR. LANDIS: It hasn't been provided or informed that that testimony was going to come in. 13 I would second the objection. It's the 14 MS. McNEILL: first time we've heard this information. 15 I would join in that same objection. 16 MR. WOLFBRANDT: 17 THE COURT: Approach. (Off-record bench conference) 18 I'm sorry, we weren't on the record. 19 THE COURT: The objection's withdrawn? 20 21 MR. LANDIS: Yes, ma'am. 22 MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 24 So that was 333? THE CLERK: 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: No, that was something else.

haven't actually offered --1 2 THE CLERK: Oh, okay. You were up there so long --MR. DiGIACOMO: -- 333 yet. 3 4 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 5 Now that I've asked all those predicate questions, Q this appears to be an accurate list of the phone numbers that 6 -- or some of the phone numbers that you gathered during the course of your investigation? 8 Yes, it is. 9 Α MR. DiGIACOMO: 10 Move to admit 333. No objection. 11 MR. LANDIS: 12 No objection. MS. McNEILL: No objection. 13 MR. WOLFBRANDT: 14 It will be admitted. THE COURT: (State's Exhibit 333 is admitted) 15 16 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 17 Detective, I'm not going to have you go and read Q through all those numbers, but I guess just for the record, on 18 top, it says person associated with the phone, and then 19 there's a list of names, correct? 20 That's correct. 22 Q And then, this may be somewhat self-explanatory, but 23 the number on the right hand side on the same line is that 24 name -- is a number associated with that person? 25 That's correct. Α

- Q Detective, I want to talk to you about the court orders that you issued in this case. Now, prior to maybe September 16th of this year, I mean, prior to very recently, were you aware that the court order for Mr. -- or the 542-1558 number did not contain text messages?
  - A Not until recently, no.
- Q Similarly, recently, did you -- sorry, let me rephrase. Did the court order request text messages, you just didn't receive them as it related to the 1558 number; is that correct?
  - A I believe so.
- Q Okay. Similarly, did you learn that there doesn't appear to be any text messages associated with the 241-1051 number, the Robert Figueroa phone?
- A That's correct.
- Q And when you went back to check that court order, did the language of that court order leave open to interpretation whether that was actually being requested?
- A Yes.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q At the time you entered that order, did you think you were getting text messages?
- 22 A I did.
- Q Okay. And it's only subsequent you learned that AT&T didn't provide them?
- 25 A That's correct.

- Q So, as we sit here today, you don't -- you've never gotten any evidence of Mr. Figueroa's text records?

  A That's correct.

  Q Likewise, some of the other orders in this case, some of them might have had the Murphy or the 552 -- 542-1558
- some of them might have had the Murphy or the 552 -- 542-1558 language, and some of them might have had the Figueroa language, correct?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And a number of the companies did provide text information related to the same language that you used for Mr. Figueroa?
- 12 A Yes, they did.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- Q And when they provided -- when AT&T provided those records, did they give you anything that says, hey, by the way, there's no text messages in here?
  - A They did not.
- Q So, until somebody goes through and looks at every last line, there's no way to know that there was or wasn't text messages?
  - A That's correct.
- Q We are now almost -- well, we're more now than two years. We started this before, but now we're more than two years since the crime, correct?
  - A That's correct.
- 25 Q On the night of the crime or shortly thereafter, you

receive information that Summer Larsen may somehow be involved 1 in this crime; is that correct? That's correct. 3 Α Between then and now, other than Ashley Hall, has 4 Q any other additional information been gathered that indicates that she directly ordered somebody to go to that residence to 6 commit a robbery at Broadmere? No, there's no other evidence of that. 8 Thank you. I have nothing further. 9 MR. DiGIACOMO: I'd pass the witness, Judge. 10 THE COURT: 11 Cross? 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. LANDIS: During the investigation, it was discovered that 14 Q Joseph Larsen had at least one handgun registered to him? 15 I believe so. I don't know if they were registered, 16 17 but he had two handguns at the scene. Right. Let me just focus on what may have been 18 Q registered to him. Do you recall any information being dug up 19 that he had a registered firearm? And let me be more 20 specific; a 9 millimeter handgun semi-automatic? 22 I believe he had a 9 millimeter registered. It was Α 23 not at the house. 24 Never was found? Q

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Never was found. No, sir.

25

Α

- And the two guns that were impounded from 1661 Q Broadmere or right in front of the house in a trunk were not registered to Joseph Larsen to the best of your memory? To the best of my memory, no. Α Did you ever ask Joseph Larsen where that gun was? Q No, I did not. Α Today, we have no idea where that gun is? Q That's correct. Α Talking to you a little bit about the interview with Q Mr. Figueroa on October 24th, that's commonly referred to as a proffer, yes? My -- my understanding is a proffer would have to require a deputy DA present. All right, let me phrase it this way. It's -- it's not uncommon for you to sit down with a suspect, with or
- before you've reached into any negotiations?

without the district attorney, and hear what they have to say

- It's not as common as it appears, but it happens. 18 Α
- You've done it more than a dozen times in your 19 Q 20 career?
- Oh, no.
- 22 Q No?

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 23 Α No.
- 24 Q All right. The question though, sometimes the DA's 25 there as we've seen; sometimes they're not as with 10/24, Mr.

Figueroa, correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q And as we saw on that transcript, as well as on the day before, 10/23 transcript, since the DA wasn't there, you made clear that you don't have the power to negotiate, make promises about what his sentence will be, things like that, correct?
  - A That's correct.
- Q Because ultimately, the district attorney has the responsibility to do that?
  - A That's correct.
- Q But the idea with these -- these meetings where an individual's telling you what they can say, if nothing's reached after that 10/24 discussion with Mr. Figueroa, you can't use what he said against him?
  - A That's correct.
- Q So, in that sense, it's privileged unless you guys reach a negotiation?
- A I don't -- you know, I don't know if we would be bound by the same things with a proffer, because we didn't have any of the paperwork signed or anything like that. His attorney was there. I re-Mirandized him. So, I -- I think I -- looking back on it now, I think I would be able to use his statement against him.
- Q Well, let me ask you this. If an agreement was made

such as you can't use it against him, would that agreement have been made between his attorney and the district attorney?

A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q And can you say conclusively if an agreement like that was reached or not before 10/24?
- A I can assume very much that it wasn't. I think I would have been made aware of it.
- Q In your experience, do defense attorneys let their clients confess to the police when there's not an agreement that the communication's privileged; if they're not getting a benefit?
- A I don't know. I maybe have done this a couple of times without a DA there and the -- the defendant's attorney. I couldn't get into that. I think that's all up to the DA's office.
- Q As we sit here today, are you comfortable conclusively saying there was no proffer agreement of any sort before that interview started 10/24?
  - A In my opinion, yes.
    - Q Are you sure? And not your opinion; are you sure?
- A I don't know what -- what his attorney and the DA's office had talked about.
  - Q We'll leave it at to the best of your knowledge.
- 24 A Okay.
- 25 Q That fair?

1 Α Sure. When you get -- turning now to September 21st, 2014, 2 Q you get to the scene, and like many homicide scenes, it's a dynamic scene, evidence is being discovered, facts are 4 becoming known, correct? 6 Α Yes. Q Some of that you determine independent by yourself; some of it's relayed to you by other officers, right? 8 That's correct. 9 Α Common stuff? 10 Q Right. 11 Α This case, it was pretty clear early on in that 12 Q investigation that a crime was committed? 13 14 Α Yes. Next step is trying to figure out who you're looking 15 Q for, right? 16 That's correct. 17 Α Who the suspects are? And before you get to 18 Q identifying suspects, it's always nice to know how many 19 suspects you're looking for, correct? 20 21 Yes. 22 If you can. Sometimes you can't, fair? Q 23 That's correct. Α One suspect was pretty easy to identify, and locate, 24 Q and apprehend at -- after the crime? 25

- A That's correct.
- Q And that was obviously Mr. Mendoza, correct?
- 3 A Yes, yes, sir.

1

2

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

- Q At that point, it's fair to say that you concluded there was one -- at least one suspect, because you had him in custody?
- A At least -- well, we had one in custody and -- and firmly believed that there was at least two more.
- Q And that's what I want to talk to you about. You did some witness interviews, both of people who are in 1661 Broadmere and neighbors?
- A That's correct.
- Q Looked at whatever forensic evidence was observable around the scene?
- 15 A Yes.
  - Q You learned from interviews that at least two people entered the house, and that's what people remembered?
    - A That's what they remembered, yes.
  - Q Two people entering the house. Nobody could say with any certainty three people entered the house?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q Beyond what people remembered, what they saw, what 23 they reported, there was also some forensic evidence that gave 24 you guys some suspicions as to how many suspects there might 25 be, right?

