IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIS OF ARIZONA, INC.; and WILLIS TOWERS WATSON INSURANCE SERVICES WEST. INC.,

Appellants,

v.

HAKKASAN USA, INC.,

Respondent.

Supreme Court Case No.: 82829

(consolidated with Case No. 82833)

Electronically Filed

Clerk of Supreme Court

Appeal from Eighth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, County of Clark The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez, District Judge

UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES RE: REPLY BRIEF, TO OPPOSE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL, AND RESPONDENT'S REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION TO **DISMISS APPEAL**

> Patrick J. Reilly, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 6103) BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

> > Tel: 702.382.2101 / Fax: 702.382.8135

Email: preilly@bhfs.com

Edward J. Baines (admitted *pro hac vice*) Zachary W. Berk (admitted *pro hac vice*) SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP

> 500 E. Pratt Street, Suite 900 Baltimore, MD 21202-3133

Email: ted.baines@saul.com Email: zachary.berk@saul.com

Attorneys for Willis of Arizona, Inc. and Willis Towers Watson Insurance Services West, Inc. Appellants Willis of Arizona, Inc. and Willis Towers Watson Insurance Services West, Inc. (collectively "Willis") hereby move to extend the deadlines up to and including January 3, 2022, to (1) file the NRAP 28(c) reply brief in this appeal; and (2) oppose Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Appeal. The parties have met and conferred, and *this Motion is unopposed* by Respondent Hakkasan USA, Inc. ("Hakkasan").¹

DATED this 11th day of November, 2021.

/s/ Patrick J. Reilly
Patrick J. Reilly
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

Edward J. Baines (admitted *pro hac vice*) SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP 500 E. Pratt Street, Suite 900 Baltimore, MD 21202-3133

Zachary W. Berk (admitted *pro hac vice*) SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP 131 Dartmouth Street, Suite 501 Boston, MA 02116

Attorneys for Willis of Arizona, Inc. and Willis Towers Watson Insurance Services West. Inc.

1

¹ Hakkasan has advised it consents to this extension of time. In doing so, no statements/arguments made herein are adopted by Hakkasan. Willis also consents/stipulates to a fourteen (14) day extension of time for Hakkasan to file its Reply to the Response to Motion to Dismiss (e.g. January 24, 2022, which is twenty-one (21) days from the Response due date). If necessary, the parties will submit a Separate Stipulation concerning the Reply.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

This appeal and Docket No. 82833 arise out of the same order in the same lower court case interpreting the same dispute resolution provision. In the lower court proceeding, Willis moved to strike Hakkasan's jury demand or, in the alternative, compel arbitration of all claims asserted against Willis based upon language contained in certain "Brokerage Terms, Conditions & Disclosures" between the parties the ("Motion to Enforce"). The lower court denied Willis' Motion to Enforce, prompting (1) this appeal based upon the lower court's refusal to compel arbitration; and (2) the filing of a writ petition in Case No. 82833 based upon the lower court's failure to strike the jury demand. This Court consolidated the appeal and writ petition, and directed Hakkasan to answer the writ petition.

On November 3, 2021, Hakkasan filed a combined response to the writ petition and Willis' Opening Brief. Separately, Hakkasan moved to dismiss the appeal. The deadline for Willis to file its NRAP 28(c) reply brief is currently December 3, 2021, and the deadline to oppose the Motion to Dismiss Appeal fell on November 10, 2021.

On November 8, 2021, the undersigned counsel contacted counsel for Hakksan to request an extension of time to January 3, 2022, to respond to the Motion to Dismiss Appeal and to concurrently file its reply brief in this appeal. Hakkasan assured Willis an extension of some kind would be agreed to, and over the next

several days, the parties simultaneously discussed extending certain discovery deadlines before the lower court. On November 11, 2021, the parties agreed that Willis could have an extension of time up to and including January 3, 2022, to file its substantive reply brief and to oppose Hakkasan's Motion to Dismiss Appeal. However, they were not able to finalize the details for that agreed extension until today.

Counsel believe that coordinating these briefing deadlines will serve the interests of judicial economy, as the subjects contained in Hakksan's Answering Brief and its Motion to Dismiss Appeal contain related and overlapping issues. Indeed, Hakkasan's substantive Answering Brief devotes substantial argument to the very same issues raised in the Motion to Dismiss Appeal. To that extent, the briefing is inextricably intertwined.

As this Motion is unopposed, Willis respectfully requests that this Court extend up to and including January 3, 2022, the deadlines for Willis to file its substantive reply brief, and to oppose Hakkasan's Motion to Dismiss Appeal.

///

///

///

///

///

Willis thanks the Court for its time and attention to this matter.

DATED this 11th day of November, 2021.

/s/ Patrick J. Reilly
Patrick J. Reilly
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER
SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

Edward J. Baines (admitted *pro hac vice*) SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP 500 E. Pratt Street, Suite 900 Baltimore, MD 21202-3133

Zachary W. Berk (admitted *pro hac vice*) SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP 131 Dartmouth Street, Suite 501 Boston, MA 02116

Attorneys for Willis of Arizona, Inc. and Willis Towers Watson Insurance Services West, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 25(b), I certify that I am an employee of BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP, and that the foregoing UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES RE: REPLY BRIEF, TO OPPOSE RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL, AND RESPONDENT'S REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS was served by submitting electronically for filing and/or service with Supreme Court of Nevada's EFlex Filing system and serving all parties with an email address on record, as indicated below, pursuant to Rule 8 of the N.E.F.C.R. on the 11th day of November, 2021, to the addresses shown below:

James E. Whitmire, III
SANTORO WHITMIRE
10100 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 250
Las Vegas, NV 89135
jwhitmire@santoronevada.com

Amy M. Samberg
Dylan P. Todd
CLYDE & CO. US LLP
3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Ste. 500
Las Vegas, NV 89169
Amy.Samberg@clydeco.us
Dylan.Todd@clydeco.us

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
Danielle L. Gilmore (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Dakota S. Speas (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
daniellegilmore@quinnemanuel.com
dakotaspeas@quinnemanuel.com

Heidi H. Raschke (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Steven J. Brodie (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.
4221 W. Boy Scout Blvd., Suite 1000
Tampa, FL 33607

Attorneys for Sompo International Holdings Ltd. and Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company Allison Huebert (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Athena Dalton (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606 allisonhuebert@quinnemanuel.com athenadalton@quinnemanuel.com

William A. Burck (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) 1300 I St., N.W., Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005 williamburck@quinnemanuel.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Hakkasan USA, Inc.

/s/ Mary Barnes

An employee of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP