## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SPANISH HEIGHTS ACQUISITION COMPANY, LLC; SJC VENTURES HOLDING COMPANY, LLC,

Appellants
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CBC PARTNERS I, LLC; CBC PARTNERS, LLC 5148 SPANISH HEIGHTS, LLC; KENNETH ANTOS AND SHEILA NEUMAN-ANTOS; DACIA, LLC

Case No. 82868
Electronically Filed Nov 102021 01:25 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Respondents.

> ApPEAL from a decision in favor of Respondent entered by the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez, District Court Judge
> District Court Case No. A-20-813439-B
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interfacing [1] 61/18
interim [1] 271/4 interpret [2] 151/11 151/13
interpretation [2]
202/2 203/23
interpreted [2] 6/21
50/8
interrupt [1] 244/9 interrupted [1] 10/1 interruption [1] 135/24 intervene [6] 163/9 233/6 254/14 254/17 278/12 278/14
intervener [1] 277/23
intervenes [1] 163/4
intervention [5] 54/3
272/1 278/16 278/24

279/2
into [32] 5/14 9/3 16/25
18/6 22/6 22/21 27/24
31/19 38/14 44/11 45/6 64/18 64/19 80/14 84/24 85/23 85/25
86/17 96/14 122/15 139/23 160/9 181/16 196/8 200/13 213/6 213/20 227/12 229/3 238/22 262/25 266/7 introduced [7] 30/20 31/1 91/8 93/23 93/25 94/2 221/7
inventory [1] 154/3 investor [7] 38/5 38/6 81/7 81/9 81/14 82/23 85/7 invitation [2] 163/21 164/4
invoices [3] 133/18 134/14 226/24 invoke [5] 12/20 12/22 18/15 18/18 264/24 invoked [1] 14/2 involved [3] 28/1 115/1 123/14
irreparable [15] 44/13 44/14 203/14 213/4 237/19 238/9 255/25
263/7 263/14 263/25 263/25 264/3 264/7 264/13 269/17
irreparably [5] 16/5 129/15 198/5 202/8 203/7
irrevocable [3] 29/5 37/15 154/12
irrevocably [1] 38/5 is [831]
Isaiah [2] 176/15 177/14
isn't [39] 17/5 68/20 78/16 79/22 81/23 84/18 84/25 89/9 92/10 106/15 116/13 116/19 122/24 126/3 126/7 126/12 126/18 145/11 148/5 148/12 149/7 154/20 155/21 156/17 157/22 158/12 159/5 161/11 166/9 167/18 174/6 182/18 190/1 191/11 206/12 215/8 220/15 222/4 276/21 isotope [2] 96/7 213/15 issue [32] 4/9 4/12 7/3 17/21 19/12 44/19 59/5 62/11 81/12 84/1
121/19 127/21 154/16 158/24 167/3 183/13 191/20 202/15 206/3 238/8 265/10 265/25 271/18 271/24 272/3 272/4 273/15 275/12 276/14 279/1 279/7 279/22
issued [19] 32/23 43/9 47/12 48/19 48/2AA3002
issued... [14] 53/18 60/6 62/17 63/7 95/6 126/9 126/13 167/4 171/3 198/17 227/13 227/14 255/7 267/6 issues [31] 7/5 31/24 38/10 38/10 38/11 38/18 44/22 45/10 45/11 51/13 64/8 64/10 65/3 105/4 138/15 138/24 140/13 160/25 168/16 183/19 207/20 207/23 213/11 254/24 261/24 269/11 269/16 272/14 272/15 273/19 279/20
issuing [1] 115/22 it [690]
it'll [3] 166/1 259/19 266/18
it's [227] 4/10 6/19 7/18 7/20 9/19 10/3 11/10 11/10 14/20 15/3 16/16 18/9 18/9 21/23 22/3 29/19 30/5 33/1 34/12 34/13 37/15 42/19 43/9 43/23 44/6 44/9 44/18 44/19 45/2 45/15 50/15 51/22 52/13 52/20 53/12 58/20 58/20 58/20 58/24 59/17 60/21 61/3 61/4 61/19 63/13 63/21 65/15 70/7 71/17 71/17 71/18 71/23 73/2 74/2 78/15 78/21 78/24 80/1 80/1 80/7 80/7 80/8 80/14 83/7 83/8 83/24
84/9 85/11 85/14 91/12 91/23 92/1 94/1 94/21 94/24 94/25 96/5 96/7 96/13 96/14 96/15 96/17 98/21 99/10 99/14 100/5 101/18 103/3 104/23 105/8 105/8 108/23 109/12 112/1 113/19 115/19 117/12 121/1 121/5 121/7 121/11 122/12 122/17 122/19 124/13 125/19 125/20 129/2 129/4 129/5 130/25 131/17 131/18 132/21 132/25 133/1 136/8 137/14 140/2 143/9 145/6 146/7 147/3 147/4 147/4 147/7 147/18 148/19 149/18 154/19 154/19 155/22 156/8 156/10 156/18 156/18 156/23 156/24 157/1 157/1 157/5 157/19 157/20 158/23 161/17 161/21 163/8 163/12 165/6 165/21 165/22 165/24 166/23 173/3 174/21 175/14

176/2 178/2 178/2 179/2 179/6 181/9 181/21 181/25 182/5 182/23 182/24 186/22 188/5 193/23 199/16 200/20 203/1 205/3 205/10 206/19 207/3 208/16 209/17 211/12 214/17 218/7 218/10 219/3 219/3 219/3 220/11 228/20 234/6 234/12 239/13 243/23 245/11 250/14 252/17 252/20 253/23 255/4 255/13 258/20 259/2 259/14 259/18 259/19 259/20 260/4 261/3 261/6 261/10 262/3 262/20 262/23 262/24 263/7 263/15 263/20 263/20 263/22 266/10 266/20 266/24 268/24 270/4 276/24 278/14 278/23 279/6
item [3] 140/17 261/11 263/5
items [8] 39/2 64/10 150/3 154/3 166/24 167/1 258/24 263/2 its [50] 6/21 9/21 31/14 31/23 35/12 37/8 41/2 51/5 67/11 71/1 77/3 79/19 79/24 81/13 92/16 94/6 94/8 94/24 95/12 97/9 97/14 97/19 97/24 98/9 98/10 100/6 100/10 100/10 101/23 158/2 184/5 191/12 191/13 193/11 195/17 207/19 209/7 209/14 210/15 210/18 214/18 218/13 219/16 219/24 220/12 229/25 263/1 264/16 269/8 270/12 itself [5] 38/22 55/11 71/22 76/1 178/13

## J

J.P [3] 24/22 25/13 25/13
J001 [1] 151/18 Jack [1] 160/9 January [14] 16/1 16/16 46/9 46/10 48/18 48/20 84/18 116/3 125/8 126/3 126/5 126/6 127/11 225/17 Jay [12] 6/6 20/3 21/16 21/21 70/4 79/10 79/13 79/19 79/24 90/24 99/25 195/22
JC [1] 38/6
JD [1] 1/25
Jean [2] 273/13 273/14
Jeff [1] 132/7
JILL [4] 1/24 11/16
13/3 20/6
Jim [2] 59/1 59/2
Joan [1] 273/13
job [3] 61/16 263/13 268/24
Joe [3] 55/20 142/22 176/7
John [3] 39/23 41/6 235/5
joint [14] 20/12 21/1 47/8 48/10 52/22 55/17 65/10 68/19 68/22 68/23 133/24 186/11 187/4 262/3
JOSEPH [4] 1/18 6/3 18/17 173/17 judge [56] 1/13 4/8 4/13 5/9 7/16 8/23 9/4 9/6 10/3 10/25 14/7 14/14 14/24 15/9 17/7 17/19 18/22 40/15 117/22 118/6 118/17 118/18 128/22 146/2 156/21 165/20 165/24 172/24 177/6 199/11 199/20 213/19 217/22 228/24 235/12 258/3 258/20 260/13 260/17 261/5 262/1 262/5 262/8 262/17 263/5 265/2 265/13 266/23 268/4 268/24 272/22 273/9 274/17 275/25 276/7 277/3
judge's [1] 267/6 judgment [34] 18/7 30/12 31/15 31/17 32/15 32/16 32/17 32/19 32/21 33/4 33/8 33/14 36/6 36/7 75/6 75/10 76/1 95/11 95/13 101/22 102/20 113/12 191/14 209/5 209/7 209/16 214/5 214/9 225/8 227/5 228/2 232/13 232/16 276/6 judgments [1] 259/24 judicial [10] 4/20 9/2 16/9 26/21 32/24 259/6 259/6 262/10 265/14 266/13
judiciary [1] 60/16 July [18] 44/8 58/10 58/12 58/12 59/3 59/6 60/10 68/16 68/19 68/24 215/5 215/8 215/16 215/19 240/12 242/19 262/2 273/3 July 1 [1] 273/3 June [7] 134/3 134/7 183/17 183/20 226/6 226/10 278/2
June 17 [1] 134/7 June 30 [1] 183/20
June 5 [1] 278/2
just [113] 5/20 13/14 14/16 19/4 19/6 19/6 19/8 19/12 22/5 22/25 24/18 25/18 28/18 36/9 39/1 44/9 45/14 48/13 49/16 49/22 50/3 54/15 55/20 58/20 63/8 64/11

64/22 66/24 70/7 71/20 72/10 74/3 79/19 80/6 81/22 83/19 86/3 86/22 87/1 94/13 97/17 98/4 100/17 102/14 109/3 112/15 113/23 119/3 119/11 122/23 124/9 127/21 128/12 139/20 142/8 142/19 147/8 155/13 156/20 158/18 167/15 171/16 177/8 179/5 181/8 181/9 185/25 189/5 190/9 192/9 194/20 199/20 202/3 203/1 205/20 206/16 215/5 221/19 223/5 226/3 226/5 229/21 232/5 236/17 243/13 245/8 249/6 250/18 252/8 254/25 259/2 259/17 259/18 259/20 260/4 260/13 260/14 261/10 261/19 261/25 262/14 267/21 268/9 274/14 275/16 276/1 276/5 276/7 276/23 276/24 277/2 277/3 277/8
justice [1] 202/20

## K

K001 [3] 250/4 250/14 250/15
K1 [1] 152/1
keep [10] 22/1 22/3 24/6 26/8 118/2 119/5 128/5 142/23 149/15 274/15
Ken [4] 30/5 36/22 221/8 238/17
Kenneth [5] 11/18 11/19 153/18 245/18 246/1
kept [3] 178/9 189/18 236/9
kick [1] 19/21 kilograms [1] 96/2 kind [7] 45/14 96/6 103/20 115/15 172/17 175/14 274/22
kindergarten [1] 250/2 kinds [1] 65/3
Kirkland [1] 218/4 kitchen [1] 132/4 KK [2] 250/17 250/18
knew [5] 237/2 255/22 258/11 258/12 263/10 know [119] 4/16 5/11 6/24 13/16 13/22 19/6 19/7 30/4 34/12 38/11 40/16 42/6 42/9 43/5 43/17 44/23 45/10 45/12 51/3 57/2 58/3 58/5 58/18 58/20 61/4 61/15 61/18 63/9 64/12 64/13 64/22 64/23 66/5 67/25 68/1 68/3 74/10 84/8 84/22 105/5
108/21 111/23 112/5

118/10 120/7 120/9 120/15 121/1 122/6 122/18 122/19 122/21 122/21 123/4 123/12 123/13 123/20 124/23 126/24 129/12 132/10 133/2 133/8 140/20 141/1 143/6 143/10 144/17 145/6 145/22 146/2 147/24 149/8 157/3 158/20 165/22 166/12 167/22 170/15 172/19 176/6 176/21 177/14 177/16 177/18 177/20 181/4 185/25 187/22 188/5 189/18 190/9 200/24 201/15 202/25 203/24 205/24 221/13 221/21 222/23 222/25 223/11 226/9 233/8 233/21 234/17 234/17 234/17 237/24 238/7 244/23 247/9 249/14 253/23 257/3 261/19 273/11 274/4 278/24
knowing [1] 189/2 knowledge [9] 15/22 62/19 74/12 189/8 189/18 189/20 226/15 227/16 233/16 known [1] 206/17 knows [2] 19/13 90/15 L
L1 [1] 251/8
lab [2] 61/2 61/3 lack[3] 9/5 169/6 265/2
lacks [1] 265/6
laid [2] 6/12 55/13
landlord [9] 192/17 192/19 193/12 193/13 193/17 193/19 193/25 194/2 269/19
landowners [1] 276/15 landscape [1] 131/11 language [34] 46/25 47/1 59/20 69/3 76/2 91/7 91/9 92/2 92/7 94/1 94/4 94/18 121/8 125/19 130/6 141/21 144/21 155/20 156/6 156/17 156/25 157/3 158/12 158/13 166/14 166/17 170/2 204/1 204/2 204/13 209/8 211/10 255/1 264/5 large [3] 31/15 44/18 273/16
LAS [4] 3/18 26/24 96/5 281/12
last [17] 16/10 18/4 24/24 25/1 60/6 84/2 98/5 138/17 148/10 158/6 232/3 234/21 259/8 261/11 263/5 265/13 278/17
lasted [1] 244/5 AA3003
late [2] 24/25 48/18 later [21] 14/23 47/5 51/9 52/1 57/3 73/25 74/18 82/2 89/16 100/18 121/20 164/25 178/16 192/12 200/15 212/5 243/4 259/1 265/19 266/17 279/21
launched [1] 65/20
law [6] 43/21 52/2 95/10 180/4 196/25 256/20
lawfully [1] 74/21 lawlessness [1] 268/17
lawsuit [1] 233/14
lawyer [1] 196/23
lay [3] 109/20 190/16 190/24
lead [2] 225/7 231/1
leading [1] 227/9
leak [9] 136/19 137/8 137/13 137/13 137/15 137/16 137/19 138/23 139/4
leaking [1] 138/22 leaks [2] 138/21 140/8 lean [1] 22/5
learn [2] 7/1 210/18 learned [2] 46/8 88/15 learner's [1] 58/5 lease [72] 6/17 8/2 8/2 29/8 29/17 39/3 39/7 39/10 39/11 39/14 39/19 39/20 39/22 39/23 39/24 39/25 40/1 41/9 41/10 41/15 41/17 41/24 42/2 46/6 54/23 55/6 55/6 55/25 56/6 56/7 56/8 56/8 56/14 56/16 57/5 57/12 67/9 67/10 80/19 83/21 89/8 89/12 89/15 90/14 90/15 96/18 97/10 97/13 106/25 114/15 154/10 160/19 161/3 162/4 169/1 180/16 180/21 191/9 191/11 192/16 192/21 193/1 193/4 194/17 194/18 194/22 194/24 195/11 195/18 230/17 236/23 275/22
leases [2] 231/1 237/1 least [13] 32/6 97/14 119/12 134/16 172/10 193/13 194/1 242/8 263/23 266/16 268/15 272/10 273/1
leave [7] 15/15 154/25 155/8 158/6 176/1 273/12 277/14
leaving [1] 32/1
led [1] 43/11
left [9] 14/6 24/25
30/16 66/1 128/10 156/17 158/12 191/7

214/21
legacy [7] 69/3 91/7 91/9 92/2 92/7 94/1 209/8
legal [3] 158/20 168/13 233/8
leisure [1] 180/2 lender [10] 29/22 31/23 31/24 35/13 51/12 51/14 162/9 201/18 207/20 207/21
lender's [2] 228/9 232/15
length [1] 9/3
less [3] 32/5 140/23 182/24
let [28] 4/16 5/9 13/2 13/3 13/4 13/22 67/25 68/3 84/5 84/23 86/7 109/20 128/21 128/23 129/14 130/12 147/1 177/12 180/20 187/22 188/5 204/1 204/7 204/9 221/21 239/1 260/3 277/14
let's [103] 10/24 24/3 69/1 69/6 70/18 72/22 74/19 75/5 76/4 76/14 76/18 76/24 77/7 77/17 78/8 80/6 81/6 82/1 82/7 82/18 87/8 88/11 89/17 90/15 91/14 91/23 96/18 98/6 98/14 99/15 99/24 100/20 101/5 102/22 105/17 106/7 106/24 107/20 108/19 109/6 109/8 114/17 116/1 116/22 119/11 121/19 124/24 128/8 128/8 129/14 130/2 130/24 131/11 131/21 132/3 132/14 132/20 134/2 135/9 135/25 136/11 136/18 137/19 138/8 138/17 141/15 143/24 145/14 146/14 146/16 146/22 146/24 147/9 148/1 148/6 148/8 149/10 151/15 151/24 153/2 154/24 157/24 164/8 167/10 167/12 169/15 170/11 170/17 171/25 172/13 173/16 173/20 177/12 181/8 186/13 192/13 193/6 224/5 229/4 230/13 234/20 257/1 271/2
letter [113] 10/2 10/8 10/21 52/10 52/25 53/1 92/18 93/2 95/7 103/5 109/10 113/11 114/11 114/16 115/5 115/17 128/9 128/13 128/15 128/16 129/16 135/6 141/18 141/20 143/6 143/22 144/23 145/3 145/4 145/5 145/6 146/20 146/23 147/3

147/9 147/18 147/22 148/6 148/6 148/9 148/9 148/10 148/14 148/19 148/25 149/1 149/8 149/21 150/4 150/11 150/23 151/8 151/10 151/16 151/17 151/20 152/5 152/16 152/17 152/17 152/18 152/19 152/21 153/19 155/10 156/6 156/12
157/25 158/1 160/13
160/16 160/17 160/24
164/15 164/20 165/5
167/11 167/17 167/19
168/2 169/13 169/16
169/20 171/18 175/2
180/7 180/12 181/2
181/10 204/13 204/19
205/1 210/23 211/1
211/14 212/14 212/15
212/24 227/4 233/22
233/25 234/2 234/3
234/5 234/12 234/18
250/9 250/12 250/15
250/16 250/22 256/25 257/22
letters [11] 34/6 53/3
129/14 167/16 167/25 204/1 204/2 233/19 269/9 269/13 269/13 level [3] 43/25 58/7 255/23
leveled [1] 169/25 liability [4] 31/24 80/7 80/19 207/20
license [6] 17/4 205/19
205/21 205/23 205/25
206/6
licensed [3] 159/5 205/17 218/5
licensing [1] 206/4 lie [1] $258 / 10$
lien [20] 30/9 30/10 30/13 48/16 126/13 126/15 126/22 159/10 159/14 159/17 193/11 193/18 194/25 215/3 215/4 261/15 261/17
262/5 262/6 272/24
liened [1] 159/1
liens [10] 17/3 25/9
25/12 30/7 30/12 45/23
46/1 46/5 67/3 193/8
lies [1] 179/15
lieu [8] 49/23 51/22 51/25 86/17 86/21
112/23 112/25 212/3
life [2] 35/8 256/9
lift [1] 64/19
lifted [8] 45/17 66/25
253/22 253/23 255/9
256/9 257/18 270/10
light [5] 53/11 61/17
141/11 141/12 141/13
lightbulbs [1] 132/1
lights [3] 131/22
131/22 141/11
like [56] 4/11 5/6 25/22

38/11 38/23 40/5 43/15 51/22 69/15 70/18 73/14 84/8 90/23 92/20 93/21 96/13 118/22 122/13 124/16 133/4 146/5 146/6 146/6 146/15 154/1 165/8 165/22 165/23 172/24 178/5 186/21 187/21 187/25 191/9 194/4 194/15 194/16 195/24 197/5 208/16 208/16 223/14 225/20 230/2 237/17 237/22 238/13 238/17 241/12 242/15 243/2 243/23 253/7
253/14 262/14 269/3
likelihood [3] 16/6 237/20 264/14 likely [2] 264/1 279/3 Likewise [1] 53/18 limit [2] 70/24 242/6 limited [10] 70/22 70/25 71/18 80/7 80/19 96/14 159/3 183/13 258/21 270/24
line [9] 5/10 136/17 156/18 157/1 157/5 217/8 222/7 239/14 245/13
lines [6] 92/8 156/13 156/14 157/15 157/20 193/9
lingering [2] 4/11 183/19
link [7] 12/14 13/1
19/21 19/22 29/10 29/11 169/20
liquidating [1] 33/7
liquidity [6] 183/18
225/19 226/5 226/8 230/25 235/18
list [9] 43/15 60/4
60/18 68/19 68/21
68/22 68/22 68/24
183/6
listed [3] 31/9 58/25 160/4
listen [6] 4/21 4/22
4/24 23/9 156/11
179/11
listening [3] 12/1 12/3 223/22
lit [3] 243/1 243/18 244/1
litigant [3] 9/7 265/19 266/19
litigated [1] 262/12 litigation [10] 16/16
74/14 95/11 155/6
155/8 163/7 189/5
197/3 209/1 259/5
little [12] 21/23 38/25 45/13 73/13 73/24 78/9 109/20 118/14 122/11 136/8 178/23 224/6
live [6] 26/22 27/4 27/6 200/1 240/7 246/5

259/8
lives [3] 27/7 27/8 240/17
living [3] 15/3 36/23 215/11
LLC [37] 1/5 1/8 16/25 25/15 28/14 28/23 37/18 39/7 42/17 68/13 70/2 70/4 78/8 78/10 78/14 78/15 78/17 78/24 80/8 80/20 90/24 91/17 92/12 98/25 99/25 219/3 219/4 219/5 221/7 221/12 221/14 222/21 229/9 233/4 251/11 252/1 254/5
Ioan [6] 11/13 25/22 71/11 141/23 143/3 218/10
loans [2] 103/21 104/1
located [1] 153/20
locating [1] 78/22
location [1] 143/21
locking [1] 64/17
lockout [1] 155/16
London [1] 226/14
long [18] 7/12 23/17
27/14 42/20 64/24 72/8
72/8 82/23 96/10
101/18 108/22 173/3 173/4 185/21 199/16 199/21 274/4 274/23
longer [2] 47/19 48/22
look [98] 16/7 36/20 69/1 69/6 69/15 70/18 70/19 72/22 73/14 73/24 74/19 75/5 75/17 75/19 76/4 76/14 76/18 81/6 82/18 84/13 87/8 87/12 88/11 90/23 91/14 95/5 96/18 98/14 99/15 99/24 102/22 105/17 106/1 106/7 106/24 108/19 109/8 114/17 124/16 129/14 130/24 131/3 131/11 131/21 132/3 132/20 134/2 135/25 136/18 137/19 137/24 138/8 138/17 141/15 145/14 146/5 146/14 146/22 146/24 147/9 148/1 148/6 148/8 149/10 151/15 151/22 152/1 153/2 157/24 163/19 164/8 170/17 171/25 172/13 173/16 186/13 187/11 187/17 187/22 188/3 188/13 191/16 193/6 194/16 194/16 218/24 225/6 225/8 225/19 229/4 230/13 249/21 250/25 251/4 255/1 265/13 266/10 279/10
looked [4] 146/15 189/9 189/13 278/5
$L$
looking... [5] 65/24 107/2 107/8 119/9 136/22
looks [7] 40/4 146/5 146/6 146/6 186/21 208/16 208/16
loose [1] 138/9
Loosely [1] 77/12
lose [1] 119/1
loses [1] 259/10
lost [5] 198/13 261/18 261/21 261/22 262/12
lot [13] 59/10 64/8
64/23 69/6 96/16 96/16
105/4 160/20 243/16
243/23 272/18 272/21
278/16
louder [1] 22/17
love [2] 187/7 273/6
low [1] 62/11
lower [1] 193/9
lunch [3] 116/2 118/6 118/7
lying [2] 58/20 176/23

## M

ma'am [7] 5/5 14/17 83/3 239/16 244/20 253/2 253/8
made [43] 46/7 46/8 47/12 47/21 51/4 52/4 57/5 57/17 86/2 87/20 89/6 89/17 95/4 95/14 101/20 103/19 103/25 104/5 104/9 111/14 112/18 114/8 117/1 117/15 121/6 121/14 123/12 124/19 127/1 139/13 140/9 142/19 162/13 168/12 172/23 179/3 185/5 195/6 195/6 204/16 223/7 248/24 257/21
Maier [1] 114/18
mail [1] 153/8
main [3] 35/7 232/4
243/18
maintain [8] 45/16
116/19 130/14 163/25
163/25 192/18 264/17
264/22
maintained [4] 61/20
130/5 130/19 185/20
maintaining [1] 67/8
maintenance [1] 67/7
make [35] 4/18 4/19 5/6 5/23 32/4 34/24 36/9 38/25 46/10 46/12 70/6 74/3 74/5 88/20 89/2 89/8 89/12 104/16 119/11 127/2 127/3 127/9 139/3 142/22 144/3 148/11 149/3 154/25 163/19 174/22 194/12 227/8 253/14 260/14 268/9
makes [5] 117/24

121/12 202/8 259/9 262/14
making [6] 48/24 104/2 123/9 123/15 140/11 202/7
man [1] 11/20
manage [2] 27/21 29/2
management [2] 38/3 224/13
manager [29] 6/6 27/8 27/8 28/7 29/6 37/11 37/16 59/1 65/17 68/13 78/7 79/10 79/12 79/14 79/17 79/17 79/19 79/24 79/25 82/22 90/24 96/23 97/1 97/2 97/2 99/25 100/8 154/12 195/22 manages [1] 224/16 managing [2] 78/25 231/19
manifolds [1] 138/20
Mann [1] 173/10 Manufacturers [1] 24/20
many [2] 95/1 264/19
Marathon [1] 79/1 march [83] 10/2 10/3 10/7 10/21 15/16 15/17 16/18 41/4 46/11 46/12 47/11 47/13 48/18 48/20 49/22 50/13 50/13 50/20 54/15 61/22 90/1 90/8 103/5 103/7 113/24 115/8 116/3 124/18 127/17 128/9 129/16 135/6 141/7 146/17 146/19 146/20 146/23 147/3 147/4 147/5 147/9 147/10 147/19 147/22 147/24 147/24 148/4 148/11 148/15 148/17 148/25 149/5 149/19 150/8 151/4 151/8 151/8 151/14 151/18 158/4 158/4 161/9 164/16 164/20 165/5 165/6 166/3 166/5 166/6 166/21 167/7 168/3 168/10 185/8 196/12 205/11 225/21 225/21 225/22 227/9 230/18 257/21 258/18 March 16 [2] 10/3 165/6
March 21 [1] 147/24
March 31 [6] 115/8
148/4 151/4 151/18 161/9 225/22
March of [1] 147/22 Marchman [1] 189/25 mark [3] 117/8 117/16 175/5
marked [5] 130/24 175/25 184/22 187/12 207/12
marketplace [1] 96/14 marshal [2] 96/3 187/7
marshals [4] 33/6
213/19 213/20 213/21
Mary [1] 211/12
mask [16] 21/15 21/22
22/1 23/2 23/24 117/3
117/5 175/9 175/11
175/13 187/24 210/5 210/8 241/20 241/21 273/7
masks [2] 68/2 210/9 master [3] 26/4 137/21 138/19
math [3] 122/10 122/13 124/6
Mathwise [1] 125/1 matter [18] 16/19 58/18 58/18 108/5 144/11 150/21 155/10 158/3 162/4 164/16
165/5 169/22 227/19
240/9 261/2 262/5
273/5 281/5
matters [2] 190/1
263/1
maturation [3] 8/4 9/23 151/17
matured [10] $8 / 18 / 3$
9/11 10/16 168/8 169/2 205/8 205/11 205/12 223/7
matures [1] 225/9 maturity [3] 225/20 230/25 237/3 maximum [1] 59/22 may [47] 1/14 4/1 7/11 12/11 14/23 18/21 29/14 42/4 46/8 47/23 47/24 49/2 54/21 54/22 56/23 71/9 82/20 93/1 97/10 118/9 119/1 132/5 132/15 132/25 136/6 142/13 142/13 144/14 158/22 167/11 167/24 193/11 195/18
202/1 217/21 223/13
240/2 246/2 252/10 255/12 255/18 266/16 267/9 272/25 277/8 277/10 279/20
maybe [9] 12/6 13/11 22/5 86/5 86/6 112/14 112/15 167/2 243/25 MBA [1] 25/6 me [104] 4/16 5/9 5/15 6/5 6/6 8/15 12/11 13/2 13/4 14/15 14/16 16/19 20/10 21/22 23/4 28/17 29/2 29/11 29/11 38/20 42/19 45/5 58/19 62/24 63/10 63/20 67/11 67/25 68/3 92/18 93/2 109/20 110/3 110/6 110/13 110/13 110/17 110/17 110/17 112/5 112/11 113/23 116/10 117/7 117/25 118/17 121/11 121/12 123/3 128/3 128/5 128/12 128/13 129/14 129/15

130/12 140/1 146/11 147/1 147/1 157/7 159/6 162/13 165/8 169/9 169/12 169/21 170/4 170/12 171/10 179/3 180/20 188/5 189/3 199/21 201/17 203/6 203/24 203/24 204/2 207/8 207/13 208/1 208/23 212/11 217/5 218/23 219/14 223/1 225/18 226/1 233/8 234/11 243/4 250/1 259/21 259/22 263/20 265/16 270/21 271/7 273/8 274/8 276/13
mean [25] 5/14 19/19 42/1 45/2 45/8 58/5 58/9 61/5 61/7 61/19 69/10 85/22 87/14 91/9 106/14 108/13 122/10 163/10 180/6 225/13 236/12 236/23 244/24 256/12 274/12
means [4] 19/7 71/25
91/10 201/22
meant [3] 79/2 236/10 267/22
measured [1] 5/13
meat [1] 272/4
mechanical [1] 38/10 mechanism [1] 64/21 mechanisms [1] 64/18 mediation [3] 60/16 66/17 66/18
mediator [2] 66/19 66/24
meet [1] 31/3
meeting [1] 247/2 megawatt [1] 24/16 member [14] 31/22 38/5 38/6 39/21 78/25 81/13 81/14 82/23 82/24 85/7 92/16 93/6 160/4 231/20
members [7] 54/25 67/11 80/20 81/7 81/9 207/17 247/2
membership [19]
31/23 85/19 90/20
91/21 93/18 99/10
99/17 99/21 100/22
152/8 153/17 196/1
196/5 197/6 207/20
229/13 250/25 252/15
252/21
memory [1] 218/25 mentioned [6] 28/3 36/13 144/8 144/25 207/19 213/14
merely [3] 168/9 190/8 190/21
merged [2] 262/25
268/11
merger [16] 43/2 51/18
51/19 82/9 196/22
196/23 197/2 201/10
203/10 259/14 259/16

259/18 262/22 262/23 263/3 272/5 merits [5] 16/7 16/8 237/20 264/2 264/14 messages [2] 103/4 164/2
met [2] 227/17 264/12 metal [3] 96/2 96/6 213/17
meter [1] 131/23
meth [2] 61/1 61/3
Metro [3] 174/2 214/20 214/21
Metropolitan [2] 26/15 26/20
MGA [1] 95/10
mic [4] 5/21 22/19
22/20 22/21
MICHAEL [2] 1/20 149/18
Michigan [1] 258/2
microphone [1] 22/6 mid [1] 25/2
mid-cap [1] 25/2
middle [2] 135/25
213/9
might [3] 11/10 12/3 178/12
mike [3] 22/18 59/4 59/5
mile [1] $242 / 5$
miles [1] 242/6
Miller [1] 173/25
million [18] 31/10 50/20 51/2 51/2 89/4 89/5 122/9 213/24 219/15 219/16 255/5 259/23 259/24 260/5 260/7 269/11 276/2 276/24
Mine [1] 145/21
minimum [1] 43/3
mining [1] 226/11 minor [6] 27/6 27/10 38/10 43/22 61/18 138/15
minority [1] 39/21 minus [1] 15/7 minute [10] 4/7 116/1 116/23 172/25 187/11 188/1 188/3 188/4 210/6 279/19
minutes [3] 108/23
109/2 140/23
mirroring [1] 181/11 mischaracterized [1] 50/10
misconstruing [1] 76/2
misleading [2] 9/12 172/8
misrepresentation [5]
9/5 9/13 164/18 164/24 165/6
misrepresentations [2]
16/24 168/11
missing [3] 138/9
138/10 139/12
mitigate [2] 32/7A3A3005
mitigating [1] 43/23 modification [1] 208/24
modifications [4] 83/14 108/12 108/14 195/4
modified [11] 49/18
71/12 75/1 81/21 81/22 82/10 82/16 82/20 87/2 87/2 193/1
modify [1] 115/13
modifying [1] 115/14
moisture [3] 64/24
137/11 137/20
moment [2] 187/17 252/8
monetized [1] 95/16 money [8] 16/18 38/14 86/22 186/20 219/19 219/21 259/11 273/16
monies [2] 84/2 95/13
Monroe [1] 223/20 month [22] 15/10 15/12 17/22 89/13 104/3 104/4 116/18 122/11 123/23 123/25 124/12 148/23 181/23 181/24 182/13 184/16 185/7 260/9 268/8 271/10 274/8 276/3
monthly [12] 11/7 49/24 86/19 119/19 120/2 120/11 123/22 124/2 125/23 181/17 182/14 260/9
months [19] 15/2 15/3 15/4 15/19 15/22 15/25 54/23 55/6 88/9 89/22 89/25 124/23 124/25 176/8 182/12 199/17 227/8 271/9 273/5
months' [1] 57/10 moot [4] 4/8 49/20 279/18 279/18 moratorium [13] 6/11 43/4 44/1 44/12 44/21 45/14 129/3 253/20 255/9 255/15 255/24 256/9 257/18
more [24] 4/15 7/14 11/1 47/6 67/17 67/18 67/18 67/19 80/1 85/20 89/25 91/23 97/17 179/11 204/16 206/8 206/20 215/4 242/8 244/14 252/19 256/21 268/22 269/18
Morgan [3] 24/23 25/13 25/14 morning [6] 5/8 5/25 6/1 6/7 18/17 223/22 mortgage [36] 11/5 11/6 11/6 11/9 11/13 14/7 14/13 14/14 14/16 14/19 14/20 14/22 14/22 29/25 34/17 35/5 35/6 47/19 51/24 77/4

87/21 87/21 87/22 88/14 88/15 89/1 104/6 104/7 104/9 124/23 125/3 125/4 142/12 143/3 204/20 273/12 mortgagee [3] 97/7 97/22 195/15
mortgages [1] 114/4 most [6] 34/1 93/17 150/3 240/21 265/3 279/20
motion [15] 1/15 4/4 4/5 6/9 16/3 17/11 57/18 105/15 233/6 238/12 253/19 260/18 266/19 278/12 278/13 motions [3] 4/3 254/14 279/16 motorized [2] 38/24 38/24
move [25] 5/11 13/22 13/23 22/19 22/20 22/22 23/15 41/14 44/19 46/18 48/2 52/10 54/12 55/1 65/6 105/13 133/16 133/20 177/23 186/7 186/25 190/7 213/6 269/24 273/18 moved [2] 38/15 131/18
movers [2] 44/18 45/1 moving [1] 53/10 $\operatorname{Mr}[26] 2 / 22 / 32 / 42 / 5$ 2/6 2/10 2/11 2/12 2/13 2/15 2/16 2/17 2/18 2/21 2/22 3/3 3/4 3/5 3/6 41/23 113/2 204/12 215/15 247/10 261/7 277/4
Mr. [359]
Mr. and [1] 250/16 Mr. Antos [14] 9/24 152/6 244/22 245/2 245/21 246/5 247/23 248/12 249/2 249/21 252/8 252/13 253/3 258/11
Mr. Antos's [1] 16/24 Mr. Bloom [154] 8/21 9/9 9/14 9/25 15/2 15/6 15/18 15/24 16/14 17/17 18/5 18/6 18/12 20/4 20/11 22/5 22/13 24/4 24/13 24/18 26/1 26/11 26/22 28/22 29/9 29/14 29/17 33/19 33/22 34/8 35/16 35/25 37/14 37/25 40/22 44/11 45/22 47/3 47/10 48/12 49/4 50/12 52/4 52/24 54/17 55/8 55/19 56/2 56/23 57/14 60/23 61/10 63/25 65/12 67/2 67/13 67/22 68/7 68/10 69/8 69/13 69/21 74/9 78/23 84/4 88/25 92/10 100/20 109/21 112/17 116/1 116/23 118/21 126/10 129/8 129/12

142/2 146/1 146/14 148/13 156/1 156/5 160/23 161/10 161/18 167/15 168/22 173/6 174/6 174/16 176/14 177/4 182/8 183/16 184/2 185/4 187/11 188/2 188/18 188/24 190/16 191/5 198/5 199/2 199/15 199/24 202/4 203/2 204/17 206/12 206/22 210/15 210/22 212/22 213/4 213/13 214/12 215/3 215/5 223/24 224/1 224/17 225/2 225/16 225/24 229/16 232/20 235/16 235/24 240/7 240/17 246/21 246/25 247/5 247/7 247/13 247/16 250/12 250/16 255/25 258/13 259/25 262/8 264/18 264/23 265/5 265/6 265/12 267/25 268/1 268/9 268/21 268/22 270/13 Mr. Bloom's [9] 16/23 17/3 159/4 232/13 241/1 246/18 255/20 255/20 270/4
Mr. Gutierrez [31] 5/23 13/25 20/1 20/10 21/3 22/9 117/9 142/20 176/5 207/7 209/22 210/7 216/20 216/25 217/21 232/25 237/10 237/22 241/23 244/14 247/20 252/6 252/9 252/10 253/11 269/3 271/2 271/19 277/6 278/20 279/2
Mr. Hallberg [26] 5/17 11/21 13/8 13/13 16/17 31/18 32/11 38/20 51/13 95/19 113/3 116/3 123/21 216/24 217/2 217/25 218/13 221/15 223/3 223/18 231/11 233/3 236/9 238/5 239/4 258/12 Mr. Hallberg's [1] 16/24
Mr. Hodgman [4] 19/11 240/5 242/3 244/9
Mr. Ken [1] 238/17
Mr. Miller [1] 173/25
Mr. Munchkin [1] 21/3 Mr. Mushkin [79] 7/10 9/16 14/4 20/23 23/1 23/1 23/22 24/2 40/13 42/8 42/10 42/12 42/16 42/18 43/6 43/7 46/14 50/8 51/3 52/5 52/12 53/21 54/7 58/18 58/25 60/6 62/2 62/3 62/4 62/6 62/22 63/16 63/22 66/17 84/5 86/7 100/16 118/24 142/23 149/15

