

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARTMOR INVESTMENTS, LLC, A
SERIES OF MM HOLDINGS, LLC A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY,

Appellant,

vs.


NYE COUNTY, A GOVERNMENTAL
ENTITY; AND PAUL W. PRUDHONT,
IN HIS CAPACITY AS TREASURER
FOR NYE COUNTY,

Respondents.

No. 82742

FILED

DEC 08 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

The parties have filed a stipulation for a second extension of time for appellant to file a reply brief. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to perform an act, further extensions of time to perform that same act are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of the requested extension. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B), NRAP 31(b)(3)(A)(iv). Appellant previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the reply brief. Accordingly, the current stipulation for an extension of time to file this document is improper. And appellant does not demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances warranting a second extension of time. Nevertheless, in this instance only, the stipulation is approved. Appellant shall have until January 7, 2022, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time will be granted absent demonstration of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. NRAP

26(b)(1)(B). Failure to timely file the reply may be deemed a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

1-Handley, C.J.

cc: The Wright Law Group
Nye County District Attorney