IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF STYLE,

Appellant,

VS.

DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS,

Respondent.

No. 82864

FILED

SEP 28 2021

CLERIZASIFITH A. BROWN
CLERIZASIFITH A. BROWN
BY Y CLERY
BY Y CLERY

ORDER DISAPPROVING STIPULATION

The parties have filed a stipulation for a second extension of time for appellant to file the opening brief. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to perform an act, further extensions of time to perform that same act are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of the requested extension. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B); NRAP 31(b)(3)(A)(iv). Appellant previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the opening brief. Accordingly, the current stipulation for an extension of time to file the opening brief is improper. And appellant fails to demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of a second extension of time. Accordingly, the stipulation is disapproved.

Appellant shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of this appeal. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

/ Sardesty, C.J

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

21-27853

cc: Hutchison & Steffen, LLC/Reno State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry/Div of Industrial Relations/Las Vegas

(O) 1947A