165/19 176/9 177/10 187/19 198/22 199/10 199/16 204/7 206/9 206/15 210/20 212/10 212/14 221/13 222/25 223/13 234/12 237/16 240/2 241/20 244/16 246/2 248/8 249/18 253/1 253/6 256/16 261/13 269/2 269/6 271/6 275/17 275/24 276/8 277/7 278/4 278/14 279/19 279/23 Mr. Mushkin's [17] 44/4 52/11 58/13 58/17 58/22 59/13 60/18 65/3 65/17 65/19 66/1 66/3 66/4 66/7 66/9 66/11 210/23
Mr. Naing [1] 32/24 Mr. Otter [2] 231/19 231/25
Mr. Rhodes [3] 68/15 68/20 216/9
Mr. Rhodes' [1] 215/15 Mr. Rhodes's [1] 255/19
Mr. Rose [1] 110/10 Mr. Simon [2] 259/7 262/11
Mr. Waldo [4] 132/7 136/21 137/23 138/13 Mr. Waldo's [2] 134/17 134/19
Mr. Wright [2] 173/25 179/9
Mrs. [1] 250/16
Mrs. Antos [1] 250/16
Ms [1] 148/1
Ms. [10] 46/22 149/20 150/10 150/19 151/2 158/1 167/14 168/1 173/14 174/17
Ms. Barraza [8] 46/22 149/20 150/10 150/19 151/2 158/1 167/14 168/1
Ms. Davis [1] 174/17 Ms. Schwartz [1] 173/14
much [13] 42/18 85/16 127/18 135/23 158/2 160/25 212/11 219/7 235/17 235/19 239/4 244/18 279/7
Munchkin [1] 21/3
MUSHKIN [94] $1 / 202 / 3$ 2/5 2/11 2/13 2/16 2/18 2/21 3/3 3/5 7/10 9/16 14/4 20/23 23/1 23/1 23/22 24/2 40/13 42/8 42/10 42/12 42/16 42/18 43/6 43/7 46/14 50/8 51/3 52/5 52/12 52/25 53/21 54/7 58/18 58/25 60/6 62/2 62/3 62/4 62/6 62/22 63/16 63/22 66/17 68/8 84/5 86/7 100/16 118/24

142/23 149/15 149/18 165/19 171/2 176/9
177/10 187/19 198/22 199/10 199/16 204/7 206/9 206/15 210/20 212/10 212/14 221/13 222/25 223/13 234/12 237/16 240/2 241/20 244/16 246/2 248/8 249/18 253/1 253/6 256/16 261/13 269/2 269/6 271/6 275/17 275/24 276/8 277/4 277/7 278/4 278/14 279/19 279/23
Mushkin's [17] 44/4 52/11 58/13 58/17 58/22 59/13 60/18 65/3 65/17 65/19 66/1 66/3 66/4 66/7 66/9 66/11 210/23
must [10] 16/4 16/6
108/7 168/17 262/21 263/2 263/24 265/3 265/4 265/4
mute [1] 26/7
my [170] $4 / 214 / 23$ 9/19 9/20 10/13 11/2 11/22 15/10 15/11 15/22 23/5 24/24 25/5 27/6 28/9 29/2 30/16 30/17 32/12 42/19 43/6 43/18 43/22 44/3 44/4 45/10 46/20 49/12 49/15 50/15 52/2 57/7 58/14 58/16 59/6 59/7 59/8 59/8 59/11 63/6 65/15 65/15 66/1 66/10 66/12 68/25 69/9 71/23 72/2 72/5 72/7 74/12 75/11 78/6 79/16 85/3 86/6 88/19 89/1 92/24 98/5 103/7 105/12 115/2 124/6 125/11 125/20 126/12 128/2 132/23 136/6 137/15 139/6 140/16 141/10 146/11 146/15 150/4 150/12 150/15 152/18 153/25 154/8 156/5 156/11 157/23 160/1 161/19 164/3 164/4 164/5 164/20 169/2 169/16 169/22 170/3 171/9 172/6 176/17 176/21 177/4 177/7 177/8 180/13 180/15 182/22 183/18 185/22 190/24 198/19 200/6 204/23 204/25 205/3 206/19 207/23 212/12 213/11 214/17 216/1 216/9 216/11 216/13 216/17 216/17 216/18 223/9 226/9 227/7 227/13 230/23 230/23 230/24 232/22 233/5 233/16 234/13 234/14 235/5 236/25 23Z1A3006

| M | 218/9 218/12 226/11 | 44/24 47/5 48/23 52/2 | 154/17 155/16 159/21 | 220/24 222/4 225/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [29] 239/13 | 269/20 281/12 | 60/8 67/19 67/19 67/21 | 160/1 160/2 164/20 | 229/2 229/16 230/13 |
| 239/15 239/23 243/1 | never [24] 8/11 8/22 | 71/2 71/11 75/1 77/3 | 165/2 166/3 166/3 | 231/12 233/17 236/5 |
| 243/17 243/18 243/19 | 10/21 10/22 94/8 103/8 | 89/4 89/5 90/4 90/12 | 166/5 166/7 166/20 | 238/17 242/12 254/2 |
| 243/25 245/11 246/1 | 119/18 120/9 120/15 | 95/13 98/10 104/12 | 166/20 166/24 167/3 | 257/16 258/9 259/3 |
| 250/10 250/12 250/21 | 140/20 147/9 177/22 | 104/13 104/14 104/15 | 167/4 167/20 168/3 | 259/12 260/19 260/2 |
| 251/20 251/21 256/20 | 178/2 182/5 189/4 | 104/16 104/22 104/25 | 168/7 168/9 171/3 | 265/11 269/10 269/13 |
| 257/21 259/13 260/12 | 189/22 189/23 190/6 | 105/6 105/9 105/11 | 171/5 171/20 171/23 | 270/1 272/25 273/19 |
| 261/16 261/22 263/12 | 209/14 226/21 244/10 | 120/5 120/10 121/3 | 198/17 200/4 200/1 | 278/4 |
| 267/8 268/5 268/16 | 255/21 256/20 260/1 | 121/4 121/7 122/2 | 200/15 200/17 202/13 | nowhere [3] 100/9 |
| 273/19 274/22 276/21 | new [8] 24/24 25/7 | 123/13 124/12 124/19 | 202/15 202/18 202/21 | 144/18 256/1 |
| 277/19 | 45/1 71/15 132/16 | 133/15 142/22 151/20 | 202/25 203/15 203/ | NRCP [1] 277/20 |
| myself [3] 56/1 | 243/24 271/25 277/16 | 155/19 162/6 168/8 | 203/17 203/21 204/ | NRED [6] 59/16 60/15 |
| 207/16 251/2 | newly [2] 31/19 42/16 | 169/2 183/25 185/4 | 205/2 205/9 211/3 | 60/20 66/14 66/17 |
| N | 54/23 57/7 76/14 77/7 | 202/12 203/9 205/7 | 238/10 253/21 255/2 | NRS [12] 25/25 53/12 |
| N1 [1] 155/17 | 98/7 100/17 106/24 | 205/10 205/10 205/12 | 255/14 256/3 256/3 | 59/19 59/20 129/25 |
| Naing [2] 32/17 32/24 | 107/21 131/11 134/5 | 210/16 210/18 211/22 | 256/24 257/4 257/10 | 142/1 142/3 143/4 |
| name [14] 21/20 27/12 | 134/7 134/10 134/12 | 211/23 212/2 212/3 | 257/12 259/6 262/10 | 162/16 200/18 200/2 |
| 27/20 72/17 111/3 | 137/5 137/19 138/8 | 212/5 212/8 218/14 | 265/14 266/13 270/17 | 204/17 |
| 111/6 154/17 158/18 | 140/17 150/18 153/25 | 218/18 218/20 219/7 | 270/25 273/23 273/25 | NRS 102 [1] 200/18 |
| 217/17 223/18 239/22 | 160/12 161/25 163/19 | 219/17 219/24 220/12 | noticed [2] 115/2 | NRS 107 [3] 53/12 |
| 239/23 245/24 246/1 | 167/11 173/16 175/5 | 220/14 220/16 220/24 | 144/22 | 129/25 200/20 |
| named [4] 62/4 111/12 | 215/11 216/23 240/7 | 222/17 222/18 223/8 | notices [13] 7/25 | NRS 116 [2] 25/25 |
| 186/2 202/22 | 24 | 223/10 226/2 227/8 | 10/13 10/15 54/8 163/5 | 59/20 |
| names [1] 176/11 | next-door [1] 19/11 | 231/16 233/10 233/17 | 168/12 183/9 203/7 | NRS 205.320 [1] |
| naming [1] 279/4 | nice [4] 239/5 244/19 | 234/16 246/8 248/18 | 211/19 234/15 238/10 | 162 |
| narrow [1] 265/25 | 253/4 277/13 | 255/5 260/5 269/8 | 269/22 | NRS 40.430 |
| National [21] 11/6 | nine [1] 57/10 | 269/15 270/1 270/3 | noticing [1] 109/12 | 143/4 |
| 17/24 30/9 30/11 30/11 | no [288] <br> nobody [1] 43/5 | 270/12 275/22 <br> noted [5] 137/20 | notify [1] 235/24 <br> notion [3] 18/8 48/6 | NRS requires [1] 204/17 |
| 35/6 46/11 47/11 47/13 $47 / 17$ 47/25 104/3 | noise [1] 243/21 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { noted [5] 137/20 } \\ \text { 138/18 139/11 140/8 } \end{array}$ | notion [3] 18/8 48/6 $228 / 19$ | number [24] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47 / 17 \text { 47/25 } 104 / 3 \\ & 119 / 15 \text { 185/7 185/ } \end{aligned}$ | nondischargeable [2] | $150 / 22$ | notwithstanding [2] | 21/1 35/1 35/19 47/8 |
| 185/17 185/19 185/20 | 33/1 214/10 | noteholder [2] 67/18 | 92/7 171/1 | 48/10 52/22 55/17 |
| 186/1 186/3 186/5 | none [11] 8/10 16/8 | 272 | now [144] 5/17 9/19 | 65/10 77/21 82/1 93/15 |
| nature [6] 15/6 45/20 | 42/19 58/17 67/15 | notes [2] 15/1 137/13 | 10/1 10/11 10/24 14/25 | 93/15 110/20 114/3 |
| 170/1 198/14 225/18 | 111/22 138/3 199/16 | nothing [21] 10/7 | 15/3 15/23 25/13 27/4 | 21/9 122/5 122/6 |
| $277 / 2$ | 212/11 255/16 270/5 | 17/13 38/25 61/5 61/5 | 27/24 28/10 28/14 29/3 | 122/24 133/24 182/21 |
| ures [1] | nonfunctioning [1] | 61/7 112/2 134/23 | 29/13 29/21 30/1 30/7 | 186/11 187/4 281/10 |
| $\text { near [1] } 271 / 25$ | 38/24 | 167/3 203/4 203/5 | 30/14 31/6 33/1 33/7 | Number 2 [1] 82/1 |
| nearly [1] 112/9 | nonjudgment [3] 33/9 | 226/3 237/7 244/17 | 33/19 34/14 37/11 38/7 | Number 25 [1] 77/21 |
| necessarily [3] 79/16 | 37/8 213/14 | 252/16 252/18 252/21 | 39/3 39/7 39/10 40/3 | numbered [1] 20/15 |
| 105/2 163/10 | nonjudicial [5] 53/16 | 257/19 259/15 260/18 | 42/20 43/14 45/22 | numbers [7] 34/5 83/4 |
| necessary [4] 54/11 | 54/15 143/20 202/12 | 264/10 | 50/19 52/4 52/9 52/15 | 119/12 122/18 122/21 |
| 179/2 260/1 267/ | 202/16 | notice [142] 8/24 9/2 | 54/17 56/2 57/20 59/14 | 123/3 124/10 |
| need [13] 13/19 64/19 | nonmember [1] 81/12 | 9/23 10/4 10/17 | 59/19 60/6 60/21 60/22 | numerous [5] 95/19 |
| 68/2 68/3 161/19 | nonmonetary [1] 168/4 | 20/8 41/19 46/10 51/4 | 64/3 65/16 67/2 67/13 | 168/16 190/1 241/6 |
| 176/10 179/8 187/21 | nonsensical [1] 58/3 | 51/7 53/4 53/7 53/12 | 70/18 73/14 74/19 75/5 | 242/ |
| 188/4 188/8 223/5 | noon [1] 10 | 53/14 53/18 54/2 54/2 |  | nunc [1] 10/12 |
| 250/10 273/19 | Nope [1] 95/15 | 54/5 54/6 60/4 66/22 |  | O |
| needed [1] 116/11 | nor [4] 22/17 237/20 264/25 269/19 | 103/8 103/13 110/13 114/24 114/24 115/17 | 83/17 87/9 88/11 89/16 <br> 90/11 90/15 90/23 95/3 | o'clock [2] |
| needle [1] 146/11 | normally [1] 189/1 | 115/18 115/22 126/8 | 95/15 98/14 101/15 | 278/19 |
| ds [2] 67/25 118/10 | North [1] 78/25 | 126/8 126/12 126/12 | 104/2 106/14 110/4 | oath [3] 118/22 170/23 |
| negotiate [2] 25/19 | Northern [19] 11/9 | 126/13 126/15 128/15 | 114/17 124/24 129/9 | 239/14 |
| $104 / 11$ | 17/24 30/10 35/6 46/10 | 128/16 128/17 128/24 | 130/17 132/25 136/11 | object [7] 47/1 55/12 |
| negotiated [1] 46/15 | 46/16 47/25 48/3 48/14 | 128/25 129/3 129/5 | 141/15 141/23 142/9 | 62/13 157/8 190/9 |
| neighbor [1] 19/11 | 48/17 49/2 104/4 | 129/21 135/7 137/5 | 143/24 148/8 151/7 | 90/12 236/3 |
| neighborhood [6] 1 | 119/22 184/6 184/6 | 141/21 141/22 142/1 | 151/15 151/24 151/24 | objected [1] 199/ |
| 159/4 241/8 243/1 | 186/13 186/16 186/19 | 142/5 142/8 142/10 | 152/11 153/2 153/18 | objecting [2] 223/3 |
| 244/2 261/9 | 186/23 | 143/9 143/12 143/14 | 155/1 155/19 157/24 | 223/9 |
| neither [1] 269/19 | northwest [1] 139/23 | 143/18 143/19 143/22 | 159/1 162/6 163/19 | objection [33] 12/ |
| NEVADA [23] 1/2 4/1 | not [405] | 143/23 143/24 144/19 | 166/6 166/12 167/15 | 19/16 46/19 46/20 48/4 |
| 26/18 26/24 32/23 | note [113] 7/2 10/25 | 144/20 145/17 145/18 | 169/1 169/15 172/20 | 48/6 48/8 52/12 55/3 |
| 43/13 78/14 78/16 79/1 | 15/15 15/18 18/1 18/3 | 146/16 147/3 147/7 | 175/22 177/7 180/6 | 55/15 65/7 65/8 86/25 |
| 144/2 144/13 155/23 | 30/17 30/22 34/19 | 147/18 148/2 148/17 | 183/25 191/3 19 | 111/12 133/21 133/22 |
| 162/19 199/3 200/9 | 34/21 42/5 42/6 42/9 | 149/6 150/20 151/2 | 193/6 194/15 195/24 | 174/19 176/4 177/25 |
| 203/20 204/22 218/5 | 42/13 42/15 42/23 | 151/7 153/3 153/13 | 200/24 202/19 208/23 | 178/1 178/4 178/6 |
|  | 42/24 43/3 44/21 44/23 | 153/19 154/13 154/14 | 209/12 213/13 214/12 | 186/8 186/9 187/AA3 |

objection... [8] 187/2 190/11 219/8 220/1 221/5 235/9 248/3 279/2
obligate [1] 104/21 obligated [6] 35/5 47/13 47/19 48/22 121/24 271/13
obligation [8] 47/18 47/18 104/12 104/14 125/17 125/25 232/17 260/1
obligations [30] 8/1 9/8 9/11 9/25 10/5 17/23 34/23 34/25 35/1 35/7 46/13 49/14 50/9 57/12 73/6 73/7 81/13 86/13 87/13 124/2
149/25 162/11 206/22 227/16 260/9 268/10 271/16 271/17 275/1 276/15
observed [3] 62/25 240/13 241/7
observer [1] 19/20
obtain [1] $9 / 21$
obtained [1] 8/9
occasions [2] 241/6 242/8
occupancy [2] 32/8 272/15
occupied [1] 163/22
occur [1] 89/11
occurred [4] 43/24
51/18 58/13 153/24
October [3] 60/10
124/18 127/16
off [36] 7/11 13/23 14/6
19/21 25/22 51/15 59/10 118/13 118/14 124/6 128/10 136/6 136/15 140/22 158/6 158/12 161/17 178/23 187/24 212/19 216/10 226/9 235/3 235/6 235/22 239/2 243/9 243/14 244/7 258/9 259/3 268/3 268/8 268/14 280/1 280/2
offer [10] 12/6 19/16 86/14 179/21 180/13 183/16 183/23 183/25 223/8 237/11
offered [4] 62/9 62/21 64/15 132/13
offering [1] 226/12
offhand [2] 57/2 78/11 office [19] 52/11 103/7 135/22 162/23 162/25 163/2 163/9 163/21 164/3 170/12 170/15 171/18 187/24 210/23 227/7 234/13 243/17 250/12 257/7
officer [7] 176/15 177/14 177/16 218/2 224/9 224/11 224/12
often [1] 17/25 oh [33] 5/9 45/18 70/8 83/20 93/24 104/23 112/12 128/19 139/3 146/10 148/20 148/22 165/19 165/24 172/13 175/23 184/2 197/4 199/11 204/10 207/15 209/24 220/8 221/1 236/3 259/14 261/25 262/5 265/22 266/18 268/11 275/21 277/13 okay [281] 4/14 4/24 5/22 12/4 12/10 12/13 12/24 13/9 13/24 14/6 18/20 19/9 19/23 20/6 20/8 20/13 21/5 21/11 21/12 21/13 21/22 22/4 22/8 23/8 23/10 23/25 24/4 24/6 24/11 24/18 26/13 26/22 27/1 27/1 27/4 27/10 27/14 27/20 27/24 28/2 28/10 28/14 29/3 29/21 30/1 30/7 31/6 33/3 33/8 33/15 33/19 33/23 34/4 34/10 34/14 35/9 35/16 36/2 36/16 36/19 36/20 36/21 37/7 37/11 37/13 37/24 38/7 39/6 39/10 39/19 39/25 40/21 41/8 41/12 41/23 42/20 45/15 45/22 46/4 47/7 47/24 48/1 48/8 50/6 50/23 52/20 53/3 55/23 55/24 56/2 57/7 57/20 59/16 60/22 61/1 61/24 63/1 63/5 63/24 64/3 64/7 65/5 66/13 67/2 71/14 71/15 71/16 71/19 74/18 75/5 75/22 76/8 76/18 77/22 78/1 78/4 78/13 78/20 79/21 80/5 80/13 82/7 83/7 83/14 83/20 84/9 85/12 90/11 91/15 93/24 94/22 97/4 97/5 97/23 98/8 100/18 100/21 102/16 102/23 103/17 104/23 105/3 105/22 107/8 107/22 107/23 109/4 109/9 115/20 116/24 117/13 117/16 118/8 121/21 122/19 123/17 124/17 127/14 127/15 129/9 129/19 129/23 130/1 130/10 130/16 130/22 131/5 134/24 135/9 136/11 136/25 137/3 140/8 141/6 144/17 146/19 147/17 148/1 149/2 151/12 151/15 151/24 152/20 155/15 155/18 156/15 157/24 158/23 162/22 165/11 167/13 170/11 173/22 177/9 177/23 178/16 179/1 179/13 179/24 181/8

181/14 181/18 183/25 184/4 184/19 187/18 188/10 188/13 188/16 188/22 190/14 191/1 191/5 191/25 194/9 197/17 200/25 201/25 208/2 208/9 209/2 209/17 210/1 210/22 211/21 211/25 212/4 212/13 212/19 212/24 213/4 214/4 214/23 215/14 217/4 218/9 219/23 220/9 220/17 220/20 220/24 221/9 221/22 222/1 222/7 222/15 222/19 230/4 230/13 233/14 233/17 233/24 234/3 234/20 235/6 235/24 236/18 238/8 238/16 242/14 243/8 245/7 247/11 249/6 249/14 250/7 250/11 250/19 250/21 250/22 251/4 252/17 252/24 253/16 266/4 271/6 271/11 274/6 278/4 278/23 279/25 old [3] 27/10 58/4 159/4 on [428] once [7] 5/11 51/23 168/1 168/19 169/5 204/16 241/15
one [111] 5/9 11/19 12/15 13/4 14/10 15/8 19/10 19/22 23/18 28/18 29/19 33/15 38/20 43/1 44/21 49/4 51/1 52/4 57/24 64/9 70/4 74/12 82/2 91/23 92/9 95/8 98/25 100/8 105/2 107/21 110/1 110/2 110/4 110/24 113/12 113/16 117/10 117/14 117/14 117/17 117/18 117/19 117/22 119/4 119/6 119/6 119/7 121/12 124/23 124/24 127/7 129/15 130/10 131/3 134/2 134/5 134/7 134/10 134/12 136/19 136/22 136/25 137/19 138/8 138/17 139/12 146/25 149/14 160/21 167/11 167/19 171/9 173/16 173/19 173/20 182/4 182/6 184/7 184/13 189/8 201/11 201/15 201/18 201/25 202/6 202/7 203/10 206/18 206/20 206/22 210/8 213/2 217/6 223/1 232/3 237/15 244/13 249/4 252/19 253/20 254/3 255/19 260/5 260/22 261/6 262/3 262/7 265/11 266/23 272/13 276/21
ones [1] 187/15 only [52] 4/9 9/12
16/22 18/5 19/10 21/12 46/20 50/15 54/7 62/24 65/1 74/12 75/24 82/10 82/16 82/21 82/25 92/10 111/25 117/2 124/19 146/8 152/25 159/24 160/6 166/14 166/18 167/19 173/3 176/25 182/6 185/18 186/2 190/20 206/8 206/13 206/18 206/20 209/18 212/9 217/5 218/10 230/18 258/15 258/25 263/20 268/1 268/4 271/12 272/7 272/19 273/25
onto [1] 26/20
OO [4] 184/23 186/7 186/8 186/11
open [2] 163/21 164/4
opening [13] 2/2 2/3 5/3 5/6 5/23 6/2 7/21 12/21 13/25 14/5 43/6 51/4 257/21
operate [1] 131/23 operating [15] 37/13 37/18 37/24 80/23 92/15 93/12 168/23 208/1 208/7 208/11 208/13 208/15 244/10 246/22 276/3
operation [3] 140/23 226/11 226/13 opinion [1] 113/11 opinions [1] 73/20 opportunity [3] 103/10 103/11 168/5
oppose [2] 237/25 254/5
opposed [2] 7/23 37/9
opposing [1] 253/25 opposite [1] 61/19 opposition [5] 57/17 267/9 267/11 267/11 267/16
opted [1] 41/5
option [6] 39/13 39/19 41/16 41/20 226/1 236/20
options [11] 41/15
41/18 41/21 41/22
107/9 161/5 161/20
169/10 230/14 234/23 234/24
or [212] 5/21 7/4 8/24 8/25 9/23 10/19 11/18 12/1 14/5 15/6 16/17 17/21 18/25 21/3 22/2 22/17 25/20 26/13 27/8 27/16 27/20 27/21
28/11 30/6 30/12 30/21 34/17 34/17 38/14 41/2 43/5 43/16 43/18 44/13 44/15 44/20 46/11 46/13 48/18 49/8 50/5 51/17 54/6 55/5 57/13 57/20 57/22 58/1 58/19

59/23 60/9 60/10 60/18 62/1 62/19 62/25 64/20 68/3 71/9 71/9 71/10 71/24 72/19 81/20 82/20 83/4 87/14 91/3 92/12 93/15 94/5 96/8 97/6 97/7 97/8 97/14 97/22 98/9 100/5 103/20 104/1 104/14 105/7 106/19 108/6 108/22 111/13 112/15 112/15 114/23 117/3 121/1 122/12 123/12 123/13 124/12 124/15 127/18 131/17 132/7 132/23 138/9 139/19 139/24 142/2 142/12 143/3 148/5 152/24 153/7 154/22 154/24 156/23 156/25 157/4 158/10 159/6 161/21 163/15 169/11 172/1 180/25 181/5 181/25 182/2 182/4 183/12 183/20 185/10 185/25 188/6 188/6 188/6 189/8 190/9 191/8 191/21 191/22 192/2 192/9 193/21 193/23 195/2 195/6 195/14 195/15 195/16 198/18 199/3 199/4 200/12 200/19 201/19 204/24 205/1 205/11 205/21 205/23 207/3 211/5 211/24 213/5 213/15 220/14 220/22 222/16 224/18 224/19 240/22 242/8 242/17 242/18 243/20 244/7 244/7 250/25 252/14 253/21 255/2 255/12 255/14 256/3 256/8 256/8 257/3 259/24 260/22 261/3 265/8 265/9 265/19 267/11 268/2 270/19 270/19 270/20 271/17 271/20 272/1 272/5 272/15 272/19 272/25 273/4 273/14 274/20 276/15 277/1 277/23 279/13 281/10 281/10
oral [5] 83/14 102/15 102/19 108/12 108/14 oranges [2] 228/9 232/19
order [37] 1/15 1/16
4/4 4/8 6/10 10/9 16/2 43/10 43/12 45/12 105/6 145/6 154/15 154/16 156/3 163/6 163/11 166/22 167/3 171/2 171/20 175/5 180/16 202/21 203/9 254/15 254/19 266/24 267/23 271/19 273/19 277/6 277/21 279/15 279/17 279/19 279/23008
ordered [3] 134/17 267/22 270/11
orders [2] 254/16 267/7
ordinary [2] 178/9 189/19
original [8] 49/7 50/10 91/10 144/8 182/11 209/8 221/10 246/7 originally [8] 31/20 35/10 36/3 36/4 69/5 86/11 93/22 249/12
originated [1] 59/12
origination [1] 218/10
other [49] 6/25 8/19
9/3 12/15 13/23 15/8 15/13 23/3 26/14 35/1 48/7 51/19 57/22 71/10 71/14 73/21 90/17 129/15 158/9 161/7 164/1 176/25 178/25 179/9 183/18 189/8 189/16 197/3 201/1 201/7 201/7 203/4 203/8 207/12 210/9 211/8 213/5 213/5 230/21 241/15 241/20 243/13 243/15 257/11 265/14 266/11 270/19 272/15 276/15
others [2] 21/2 167/21
otherwise [7] 50/4 97/8 97/9 97/22 98/9 195/16 195/17
Otter [5] 39/23 41/6 231/19 231/25 235/5 our [29] 6/8 6/12 6/13 6/19 7/22 27/6 68/2 128/8 149/5 149/9 150/11 164/2 171/9 185/3 214/18 217/3 217/8 222/18 223/6 234/6 238/1 238/2 239/14 245/12 255/4 256/12 257/19 264/5 272/9
out [54] 4/7 6/12 8/8 11/13 15/12 24/20 24/23 43/3 51/3 51/10 52/1 84/20 86/20 91/12 116/6 119/12 126/23 126/24 150/2 154/15 154/25 156/17 161/8 164/25 170/20 188/20 191/7 193/2 203/5 211/19 216/7 223/6 225/6 225/9 233/19 234/1 234/2 234/4 234/18 236/4 236/5 241/14 255/17 257/1 257/19 261/19 263/24 267/21 269/13 269/13 273/3 273/7 277/22 279/7
outlines [1] 184/5 outside [3] 45/12
132/25 243/19
outstanding [1] 30/7 over [54] 9/13 9/14 9/23 9/25 11/3 16/19 23/15 23/15 23/19 49/14 56/24 58/13 61/13 67/15 79/19 79/19 86/19 86/21 94/17 96/3 112/8 116/8 116/8 120/19 122/11 124/23 124/24 125/4 127/16 130/1 152/3 165/22 165/23 173/13 177/5 180/1 182/12 213/19 213/21 216/13 217/8 229/2 239/15 245/12 252/15 252/21 258/10 258/10 258/13 258/13 269/11 271/9 273/1 274/16 overruled [10] 48/8 55/15 157/12 219/9 219/11 220/3 235/11 235/14 248/5 248/8 overview [2] 24/19 25/3
owe [1] 51/23 owed [4] 50/24 88/14 237/3 259/23
owes [1] 259/11
owing [1] 272/6
own [27] $9 / 2127 / 20$ 31/19 42/13 42/14 42/23 48/23 55/9 60/8 74/25 100/10 100/11 105/9 105/11 159/25 201/5 202/12 203/9 204/1 204/2 204/13 212/2 213/5 220/14 225/10 258/17 263/1 owned [14] 6/15 28/16 30/1 31/16 31/20 35/10 35/11 35/14 42/18 58/24 59/9 68/15 104/15 154/4
owner [14] 9/24 29/6 31/25 34/16 51/15 51/25 59/2 67/8 110/6 153/19 153/21 157/22 221/11 272/19
owners [3] 91/20 159/22 160/6 ownership [16] 29/2 31/14 35/9 74/21 75/9 75/25 163/23 168/18 197/19 207/24 208/1 221/14 222/16 248/12 248/15 249/11 owns [6] 24/15 68/10 82/24 154/10 216/12 222/23

## P

P.2d [1] 262/22
p.m [5] 118/20 176/16 188/11 188/11 280/4 P1 [1] 164/13 Pacific [2] 218/11 218/11
package [3] 81/2 81/4

232/4
pad [1] 128/2
page [101] 33/20 34/8 35/17 35/22 36/10 36/10 36/14 36/25 37/4 37/4 37/17 37/24 39/4 39/14 39/23 40/3 41/8 41/12 47/15 56/12 56/19 56/24 65/13 65/22 65/24 65/25 66/2 66/5 66/6 66/8 66/11 69/7 70/1 70/3 70/18 71/8 73/14 73/17 75/17 75/19 76/14 76/15 77/2 77/7 77/17 77/20 77/21 77/22 80/7 82/18 83/2 83/22 84/13 85/6 87/8 87/12 87/14 87/15 89/17 90/23 90/24 91/23 91/24 93/15 93/17 95/8 99/24 99/24 101/6 101/15 106/24 107/25 108/16 124/16 130/24 131/11 135/9 135/25 138/8 138/18 139/8 170/22 171/17 191/25 192/13 194/5 194/13 196/13 211/5 221/20 222/1 222/6 222/12 222/13 222/13 222/13 231/5 234/20 250/25 251/2 251/3 page 006 [2] 135/9 170/22
page 007 [1] 135/25 page 008 [1] 107/25 page 009 [3] 138/18 171/17 191/25 page 1 [7] 33/20 34/8 39/4 40/3 65/13 70/1 251/2
page 10 [1] 192/13 page 2 [4] 39/14 65/25 130/24 250/25
page 2, Your [1] 56/12 page 23 [2] 77/17 77/20
page 25 [3] 75/19 77/22 194/5 page 27 [1] 82/18 page 3 [3] 65/24 66/2 251/3
page 3 and [1] 66/5 page 3 is [1] 66/6 page 3 of [1] 41/12 page 3 or [1] 211/5 page 34 [1] 37/17 page 4 in [1] 56/19 Page 4 is [1] 66/8 page 4 of [1] 47/15 page 43 [1] 37/24 page 5 [2] 70/18 71/8 page 5 is [1] 66/11 page 6 [1] 56/24 page 7 [1] 234/20 page 7 of [1] 41/8 page 74 [1] 93/17 page 81 [4] 35/17 35/22 36/10 221/20
page 88 [2] 222/1 222/6
page 9 [2] 99/24 231/5 Page 92 [1] 222/13 page 93 [2] 36/14 222/12
page 99 [1] 222/13 page A54 [1] 84/13 pages [2] 70/8 117/12 Pahrump [2] 78/21 79/1 paid [38] 15/6 15/10 15/11 15/12 46/14 48/18 49/22 50/13 50/17 54/17 54/19 54/21 85/20 86/18 86/22 86/24 88/16 88/19 88/23 124/25 125/4 126/22 126/23 126/23 126/24 126/25 127/10 127/17 127/18 127/22 127/24 144/6 185/7 219/16 219/21 225/8 255/5 269/11 pandemic [6] 43/11 44/17 66/20 198/14 203/12 213/10
panel [1] 26/18
paper [4] 117/24 118/1 119/8 147/16
papers [1] 120/22 paperwork [1] 226/20 paragraph [82] 41/12 53/3 53/3 53/6 70/2 72/22 73/16 76/4 76/6 77/15 77/18 77/21
77/24 77/25 81/6 81/16 82/12 83/8 87/16 87/17 87/19 88/6 89/19 90/16 91/24 92/1 97/4 99/15 99/16 100/20 101/5 101/5 101/15 101/18 102/10 102/22 103/17 105/19 105/21 106/1 106/7 107/1 107/6 107/7 107/14 107/25 111/18 112/17 113/17 114/5 114/14 115/4 128/16 141/25 142/9 147/17 148/10 153/25 155/19 156/6 156/12 157/25 160/12 161/6 161/15 161/25 163/19 194/20 194/20 195/25 197/5 197/10 197/13 197/17 197/19 197/22 229/8 229/12 230/3 230/5 230/13 234/21 paragraph 1 [2] 107/1 194/20
paragraph 10 [1] 101/5
Paragraph 11 [1] 197/10
paragraph 12 [2]
114/14 197/13
paragraph 13 [2]
101/15 102/10
paragraph 13S [1]
197/17
paragraph 14 [1] 102/22 paragraph 17 [1] 103/17
paragraph 18 [3] 91/24 92/1 106/1
paragraph 19 [3] 106/7 197/22 230/3
paragraph 1A13 [1] 113/17
paragraph 1C [1] 114/5
paragraph 2 [2] 97/4 142/9
Paragraph 20 [1] 77/21 paragraph 25 [3] 77/18 77/24 77/25
paragraph 3 [1] 107/25 paragraph 5 [1] 195/25 paragraph 5.9 [1] 72/22
paragraph 6 [1] 90/16 paragraph 8 [1] 76/4 paragraph 8.02 [1] 81/6
paragraph 9 [2] 99/15 101/5
paragraph 9 on [2] 197/5 229/12
paragraphs [4] 162/12
162/14 162/15 173/3
parent [1] 276/17
park [2] 24/23 241/13
parked [2] 9/18 241/14
parse [1] 182/6
part [26] 6/17 15/23
30/4 34/23 52/14 52/18 55/8 80/9 80/11 80/17 80/25 81/2 111/9 116/3 126/15 135/2 161/23 195/3 212/7 222/17 227/7 229/9 232/18 262/18 266/13 271/19 participate [5] 19/19 25/23 67/12 72/13 114/21
participated [1] 72/20
participating [1] 217/9
particular [3] 33/25 163/6 242/19
particularly [1] 158/5
parties [55] 1/10 27/25
35/1 41/10 41/20 49/18
50/10 73/7 73/8 74/20
74/20 74/25 74/25 76/5 76/5 77/5 82/4 83/25 88/12 90/17 91/17 92/13 99/1 99/4 99/12 99/18 103/18 103/22 103/22 106/2 106/2 106/8 106/8 106/20 108/4 108/8 112/23 115/7 160/6 161/15 180/22 183/6 183/11 194/16 194/18 197/23 197/23 213/25 214/2 229/10 230/5 230/6 234/22 271/15 277/22 parties' [1] 88/1凤A3009
partner [2] 25/10 235/5 partners [39] 1/8 1/20 7/2 29/21 29/22 30/12 31/1 31/3 31/20 31/22 35/11 74/10 74/13 81/12 153/15 153/18 218/1 218/3 218/5 218/9 218/13 222/11 224/10 224/13 224/15 224/16 231/19 231/20 231/25 233/15 234/4 248/13 248/16 248/25 249/8 251/11 253/24 258/6 258/7
partnership [1] 224/15 parts [2] 64/5 184/5 party [41] 34/17 37/5 43/16 52/3 68/19 69/2 69/21 70/11 70/12 103/18 104/12 104/13 104/13 104/19 106/18 158/19 170/14 192/10 212/8 215/22 216/7 216/16 222/20 233/4 240/20 240/24 242/21 243/10 246/7 246/10 248/14 254/5 254/25 255/11 261/4 262/1 262/4 271/25 277/16 278/10 279/5
pass [3] 67/23 223/12 277/2
past [6] 26/2 26/13 62/6 138/20 161/7 183/2
patience [3] 237/9 244/19 253/4
patient [1] 239/2
patios [1] 131/1
pattern [7] 9/4 9/4 9/5 16/10 16/13 265/19 267/24
Paul [1] 164/10 Pause [15] 5/1 5/16 9/17 14/3 17/14 22/24 28/20 174/4 174/14 175/17 176/12 187/9 188/12 239/7 239/10 pay [46] 16/18 25/22 32/3 32/4 47/13 47/19 49/16 54/12 54/22 84/14 84/23 84/24 85/18 85/23 85/25 88/14 88/17 104/22 121/24 125/11 125/12 125/18 125/20 125/21 125/23 125/25 126/3 126/14 126/18 127/18 184/11 191/22 192/7 196/15 237/2 268/2 268/13 271/3 271/13 271/13 271/21 272/15 274/14 274/19 275/8 275/15
payable [3] 124/8 141/23 162/6
payee [2] 186/2 186/17
paying [10] 60/17 67/2 67/4 67/5 88/18 104/4 260/10 270/14 271/12 274/8
payment [51] 11/7 11/12 18/7 46/8 46/8 46/12 46/16 47/11 47/13 49/2 50/19 56/17 57/4 57/5 81/12 86/20 88/11 90/3 95/6 95/9 104/14 104/16 119/19 120/2 120/11 124/24 124/24 125/23 127/1 127/2 127/3 127/7 127/9 144/3 147/8 148/3 148/11 148/15 150/20 151/3 184/11 185/21 186/2 186/24 192/2 196/12 196/15 223/7 257/6 271/23 275/5
payments [45] 32/4 32/5 32/6 34/24 46/11 47/17 47/21 48/2 55/6 55/7 81/14 87/20 87/24 88/5 88/8 89/6 89/13 89/17 90/4 90/6 90/8 95/12 95/14 103/20 103/25 104/1 104/3 104/5 104/9 117/15 123/10 123/12 123/15 124/19 124/20 125/4 181/16 181/17 182/14 185/4 227/8 268/7 268/9 271/9 272/25 pays [2] 184/11 184/12 Peek [1] 23/3
Pegasus [5] 22/14 24/8 24/10 24/13 24/15 Pena [3] 176/20 177/16 177/18
pending [11] $17 / 8$ 17/13 126/7 198/15 257/2 257/2 257/3 257/16 264/8 264/10 273/22
people [17] 13/22
13/23 16/15 174/10 176/21 210/8 241/15 241/21 242/6 242/9 242/22 243/11 244/13 274/16 274/19 274/20 275/1
people's [1] 210/9 per [8] 15/10 17/22 59/22 90/20 96/9 144/7 145/10 268/8
perceived [1] 162/16 percent [47] 9/10 9/24 13/10 13/11 18/5 29/6 31/16 31/17 32/2 32/2 33/12 35/14 35/15 51/11 51/20 51/25 56/14 57/3 74/21 75/9 75/24 91/20 92/2 92/5 93/21 120/17 120/18 144/6 144/22 144/25 145/12 152/3 154/11 193/13 194/1 197/19