1 A Yes.

2

4

6

8

9

20

- Q Specifically, the blood trail or trails?
- 3 A Yes.
  - Q And initially, at that point in time when Mendoza's in custody, you've talked to the neighborhood witnesses, if I can put it that way, what about that blood trail did you guys speculate?
  - A Which -- which blood trail? The one that went past Mendoza?
- 10 Q Well, I'll be more specific. There was two blood 11 trails leaving from 1661, right?
- 12 | A Yes.
- Q One of the two you guys named as the one Mendoza

  14 left up to that car he was in?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q The other blood trail I'm talking about.
- A Right. It went further down the street. We speculated that at least -- at least another suspect was injured, either shot or bleeding somehow.
  - Q And you knew he got to a certain point because his blood trail stopped, right?
- 22 A That's correct.
- Q And that was on Shifting Winds, pretty close to the end of the subdivision, if I can put it that way?
- 25 A Yes.

Q At that point in time, were you guys of an opinion as to whether or not that person was picked up in a car at the end of that blood trail?

A That's what -- that's what it appeared to us to be, because the blood trail stopped, and we couldn't -- we couldn't find it anywhere else around the street. You know, keep in mind this is -- it's nighttime, early morning. We're using flashlights, but we were pretty successful in finding the blood trail, and it would stop for a while and pick up again, and -- but we couldn't find anymore after that spot.

- Q And the abrupt end of the second blood trail kind of in the middle of a street, evidence that there was potentially a third person?
- 14 A Yes.

1

4

6

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Being a getaway driver or a driver of some sort?
- 16 A Yes.
  - Q While you're still on the scene of 1661 that night, what other evidence was there to suggest a third suspect?
  - A I don't recall, you know, other than the car picking them up, or possibly picking them up.
  - Q Did any of the neighbors report seeing vehicles, to the best of your memory, after the shooting?
    - A Yeah, I believe one of them saw a vehicle. I didn't interview that person.
      - Q Do you recall if the individual was Gene Walker?

Does that sound familiar? 1 Sounds familiar, yes. 2 And Gene Walker's house was a few houses south, same 3 Q side of the street as 1661 Broadmere? 4 5 Α Yes. Kind of would go out -- his driveway would go out 6 Q into Long Cattle there, yes? 8 Α Yes. MR. LANDIS: Could you put 6 up by chance, State? 9 10 BY MR. LANDIS: If you recall this, Gene Walker remembered seeing a 11 Q car coming towards his house on Long Cattle, right? 12 13 Α Yes. And the lights were even shining on him, his memory, 14 Q right? 15 Okay. 16 Α 17 Q And he also remembered that car stopping at the person with the rifle on the street? 18 That's correct. 19 Α Not picking him up and continuing on? 20 Q 21 That's correct. Did any other neighbor witnesses or any witnesses 22 Q who were around this scene at the time of the events identify 23 any other cars? 24 25 No, they did not. Α

Q That blood trail, I know it was dark, and I know you guys probably didn't see every drop of blood as you were looking at it with your flashlights, but eventually during this process, the crime scene analystsS professionally diagrams the thing, right?

A That's correct.

Q And you can look at that and be pretty comfortable about where there was blood?

A Yes.

Q There's been some testimony during this trial, and I'm not saying it's been intentionally inaccurate, but that the blood trail was continual from 1661 Broadmere, all the way out of the subdivision to where it ended at Shifting Winds?

A Well, there was some -- there was some voids where the -- maybe the bleeding had stopped or the person was -- you know, sometimes they'll take a shirt off and hold over the blood so it doesn't drip, you know, to put pressure on their wound.

Q Right.

A But yeah, there was -- there was some voids. I believe it was down here off of Walrus that we were able to pick it back up.

Q You can't say for sure if those voids are caused by a ceasing of the bleeding, per se?

A That's correct.

- Q For instance, an individual might be jumping walls?
- 2 A Yes. There's no walls on the street, but --
  - Q The blood trail got pretty close to the side of the houses before it got to Shifting Winds; did it not?
    - A I believe it did.
  - Q And it stops at one point before it gets to Shifting Winds pretty close to those houses; do you recall?
  - A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

23

- Q And there was actually footprints at one point in the blood trail, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Remember that?
- 13 | A Yes, I do.
- Q Do you recall where on that map, and feel free to mark it if you do, those -- those footprints in the blood trail were?
  - A You know, I'm not for -- I want to say they were either on this -- this corner, or on the -- right near the corner of Shifting Winds and Ranch Hand. That's -- that's to the best of my recollection.
- Q I don't dispute it. Does that indicate anything to you in your experience?
  - A Yeah, it indicated somebody stepped in the blood.
- Q Would it be less likely or more likely that the person who stepped in the blood was the bleeder?

Could be. 1 Α That would require them to stop and take some steps 2 Q or to backtrack, right? Yes. 4 Α 5 Q And as part of this investigation, after those footprints were -- or noticed, they were preserved for later 6 comparison as best they could be? 8 Α Yes. Which means getting the treads preserved as best you 9 Q 10 can? However the crime scene analyst decided to do it. 11 Α 12 Fair way to put it. To date, do you have any Q answers as to what caused that? 13 14 I do not. Α 15 You were strongly confident that that blood trail Q was caused by Robert Figueroa by the time you learned of 16 Robert Figueroa, right? 17 18 That's correct. Α And before you interviewed him on the 20th, 23rd, 19 Q 24th? 20 Yes. 22 And that's one of the first things you told him? Q 23 That's correct. Α And during the interview on 10/24, you ask him about 24 Q 25 generally his blood trail, where he went, the path.

remember that? 1 2 Yes, I do. Α And I think even at one point, you guys tried to get Q a map and have him draw it? 4 5 Α Yes. Did his memory and his drawing jive with the blood 6 Q trail as it was identified by the CSA? I believe it did. 8 Α Did he identify where he hid? Q Yes, he did. 10 Α Did you go out there? 11 Q 12 Yes, we did. Α 13 When was that? Q Oh, that was sometime after our interview with him. 14 Α Did he shed any light as to why either the blood 15 Q trail stopped at certain points or why there were footprints 16 17 in it? No, he did not. 18 Α Q After talking to him on 10/24, as far as the 19 investigation was concerned, it no longer believed that he was 20 picked up by a car at the end of Shifting Winds? That's correct. 22 Α Prior to that, prior to 10/24, so from 9/21/2014 to 23 24 10/24/2014, was any other evidence developed that led you to 25 believe there was more suspects than two?

No other evidence, no. 1 Α 2 Q But --Oh, I'm sorry. In the car that came by to -- with 3 Α the person down that the neighbors saw. 4 5 Q Nothing else that we haven't talked about, to be fair? 6 That's correct. 7 Α Q Nevertheless though, after -- going back to 8 September 21st now, after Mendoza's identified as a suspect, the next person you start suspecting as being involved with 10 this is Summer Larsen? 11 12 Α Yes. And you make that conclusion within 24 hours of your 13 Q 14 start? 15 Α Yes. And partially, that's based on what Steven Larsen Q 16 17 said to you? Yes, it is. 18 Α Q About what you heard about Ashley Hall car 19 communications, right? 20 21 Yes. 22 And you guys quickly focused on Summer Larsen? Q 23 Yes, we did. Α Not exclusively, but --24 Q 25 Correct. Α Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

-- as somebody who you needed to talk to and wanted 1 Q to investigate? 2 That's correct. 3 Α You believed Ashley Hall was a key part of that 4 Q investigative lead? I believed Ashley Hall was a witness that was 6 providing us some information. I wouldn't say a key. How -- what was the first time you guys talked to 8 0 her? I believe they talked to her that night of the 21st. 10 Α Pretty quick, right? 11 Q 12 Α Yeah. And she confirmed some information about a 13 Q conversation with Summer? 14 15 Α Yes. Raised your suspicions about Summer? 16 Q 17 Α Yes. You wanted to talk to Summer? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. 20 And I can say that, as of September 22nd, you guys Q wanted to talk to Summer? 22 That's correct. Α You even put out a law enforcement bulletin saying, 23 Q 24 hey, if you get in contact with her, give me a call? 25 Yes, we did. Α

```
One of those 24/7 things we heard about yesterday,
         Q
 1
 2
    right?
              Yes, sir.
 3
         Α
         Q
              That was active September 22nd, to the best of your
 4
   memory?
              Yes.
 6
         Α
         Q
              Do you remember when it was, the first time you were
    able to talk to her or find her?
 8
              Yeah, we found her on November 18th, I believe.
 9
         Α
              You had some difficulty tracking her down then?
10
         Q
              Yes, we did.
11
         Α
12
              And you later discovered she fled town for at least
         Q
    a period of that time?
13
14
         Α
              Yes.
              And she fled to Utah?
15
         Q
16
         Α
              Yes.
17
              And you determined that she knew you guys were
         Q
    looking for her?
18
              That was our belief, yes.
19
         Α
              And while we're on that subject, let me -- this
20
         Q
    subdivision, as we've heard about throughout this trial, kind
    of became a reoccurring place of information for you during --
22
23
    throughout this investigation, right? The childhood home of
24
    Summer?
25
              Oh, yes, the --
         Α
```

