

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FIRST 100, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY; AND FIRST 100
HOLDINGS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY, A/K/A 1ST ONE
HUNDRED HOLDINGS, LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY,

Appellants,

vs.

TGC/FARKAS FUNDING, LLC,
Respondent.

No. 82794

FILED

JUN 21 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

This is an appeal from a postjudgment order denying a motion to enforce a settlement agreement, imposing sanctions, and holding a non-party to be jointly and severally liable for the sanctions. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction, arguing that no appeal lies from an order imposing sanctions. Appellant opposes the motion, and respondent has filed a reply.

While no appeal lies from an order that solely concerns contempt, *see Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Ass'n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 5 P.3d 569, 671 (2000) (recognizing that a contempt order entered in an ancillary proceeding is not appealable), this court does have jurisdiction to consider a contempt finding that is included in an otherwise independently appealable order. *Vaile v. Vaile*, 133 Nev. 213, 217, 369 P.3d 791, 794 (2017); *Lewis v. Lewis*, 132 Nev. 453, 456, 373 P.3d 878, 881 (2016) (considering challenges to contempt findings and sanctions in an order that modified child custody). Because the challenged order appears to be otherwise appealable, this court appears to have jurisdiction, and the

motion to dismiss is denied without prejudice to this court's right to reconsider its jurisdiction as the appeal progresses.

It is so ORDERED.

Cadish, J.
Cadish

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Herndon, J.
Herndon

cc: Maier Gutierrez & Associates
Garman Turner Gordon