207/24 208/1 224/23 228/19 232/10 241/16 246/14 249/11 258/11 258/12 258/14
Perfect [1] 4/24
perform [2] 169/1
268/22
performance [14] 9/11 10/7 17/18 18/11 49/20 49/24 86/12 87/2 102/21 144/4 169/7 182/12 232/14 246/15 performed [3] 10/5 87/13 153/23
perhaps [4] 19/11 46/23 265/10 276/25 period [18] 15/6 17/21 39/11 61/7 76/7 89/22 94/17 115/8 121/25 124/22 126/19 126/21 127/16 129/18 143/15 143/19 185/19 203/20
permit [6] 58/5 205/16 205/22 205/24 206/8 206/13
person [9] 17/5 61/15 66/19 66/20 158/8 222/23 258/10 279/13 281/10
personal [2] 62/19 195/2
personally [2] 111/3 111/6
personnel [2] 174/7 188/25
perspective [3] 223/6 228/10 232/16
Phase [1] 273/4
Phase 3 or [1] 273/4
phone [7] 5/18 11/20
26/7 29/13 45/7 171/15 244/22
phonetic [12] 32/17
58/25 109/22 113/16
173/10 173/17 173/21
176/15 176/20 176/20
177/14 189/25
pick [2] 187/7 273/1 picking [1] 67/7
picks [2] 67/17 67/18
pictures [1] 140/5 piping [2] 136/12 140/9
place [23] 5/20 15/25
57/13 59/12 99/9 111/10 114/12 143/21 151/8 151/9 160/8 160/8 171/1 171/11 207/12 236/25 251/14 258/18 259/2 260/25 270/11 272/20 274/11
places [1] 207/12
plaintiff [8] 2/2 10/4
10/9 16/4 16/11 109/24 110/7 277/1
plaintiff's [1] 57/18 plaintiffs [11] $1 / 6$ 1/18 2/4 2/6 2/8 6/2 18/18 110/2 253/17 264/1

269/5 plaintiffs' [2] 1/15 253/19 plan [2] 154/1 154/3 planned [1] 228/7 Plasim [2] 259/7 262/11
pleading [3] 266/5 266/6 278/10 pleadings [5] 6/13 7/23 79/3 256/12 279/12
please [40] 11/2 13/5 14/5 20/5 21/19 21/19 68/3 75/19 84/13 86/9 142/22 146/18 148/2 148/16 149/14 150/19 151/2 154/2 156/5 157/14 169/22 170/4 187/22 199/7 199/10 204/1 217/8 217/12 217/16 224/6 239/17 239/21 245/15 245/22 245/24 249/25 250/6 250/10 268/15 277/6 pledge [82] 8/8 9/20 10/24 15/5 18/5 18/8 32/10 32/13 33/11 35/17 36/2 36/4 36/8 37/1 37/2 51/9 70/9 70/9 80/4 90/19 90/21 91/13 91/14 91/18 92/4 93/4 93/11 93/18 94/11 94/20 94/23 94/24 95/2 95/4 95/5 99/5 99/10 99/17 99/21 100/2 100/7 100/8 100/22 106/10 106/15 106/17 106/17 106/21 151/25 152/4 153/16 159/21 196/1 196/5 196/19 197/6 197/24 208/24 209/9 209/10 209/14 221/19 222/7 222/8 224/19 224/23 224/23 225/2 225/10 228/8 229/13 229/17 229/24 230/7 232/9 232/17 247/14 247/17 247/24 248/2 262/15 262/16 pledged [18] 9/10 18/7 29/24 33/9 33/13 36/5 36/23 91/5 92/3 94/8 101/22 106/22 209/6 209/7 228/20 228/20 231/9 246/15
pledgers [1] 36/22 pledges [1] 258/14 pledging [8] 37/8 75/8 93/21 100/10 209/3 209/18 228/1 229/25 pledgor [6] 92/8 93/8 94/6 94/25 209/11 222/4
pledgors [3] 91/20 92/13 93/10 plus [8] 15/6 127/17 127/19 127/19 159/1 193/14 214/7 259/24
pocket [1] 15/12 podium [2] 7/15 252/9 point [41] 4/23 6/25
8/8 8/21 10/18 12/6
19/16 19/20 27/17 47/14 50/1 54/11 54/24 60/9 68/2 71/22 79/5 95/15 108/25 115/4 167/2 176/3 177/6 190/19 190/25 207/17 214/14 218/13 223/6 225/25 229/3 233/6 233/10 235/24 254/3 256/5 257/21 265/6 267/21 269/15 269/25 points [1] 115/24 police [3] 26/15 26/20 214/14
policy [2] 67/6 111/10 pool [10] 61/5 140/8 140/9 140/10 140/11 140/12 140/13 141/2 141/3 141/13
porches [1] 131/1 portion [2] 33/14 124/7 poses [3] 131/17
131/20 154/22
position [40] 6/19
29/24 30/9 30/10 30/10 30/19 30/25 34/20 36/6 36/24 49/6 50/12 50/15 50/16 50/23 51/15
51/21 52/5 53/25 54/22
58/2 67/15 89/4 89/5 105/8 105/12 120/6 124/9 129/24 190/23 208/5 231/12 233/9 238/1 255/4 272/17 273/17 274/20 274/21 277/4
possess [3] 74/21 174/12 176/18 possession [10] 51/10 97/7 97/7 97/22 114/2 132/23 195/15 195/15 196/18 213/21
possible [3] 87/3 93/5 262/17
possibly [2] 193/5 235/17
post [6] 13/14 88/5 90/5 122/25 198/8 262/6
post-forbearance [3] 88/5 90/5 122/25
posted [1] 255/7
potentially [1] 214/1 powder [1] 96/7
power [5] 9/22 24/16 38/19 142/14 144/10 PP [5] 186/13 186/25 187/1 187/3 187/4 practicalities [1] 44/25 practice [1] 265/20 practicing [1] 256/20 PRBI [1] 218/11 preapproval [1] 93/5 precedent [1] 73/15 preceding [1] 198/R3010
precious [1] 213/17 preclude [1] 51/19 precluded [4] 145/12 154/16 202/22 211/20 precursor [2] 204/21 205/2
predraft [1] 225/5 preemptive [2] 16/16 265/12
preexisting [1] 62/6
prefer [1] 22/1
preforbearance [3] 87/24 90/4 123/3
prejudice [1] 77/13 preliminary [12] 1/15 6/9 6/22 16/3 57/18 223/4 238/1 253/19 260/18 267/15 270/23 271/20
premature [1] 167/5
prematurely [1] 166/8 premises [3] 153/20 211/14 220/18
premiums [1] 127/19
prepaid [4] 54/24 67/6 67/9 67/10
preparation [2] 72/13 114/21
prepare [1] 277/6 prepared [3] 66/6 66/16 193/4
prepay [1] 50/3
prepaying [1] 46/6 prepayment [2] 49/19 112/23
preposterous [3] 256/21 258/20 259/2 present [5] 16/21 66/6 183/2 237/17 238/14 presented [4] 176/24
178/2 190/6 261/1 preserve [2] 30/18 30/24
president [3] 39/23 41/6 161/17
presumed [1] 238/9
pretty [3] 122/23
135/22 182/21
prevent [9] 42/20 45/14 54/13 87/21 89/3 105/10 198/8 270/24 274/22
prevented [1] 42/24 prevents [4] 53/24 105/6 115/15 115/21 previous [1] 142/19 previously [2] 189/14 270/11
primarily [1] 96/15 prime [1] 140/18 principal [6] 14/21 67/16 90/4 119/16 120/5 144/5
principals [1] 103/21 print [6] 76/7 107/1 107/11 161/21 230/14 230/22
prior [18] 30/17 50/13 50/13 52/3 54/2 71/24 87/19 93/13 108/7 123/5 134/20 151/7 163/20 181/12 181/15 183/8 210/21 225/20 private [1] 187/24 privilege [1] 219/10 pro [1] 10/12 probably [7] 154/13 241/16 250/19 251/15 271/24 273/3 279/4
problem [10] 12/13 12/17 45/4 55/10 78/9 172/24 181/19 181/21 203/2 278/15
problematic [1] 213/12 problems [5] 61/24 64/4 77/10 132/6 132/16
procedural [1] 272/3 procedurally [1] 254/23
proceed [3] 54/9 217/21 246/2 proceeded [1] 62/11 proceeding [28] 10/14 10/14 66/25 115/19 126/6 128/18 129/22 143/11 143/13 198/12 198/15 198/24 199/2 199/25 200/3 200/5 200/7 200/8 200/14 202/12 245/8 256/24 257/14 258/3 260/21 265/1 266/1 273/22 proceedings [30] 1/9 4/17 5/1 5/16 9/17 14/3 17/14 22/24 28/20 42/11 52/6 53/11 118/20 174/4 174/14 175/17 176/12 187/9 188/11 188/12 198/11 214/5 239/7 239/10 253/21 255/2 255/14 269/23 280/4 281/4 proceeds [4] 25/20 32/14 36/7 209/1 process [29] 25/18 25/24 33/7 42/21 42/21 53/5 53/8 53/17 53/19 54/14 101/21 126/16 158/3 164/16 165/4 175/8 183/10 202/19 204/3 204/6 204/14 211/2 212/25 213/3 227/5 234/14 262/19 265/20 269/19 professional [1] 162/19
progress [1] 95/20 prohibit [1] 158/7 prohibits [1] 108/14 promise [1] 167/9 promised [1] 167/6 promises [2] 164/2 259/9
promising [1] 163/24 promissory [1] 246/7
proof [10] 16/4 46/21 127/12 131/16 132/21 138/2 138/4 139/5 141/8 153/23
proofs [1] 178/17 proper [2] 151/17 190/18
properties [6] 25/22 25/24 25/25 65/18 213/5 270/14
property [146] 6/15
11/5 11/9 14/7 27/1 27/2 27/5 27/8 27/15 27/18 28/6 29/7 29/23 29/25 30/1 30/8 30/13 30/17 30/21 31/8 31/9 31/11 31/13 34/16 38/8 38/21 39/4 44/8 45/19 45/24 46/2 47/22 48/16 57/21 58/13 58/14 58/17 58/22 59/3 59/7 59/8 59/8 59/12 59/13 60/18 60/23 61/2 61/11 61/12 61/14 61/22 61/25 64/1 64/5 65/17 66/1 66/2 66/3 66/4 66/7 66/9 66/10 66/12 66/12 66/15 67/3 67/8 68/7 68/8 68/11 68/16 68/18 74/19 74/22 75/9 75/25 88/14 88/21 89/2 89/3 89/6 89/11 89/13 97/7 97/8 97/8 97/21 97/21 110/6 111/5 114/15 116/20 126/20 126/22 132/23 153/22 153/24 158/11 158/15 159/1 159/15 161/4 162/5 163/23 163/24 163/24 163/25 168/15 170/1 192/6 192/10 195/2 195/3 195/15 195/16 195/16 198/13 202/16 207/18 207/24 208/4 211/15 214/14 214/15 215/8 216/11 216/12 227/1 227/2 233/20 238/8 251/24 255/18 255/19 255/20 256/1 257/19 260/24 261/3 262/24 263/2 264/17 264/22 270/7 270/20 272/19
proposed [17] 31/18
32/3 133/5 133/6
174/25 175/1 175/18 176/2 178/3 184/24 184/25 185/1 187/5 187/6 187/12 188/14 190/17
prosecuted [2] 162/23 162/25
prosecution [3] 163/1 163/10 169/25
protect [2] 268/16
268/17
protected [2] 170/1 257/25
protection [5] 87/24

88/5 90/4 90/6 160/9 protections [1] 264/24 protective [7] 4/4 48/25 88/21 89/2 266/24 279/17 279/21 protects [2] 268/5 268/16
prove [1] 134/25 provide [36] 41/19 60/4 81/9 82/1 82/3 83/18 83/24 94/13 95/18 95/21 101/15 102/10 103/15 111/20 111/24 113/11 113/15 113/25 114/5 114/8 127/14 130/2 139/5 141/8 153/23 167/1 167/2 167/6 191/12 197/17 200/12 246/22 246/25 258/19 258/20 264/6
provided [45] 9/22
19/2 42/7 44/5 51/5
51/20 73/21 82/23
90/16 95/22 101/18
101/23 102/14 102/24
103/6 109/18 111/21
111/22 112/3 112/7
112/10 112/14 112/14
112/15 112/16 113/23
138/3 138/4 140/15
144/1 144/21 150/3 150/5 164/2 170/7 170/9 170/15 193/17 193/24 194/2 200/23 208/25 226/21 247/8 247/9
provides [2] 53/15 201/18
providing [4] 43/15 60/18 69/5 170/12 provision [12] 70/19 82/10 82/14 82/17 83/23 93/17 114/24 130/25 158/6 158/7
168/23 191/10
proximity [1] 65/18
public [8] 53/15 57/22 57/25 158/9 226/12 261/23 270/7 270/19 pump [3] 140/17 140/18 140/21
punish [1] 16/15
purchase [6] 27/17
27/20 28/6 31/7 219/1 219/2
purchased [6] 27/25
30/2 30/8 30/15 38/8 220/24
purchasing [1] 30/17 pure [1] 262/18 purported [1] 189/17 purpose [2] 40/25 141/22
purposes [5] 237/11
237/13 237/14 237/17 266/23
pursuant [17] 8/20

111/18 113/16 114/5 114/14 125/22 142/14 153/16 159/20 159/24 227/17 246/22 260/23 277/20
pursue [2] 201/19 201/20
pursues [1] 164/5 pursuing [2] 150/12 214/4
push [1] 64/20
pushed [1] 161/8
put [23] 5/17 10/4
13/13 13/13 16/25 23/1 23/24 38/14 61/13 86/4 113/10 117/3 117/5 117/25 118/4 118/7 151/22 175/6 182/21 184/2 210/5 229/21 250/10
putting [4] 7/6 86/17 228/2 274/20

## Q

Q1 [1] 167/14
qualifies [1] 205/24
qualify [1] 270/8
quality [3] 130/15
130/21 264/22
quash [2] $4 / 5$ 279/17 question [64] 11/17
13/25 44/20 45/18 51/1 51/1 51/11 51/17 56/13 57/7 71/23 80/22 80/25 86/6 92/24 93/19 98/1 98/4 98/5 98/7 100/5 100/17 106/19 107/16 126/18 129/15 142/2 142/19 142/21 147/1 156/5 156/11 157/6 161/22 165/9 177/9 177/12 181/25 182/2 185/23 193/23 198/18 198/20 198/23 199/5 199/8 200/6 200/11 205/3 205/6 206/2 206/9 206/18 206/20 207/3 217/1 223/9 228/23 232/3 233/9 234/15 235/12 266/7 266/19
questioning [1] 144/24
questions [32] 69/9
74/5 126/17 128/8 129/10 161/19 165/13 165/16 166/25 169/11 170/3 177/5 177/7 177/8 187/20 198/19 200/13 206/17 207/6 207/8 214/12 214/24 216/19 216/21 232/24 241/17 244/15 247/19 249/17 252/5 252/25 256/11
quick [1] 119/11 quickly [9] 13/22 31/23 35/12 55/21 225/8 229/2 236/17 272/3 273/18 259/25
quite [4] 9/5 10/3 61/19 251/17
quo [1] 45/16
quote [7] 155/20
156/12 157/4 157/16 157/18 157/20 158/6 quoted [3] 156/5 263/17 263/23

## R

R006 [2] 207/14 208/6
Rainbow [1] 78/25 raise [7] 7/1 21/14 217/7 239/17 245/15 263/5 269/16 raised [4] 51/11 51/13 57/16 245/17
raising [1] 262/5
Ramsey [6] 22/19 23/14 23/20 117/6 175/10 188/5 ran [2] 72/19 189/21 range [1] 219/15 rare [2] 96/2 96/7 rate [17] 14/23 14/23 14/24 120/12 120/16 120/17 120/18 120/18 120/21 120/23 120/24 120/24 145/9 145/12
241/7 242/4 242/4
rather [2] 8/9 263/24
ratified [1] 95/1
Raymond [1] 32/17
RE [1] 1/15
read [21] 4/11 12/7
12/9 12/12 19/5 72/9
72/10 72/11 100/20
160/15 160/17 161/14
163/20 172/20 172/22
172/25 173/1 178/18
180/10 211/18 259/15
reading [5] 12/4 12/11
174/20 274/22 278/9
ready [2] 4/16 199/20
real [21] 13/22 23/9
24/24 25/9 25/11 25/14
31/2 43/13 52/2 55/20
88/20 114/15 119/11
146/24 192/6 195/2
198/13 229/2 236/17
238/8 263/1
realistic [1] 276/11 realize [2] 18/12 177/7
realized [5] 25/20
32/14 36/7 92/5 209/7
really [14] 5/14 19/8
23/13 44/10 97/2
165/21 251/15 253/25
254/16 259/3 274/25
276/16 278/14 279/6
Realtors [1] 45/1
reason [30] 17/20

91/12 120/14 120/25 122/8 124/3 124/10 125/11 131/8 131/14 131/25 132/7 132/17 136/14 136/20 137/7 137/23 138/13 167/22 185/16 185/25 186/19 189/7 201/7 251/2 251/17 264/4 267/21 270/23 278/21
reasonable [1] 154/1 reasonably [2] 102/12 193/12
reasons [2] 253/20 265/2
Rebuttal [2] 2/6 269/5 recall [16] 33/17 61/22 103/11 109/10 112/12 114/23 121/8 125/8 130/3 130/5 145/16 171/12 185/3 193/5 249/6 267/10 receipt [1] 164/14 receivables [1] 25/21 receive [5] 46/16 53/1 226/17 227/1 227/4 received [20] 33/13 141/18 152/17 152/18 152/21 153/5 153/16 160/13 160/24 169/13 185/17 185/19 185/21 186/1 186/4 186/19 186/22 186/24 252/17 252/21
recent [1] 183/7 recently [1] 153/22 recessed [3] 4/17 118/20 188/11 recite [1] 141/20 recites [7] 87/13 99/3 99/5 159/10 191/12 197/6 229/24
reckless [1] 180/3 recognition [1] 169/6 recognize [3] 231/5 251/21 271/15
recollection [9] 32/12 68/25 75/11 114/25 130/12 155/13 171/10 250/24 251/13
Recommend [3]
131/24 138/21 139/23 recommended [1] 132/17
record [22] 7/23 7/25 20/15 21/20 23/7 23/8 68/23 70/21 160/7 162/3 167/15 167/25 168/14 179/5 182/5 190/17 217/17 223/19 239/22 245/25 260/15 280/3
recordation [1] 257/4 recorded [4] 1/24 200/18 200/21 203/16 RECORDER [1] 1/24 Recorder's [1] 257/7 recording [3] 182/5 203/21 281/4
records [5] 150/22
169/22 178/9 178/12 178/12
recovery [1] 143/2 recross [9] 2/13 2/18 3/6 210/4 214/25 215/1 236/6 236/7 252/11 Recross-Examination [6] 2/13 2/18 3/6 215/1 236/7 252/11
redirect [9] 2/12 2/17 3/5 209/22 210/13 232/25 233/1 236/6 249/19
reduced [1] 67/17 refer [2] 17/25 27/1 reference [9] 41/9 80/16 155/22 156/4 156/10 157/5 158/14 160/19 161/3
referenced [6] 16/11 33/16 92/1 150/21 161/20 169/21
references [5] 111/24 130/10 147/10 165/2 174/1
referencing [6] 36/17
70/6 82/12 105/20 130/8 165/1
referred [2] 33/8 74/13
referring [6] 43/13
56/23 56/25 68/8 70/10 130/11
refinance [1] 114/4
refinancing [1] 107/3
reflect [1] 74/15
reflected [1] 37/13
reflecting [1] 212/7
refresh [5] 114/25
130/12 218/24 250/24 251/13
refuse [1] 54/7
refused [1] 54/7
refusing [1] 157/7
regard [2] 58/6 169/21
regarding [12] 17/3
102/25 111/25 113/12
167/20 168/14 214/13
224/19 225/2 227/5
237/1 263/6
regards [3] 103/7
121/3 150/21
regular [2] 214/19 279/13
reimbursed [1] 74/2
Reimbursement [1]
74/1
rejected [1] 183/23
rejoined [1] 207/25
related [19] 1/10 25/9
38/20 108/2 114/22
161/8 169/4 207/25
208/3 208/25 226/11
233/11 265/25 270/25
272/14 272/15 272/21
275/24 276/14
relates [4] 48/6 150/8 152/2 267/8
relating [3] 103/21

151/8 151/10
relationship [5] 29/3
62/7 76/12 218/1 257/23
relationships [1] 29/19
relaxed [1] 45/13
release [3] 10/19 77/8 211/6
released [1] 77/10 relevance [2] 21/12 219/10
relevant [1] 14/23 relied [2] 230/11 231/8 relief [6] 204/20 265/4 265/7 274/21 275/13 276/10
relieve [1] 271/15
relieved [2] 274/14 275/5
rely [1] 201/1
remain [14] 41/11
99/18 100/23 101/6
101/9 101/12 196/2
197/7 197/11 197/13
229/14 239/5 256/6
272/5
remainder [2] 54/18
54/19
remaining [3] 21/7
149/24 214/6
remains [2] 141/24 164/4
remedied [1] 64/24
remedies [9] 71/2 71/9
77/3 77/18 78/2 164/5
194/5 194/10 201/23
remedy [4] 201/12
201/19 201/20 232/17
remember [18] 32/10 42/1 72/17 72/19 103/13 112/15 130/13 130/17 130/18 143/17 144/7 152/19 165/13 165/18 176/11 251/15 251/16 254/13
remind [1] 118/22
remnants [1] 64/25 remote [1] 64/14 removed [8] 91/7 94/5
94/5 94/19 209/11
247/23 248/1 248/7
rendered [1] 240/22 renew [1] 279/20
renewal [3] 56/17 57/6 57/13
renewals [1] 195/3
renewed [1] 83/21
rent [22] 46/6 54/17
54/19 54/21 55/6 55/7 55/12 55/14 55/25
55/25 56/2 56/15 56/21
57/10 182/18 184/5
184/11 184/12 192/22
192/24 193/3 272/15
rents [3] 67/9 99/11
101/6
repaid [1] 121/7
repainted [1] 138/25
repair [10] 38/23 39/2

45/7 64/10 131/24 135/4 136/5 139/21
139/24 163/24
repaired [10] 38/18
136/4 137/8 137/9 137/14 137/15 137/17 138/16 138/24 139/14 repairs [26] 61/13 61/18 64/25 111/18 111/25 112/2 112/17 127/19 132/22 132/24 133/10 134/17 134/20 134/22 137/25 138/4 139/3 139/13 140/9 140/11 140/13 140/15 153/23 183/2 226/18 246/24
repay [1] 232/16
repeat [2] 247/25 248/14
rephrase [1] 180/20
replace [3] 38/22
139/24 141/13
replaced [2] 209/15 262/16
replacement [1] 139/24
replacements [1] 195/4
replaces [1] 108/6
reply [5] 267/12 267/17 267/18 267/20 267/22 report [25] 61/22 63/7 63/7 63/10 63/11 63/12 63/21 63/25 64/3 65/3 130/3 132/1 134/17
134/19 134/20 134/22
135/15 135/16 137/10
137/18 138/14 139/2
139/3 162/2 183/7
reported [1] 259/22 reportedly [1] 211/22 reporters [1] 182/6
REPORTING [1] $1 / 25$
reports [4] 179/20
188/25 189/16 190/10
represent [25] 55/5
55/11 64/21 78/12
78/22 103/19 105/17
106/3 106/9 106/20
108/3 109/21 111/22
112/16 120/20 123/16
130/21 158/23 197/23
227/12 230/6 232/4
257/15 257/17 259/17
representation [8]
51/4 119/17 160/14
160/17 179/3 204/16 212/10 231/8
representations [6]
71/15 76/9 101/16
101/19 101/20 213/2
representative [1] 66/18
represented [5] 42/16
46/14 46/16 186/23 222/25
representing [5] 82/13
91/5 177/3 198/1AA3012

| R | resigned [5] 31/23 | 73 | Rule 16 [5] 277/15 | $57$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| representing... [1] |  | 76/24 7 | 277/17 277/19 277/21 |  |
| 221/13 | 20 | 79/22 80/1 80/22 89/16 | 279/12 | 242/3 242/17 242/25 |
| represents [3] 46/2 | resolution [4] 163/22 | 91/3 97/1 97/2 97/19 | Rule 65 [2] 200/24 | 24/9 243/15 243/17 |
| represents [3] 46/23 47/3 55/14 | 164/3 164/4 169/10 | 98/6 99/3 99/10 100/15 | 273/10 | 243/21 244/1 244/ |
| reps [1] 197/18 | resolve [3] 60/16 | 102/8 102/11 103/10 | ruled [1] | 51] 9/13 14/1 |
| Republican [1] 25 | 183/18 | 10 | rules [4] | 50/11 |
| request [19] 10/6 54/1 | resolved [3] 66/2 | 112/4 112/6 112/7 | 75/3 180/4 | 52/1 58/22 69/22 70/15 |
| 62/4 92/25 103/8 | 158/16 158/2 | 115/13 116/13 116/1 | running [1] 61/ | /4 79/18 79/24 80/10 |
| 111/14 113/18 115/18 | resort [1] 169/5 | 117/16 117/21 118/12 | runs [2] 136/2 259 | 80/16 85/8 85/21 85/25 |
| 115/23 135/7 135/8 | respect [2] 71/10 | 118/21 121/21 123/2 | Rutgers [1] 25/5 | /14 92/12 100/10 |
| 144/14 168/4 169/7 | respectfully [2] 260 |  | S | 100/11 100/12 106/20 |
| 182/20 226/23 260/17 |  |  |  |  |
| 272/7 279/21 | respective [3] 71 | 144/23 145/2 146/11 | S004 [1] 170/17 | 10 171/8 178/19 |
| requested [9] 8/10 |  | 146/14 147/20 148/19 |  | 181/ |
| 49/9 49/9 135/5 138/7 | respond [4] 55/20 | 151/15 153/13 154/24 |  | 193/9 218/22 224/3 |
| 223/4 253/25 258/23 | 154/7 154/9 237/23 | 155/7 155/16 164/2 | safety [19] | 225/24 229/16 238/2 |
| 269/21 | responded [1] 155/5 | 165/4 165/21 166/4 | 43/17 43/24 59/18 | 38/24 252/19 254/3 |
| requesting [8] 67/14 | responding [1] 105/1 | 169/15 175/18 176/9 | 59/24 59/24 60/3 | 258/22 263/7 264/7 |
| 103/6 171/17 171/18 | responds [1] 155 | 177/21 184/8 188/8 | 1/8 61/9 159/2 | 264/9 264/19 264 |
| 201/2 211/14 253/18 | response [8] 69/25 | 188/22 195/17 207/7 | 159/12 168/16 213/9 | 268/11 275/10 276/17 |
| 255/8 | 134/20 134/22 163/6 | 208/23 209/21 210/1 | 214/14 256/2 261/23 | saying [17] 50/16 |
| reque | 172/6 186/21 220/5 | 210/12 216/2 216/4 | said [57] 14/1 14/ | 50/19 62/10 64/9 92/11 |
| 102/3 102/18 181/1 | 228/15 | 216/6 217/7 217/11 | 16/19 18/24 18/25 | 93/3 157/19 165/18 |
| require [7] 135/22 | responsi | 229/17 229/22 231 | 31/11 40/8 42/8 44 | 174/11 176/24 190/ |
| 136/5 139/21 170/2 | 35/3 | 234/9 237/4 237/5 | 45/6 46/11 51/13 62 | 192/11 234/5 236/9 |
| 268/6 271/23 272/23 | responsible [4] | 238/3 238/18 239/17 | 62/24 63/8 63/11 64/ | 269/13 269/25 275/23 |
| required [16] 89/10 | 192 | 245 | /10 71/20 71/24 | s [125] |
| 93/12 140/13 140/20 | responsive [4] 71/23 | 245/16 246/2 250/1 | 77/22 80/3 80/20 82/7 | 41/15 46/25 47/4 53/7 |
| 144/1 144/3 144/21 | 92/23 135/8 185/22 | 250/5 250/14 251/17 | 92/18 93/21 100/7 | 53/21 55/13 56/24 |
| 169/8 200/18 226/18 | rest [7] 68/3 151/20 | 252/10 253/6 257/8 | 115/23 122/23 123/8 | 63/21 64/14 70/2 71/8 |
| 230/25 270/21 271/16 | 161/14 187/16 188/19 | 257/19 261/10 267/19 | 130/4 130/21 137/24 | 73/4 73/20 76/3 76/4 |
| 271/21 272/8 279/14 | 237/13 253/9 | 268/1 273/19 276/18 | 152/23 152/24 156/20 | 77/2 78/12 79/19 81/7 |
| requirement [3] 49/17 | restaurant [2] 218/11 | 277/22 279/6 279/23 | 159/6 161/20 166/18 | 82/10 82/16 84/13 |
|  | 218/12 | right-hand [2] 250/5 | 67/22 171/ | 84/23 85/23 86/1 89/12 |
|  | restraining [2] 1/15 | 25 | 202/4 220/7 225/8 | 89/17 93/9 94/12 97/17 |
|  | 6/10 | rightfully [1] 258/5 | 25/11 226/2 233/4 | 97/19 98/25 99/16 |
|  | restrictions [1] 66/25 | rights [28] 51/5 71/1 | 242/3 242/14 243/2 | 100/15 101/2 102/7 |
|  | result [8] 63/7 77/4 | 71/1 71/5 71/6 71/7 | 262/25 269/8 271/2 | 102/10 106/14 106/15 |
|  | 134/16 139/19 160/7 | 71/9 77/3 77/13 97/9 | 274/2 274/14 275/8 | 106/23 107/1 108/12 |
|  | 160/8 193/18 214/16 | 97/14 97/24 98/9 98/10 | sale [17] 30/23 34/2 | 114/16 115/12 115/16 |
| rescinded [2] 10/10 | resulted [2] 126/19 | 99/21 143/3 153/16 | 53/14 53/16 80/11 | 117/14 117/15 120/21 |
| 269/22 | 126/22 | 159/20 169/3 170/2 | 42/ | 128/15 130/13 131/3 |
| rescission [1] 17 | resulting [2] 137/16 | 196/4 222/18 222/19 | 143/21 144/10 202/15 | 131/21 131/22 136/12 |
|  | 191/13 | 225/10 231/22 257/25 | 202/16 202/17 212/8 | 136/18 136/19 137/11 |
|  | results [1] 2 | 258/18 274 | 219/1 219/2 226/10 | 137/13 141/22 142/4 |
| reserve [10] 81/10 82/2 | resume [1] 118/15 | rise [1] | sales [2] 75/2 185/3 | 142/8 142/9 142/9 |
| 86/3 163/25 181/11 | retain [1] 54/12 | rises [2] 58/6 2 | same [33] 12/14 12/16 | 144/19 144/20 145/3 |
|  | return [1] 257/18 | risk [1] 276/14 | 35/13 36/10 48/5 48/5 | 145/21 147/3 147/4 |
|  | revelations [1] 16/20 | rodeo [1] | 51/12 51/12 51/14 70/7 | 147/8 147/17 148/10 |
|  | review [5] 26/19 | roll [1] 100/6 | 95/5 | 48/14 148/16 150/10 |
| $43 / 2144 / 765 / 1565 / 16$ | 150/22 169/22 180/2 | roof [1] 138/23 | 126/8 126/12 151/21 | 150/18 153/13 155/10 |
| 65/19 199/25 215/15 | 277/7 | room [5] 68/3 139/1 | 161/8 171/14 176/20 | 155/22 158/1 159/8 |
| 215/15 215/17 215/20 | revised [1] 151/16 | 188/20 272/21 277/14 | 181/21 182/10 | 159/9 161/12 161/14 |
| 242/20 242/21 243/9 | revisions [1] 94/17 | Rose [1] | 186/21 187/13 199/18 | 161/15 161/23 165/4 |
| 242/20 242/21 | rewarded [1] 262/20 | roughly [1] 31/16 | 207/21 228/11 255/15 | 173/9 182/19 183/5 |
| residences [2] 242/23 | Rhodes [12] 59/1 59/2 | rounding [1] 11/11 | 259/8 262/17 264/4 | 186/2 191/17 191/17 |
| 243/12 | 59/4 59/5 68/15 68/20 | routinely [1] 163/3 | 273/15 276/17 | 191/20 192/1 192/14 |
|  | 68/21 216/9 242/20 | Rs [2] 191/17 191/18 | satisfaction [2] 73/6 | 93/25 194/20 194/21 |
| 60/1 216/11 216/14 | 243/9 243/11 243/13 | rule [31] 8/20 12/18 | 98/10 | 195/25 204/1 204/2 |
|  | Rhodes' [2] 65/16 | 12/21 14/1 18/16 18/19 | satisfactory [4] 49/21 | 204/13 207/11 229/9 |
| residents [5] | 215/15 | 18/22 19/18 43/1 44/22 | 102/2 102/12 102/18 | 229/12 230/5 234/21 |
| $57 / 22158 / 9214 / 18$ | Rhodes's [1] 255/19 | 154/20 178/8 178/12 | satisfied [5] 41/22 | 36/20 237/4 240/12 |
| 57/22 158/9 214/18 | right [129] 4/15 7/7 8/4 | 190/17 200/24 201/11 | 107/17 161/7 168/20 | 250/4 250/17 257 |
|  | 8/24 10/16 10/20 11/21 | 201/15 201/18 202/1 | 234/24 | 257/24 258/13 258/20 |
|  | 14/4 19/25 21/14 22/7 | 202/7 203/11 273/10 | satisfy [3] 84/3 95/12 | 269/18 274/15 274/18 |
| $168 / 21 \text { 208/20 }$ | 23/12 24/1 29/12 29/14 | 277/15 277/17 277/19 | 125/14 | 274/24 275/4 276/19 |
| resignations [1] 80/20 | 33/11 42/4 43/14 51/23 | 277/21 278/5 278/6 | save [1] 180 | scale [1] 24/17 |
| resignations [1] 80/20 | 51/24 63/20 66/1 73/13 | 278/7 278/9 279/12 | saw [17] 10/19 19/4 | scarf [1] 175/15AA30 |

scene [1] 61/4
scheduling [2] 277/18 279/15
scheme [1] 16/25
school [1] 196/25
Schwartz [2] 173/10 173/14
science [1] 224/7
scintilla [2] 256/22 256/23
SCJ [1] 92/12
SCJV [2] 199/3 200/10
screwed [1] 22/21
scrolling [1] 69/19
SCV [1] 101/2
SCVJ [2] 92/12 265/5
seal [1] 213/18
seated [1] 21/19
second [68] 5/9 9/16
11/9 11/14 13/4 23/10 23/14 28/18 29/9 30/10 30/15 30/19 30/21
30/24 31/12 32/6 34/17 34/24 34/25 35/6 45/23
46/1 46/7 53/6 54/20
54/22 56/14 64/16 67/3
67/4 67/16 82/1 83/18
86/24 86/25 87/12
87/14 87/21 87/22 88/9
95/8 121/24 124/3
124/16 125/3 125/4
128/12 128/16 130/25
131/3 132/14 136/25
141/25 142/20 147/17
148/10 205/6 223/1
242/25 243/17 249/25
268/10 270/14 271/3
271/22 275/9 275/16 278/1
secondarily [1] 201/21
secondly [2] 51/2 51/2
Secretary [1] 78/23
section [20] 37/25 38/3
39/15 41/13 56/19
56/24 57/1 76/15 161/4
191/11 191/16 192/1
192/20 192/21 234/21
270/21 270/22 274/23 275/7 276/19
Section 1 [1] 270/22
Section 1 for [2]
270/21 274/23
Section 1.2D [2] 192/20 192/21
Section 1.3 [1] 39/15
Section 3 [1] 275/7
Section 3.5 [1] 56/19
Section 3.7 [1] 56/24
Section 6 [1] 37/25
Section 601 [1] 38/3
Section 8 [1] 41/13
Section 9 on [1] 76/15
secure [1] 182/11 secured [2] 195/7 268/10
securitized [2] 101/22 102/20
security [64] 18/6
18/10 32/13 32/14 33/9 33/12 36/6 36/6 36/13 36/16 37/3 37/5 49/8 50/9 61/25 73/5 75/9 90/16 91/8 91/11 91/24 92/1 92/5 92/6 93/25 94/2 94/11 95/9 95/12 99/6 99/11 101/12 101/22 113/13 113/20 116/17 174/7 181/16 182/11 182/13 188/25 189/6 192/13 192/25 193/2 193/12 194/1 194/2 197/13 208/25 209/8 209/16 214/17 222/11 222/14 228/8 232/12 235/8 235/25 236/9 242/23 262/15 262/16 281/10
see [166] 7/22 13/2 13/18 15/1 16/10 17/15 17/18 19/15 23/20 24/3 34/8 36/22 36/24 36/25 37/1 37/5 51/18 51/19 65/18 65/19 66/2 66/10 70/5 70/6 70/19 71/3 71/12 73/2 73/11 73/15 73/16 73/17 73/22 74/23 75/3 76/19 76/20 76/22 76/25 81/7 81/16 83/12 84/14 87/10 87/22 88/5 90/6 90/14 90/17 90/21 91/21 94/3 97/11 98/23 98/25 99/6 99/22 100/24 101/16 102/1 103/23 106/5 106/12 107/2 107/9 108/10 114/8 114/9 115/10 118/9 118/16 119/13 120/12 120/18 121/19 128/3 130/12 130/25 131/1 131/6 131/12 134/24 135/19 136/2 136/12 137/11 137/21 138/11 138/12 139/9 139/10 139/12 140/4 140/5 142/16 143/4 144/15 144/17 146/16 146/17 150/6 150/16 150/24 151/5 151/10 151/18 154/5 155/22 162/14 162/15 162/17 162/18 162/20 164/6 164/7 165/25 169/17 170/5 171/6 176/10 180/8 180/19 183/3 183/14 183/15 183/21 184/7 185/10 185/13 186/14 186/16 186/17 192/2 193/14 195/9 195/19 196/6 197/8 197/15 197/25 198/1 206/15 211/5 212/7 223/5 224/5 226/19 229/6 230/7 230/15 233/24 235/1 241/12 243/6 243/19 244/2 245/4 250/9