Q The trailer park? 1 2 Α Yes. More than one witness was interviewed there? 3 Q More than one person was talked to there, yes. 4 Α 5 Q Fair enough. And kind of the fact that people grew up there kind of became relevant, right? 6 Α Yes. And based on the people you talked to there, kind of 8 Q a gossipy place, right? 10 Α Yes. The people talk; the people know each other? 11 Q 12 Correct. Α And it seems like at least a lot of them had known 13 Q each other for a good portion of their lives? 14 Some of the people I talked to, yes. 15 Α During those initial stages, after you start 16 Q 17 suspecting Summer, after you do some interviews of the likes of Ashley and others, you're concerned that Summer's boyfriend 18 was involved in this? 19 We thought that could be possible, yes. 20 Α 21 So, the next logical step, I'm guessing, was to 22 identify and hopefully locate that boyfriend? 23 That's correct. Α Sometimes that would be easy, but in this case, it 24 Q 25 wasn't?

A That's correct.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

- Q Because as I sit here today, can you tell me who her boyfriend was in September 2014?
  - A No, there was several people.
- Q Right. You found out through talking to people that a lot of potential men could wear that hat during this time frame?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And as you're learning these names, you start looking into these different individuals, correct?
- 11 A Yes, I do.
  - Q And during that initial phase when you're trying to locate Summer Larsen's boyfriend who may be involved in this -- and I'm talking now September into the first weeks of October.
- 16 A Okay.
- Q Who do you identify, do you recall, as potential boyfriend suspects?
- A We identified a Snoop, who was later identified as
  Isaac Rodriguez, I believe, and a Twisted (phonetic), who was
  later identified as Robert Meads (phonetic).
  - Q As to Isaac Rodriguez, a.k.a, Snoop, multiple witnesses told you that they were spending time together for a while, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

You suspected at least that he may have shot Summer? 1 Q Yes, that's what we suspected. 2 Α Q You investigated him to the point that you took a 3 buccal swab and you talked to him a little bit when he was 4 located? Yes, I did. 6 Α Q How about the other individual you mentioned, Mr. Meads? 8 Yes, we interviewed him as well. 9 Α And did you also confirm that he was spending time 10 Q with Summer during this period of time? 11 Yes, we did. 12 Α Can you say as we sit here today anybody else that 13 Q you would have notified -- or identified in those first three 14 15 weeks? 16 No. Α 17 David Murphy's name as a boyfriend of Summer's you Q should look into did not come up during those three weeks? 18 We found that David Murphy's name as her boyfriend 19 Α came up when we interviewed Robert Figueroa on the 24th. 20 21 So, put some time on it. Before 10/24, you never 22 suspected David Murphy as her boyfriend? 23 That's correct. Α His name was never mentioned in that capacity to you 24 Q

by any of the witnesses you interviewed?

25

That's correct. 1 Α Back to September 21st, Steven Larsen's talk with 2 Q you guys was probably the first fuse to light the suspicions into Summer? 5 Α Yes. He said very bluntly, I think she might have 6 Q something to do with this? Yes, he did. 8 Α And he talked about some previous burglaries to that Q 9 residence? 10 Yes, he did. 11 Α And he told you guys he believed Summer did these 12 Q previous burglaries? 13 14 Yes. Α And at least one of those burglaries you eventually 15 Q looked into, and it was reported? 16 That's correct. 17 Α And to your knowledge, nobody was arrested for the Q 18 reported burglary to 1661 Broadmere that predated September 19 21st? 20 22 Nobody was identified as suspects as of the time you Q 23 looked at the Incident Report? 24 That's correct. Α 25 Summer even eventually admits to doing that, Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

correct? 1 I don't remember her admitting to -- yeah, I think 2 she made some admissions that she was involved in that. If I can start talking to you a little bit about 4 Officer's Reports, Arrest Reports, some questions about that report that the State showed you yesterday. There's something 6 known as -- officially known as an Officer's Report? That's correct. 8 Α And there's something officially known as a Arrest 9 Q Report? 10 11 Α Yes. And there's officially something known as a 12 Q Declaration of Warrant/Summons? 13 Yes. 14 Α There's also something called an Incident Report? 15 Q 16 Yes. Α 17 These are all Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Q Department titles put on documents that have to be done at 18 19 certain times, big policy book? 20 Α Yes. Simplify that a little bit, of course. 21 22 with what's I hope one of the easier ones, an Arrest Report. 23 When does an officer or a detective from Metro have to do an

A We do an Arrest Report when we're going to arrest

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

Arrest Report?

24

25

somebody for probable cause charges, and you would do that Arrest Report that day that you're going to -- to arrest them.

- Q Are those cases where there's not an active warrant at the time?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And you -- just make sure it's clear to me, you write that report before you go out into the field and arrest them?
  - A You could.
- 10 Q Sometimes you might develop probable cause for an 11 arrest out in the field, right?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q And effectuate an arrest because of that?
- 14 A Yes.

1

5

6

8

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And what would you do if that was the case?
- A You would take them to jail, and you would fill out your -- your temporary custody record, your Declaration of Arrest, and you would -- at the jail, you would dictate an Arrest Report.
  - Q When would you do a Declaration of Warrant?
- A When you have probable cause to make an arrest, or you believe you have probable cause to make an arrest, and you would type out your probable cause and you would take that with other documents and give it to the deputy -- or to the attorney's office -- the district attorney's office, and they

would either approve or deny that -- that request. If they approve it, then it would go to a judge, who would either sign it or not approve it.

- Q Does the officer or detective have some discretion as to which one of those two routes they'd choose between the Declaration of Warrant going to the DA's or the Arrest Report and effectuating the arrest themselves?
- A Yes.

1

4

6

8

9

10

22

23

- Q And then an Officer's Report, that's different than both of those things?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q What does an Officer's Report do that those don't?
- A An Officer's Report is documenting the investigation or the case.
- MR. LANDIS: Court's brief indulgence. Can I approach the witness?
- 17 THE COURT: You may.
- 18 BY MR. LANDIS:
- 19 Q Showing you Defense Proposed A, you were shown that 20 yesterday?
- 21 A Yes, I was.
  - Q You talked a little bit yesterday about the dates and the information in it, things of that sort, right?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q As we sit here today, can you tell me when that

thing was finalized and submitted? 1 I can't tell you the exact date, no. 2 Q And just to make sure some of the things you said 3 yesterday are clear to me, there's a point in time, and it happened in this case, when an Officer's Report's getting amended, added to, and it's in the common drive at the Metro 6 file system? Right. 8 Α You can access it, put stuff in it, right? 9 Q 10 Α Yes. Detective Williams? 11 Q Yes, we have access to it. 12 Α Yes. And then at a certain point in time, it gets sent to 13 Q a supervisor or sergeant? 14 Yeah, they're in our office. We just take it to 15 Α 16 them. 17 And when you do that, that's you believing it's Q final? 18 19 Yes. Α They review it? 20 Q 21 Yes. 22 For what? Q They'll look for 23 The sergeants will look over it. Α 24 spelling or, you know, punctuation errors. If the sergeant's 25 familiar with the case, they'll look at -- they'll read

through the report, and they may remember something about the 1 investigation that is not in there. And they'll ask -- you know, they can ask you about it and say, you know, did you want to put this incident, you know, or this piece of 4 information in there, and sometimes you do. Sometimes it's irrelevant and you don't -- it doesn't go in the report. 6 Can we approach on something unrelated MR. LANDIS: to this question? 8 THE COURT: Yes. (Off-record bench conference) 10 So, during this recess, it is your duty 11 THE COURT: 12 not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch, or listen 13 to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person 14 connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, 15 including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, 16 17 or internet, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally 18 submitted to you. We'll take 15 minutes. 19 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please. 20 (Jury recessed at 2:55 p.m.) THE COURT: All right, the record will reflect the 22 jury's departed the courtroom. We're in recess. 23 Restroom. 24 (Court recessed at 2:56 P.M. until 3:14 P.M.) 25 (Within the presence of the jurors)

THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, all members of the jury and the three alternates are present.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And the record will reflect we're back within the presence of all 12 members of the jury, as well as the three alternates. The three defendants are present with their respective counsel, the Chief Deputy District Attorneys are present, as are all officers of the court. And Mr. Landis, you may resume your cross.

