

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIS OF ARIZONA, INC., AN  
ARIZONA CORPORATION; AND  
WILLIS TOWERS WATSON  
INSURANCE SERVICES WEST, INC., A  
CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,

Appellants,

vs.

HAKKASAN USA, INC., A DELAWARE  
CORPORATION,

Respondent.

WILLIS OF ARIZONA, INC.; AND  
WILLIS TOWERS WATSON  
INSURANCE SERVICES WEST, INC.,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,  
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

HAKKASAN USA, INC.; ENDURANCE  
AMERICAN SPECIALITY INSURANCE  
COMPANY; AND SOMPO  
INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LTD.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 82829

**FILED**

NOV 16 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *S. V. V. V.*  
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 82833


*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Appellants in Docket No. 82829 and petitioners in Docket No. 82833 (collectively Willis) have filed an untimely motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief and an opposition to the motion to dismiss. Pursuant to this court's May 28, 2021, order, Willis' combined reply brief in Docket No. 82829 and reply in support of the petition in Docket No. 82833

was due to be filed within 30 days of service of either Hakkasan USA, Inc.'s combined brief or the answer of the remaining real parties in interest Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company and Sampo International Holdings, Ltd., whichever is latest-served. Hakkasan USA's combined brief was filed on November 3, 2021. However, to date, Specialty Insurance Company and Sampo International Holdings have not filed and served their answer to the petition or otherwise communicated with this court. Accordingly, Willis's combined brief is not yet due and the motion for an extension of time to file that document is premature. Under these circumstances, this court orders as follows.

Specialty Insurance Company and Sampo International Holdings shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve their answer on behalf of respondents, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ in Docket No. 82833. Willis shall have 30 days from service of the answer to file and serve a combined reply brief in Docket No. 82829 and reply in support of the petition in Docket No. 82833. Willis's motion for an extension of time is granted with respect to the opposition to the motion to dismiss. Willis shall have until January 3, 2022, to file and serve any opposition to the motion to dismiss.<sup>1</sup> Failure by any party to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

---

<sup>1</sup>Willis states it consents to an extension of time for Hakkasan to file its reply in support of the motion to dismiss. As Hakkasan has not filed a motion for an extension of time to file the reply, and any such motion would be premature where the opposition has not yet been filed, this court takes no action on Willis's statement.

cc: Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr, LLP/Baltimore  
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas  
Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr, LLP/Boston  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP/Chicago  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP/Los Angeles  
Santoro Whitmire  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP/Washington DC  
Foran Glennon Palandech Ponzi & Rudloff, PC  
Carlton Fields, P.A.