250/12 250/16 250/22 251/7 261/18 278/18 278/19 278/22 seeing [2] 152/19 234/10
seeking [4] 6/9 54/3 254/7 265/3
seeks [1] 257/23 seemed [2] 4/11 58/17 seems [2] 34/1 185/18 seen [16] 46/15 96/8 119/18 120/9 120/15 177/22 189/4 211/8 212/9 227/19 231/11 233/22 241/10 241/15 246/21 246/24
sees [1] 55/10 seize [3] 33/6 96/3 213/19
seized [1] 95/15 selected [1] 62/2 sell [17] 31/10 96/12 96/14 141/21 142/5 143/14 143/23 144/19 200/18 203/16 203/21 205/2 218/18 219/7 226/2 257/5 257/13 seller [4] 29/23 81/13 82/24 96/17
sellers [1] 96/16 selling [1] 214/1 sells [3] 97/8 97/21 195/16
semantics [1] 130/18 senate [1] 59/21 send [7] 84/19 110/12 110/16 226/24 233/25 269/9 277/6
sending [1] 233/19 sent [25] 4/7 7/25 47/3 60/13 66/23 84/20 84/22 92/18 93/2 103/5 103/8 103/8 111/13 113/24 125/13 141/22 149/10 149/18 150/23 166/7 167/16 168/5 168/8 234/3 234/15 sentence [2] 158/6 234/21
separate [6] 18/2
125/17 180/22 193/2 200/13 243/18
separately [1] 13/20 September [25] 35/17 40/5 40/8 41/3 60/10 78/23 87/10 91/3 91/4 94/11 94/12 94/16 95/6 99/4 144/6 176/16
208/11 208/16 215/22 216/7 224/18 224/18 224/19 228/14 240/19 September 27th [1] 99/4
September 7 [1] 144/6 series [9] 10/15 17/2 70/8 94/16 145/15 179/20 187/13 214/12 249/2
serious [3] 57/25

270/19 270/20 seriously [5] 57/21 158/8 169/24 169/25 270/7
serve [5] 26/14 115/17 128/16 147/18 153/19 served [7] 26/1 199/24 200/3 200/7 200/8 200/23 238/10 service [10] 17/23 34/24 35/5 46/5 49/13 54/20 61/14 67/16 140/12 144/13
Servicemembers [1] 211/6
servicer [1] 142/12 services [1] 86/15 servicing [8] 30/14 30/19 30/21 30/24 31/11 45/23 46/1 46/7 set [17] 6/17 8/22 49/5 49/10 49/13 49/17 56/16 57/5 106/16 192/20 263/24 264/7 268/4 268/15 277/17 277/18 279/12
sets [2] 121/5 152/8 setting [3] 44/19 44/25 50/2
settled [1] 35/14
settlement [1] 42/11
seven [8] 156/13
156/14 156/19 157/2 157/15 157/20 173/3 184/7
severability [2] 83/23 83/25
several [10] 11/24
34/13 137/15 138/23 139/4 163/4 198/10 199/5 205/9 213/2
SGC [1] 85/23
SHAC [113] 6/16 9/10 9/14 9/23 18/6 18/8 28/11 29/4 29/6 29/7 29/7 29/18 31/7 31/19 32/3 32/4 33/12 34/16 34/18 34/24 35/9 35/10 35/14 37/9 37/11 37/13 37/16 37/18 39/7 39/21 41/15 46/4 46/6 46/7 47/17 47/21 48/2 48/14 49/5 51/11 51/15 51/16 54/17 54/19 54/20
54/21 54/21 57/9 67/4 67/5 67/5 67/6 67/8 67/8 79/10 79/12 79/14 79/17 79/19 86/13 86/16 89/18 90/14 90/16 90/20 91/17 93/19 94/5 94/6 100/8 100/8 104/13 104/14 106/10 106/14 106/21 110/8 111/6 152/3 153/19 154/4 160/4 168/18 184/9 184/11 184/12 192/10 193/24 197/24 207/17 209/3
209/13 209/19 222/16

223/4 225/10 228/1 228/19 230/7 231/18 232/1 232/10 233/15 246/15 247/14 247/17 248/12 248/16 249/11 258/15 258/16 265/6 270/13
shall [28] 38/4 41/11 71/8 81/9 90/19 93/18 99/18 99/20 100/23
100/24 101/6 101/9
101/12 101/15 102/10 153/19 182/18 191/17 191/21 192/1 192/18 194/24 196/2 196/4 197/7 197/10 197/13 197/14
shape [1] 65/4
shares [5] 94/24
100/11 209/15 247/14 247/17
Shawn [5] 27/13 206/12 214/13 241/7 242/3
she [3] 146/10 177/2 177/3
she's [4] 128/5 165/1
176/25 177/1
Sheila [3] 30/5 36/22 250/13
shift [1] 131/18
shoot [1] 216/10
short [2] 135/10
135/19
SHORTENING [1] 1/16 shot [1] 59/10
should [34] 6/21 6/22
8/13 10/21 17/20 17/21 42/23 42/25 43/5 44/8 44/10 58/8 60/7 66/14 79/24 81/13 91/11 92/12 94/4 154/13 170/3 175/24 197/8 238/2 255/7 255/10 255/14 258/5 265/7 269/19 273/1 274/7 276/11 280/1
should've [3] 10/22 91/7 94/18
shouldn't [4] 45/11
53/23 237/20 269/21
show [25] 7/1 7/24 8/1 8/6 16/4 16/6 16/12 16/23 17/6 17/19 47/6 48/6 55/13 62/9 62/21 63/3 64/15 116/25 132/13 132/13 146/3 153/24 172/11 184/22 264/2
showed [6] 60/13 60/13 62/8 138/20 215/25 264/13
shower [4] 137/19 137/21 137/25 138/11 showing [3] 45/1 45/2 264/12
shown [1] 255/17
shows [9] 65/25 75/12 162/4 172/12 202 243014
shows... [4] 256/22 256/23 262/8 269/7
shut [1] 136/15 shut-off [1] 136/15 shy [1] 276/2 sic [2] 92/12 200/10 side [5] 136/20 138/19 146/11 151/22 151/22
sides [1] 53/24
sign [8] 78/4 80/2
93/19 93/20 94/23
235/6 239/2 246/13
signatory [13] 34/17
37/2 37/4 69/4 70/4 91/12 92/8 93/7 94/19 94/25 209/12 247/23 248/2
signature [14] 70/8 79/14 80/2 90/23 93/9 93/10 99/24 222/7 229/22 251/7 251/8 251/18 251/19 251/20 signed [34] 32/8 33/15 33/25 39/21 39/21 39/22 39/25 41/6 72/11 75/19 78/6 78/6 79/13 92/14 93/13 96/23 100/7 100/8 100/10 108/16 130/4 152/3 161/17 168/22 194/13 195/21 198/2 209/14 222/8 222/11 231/6 235/3 235/22 240/9 significant [8] 61/12 122/19 122/22 153/24 158/11 162/5 270/6 270/20
signing [2] 79/16 252/15
signs [2] 138/20
258/13
silent [1] 55/7
silver [1] 96/13
similar [1] 151/23
Simon [2] 259/7 262/11
simple [2] 168/25 177/8
simply [5] 16/8 148/24 168/17 226/3 263/3
simultaneously [1] 105/8
since [10] 28/16 34/19 42/23 50/3 63/21 103/7 137/9 138/15 141/4 217/8
single [7] 20/21 20/22 20/25 135/13 135/14 250/18 272/13 $\operatorname{sir}[100] 20 / 821 / 14$ 26/8 29/10 29/11 62/24 63/10 69/24 75/8 75/15 75/19 81/20 83/12 84/10 84/23 86/3 86/9 89/20 91/9 92/17 93/1 93/19 94/10 95/1 98/1 99/13 100/5 104/8

106/19 107/16 107/20 111/23 119/1 121/15 122/21 125/15 125/22 126/12 126/17 135/12 137/2 138/2 139/20 143/22 145/4 145/8 145/14 145/19 148/24 155/13 156/11 156/23 157/6 157/14 158/12 159/14 163/15 164/19 165/10 167/11 170/23 181/25 188/13 193/20 193/21 194/15 198/16 198/18 198/23 199/4 200/6 200/11 201/9 204/24 205/5 205/20 207/3 216/16 216/22 217/7 217/20 220/6 221/21 233/8 237/8 239/5 239/11 240/1 240/6 240/8 240/11 240/15 241/9 241/22 244/11 244/18 245/11 249/22 250/15 252/8 Sisolak [1] 169/16 Sisolak's [1] 171/2 sit [5] 95/3 249/14 254/3 254/24 258/17 site [1] 177/1 situation [1] 273/16 six [9] $7 / 1821 / 24$ 64/13 89/25 122/9 184/13 193/9 244/7 260/6
size [2] 244/3 262/24 sized [1] 122/24 SJC [124] 6/5 6/16 9/15 28/9 28/14 28/22 29/1 29/5 29/18 31/14 31/16 31/19 31/20 32/2 32/3 32/9 33/12 35/11 35/15 36/4 36/5 37/1 37/3 37/3 37/5 37/5 37/8 37/11 39/8 39/22 41/16 41/16 41/18 41/19 41/20 41/21 41/22 41/24 46/6 54/17 54/19 54/20 57/8 57/11 67/9 69/2 69/4 69/5 69/21 70/2 70/4 70/10 70/16 70/17 74/25 76/5 78/6 78/8 78/10 78/14 78/16 78/24 79/12 79/17 79/24 79/25 85/25 86/1 86/13 86/13 86/16 90/24 91/12 91/17 92/6 93/8 94/5 94/19 94/24 98/25 99/11 99/25 100/2 100/7 100/10 101/15 101/18 101/22 103/22 104/13 104/14 106/18 108/17 113/11 113/16 114/3 153/19 154/2 154/11 154/12 160/3 184/12 192/9 192/11 193/24 194/12 194/14 194/17 197/23 198/3 209/6 209/11 209/14

211/14 220/17 222/4 222/8 223/4 229/9 231/5 233/14 233/20 247/23 248/1
SJC's [7] 29/3 32/14 33/14 78/6 79/17 100/6 102/20
SJCV [24] 73/8 74/20 77/5 90/14 90/16 90/19 93/17 99/18 100/22
101/2 102/10 103/18
106/2 106/8 106/14
106/20 196/2 197/7
199/24 229/13 229/16
229/25 230/6 234/24
SJVC [1] 222/10
SJZ [1] 76/5
skip [2] 173/19 247/11
skipped [1] 167/11
slash [1] 17/25
slight [1] 241/22
Sloppy [1] 113/10
small [1] 165/20
smart [2] 62/10 133/2 smiling [1] 128/3 so [296]
SOCIAL [1] 281/9 soda [1] 188/6
solar [2] 24/16 276/6
sold [44] 7/2 42/5 42/6
42/8 42/14 42/15 42/16
42/18 42/18 42/25
44/23 44/24 52/2
104/17 104/22 124/12
124/15 201/8 210/15
210/18 210/20 211/22
211/23 212/5 212/10
212/11 218/13 218/20
218/22 218/23 218/25
220/16 222/16 222/18
222/20 223/8 233/10
233/17 234/16 269/8
269/15 270/1 270/3
270/12
sole [5] 29/5 37/15 39/13 154/11 193/11
solely [1] 70/25
some [53] 4/15 7/5 9/3 10/18 11/4 11/11 12/2
16/6 16/9 16/20 17/20
23/23 27/17 27/25 32/7 33/6 38/9 38/10 44/11
52/3 57/15 57/17 57/25
61/24 79/5 86/18 95/24
111/21 112/2 120/19 127/17 137/9 137/17 138/14 176/3 177/6 187/20 188/6 190/25 191/7 202/7 207/8 209/8 218/13 221/17 224/5 227/13 241/2 243/5 243/20 249/4 251/2 269/12
somebody [7] 8/17 9/19 19/22 27/7 44/8 61/16 137/24
someday [1] 254/12 somehow [3] 92/1 228/1 258/9
someone [2] 7/17 272/14
something [25] 14/25 44/13 47/4 74/10 74/15 116/17 122/13 131/19 146/2 154/22 173/9 174/2 175/8 188/6 195/24 202/5 202/22 204/15 213/14 257/2 259/19 261/13 263/11 271/23 277/23
sometime [1] 226/10 sometimes [2] 23/23 68/2
Somewhat [1] 188/21 somewhere [2] 46/23 96/8
son [9] 17/3 27/6 27/10 43/22 58/4 59/4 159/4 205/14 214/13
soon [3] 13/13 206/16 273/18
sorry [65] 9/18 14/14 15/8 35/20 36/19 37/20 40/5 40/8 40/12 48/17 70/9 74/7 77/23 78/21 83/6 84/7 85/4 85/12 85/13 87/15 88/24 88/25 90/12 93/16 98/8 101/5 105/19 107/2 108/13 119/25 121/18 121/21 128/2 128/20 135/12 135/16 139/1 145/23 145/23 146/7 146/14 148/9 160/22 173/19 173/25 175/23 180/6 192/11 204/8 210/3 212/20 218/7 221/1 224/12 228/23 234/10 241/22 244/6 244/9 245/23 248/14 250/10 250/20 274/12 279/9
sort [5] 23/23 241/2 243/5 243/20 265/7 sorts [1] 45/13
sounded [1] 242/15
sounds [1] 123/24
south [1] 136/20
Southeast [1] 223/20
Southern [3] 26/3 26/17 199/3
southwest [1] 138/10
spa [6] 140/8 140/22
140/22 141/11 141/11 141/12
spalled [1] 131/4
SPANISH [73] 1/4 6/4 6/15 18/1 26/23 27/2 27/4 27/14 27/17 27/22 28/3 28/7 28/10 29/25 30/1 30/8 31/7 36/25 38/7 38/13 39/3 42/17 45/19 45/24 47/22 57/21 58/24 59/2 60/23 61/11 64/1 67/3 68/9 80/8 92/11 92/14 92/15 93/5 93/7 96/23 108/16 114/4 117/14 119/13

153/17 153/20 157/22 159/22 159/23 174/7 189/1 194/17 195/21 198/3 211/15 214/15 219/5 221/7 221/11 222/9 222/21 224/24 233/3 233/11 240/5 240/13 240/16 242/11 246/5 247/2 251/10 254/5 255/13
speak [6] 5/21 7/11 7/17 110/13 150/11 178/5
speaker [2] 5/18 5/21 speaking [2] 77/12 241/14
speaks [2] 47/2 71/22
special [2] 146/8 146/9
specific [14] $8 / 22$ 10/2 82/12 115/18 130/5 130/7 149/23 150/2 157/6 200/25 225/1 226/7 260/1 264/7 specifically [15] 6/12 25/11 28/5 77/18 145/9 145/10 154/14 161/5 202/21 211/19 214/19 225/11 229/24 234/19 269/22
specified [1] 57/3 speed [3] 241/7 242/4 242/4
speeding [3] 159/3
261/9 264/16
spell [5] 21/20 217/16 239/21 245/22 245/24
spend [1] 264/21
spending [1] 135/2
spent [3] 24/21 25/1 134/25
spins [1] 66/9
spite [1] 264/25
spoke [1] 171/12
spot [4] 9/18 9/19 121/20 261/22
square [1] 276/2
stage [5] 253/23
266/16 266/17 276/12 279/5
stains [6] 137/20
138/18 138/18 138/20 139/7 139/9
stairs [1] 131/1
stall [1] 137/20
stamp [1] 186/16
stand [4] 20/5 20/6 72/8 95/3
standards [1] 273/10 standing [16] 7/3 7/4 45/8 238/1 238/4 238/5 254/2 254/4 255/11 258/6 258/6 258/8 258/15 269/16 270/1 270/3
stands [2] 55/11 150/11
start [21] 4/6 4/16 25/2 115/19 115/25 128/17 129/4 129/20 12 2213015
start... [12] 143/10
177/5 200/4 202/14 202/18 205/4 211/2 211/4 211/11 211/16 225/24 274/5
started [6] 25/18 129/17 154/14 200/14 200/15 204/5
starting [3] 203/19 204/15 225/17
starts [8] 11/13 37/3 119/12 143/15 143/18 193/10 208/15 257/4 state [19] 21/20 26/17 26/19 38/3 43/21 45/16 53/4 59/21 78/24 155/23 161/5 205/25 217/16 223/18 239/21 245/22 245/24 253/22 269/20
stated [5] 37/7 49/5 230/22 233/3 241/3
statement [18] 2/2 2/3 5/7 5/24 6/2 7/21 8/20 14/5 43/6 51/4 58/4 129/19 158/17 159/7 160/3 174/3 200/25 240/14
statements [4] 5/3 12/21 52/4 176/25 states [3] 115/7 144/11 164/15
stating [3] 64/3 214/22 234/13
status [5] 45/16 101/21
102/19 277/18 278/2
statute [4] 10/16 59/22 60/2 144/2
statutes [2] 203/20 204/22
statutorily [2] 144/21 168/2
statutory [5] 141/20 143/23 168/7 211/10 257/10
stay [7] 5/12 7/12 45/12 163/2 268/1 270/11 279/7
stay-at-home [1] 45/12 stayed [1] 66/21 step [5] 53/13 53/16 53/19 171/4 216/22
steps [1] $4 / 10$
Steve [1] 23/3
stick [1] 259/19
sticker [3] 23/17 23/18 117/20
stickers [1] 5/13 still [26] 4/11 19/19 43/3 45/12 45/23 64/10 66/17 67/19 88/24 90/13 104/15 104/15 118/22 128/20 135/11 188/4 206/7 214/4 214/6 219/23 233/19 234/15 249/10 258/6 258/8 276/18
stills [2] 65/21 66/5 stipulate [1] 21/3 stipulated [3] 21/6 52/13 179/6 stipulation [2] 33/21 52/15
stock [28] 9/23 18/6 18/8 32/10 36/4 37/9 51/6 51/16 98/10 100/9 106/10 106/15 106/21 106/22 167/21 196/19 197/24 209/3 209/6 209/14 212/2 212/3 229/25 230/7 231/9 258/11 258/12 258/14 stood [1] 269/8 stop [7] 11/14 84/19 84/25 92/20 262/21 268/24 269/23 stopped [2] 88/18 202/20
stops [1] 268/24
story [1] 161/18 straight [1] 264/18 straighten [3] 236/4 236/5 277/22 strange [2] 8/9 17/20 street [12] 59/10 65/15 78/25 139/18 216/2 216/4 216/6 223/20 242/25 243/16 243/22 261/3
strictly [3] 208/3 272/15 273/20
strike [3] 16/16 105/13 224/18
strikes [1] 265/12 structure [1] 86/21 stuck [1] 146/10
stuff [2] 191/7 257/11 subject [19] 10/21 39/12 41/18 41/21 43/9 75/25 83/22 83/25 107/17 108/5 149/24 166/18 167/23 182/12 194/23 194/24 234/23 257/22 275/18
subjective [1] 131/17 submission [1] 192/16 submit [10] 66/16 135/3 135/5 178/16 180/2 253/7 257/12 264/20 267/24 276/3 submits [1] 10/9 submitted [13] 48/13 64/9 66/13 68/19 68/21 68/21 112/1 134/25 170/23 173/6 188/25 189/3 211/21
submitting [1] 189/6 subordinate [2] 194/25 195/11
subordinated [1] 89/4 subpoena [2] 4/5 4/9 subpoenas [2] 267/5 279/17
subsequent [10] 36/13 41/5 56/17 57/6 104/1 132/1 137/10 139/2

151/9 225/15
subsequently [2] 65/1 88/15
substance [3] 102/12 102/13 102/15
substantial [1] 33/6 substantially [2] 58/7 163/23
substantive [1] 19/10 substitute [1] 32/12 substituted [1] 32/13 succeed [1] 264/1 success [3] 16/7 237/20 264/14 successful [1] 16/3 successive [2] 39/12 161/16
successor [3] 231/15 258/4 278/25
successors [3] 42/25 255/12 256/8
such [19] $47 / 181 / 14$ 81/18 81/23 82/14 84/24 93/6 103/25 191/21 191/22 193/11
193/14 193/17 241/11 257/9 260/2 263/13 264/23 268/12
sued [2] 254/11 254/13 suffer [2] 44/14 67/21 suffered [1] 162/5 suggest [2] 18/11 185/18
suggested [1] 31/17 suggestion [1] 49/15 sum [2] 90/5 192/20 Sunday's [1] 265/10 supersedes [1] 108/6 supervisor [1] 177/1 supplement [2] 190/8 190/21
supply [2] 136/11 136/12
support [4] 8/22 180/3 225/22 278/25
supposed [17] 49/13 49/19 49/23 84/3 85/18 85/23 85/25 95/18 95/21 175/9 210/9 226/13 246/25 264/21 272/24 274/22 276/18 Supreme [2] 257/25 262/12
sure [30] 27/3 28/19 31/9 36/10 70/6 78/11 78/18 87/5 113/18 119/11 121/10 127/18 147/2 154/25 155/12 163/20 170/25 178/7 193/7 194/12 207/11 215/13 248/1 248/19 249/4 249/12 254/21 266/18 272/2 273/6 surge [1] 38/19 surprised [1] 167/23 surrender [1] 51/23 survived [1] 209/9 suspect [1] 273/2 suspend [1] 277/20
suspended [2] 71/1 71/9
sustained [3] 62/15 178/4 191/2
SVCJ [1] 246/19
swear [2] 21/14 217/11 switched [1] 118/14 switching [1] 108/25 sworn [7] 21/17 217/8 217/14 239/14 239/19 245/12 245/19 system [16] 38/10 38/19 38/22 62/1 62/10 62/22 63/4 64/14 112/9 131/22 132/12 132/16 133/2 133/2 135/4 140/21

## T

table [1] 22/23
tacked [1] 123/13
take [99] 7/11 9/2 9/23 16/9 43/7 51/6 51/14 60/16 61/17 69/1 69/6 69/15 70/18 72/22 73/14 73/24 74/19 75/5 75/17 75/19 76/4 76/14 76/18 81/6 82/18 84/13 87/8 87/12 88/11 90/23 91/14 95/5 96/18 98/14 99/15 99/24 102/22 105/17 106/1 108/19 109/8 114/17 129/14 130/24 131/11 131/21 132/20 134/2 135/25 136/6 136/18 138/17 141/15 145/14 146/22 147/9 148/8 149/10 151/8 151/9 151/15 152/1 153/2 157/24 164/8 169/23 169/24 170/17 171/11 171/25 172/13 172/25 173/16 175/10 186/13 187/11 187/11 187/17 187/20 187/22 187/24 188/2 188/8 191/25 193/6 194/15 229/4 230/13 241/20 249/21 250/24 258/18 259/1 260/25 262/10 265/13 266/13 274/21 280/1
taken [13] 51/8 52/5
57/20 58/1 58/16 60/23 61/10 66/12 91/12 105/7 151/25 170/3 273/19
takes [10] 16/14 17/22 57/11 57/12 97/7
143/21 195/14 231/12 265/9 274/5
taking [6] 34/20 34/22 71/7 72/3 115/21 125/19
talk [19] 18/4 27/25
39/3 44/2 44/12 45/23 58/10 60/10 78/8 88/4 116/1 116/22 175/15 179/10 233/9 234/2

254/20 271/2 274/25
talked [10] 46/22 74/9
113/5 125/7 158/16 172/18 197/19 215/3 242/14 269/6
talking [7] 32/16 58/23
84/22 127/21 140/3 179/9 203/11
talks [5] 64/23 73/17 137/5 192/21 194/5 tape [3] 140/1 140/5 140/7
target [1] 214/18 tax [7] 88/21 89/3 89/6 89/11 192/2 226/10 281/10
taxes [20] 15/21 88/12 88/14 88/16 88/17 89/2 89/13 89/16 123/18 123/20 123/22 124/3 184/13 184/16 192/6 227/1 260/8 268/7 271/4 271/22 technically [3] 13/17 19/7 79/22
technician [1] 45/5 telephone [2] 171/9 245/12
telephonic [3] 101/25 102/17 103/3
tell [44] 7/18 20/10 23/5 23/6 24/13 25/8 25/15 28/4 28/14 28/25 35/9 36/2 38/17 39/10 40/18 40/24 43/7 47/10 48/13 62/24 63/10 63/20 65/13 112/5 129/15 140/1 161/19 175/12 199/18 201/17 202/7 203/24 203/24 210/15 225/18 241/13 242/6 250/1 262/13 263/10 266/3 273/13 275/3 276/1
telling [6] 121/11 158/5 174/10 174/12 269/14 275/16
tells [1] 257/10
temporary [2] 1/15 6/10
tenancy [4] 180/23 180/25 181/6 181/7 tenant [21] 6/16 29/7 32/3 39/13 39/19 39/20 39/22 41/5 161/17 162/10 191/12 191/17 191/17 191/20 192/1 192/9 192/11 192/16 193/10 193/11 194/14 tenant's [1] 192/15 tendered [1] 86/2 tense [1] 161/7 term [9] 39/10 39/11 39/16 56/18 115/19 181/23 182/1 192/18 272/16
terminate [4] 41/24 97/10 195/18 231/4 terminated [11] \&/A3016

| T | 136 | 158/12 158/13 158/16 | 0/19 190/25 | $132 / 22138$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 141/15 1 | 158/17 158/17 159/6 | 192/7 221/17 249/24 | 138/22 138/23 139 |
| 97/14 107/9 161/6 | 142/24 151/24 157/21 | 159/8 159/9 159/16 | 258/5 260/13 264/18 | 140/3 140/13 142/4 |
| 161/21 230/14 230/22 | 158/1 158/15 159/19 | 160/14 160/24 161/12 | 264/19 277/2 279/4 | 146/21 148/25 154/10 |
| 236/21 236/24 237/2 | 161/1 161/24 163/17 | 161/12 161/14 161/23 | themselves [1] 150/12 | 154/20 154/21 155/20 |
| 236/21 236/24 237/2 | 164/8 164/11 165/10 | 165/5 166/12 166/13 | then [115] 12/18 13/14 | 156/2 156/6 156/7 |
| termination [2] 8/3 | 166/6 170/18 172/4 | 166/21 171/9 172/6 | 13/21 13/25 13/25 14/2 | 156/25 157/19 159/14 |
| 42/4 | 172/21 173/2 179/12 | 172/10 172/11 172/1 | 14/11 16/11 17/2 24/22 | 163/5 165/4 166/8 |
| terms [ | 182/9 182/16 186/6 | 173/8 173/12 174/2 | 24/22 25/21 25/24 27/6 | 168/16 176/5 182/19 |
| 39/17 40/1 41/17 90/20 | 188/7 188/9 188/15 | 174/16 176/17 178/19 | 27/7 30/11 30/12 31/23 | 183/19 185/18 185/25 |
| 115/13 115/14 116/15 | 192/8 194/4 194/12 | 178/20 178/21 179/7 | 36/13 36/24 36/25 | 191/20 193/1 198/12 |
| 116/16 116/22 162/7 | 194/15 197/4 200/22 | 180/7 181/2 181/18 | 39/16 39/22 44/2 45/10 | 198/15 199/2 199/13 |
| 235/21 | 204/10 205/7 205/14 | 181/20 181/22 182/21 | 49/1 53/13 53/14 53/15 | 200/13 200/17 200/25 |
| ible [2] | 206/10 209/21 210/12 | 184/10 184/16 189/9 | 60/16 62/11 62/17 | 202/24 203/5 205/9 |
| 260/4 | 212/19 214/23 216/2 | 192/12 193/21 198/1 | 64/13 64/20 66/2 66/1 | 207/10 209/2 214/17 |
| test [1] 137/ | 217/16 217/20 217/22 | 199/5 200/11 203/14 | 67/10 69/5 71/14 72/20 | 214/22 215/7 215/10 |
| test [1] 137/20 | 220/8 221/4 223/13 | 203/23 204/4 204/24 | 73/20 78/4 80/21 80/23 | 215/14 215/16 215/17 |
| 132/15 140/18 141/12 | 223/15 224/17 231/2 | 206/4 208/19 208/22 | 81/21 88/4 88/18 90/3 | 215/18 215/19 215/20 |
| testified [19] 21/18 | 232/23 236/16 237/8 | 210/8 211/10 211/16 | 93/23 93/25 94/2 99/3 | 215/21 215/22 215/23 |
| 59/20 71/20 95/23 | 239/4 239/6 239/12 | 211/16 220/14 221/2 | 99/15 104/25 106/1 | 216/10 223/7 228/18 |
| 112/21 121/23 123/8 | 239/21 239/25 240/1 | 223/4 231/24 232/22 | 107/25 108/17 113/7 | 229/7 231/5 232/9 |
| 123/11 123/15 143/15 | 241/6 241/18 241/24 | 233/5 236/10 236/14 | 113/8 114/11 116/2 | 232/12 235/7 237/4 |
| 152/11 164/20 217/15 | 241/24 244/12 244/1 | 236/16 237/4 242/9 | 117/5 117/6 117/16 | 240/19 240/24 242/7 |
| 224/1 239/20 245/20 | 244/20 251/22 252/4 | 243/10 243/24 247/1 | 118/15 125/7 126/16 | 242/21 248/6 248/19 |
| 255/19 255/25 270/13 | 252/24 253/3 253/5 | 249/12 251/4 251/17 | 141/25 143/16 143/19 | 253/21 254/1 255/1 |
| testifies [1] 17 | 256/14 256/15 256/1 | 251/17 253/25 254/1 | 143/20 144/14 145/22 | 255/17 256/3 256/22 |
| testify [5] 149/3 177/5 | 256/18 260/16 266/14 | 254/23 257/8 259/1 | 148/16 150/10 150/18 | 256/23 256/24 257/2 |
| 177/6 215/11 223/24 | 267/3 269/1 269/2 | 259/13 259/25 260/20 | 151/1 151/9 152/23 | 257/9 257/15 257/19 |
|  | 270/15 270/16 277/1 | 261/10 264/5 267/1 | 156/2 161/6 162/12 | 257/22 258/25 260/18 |
|  | 277/12 279/8 | 268/18 268/19 269 | 163/1 164/23 165/12 | 260/20 260/21 260/21 |
|  | Thanks [2] | 272/8 272/25 274/18 | 167/1 171/4 174/12 | 260/23 261/3 261/17 |
|  | that [1386] | 275/6 276/1 277/24 | 187/19 191/16 193/11 | 261/20 261/25 263/3 |
| 91/23 92/2 95/17 | that'll [2] 5 | 27 | 194/12 197/17 201/8 | 264/8 264/9 266/19 |
| 102/24 104/18 104/20 | that's [217] 4/10 7/17 | their [67] 7/2 10/19 | 201/20 202/12 202/15 | 270/11 270/18 272/4 |
| 105/1 114/20 137/13 | 8/12 14/10 15/1 15/16 | 12/1 16/7 16/7 16/8 | 207/25 212/5 215/22 | 272/8 273/21 273/23 |
| 137/15 139/6 140/16 | 15/17 16/15 17/18 | 17/1 30/18 30/24 32/ | 219/23 231/1 235/19 | 278/24 |
| 141/10 143/17 146/15 | 17/18 18/4 19/10 21/9 | 32/7 32/10 34/19 34/20 | 242/24 243/15 244/1 | There'll [2] 16/20 17/5 |
| 63/12 173/24 176/14 | 23/12 26/24 28/16 | 34/25 36/23 43/23 54/9 | 258/22 259/10 265/10 | there's [128] 4/4 7/3 |
| 176/17 176/19 181/2 | 31/25 32/19 33/8 36/23 | 57/17 67/19 71/6 73/6 | 269/14 272/4 273/4 | 8/7 8/19 9/21 10/25 |
| 218/21 220/11 227/25 | 37/3 37/3 37/7 37/13 | 89/3 90/19 92/22 93/3 | 274/24 276/17 279/12 | 10/25 11/1 11/4 11/4 |
| 228/3 228/5 232/20 | 43/21 44/4 45/13 48/7 | 93/18 106/10 106/15 | there [209] 4/11 7/12 | 11/5 12/2 15/20 15/22 |
| 234/4 2 | 49/11 50/20 51/6 52/5 | 106/21 126/12 152/3 | 7/24 7/24 7/25 8/2 10/7 | 16/6 17/8 18/3 19/15 |
| 256/21 261/7 | 52/8 60/21 61/16 62/13 | 152/4 152/22 153/15 | 10/13 10/14 10/15 | 30/9 30/12 35/1 38/11 |
|  | 65/20 66/21 69/6 71/23 | 162/10 169/3 180/2 | 11/10 11/11 11/24 12/5 | 38/25 42/4 43/11 44/5 |
|  | 72/1 72/2 75/12 76/2 | 197/24 207/20 209/6 | 12/11 12/19 14/7 14/25 | 44/6 44/15 44/20 45/10 |
|  | 76/17 78/5 78/12 79/14 | 209/18 211/22 212/2 | 15/20 16/8 17/2 17/5 | 45/13 47/4 47/5 50/16 |
|  | 79/22 80/3 83/2 83/23 | 212/8 222/8 230/7 | 17/8 21/2 23/6 23/16 | 50/25 51/17 53/14 |
|  | 86/1 87/24 89/22 89/25 | 231/8 231/8 242/22 | 23/19 29/8 32/9 33/11 | 55/12 56/13 57/1 57/3 |
|  | 90/14 91/7 91/16 93/6 | 255/5 259/21 263/23 | 33/12 37/4 38/9 38/18 | 58/14 59/4 61/3 61/3 |
| 182/13 | 94/21 97/18 99/13 | 264/12 264/13 266/6 | 42/3 42/4 43/8 46/21 | 61/4 61/5 61/5 61/7 |
|  | 101/8 104/24 105/2 | 267/9 267/12 267/22 | 47/1 49/1 49/7 49/16 | 64/8 64/10 64/12 64/18 |
|  | 105/4 105/11 106/22 | 270/3 271/15 271/17 | 49/17 50/19 51/7 52/6 | 64/22 65/2 65/21 67/21 |
|  | 107/14 109/17 109/23 | 274/16 275/1 276/15 | 54/24 55/13 57/25 58/8 | 71/14 74/10 74/12 |
| 19/24 20/2 21/19 $22 / 10$ | 110/15 110/19 111/1 | 276/15 279/11 | 59/11 59/23 60/21 61/6 | 76/13 88/21 90/3 92/17 |
| 24/7 26/9 26/9 29/15 | 114/16 116/16 119/5 | them [62] 4/11 5/13 9/3 | 61/7 61/15 61/21 64/4 | 94/16 94/18 96/2 96/16 |
| 35/23 37/20 40/13 | 119/17 119/24 120/1 | 12/7 12/9 12/12 12/12 | 66/10 68/18 69/3 69/6 | 96/16 104/14 105/4 |
| 40/14 40/19 55/15 | 120/4 120/10 120/14 | 13/19 13/22 19/5 19/16 | 74/13 76/11 78/16 | 106/22 110/4 112/21 |
| 56/20 63/2 63/22 67/22 | 120/22 120/22 120/25 | 22/19 23/3 23/4 32/14 | 78/17 78/19 79/3 82/4 | 117/10 124/23 135/2 |
| 69/18 76/14 77/17 8 | 122/23 122/25 124/4 | 44/9 49/10 60/1 60/13 | 83/14 83/15 83/16 | 136/15 137/9 139/25 |
| 84/11 85/16 86/10 91/2 | 125/15 126/10 128/5 | 60/13 60/14 63/9 64/12 | 83/17 83/17 86/25 | 140/7 140/12 144/18 |
| 96/19 97/23 98/12 | 128/9 129/5 129/12 | 64/13 88/18 88/19 | 90/14 93/9 94/18 94/21 | 146/19 147/24 154/10 |
| 98/20 101/4 105/22 | 132/18 133/5 135/2 | 88/23 89/8 95/16 | 96/9 100/14 102/2 | 154/12 174/16 177/20 |
| 106/7 106/24 107/13 | 135/9 135/10 137/9 | 113/25 115/2 117/16 | 102/18 104/11 104/12 | 183/19 192/25 202/12 |
|  | 138/22 139/8 144/8 | 123/13 125/13 126/17 | 104/25 108/7 110/4 | 203/4 203/5 203/15 |
| 114/17 117/23 118/18 | 145/2 145/4 145/14 | 130/9 135/5 139/20 | 113/18 116/13 116/14 | 203/15 203/17 203/19 |
| 120/2 120/11 121/2 | 146/15 147/8 147/12 | 151/22 152/24 155/5 | 116/21 121/2 121/19 | 203/19 210/19 214/6 |
| 124/13 128/1 130/17 | 147/14 148/12 148/12 | 18 | 12 | 2 |
| 131/21 132/3 135/17 | 148/21 149/8 152/1 | 187/17 187/20 187/2 | 126/6 126/8 126/21 | 5/4 255/2 |
| 131/21 132/3 135/17 | 154/24 155/16 157/23 | 187/22 188/3 189/4 | 128/4 128/5 131/18 | 255/23 257/13 257A |

$T$
there's... [18] 257/14 258/3 259/20 259/23 261/12 261/15 261/16 264/8 265/10 265/19 265/20 266/19 268/12 268/16 268/17 270/5 272/23 276/2
thereafter [2] 55/7 192/17
thereby [1] 195/7
thereof [2] 171/3 195/5
thereon [1] 195/8
thereto [1] 152/9
thereunder [1] 195/6
thermostats [1] 132/17 these [58] 4/10 10/10 10/20 16/2 17/12 33/6 40/1 45/8 47/5 48/13 55/9 64/10 65/20 66/14 76/24 79/13 95/2 103/6 115/24 119/12 129/14 133/18 134/19 138/24 140/15 146/9 153/25 162/2 162/13 163/4 163/9 167/1 167/15 169/23 174/10 176/21 176/25 177/20 178/9 180/3 187/16 189/7 189/18 190/6 190/20 203/7 222/20 232/5 232/6 256/12 256/25 259/6 268/9 269/16 269/23 270/8 270/14 272/13
they [209] 5/12 8/23 8/24 9/23 10/4 12/15 12/16 12/17 16/4 16/5 16/6 16/12 19/4 23/21 30/21 30/23 31/10 31/11 32/1 32/11 32/11 34/5 34/22 41/23 42/5 42/8 42/14 42/23 42/24 42/24 42/25 43/15 43/16 43/18 44/2 44/20 44/21 44/23 44/23 44/23 44/24 45/5 46/14 46/16 47/6 47/6 48/17 48/23 48/24 49/10 51/16 52/1 52/1 53/18 54/8 54/15 58/13 58/14 59/17 60/8 60/8 61/7 61/9 63/8 63/20 64/11 64/11 64/21 67/11 67/20 67/20 71/7 71/7 71/20 72/2 72/7 86/15 86/24 88/17 88/18 88/19 102/5 103/19 104/17 104/17 104/22 106/3 106/9 106/10 106/20 112/7 113/6 113/25 114/20 114/23 114/23 115/1 115/12 116/10 116/11 123/16 124/12 124/15 125/14 126/13 126/13 127/1 127/10 137/24 139/21 150/4 151/22 152/23