- 10 MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 BY MR. LANDIS:

1

2

3

4

6

8

12

13

14

22

23

- Q Can I summarize Officer's Reports as they can be used to supplement the information that's in previous records when necessary?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Is an Officer's Report always going to be found when 17 there's a felony arrest?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Even in the case of murders?
- A No, on -- on my squad, we all do Officer's Reports
  on every homicide investigation that we work.
  - Q But it's not required that one be done when a murder suspect is arrested?
- 24 A That's correct.
- Q What I want to figure out regarding Proposed A

that's in front of you there, that Officer's Report, we know based on the dates of events that are in it, it was finalized before October 24th?

- A That's correct.
- Q I'm sorry, finalized after October 24th?
- 6 A After 24th.

1

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

22

23

24

- Q That's what I meant. And maybe I heard you guys wrong. I at least heard you guys implying yesterday on direct testimony that you're comfortable saying it was finalized sometime before November 6th?
- A It'd depend on when I interviewed Ms. Pruse. That's my last notation in the report.
- Q That's the best indication you have as to when it was finalized was after that date, the interview of Ms. Pruse?
- 15 A Yes.
  - Q Which we know happened after the 10/24 interview of Mr. Figueroa?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q But saying it happened a week, or two weeks, or a 20 month after the -- the Ms. Pruse interview, we can't say that?
- 21 A I can't guess.
  - Q At the point that you -- whenever this point is that you -- let me stop. In a perfect world, and I'm not trying to beat you up, we'd have an accurate date on that report?
- 25 A You'd have an accurate start date. We -- there's no

end date on them. 1 2 You never put a finalized date on it? Q 3 Α No. So, that's what that date is on the front is a start 4 Q 5 date? That's what I do. I don't know what other 6 Α detectives do. And when I say the date on the front, I'm talking 8 Q about the handwritten date, which was September 22nd? 10 Α That's correct. When would you have put that handwritten date on 11 Q 12 that report? When it was finalized? When you first opened 13 it? I'm sure that when I printed it out and the sergeant 14 signed it, I noticed I didn't have the date on there, and 15 16 that's when I would have handwritten it on there. 17 If I can approach the witness? MR. LANDIS: THE COURT: 18 Yes. 19 BY MR. LANDIS: This is not marked, but if you could just look at 20 Does that appear to be a very similar Officer's Report as 22 the one that we were just talking about? 23 Yes. Α 24 Same number of pages, 28? Q 25 I believe so.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Α

Your work product for the most part, right? 1 Q 2 I want to point out two differences, if I could, the Α first one being the one that has your handwritten date, Defense Proposed A, the first one we were talking about today, that identifies Mendez-Martinez as suspect number 4, correct? Yes. 6 Α And it has a picture? Q Actually, number -- number 5. 8 Α I apologize. And it has a picture? 9 Q 10 Α Yes. Now turning to the other report I approached with a 11 Q 12 moment ago, one of the differences is there's no picture --13 That's correct. Α -- for Mendez-Martinez, but it does list him as 14 15 suspect 5? Right. 16 Α 17 And then the -- another difference with that report Q is it doesn't have the date written on the front? 18 And it's not signed. 19 Α So, it doesn't have your 9/22? 20 Q 21 Right. 22 Does that mean that -- the one without the date Q 23 would have came off the printer in your hand? 24 Α This -- this was probably printed out. It wasn't 25 signed, it wasn't complete, and obviously not complete because

- I did have the picture of the wrong Duboy in there.
- 2 Q And when you would have printed it, it would have 3 been for what purpose?
  - A To review it.
  - Q Okay. Not to send to the sergeant, not to finalize --
  - A No.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

- Q -- not to distribute to anybody outside Metro?
- 9 A That's correct.
  - Q And your testimony yesterday was once that Officer's Report is given to the sergeant, it's unchangeable? And let me rephrase. After the sergeant approves it and puts it in the system, it's unchangeable?
- 14 A I wouldn't change it, no.
- Okay. That's your policy, not Metro's policy?
- 16 A Correct.
  - Q At that point that it's in the -- what do you call that system where it's finalized after sergeant approval? The -- just on the books, I think is the phrase you used yesterday?
- 21 A Yeah, we put it in the book. Yes.
- Q Could in this case Detective Williams go in and change it after it's in the book?
- A Detective Williams would know it was -- it was already signed. He wouldn't change the report either.

- Q Is it a rule that it should not be changed after it's signed?
  - A Yes. I mean, it's -- for me, it is.
- Q And for that report, you conclude -- beyond that Mendez-Martinez was involved as a suspect, you also conclude that an African-American individual might be involved?
  - A Yes.

- Q Explain to me why you made that conclusion at that point in time.
- A Because we had information that Summer had picked up a black male at a -- it's a 7-Eleven type store called Munchies. And she was with Ashley Hall, Ashley Hall was driving. And Summer was telling this -- this -- who Ashley Hall identified as Evil, telling him about her -- her husband and how easy it would be to break into his house and take his marijuana or whatever property he had.

And so we assumed that, at this time, keeping in mind that we still believe that Summer Larsen was a nexus in this home invasion. So we believed that, you know, she would be involved, possibly this black male would be involved, and other people that we have.

- Q Nothing's in those reports about the Sotelo interview, correct?
  - A That's correct.
- 25 Q Do you recall when that interview was off the top of

your head? 1 It's dated on his transcription. 2 Sometime in October before the 24th Figueroa 3 Q interview, obviously? 4 Well, before the 20th when we took him into 5 Α Yes. custody. 6 Q Very well. At that point in time, before you guys are called to talk to Sotelo, still no new information about 8 -- about suspects, right? Pardon me? 10 Α Still no new information from the time of the crime 11 Q about the number of suspects? 12 13 Α Correct. You're still just basing it on the blood trail 14 Q evidence we talked about and the neighbor -- the neighbors' 15 16 statements? 17 Correct. Α The Sotelo interview though gives you some 18 Q information that -- that changes your investigation a lot? 19 Yes, it does. 20 Α And we've heard about some. He identifies Figueroa 22 as somebody who more or less confessed to him? 23 Yes. Α And his stories, you hear it firsthand from his 24 Q 25 mouth, right?

Yes, I do. 1 Α 2 It's fairly detailed in what he heard? Q 3 Α Yes. He talks about Figueroa; he claims that this Manny 4 Q Barientos was there, not only when the story was being told to Sotelo, but also involved in the crime? 6 Yes, that was his -- that's his recollection of Α their story. 8 And that's what you memorialized in reports? 9 Q In his statement, yes. 10 Α He also talked about a third individual 11 Q Right. 12 being involved, yes? Perhaps going by the nickname Orco? 13 Oh, yes. Α And he told you that Orco was the guy who already 14 Q got arrested? 15 That's correct. 16 Α 17 Who at that point was Mendoza? Q 18 Α Yes. Q He provides you details that, some of them, you can 19 20 confirm, right? 21 Some of his information we could confirm, yes. 22 Q Such as, I know where Figueroa lives? 23 Α Correct. Such as, I know who his roommates are? 24 Q 25 Α Yes.

AA002212

Such as, I know at least what one of his roommates Q 1 drives? 2 3 Yes. Α I know where Barientos lives? 4 Q That's correct. 5 Α Gave you cell phone numbers? 6 Q Α Yes. Probably couldn't confirm this at the time, but even 8 Q told you Figueroa went to California? 10 Α Yes. To treat his injuries? 11 Q That's correct. 12 Α From the time you get his information, the 13 Q information from Sotelo, you quickly start confirming what you 14 15 can about his story? 16 Yes. Α And yesterday, you were asked questions about 17 Q whether or not he was going to be arrested the day you talked 18 to him, or if he was arrested, remember? 19 Yes, I do. 20 Α And your best memory was, I think he was free to 22 anyway? 23 Yes, that's to my best recollection. Α 24 Q We at least know that he was free, because the next 25 morning, you guys picked him up?

