

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIS OF ARIZONA, INC., AN
ARIZONA CORPORATION; AND
WILLIS TOWERS WATSON
INSURANCE SERVICES WEST, INC., A
CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,

Appellants,

vs.

HAKKASAN USA, INC., A DELAWARE
CORPORATION,

Respondent.

WILLIS OF ARIZONA, INC.; AND
WILLIS TOWERS WATSON
INSURANCE SERVICES WEST, INC.,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

HAKKASAN USA, INC.; ENDURANCE
AMERICAN SPECIALITY INSURANCE
COMPANY; AND SOMPO
INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LTD.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 82829

FILED

NOV 16 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *S. J. J. J.*
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 82833


ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellants in Docket No. 82829 and petitioners in Docket No. 82833 (collectively Willis) have filed an untimely motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief and an opposition to the motion to dismiss. Pursuant to this court's May 28, 2021, order, Willis' combined reply brief in Docket No. 82829 and reply in support of the petition in Docket No. 82833

was due to be filed within 30 days of service of either Hakkasan USA, Inc.'s combined brief or the answer of the remaining real parties in interest Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company and Sompo International Holdings, Ltd., whichever is latest-served. Hakkasan USA's combined brief was filed on November 3, 2021. However, to date, Specialty Insurance Company and Sompo International Holdings have not filed and served their answer to the petition or otherwise communicated with this court. Accordingly, Willis's combined brief is not yet due and the motion for an extension of time to file that document is premature. Under these circumstances, this court orders as follows.

Specialty Insurance Company and Sompo International Holdings shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve their answer on behalf of respondents, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ in Docket No. 82833. Willis shall have 30 days from service of the answer to file and serve a combined reply brief in Docket No. 82829 and reply in support of the petition in Docket No. 82833. Willis's motion for an extension of time is granted with respect to the opposition to the motion to dismiss. Willis shall have until January 3, 2022, to file and serve any opposition to the motion to dismiss.¹ Failure by any party to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

¹Willis states it consents to an extension of time for Hakkasan to file its reply in support of the motion to dismiss. As Hakkasan has not filed a motion for an extension of time to file the reply, and any such motion would be premature where the opposition has not yet been filed, this court takes no action on Willis's statement.

cc: Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr, LLP/Baltimore
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas
Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr, LLP/Boston
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP/Chicago
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP/Los Angeles
Santoro Whitmire
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP/Washington DC
Foran Glennon Palandech Ponzi & Rudloff, PC
Carlton Fields, P.A.