163/4 163/7 163/9 170/15 182/6 185/21 186/23 190/7 197/23 197/24 201/5 201/8 201/11 207/24 209/4 210/20 211/22 211/23 212/1 212/2 212/5 212/10 212/11 212/11 213/9 216/8 216/16 217/5 217/5 228/11 228/12 228/20 229/21 230/6 230/6 230/23 231/15 233/6 236/25 237/19 237/25 241/3 241/13 242/21 243/4 244/8 248/6 254/3 254/4 254/11 254/11 254/13 255/13 255/14 256/22 258/6 258/7 259/12 259/21 259/21 259/21 262/1 262/2 262/3 262/6 262/24 262/25 263/24 264/2 264/6 264/7 264/8 264/9 264/12 264/13 267/6 267/13 267/14 267/17 267/18 267/23 269/12 269/15 269/23 269/25 270/3 270/3 270/14 271/16 272/1 272/8 272/9 272/10 274/14 277/1 280/2 they'Il [3] 16/5 88/20 279/5
they're [38] 4/8 5/18 8/24 12/14 12/25 19/13 43/14 44/7 55/11 65/1 71/6 102/4 114/24 121/7 122/22 155/8 174/11 174/12 184/3 189/2 189/17 190/9 222/6 222/25 228/9 232/19 237/25 254/9 254/24 255/10 262/17 262/18 269/10 270/2 274/8 275/24 277/23 279/18
they've [13] 5/12 5/13 42/2 50/17 71/17 138/7 179/25 214/18 214/20 243/10 255/5 266/5 269/11
thing [8] 18/4 152/25 185/18 212/9 244/1 257/9 259/8 276/17
things [13] 15/5 38/23 38/25 39/1 43/15 64/9 70/24 128/6 210/8 260/23 262/14 274/23 276/18
think [63] 16/12 23/25 29/12 31/10 38/20 44/23 47/4 49/8 58/5 58/6 60/13 71/22 78/9 93/22 100/4 104/17 108/25 111/21 113/21 119/3 120/10 120/10 121/18 126/11 127/4 127/10 127/11 128/9

138/5 138/6 138/7 144/24 147/12 152/2 152/17 155/8 158/22 165/1 166/1 172/10 172/13 189/13 196/10 199/18 203/1 206/3 211/23 211/23 212/1 212/6 213/22 213/23 219/3 229/21 244/7 255/16 258/1 259/3 260/3 278/13 278/14 278/23 279/4
thinking [1] 251/23 third [31] 11/13 14/7 14/13 14/14 14/16 14/20 14/21 29/24 30/10 30/19 30/24 34/17 34/20 52/3 77/4 87/15 88/11 104/5 104/7 104/9 115/4 120/6 124/3 139/11 271/8 271/13 271/23 273/12 275/11 275/12 275/18
thirty [1] 184/7
thirty-three [1] 184/7 this [397]
those [78] 4/6 10/24 12/6 15/13 19/7 19/13 20/10 35/7 40/16 46/5 53/3 59/14 59/16 60/5 65/2 70/5 77/15 88/2 88/8 88/16 90/8 92/13 92/13 99/9 99/11
101/18 110/24 114/18 122/2 122/5 123/4 124/10 124/23 132/1 138/4 138/15 139/13 139/14 139/17 144/15 156/13 156/14 159/12 162/14 170/7 184/7 187/15 187/23 188/24 189/16 190/5 190/5 225/18 227/14 231/22 232/18 237/1 240/21 242/23 242/23 257/24 258/24 261/6 262/18 263/2 265/2 265/11 265/24 269/11 269/12 269/13 270/5 273/5 273/19 276/14 279/18 279/20 280/1
though [5] 91/25 94/8 97/1 131/20 203/1 thought [8] 11/3 52/18 200/20 209/15 226/5 241/2 243/5 243/20 thousand [14] 11/11 38/23 59/23 116/17 134/25 226/17 246/24 255/6 256/6 264/21 274/7 276/6 276/23 277/1
threat [2] 162/16 264/2 threaten [3] 163/1 163/13 259/21
threatened [1] 259/22 threats [3] 162/13 169/5 169/25
three [21] 16/11 24/24 25/12 27/16 61/15 80/20 84/1 84/2 110/4 122/9 129/2 139/10 139/11 181/24 182/13 184/7 186/22 260/5 265/14 277/19 279/10 three-month [2] 181/24 182/13 threshold [1] 138/10 through [67] 7/18 10/18 16/2 16/23 16/24 20/12 20/15 20/19 20/21 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/22 27/21 31/2 31/14 38/13 38/19 42/21 45/7 46/4 49/22 49/24 52/17 54/1 54/21 57/11 59/25 60/2 60/14 60/15 60/20 61/25 67/10 70/7 78/4 80/23 82/22 86/3 94/13 116/3 119/11 121/12 132/12 152/18 166/17 175/16 181/8 184/1 187/17 188/14 197/18 197/18 207/25 213/17 218/10 221/8 224/5 232/5 232/18 249/10 264/18 265/21 270/4 270/13 272/1 272/2
throughout [4] 79/13 79/20 95/2 101/21 throwing [1] 259/18 THURSDAY [1] 1/14 thus [1] 264/10 tile [3] 138/9 138/9 138/9
tiles [1] 138/10 till [2] 254/22 255/10 time [76] 1/16 4/21 6/11 6/22 10/18 12/12 12/16 15/6 17/22 18/14 20/3 21/4 24/21 30/15 46/17 47/14 48/1 50/7 51/14 52/9 55/1 61/15 64/24 65/6 67/15 72/18 74/6 78/22 81/3 81/4 82/20 82/21 86/24 94/17 95/13 108/13 108/22 117/2 122/14 124/22 126/19 126/21 127/10 127/16 138/14 143/15 143/19 149/14 160/21 167/16 177/6 180/1 182/4 182/7 187/16 187/20 188/5 188/8 207/17 214/21 237/8 238/25 241/16 242/17 242/25 243/8 243/15 243/17 252/19 253/7 259/21 260/22 265/19 266/14 268/22 276/8
timely [1] 81/14
times [13] 45/9 82/7 95/1 95/3 124/25 154/1 169/23 198/10 214/20 226/24 244/8 257/1

262/7
tinted [1] 241/13 title [8] 25/25 111/5 164/1 206/23 207/4 207/18 229/21 259/25 titled [1] 70/21 titleholder [1] 159/23 today [23] 6/5 7/1
18/12 18/24 44/10 140/16 141/10 165/22 165/23 179/2 190/8 192/12 201/2 222/16 231/25 239/11 244/19 249/14 253/4 254/24 262/14 266/25 277/18 together [8] 96/17 113/10 140/6 140/7 232/18 242/21 243/10 262/2
told [16] 42/19 59/6 60/14 68/23 116/8 116/10 155/5 165/15 171/10 212/11 216/10 217/5 220/25 243/4 261/18 276/5
tomorrow [3] 254/20 278/18 278/19 too [5] 50/16 74/18 188/4 244/20 273/8 took [16] 15/25 32/8 51/10 51/16 59/12 97/25 98/10 114/12 177/3 196/18 212/1 212/2 213/21 216/12 251/14 267/1 top [9] 50/9 130/15 130/21 139/8 175/6 226/9 236/20 237/5 264/22
top-quality [1] 264/22 topic [1] 168/17 topics [1] 109/1 total [5] 14/8 14/9 39/17 124/2 134/14 totaled [1] 122/5 totally [1] 163/8 touch [4] 117/25 210/8 210/9 241/21
touching [1] 117/24
tough [2] 225/21 278/14
TRAN [1] 1/1
transaction [10] 25/23
31/4 31/6 33/25 35/13 51/12 121/3 207/22 218/14 254/6
TRANSCRIBED [1] 1/25
TRANSCRIBER [1] 281/16
TRANSCRIPT [3] 1/8 281/3 281/9
transfer [17] 92/16 92/17 93/4 93/6 100/9 153/1 160/5 167/21 208/4 209/13 248/12 248/15 248/18 248/24 251/10 251/14 252/18 transferee [1] 1\&143018
transferred [13] 9/25 32/25 92/19 93/3
152/22 152/23 207/24 248/17 248/19 248/21 251/23 251/23 252/1
transferring [1] 249/7 transponder [3] 43/18 43/22 60/1
trial [4] 25/18 42/25 255/10 256/7
trickeration [2] 259/12 259/14
tried [4] 86/13 181/16 268/21 268/21
tries [1] 199/18
trip [3] 131/12 131/18 131/20
TRO [13] 6/13 6/21 8/9 10/12 10/22 17/21 54/13 198/25 267/13 267/14 269/24 271/20 274/20
troubling [1] 259/20 true [34] 51/7 52/7 52/8 61/9 84/18 92/10 99/13 99/14 124/18 126/18 129/12 129/13 133/18 155/21 158/17 159/5 166/12 166/13 174/6 180/12 188/24 191/11 206/12 206/24 220/15 222/4 226/15 229/19 230/9 232/10 247/16 251/18 251/20 275/6
truly [1] 259/5
trust [48] 11/1 11/10
17/24 18/2 18/3 24/21 29/23 30/3 30/4 30/10
35/6 35/11 35/15 36/23 37/1 46/10 46/16 47/25 48/3 48/14 48/17 49/3 51/10 71/2 71/12 75/1 90/19 93/18 100/23 104/4 119/22 142/13 153/18 184/6 184/6 186/13 186/16 186/19 186/23 196/2 197/7 227/13 229/14 248/25 249/7 249/10 252/14 252/21
trustee [3] 97/6 142/13 195/14
trustee's [1] 143/21 trustees [1] 30/6 truth [7] 145/11 168/25 174/10 174/12 228/18 262/9 262/13
truthful [4] 202/5 227/23 228/5 232/21 truthfully [1] 224/1 try [4] 5/18 9/6 118/24 202/5
trying [14] 7/17 8/23 8/24 8/25 30/18 61/25 66/23 69/18 116/6 166/9 181/5 220/17 261/19 272/14
tub [1] 137/6
tunc [1] 10/12
turn [22] 33/21 35/16
37/17 39/4 40/3 48/12
52/24 55/19 63/9 63/24
64/12 64/13 65/12 96/3
133/3 133/4 140/21 206/19 207/8 213/19 221/19 233/22
turned [2] 16/19 213/21
turns [1] 225/9
twenty [2] 122/9 260/6 twenty-six [2] 122/9 260/6
twice [1] 16/10
two [43] 4/3 8/21 15/22 16/10 27/16 30/17 30/20 31/10 39/12 39/12 39/16 39/17 39/17 41/16 41/17 58/13 80/21 86/3 92/8 110/4 110/5 111/10 117/11 117/12 122/12 139/11 139/19 145/24 161/16 161/16 162/12 164/25 165/18 174/7 178/2 200/13 232/18 236/24 242/22 253/20 259/8 266/23 266/24 two-year [4] 39/12
39/16 39/17 161/16
type [2] 49/11 192/2
types [1] 103/6
typically [1] 238/9 typographical [1] 168/10
Tywon [5] 109/21 174/17 176/24 177/18 177/24

## U

U.S [5] 33/6 96/3

213/19 213/20 213/21 U005 [1] 173/20 U5 [2] 178/20 179/9 U5 and [2] 178/20 179/9
U6 [1] 179/9
U6 is [1] $179 / 9$
uh [4] 19/1 112/24
112/24 278/8
Uh-huh [2] 19/1 278/8
Uh-uh [1] 112/24
ultimately [5] 32/12
35/14 36/5 36/23 209/9
ultrafine [1] 96/7
unable [2] 31/10 264/2
unacceptable [1] 180/13
unambiguously [1] 115/7
unbelievable [1] 261/8 uncontested [1] 198/13
uncontroverted [1] 264/18
under [113] 8/9 10/5
17/12 25/20 25/25 32/4
$33 / 1334 / 2034 / 2535 / 3$ 36/7 37/25 39/15 39/22 42/24 45/12 46/6 47/18 48/20 48/22 49/20 50/17 51/5 51/6 51/8 52/2 53/14 57/12 67/9 71/2 71/7 71/11 77/3 83/25 87/2 89/8 91/25 92/5 93/3 93/9 93/12 95/9 95/12 95/13 97/9 97/19 99/21 101/22 101/23 102/20 102/21 104/14 105/6 105/11 106/17 107/1 109/12 118/22 122/2 130/25 132/3 132/24 141/25 143/3 143/13 144/2 145/12 149/25 151/25 152/3 162/16 166/8 169/3 170/23 184/11 187/16 191/10 191/10 193/8 195/17 196/5 196/19 196/22 200/18 202/7 203/8 203/20 204/22 207/13 208/20 209/7 209/7 213/18 214/5 214/10 216/17 219/24 220/12 222/1 222/5 222/7 222/19 222/20 225/10 232/14 235/17 239/14 243/24 246/15 267/6 269/20 270/8 270/21 undergraduate [1] 25/5
undersigned [1] 154/3 understand [40] 8/11
12/9 22/17 29/22 34/14 95/1 104/8 111/7 113/18 121/2 124/13 125/3 164/19 170/23 190/23 196/8 196/10 196/20 201/22 201/25 202/3 202/6 235/12 248/9 260/14 263/18 265/15 266/8 266/21 272/17 273/17 274/21 275/3 275/23 276/13 276/13 276/16 276/25 277/4 278/23 understanding [35] 30/16 52/2 53/9 72/2 72/5 72/6 108/4 149/5 149/9 196/21 204/23 204/25 205/3 208/20 208/24 209/18 211/1 211/13 212/14 212/24 213/16 214/17 224/22 225/5 228/20 230/17 230/23 236/12 236/25 239/13 245/12 246/14 248/21 252/17 252/20 understood [3] 9/24 230/9 236/23
undisputed [1] 11/4 unenforceable [1] 83/23
unequivocally [1] 17/16

Uneven [1] 131/12 unfair [1] 273/10 unfortunately [4] 78/19 149/20 158/2 162/9
unfulfilled [1] 164/1 unhappy [1] 272/19 unilaterally [2] 115/13 115/14
uninsured [1] 158/15 unique [4] 45/20 58/6 198/14 256/1
Unit [2] 132/5 132/15
Unit 1 [1] 132/5
Unit 1 did [1] 132/15
United [1] 144/11
units [3] 224/23 228/19
232/10
University [3] 25/6
25/6 224/8
unlawful [6] 43/23
169/25 202/19 211/17
257/16 260/19
unless [3] 63/11
108/24 163/10
unlicensed [2] 205/15 261/9
unnecessary [1] 207/2 unpaid [2] 141/24 144/5
unpublished [1]
263/15
unrefuted [4] 261/1
261/7 261/11 262/6 Unsubstantiated [1] 215/9
until [30] 4/17 4/22 42/25 43/1 45/16 54/23 66/21 66/25 74/6 74/14 115/8 118/20 124/18 127/1 134/19 164/25 183/17 203/20 233/9 253/22 256/7 256/9 258/18 260/20 268/23 270/10 270/25 274/16 277/14 277/21
untimely [1] 267/20 unwind [2] 82/8 224/5 up [51] 4/12 5/18 22/9 25/2 29/12 49/5 49/10 49/13 49/17 50/2 53/6 62/8 64/18 64/20 67/7 67/17 67/18 74/14 79/2 86/4 95/24 98/6 116/10 116/11 118/21 119/4 122/5 129/9 136/25 138/22 142/21 185/23 187/7 187/23 193/9 197/2 199/20 215/25 227/9 228/2 233/9 243/1 243/18 244/1 259/12 259/19 260/11 260/12 260/13 262/14 269/8
updated [1] 95/20 updates [2] 102/19 225/19
upon [10] 9/22 82/21 95/13 97/14 183/6

193/12 201/1 230/11 231/8 262/19
upside [1] 30/18 upstairs [1] 139/22 Urban [1] 144/12 us [51] 17/11 23/14 24/1 24/13 24/18 25/3 25/8 25/15 27/7 27/7 28/4 28/14 28/25 29/10 31/6 35/9 36/2 38/17 39/10 40/24 44/15 47/10 48/13 51/14 53/24 62/19 64/7 65/13 72/10 96/3 121/9 127/14 162/23 178/2 187/21 187/22 187/23 188/5 188/20 199/17 213/20 213/21 221/21 224/6 225/22 237/9 239/2 244/19 245/4 253/4 272/8 use [17] 19/21 19/22 23/23 26/16 62/9 62/22 63/3 64/15 64/22 83/4 118/8 118/25 133/2 140/21 141/2 152/24 263/16
used [6] 62/6 96/14 125/21 240/25 242/12 264/4
uses [1] 24/16 using [7] 43/18 43/21 55/9 60/1 119/1 132/12 243/6
USPS [2] 150/23 153/8 utilities [1] 67/7 utility [1] 131/23 utilize [1] 220/20
vacant [4] 59/10 216/12 243/16 243/23 vacate [37] 53/18 54/2 54/6 153/3 153/13 153/20 154/2 154/3 154/13 154/14 154/17 171/5 171/23 198/17 200/4 200/14 200/16 202/18 202/21 211/13 211/14 211/19 211/21 233/19 238/11 253/21 255/2 256/3 256/25 269/9 269/14 270/17 270/25 273/24 273/25 275/19 275/21
Vague [1] 235/13 valid [2] 154/10 205/11 valuable [1] 96/17 valued [1] 213/23 valve [1] 136/15
valves [1] 140/9
variable [2] 14/8 14/9
various [4] 10/5 16/22
149/25 167/24
VEGAS [4] 4/1 26/24
96/5 281/12
vendors [1] 61/19
ventures [42] 6/5 6/17
9/15 25/1 28/9 2\$143019
voice [2] 245/6 245/8 voided [1] 198/24 voltage [1] 62/11 volunteered [2] 275/15 275/17
votes [1] 116/9

## w

wait [9] 4/25 15/8 117/10 128/21 149/14
160/21 177/12 210/6 216/25
waited [1] 127/1 waive [2] 71/5 76/22
waived [2] 71/9 183/8 waiver [7] 76/18 99/20 100/24 196/4 197/8 197/11 197/15
waiving [1] 71/6
Waldo [9] 62/5 62/8 131/8 131/14 132/7 132/7 136/21 137/23 138/13
Waldo's [2] 134/17 134/19
walk [2] 45/7 187/23
walking [1] 242/22
walkway [1] 131/12
wall [1] 137/6
walls [1] $137 / 5$
want [80] 4/5 4/13 4/20 6/25 8/8 10/13 12/6 12/20 14/1 18/4 19/6 22/25 23/2 27/25 32/11 32/11 36/9 39/3 44/12 45/22 57/14 59/8 66/19 67/11 70/6 74/3 80/6 91/2 108/22 108/22 115/4 118/4 119/6 119/7 119/8 142/17 146/24 149/3 151/7 163/19 164/19 173/19 173/20 177/5 177/7 177/8 179/10 191/5 191/16 191/25 193/25 194/12 197/21 199/21 200/24 200/24 202/6 206/7 210/7 221/19 229/2 232/5 238/24 249/5 255/13 258/3 259/10 259/12 259/15 263/7 263/17 264/9 265/16 265/18 266/3 266/22 268/3 268/14 268/18 271/7
wanted [14] 5/23 17/22 36/9 158/18 190/9 207/19 209/4 216/10 226/7 259/6 263/5
263/10 263/23 278/22
wanting [1] $8 / 12$
wants [9] 10/9 12/1 17/17 66/18 105/5 105/10 109/15 267/25 268/23
warehouse [1] 213/20 warm [1] 136/8
warming [1] 199/20 warping [1] 137/17
warrant [2] 76/11 103/19
warranted [1] 259/4 warranties [2] 76/9 197/19
warranty [2] 64/10 132/25 was [415]
Washington [2] 218/4 223/21
wasn't [19] 16/17 44/3 52/14 71/21 91/12 95/4 112/15 134/19 135/7 170/14 185/16 186/1 215/23 216/3 216/10 216/13 255/21 255/22 260/1
waste [1] 4/20 watched [3] 43/7 216/7 216/16
water [7] 64/23 68/1 68/3 138/18 138/18 138/20 188/6
wave [1] 29/11
way [23] 8/4 10/22
16/14 87/1 140/2 146/6
189/2 189/8 200/14
247/5 258/15 259/9
259/18 259/21 268/1
268/16 268/17 268/23
270/24 276/18 277/13
278/21 278/24
we [220] 4/6 4/18 4/19
4/20 4/22 4/25 5/22 6/9
6/16 6/23 6/25 7/2 7/3
7/23 9/2 9/6 10/19
11/14 11/25 12/22
13/21 16/7 18/18 18/24
18/25 18/25 21/14
22/22 23/6 23/10 23/22
23/23 24/6 24/22 25/18 27/1 27/7 27/22 27/24 31/18 32/7 32/8 32/12 32/12 34/5 38/21 38/23 40/18 41/14 42/2 42/7
42/9 42/11 42/17 43/2
44/5 44/10 44/11 45/22 $46 / 8$ 50/3 51/3 51/13 51/15 52/1 52/9 53/23 55/1 55/20 57/2 58/10 60/15 61/13 61/14 61/14 61/16 64/9 65/5 66/6 66/17 66/24 68/1 68/1 70/7 72/8 72/8 74/9 78/4 78/9 81/19 81/21 81/21 86/11 86/24 86/25 87/1 87/1 87/2 88/15 89/16 91/8 91/11 92/7 93/23 93/25 94/2 95/16 96/2 96/9 100/7 103/14 103/14 109/20 110/21 110/23 111/7 111/9 113/5 113/25 114/11 118/6 118/9 119/1 125/3 125/7 127/17 128/9 137/24 139/9 140/11 146/8 147/15 149/8 154/25 158/16 158/24

163/14 166/25 167/1 167/21 168/17 168/18 171/12 178/23 180/1 187/22 187/24 188/1 189/13 197/18 202/2 204/2 209/5 209/5 209/6 211/12 213/17 213/20 213/21 213/23 214/2 214/6 214/7 215/15 220/16 223/8 223/8 224/5 225/5 231/5 233/10 234/2 235/10 235/16 235/17 235/17 235/18 235/19 235/19 237/8 237/20 238/2 238/8 238/9 239/1 241/22 244/22 253/18 253/23 254/7 254/14 254/24 257/17 258/5 258/5 258/19 258/19 258/23 264/15 264/15 264/15 264/25 268/20 268/20 268/20 268/21 269/20 269/21 269/24 270/1 270/1 270/4 270/9 271/8 271/24 272/3 272/25 278/5 278/21 278/22 we'd [5] 46/17 48/2 89/15 190/12 256/5 we'll [35] 4/12 4/24 7/5 8/6 14/2 20/3 20/12 48/6 55/22 73/13 73/24 74/18 79/5 79/7 83/5 95/23 100/17 116/2 117/8 117/16 118/15 119/2 127/14 130/1 165/25 182/4 193/9 217/2 254/12 254/20 254/21 254/23 273/4 279/3 279/12 we're [33] 4/16 5/3 13/22 16/21 16/23 17/10 18/11 21/9 33/7 36/10 45/12 53/21 53/22 53/22 67/1 80/3 95/15 105/5 119/12 182/5 188/2 188/3 199/22 214/2 215/10 223/5 237/25 238/7 255/8 269/14 271/10 272/2 274/15 we've [21] 6/12 7/1 21/6 21/24 28/10 88/8 95/15 95/22 96/8
120/19 130/1 157/21 175/8 183/1 197/17 215/3 227/12 256/12 258/4 265/20 271/8 wealth [1] 276/5 wear [2] 23/3 175/9 wearing [1] 67/1 weather [1] 141/3 website [1] 156/3 Wednesday [1] 149/19 week [8] 51/25 52/3 53/19 61/15 212/5 219/22 234/16 267/20 weekly [1] 140/12
weeks [7] 7/18 21/24 176/6 186/22 235/18 277/19 279/10
welcome [1] 169/9 well [118] 4/18 7/6 10/25 12/8 12/17 13/3 13/24 15/3 16/13 16/20 19/15 23/14 26/5 29/6 29/19 31/14 34/16 38/18 41/14 42/7 42/23 44/3 44/18 50/11 50/25 51/12 53/12 55/22 58/15 58/16 61/20 62/21 64/8 65/25 67/18 68/10 71/6 76/4 78/5 79/23 83/16 84/4 84/18 88/19 93/22 97/17 97/18 99/13 99/15 100/6 102/4 110/4 113/7 113/21 114/16 116/19 118/13 121/19 122/23 124/7 125/16 128/22 130/12 131/17 132/20 132/20 142/3 145/8 145/22 146/2 146/8 149/10 150/23 154/10 160/5 161/13 162/1 164/19 164/23 166/14 168/22 170/17 172/11 175/7 176/24 180/20 181/2 196/18 198/7 202/2 202/5 215/10 215/16 217/5 218/24 222/13 226/1 226/2 226/2 231/4 233/11 235/16 239/5 239/6 239/12 239/13 241/12 242/5 242/17 244/19 251/4 253/4 254/10 263/24 266/23 269/25 271/14 275/25 went [23] 24/20 49/9 51/1 59/10 70/7 78/4 86/3 94/13 94/17 162/22 162/24 163/2 166/17 197/18 213/20 231/18 234/2 243/19 243/25 244/7 262/12 264/18 270/4
were [142] 4/3 7/25 15/13 19/4 21/12 24/24 28/22 29/17 30/7 30/21 30/24 31/1 31/10 31/11 32/5 32/6 34/19 34/23 38/18 38/18 38/24 39/1 41/21 42/7 43/19 46/15 47/6 48/13 51/15 54/8 54/15 58/1 58/14 59/14 60/19 61/9 64/3 64/4 64/25 69/24 69/24 75/8 84/10 85/18 86/18 88/2 95/17 101/20 102/17 103/14 103/14 107/17 110/4 111/15 112/7 113/25 115/1 116/6 116/10 118/24 121/14 121/23 122/2 122/14 123/3 123/5 123/7 123/14 124/19 125/29020
were... [72] 125/22 126/21 130/18 132/1 132/22 134/20 137/25 148/20 148/22 148/22 149/23 152/15 158/9 161/16 162/8 162/12 162/13 163/14 168/12 168/12 170/10 171/17 171/17 180/15 181/16 188/20 204/20 209/5 209/18 209/19 213/4 213/6 213/9 213/13 214/12 214/14 215/7 215/10 215/14 215/16 215/18 215/19 215/21 221/7 222/18 227/8
227/13 227/14 228/11 228/19 228/20 229/3 230/24 232/6 234/23 235/10 235/16 235/17 235/18 242/22 243/11 243/14 246/7 248/6 251/23 261/23 264/1 264/2 264/21 267/6 269/23 269/25
weren't [11] 64/11 86/24 93/21 94/15 95/18 152/13 215/17 215/20 228/12 254/11 254/13
wet [1] 137/20 what [185] 7/23 7/25 8/23 14/10 16/15 16/18 17/10 17/15 17/18 17/18 17/22 19/7 20/10 24/13 25/15 27/12 28/14 30/7 30/14 31/17 32/5 34/14 34/18 35/3 36/2 37/7 38/7 40/24 40/25 46/4 47/10 47/15 49/6 49/19 50/3 50/10 50/11 50/23 55/11 56/25 57/9 58/22 59/12 62/19 62/20 62/24 62/25 63/10 63/15 63/16 63/20 64/24 65/13 65/16 65/22 67/13 70/6 74/10 76/2 76/6 77/14 78/12 80/3 84/22 84/22 85/22 87/3 91/9 92/14 94/4 95/22 96/1 96/6 104/8 105/14 106/15 106/22 107/6 114/16 115/14 116/10 116/19 120/15 122/10 122/18 122/21 123/4 123/13 125/4 125/15 127/3 127/21 128/11 129/23 130/4 130/13 130/18 131/16 132/20 143/6 143/8 145/14 147/8 147/24 155/4 156/20 157/3 158/20 159/6 159/8 159/9 161/12 161/14 161/23 162/18 166/9 166/14 166/16 169/8 170/9

170/15 171/10 171/13 171/17 172/10 172/11 173/3 175/20 177/5 180/15 181/20 182/19 183/16 184/16 196/23 200/3 200/24 201/4 201/15 201/17 201/22 209/9 213/15 213/15 213/16 214/7 214/15 217/25 218/10 218/18 218/24 225/4 225/24 226/1 233/4 234/4 237/3 241/4 242/4 243/19 247/9 248/19 248/21 248/21 249/12 252/13 252/14 254/2 254/7 257/10 261/10 262/8 263/22 266/11 266/22 266/22 268/22 271/12 274/13 274/18 275/12 275/16 275/23 276/10 276/19
what's [16] 13/8 25/13 29/3 40/25 45/11 68/7 108/19 118/9 121/4 121/6 145/22 175/23 179/17 184/22 187/12 266/7
whatever [3] 4/13 248/19 268/23
whatsoever [1] 228/18 when [102] 4/16 6/23 10/1 16/14 17/12 18/11 19/20 30/2 30/8 32/16 33/8 35/10 35/14 36/3 36/13 38/8 42/18 43/18 46/9 50/8 51/9 51/11 51/13 51/15 57/4 57/5 58/22 67/10 70/10 71/24 72/11 86/25 91/8 91/11 92/7 93/13 96/17 103/5 111/23 112/5 112/5 113/2 113/4 113/5 113/24 121/7 127/9 131/23 132/5 132/15 135/5 140/18 141/4 141/12 147/15 151/25 161/14 167/6 169/6 171/8 171/11 175/9 181/4 185/19 186/1 188/3 188/5 196/8 199/19 206/18 207/10 207/15 218/16 218/25 219/21 221/21 241/11 241/13 241/13 242/6 242/8 243/17 250/1 251/22 253/23 257/18 258/22 259/9 263/11 265/8 265/9 267/21 268/22 269/7 269/17 270/3 270/4 274/8 274/9 274/11 274/25 279/10
where [64] 5/12 14/6 22/13 23/22 25/23 26/22 32/9 32/11 32/21 32/21 41/8 43/14 56/5 56/24 57/2 64/18 65/20 65/24 70/1 70/3 70/8

77/20 86/15 93/9 93/17 94/24 95/15 95/20 96/4 98/25 102/6 107/2
115/16 128/9 130/25
131/3 131/21 136/12
136/16 136/18 138/24 142/4 144/18 155/22 156/9 161/20 163/4 196/21 197/2 197/22 202/13 208/12 210/20 213/20 218/3 222/8 231/12 242/15 255/9 272/13 272/20 274/20 275/3 278/25
Where's [3] 85/18 128/2 147/11
whereas [3] 37/2 91/16 91/17
whether [14] 44/20 51/17 82/14 131/17 205/10 254/1 255/13 271/17 271/25 272/1 272/4 272/5 276/10 277/22
which [121] 6/16 6/16 6/23 8/6 12/12 18/1 21/2 24/16 24/21 25/10 26/4 26/5 26/16 31/19 32/13 33/13 34/2 35/19 35/21 41/18 41/21 42/1 43/7 48/2 52/2 52/10 52/24 53/14 53/15 53/18 54/24 56/6 57/8 57/10 58/25 61/8 65/1 70/10 75/16 75/18 81/12 83/22 85/13 86/2 86/18 86/20 89/3 92/6 98/15 99/2 102/17 102/20 105/6 126/19 126/22 126/22 130/7 130/10 136/22 138/8 140/3 143/18 143/19 144/23 148/8 150/3 151/17 156/2 157/24 165/2 166/8 172/15 173/16 173/18 175/2 178/18 180/21 181/15 184/6 190/21 195/2 196/19 198/13 200/4 200/11 202/14 202/18 202/19 203/17 204/14 204/14 205/9 205/16 207/14 208/1 208/20 209/13 211/3 213/23 222/13 224/16 225/6 226/12 226/13 229/6 230/25 234/23 238/9 238/10 238/11 243/18 243/21 249/4 255/2 261/11 265/9 266/8 270/21 274/14 276/19 278/6
while [16] $4 / 255 / 19$ 7/11 23/10 64/25 68/1 73/13 119/1 137/8 163/24 164/5 187/22 188/19 241/15 254/21 269/20
who [51] 11/18 19/11

27/4 28/7 29/21 30/1
31/3 36/23 42/15 42/18 43/22 43/22 46/1 58/19 59/9 62/5 67/2 72/16 113/2 125/4 127/5 133/8 158/8 159/4 167/9 171/15 176/21 177/14 177/16 177/18 187/24 209/17 209/18 212/10 216/12 216/16 219/2 220/24 221/3 221/11 221/11 222/23 222/23 243/6 244/10 253/24 271/25 272/19 276/5 276/14 277/22 who's [5] 11/23 30/4 58/4 59/9 239/1
whoever [1] 67/18 whole [7] 16/25 38/25 243/19 244/1 244/1 254/1 272/18
whomever [1] 231/23 whose [1] 61/16 why [40] 12/12 16/25 17/1 17/6 41/24 44/23 93/6 93/19 93/20 94/21 113/7 113/8 118/6 163/1 165/14 165/17 172/17 196/17 219/23 223/3 223/9 233/18 234/15 235/6 237/4 237/24 238/7 242/9 247/23 248/1 259/25 263/9 264/5 265/17 266/3 266/8 266/10 268/18 268/19 275/11 wife [7] 23/5 23/6 27/6 40/18 45/11 213/11 250/13
wife's [3] 251/8 251/18 251/21
wiggle [1] 272/21
will [78] 7/23 7/25 9/4 9/6 10/19 10/20 13/15 13/21 15/1 16/10 17/2 17/15 17/19 17/25 18/12 20/25 47/5 53/4 53/5 53/7 53/8 54/24 55/16 65/9 67/2 67/4 67/5 67/8 67/8 67/13 88/14 89/1 112/16 115/17 120/20 128/16 133/23 142/20 147/18 150/12 150/23 160/9 168/18 183/9 183/10 187/3 188/5 188/9 190/24 192/11 192/16 197/17 199/17 210/4 215/13 223/18 224/13 229/14 234/13 234/14 238/21 238/21 253/23 257/15 257/17 257/17 258/9 260/19 260/21 260/23 266/19 268/25 273/18 276/9 276/17 278/11 279/14 279/16 WILLIAMS [2] 281/12 281/16 willing [2] 70/25