That's correct. 1 Α 2 And he was willing to do that? Q Yes, he was. 3 Α And he wasn't in custody? 4 Q That's correct. 5 Α And what was the purpose of that? 6 Q Α He was going to show us where Robert Figueroa lived, and he also -- I think he also took us to where Manuel 8 Barientos lives. And you were able to confirm that what he showed you 10 Q was in fact Figueroa's residence? 11 12 Α Yes. And armed with that information, you start focusing 13 Q on Robert Figueroa? 14 15 Α That's correct. And we know that October 20th is the date of his 16 Q 17 arrest and the search of his abode, right? 18 Yes. Α Before you talk to him, before you search anything, Q 19 you have probable cause, you believe, to arrest him? 20 I believe so, yes. And you secure a search warrant to search his 22 Q 23 residence? 24 Yes, we do. Α Which obviously is a showing of probable cause, too? 25 Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

- A That's correct.

  Q Have you had communications with Sotelo since that morning that he drove you around and showed you the Figueroa
  - A Yes. He called me, God, a week ago. Maybe longer.
- Q Have you had any other communication besides that one in this year, 2016, with him?
  - A I don't recall.

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

residence?

- Q What was the purpose of him calling you a week or so ago?
- A He told me that he was served with a subpoena. He didn't know what to do with it. I said, call the DA's office.
  - Q And he still had your number, because I assume you provided it to him way back in the past?
  - A He had my desk number. I believe he called me on my desk phone.
  - Q Let me ask -- the DA asked you yesterday if you did anything to make sure he wasn't arrested that first day you talked to him, and you said, to my memory, no?
    - A Right.
- Q To your knowledge, did you or anyone in Metro provide him any benefit in exchange for what he -- he provided you?
  - A I don't recall providing him any -- any benefit.
- 25 Q If a benefit was provided to him in exchange for the

information, is that something that would have been logged? 1 Well, probably not. 2 Α Q Probably not? Α No. 4 5 Q All right. Is that something that somebody in Metro could have done without running it by you? 6 Absolutely. You would -- you couldn't do it without Α running it by a deputy DA. 8 What if the benefit was just an OR from jail? Q need to run that by a DA? 10 I don't know. 11 Α 12 For instance, like calling a judge and asking a Q judge to OR somebody? 13 I believe you could do that. 14 Α Without a DA involvement? 15 Q 16 Yes. Α 17 And have you ever done that in your career, not --Q 18 not in this case? 19 Oh, yes. Α Did Sotelo indicate to you during this conversation 20 Q week ago whether or not he intended to honor the subpoena? 22 He did not. Α 23 He did not say? Q 24 He didn't say. Α 25 After the Sotelo interview, but before you speak Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

with Figueroa on the 24th, you now suspect how many people?

A Well, we have one in custody, we get Figueroa on the 20th, Summer Larsen, and possibly the black male known as Evil.

Q As of 10/20, the date of the Figueroa arrest, has any warrants been issued to arrest Summer?

A I don't recall when I issued a warrant to arrest her. I don't think so.

- Q November 6th, does that sound familiar, potentially?
- 10 A Yes.

Q What was it that changed between 10/20 and 11/6 that motivated your ability to arrest Summer?

A I think because we were unable to find her, I decided that the best way to go would be issue a warrant, and that way, if she's stopped by law enforcement -- if we enter a warrant, we're going to enter it not just locally, but it will go NCIC, which is all 50 states. So, if she was not in state, if she was in California or Utah and she was contacted by law enforcement, they would see that she'd have a warrant for her arrest in Las Vegas.

- Q What was that -- the charges for the first warrant; do you remember?
  - A I believe it was conspiracy to commit robbery.
- Q And for what -- what robbery? What potential robbery?

The house on Broadmere. 1 Α You at least suspected she was guilty of committing 2 Q other burglaries to that residence? I believed she could have been involved in 4 Α 5 those, yes. You never sought charges for those? 6 Q No, I did not. Α And to your knowledge, no one in Metro did? 8 Q 9 Α No. Can you say with confidence that you had a picture 10 Q of Mendez Martinez by 11/6? 11 Yes, I probably had it before that. 12 Α Let me talk about that process of getting to Mendez-13 Q Martinez, which you touched about a little bit. 14 Interview of Figueroa on 10/24 and he uses the name Duboy? 15 16 Α Correct. Didn't spell it out for you? 17 Q 18 Α Correct. It was an oral interview, obviously? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 I presume you're taking notes? 22 I'm recording it. Α 23 And you're ready to listen to that recording if you Q 24 need to? 25 Pardon me? Α

```
If you need to listen to the recording after the
 1
         Q
    interview to remember what he said, you can?
              Yeah, and I'd jot down, you know, things that I
 3
         Α
    thought were important.
 4
              So, you get this name Duboy. No real name suggested
 5
         Q
    or provided by Figueroa?
 6
         Α
              Correct.
              Some very basic descriptors?
 8
         Q
 9
              Yes.
         Α
              In terms of height, weight?
10
         Q
              Yes.
11
         Α
12
              Armed with that information, you go to a computer?
         Q
13
         Α
              Yes.
              And you use a Metro system known as SCOPE?
14
         Q
15
         Α
              Yes.
              And let me see if I can summarize SCOPE accurately.
16
         Q
    SCOPE is a database of a lot of different information sources,
17
    right?
18
19
              Yes, it is.
         Α
20
              Criminal history, arrests, things like that?
         Q
21
              Correct.
22
              Work cards?
         Q
23
              Yes, field interviews.
         Α
24
         Q
              Traffic tickets?
25
              Yes.
         Α
                   Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

```
You can also enter one of these, if contacted, let
 1
         Q
    this detective know?
              Records enters that. I have to send them an email
 3
         Α
   requesting it.
 5
              But you'll see that in a SCOPE?
         Q
 6
         Α
              Yes.
              And you'll also find sometimes nicknames?
         Q
 8
         Α
              Yes.
              Or monikers, same thing. And you can search SCOPE
 9
         Q
   as a detective a few different ways, right? The information
10
    you enter to search can be a name?
11
12
         Α
              Yes.
              It can be a nickname?
13
         Q
14
              Correct.
         Α
              It could be a date of birth?
15
         Q
              It can't be just a date of birth, I don't believe.
16
         Α
              Social security number?
17
         Q
              Social security number, ID number.
18
         Α
              And you can also do like a sounds like kind of
         Q
19
   qualifier on those searches?
20
21
              Yes.
22
         Q
              So, you know, if you don't know how to spell a last
23
   name, you can guess, and it hopefully would maybe figure it
24
    out for you?
25
         Α
              Yes.
```

```
So, you head to your computer armed with this
         Q
 1
    information about Duboy, and I assume you use a nickname
 2
    search?
              Yes, I do.
 4
         Α
 5
         Q
              And do you recall the specific letters you typed in?
              I -- I do not.
 6
         Α
              And none of this is recorded by the computer system,
         Q
    right, like your actual search and things like that?
 8
              I have no idea.
 9
         Α
              But you type in some variation -- some spelling for
10
         Q
    Duboy?
11
12
              Yes.
         Α
              How many potential matches come up?
13
         Q
14
              I don't recall.
         Α
              Give me a ballpark.
15
         Q
              I don't recall. I mean, maybe ten.
16
         Α
17
              Ten?
         Q
                     I'm -- I'm absolutely guessing.
18
              Yes.
         Α
         Q
              And from those however many, you start looking more
19
    specific at the other information provided?
20
21
              Yes.
22
              And from that, you conclude Mendez-Martinez is your
         Q
23
    guy?
24
              Yes.
         Α
25
              And his nickname was what?
         Q
                   Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

Duboy. 1 Α How was it spelled? 2 Q I believe it was D-o-b-o-y. 3 Α Would looking at that report refresh your 4 Q 5 recollection? It's page 2. I think it's by his name. D-u-b-o-y. 6 Α And that's why I assumed you used that sound Q familiar search when you did this. 8 9 Α Yes. How long after that did you get this picture? 10 Q don't know? 11 12 Oh, I think I had it that day. Α Q Pretty easy to get in that same computer system in 13 general? 14 Α Yeah, the -- the -- I can make the SCOPE put a 15 picture of him up there, or I can run a -- run the name 16 17 through mug shots or anything like that, or get a picture off of a DMV. 18 That -- sometime after that point in time, you 19 Q finalize that Officer's Report? 20 21 Yes, I do. 22 And it has his name and picture? Q 23 Yes. Α 24 Q What was the date that you eventually show the picture to Figueroa, according to your testimony? 25