180/15
win [2] 256/23 259/11 winding [2] 116/10 116/11
windows [5] 38/24 139/10 139/10 139/16 241/12
wine [1] 139/16
Wisconsin [3] 10/19
258/1 258/2
wish [2] 18/15 268/8
withdraw [2] 54/5 54/8 withdrawn [7] 53/4 53/7 54/2 106/3 183/9 234/14 273/4
within [10] 15/25 81/11 99/5 114/9 150/4 182/24 186/2 192/15 235/18 241/7 without [11] $8 / 20$ 17/17 45/18 93/5 121/19 135/23 160/10 180/25 190/18 192/19 261/18
witness [29] 5/2 11/18 11/19 12/18 19/19 19/21 19/25 20/5 20/6 21/17 67/23 74/7 92/21 100/17 117/18 117/19 157/13 176/3 190/18 199/7 215/11 216/23 217/6 217/14 223/12 239/19 244/21 245/19 266/7
witness's [1] 216/4 witnessed [1] 62/20 witnesses [7] 2/8 2/19 3/1 18/9 18/25 118/3 168/16
Wo [1] 69/6
woman [1] 78/21
won't [6] 13/18 23/6
40/18 44/14 60/1 71/21
wondering [1] 263/9
wood [2] 137/17 243/24
word [1] 259/13 words [2] 77/15 164/7 work [18] 4/18 4/19 13/15 13/21 23/21 24/19 24/20 25/8 63/8 63/8 64/11 94/14 116/6 225/6 226/4 232/18 234/6 257/1
worked [2] 24/23 49/21 working [4] 44/19 45/1 64/11 235/16
works [9] 13/17 27/7 94/15 118/17 132/11 135/23 136/5 140/25 176/7
world [1] 24/23
worth [2] 15/15 57/10 would [155] 5/6 8/21 12/22 13/12 14/4 18/11 18/18 20/4 22/1 25/19 25/22 25/23 30/22 31/18 31/19 32/3 32/4 32/6 32/10 34/3 34/43021

| W | wrote [3] 59/19 138/14 | 150/9 153/12 155/14 | 36/10 36/16 56/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2/18 | 156/23 156/25 157/4 | 60/20 61/1 65/24 67/1 |
| 34/22 34/23 34/24 | X | 161/21 162/ | 68/18 70/6 70/17 74/5 |
| 38/25 42/12 46/20 |  | 162/24 163/15 163/16 | 76/2 79/10 84/22 84 |
| 48/24 50/3 50/4 51/19 | X01 [1] 180 | 164/7 166/11 174/2 | 85/23 86/6 94/12 |
| 54/20 54/21 57/21 | XI [1] 1/6 | 174/12 176/17 176/25 | 97/1 99/8 100/6 105/19 |
| 60/14 60/16 60/24 61/6 | XX [7] 175/24 175/25 | 178/21 180/9 180/14 | 108/25 109/24 117/21 |
| 61/11 62/5 64/21 68/24 | 187/5 187/6 187/12 | 181/25 182/2 185/9 | 118/8 118/22 128/3 |
| 68/25 69/3 69/15 70/1 | 188/14 190/18 | 189/22 190/3 190/5 | 130/7 130/11 143/24 |
| 70/3 72/3 72/7 75/16 | Y | 191/19 192/4 193/21 | 153/15 157/18 158/3 |
| 75/18 86/11 86/18 | yard [1] 243/19 | 194/15 196/11 197/12 | 55/11 177/3 188/3 |
| 86/20 87/12 89/3 92/8 | yeah [35] 22/3 23/8 | 198/18 199/4 200/2 | 192/5 199/19 202/6 |
| 93/4 93/6 93/9 104/25 | 28/13 28/24 30/16 34/7 | 200/13 200/19 201/3 | 202/13 202/14 202/15 |
| 105/13 105/21 111/23 | 37/17 39/16 44/18 | 201/8 204/24 205/1 | 203/6 203/11 204/13 |
| 112/14 115/24 121/19 | 58/12 65/25 78/19 79/8 | 205/6 205/21 205/23 | 204/15 204/15 204/25 |
| 124/25 131/3 132/24 | 83/1 85/11 97/22 102/9 | 206/14 206/25 207/3 | 233/8 248/24 257/24 |
| $132 / 24133 / 15$ 135/8 $145 / 8149 / 17$ 150/9 | 120/10 124/7 124/11 | 207/9 207/15 209/23 | 260/3 260/11 272/9 |
| 145/8 149/17 150/9 | 126/8 133/12 138/6 | 211/10 211/16 211/19 | 272/18 273/14 275/3 |
| 154/1 155/19 157/8 | 141/11 146/5 154/22 | 212/17 212/18 213/3 | 275/23 276/18 278/13 |
| 157/10 158/6 165/8 | 182/25 184/9 186/4 | 214/17 215/9 217/10 | you've [40] 4/7 23/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 165 / 21167 \\ & 170 / 22172 \end{aligned}$ | 189/13 202/9 203/11 | 218/15 219/6 219/6 | 79/13 82/7 83/4 84/5 |
| $176 / 1 \text { 177/4 177/23 }$ | 213/17 254/18 273/8 | 219/18 219/20 221/25 | 86/7 95/1 97/13 112/21 |
|  | year [26] 39/12 39/16 | 222/3 222/13 222/14 | 133/10 134/22 134/24 |
| 182/14 183/25 185/7 | 39/17 43/17 43/24 | 222/22 223/23 223/25 | 164/19 175/18 176/5 |
| 185/18 186/4 187/7 | 49/15 54/18 54/19 | 224/15 224/21 224/25 | 178/18 182/6 183/23 |
| 187/11 187/23 187/25 | 55/12 55/14 58/4 60/6 | 225/3 225/12 225/13 | 189/4 189/9 189/24 |
| 189/2 193/8 198/11 | 67/6 82/2 82/4 83/21 | 225/14 225/17 225/17 | 190/1 200/7 211/18 |
| 198/13 198/18 198/19 | 86/21 86/25 88/17 | 226/9 227/11 227/15 | 217/9 223/22 227/19 |
| 201/10 202/3 206/1 | 122/11 148/22 148/24 | 227/18 227/21 228/4 | 227/25 229/16 231/11 |
| 206/8 207/23 212/25 | 159/4 161/16 249/8 | 228/9 228/13 228/17 | 233/22 235/3 235/21 |
| 213/3 213/11 217/11 | 278/17 | 228/22 229/7 229/18 | 239/13 240/9 241/20 |
| 218/24 219/5 225/7 | yearly [1] 89/13 | 230/1 230/8 230/10 | 245/12 250/2 257/10 |
| 225/8 225/21 226/4 | years [22] 24/21 24/24 | 230/16 230/20 230/23 | your [420] |
| 226/5 230/24 230/25 | 25/1 25/12 27/16 30/17 | 231/7 231/10 231/14 | yourself [2] 187/21 |
| 235/6 236/5 237/1 | 30/20 31/10 39/18 84/1 | 231/17 231/21 232/2 | 239/12 |
| 237/17 237/18 237/22 | 84/2 96/11 111/10 | 232/8 232/11 232/15 | YY [6] 175/4 175/6 |
| 238/2 238/13 238/17 | 122/12 123/9 123/12 | 232/22 233/5 233/16 | 175/21 175/22 176/1 |
| 245/15 247/16 249/21 | 137/16 138/23 139/4 | 235/2 235/23 236/12 | 176/3 |
| 250/1 253/7 253/14 | yellow [1] 128/2 | $23$ | Z |
| 260/2 260/17 263/11 264/20 267/24 268/4 | Yep [1] 40/11 | 240/6 240/8 240/11 | zero [2] 170/17 270/12 |
| 268/6 270/5 270/8 | yes [250] 5/5 8/17 8/18 | 240/15 240/18 241/9 |  |
| $272 / 7 \text { 274/19 274/21 }$ | 11/15 11/16 12/22 | 242/13 243/23 245/3 |  |
|  | 13/17 14/1 14/17 18/18 | 245/9 245/14 246/6 |  |
| wouldn't [6] | 18/23 19/24 19/24 20/7 | 246/9 246/11 246/17 |  |
| 59/7 68/22 93/8 10 | 20/11 20/24 24/5 24/9 | 246/20 248/15 250/4 |  |
| $190 / 18$ | 26/3 26/12 26/25 27/19 | 250/15 250/21 250/23 |  |
| Wow [3] 85/2 85/3 | 32/18 33/5 36/18 37/12 | 251/6 251/9 251/12 |  |
| 91/14 | 37/22 38/16 39/9 40/6 | 251/25 252/3 253/10 |  |
| Wright [3] 173/20 | 41/10 48/15 48/17 | 253/15 269/4 269/6 |  |
| 173/25 179/9 | 52/16 53/6 53/12 54/4 | 275/20 279/24 |  |
| Wright's [1] 178/18 | 55/4 56/4 59/4 60/12 | yes-or-no [5] 71/24 |  |
| write [1] 258/22 | 64/2 64/6 69/9 69/14 | 156/23 163/15 199/4 |  |
| writing [9] 82/10 82/16 |  | 204/24 |  |
| 82/25 101/24 103/2 | 76/13 77/16 81/8 81/20 | yesterday [3] 4/4 258/1 279/19 |  |
| 108/8 235/25 263/2 | 83/3 84/15 87/18 88/3 | yet [10] 8/23 |  |
| 269/22 | 88/7 88/10 89/20 89/21 | 19/17 44/7 60/5 88/19 |  |
| written [4] 41/19 82/21 | 95/8 95/9 99/2 100/5 | 95/16 176/5 269/9 |  |
| 185/10 263/1 | 103/1 105/11 106/19 |  |  |
| wrong [13] 9/18 71/21 | 106/22 107/12 108/15 | you [1260] |  |
| $71 / 24131 / 14131 / 16$ $132 / 24137 / 23143 / 13$ | 111/4 111/17 115/11 | you'd [6] 21/14 187/21 |  |
|  | 121/16 124/5 127/8 | 217/7 223/14 239/17 |  |
| 229/21 250/19 259/19 | 131/15 132/9 133/6 | 269/3 |  |
| 262/20 277/3 wrongful [2] 203/8 | 133/14 133/19 135/12 | you'll [8] 36/22 36/24 |  |
| wrongful [2] 203/8 203/11 | 135/16 136/7 136/15 | 36/25 37/4 89/12 |  |
| 203/11 wrongfully [1] 43/19 | 137/2 142/2 143/7 | 120/18 210/2 226/2 |  |
| wrongfully [1] 43/19 | 143/12 143/18 146/18 | you're [67] 17/18 22/9 |  |

## EXHIBIT "EE"

## ASSIGNMENT OF INTEREST JN DEED OF TRUST

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned hereby grants, assigns and transfers to 5148 Spadsb Heights, LLC, a Nevaks limited liatidity emmpany, all beaeficial interesi under that certain Deed of Trust dated December 17, 2014, execuled by Kenneth M. Antos and Sheila M. Neumatin-Arios, Trustes of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos Living Trusi daed April 26, 2007, and any ambentinents therefo, Tustor, to First Antertian Title Itsurince Company, a Nebraske corporation, as Trustee and recorded December 29, 2014, lnttrument No. 20141229-0002856; modified by Instrument No. 2015072200001146 ; modified by Instrument No. 201612:9-0002739 in Clark County Official Reconds, Clart County, Nevada together with the Note secured by said Deed of Trust and also all rights accrued or to accrue under said Deed of Trust. The property encumbered by said Deed of Trust is described ts:

## SEE EXHIBIT A

N WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned Assighor has exeguted this Apsigiment of Deed of Thust on this \& day of April, 2020 .


## STATE OF WASHDNGTON )

COUNTY OF KING
)
On this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of April, 2020, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeartd $\operatorname{OHN}$ OTTER, President of CBC Pathers I. LLC, proved 5 me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that they execuled the same freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mantioned.

Witress my hand and official seal.


Notary Public, in and far said County and State

## EXHIBIT A

Lo Seven (7) in Block Five (5) of SPANISH HILLS ESTATES UNIT 5A, as shown by map thereof on file in Book 107 of Plats, Page 58 in the Office of the County recorder of Clart County, Nevada
(Assessor's Parcel Number 163.29.615-007)

## EXHIBIT "FF"

## NOTICE OF BREACH AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

FILE NO. 20-09-008-FCL
NOTICEIS HEREBY GIVEN:
That Nevada Trust Deed Services, is the current Trustee under a Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing (the "Deed of Trust") dated December 17, 2014, executed by Kenneth M. Antos and Sheila M. Neumann-Antos, Trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos Living Trust dated April 26, 2007, and any amendments thereto, (Trustor"), to secure obligations presently in favor of 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, (Current Beneficiary") recorded December 29, 2014 as Instrument No. 20141229-0002856, of Official Records in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada as modified or amended, if applicable.

That a breach of the obllgations for which such Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that:
The entire principal balance and interest accrued thereon which became due on March 31, 2020, has not been paid in full and the balance remains due, owing and delinquent.

Together with any and all foreclosure fees and expenses, interest, late charges due, insurance, accrued late charges, advancements, and expenses, if any, to preserve the security of the beneficiary.

That by reason thereof, the undersigned, present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee a written Declaration of Default and Demand for Sale; and has surrendered to sald Trustee such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

## NOTICE

YOU MAY HAVE THE RIGHT TO CURE THE DEFAULT HEREIN AND REINSTATE THE OBLIGATION SECURED BY SUCH DEED OF TRUST ABOVE DESCRIBED. SECTION 107.080 OF NRS PERMITS CERTAIN DEFAULTS TO BE CURED UPON THE PAYMENT OF THE AMOUNTS REQUIRED BY THAT SECTION WITHOUT REQUIRING PAYMENT OF THAT PORTION OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST WHICH WOULD NOT BE DUE HAD NO DEFAULT OCCURRED. WHERE REINSTATEMENT IS POSSIBLE IF THE DEFAULT IS NOT CURED WITHIN 35 DAYS FOLLOWING THE RECORDING AND MAILING TO GRANTOR OR GRANTOR'S SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF THIS NOTICE, THE RIGHT OF REINSTATEMENT WILL TERMINATE AND THE PROPERTY MAY THEREAFTER BE SOLD.

To determine if reinstatement is possible, and the amount necessary to cure the default, contact the Beneficiary, their successor in interest, or the Trustee.

DATED: 1 PEWIT, $12 \angle$


This instrument was acknowledged before me on $\int 101 / 14 \leq 2120$ by Michael R. Mushkin as Manager of 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company


## EXHIBIT "GG"

Spanish Heights Acquisition Co., LLC, et al v CBC Partners I, LLC, et al

Las Vegas, Nevada

Reported remotely via Zoom by:
Cari M. Inkenbrandt, RPR, CCR \#939

| 1 | A P P E A R A N C E S | page 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 | ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS: |  |
| 4 | (Remotely via Zoom) |  |
| 5 | DANIELLE J. BARRAZA, ESQUIRE |  |
| 6 | MAIER GUTIERREZ \& ASSOCIATES |  |
| 7 | 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue |  |
| 8 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 |  |
| 9 | (702) 629-7900 |  |
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## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2020

 12:02 P.M.-000-
THE COURT REPORTER: Before we proceed,
I will ask counsel to agree on the record there is
no objection to this deposition officer
administering a binding oath to this witness not
appearing personally before me and counsel also
agree to waiving the reading of the caption.
Please state your agreement on the record
beginning with noticing counsel.
MR. MUSHKIN: This is Mike Mushkin. I so agree.

MS. BARRAZA: Danielle Barraza. No objection.

## JAY BLOOM

having been called as a witness and having been
first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Mr. Bloom, we've got a lot of ground to
cover, so I'm not going to go through a long
recitation of the deposition rules. You've had
your deposition taken before; is that correct?
A Correct.
Q How many times?
A Don't know.
Q I'm sorry?
A I don't know.
Q Too many to count?
A More than I can count.
Q Okay. So the most important thing is that you understand my question when I ask it. And let me finish my question, and then I'll try and do the same for you and let you answer so that we get a good record. Okay?

## A Okay.

Q So can you tell me when SJCV or SJC
Ventures, LLC was formed?
A I don't recall the date offhand.
Q Do you know what year it was formed?
A Not offhand.
Q Do you know what decade it was formed?
A It was formed sometime last decade, between 2010 and 2020.

Q I'm sorry. Did you say sometime last decade, in the last decade, or sometime in the decade before this decade?

|  | A Sometime within the last ten years. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q And was it formed before 2017? |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q Do you know when you formed what we call |
| 5 | SHAC, Spanish Heights Acquisition Company? |
| 6 | A I don't recall the exact date. |
| 7 | Q Is it fair to say that it was formed for |
| 8 | the specific purpose of taking title to the house |
| 9 | located at 5148 Spanish Heights drive? |
| 10 | I didn't hear a response. |
| 11 | A My response was yes. |
| 12 | Q Thank you. I don't know why. It just |
| 13 | didn't come out. I saw your lips move, but there |
| 14 | was no sound. |
| 15 | So can you tell me when you first opened |
| 16 | a bank account for SHAC? |
| 17 | A I don't recall the date. |
| 18 | Q Well, do you recall the year? |
| 19 | A I believe SHAC's account was opened |
| 20 | about Bank of America in 2020. |
| 21 | Q So is it your testimony -- does it have |
| 22 | one bank account? Does SHAC just have one bank |
| 23 | account? |
| 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Q And so SHAC had no bank account starting |
|  | in 2017. is that fair? |
| 2 | A I believe I answered that question. |
| 3 | Q It's a yes or no answer, sir. |
| 4 | A I answered yes before. So I'd enter an |
| 5 | objection that it's asked and answered. |
| 6 | I'll go ahead and answer again. The |
| 7 | answer is yes. |
| 8 | Q So who made the payments to CBC |
| 9 | beginning in April of -- excuse me, in September |
| 10 | 2017? |
| 11 | MS. BARRAZA: Object to the form. |
| 12 | A SJC made advancements of payments on |
| 13 | behalf of SHAC for SHAC's obligations. |
| 14 | Q And the money came directly from SJCV, |
| 15 | it did not go to a bank that was in the name of |
| 16 | SHAC before it went to CBC; is that fair? |
| 17 | A ...on behalf of SHAC directly. |
| 18 | (Reporter clarification.) |
| 19 | A SJC Ventures advanced payments on behalf |
| 20 | of SHAC. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I didn't hear you, but I |
| 22 | saw you say thank you. I think we have a software |
| 23 | issue that cuts off, that doesn't kick in the |
| 24 | microphone quick enough for whoever the speaker |
|  | may be. So we'll try and work around that. |

1 Q Mr. Bloom, how were those payments transmitted from SJCV to CBC?

A If you could specify which payments you're referring to.

Q Well, starting shortly after September of '17 there were payments made of $\$ 8,000$-some-odd a month. Or I guess first, do you recall how much the payments were?

A I do not.
Q So there was a series of payments that started 90 days after September of ' 17 ; is that correct?

A I can't recall the dates or the amounts.
Q I'm asking you a physical question, not an amount question. You just testified that SJCV sent payments -- you called them advanced payments -- for SHAC. I'm assuming you're referring to the payments that were made to CBC ; is that correct?

## A Well, your question is about the

payments made by SJC on behalf of SHAC to CBC, but they were various payments made at different times and different methodologies. So your question is overly broad. If you have specific payments you'd like to ask about, I can answer them to the best
of my recollection.
Q Do you recall if you sent written checks to CBC ?

A I have.
Q Have you also wired money to CBC?
A Yes, I have, on behalf of SHAC, either
directly from SHAC or by SJC on behalf of SHAC.
Q So what was the source of funds for SJCV making the advances on behalf of SHAC?

A SJC Ventures holds various business interests from which it generates its income.

Q And what are those various business interests?

A Objection. Relevance.
Q You still have to answer the question, Mr. Bloom.

A My opinion is that that's beyond discoverable information, and I'm not going to go into SHAC's -- into SJC's business outside of the scope of what's relevant to this litigation.

Q So, Mr. Bloom, you don't enjoy that luxury. You have to either answer the question -you've not been instructed by your attorney not to answer the question, and in fact, I will represent to you, within the documents we've provided, you
made specific representations to CBC about
companies and interests that you held that justified your purchase.

So I'm going to ask the question again.
What's the source of funds that SJCV used to pay for SHAC's obligations to CBC?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance.
A Various sources of funds. There are
various sources of funds. The documents speak for
themselves. Your question is overly broad, and
I'm not going to get into SJC's business outside its relevance to this matter.

MR. MUSHKIN: Miss Court Reporter, I'm going to ask you to certify that question, please.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Mr. Bloom, do you recall the
representations that you made to CBC upon entering into this transaction?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Question is overly broad as well. Do you have a specific representation or representations to which you're referring?

Q Mr. Bloom, I'm asking the questions.
You don't get to tell me whether my questions are overly broad. Your lawyer can make an objection,
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but you don't get to object. You get to answer my questions.

A Mr. Mushkin, I get to enter objections as well, and I just did. So if you would like to make a more specific question, I'd be happy to address it.

Q I am asking you --
A All representations over the last four or five years is overly broad, and I'm not able to answer.

Q Mr. Bloom, I'm asking you about representations that you made before you signed the agreements in question in this case. I'm asking about specific representations that you made to CBC. Do you recall any of them?

A Not off the top of my head. If you have a specific representation or representations you would like to ask me about, I'd be happy to address it.

Q So do you recall providing tax returns to CBC?

A I do not.
Q So I would direct your attention to 1041, I think. Oh, no, I'm lying. I'll give you the cite.

A Certainly.
Q It's 1044. We're going to pull it up for you.

A Thank you.
Q Do you recall, Mr. Bloom, do you recall providing your 2014 Federal U.S. Individual Income Tax Return to CBC?

A I'm neither confirming nor denying that I provided my tax return. I just don't have a recollection.

Q Okay. And do you know what Lending Ventures and Enterprises Matching, LLC is?

A Do not know of a company called Lending Ventures and Enterprise Matching.

Q Do you recall providing your 2015 tax returns to CBC ?

A I would reiterate my answer to your question relating to my 2014 tax return.

Q And you don't know about Lending Ventures and Enterprises Matching, LLC?

A I don't know about an entity Lending Ventures and Enterprise Matching, LLC.

Q And the principal business is management. You've never heard of that company? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and
answered.
MR. MUSHKIN: Well, Counsel, I appreciate your objection, but it's attached to his tax return.

MS. BARRAZA: So are you referring to an exhibit? I just want to make sure. Are you referring to an exhibit?

MR. MUSHKIN: We're trying to. I'm going to have it in a minute. I'm just trying to identify the names. As soon as they can get it up on the screen, you'll have it in front of you. We provided it to you. It's Bates 104 -- it starts at 1044.

MS. BARRAZA: Whose disclosure? Is it your Bates stamp or our Bates stamp? MR. MUSHKIN: No, my disclosure. These come out of emails. These come out of the emails that we provided you -- that Mr. Bloom provided to CBC. BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q And, Mr. Bloom, do you know -- does the address 1620 South Highland Parkway -- South Highlands Parkway 110-508, Las Vegas, Nevada 89141, does that refresh your recollection?

A No, it does not.


```
the fourth. Wait. It's in the fourth PDF.
    THE WITNESS: What's the name of the
file?
    MS. BARRAZA: I think it's Bates number,
and then it's like 961 through 1084.
    THE WITNESS:These are all of the PDFs
from the zip file and I have them open, but I'm
not seeing that file. So I have 200702.
    MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, that's the first
one, and then 714 is the second, and then there's
a third, and there's a fourth.
    THE WITNESS: I have a file stamp SJC
Ventures Answer to Counterclaim. I have a file
stamp Defendants CBC Partners I, LLC. I have a
file stamp Transcript of Proceedings. I have a
file stamp Complaint.
    MS. BARRAZA: Do you see the ones that
    don't start with file stamp? They start with
    Bates number.
```

    THE WITNESS: I have Bates number 5.
    MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, 5 what?
    THE WITNESS: Bates number 5148SH 001.
    MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, so the other one,
    the other Bates number one.
    THE WITNESS: Bates number 5148SH 00961?
    MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, that one.
    THE WITNESS: Okay. I have that open on
    my screen.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Now advance to 1025 .
A Okay. I have it.
Q Do you see the date on that, July 21st,
2017?
A Yes.
Q And you see your name at the bottom of
the page?
A Yes.
Q "All the best, Jay Bloom"?
A Ido.
Q You wrote this; is that correct?
MS. BARRAZA: Can I just confirm. Are
we on the record.
THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
MS. BARRAZA: Okay.
MR. MUSHKIN: Thank you, Danielle. I
would have forgotten all about it.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q So you authored this document; is that
correct?
A I believe so.
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Q And do you know who Gavin Ernstone is?
A Yes.
Q He was a broker for Mr. Antos; is that correct?

A I don't know the nature of the
relationship between him and Mr. Antos. He was
the broker on the house, which my guess would be he was the broker for the trust, not Mr. Antos.
But I'm speculating. I don't know --
Q He wasn't -- sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

He wasn't your broker, was he?
A He was not my broker.
Q So he represented either Mr. Antos or the trust; is that fair?

A Well, since the trust is the owner of the house, my expectation would be he represented the owner of the house, which would have been the Antos Trust.

Q And Mr. Antos is the trustee, is that correct, one of the trustees?

A That's my understanding.
Q Okay. So I would direct your attention to the buyer obligations. Do you see that?

A Ido.

Q And I would have you read down to -well, there are little bullets. There are, 1,2 , $3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11-$ do you see the 11th bullet?

A What does it start with?
Q "Provide that portion of the judgment necessary as additional collateral to the third lender to fully securitize third lender's debt position where a security from the property is deficient to do so." Do you see that?

A In what's titled in the subject as proposal for consideration and certain items in the document, yes, I do see that. I just want to make sure we're talking about the same. You're talking about the proposal, not the definitive document?

Q Yeah, I'm talking about document Bates number 5148SH 001025.

A Correct, I do see that bullet point in the Proposal for Consideration.

Q And you see that as of July 21st of 2017; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q And you are aware that the documents in question, the Forbearance Agreement, was executed
in September of 2017; is that correct?
A Well, I haven't looked at the documents in a long time. I'm not sure of the dates from recollection. If that's the representation you're making, I'm sure you'll pull up the document later and we can confirm it.

Q We'll be looking at the Forbearance
Agreement in detail shortly, but I will represent to you it's executed on the 27th day of
September 2017.
So is it fair to assume -- well, let's
not assume anything. Let's go to the next bullet.
"At the earlier of two years or upon collection of
the judgment proceeds, pay off in full third
position loan against the property." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q That was your proposal; correct?
A That was the proposal.
Q And then, "At the earlier of two years or upon collection of the judgment, either assume service or retire either or both of the first and second position lenders." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q And that was your proposal; correct?
A That was the proposal.
Q And then at the last bullet, "At the
earlier of two years or upon collection of the
judgment proceeds, pay off past-due and accrued
property tax assessments, if not already addressed by first or second lender." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And let's go to the third obligations.
It says, "Re-age any delinquency under its third
position note to bring its note current." Do you know what that means?

A I believe so.
Q Tell me what it means.
A To the extent it doesn't call for a legal conclusion, it means that any delinquencies that the Antos Trust may have had under its obligations be re-aged so that there were no delinquencies considered. Upon this litigation, we learned that there were no Antos trust obligations. There was a commercial loan masqueraded as a third-position mortgage, where the commercial loan was the obligation of KCI Restaurants as the borrower and the Antoses individually as guarantors. So there were no actual delinquencies to be re-aged that were the
obligation of the seller of the property. That's my understanding.

Q Well, you signed an operating agreement, didn't you?

A I did.
Q And you made a promise in that operating agreement to pay off this obligation, didn't you?

A Would you like to pull up the operating agreement and reference the document you're referring to?

Q Sure. So now you need to go to document 334 -- I'm sorry, 34 -- 00034 to 0062. Do you see that agreement?

A I'm pulling it up now. I have it in front of me.

Q So Investor Member Covenants, you need to go to page 53 .

A I'm on page 53.
Q Okay. Do you see the Investor Member Covenants?

A I do see the paragraph.
Q First it says 150,000 . Do you see that?
A I do.
Q You did not open an account at the time you executed this and put 150,000 in it, did you?
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A If you look at 5148SH0 --
Q It's a yes or no question, sir.
A This is not an executed copy of an
agreement. Your question was -- you referenced an
executed agreement, so I'm asking you, do you have
an executed agreement that you entered into
evidence that I can reference? This is not a
signed agreement.
Q Is it your testimony that you did not
agree to the terms of this operating agreement?
A The question was --
Q Sir, I ask the questions, and --
A I'm asking you for clarification on your question.

Q I don't need to clarify my questions. Are you telling me you --

A Your question is too -(Reporter clarification.)
A Would you like to rephrase your question, Mr. Mushkin?

Q Mr. Bloom, did you sign an operating agreement for SHAC?

A I do recall signing an operating agreement for SHAC.

Q Do you believe that this is a true and
correct copy of that operating agreement?
A This is not a signed copy of an operating agreement.

Q That's not what I asked you, sir. I
asked you if you believe this to be a true and
correct copy?
A I don't know.
Q Okay. We'll move on. Now let's look at the investor member covenants.

A Okay.
Q Did you agree to provide $\$ 150,000$ of annual funding?

A Can you explain who you mean when you ask "you," which entity, which party?

Q Investor members, SCVJ -- SJCV. I can't
say that very well. Did you on behalf of the --
well, let's just say, is it true that the investor
member in SHAC is SCJV?
A What? The investment member is who?
Q SJC Ventures.
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
Now, you've already testified that SHAC did not have a bank account until 2020. So did you -- obviously there was no reserve expense
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account created for SHAC upon executing the operating agreement; is that fair?

A Ours modified this agreement to
accommodate for a prepayment in a year which
replaced the necessity for a reserve account to
make periodic payments monthly over the course of
that year.
Q Where is that in writing?
A It's evidenced by the payment, and I
imagine it would be evidenced by email communications between the parties as well.

Q Have you produced your emails in this matter?

A I don't know where we are in the discovery process.

Q Would that be a no?
A I'm not sure.
Q You have not produced them to my office, have you?

A Well, you're asking me if my attorneys have produced them to your office? I can't answer that.

Q I'll represent -- well, it doesn't matter.

Let's move down to the next page. So

I'm assuming you have the same answer for item ii, that somehow the second 150 was modified? Is that correct, Mr. Bloom?

A Yes, I answered that is correct.
Q Sorry. We couldn't hear you.
So then it says that, item iii, "Cause
the company to service the nonmember CBC Partners
receivable against the property commencing 90 days
after the closing of this agreement, under the
modified terms and conditions thereto, as agreed upon by the investor member." Do you see that?

A I see the paragraph.
Q Have you ever paid anything to CBC
Partners other than monthly rent?
A Can you define who "you" is in your question. Again, there are several entities and individuals.

Q SJC. Well, SHAC didn't have a bank account, so the only other person is SJCV?

A Well, and then I have personal bank accounts, and then I have other entities, so I'm asking you to clarify your question.

Q Did anybody? I don't know. You have to tell me. Only you have that knowledge.

A So I'm still not clear on what your
question is. So now your question is about SJC. Could you repeat the question again using SJC so I can answer it.

Q Did you cause the company SJCV to service nonmember CBC Partners receivable starting 90 days after the closing of this agreement?

A Well, so the company -- what's the definition of "company" in this unsigned agreement? Is it SHAC or SJC?

Q SHAC.
A So SHAC did not directly service payment. SJC as tenants had an obligation to SHAC, which SHAC forwarded -- which SJC through SHAC assigned to CBC, so SJC made the payment directly.

Q The company never serviced the receivable, did they?

A It did through an assignment of its receivables to CBC. CBC was paid. There's no argument from CBC that they weren't.

Q Let's go to number iv. "Cause the company to effect repairs to the premises to bring it back to top quality standard and working repair." Did the company cause that to happen?

A Yes.

|  | have you? <br> A Have not. <br> Q And let's go to number ix, "Cause the company to pay for all landscaping." Do you see that? <br> A I do. <br> Q And you've done that; is that correct? <br> A "You" being SHAC as the company, yes. <br> Q Somebody, somebody under your control. <br> I don't know that it's -- you can answer however <br> you wish. SHAC is obliged to do this; is that <br> fair? <br> A SHAC is obliged to do this, yes. <br> Q And the tenant, which is SJCV, is the <br> manager of SHAC; is that correct? <br> A Correct. <br> Q So let's go to the next. "Provide for the benefit from the portion of its judgment proceeds distributions from its interest in One One Holdings -- One Hundred Holdings -- First 100 Holdings to serve as additional collateral to further securitize lending member's note against any deficiency in the existing real property serving as collateral prior to this agreement." Do you see that? |
| :---: | :---: |
| property insurance; is that correct? <br> A That's correct. <br> Q And then the item viii is, "Cause the company to pay all HOA assessments and fines." <br> You have not done that, have you? <br> A "You" being SHAC? <br> Q No party of which you control has done <br> that? There is in fact an approximate $\$ 19,000$ <br> fine on the property; is that correct? <br> A That fine is being disputed as <br> illegitimate and being discharged. So I'm not going to -- <br> Q I appreciate that, but the -- <br> A I'm sorry. Let me finish my answer -- <br> Q Sorry. <br> A -- for the benefit of the court <br> reporter. Thank you. <br> That fine is not legitimate, is being <br> reversed, and will not be paid. It's not an <br> accurate reflection of loss of fines that are <br> subject to being imposed. <br> Q There is a lien on the property today; is that correct? <br> A Which also will be reversed, correct. <br> Q You have not bonded around that lien, | A Ido. <br> Q And did you on behalf of an entity <br> controlled by you enter into a security agreement <br> with CBC 1 for this very purpose? <br> A I believe so, but that would be subject <br> to reviewing the documents and confirming that. <br> Q "At the earlier of two years or upon <br> collection of the judgment proceeds, pay off in <br> full the CBC receivable as it relates to the <br> property." Do you see that? <br> A Ido. <br> Q So isn't it true that you made a <br> separate agreement as the investor member to pay <br> off the CBC receivable on this property? <br> A As it relates to the property. <br> Q "At the earlier of two years or upon <br> collection of the judgment, either assume serviced <br> or retired either or both of the first and second <br> position lenders." Do you see that? <br> A Ido. <br> Q And did you agree to that at the time that you entered into the operating agreement? <br> A At that time, subject to modification <br> later by the parties. <br> Q Is there any written modification for |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | this agreement that you're aware of? |
|  | A This is a proposal. |
| 3 | Q This is the operating agreement. |
| 4 | A This is the unsigned operating |
| 5 | agreement. So the obligation was modified by an |
| 6 | amendment to a Forbearance Agreement. |
| 7 | Q And that amendment to the Forbearance |
| 8 | Agreement extended it three months; is that |
| 9 | correct? |
| 10 | A Do you have the document that we can |
| 11 | pull up and review? |
| 12 | Q I do, and we will at some point in time, |
| 13 | but isn't it true that that extended the document |
| 14 | from December of '19 until March 31st of 2020? |
| 15 | A I'd have to go and review the document, |
| 16 | so I'll reserve my answer until we get there. |
| 17 | Q Any reason to believe that's not an |
| 18 | accurate statement? |
| 19 | A I only have your representation. |
| 20 | Without having reviewed the document and not bein |
| 1 | able to recall, that's a reason. |
| 22 | Q Let's go to the next one. "At the |
| 3 | earlier of two years or upon collection of the |
| 24 | judgments, pay off past-due and accrued property |
| 25 | tax assessments, if not already addressed by the |
|  | first and second lender." Do you see that? |
| 2 | A Ido. |
| 3 | Q And do you believe that was also |
| 4 | extended by the Amended Forbearance Agreement? |
| 5 | A Same answer. I'd have to review the |
| 6 | agreement to speak with any specificity about |
| 7 | obligations imposed by that agreement. |
| 8 | Q Let's go to the next one. |
| 9 | A Okay. |
| 10 | Q "Utilize its lawyers to effectuate a |
| 11 | quiet title action for the purpose of |
| 12 | extinguishing any and all judgment creditor liens |
| 13 | against the property." Do you see that provision? |
| 14 | 4 A Ido. |
| 15 | Q Did you agree to do that? |
| 16 | 16 A "You" being SHAC? |
| 17 | 17 Q Well, actually, this is the investor |
| 18 | member, so this is SJCV. If you scroll back up, |
| 19 | 19 these 15 items, these are the Investor Member |
| 20 | Covenants. We've already established that SJCV is |
| 21 | the investor member. So these are the covenants |
| 22 | that you agreed to upon entering into and taking |
| 23 | 3 management of SHAC; is that a fair statement? |
| 24 | 4 A When you say "you," you mean SJC? |
| 25 | 5 Q Yes, sir. |

A Subject to modification by the parties subsequent to this agreement, yes.
Q And are you aware of any modifications other than the Amended Forbearance Agreement?

A I, in my capacity as manager of SJC , spoke frequently with CBC's representatives and discussed what we were to do and when we were to do it on a regular basis.

Q Did you ever have any agreement from
Mr. Antos that you were not obligated to do what you promised Mr. Antos in this operating agreement?

A Mr. Antos directed me to speak to CBC.
Q That's not my question, sir. Did
Mr . Antos ever say to you or was there ever a written document that you don't have to perform these obligations under the investor member covenants?

A Yes.
Q Where is it?
A I don't know where you have it in your pile of exhibits, but it's the amendment to Forbearance Agreement.

Q I said other than that.
A You didn't say other than that, but no,
not other than that.
Q There's no other modification other than the Amended Forbearance Agreement; is that fair?

A There are potentially other email communications, which would be subsequent modifications, and then there are telephone conversations.

Q Doesn't it say in this document that all modifications must be in writing?

A I don't know.
Q Don't you recall me showing that to you when you were on the stand in front of the judge?

A That all modifications must be in writing, no.

Q Okay. We'll get to that.
Now, do you see under subsection B where it says, "The company shall comply, at all times, with the terms of the agreement"?

A Yes.
Q "Terms and conditions of the agreement"?
A Yes.
Q And then it says, "The execution, delivery, and performance of this agreement by the investor member does not conflict with or constitute a breach of or a default under the
articles of organization of the investor member, the operating agreement of the investor member, or any contract, agreement, instrument, or debenture to which investor member is a party or to which any of its assets with subject." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q And did you agree to that at the time this document was executed?

A When you say, "Did you agree to that," do you mean the investor member SJC?

Q Yes, sir.
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
So I'll direct your attention now to
11.02 where it says Reports to Members. Have you
ever issued a report to the members of SHAC as its manager?

A I don't believe so.
Q I'd like to direct your attention to
12.07. Do you see that, 12.07 , that this is the

Entire Agreement?
A Ido.
Q I'd like to direct your attention to
1209. Do you see that, where it says amendment or
modification? "Only upon written approval of the
company," do you see that? Then it goes on to
talk about during the term of the seller member.
Do you see that?
A I'm sorry. Say that again.
Q We're at 1209.
A What was your question?
Q All modifications have to be in writing;
isn't that correct? See where it says "written
approval"?
A "Written approval of the company."
Q And then it can be executed in
counterparts. Do you see that?
A Correct.
Q Are you aware of any written modification to this operating agreement?

A There's no formal amendment to the operating agreement, but the agreement terms are modified by the amendment to the Forbearance Agreement and emails that occurred between the parties.

Q Have you produced the emails between the parties?

A I would reiterate my previous answer to that question from the answer before.

Q So the answer is no?

A The answer is you would have to go back and review the production to date and see what was included.

Q Okay. So now let's go to the
Forbearance Agreement, which is item number 1 in the recent production. Can you see it?

A I'm pulling it up on my PDF. Bear with

Q Can you see the one on the screen that we have in front of us?

A Yeah, but the writing is a little small,
but if I need to reference it, I'll reference the
Bates number and pull up the PDF of the same document that was provided for the deposition.

Q Does that make it easier for you to read?

A Yes.
Q All right. We're not going to read too much of it. All right. Let's go back to the date. As you can see -- is it true that this document is made as of the 27th day of September, 2017?

A The document seems to speak for itself, but yes.

Q And I want to make sure we understand
the parties to this agreement. It recites in the
very first paragraph that the Neumann Trust, Ken and Sheila -- Ken Antos and Sheila Neumann-Antos
as trustees and as guarantors, and Spanish Heights
Acquisition Company. Do you see that? And then
it says and SJC Ventures, LLC. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Is that a yes?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
Now let's go to the recitals, recites
CBCI , and then at number 2 it talks about an amended note; correct?

A I don't know if that's CBCI or CBCI.
Q I'll represent to you that it's CBCI,
but I don't really care.
And then it talks about the original note of June 22nd, 2012, as its origination. Do you see that? Can't hear you.

A Are you talking about paragraph 1, which it says the notes have been executed by KCI Investments and --

Q Yes.
A -- Preferred Restaurant Brands? Yes, I see that.

actually. When you get a little further away it has a problem, but we'll figure this out as we go along.

A Okay.
Q The members -- let's look at the next sentence. "The members of SHAC are SJC Ventures, LLC and the Antos Trust." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And that is in fact true, is that
correct, at the time? Is that correct?
A That is correct.
Q I'm sorry. Thank you.
"Pursuant to the terms hereunder, SHAC
intends to acquire the property and make certain payments to CBC and other parties pursuant to the terms of this Forbearance Agreement." Do you see that provision?

## A Yes, I see that provision.

Q And did you agree to that provision at the time you entered into this agreement?

MS. BARRAZA: Object to form. You can answer.
A SHAC and SJC, to the extent that they're subject to that provision, did agree to that provision.

Q Thank you.
Paragraph 4 talks about, "SHAC intends
to rent the property to SJCV." Do you see that provision?

A Yes, I see that provision.
Q And that in fact has occurred; correct?
A That is correct.
Q There is no -- is there a formal lease agreement?

A Yes, there is a formal lease agreement.
Q "SJCV is a Nevada Limited Liability
Company and owns 24.912 percent member interest in First 100 Holdings, LLC." Do you see that?

## A Yes, I see that.

Q And you have a judgment creditor in possession of a final judgment in the amount of approximately $\$ 2.2$ billion; is that in this document?

## A Yes, that is in this document.

Q And does this form a basis -- let's go down a little farther. "As a material term of the Forbearance Agreement, which forms the basis of the bargain, Mr. Bloom, as the managing member and as a manager of SJC, have agreed that SJC will execute the security agreement described herein;
and that First 100 Holdings will acknowledge its consent that SJC execute the security agreement, which will require First 100 Holdings to guaranty the obligations of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And that was an original term of this
Forbearance Agreement; correct?
A Yes, that is correct. I'm trying to avoid one word answers for you.

Q I appreciate that.
Under subsection B, "Obligations owing
from Antos parties to CBC as of August 21, 2017." Do you see that provision?

A Yes, I see that provision.
Q It recites the principal amount of the note; correct?

A Yes, it appears to recite the principal amount of a note.

Q In the amount $\$ 2,935,001.14$, do you see that 5?

A Yes, I see that.
Q It also talks about certain protection payments as defined in Exhibit B. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Do you know what the protection payments are made of?

A I'm not sure I understand your question.
Q Do you know what that $\$ 397,872.65$ is
comprised of? Do you know what that is?
A No, I do not.
Q A then under iii the amount of
$\$ 1,315,105.24$ in interest accrued at the rate of 20 percent. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And finally, "in additional amounts for accrued interest, recoverable costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, certain indemnities, post-forbearance protection payments and other note expenses as described below." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And you agreed to pay all of those sums; is that correct?

A Can you define who "you" is?
Q SJCV.
A SJCV agreed to pay those sums as they relate to what was represented as a third position mortgage, which turned out to be a commercial note
unrelated to the property.
Q So the commercial note was recited at the very beginning of this document, wasn't it, sir?

A It wasn't represented as a commercial note. It was falsely represented as a third position mortgage.

Q It is a third position mortgage. It's reported against the property. Let's go back to the first representation. Executed by KCI investments and Preferred Restaurants Brands; correct?

A I said a commercial note.
Q Commercial note. Thank you. Let's move on.

So under item number 2, "The modified deed of trust. As part of the security for satisfaction of the amended note, CBCI holds the valid and protected modified deed of trust. Pursuant to the modified deed of trust, CBC is entitled to foreclose on the property." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And you agreed to that at the time; isn't that correct?

A Based on misrepresentations made by CBC, yes.

Q "The Antos parties and SJCV parties have no defenses, offsets, counterclaims, or adverse claims of any kind or amount with respect to the loan documents, including the amended note, the modified deed of trust, and other collateral interests held by CBC as security for the satisfaction of the note." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And did you agree to that term at the time you entered into this document?

A Based on the false representations of CBCI that they had a third position mortgage, that's what the parties agreed.

Q What was false from CBCI?
A Not a third position mortgage.
Q How do you know?
A Because we came to learn that this was a commercial loan through KCI restaurants and Preferred Restaurant Brands with a personal guaranty of the Antoses, but the Antos Trust was not a guarantor and not a borrower.

Q And so because the Antos Trust was not a borrower, you believe that's a defense to payment

| 1 | under this agreement? |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 | MS. BARRAZA: Object to the form. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | A To the extent that it calls for a legal |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | conclusion, I would object, but that's my belief, |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | that you can't pledge a deed of trust against a |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | loan where there's no obligation to pledgor. |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | That's not a mortgage. |
| 8 | Q And you've seen the authorization of |
| 9 | the -- you've seen the authorization of the deed |
| 10 | of trust by the -- you've seen the authorization |
| 11 | of the pledge of collateral by the trust; is that |
| 12 | correct? |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | A |
| 14 | Qes, I have. |
| 15 | offset, or countos parties have no defense, |
| 16 | Let's go to the next one. Now, under 4, |
| 17 | it says "Identified Deeds of trust -- "Identified |
| 18 | Defaults." Do you see the identified defaults |
| 19 | provision? |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | A I see paragraph $\mathbf{4}$ that's titled |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Identified Defaults. |
| 22 | Q Okay. Now let's look at under C, |
| 23 | Request for Forbearance. This is a request by the |
| 24 | Antos parties and SJCV parties that have |
| 25 | requested, not withstanding the existence of the |
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    identified default, that CBCI forbear the
    exercising of their rights and remedies with
    respect to the identified defaults through
    August 31, '19. Do you see that?
    A Yes, I see that.
    Q Then it says, "Although CBCI is under no
    obligation to do so, CBC is willing to forbear
    pursuant to the terms and conditions of this
Forbearance Agreement." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
And then this is to allow CBCI to allow
SHAC to secure replacement financing to satisfy
the amount owed to CBCI pursuant to the note. Do
you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
Q So I want to make -- I want to be clear
about what you're claiming as a misrepresentation.
You're not claiming that the amount was
misrepresented to you, are you?
A To the extent that's the trust pledgor
of the collateral had no obligation, neither as
borrower or guarantor. Yes, there's a
misrepresentation because the amount that the
trust owed was zero.
you. I'm asking you whether or not you are
contesting the amount of the note that was
represented to you as due to CBCI going all the
way back to 2012. It was disclosed to that it
started in 2012. Do you dispute the amount of
those note -- the amount of that note?

A Objection to the extent it's been asked and answered.

I'll go ahead and answer anyway again.
Yes.
Q Well, how much do you think the note is off?

A The balance that is owed by the trust is zero. They are not a borrower. They are not a guarantor.

Q That is not what I'm asking you, sir. That's not the question I'm asking.

A May I finish my answer? The note is valid as to KCI and Preferred Restaurant Brands as borrower. The note is valid as to the Antoses individually as to two guarantors. As the trust is neither a borrower nor a guarantor, then the trust has no obligation under the note, and the balance due by the trust under the KCI commercial

## note is zero.

Q But you didn't promise the trust to pay. You promised the Antoses to pay, didn't you? You have a separate promise to the personal guarantor to pay off CBCI; isn't that correct?

A Based on the misrepresentation that it was a third-party mortgage instead of a commercial note, yes. Again, it was predicated on a misrepresentation by CBCI, I.

Q Okay. So let's move down. You have acknowledged that the recitals set forth are true, accurate, and correct. Do you see that in paragraph 1 ?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Paragraph 2 is a reaffirmation of the loans. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And paragraph number 4 says that so long as you satisfy all the conditions in Exhibit B to the Forbearance Agreement that we agree that -that CBCI agrees to forbear. Do you see that?

## A Yes, I see paragraph 4 titled

Forbearance.
Q You did not perform the items listed in Exhibit B, did you?

| A Is that a specific item that you're |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | referencing? |
| Q You didn't file a quiet title, did you? |  |
| 4 | A Subject to subsequent modifications and |
| 5 | agreements by the parties, it was adequate |
| 6 | performance by SJC and SHAC. |
| 7 |  |
| 8 title action, did you? Correct? |  |
| 9 | A Correct, no quiet title action was |
| 10 | filed. |
| 11 | Q And you did not create a bank account |
| 12 |  |
|  | 3 A That's correct. |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | events of default; is that correct? |
| 16 | 16 A "You" being which party? |
| 17 | Q SJCV. |
| 18 | A Which paragraph are you referencing? |
|  | Q 4.2. |
| 20 | A Yes, I see paragraph 4.2. |
|  | Q Okay. And then there's a termination of |
| 22 | the forbearance period. Do you see t |
| 23 | provisions? |
| 24 | A Ido. |
| 25 | Q Okay. Let's move on, because we're |
| 1 going to get to the amended Forbearance Agreement. |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | at paragraph 5., and again, no new defa |
|  | Let's go to 5.2. No other lenders undertakes |
| 4 5 | efforts to enforce. That's not -- fortunately, |
|  | that's not happened in this case. |
|  | Delivery of Outstanding Liens. |
| 8 | A That says "Delivery of Outstanding |
|  | Items." |
|  | Q I'm sorry. Items. Sorry. |
| 10 | Unfortunately -- we'll get to Exhibit B. |
|  | We'll go to that later. |
| 13 | Delivery of Contents. |
|  | A I believe that says Delivery of |
| 14 | Consents, not contents. |
| 16 | Q You're right. I'm having a hard time |
| 16 | reading my own screen. Thank you. |
| 17 | Consents, that's not relevant right |
| 18 | The back to the collection of judgment, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | we'll talk about that later. By the way, there |
| , | has not been a collection of that judgment to pa |
| 22 | off this note, has there? |
|  | A There's not been collection of that |
|  |  |
|  | Q Full Cooperation, Capital Expenditures. |
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going to get to the amended Forbearance Agreement.
So there are conditions to forbearance at paragraph 5., and again, no new defaults.
Let's go to 5.2. No other lenders undertakes
efforts to enforce. That's not -- fortunately,
that's not happened in this case.
Delivery of Outstanding Liens.
A That says "Delivery of Outstanding
Q I'm sorry. Items. Sorry. Unfortunately -- we'll get to Exhibit B.
We'll go to that later. Delivery of Contents.
A I believe that says Delivery of Consents, not contents.

Q You're right. I'm having a hard time reading my own screen. Thank you.

Consents, that's not relevant right now.
we'll talk about that later. By the way, there
has not been a collection of that judgment to pay
a
A Thers
Q Full Cooperation, Capital Expenditures.

Now 5.9, Additional Collateral, "As
additional security for the satisfaction of obligations -- as satisfaction of the obligations of their obligations herein, the Antos parties grant to CBCI and SJC parties the additional collateral described in Exhibit B" That's additional collateral. Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q Let's go on a little farther. The Negative Covenants, not going to go into that right now.

Not worried about that.
Now, there's liens again.
So let's take a look at Cumulative
Remedies, number 25. CBCI enjoys cumulative remedies; is that correct?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And 26 is Mutual Agreement; is that correct?

A Yes, I see paragraph 26 entitled Mutual Agreement.

Q And did you participate in the drafting of this agreement?

A I believe I did.
Q At paragraph 29, it calls for further
performance and says that you shall execute and deliver or cause to be executed and deliver such further instruments or documents and to do any and all things that may be requested by CBC in order to carry out the intent and purpose of this Forbearance Agreement; is that correct?

A Yes, I see paragraph 29.
Q And you agreed to that; correct?
A Based on misrepresentations by CBC.
Q You can keep saying that all you want.
I'm just asking you whether you --
A You keep asking the question.
Q At the time you signed it -- you agreed to this at the time that you signed it; correct?

A Based on the misrepresentations made by CBC, yes, I signed it.

Q Okay. Of course.
And SJC Ventures signed this by its manager; correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q And Ken and Sheila Antos signed this individually as well; correct?

A Ken and Sheila Antos appeared to have signed this both individually and as trustees for the Antos Trust.

| Q Thank you. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | And here are the Articles of |
| Organization for Spanish Heights; is that correct? |  |
| 4 | A Objection. The documents speak for |
| 5 | themselves. |
| 6 | Notwithstanding, yes, that's what it |
| 7 | appears to be. |
| 8 | Q Now, there may have been some confusi |
| 9 | at some point in time, but this is the original |
| 10 | filing on August of '17. Do you see the filing date and time? |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | A I do. |
| 13 | Q Subsequent to this document being submitted to the secretary of state, SJC Ventures |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | resigned from the LLC; is that correct? |
| 16 | A That's correct. |
| 17 | Q And CBC Partners resigned from the LLC; is that correct? |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | A That is correct. |
| 20 | Q Is that a yes? |
| 21 | A Yes, that is correct. |
| 22 | Q Sorry. |
| 23 | And do you know why the two parties |
| 24 | resigned? |
| 25 | A Yes, |
|  | Q Tell me why. |
| 2 | A There are two different reasons. SJC |
| 3 | resigned its membership to allow for the Kenneth |
| 4 | and Sheila Antos Living Trust to have 100 percent |
| 5 | ownership so that there would not be a tax consequence from the transfer. |
| 6 |  |
| 7 | CBC Partners resigned because upon |
| 8 | advice of counsel they represented that it was |
| 9 | problematic for them to hold both the note and |
| 10 | equity in the property, and so they elected to |
| 11 | remove themselves as an owner, because that would put at risk the note. |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Q And whose advice was that? |
| 14 | A I wasn't party to CBC's conversations |
| 15 | with its attorney. |
| 16 | Q Well, then why are you saying it? How |
| 17 | do you know? |
| 18 | A Because that's the representation that |
| 19 | CBC made to me at the beginning of the |
| 20 | transaction. They requested to be removed from |
| 21 | the equity and in the property because they felt |
| 22 | they could not hold both the equity and be a |
| 23 | lender against the property at the same time. |
| 24 | Q Who made that representation to you? |
| 25 | A I'm blanking out his name. |

Q Alan Hallberg?
A Alan Hallberg.
Q And you're saying he made that
representation to you on or about August of '17?
A Subsequent to this document being filed, prior to this next document being filed.

Q What document are you referring to as "the next document being filed"?

A There should have been a modification or amendment that took both SJC and CBC off the entity.

Q There are resignations. Are you talking about the --

A Resignations. I'm sorry. Yes, the resignations.

Q But I'm talking about you came back into ownership, isn't that correct, pursuant to the operating agreement that...
(Reporter clarification.)
Q Pursuant to the operating agreement on or about September of '17, you came back into ownership; is that a fair statement?

A To the extent that by "you," you're referencing SJC Ventures, yes, SJC Ventures came back in as owner, 51 percent owner of SHAC.

Q Thank you.
So here's the Statement of Resignation. Do you see it on the screen?

A Ido.
Q And that is August 9; is that fair?
A That's what the document indicates.
Q That's for Hallberg. See if I can find yours.

A It's signed by Hallberg on behalf of CBC.

Q Right. And then yours is following it, I believe. There it is. And there's SJC Ventures Holdings, Jay Bloom, Manager. So there's your resignation, is that fair, in August of '17?

A If by "you," you mean SJC Ventures' resignation of membership, yes.

Q Yes. Yes, sir. That's just as the document recites.

Okay. Now, here's the Limited Liability Company Agreement. Let's see if this one has a signature. That was the same one. We'll get you the one with the signatures.

We've already been through that.
Okay. Let's see. What time is it? It is now -- would you like a five-minute break,

Mr. Bloom?
A I'm fine. I can keep going.
Q Okay. We'll keep going, then.
So now let's take a look at the Amended
Forbearance Agreement. Well, I'm going to take
that back. I want to go to Exhibit B to the
Forbearance Agreement, which is 00069.
A Did you say Exhibit $B$ to the amended agreement.

Q Yes. Exhibit B to Forbearance
Agreement. It should be on your screen?
A It is. That's why I asked for the clarification. It's a document that says something different than what you said you were going to be pulling up. I want to make sure we're looking at the document -- you said Exhibit B to the Amended Forbearance Agreement.

Q No, I'm sorry. I meant to the
Forbearance Agreement itself.
All right. Do you recognize this
document?
A Yes, I believe so.
Q This agreement talks about the Accuracy of Recitals, and again, the parties acknowledge the Accuracy of Recitals; is that correct?
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A I see paragraph 1, Accuracy of Recitals.
Q And paragraph 2 is the Obligations to be Performed by CBCI; correct?

A Yes, I see paragraph 2 that says
Obligations to be Performed by CBCI.
Q Paragraph 3, the Antos Parties. There are actually two paragraph 3s. The first one talks about Property Taxes by First Mortgagee, and the second one talks about Antos and SJC Parties' Duties to Convey and Maintain the Property. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see both paragraphs labeled paragraph 3.

Q So without the agreement to pay, this property is never conveyed to SHAC; isn't that correct?

A I'm not sure I understand. Without whose agreement to pay what?

Q Without SJCV's agreement to pay the note, this property was never transferred into SHAC; isn't that correct?

A Representation I saw above was an
page 62
obligation by CBCI to pay the first and second mortgage.

Q That's not what I'm talking about. I'm talking about the payment of the third, the agreement by SJCV to pay off the third within 24 months is a condition to the conveyance of the property to SHAC; isn't that correct?

A With the caveat that, one, there is no third, and it was misrepresented, the commercial note to KCI was represent -- misrepresented by CBCI as constituting a third mortgage and, two, subject to the extension by the parties, yes.

Q So the payment to be made by SHAC at paragraph 4 is the $\$ 8,560.42$ per month for 24 months. Do you see that at paragraph 4a?

A Yes, I see paragraph 4a.
Q And it's your testimony that SCVJ made those payments; is that correct?

A No. No, that's not my representation.
Q Who made -- well, SHAC didn't have a bank account until 2020?

A There is no company called SCVJ.
Q SJCV. How's that? Come on. I can't get it right.

A SJC made certain of the payments on
page 65
behalf of SHAC to CBC, and then later, starting this year, SHAC made its payments directly.

Q Did Jay Bloom personally make any of those payments?

A No.
Q Paragraph 4b, The Balloon Payment, do you see that?

A Yes, I see paragraph 4b.
Q And you agreed to make those balloon payments; correct?

A With the same caveats, further, "you" being defined as SJC Ventures, yes.

Q And then paragraph 6 would be Additional Security; is that correct?

A Paragraph 6 is labeled Additional Security.

Q And paragraph 6d talks about providing security interest in your judgment; is that correct?

A Yes, I see paragraph 6d.
Q And e talks about several judgment liens, and it represents that SJC will commence a quiet title action. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see paragraph 6d.
Q And you did not do that, did you?


A Yes, that is my signature.
Q There has been a lot of talk about documents. I understand that caveat that you keep talking about, but you're not arguing authenticity of any documents, are you, you're just claiming a legal defense?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Is that correct?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection to form.
A No, I'm not arguing authenticity, although I reserve the right to do so if I find a discrepancy from a draft upon review and after comparing it to an executed document.

Q I'd like you to take a look at 000081.
A So the documents just came off my screen.

MR. MUSHKIN: She's figuring out how to use this thing, and I'm getting a little crazy
over here.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Have you ever seen this document before?
A Subject to further review, yes, it looks familiar.

Q Take a look at page 00092.

A Okay.
Q Is that your signature?
A That appears to be my signature.
Q Actually, let's go back to 89. Spanish
Heights Acquisition Company by Jay Bloom, Manager,
do you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
Q And that's notarized, is it not?
A Yes, it is.
Q Do you know who Donna Zamora is?
A No, I do not.
Q Well, I'll represent to you that Donna
Zamora is an employee of Ms. Barraza's law firm.

## A Okay.

MS. BARRAZA: Is that a question?
MR. MUSHKIN: No. It's a --
MS. BARRAZA: Okay.
MR. MUSHKIN: -- statement.

## BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q And does that refresh your recollection as to when and where you executed this document?

A Hopefully, there's a pending question as to when and where I executed the document.

Q Do you know when and where you executed this document?
answered.
You can answer.
A Answer is still no.
Q Let's take a look at the Pledge
Agreement. See the Pledge Agreement?
A Yes, I see the Pledge Agreement.
Q And do you see the third paragraph on
page 1 where it says, "Whereas, pledgors are the owners of 100 percent of the ownership interest of
Spanish Heights Acquisition Company." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And do you see the next paragraph where
it references the Forbearance Agreement which says
that "Antos Trust and SJCV have agreed to pledge
all right, title, and interest in 100 percent of
its membership interest in Spanish Heights
Acquisition Company." Do you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
Q Now, do you recall the prior document,
the Forbearance Agreement itself, that also
recited that SJCV was pledging 100 percent of its interest?

A Yes, I recall seeing that document.
Q Okay. Then why is it, sir, that you
think that you did not pledge all of your interest in SHAC?

MS. BARRAZA: Object to form.
A As stated in previous testimony, this
agreement was modified subsequent to execution,
and the security interest in the judgment was put
in place of the pledge of the stock for SJC
Ventures, and as such, SJC Venture's signature
line was removed from this Pledge Agreement, and
this legacy language doesn't create an obligation
to a nonparty to the agreement.
Q Where does it say that, sir?
A Where does it say what?
Q Just what you said, the modification, where does say that?

A It's the absence of a signature line which would bind SJC to this agreement.
(Reporter clarification.)
A It's the absence of a signature line to this agreement for SJC Ventures that says it all.

Q What about the promise made in the
Forbearance Agreement, sir?
A Both representations are legacy language from what the deal was originally intended to be until modified prior to the execution of the

## agreements.

Q Well, now let's go back to that email,
001025. This is three, almost three months
before -- well, actually two months before the agreement. And the same obligation is set forth in this email, is it not?

A Which obligation are you referencing?
Q Well, first, the payoff of the third mortgage; correct?

## MS. BARRAZA: Object to the form.

A And with the same caveats, that no third mortgage actually existed as was represented by CBCI.

Q They provided you a copy of the note; right?

A I don't believe so, no.
Q They provided you a copy of the deed of trust; right?

A I believe I saw the deed of trust and relied on the representation that there was an actual obligation, which I think was supposed to be securing for the pledgor.

Q Mr. Antos told you that he owed the money; right?

A He did. He was the guarantor, but the
trust which owned the house did not.
Q Thank you.
And you promised Mr. Antos you'd paid this off, didn't you?

A By "you," I'm assuming you mean SJC Ventures?

Q Yes, sir.
A SJC Ventures promised to pay the obligation of the Antos Trust as the owner of the property.

Q It actually agreed to pay --
A I'm sorry. Let me finish my answer.
It turned out that the Antos Trust obligation was zero.

Q In fact. You made that promise to Mr. Antos personally also, didn't you?

A I don't believe I'm a party to anything personally.

Q Let's take a look at the Forbearance Agreement again. The parties are the personal guarantors of the promissory note, and it is signed by SJC Ventures, Jay Bloom. You made a promise to Kenneth Antos individually, too; isn't that correct?

A No, that's not even close to correct.


3 Restaurant Brands and Preferred Restaurant Brands,
whatever the borrowers were, and the Antoses
individually as guarantors. The Antos Trust never
was a borrower, never was a guarantor, and has no
obligations under that commercial loan.

Q All of this was disclosed to you before you signed the agreements; correct?

A No. That's not correct, no.
Q You sure? MS. BARRAZA: Object to the form.
A Asked and answered as well. Yes.
Q Well, let's go back and take a look at that Forbearance Agreement again.

A Okay.
Q Let's go to page 1. Is it not true that it is disclosed in this document that there has been a secured promissory note executed by KCI Investments and Preferred Restaurant Brands, Inc. in the first recital?

A Yes, I see the first recital.
Q So how is it, sir, that you did not know that this was a commercial loan from its inception?

A Because it was represented as a third mortgage. Had the Antos Trust signed as a guarantor or additional borrower, then potentially the Antos Trust would have had a liability, but that situation did not exist. The representation that it was a third mortgage was false, and I never was provided a copy of the commercial loan which was misrepresented as a mortgage. At the time we entered the agreements, I relied on the representation that a third mortgage existed, which it does not, clearly.

Q Now let's take a look at the second paragraph. "The amended note is secured by certain personal guarantees signed by Kenneth and Sheila Antos." You were disclosed of that, were you not?

A Yes.
Q And then there's a security agreement, subsidiary guarantees and intercreditor agreements, deeds of trust, assignment of rents, and fixture filings that were also disclosed; isn't that true?

A I don't believe certain of those documents were disclosed.

Q Well, they were disclosed in the
recitation of this document; correct?
A They're referenced, but the actual documents were not disclosed. There's not an exhibit for each of those documents where the document itself was disclosed.

Q And now let's go to the provision that I talked about earlier, page 5, that "The Antos parties and the SJCV parties acknowledge that the recitals set forth above are true, accurate and correct." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q "The recitals are incorporated into this
Forbearance Agreement without any difference or
distinction between the two segments of this
Forbearance Agreement." Do you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
Q Do you see in paragraph 2, Reaffirmation of Loans, "Except as modified by this Forbearance Agreement, the Antos parties and SJC parties -SJCV parties reaffirms all obligations due to CBCI under the Amended Note and Modified Deed of Trust." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see paragraph 2.
Q Thank you.
Now let's take a look further to the
security agreement. We did that one.
Now let's go to the payment letter,
which is page 100. Have you seen this document before?

A Well, I can only see a fraction of the letter in my screen.

Q How's that? And let's go to page 104.
And that is your signature both as SJC Ventures
and First 100 Holdings; is that correct?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q Thank you.
The next document, 105, is Personal
Guaranty. Do you see those?
A Yes, I see the document.
Q And you will note it's executed on the 27th in front of the same notary?

A Objection to the extent the document speaks for itself.

Yes, I see it's the same notary.
Q And the date is 27th of September 2017
above the notary stamp. Do you see that?
A Same objection. The document speaks for itself.

Yes, I see that.
Q Thank you. one?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Next document is page 114. That's the Lease Agreement that we referenced earlier. Do you recognize this document?

A To the extent that I can only see the cover page, yes, I recognize the cover page.

Q Do you know who prepared this document?
A I don't have a recollection as to who prepared the document.

Q Now let's take a look at 146, the
Amendment to the Forbearance Agreement.
A I'm sorry. Is there a question pending?
Q I'm having a technical issue here. I don't know what I did. Take a minute and let's go to the back of this document.

A Is there a specific page you'd like to reference?

Q Yes. I'm just trying to get it out. This is 1054 -- I'm sorry, 154, 000154. And I just want you to identify that's your signature on this document for Spanish Heights Acquisition Company and SJC Ventures; correct?

A To the extent that I signed as manager of SJC Ventures and the definition of "your" as SJC Ventures, yes. To the extent that I signed as manager of Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, I did that in that capacity and not in the capacity of SJC Ventures. Given your definition that when you say 'your," I'm answering on behalf of SJC Ventures.

Q Well, let's take a look. Now I'm going to be a little more specific. It says Spanish
Heights Acquisition Company, SHAC, by -- is that your signature, Jay Bloom, its manager?

A Yes.
Q And then it has SJC Ventures, LLC by its manager, Jay Bloom; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q So you signed on behalf of both entities?

A In my capacity as manager for each.
Q Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Thank you.
A Again, we define, when you say "your," you're referencing SJC. I did not sign the SJC or the Spanish Heights line on behalf of SJC.

Q I got it. I got it.
Can you tell me how this Amended
Forbearance Agreement came to be?
A I believe it was drafted by CBC or CBCI
as a product of discussions between myself and
Alan Hallberg.
Q Do you recall what you said to
Mr. Hallberg?
A I do not.
Q Did you tell him you needed more time to
pay off the loan?
A I told him that we had not yet collected
on the judgment so there was an agreement to
extend the forbearance, but what was represent
as a third mortgage, that turned out not to exist.
Q You can keep saying that as a much as
you want, but it clearly exists.
A A commercial loan for KCI Restaurant
exists.
I'm sorry. Is there a question pending,
or are you making a statement for the record?
Q There were conditions to the extension;
correct?
A Objection as to the extent to the extent
document speaks for itself.
Yes.
Q Do you recall what those conditions
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1
2 Pledge Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos Trust shall remain in effect and the execution of this amendment shall not be considered a waiver of CBCI's right under the membership Pledge Agreement." Did you see that provision?

A Yes, I see paragraph 5.
Q And did you agree to it at the time on behalf of SJCV and SHAC?

A My recollection is that SHAC was not a party to the membership Pledge Agreement, and SJC is solely there to approve the Antos Trust Pledge of its interest.

Q Again, back at page 9, both SHAC and SJCV signed this Amended Forbearance Agreement; correct?

A I don't have page 9 in front of me, so I couldn't answer that until we get there.

Q Do you see the signature lines for both parties?

A Ido. Ido.
Q Okay. So they're both parties to the Amended Forbearance Agreement; correct?

A Objection to the extent the document speaks for itself.

## Yes, it appears they are.

Q Paragraph 7 says the balloon payment shall be due on March 31st, 2020; correct?

A I see paragraph 7.
Q Did you pay the balloon payment?
A I would need to see what the definition
in Exhibit B of balloon payment as a defined term says.

Q Did you make any payment to CBCI as of March 31st, 2020?

A Yes.
Q What was that payment?
A I don't recall the amounts or the dates of the payment offhand.

Q Well, what was the payment for?
A Payment was made by SJC -- a series of payments were made by SJC Ventures for rents due to SHAC which SHAC assigned for the benefit of CBC under its obligations to CBC.

Q But no balloon payment was made on March 31st, 2020?

A Balloon payment is a defined term as described in Exhibit B, and I don't know what that defined term says, so I can't answer whether or not a balloon payment was made without
understanding the definition of that defined term.
Q Isn't it true that the balloon payment
was to include the advances, the accrued interest, and the principal of the note?

A Can we go to the definitions?
Q No. I'm asking you what you know.
A I don't have recollection of the
definition of what balloon payments consists of.
Q We're back to the Forbearance Agreement,
page 69, Exhibit B to the Forbearance Agreement.
Why don't you take a minute and give a look, see if that refreshes your recollection.

A So I only have page 1, and I don't think the defined term is on page 1 . This is several of the recitals.

Q Take a look at 4b, The Balloon Payment. Does that refresh your recollection as to what the balloon payment was?

A Yes, it does.
Q Did you make that balloon payment?
A Given the caveat that the balloon payment was supposed to be for a third mortgage that didn't exist and no obligation was due under the commercial note to which the Antos Trust was not a party, which guaranty was a liability of
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such guaranty assumed by SJC, no, no payment was made as none was due.

Q You did not pay pre-forbearance
protection payments in the amount of $397,872.65$ ?
A Well, so SJC Ventures did not make that payment.

Q And SJC Ventures did not, or SHAC, did not make the payment of the post-forbearance protection payments made during the monthly payment period?

A No. Those are obligations of KCI
Restaurants and Preferred Restaurant Brands as
borrower, and the Antoses individually as guarantors.

Q Even though you promised the Antoses you would pay this, you didn't pay it?

A Objection. Ask and answered.
There was no promise to the Antoses
individually by SJC or SHAC.
Q Most certainly there was, sir. Take a
look at the Forbearance Agreement.
A We did, and we left it at --
Q How much did it pay?
A I'm sorry. Are you finished asking your question?

Q No, I'm not.
A Okay.
Q So I'd like you to let me finish
answering -- asking my question.
The Forbearance Agreement specifically calls out the obligation owed by the guarantor, and that is a promise to pay the guarantor who is a party to the Forbearance Agreement. Isn't that in fact the written term of the Forbearance Agreement?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Document speaks for itself.

> You can answer.

A Are you done asking your question?
Q Yes, sir.
A No, that is not the written term of the Forbearance Agreement. That's your interpretation. I understand it differently. The obligation of the guarantor is the obligation of Ken and Sheila Antos individually as the only guarantors to any agreement. You're trying to ascribe their guarantees to somehow be a guaranty on promise of payment by SHAC or SJC to the Antoses. That doesn't appear anywhere in the document. Just because they're all named as
parties with different and various obligations, you're manufacturing a new obligation that's not reflected anywhere in the document.

Q The document recites all those parties, doesn't it?

A Yes, all those parties had various obligations.

Q Thank you very much. The parties are all there.

Okay. So let's go a little further on the Amended Forbearance Agreement, back to page 48 -- 148.

A Okay. Are you going to show me where the obligation exists on the document that you represented?

Q I'm not sure what you just asked me, sir.

A Are you going to go show me the obligation in the doc- -- where in the document that obligation exists that you just represented?

Q I just showed you, sir. It's right in the document.

A Okay. You showed me that we're all parties to the agreement with various responsibilities.

|  | Q Right, and obligations, page 94 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A Right, and I'm asking you where is the |
| 3 | obligation from SHAC to the Antoses individually. |
| 4 | Q It's in the recitals, sir. Look right |
| 5 | in the recitals. It's right there. I don't need |
| 6 | to provide you an explanation of a document that's |
| 7 | clear on its face. |
| 8 | Let's go back to the Amended Forbearance |
| 9 | Agreement, page 148. Paragraph 11 talks about the |
| 10 | Account Control Agreement shall remain in effect. |
| 11 | Do you see that? |
| 12 | A Ido. |
| 13 | Q So even in December of '19 you were |
| 14 | still agreeing to a control account; is that |
| 15 | correct? |
| 16 | A Objection to the extent the document |
| 17 | speaks for itself. |
| 18 | That provision was waived by CBC when |
| 19 | they learned that Bank of America, the |
| 20 | company bank, did not provide for account |
| 21 | control agreements. |
| 22 | Q Do you have that in writing, sir? |
| 23 | A Also that exists in email communication. |
| 24 | Q Have you provided that email? |
| 25 | A I don't know where we are in the |
|  | discovery process, as I've mentioned a dozen times |
| 2 | now during this deposition. |
| 3 | Q Has your attorney advised you that |
| 4 | pursuant to Rule 16.1 you are obliged to provide |
| 5 | all documents in your possession at the time of |
| 6 | the production of the initial disclosures? |
| 7 | MS. BARRAZA: Objection. To the extent |
| 8 | this is calling for attorney-client privilege |
| 9 | communications, I'm going to direct the witness |
| 10 | not to answer. |
| 11 | A Next question, I guess. |
| 12 | Q Do you believe you provided all of your |
| 13 | email to your counsel? |
| 14 | A I believe I provided the documents that |
| 15 | were relevant, subject to amendment as more |
| 16 | documents are discovered or become relevant. |
| 17 | Q Paragraph 12 says, "The security |
| 18 | agreement shall remain in effect." Do you see |
| 19 | that? |
| 20 | A I see paragraph 12. |
| 21 | Q Did you agree to that at the time? |
| 22 | A To the extent that SJC Ventures is |
| 23 | signatory on the agreement, it's agreed to any |
| 24 | obligations it has under that agreement that are |
|  | not based on fraud, misrepresentation, or material |

omissions.
Q I'd like you to look on paragraph 51 --
I'm sorry, page 151, paragraph h, "The Antos parties and SJCV parties represent they continue to acknowledge that the Amended Note, Modified Deed of Trust, and the Forbearance Agreement are legal, valid, and binding agreements of the Antos parties and SJC parties." Do you see that?
A I see paragraph $h$.
Q And did you agree to it at the time?
A To the extent that SJC Ventures is a
signatory to the agreement and such agreement is not the product of fraud, misrepresentation, or material omissions by CBC, yes.

Q "The Antos parties and SJC parties represent they continue to acknowledge that CBCI has not breached any duty to the Antos parties and SJCV parties in connection with the Forbearance Agreement or the Amended Note and the Modified Deed of Trust. The Antos parties and the SJC parties agree that CBCI has fully performed all obligations it may have had or now has to the Antos parties and the SJCV parties." Do you see that provision?

A Yes, I see paragraph 5.

Q And did you agree to it at the time?
A I would incorporate and reiterate my previous answer. I can restate it if you'd like. Q You don't have to. The answer is you did agree to it at the time and now you think you were somehow fraudulently misrepresented?

A Counsel, now you're answering your own questions. You don't need me for the deposition to do that.

Q Well, I still need you, Jay.
A It's nice to be needed.
Q Paragraph 18 says, "The Antos parties and the SJCV parties represent they have not withdrawn funds in violation of the Account Control Agreement." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And did you agree to that at the time?
A I would reiterate and incorporate my previous answer. I can restate it for the record if you'd like.

Q Paragraph 19, "The Antos parties SJCV parties represent they continue to acknowledge that they continue to pledge their stock in SHAC as collateral for the Forbearance Agreement. The Antos parties and the SJCV represent and warrant
they have not issued any new shares of stock that
are not collateral to their obligation under the
Forbearance Agreement." Do you see that paragraph?

A Yes, I see that paragraph.
Q And at the time you executed this in
December of '19, did you agree to those terms?
A I would reiterate and incorporate my
previous answer. I can restate it for the record,
if you'd like.
Q So the answer -- you better restate
whatever you're going to restate, because this one
says that SJCV pledges its interest. Is it your
testimony that SJCV did not pledge its interest?
A It's my testimony that it was originally
intended that SJC would pledge its interest in
SHAC. Later the agreement changed. Subsequent
agreements included legacy language that should have been removed.

Q Do you have any documents that purports
to prove up this legacy language?
A I was going to finish my answer, but I can answer it here.

The absence of a signature line to the
Pledge Agreement proves that SJC Ventures is not a
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party to the Pledge Agreement and never pledged its stock at collateral.

Q But SJC Ventures signed this document
that says it pledged its stock; isn't that
correct?
A Objection to the extent the document speaks for itself.

Q Mr. Bloom, you don't get to object to my questions. Your attorney can object, but you need to answer my question.

A Do you have specific rule that you're citing? Because my understanding --

Q Only persons allowed --
A My understanding is that I can enter my own objections.

Q Would you like me to recite --
A And I have --
Q -- the rule?
A And I have and I will continue to do so.
Q Would you like me to recite the rule?
A Please do.
Q I believe it's Rule 26, and Rule 26 says that you must make only an objection and only the attorney appearing. I've never had a party make an objection when their attorney is sitting there.

And if I'm quoting the wrong rule, I'll get the rule book during the next break, and I'll read it to you. But right now I want to go to paragraph 19 on page 000151 where it says, "The Antos parties and the SJCV parties represent they continue to acknowledge they continue to pledge their stock in SHAC as collateral for the Forbearance Agreement. The Antos parties and the SJCV parties represent and warrant they have not issued any new shares of stock that are not collateral to the obligation under the Forbearance Agreement." Do you see that paragraph?

A I'm still on your last -- our last conversation. I'm researching Rule 26.

Q Do that at break, sir. Right now I want to go back and get this done. I'm trying very hard to get as much done as I can today and then finish up tomorrow morning so that we can get the rest of the deposition done.

A Okay.
Q And I would direct your attention to page --

A I'm sorry. Go ahead.
(Reporter clarification.)
A That was my fault. I thought he was

## finished speaking.

Q Mr. Bloom, in this Amended Forbearance Agreement, SHAC and SJCV Ventures -- SJC Ventures both signed the agreement on page 000154; correct?

A I'm going to continue to enter my own objections until such time as we confirm the rule that you cited.

Objection. The document speaks for itself.

Yes, it appears that the signatures are on this document.

Q And at paragraph 19 SJCV parties
represent they continue to acknowledge that they pledge their stock in SHAC; isn't that what the document says?

A Your office is scrolling to 19 right now.

Objection to the extent that the document speaks for itself.

Yes, that is what the document says.
Q Thank you, sir.
All right. Now let's go to the bank records. Do you see this 000962?

A Yes, Ido.
Q I'll represent to you this is a
transmittal letter from Bank of America. The
second page is a declaration of Bank of America.
These are true and correct copies. Do you see that?

A Your representation that they're true and correct copies, or is it the bank's in this document somewhere that I'm seeing for the first time?