I believe I showed it to Summer Rice on the 18th, 1 Α and then to Figueroa on the 19th. So, November 18th at the earliest, you show the 3 Q picture to somebody involved in the case? 5 Α Yes. And prior to that, prior to November 18th, to 6 Q whenever you did the search through to November 18th, you could have went to Figueroa and shown him the picture? 8 Α Yes. You chose not to? 10 Q 11 Yes. Α 12 You could have shown that picture to Joey Larsen; at Q least tried, right? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Q Steven Larsen? Yes, I could have. 16 Α 17 Q Instead, you decided to name him as a murder suspect in this report? 18 19 Α Yes. And those reports should include accurate 20 Q information, right? 22 Absolutely they should. Α 23 A lot of reports besides the two we've talked about Q have been completed by you in this case, right? 24 25 That's correct. Α

A lot of Arrest Reports? 1 Q Yes. 2 Α Applications for Search Warrants? 3 Q 4 Α Yes. Applications for Court Orders? 5 Q 6 Α Yes. You're probably sick of writing about this case? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 Q A lot of the information that makes it into these Arrest Reports and things mirrors the information that's in 10 that Officer's Report, right? 11 12 Yes, it does. Α And I presume you even cut and paste it, right? 13 Q Yes. 14 Α 15 As of 10/20 when you're getting the search warrant Q for Figueroa and things like that, you still believe there's 16 an African-American suspect? 17 At that time, yes. 18 Α 19 At the time you're applying for the search warrant Q for Summer, which is November, is that still what we'll find 20 in the reports? 22 The Arrest Warrant? Α 23 Yes. Q I don't recall the information I put in her Arrest 24 Α 25 Warrant.

Would looking at it refresh your memory? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. 3 MR. LANDIS: Can I approach? THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 BY MR. LANDIS: Excuse my notes on the first page. Looking at that, 6 Q if you could just refresh your memory as to what you were writing about other potential suspects who are unidentified. 8 I think the only time I mentioned the black male is 9 Α when I'm doing a summary of Ashley Hall's statement. 10 Is that correct? 11 12 I'm not at liberty to tell you that. Q Yeah, that --13 Α Take as much time as you need to read 14 THE COURT: 15 that. So, your question is what? 16 THE WITNESS: 17 BY MR. LANDIS: In that Declaration of Warrant, what do you state 18 Q the current investigation identifying as suspects other than 19 Mendoza, and Rice, and Figueroa? 20 Well, and keeping in mind this is for Ashley Hall, 22 but I state that -- I use Jesus -- or, sorry, Martinez in 23 there as Duboy. 24 And we can stop there. Q 25 Okay. Α

While that's in front of you, does it refresh your 1 Q memory as to the date it was executed? Or signed? 3 Α 4 Q Sure. It's -- it's blank. I would -- it's typed up here, 5 Α "Executed on the 6th day of November." 6 7 Q So, at that point, Duboy in your view is still Mendez Martinez? 8 9 Α Yes. Summer's arrested, and you talked a little bit about 10 Q these jail calls, right? 11 12 Yes. Α And that's a common source of potential evidence for 13 Q you guys? 14 That's correct. 15 Α Some people talk on the phones? 16 Q 17 Α Yes. Some people think they're smarter than the 18 Q recording? 19 20 Α That's correct. 21 And since you were still unsure as to the full picture of this crime, you guys were focused on those phone 22 23 calls with Summer? 24 Yes. Α 25 And you personally listened to at least some of Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

them? 1 2 Yes, I did. Α And what -- do you know the time frame that would 3 Q have been? 4 5 Sometime after she was taken into custody on Α November 18th. 6 Q I would assume you were doing the listening pretty early on after that arrest? 8 Oh, I don't -- I don't recall when I started 9 Α listening to them. 10 How many calls did you listen to roughly? Any idea? 11 Q 12 I want to say I probably listened to six or seven. Α And these weren't live listens; these were the 13 Q recordings using the system like you talked about yesterday? 14 15 Α Yes. And if I could just explain that a touch better. 16 Q 17 Inmates, when they make a call, they have to use their unique identifiers? 18 That's correct. 19 Α So, you can go into the system and just input the 20 Q same unique identifiers the inmate uses? 22 Yes, yes, sir. Α And it brings up all their phone calls? 23 Q 24 Yes. Α 25 You could also though do a search, I want -- I want Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

to know everyone who called this guy, right? 1 2 Α Yes. Q And you could find all the inmates who called 3 whatever phone number? 4 5 Α Correct. You're privy to the reality that some inmates try to 6 Q -- try to hide their communications from these recordings, right? 8 9 Yes. Α And a common way they do that would be to use 10 Q somebody else's account to make the call? 11 12 That's correct. Α So, I have a buddy in the cell next to me and I want 13 Q to make a call. I say, hey, I'm going to give you some 14 Doritos, let me use your account? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 I mean, that's real life, right? Q 18 Α Yes. And the hope is that then when the officers are 19 Q searching for their calls through their unique identifiers, 20 you're not going to find it --22 That's correct. Α 23 -- because I used my celly's? Q 24 That's correct. Α 25 But you guys know the cat and mouse game, and you do Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

what you can to try to outstep that? 1 2 Α Yes. Q And one way you can hopefully outstep it with some 3 defendants is that number search? You know this inmate calls 4 5 this guy, so you do a number search for this guy? Right. 6 Α And you identified some phone calls like that that Q Summer was making? 8 Yes, we did. 9 Α And when you would identify a call that you found to 10 Q be potentially relevant, you not only save the recording, but 11 12 you send it out for transcription? 13 Α Yes. Inmates who do that kind of trick, if I can use that 14 Q phrase, the using somebody else's account, that's a red flag 15 that's going to make you really want to know what's on that 16 17 call? Oh, I wouldn't say it's a red flag, but it's 18 Α something that we expect from time to time. 19 It at least indicates some suspicion? 20 Q 21 Yes. 22 Doesn't mean it's necessarily suspicious though, Q 23 right? 24 That's correct. Α 25 Do you remember a call she made that was Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

```
transcribed; she made using somebody else's account?
 1
              Yeah, I do, but I don't recall the name that she
 2
         Α
    used.
              Another inmate's account?
 4
         Q
 5
         Α
              Yes.
              Do you remember who she called?
 6
         Q
              I don't recall.
         Α
              Do you remember -- let me just do it this way.
 8
         Q
    Would looking at a transcript of it refresh your memory,
    perhaps?
10
11
         Α
              Yes.
                  (Mr. Landis/Mr. DiGiacomo conferring)
12
              MR. LANDIS: Can I approach?
13
14
              THE COURT:
                           Yes.
15
    BY MR. LANDIS:
              And I'll have you look at that, but just to be
16
         Q
    clear, that's a transcript of a phone call, yes?
17
              Yes, it is.
18
         Α
              And it's got some handwriting on the top?
19
         Q
              Yes, it does.
20
         Α
21
              Do you recognize that?
22
              I do.
         Α
23
              Yours?
         Q
24
              That is mine.
         Α
25
              Very good. And looking at that, you can identify
         Q
                   Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

the inmate's account she used, right? 1 2 Α Yes. It doesn't -- unless the person says their name on 3 Q the recording though, it doesn't identify the recipient of the 4 call, the person Summer was talking to? 5 That's correct. 6 Α But fair for me to say that you were searching that Q number because it was somebody who she had been calling? 8 I don't -- I don't recall how I got to that number, 9 Α 10 but --Is there any other way you would have got to it? 11 Q Oh, you mean you could have got it from other investigative 12 leads as opposed to --13 14 Α Yes ---- a number -- okay. 15 Q 16 Yeah. Α 17 It's a number you developed tied to Summer Larsen, Q and that's why you searched it in the computer system? 18 I'm -- I don't recall how I got to that number. 19 Α Ι just don't. It's two years ago. 20 Did you conclude, listening to those phone calls, 22 that some of the phone calls she was making were to a Hispanic 23 male? 24 Yes. Α 25 Q And did you at least believe that Hispanic male to Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

be Isaac Rodriguez? 1 2 I believed it could have been. Do you believe that's one of those calls that that 3 Q was that potential person? 4 I don't -- I don't remember the voice. I couldn't 5 Α say that was Isaac Rodriguez unless he identifies himself in 6 there. Let me just say this. When you're listening to 8 Q calls where Summer Larsen, Summer Rice is talking to a male, those were particularly interesting to you? 10 Yes. 11 Α You're looking for evidence that she's conspiring or 12 Q colluding with this person to help them out? 13 I want to hear what she's saying. 14 Α And in a perfect world, your hope is she'll 15 Q Right. call the person she colluded with, right? 16 17 Α Yes. And they'll make it clear through their phone calls? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. And that stuff does happen? 20 Q 21 It does happen. 22 MR. LANDIS: And Judge, I'm going to ask to admit and 23 play that phone call that that transcript's for. 24 What number is it? THE CLERK: 25 It's not a number yet. MR. LANDIS: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

THE COURT: All right, well, it does have to be a 1 proposed --3 MR. LANDIS: Yeah, it would be Proposed I. THE COURT: 4 Okay. Defense I. 5 MR. LANDIS: I? And what is it again? 6 THE CLERK: It's a phone call from Summer Rice. MR. LANDIS: THE CLERK: A transcript, or? 8 MR. LANDIS: No, I'm going to play the actual call. 9 THE CLERK: 10 Okay. MR. DiGIACOMO: May we approach, Judge? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. (Off-record bench conference) 13 BY MR. LANDIS: 14 Do you still have a transcript up there of the call? 15 Q You've got mine. 16 Α That's what I was worried about. 17 Q MR. LANDIS: Can I approach the witness? 18 THE COURT: 19 You may. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: So, the objection is sustained. 22 BY MR. LANDIS: You can't tell me exactly who that male is, but you 23 Q 24 can tell me that male is not David Murphy? 25 That's correct. Α Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Or any of these defendants? 1 Q That's correct. 2 Α One thing I want to focus on in that call -- and the 3 Q male starts asking about whether or not the police have been asking about him, right? And I'd refer you to page 4. 6 Α Yes. And she tells him, in effect -- I'm paraphrasing, of Q course -- they haven't brought you up? 8 Right. 9 Α That's kind of the stuff you're listening for when 10 Q you listen to those phone calls, right? 11 12 Yes. Α Q Somebody concerned that the police are asking about 13 them? 14 15 Α Yes. Especially if it's a potential lover of Summer Rice? 16 Q That's correct. 17 Α The date of that call was? 18 Q 11/18 of 2014. 19 Α Same day she --20 Q 21 That's what I have handwritten up there 22 Q Right, which is --23 Α Yes. -- very soon after her arrest? 24 Q 25 Α Yes. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

- Q We've got to assume one of her first calls? What did you do based on that information when you heard it?
- A I tried -- I'm not sure what I did, to be honest.

  I'm sure I would have tried to find that number who it
  belonged to.
  - Q Do you recall if you did?
    - A I don't recall doing that.
- Q Do you recall if you ever specifically asked Summer questions about that conversation?
- 10 A I did not.

1

3

6

8

- 11 Q And just for the record, does it list the phone 12 number that Summer called?
- 13 A Yes, it does.
- 14 Q Could you state it for the record?
- 15 A 702-366-1640.
- 16 Q And at a minimum -- this is my last question about
  17 it. As we stand here today, you can tell me, whoever that guy
  18 is she's talking to is not somebody who was arrested in this
  19 case?
- 20 A That's correct.
- Q When do you think you stopped in total listening to 22 Summer Larsen's jail calls?
- A I -- I don't know. I mean, I would listen to -- you know, some of them were ten minutes long. I would listen to,

  you know, two or three, and then I'd have to take a break.

It's -- for me, it's boring sitting there listening to people 1 talk. 2 And you get sick of hearing them talk about the same 3 Q thing over and over again, right? 4 5 Α Yes. And as I told you at the break, I'm worried that 6 Q might be happening here, huh? 8 Yeah. Α Q Let me say this then. Do you think you listened to 9 any phone calls in 2016 from Summer Rice? 10 I don't recall. 11 Α Can't say yes or no? 12 Q 13 No. Α Same for 2015? 14 Q 15 Α Yes. 2014, you can say you listened to some, but just not 16 Q 17 exactly how many? 18 Α Yes. None of that stuff was detailed in a report in terms 19 Q of how many phone calls you listened to, when they were dated, 20 things like that? 22 That's correct. Α Is there a reason you left that out of reports? 23 Q 24 I -- the phone calls I listened to, I -- when Α No. 25 they had anything to do with -- what I felt with the

investigation or anything that I felt suspicious, I had 1 transcribed. After speaking with Robert Figueroa, you still 3 Q weren't convinced there was three, or four suspects, or five? 4 On October 24th? 5 Α 6 Yes. Q I was convinced there was five suspects on October Α 24th. 8 And that change in opinion was exclusively based as Q 9 of 10/24 on what Figueroa told you? 10 Figueroa combined with what Gabriel --11 Α Sotelo. 12 Q -- Sotelo told us. 13 Α Which didn't match in terms of number of suspects, 14 Q 15 right? Well, I was able to confirm some information from 16 Α Sotelo; I was able to confirm a lot of information from Robert 17 Figueroa. 18 And I want to talk just before any confirmation took 19 Q place of the Figueroa, just for now. 20 21 Okay. 22 Q No indication from Sotelo that there was a getaway 23 driver? 24 Not that I recall. Α Do you recall that you specifically asked him how 25 Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

they got there, and he told you a G-ride? 1 2 Α Yes. What's that mean? Q A G-ride's a street term for a stolen car. Α 4 He didn't indicate there was another driver of that 5 Q 6 car? That's correct. Α Figueroa's -- or statement on the 24th is different 8 Q about that, right? 10 Α Yes. So, he would add a suspect that Sotelo didn't? 11 Q 12 Yes. Α And their statements were also different in who they 13 Q identified as the suspects, right? 14 15 Α Yes. And you guys came into that 10/24 Figueroa interview 16 Q 17 with questions about Manny because of what Sotelo told you? That's correct. 18 Α And he was quick to put those to rest, right? 19 Q When we finally got around to asking him about 20 Α Yes. Manny Barientos, he told us that Manny was not involved in no 22 -- no shape, or something like that. 23 He did tell you though, Figueroa, on 10/24 that Joey 24 Laguna/Matone had a .38? 25 I believe he did, yes.

When did he tell you, if ever, that that .38 came 1 Q from Manny? 2 He never told me that. Α Did you ever determine any specifics about that .38? 4 Q He never told me where it came from; I never 5 Α No. asked. 6 And it was never recovered? Q It was never recovered. 8 Α Q Cell phones were seized in this case, and I'm not 9 going to go through the details as to each one and when, but a 10 lot of cell phones were seized throughout the investigation? 11 12 Α Yes. Some of them most likely were possessed by people 13 Q involved with this at the time of the murder on September 14 21st? 15 16 Yes. Α Some of them could have been you weren't sure? 17 Q That's correct. 18 Α Some of them were probably new phones? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 And to get cell phone records, like we've talked about for weeks in here, you don't need the physical cell 22 23 phone? 24 That's correct. Α 25 You just need the number and the provider of the Q Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

```
cell service?
 1
 2
              Yes.
         Α
              But there's a different search you can do with a
 3
         Q
    cell phone itself if you possess it, right?
 4
 5
              That's correct.
         Α
              Specifically, you can do a forensic computer search,
 6
         Q
    in effect?
              That's correct.
 8
         Α
         Q
              Which, just like with a computer, kind of combs
 9
    through the data and pulls off whatever's available?
10
11
         Α
              Yes.
              Which can even mean deleted stuff, potentially?
12
         Q
13
              Yes.
         Α
              And did any forensics occur to any phones in this
14
         Q
    case to the best of your knowledge?
15
16
              No.
         Α
17
              And as a homicide detective, you can at least
         Q
    request that, right?
18
              Yes, I can.
19
         Α
              And to your knowledge, was that ever requested?
20
         Q
21
              No, it was not.
              Talk to you a little about gunpowder residue.
22
         Q
   took from your direct testimony that you're not the biggest
23
24
   proponent of gunpowder testing?
25
              I am not.
         Α
```

In general though, it's something Metro does? Q 1 2 Α We -- there's certain limitations you have to go through. 4 Yes. Q 5 Do you want me to explain them? Α If you want, which I know you don't. Let me just --6 Q Go ahead. Α -- try to shorten it up. 8 Q Go ahead. 9 Α Sometimes Metro employees do gunpowder residue 10 Q 11 tests? 12 Yes, we do. Α Q And some Metro employees are equipped with gunpowder 13 residue tests? 14 15 Α Yes. Which is some kind of cloth or something like that, 16 Q 17 right? It's a swab, as far as I know. It's -- and the 18 Α crime scene analysts are the ones who administrate it -- or 19 administer it. 20 And you testified yesterday that if somebody's around a lot of shooting, they might get gunpowder even though 22 they didn't touch a gun? 23 24 That's correct. Α And that's the primary reason you don't love it? 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

A Well, that, and there's also a lot of false positives with the test.

- Q You'd agree with me though that sometimes gunpowder might be on certain locations on the hand that make it more relevant than other places on the hand?
  - A Absolutely not.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q So, if gunpowder's on the back of a hand, for instance, that means nothing to you?
  - A It means nothing to me.
- Q What if there hasn't been a lot of gunfire around the person who you're testing? Does that make it more relevant to you?
- A If it's in a close proximity, a house, and there's guns fired, and this -- and people touch the walls, the cabinets, a handrail, a doorknob, anything that was in that general area, they're going to test positive for gunshot residue.
  - Q Wherever their hand touched, whatever --
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q And --
- 21 A And it will wipe onto clothes and things like that.
  - Q But it doesn't stay airborne very long? For instance, if someone had shot a gun in here ten weeks ago, I'm not going to walk through that air and get gunpowder residue?
  - A Correct, but you still could get a false positive.

- Which has nothing to do with that? 1 Q That's correct. 2 Α And I'm not saying this would be the end of an 3 Q investigation, but in this case, you said that you did not 4 test Gibson or request that Gibson be tested because you concluded he was in the area, close proximity to a lot of 6 qunfire? That's correct. 8 Α And that conclusion was based on what? Q From witness statements and knowing the scene. 10 Α And there's one person who you talked to directly 11 Q 12 that day who could have told you that, right? 13 Correct, Joey. Α
  - Q And according to him, Gibson was close to the gunfire?
    - A I believe they were standing by each other when it started, and then he moved to the other side, and then down the hallway with him.
      - Q You had no evidence though that Gibson ever shot?
  - A I had evidence that Gibson didn't shoot -- well, I had Joey Larsen telling me that Monty Gibson did not fire a weapon.
  - Q Nevertheless, evidence showed two guns from inside the house were fired?
- 25 A That's correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Q And at least according to Larsen, he fired them 1 2 both? 3 Α Yes. So, based on that, it's -- it's -- is it hard to 4 Q 5 conclude that he was right there with the gunfire? I would say he was in close enough proximity to the 6 Α gunfire that I didn't want to have his hands tested for gunshot residue because I thought it would be a worthless 8 examination. You'd agree though if Joey Larsen's word wasn't 10 true, Gibson could have been in the backyard or at the 11 neighbor's house at the time of the shooting -- initial 12 shooting? 13 If he was at the neighbor's house, he'd be alive 14 Α today. 15 Perhaps, perhaps. Could have been upstairs when 16 Q 17 Joey Larsen fired those two guns? If he was upstairs, he wouldn't be down dead in the 18 Α doorway. 19 Well, let's break that down. The initial shots are 20 Q fired when the door is kicked in, right? 22 Correct. Α 23 Then you had information that there was a fair Q 24 amount of break from then to when Gibson approached the door? 25 Yes. Α

You can't tell me he wasn't upstairs before he 1 Q 2 approached that door at that point in time? I can't tell you that he wasn't. 3 Α Right. 4 Q 5 And I can't tell you that he was. Α Exactly, and that's all -- that's all I was trying 6 Q Talking about the interview of Figueroa on October 24th, you talked a little bit on direct about things you've 8 learned to do when interviewing people? 10 Α Yes. I don't want to put a label on them, but tactics? 11 Q Is that -- tools? How about tools? 12 It's -- it's method, I guess. Some people are a lot 13 Α 14 better at it than I am. Right. And this is something that's taught, 15 Q interrogation tools? 16 17 Yes, I've been to some interview and interrogation Α classes through my career. 18 19 And I'm sure it's something you've learned from Q watching other people do it during --20 21 Yes. 22 Right. And some things prove successful over time, Q 23 and some things don't? 24 That's correct. Α 25 And the point in using these methods of Q

interrogation, to get people to talk, right? 1 2 Α Yes. Q And a case is always easier to solve if a person in 3 their own words tells you they did it? 4 5 Α Yes. And these different methods of interrogation are 6 Q very effective for law enforcement so long as the information you're getting from the person is true and accurate? That's correct. Α Confession methods that produce unreliable 10 Q confessions aren't helping --11 12 That's --Α -- the justice system? 13 Q 14 Correct. Α And that's something you've been trained to watch 15 Q out for, right? 16 17 Yes. Α And there's certain tactics that might cross that 18 Q line and make that risk too high to make it worth it? 19 I don't follow your question, but --20 Α No problem. I'll get into more specifics. Yesterday, you were talking about a method being suggesting 22 23 you have false evidence. Suggesting we have evidence, yes. 24 Α 25 That you don't? Q

- Α That we don't have. 1 2 And like the textbook old fashioned example is you Q walk into the interview with a big folder of blank paper to the suspect, and you say, Johnny, we know you're guilty? 4 5 Yeah, well, I wish it was that easy. Α But -- but yeah, that's the general example you hear 6 Q in the first book on the subject? 8 Α Yes. Q And as you said yesterday, that's something you're 9 allowed to do? 10 11 Α Yes. And it's something you did with Figueroa? 12 Q I did. 13 Α Specifically, you did it with Figueroa talking about 14 Q what the other guy was saying? 15 16 That's correct. Α 17 And in your experience, that's proven to be an Q effective tool to get people to talk? 18 From time to time, it's worked. 19 Α And the idea is the paranoia will eat them away when 20 Q they're in jail? 22 The idea is they're going to want to tell their side Α 23 of the story so their co-conspirator or friend isn't the only
  - Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And it also puts a time crunch on their mental

one out there with a story.

24

25

process, doesn't it? 1 I -- I wouldn't be able to speak to that. 2 But comparing that to a situation, you would never 3 Q put a gun to a suspect's head and say, tell me what you did, right? Absolutely not. 6 Α That would be an example of interrogation methods Q that are a little too coercive? 8 Yes. 9 Α And that's all I was trying to say. You also talked 10 Q a little bit about kind of theme development, and that's 11 something that you'll employ during interrogation? 12 13 Α Yes. And what that means, at least in this case with Mr. 14 Q 15 Figueroa on 10/20 through 10/24, is to let him know that you believe his role was minor? 16 17 Α Yes. And that his story was understandable as to how he 18 Q got involved in this mess? 19 20 Α Yes. You had no idea if those things were true? 21 22 Pardon me? Α 23 You had no idea if those things were true when you Q 24 said them? 25 That's correct. Α

And again, that's something that's hopeful to get 1 Q them speaking? 2 3 Α Yes. Did that work with Figueroa on 10/20? 4 Q 5 Well, he gave us a statement and told us what he did Α and what -- what his co-conspirators did, yes. 6 Q And you very quickly had made it clear to him that you thought that -- on 10/20, sir. On 10/20. 8 Oh, on 10/20. Oh, I'm sorry. On 10/20, no, he lied Α 10 to us. Q And you made it clear to him you thought he was 11 12 lying? 13 Yes. Α And you put some of these pressures or these 14 Q different techniques on him at that point? 15 Yes, we did. 16 Α 17 Q When a suspect requests a lawyer, there's special rules about what that means, right? 18 19 Α Yes. And if they clearly state they want a lawyer, what's 20 Q that mean? 22 Α We don't -- we -- we don't ask them anymore 23 questions regarding the crimes, things like that. 24 Q If they choose thereafter to reach out to you, the 25 suspect, you're allowed to talk to them again?

That's correct. 1 Α But you're not allowed to knock on their cell door 2 Q the next day if no one's asked you to and start hitting them with questions again? 5 That's correct. Α And that's how that interview on 10/20 ended with 6 0 Figueroa? 8 Yes. Α And when 10/23 rolled around and you guys went back 9 Q to see him, it was because he asked? 10 That's correct. 11 Α 12 And it kind of was put on hold because he still Q wanted the lawyer? 13 That's correct. 14 Α When you interviewed Mr. Murphy, I know it was 15 Q sometime later. Do you recall how that interview ended? 16 Yeah, he was brought down to our homicide office --17 Α Not how it started; how it ended. 18 0 Oh, how it ended. Yeah, I believe he asked for an 19 Α attorney as well. 20 21 Did you stop questioning? I stopped -- I believe I did. I may have asked him 22 Α some questions about tattoo -- or some other things. I'm not 23 24 sure.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

By the time you interviewed Mr. Murphy, you had

25