Q It's the bank's. This is the --
A Okay.
Q At the top --
A Now I see that. You could have just gave me the paragraph number to look at.

Q Thank you.
The top of the page, it still says Bank
of America Legal Process -- Legal Center
Processing -- sorry, Legal Order Processing.
Maybe I need better glasses. I don't know. I'm having a hard time here.

Let's go to the next page, 964. This is a copy of your Business Resolution or Authorization for Opening and Maintaining Banking Relationship. Do you see that?

A Objection to the extent the document speaks for itself.

Yes, I see the document.
Q I'd like you to take a look at page 966.
Is that your signature?
A Yes, that's my signature, although
that's not my handwriting that says manager.
Q Do you know who Jose Aguirre is?
A No, I do not know who Jose Aguirre is.
Q Take you to look at 967. Do you see that document?

A Yes, I see that document.
Q Is that your signature towards the bottom of the page?

A Yes, that's my signature.
Q And is this a signature card for the bank account at Bank of America?

A Objection to the extent the document speaks for itself.

The document is titled Business
Signature Card with the Bank of America logo, so that's what it appeared to be.

Q Thank you.
Do you know the date that you opened your bank account?

A Not offhand, no.
Q So if you look at page 968, it shows the
beginning balance on April 30, 2020, of zero. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Do you believe this is the date that you opened the account?

A Well, this a monthly statement that says the beginning balance on April 30th was zero, $\$ 100$ in deposits at some point prior to the closure of the banking --

Q Excuse me. I'll try and help you on this one. If you look at the first line after Your Business Fundamentals Checking, it will show you that it's from April 30 to April 30.

## A Correct.

Q And what I'm asking you, do you believe this is the opening statement for your bank account?

A I don't have a recollection of the date, but that is what it appears to be.

Q I will represent to you it's the first page in the production from the bank, other than your signature card.

The next couple of pages are details of that month. It shows the -- if you go to page 970, it will show the deposit of $\$ 100$ on the

30th.
Now I'd like you to look at 972. 972
has a beginning balance -- and for your -- for
assistance, you'll see that this is from May 1 to
May 31st. Do you see that under the Fundamentals
of Checking -- or Your Business Fundamentals
Checking?
A Ido.
Q Okay. And then it shows the beginning balance of $\$ 100$. That matches up to the prior statement; correct? Correct? Mr. Bloom?

A Yes. I answered correct. My microphone must not have picked it up.

Q I'm sorry. I didn't see your lips move either. You were ventriloquizing on me over there.

Then it shows deposits and credits of $45,329.09$. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And it has withdrawals and other debits of $19,881.45$. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Do you know what that was for?
A I'd have to see a copy of the check, which I imagine is on an upcoming page.

| 1 | Q We'll get there. And then it says -- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | well, that shows withdrawal. Do you understand |
| 3 | why there might have been a withdrawal for that |
| 4 | amount? |
| 5 | A Usually, if there's a withdrawal, it's |
| 6 | for the purposes of issuing a certified or bank |
| 7 | check or cashier's check. |
| 8 | Q Then the next line shows checks of |
| 9 | 24,871 . Do you see that? |
| 10 | A Yes, I do. |
| 11 | Q And then service fees. |
| 12 | A Okay. |
| 13 | Q All right. So now let's take a look at |
| 14 | the next -- the next page is not particularly |
| 5 | relevant. It's a form. |
| 16 | Now let's take a look at 974. So this |
| 17 | sort of outlines what was done, and it shows a |
| 18 | transfer to checking account 1427. Do you know |
| 19 | what that would be for, sir? |
| 20 | A Donot. |
| 21 | Q That's 5/4/20. Do you see that? |
| 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | Q Do you have another bank account with |
| 24 | the last four digits of 147 at Tropicana/Fort |
| 25 | Apache? |

Q We'll get there. And then it says -well, that shows withdrawal. Do you understand why there might have been a withdrawal for that amount?

A Usually, if there's a withdrawal, it's for the purposes of issuing a certified or bank check or cashier's check.

Q Then the next line shows checks of 24,871 . Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And then service fees.
A Okay.
Q All right. So now let's take a look at
the next -- the next page is not particularly relevant. It's a form.

Now let's take a look at 974. So this
sort of outlines what was done, and it shows a
transfer to checking account 1427. Do you know what that would be for, sir?

A Donot.
Q That's 5/4/20. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Do you have another bank account with
the last four digits of 147 at Tropicana/Fort Apache?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q Isn't that the last four digits of
SJCV's checking account?
A 147 is not the last four digits of
SJCV's checking account.
Q How about check 142? No, it says
transfer. Oh, I'm sorry. Did you hear -- do you
see the number 1427 ?
A I do see the number 1427.
Q And it's your testimony that you don't
have a bank account with the last four digits 1427?
A No, your question was do I have a bank with the last -- with the digits 147 and the answer --
Q I'm sorry. 1427. I think my mic cut out that time.

Do you have a checking account at Bank of America with the last four digits 1427 ?

A I don't know my bank account numbers offhand.
Q And then it shows the next payments, Nevada Energy, Nevada Energy, Water District, Cox Communications, Southwest Gas. Do you see those?

A Yes, Ido.

Q Now, do you know how those payments were made, those five payments?

A I do not.
Q Do you bank online?
A I do.
Q Do you know what an ACH is?
A Ido.
Q Do you believe that these five payments
were done via online ACH?
A I don't know. I don't have enough information to know.

Q Okay. Now let's take a look at the next page, 975 . And this shows three checks, $5 / 5,5 / 6$, and $5 / 6$. Do you recognize those amounts?

A Ido.
Q Do you know who those checks were written to?

A My recollection of the $3,084.86$ was written to Northern Trust, and the $18,071.55$ was written to City National.

Q Do you know why there are two checks to Northern Trust?

A If you look at the check images, you'll see that there was one check that the bank posted twice. One is an error.

Q So, unfortunately, if you look at the next page, 977 , this is the only month where they didn't provide images of the checks. I don't know why. So it will be easier as we go through the succeeding months because there are images of checks. I can't explain why there aren't images here, but thank you for identifying it as best you could.

A I believe those were provided to you by counsel during the course of this litigation.
Initially that came up in discussion.
Q I believe you're correct, that I do have other images of the checks. It's just that the bank didn't provide them, for whatever reason.

## A I understand.

Q Now let's go to 980 . This is June 1 through June 30, beginning balance 571. That matches to the closing balance of the prior month. Deposits of 45,000 , withdrawal of 500 .

A Oh, you know what? I want to amend the prior answer. If we go back, there was a
16,000-something payment.
Q Yes, sir.
A I believe that was an HVAC repair to Infinity Air.


A Ido.
Q Where did that money come from?
A That would be multiple rent payments
prepaid by SJC as tenants.
Q And then there's a Nevada teller, TLR, transfer on $9 / 18$ for 5,000 . Where did that money come from?
A Don't know. I'd have to go back and research it.

Q Weill leave a blank in your deposition. INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED
$\qquad$

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Bank of America ATM withdrawal for $\$ 500$.
Do you have an ATM card on this account?
A Ido.
Q Why did you take $\$ 500$ out on June 5 th?
A I imagine it was petty cash for things
around the house, like lightbulbs and sprinkler
heads and various things around the house.
Q So there are two checks on the 19th,
2524.60 -- well, let's go to the -- I think I have
the images of the checks. Let's make this a
little easier.
Okay. So the first check, it doesn't
have a check number, but it says it $2,524.60$. Do you know what that transfer is for?

A Amounts that are 2,000 -- in the 2,000s or the $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$ s or 1,900 are normally Northern Trust, payments on the obligations for the second mortgage against the property. And amounts that are in the 18,000 or $\$ 19,000$ range are ordinarily
for City National Bank, which is the holder of the
first mortgage on the property. And that answer
will apply to all of the statements and may save us a bunch of time.

Q So let me see if we can understand this. In the prior month, in the month of April, you issued a check -- I'm sorry. In the month of May -- let's go back to 974.

A Okay.
Q So there was a return of a posted check. That's that 3,084 where there was two instead of one, that whole mess; right?

A Right.
Q Then there's a fee refund. And then there's another return of a posted check for 862.77. Do you know what that's about?

A I don't. I imagine by the bank posting the Northern Trust check twice it overdrew the account and caused those other two checks to return.

Q So in the month of May, it appears that there are no -- there's one check, 18,701.55, check number 1080; right?

A Right.
Q So I'm going to try and count these payments. Because your first payment was due in April; is that fair?

A Correct.
Q So the first payment it appears was made on May fth?

A Well, there's one payment to City National and then one payment to Northern Trust which the bank processed twice.

Q Right, and those were both made on $5 / 5$ or 5/6?

A The notation on the check would have the period for which the payment is for. My guess is it's probably April.

Q And then if we go to the June, you made 1083 and 1085 both the same day, and those are May and June.

show any outgoing payments -- oh, I'm sorry, 1091 for 19,118 . And then it has 192 for $14,287.30$. Do you know what that check is nor.

A I'd have to look at the check images to know for sure.

Q And 2402, you think that's the Northern Trust?

A That would be my belief.
Q I don't know why they didn't provide us images, but this one again doesn't have images. Oh, I lied. Here are the images. So they're very hard to see. Oh, they're much easier to see there. So there's Northern Trust. There's City National. Oh, and here is the Clark County Assessor. This is your tax payment?

A Property tax, yeah.
Q And that is August 17th. There we go. Okay. We identified all of those. Thank you. For your help on that one.

A If that's directed at me, you're welcome.

Q Page 1000. Beginning balance 44,952 , and shows the activity ending balance of $\$ 105$. Online banking, this goes to checking 1529 , for $\$ 10,000$. Can you tell me what that's for?
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A Without reviewing the records, I don't
know what the transfer was for or to which account
that is.
Q Do you have a checking account with the last four digits 1529 ?

A As I mentioned earlier, I don't know my checking account numbers.

Q And you don't have any recollection?
This is just over a month ago. You have no
recollection of these transfers?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A I have no recollection since the last time I answered the question, no.

Q On 9/14 there is a transaction at Caesar's Palace for $\$ 5,213.54$. Do you know what that was for?

A I do not, not offhand.
Q No recollection?
A No.
Q Can you find out what it is?
A Yeah, I see there's an offset. On 9/14 there's a $\$ \mathbf{5 , 2 1 3 . 5 4}$ deposit the same day, so it's possible I had a room or a meal or something and used the wrong debit card and replaced it.

Q Okay. And then Nevada Energy, Nevada Energy, Cox, SPI -- oh, Southwest Gas, Water District, Splash Pool Service. Now, there's another one for $\$ 243$ at SW Steakhouse on $9 / 28$. Do you know why you would have charged a meal at a steakhouse to this entity?

A No recollection of doing it or what it was for.

Q And then there's a $\$ 589$ charge for
something wireless. Do you know what that is?
A Telephone.
Q And then Verizon Wireless again for
$\$ 828$. Why is SHAC paying your phone bills?
A SHAC is obligated to pay utilities.
Phone is a utility.
Q I see.
And you can see at 1003 the two checks
to Northern Trust and to -- I believe those to be
Northern Trust and City Mortgage; is that correct?
A City National Mortgage.
Q City National. Thank you. On 1003?
A Yes, that's my belief.
Q And then we have the actual images, Northern Trust, City National at 1006. Perfect. Page 1008 is a counter deposit credit
for $40,359.42$, and it shows that the funds came from 2784. Do you know what -- can you tell me where these moneys came from?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance. Go ahead.
A I believe it's the aggregated rents for a several-month period paid in advance by SJC as tenant under the lease agreement.

Q So I'd like to direct your attention to
1010. This one's got me confused. Oh, no. This is the check from SJC Ventures. I'm sorry. That is the check from SJC Ventures to Spanish Heights Acquisition Company. And that 1427 at the bottom, that looks like the last four digits of SJC
Ventures' checking account. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do see that.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that the last four digits of SJCV is 1427 ?

A That's what it would appear to be.
Q Okay. So now let's take a look at 1014.
That's another check from Spanish Heights
Acquisition Company -- to Spanish Heights from
SJCV. Do you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
Q Now, this comes in the -- I'm trying to
understand this. Maybe they're just giving me all the images together now. It appears that's what they've done. Now we're getting all the checks. I see. All right.

Let's go to 1016. This is another \$44,000 deposit.

And then there's a check at 1018, SJC
Ventures to Spanish Heights, 44,843.80. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Where did SJC Ventures get this money? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance. Go ahead.
A I'd be answering questions that are not reasonably concluded to lead to discoverable information.

Q So you understand that SJCV is a party to this action and I do get those bank statements. The court has already ruled on this, Mr. Bloom.

A I understand.
Q And you're still not going to answer?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. Just want to make sure we got it straight.

Okay. Now we're into the emails. All
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right. We've gone over this first email, and you wrote it. That's 125 -- 1025.

Let's go to 1027. So this is an email
from you to Gavin, and it says "Reference
documents Ngan litigation judgment." Can you tell me what this is about?

A This relates to the proposal that we use First 100s interest in a judgment against Raymond Ngan as additional collateral.

Q And documents in that Dropbox, those are the judgment documents?

A I don't recall what was in the Dropbox.
Q I'd like to direct your attention to
1029. This is an email. Have you ever seen this email from Alan Hallberg to Gavin Ernstone?

A Not to my recollection.
Q You don't recall ever seeing this before?

A I don't see myself copied on it, and I don't recall seeing it prior to this introduction as an exhibit.

Q Do you recall answering these questions?
Did you answer questions regarding the
collectability of the judgment?
A This is three and a half years ago in an
email. I don't recall if I answered the questions directly or, I mean, or if I answered the questions by telephone and then Alan wrote it to Gavin. I don't know. I'd be -- I'd be speculating.

Q Okay.
A I wouldn't write 'questions for Jay or his attorney."

Q No, obviously it's not from you. I just wondered if you've ever seen it.

A No, I haven't seen it.
Q The 1030 is again a copy of the proposal that you authored.

We can go over -- we can skip that one, 1031.

So let's take a look at this email from Jay Bloom on July 25th, "Good morning, Gavin. In furtherance of our conversation yesterday morning, attached please find a letter responsive to the request from our call for the benefit of Mr. Antos and CBC Partners. Please let me know if this sufficiently meets their requirements or I need to compile further information. Thank you again for facilitating this dialogue. I look forward to expeditiously completing this transaction for the
benefit of all parties." Did you write that?
A It would appear that I did.
Q So attached is a letter from Jay Bloom
to Gavin Ernstone that shows the amount of
payments, 17 months' payments on our current residence as follows.

A Can you pull the document up while you're reviewing it so we can follow along?

Q Right there.
A That's not the attachments. Are you referencing the attached letter.

Q That's what we have. That's what was attached?

A Yeah, no, on my screen I see the email referencing the attachment but no attachment.

Q You don't see the attachment now?
A No, just the email.
Q My operator here has pilot error.
A Okay.
Q Do you see these?
A Ido.
Q Did you in fact make those payments?
A Yes.
Q And who was the landlord you were making these payments to?

## A I don't remember the name of the landlord.

Q Isn't this Plasm Homes?
A Yes, I believe that's it.
Q Are you aware that the lawsuit against
Plasm Homes recites that these payments were not made?

A No, I'm not aware of that. The payments were made.

Q I direct your attention to the paragraph after that box. "You will note that some of the payments are from me individually as remitter while others are from Leading Ventures and Enterprise Matching, an entity which I own from which I draw an income on an as-needed, when-needed basis." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Isn't that the name of the entity that we talked about earlier that you couldn't identify?

A No. You used a different name that I didn't recognize.

Q So I direct your attention to the third to the last paragraph where it says, "I would be amenable to making this provision a loan covenant,
that this reserve account be funded within the
earlier of the funding of $\$ 25,000$ litigation
advance or 90 days of closing on the property,
whichever occurs first, and further that automated
payments servicing the debt be arranged." Do you see that?

A No.
Q Sorry?
A No, I do not. You said that funding of the $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ litigation advance. I see $\mathbf{\$ 2 5}$ million litigation advance.

Q I'm sorry. You're right. It's
$\$ 25$ million litigation advance.
But you can see this is also talking
about the reserve account; isn't that correct?
A It's talking about what was originally proposed to secure payments, which turned into a reserve account, which instead turned into a prepayment, negating the need for a reserve account, as per agreement by the parties.

Q And these are the cashier's checks that you represent that you tendered to Plasm Homes? Do you see them?

A I'm seeing several of them scroll by, yes.

Are these the cashier's checks for the payments that you represented were not made, in your question?

Q Yes, I'm asking you if these, in fact, were delivered?

A Yes. Yes, these were delivered, in case the microphone didn't pick up.

Q Thank you.
So now let's look at 1033. Do you ever recall seeing this email from Hallberg to Gavin?

A No, I do not.
Q Let's look at the bottom of 1033. This is from Jay Bloom to Gavin. That's sort of the same one. Yeah, we've already gone over that one. That's the same email on a different screen.

Direct your attention to 1037. Do you recall representing your income, annual income to Mr. Ernstone?

A No, I don't recall making the representation. Without admitting or denying it, I don't recall it.

Q Do you recall -- this is at 1040. Do you recall telling Mr. Ernstone that your lease is up at the end of this month, which is July of '17 then he's on a month to month?

A No, I don't recall having that conversation.

Q Let's take a look at Jay Bloom to Gavin. No, that's the same one again. Sorry.

Do you know what your reportable income was for 2014?

A I do not.
Q So I direct your attention to 1042.
This is from Gavin -- this is from Alan to Gavin, and towards the bottom of that page it shows a chart. Do you have any knowledge of those numbers? Do you believe those are an accurate reflection of your tax returns?

A Is this a document that I drafted?
Q This a document that Alan Hallberg drafted based upon your tax returns.

A If it's what I wrote, then it would be a truthful representation as to my reportable income. If it's not what I wrote, then I can't attest to the veracity of someone else's figures.

Q If you look at the part that's in blue, that appears to be a cutout, if you will, a cut-and-paste from your email -- scroll down so we can see. "All the best, Jay." Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
$1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So is this the representation that you made to Mr. Hallberg in advance of entering into the transaction?

A To the extent that I wrote it, then it's going to be truthful.

Q Now let's go to your present tax return.
And your federal tax return shows wages and
salaries of 245,770 . Do you see that on page --
on the first page of your tax return? Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And then it shows your capital gain and then losses. Do you see that?

## A Ido.

Q And then it also has a net operating
loss. Can you tell me what those two loses are for?

A I imagine some operating entity that I
hold ownership in, but I don't prepare my own tax
returns. I have a preparer provide them, so I
don't know. There's probably a schedule that
explains it.
Q There probably is, but it wasn't
included in the information that you provided.
The 2014 tax return has the 280 number, and it
shows a big loss for deductions but no backup.
A I don't know what it was for offhand.
Q Then your 2015 income, 178,058, and
again losses of 853,000 . Do you know what those losses are from?

A Given the situation, I don't know offhand.

Q Leading Venture and Enterprise Matching, LLC, their tax return is attached as well, and I am certain that was the entity I asked you about earlier, and you couldn't identify it, but now you can?

A I think you asked me about Lending Ventures and Enterprise Matching, which is an entity name of which I am not familiar. Had you asked me about Leading Ventures and Enterprise Matching, I would have answered appropriately, but you asked me about Lending Ventures and I --

Q It might have been a typo on the page I saw. Leading Ventures you know about, though, obviously?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And there's no K-1, so are you
the sole owner of Leading Venture and Enterprise Matching, LLC?
partners.

Q Who were your partners?
A I'd have to go back and review my records.

Q Were you're always the majority owner?
A Yes, I believe so.
Q So on 1045, it looks like you're trying to set up a conference with Mr. Hallberg on July 26, "I have a 9:30 that will run through lunchtime, and then a 2:00, 3:00, and 4:00. What's your availability for lunchtime or end of day?" Do you know why you're setting up this conference call?

A I do not remember the circumstance of an email or a conference call from three and a half years ago, no.

Q So let's look at the bottom. It says on the 26th at 7:30 a.m., "Jay, would you have some time later this afternoon for a follow-up call. Have read through most of the information and we appreciate your help answering some additional questions and/or providing clarification
regarding" -- I don't know how say that, Ngan process.
(Reporter clarification.)
Q And then you responded, "Absolutely."
Does that refresh your recollection as to what's going on on July 26th of 2017?

A Not beyond what he wrote at all. I don't remember the conversation or remember the email.

And it's pronounced Ngan, N-G-A-N.
Q Ngan.
So there is another email on August 1.
This is from Gavin regarding a commission. Do you know how the commission is to be structured on this transaction?

A Not that I can recall. The commission would have been payable to Gavin by the seller.

Q So I'd also like you to now take a look at 1051. Were you aware -- have you ever seen this email on August 2nd? Jay Bloom wrote, "Thank you, Ken. Much appreciated. I also provided a handwritten list of items that need attention. I think these are among the items listed. I would like to get going as soon as we can. Are you guys comfortable if I get a key sometime this week for
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early access during the closing process to come and go with vendors? Here is what we are looking to do before occupancy." Do you see that list?

A I do.
Q And did you complete this list?
A I believe materially, yes. I'm not aware of any items that we did not do.

Q And have you provided proof of payment to vendors for this list?

A I don't know that we have.
Q I will tell you that you haven't. The next document is Jay Bloom,
Director -- I'm sorry. The next one is from Ken Antos. This is on 1052. This is from Ken to Jay, and then there's an email from you on August 2nd, "The following related real property expense items are being funded to and will be payable from the reserve and/or operating accounts pursuant to the agreement." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q So as of August, there was still an agreement for a reserve account; is that fair?

A As of August 2017?
Q Yes, sir.
A It looks like one was contemplated, yes.
Q And can you identify these items for me?
CBC Partners debt service, 52,500 , how does that number come about, sir?

A I don't recall.
Q The obligation under the lease was 8,000 and change; correct?

A I believe so.
Q Annual taxes 49,039.22, do you see that?
A Ido.
Q The rest of them I have pretty clearly.
So the total annual maintenance cost of 150,039.22, do you see that?

A I do.
Q And then it talks about "CBC Partners, as a signatory, would have the ability and authority to issue itself any delinquent payment receivable from this reserve account for monthly debt service payment amounts due CBC Partners under the note, should such payment not be made in a timely fashion." Do you see that?

A You may need to scroll down on the page. Yes, I see that.

Q Now let's take a look at 1054. That's the same one. Sorry. That's a duplicate. Never mind.

So on 1057 it looks like you're having a conference call at 9:00 on August 2nd. Does that look like what's going on there?

A I don't have a recollection of the call. And we're scrolling to the page.

Q Do you see that?
A I do. I don't see myself copied on the email.

Q Look a little lower. Well, maybe not.
Okay. You don't recall that, huh?
A No. That appears to be a conference call among the parties in the email, of which I am not included.

Q Okay. I'd like you to look at the
bottom of the page. No, that's another copy.
Never mind.
A I just would have expected that you would have done this prior to the deposition, not during.

Q We just got them all. It's hard to process. You have not produced any of your emails, so we had to go get them another way. Do you know what Simply Vegas is?
A I do not.
Q So let's look at 1067. This is from Jay
Bloom to Alan Hallberg on August 9th. Jay Bloom,
this is August 9th, "Hi, Alan. I will check with
my attorney for his availability. Let's plan on a
call. As to the title report, we have not pulled
one. Instead, my company pulled records directly
from the Clark County Recorder. We compiled our
own research. I'll confirm tomorrow morning's
call, but let's plan on 8:30." Do you see that email?

A Yes, I see that email.
Q Does that refresh your recollection as to who your attorney was?

A No, it does not.
Q Do you know who Malcolm Lindquist is?
A I do not.
Q Did you have an attorney assist you in this process, Mr. Bloom?

A I don't recall.
Q It says right here, "Hi, Alan. I'll
check with my attorney for his availability, and
let's plan the call." That doesn't refresh your
recollection as to whether an attorney assisted you?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

the liens at the time you're questioning me, at the time you're asking about or not. So I was aware that there were claims against the property, liens against the property that we were going to look to extinguish, but the specific liens, I don't recall whether or not. And I'm not admitting or denying that I was aware of them. I just don't recall individual liens and the specifics behind them at this point in time.

Q Well, let's go through them. You were not aware of the judgment from Great Wash Park, LLC for $87,213.05$ ?

A As it stands today, I don't recall if I was aware of the lien at that point in time or not.

Q And you were not aware of the lien from
E \& H Distributing Company in the amount of \$15,819.09?

A Same answer.
Q And you were not aware of the foreign
judgment from CT Communications in the amount of \$812,217.92?

A Same answer.
Q And you were not aware of the judgment from Elements Casual Dining and Cocktails -- I'm
sorry. This one is from Shetakis Distributing in the amount of $\$ 19,640.98$. You're not aware of that judgment?

A Same answer.
Q And how about the judgment to Robert Walsh in the amount of 538,500?

A Same answer.
Q And how about the foreign judgment from Dixie Foods International in the amount of 93,190.49.

A Same answer.
Q And how about the foreign judgment from Rapid Financial Services for $89,524.15$ ?

A Same answer.
Q And how about the judgment for Dixie
Foods in the amount of 560,000 and 585,000?
A Same answer.
Q So if I understand your testimony, you were aware there were some liens and some judgments, but you weren't aware specifically of the judgments and amounts; is that correct?

A My testimony for all of those questions is the same, that at this moment in time, I don't recall three and a half years ago what the judgments were or the amounts. It's not an
admission or a denial that I knew about them at
the time. I just don't recall as we sit here
today. Vendors I don't know, creditors I don't
know, or amounts of which I'm unfamiliar that may
or may not have had judgments against the Antoses
for which they liened the house.
Q So you were aware of something; is that fair?

A Yes.
Q And you agreed in the contract to file a quiet title action; is that fair?

A Initially, yes.
Q And you've now testified that somehow that wasn't necessary?

A Well, not somehow. I testified that by agreement of the parties it wasn't necessary.

Q Where is that agreement?
A I don't remember whether it was telephonic or by email.

Q All the documents say that modifications have to be in writing correct?

A I don't know about all of the documents.
We looked at one that says that, yes.
Q You have nothing in writing that says this; is that correct?

A That's not my testimony. I said it's by agreement oral and/or in writing. I'd have to go back and review my emails.

Q I'm going to leave a blank. If you can find a document that says this, please provide it to us. I've searched and cannot find one. INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q How is it that you think it's not
necessary to file the quiet title action with all these claims against title?

A I don't remember the context or the conversation, just the conclusion.

Q Well, does that make any sense,
Mr. Bloom?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A As we sit here today three and a half years later, I remember that we agreed that there was no need to pursue quiet title action at that time, but I don't remember the context of the conversation three and a half years ago that led to that conclusion.

| page 146 | page 148 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q And who did you have that conversation | A I do not, although I'm seeing Gavin |
| with? | 2 Ernstone in signature block, and it says Simply |
| 3 A Alan Hallberg. | 3 Vegas, so I guess Simply Vegas is the trust real |
| 4 Q And when did you have that conversation? | 4 estate company, the trust realtor. |
| 5 A It would have been sometime after the | Q The realtor. And Ivan Sher, is that who |
| 6 closing, but I don't remember. | 6 that is, the broker; do you know? |
| 7 Q I'm sorry? | A Don't know. |
| A It would have been sometime after the | Q Okay. |
| closing, but I don't recall when. | A Could be. |
| 10 Q And the closing was September 27th, | 10 Q No problem. |
| 11 2017? | 11 So on the next page, this goes through |
| 12 A I believe so. | 12 that everybody has signed it. "CBC and SJC have |
| 13 Q So I direct your attention to 1075. | 13 already signed. The Antoses are signing this |
| 14 A Your office is controlling the scrolling | 14 morning. If there are no objections, I will |
| 15 on the screen. | 15 include you when I circulate the full set of |
| 16 Q Yeah, we're flashing around like NCIS. | 16 excluded closing documents. There are a bunch of |
| 17 You know the cop shows where they have all the | 17 them. All the best. Jay." Do you see that? |
| 18 computers? This is way beyond my technical | 18 A I do. |
| 19 abilities. | 19 Q So, clearly, on September 26th CBC and |
| 20 A I'm sure it's exactly like NCIS. | 20 SJC are both signing the documents; is that fair |
| 21 Q It is. It's kind of like it, big screen | 21 to say? |
| and all these boxes. Fascinating | 22 A That's what it appears to be, yes. |
| 23 A I have one screen with the electoral | 23 Q And we've shown you the various places |
| 24 college map on it. | 24 where each has signed; is that also fair to say? |
| 25 Q Have they released anything else, any | 25 A Yes. |
| page | Q page 149 |
| e updates on the counts? | Q Okay. Now, were you involved at all in |
| A Objection. Beyond the scope of | 2 this -- if you look at the top of page 1077. |
| discoverable information. | 3 Let's go to the bottom of that page, first. As |
| Q I'm overruling your objection while | 4 you can see, that's from Donna Zamora, case |
| we're scrolling. | 5 manager, Maier Gutierrez \& Associates. Do you see |
| THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Mushkin, while | 6 that? |
| you're doing that do you mind if we take a quick | 7 A Ido. |
| 8 break? | 8 Q So does that refresh your recollection |
| MR. MUSHKIN: No, not at all. Let's | 9 at all as to who represented you at Maier |
| 10 take another 8 minutes. We'll pick up again at | 10 Gutien |
| 11 4:00. | 11 MS. BARRAZA: Objection to the form. |
| 12 (Break taken from 3:51 to 4:06 p.m.) | 12 Assumes facts not in evidence. |
| 13 BY MR. MUSHKIN: | 13 Go ahead. |
| 14 Q On 1075, that's from Gavin to Jay, "I | 14 A Yeah, I never represented that Maier |
| 15 got a text from Jay" -- well, Jay and everybody | 15 Gutierrez represented me, so there's no who at |
| 16 else, all those others on the list. "Good | 16 Maier Gutierrez represented me to answer. Donna, |
| 17 morning, all. I got a text from Jay last nigh | 17 I think, was the name of the notary at the firm, |
| 18 saying all good to go with this contract, which is | 18 but that doesn't imply representation. The firm |
| 19 great news. I have not seen the contract at all. | 19 is around the corner from the house, so I use them |
| 20 Could you please send it to me? Also, Alan, could | 20 for services even when they don't represent me on |
| 21 we please put together a commission agreement as | 21 matters. |
| 22 we had discussed a few weeks ago. I will need to | 22 Q Do you know who Vernon Nelson is? |
| 23 have Ivan sign that also, as he is technically the | 23 A Ido. |
| 24 listing agent on this." Do you know who Ivan is, | Q Who is he? |
| 25 Mr . Bloom? | 25 A He's an attorney I've used in the past. |

Q Did Vernon Nelson represent you in this matter?

A I don't recall that he did. I think he represented -- I think $C B C$ gave a referral and he represented CBC.

Q And it's your testimony that Vernon Nelson used to represent you?

A I don't -- he hasn't represented -- I
don't know if he ever represented me. I refer people to him when people ask me for a lawyer on occasion. I have a whole pool of lawyers that I use for myself and for other people that request referrals.

Q So at the top of this page there is an email from Gavin to Jay and everybody else. "Gents per my previous discussion with Alan, we had agreed to the following: We do not need to put a commission agreement in place that states that upon satisfaction of this contract or payment in full to CBC the commission payable will be 6 percent or 390." I'm going to stop. I misspoke. "We do need to put a commission agreement in place." I think I might have said "we do not." It says "We do need to put a commission agreement in place that states that
upon satisfaction of this contract or payment in full to CBC the commission payable will be 6 percent of $6,500,000$ or 390,000 which is to be split, 1 percent going to Ivan Sher at Berkshire Hathaway Home Services and 5 percent to Gavin Ernstone with Simply Vegas. This commission structure shall superceded the listing agreement." Do you recall seeing that?
A No, I don't recall seeing it, although I am copied on it. I'm not denying or admitting that I've seen. I just don't recall it. It's not an agreement to which I would have been a party.

Q Did Ivan Sher represent you?
A No.
Q Did Ivan Sher represent SHAC?
A No.
Q Did Ivan Sher represent SJCV?
A No.
Q Do you know why he's getting 1 percent of the commission?

A I recall from a previous email during this deposition that Gavin said he needed to share it with Ivan because it was Ivan's listing, but again, I wasn't a party to those discussions or that transaction.

Q Now, 1081. This is November 27th of 2017. "Hi, everyone. I sent over a commission agreement for everyone to sign a couple of weeks ago. I heard back from Jay and Ken that they are both good with it but have not received a signed copy back. I have not heard from Alan or Ivan. Could I please get an update?" Can you explain why you are communicating with Gavin regarding the commission agreement?

A Again, as I said, I don't recall the conversation. So I'm neither admitting nor denying my participation. The emails make it appear as though I had conversations, but I don't recall the nature of those conversations or what the agreements say.

Q If you go to the next document, it's another email that you're included on. "Dear everyone. Here is an amalgamated document that has all your changes to my original document. Please review, and if acceptable, everyone please execute." This is $1 / 5$ of 2018. Do you know what document is now being talk talked about on January 5 th of ' 18 ?

A Well, I would infer from the subject line -- the subject line says 'Spanish Heights

Commission Agreement CBC 11/28/2017." So it would appear to be referencing an agreement for CBC.

Q You don't have any recollection of this?
A Without admitting or denying
participating in it at the time, as we sit here
today, I do not have any recollection.
Q No recollection of making any changes to the documents?
A No recollection of making any changes.
No recollection of a document to which I could have made changes.

Q Sorry?
A No recollection of a document to which I could have made changes myself.

Q It references in the document commission agreement. Do you recall seeing a commission agreement?

A Well, that's what I'm saying. No.
Q Now let's look at the next page 1083.
After 1083 -- hang on. That's an attachment. And
here is the commission agreement. Have you ever seen this before?

A On my screen I have a Bank of America introduction letter.

Q Wait a minute. Wait a minute. There we

## go. How's that?

A I now have a commission agreement.
Q Have you ever seen this document before?
A Well, let's scroll to the bottom and see if SHAC is a signatory.

Q SHAC is listed as one of the parties on page 4 of 5 .

A Can we go down to the signature block?
Q This one is unsigned, but Spanish
Heights is listed as a signatory.
A So this is a draft document. What is SHAC's obligations under this document? And again, I can't scroll and read, so --

Q I looked at the document. It recites that Spanish is the buyer. And interestingly enough, it says at paragraph B on page 2 of 5,
"Failure of buyer to pay the commission shall not release seller from the obligation to pay the commission to Ernstone and Sher. Upon demand, the seller will pay if buyer doesn't pay."

A Who is the seller named as?
Q Seller is -- broker, broker. Kenneth and Sheila Antos as trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Living Trust. Antos are sellers?

A Okay.
Q Antos are sellers. Spanish Heights is buyers.

A So the commission is guaranteed by the Antoses as trustees of the Antos Living Trust. Okay. This is not a very memorable document to me, because the commission is the obligation of the seller.

Q Paragraph A, it says, "Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement for the property,
Spanish shall begin making payments on the
security held by CBCI. Payments commence on date and will continue until the security is paid in
full. Upon satisfaction, the security held by
CBCI, the commission shall be immediately due and payable to Ernstone and Sher. Buyer shall pay the commission directly to Ernstone and Sher. Payment shall be as follows." You don't remember this document, sir?

A No.
Q And I'm assuming you didn't pay any commission?

A SHAC as buyer did not pay any commission.

Q Okay. I am not going to start on the pleadings because I want to do those in one shot.

So I'm going to switch my order just a little bit and go to the transcript.

So do you recall your testimony from Monday, June 29th? I take that back. That's the wrong transcript. That's not what I want to go into. Yeah, I want to do the evidentiary. I'm sorry. I went to the wrong one.

Okay. Do you recall your testimony on May 14th, of 2020?

A Its entirety, no. If there's a specific portion that you want to ask me about, I'll be able to address that specific section that you ask me about.

Q So let's talk about the flamethrower. All right?

A Okay.
Q Now, I want to make sure I understand your allegations regarding this flamethrower. My understanding is the flamethrower, for purposes of this lawsuit, was used twice; is that correct?

A I believe so.
Q Once on July 4th; correct?
A I believe so.
Q And then once on September -- I want to say the 20th, but I might be wrong. It was in the
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month of September. Do you recall the exact date?
A I do not.
Q Fair to say it was in September, though, correct?

A I believe so.
Q Now, on the July 4th incident, it was your testimony that the device -- you've seen the device. Can you describe it for me?

A I didn't get a good look at it. The device was owned and operated by an owner and resident of 5212 Spanish Heights, a different property. He brought it to my house, wanted to shoot it off on my property. I said no, I didn't want to on my property, and I didn't want it operated on my property. So another resident from 5212 took it across the street and fired it off there. Now --

Q Who was that resident? I'm sorry.
A Rhodes.
Q Mike Rhodes?
A Yes, and he discharged it on the property at 5212 Spanish Heights.

Q Okay. So let's be more specific. On July 4th, where do you believe the device was discharged?

A It was discharged in the backyard of the real property located at $\mathbf{5 2 1 2}$ Spanish Heights.

Q And that is the property that's now
owned by Dacia; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And it used to be owned by Jim Rhodes; is that correct?

A I believe so, without knowing for sure if it was through an entity or individually.

Q It was certainly controlled by Jim Rhodes?

A I believe so.
Q And it was built by Jim Rhodes?
A Yes, to my understanding.
Q That's my understanding as well.
So on July 4th, it was blown off in the
backyard of what's affectionately referred to as Rhodes Mansion; right?

A 5212 Spanish Heights, yes.
Q Thank you.
Now, in September it was executed across the street from your house; is that correct?

A I believe it was across the street from Hodgens' house on a vacant dirt lot.

Q And that vacant dirt lot is no longer
vacant. They're building a house there; is that a fair statement?

A That's correct.
Q At the time, in September, that the
flamethrower was discharged, was there a house under construction next to the vacant lot?

A I believe so.
Q And let's see if I can make this as clear as possible. So today, across from your residence and across from Mr. Hodgens' residence there are two houses that are still under construction, one that's almost done and one that's still in the framing stage; is that fair?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q I was up there a few days ago and got a look, but that's what it looked like to me.

So you've made a claim against Dacia, and I'd like you to tell me what facts you have that support your claim against Dacia for the September incident?

A Dacia -- well, I don't know the specifics for the September incident. I think the claim relates to the July 4th incident primarily.

Q Well, Mr. Bloom, the judge dismissed all claims that arise before Dacia takes ownership, so
the only claims that survive are claims that take place after Dacia takes ownership on July 19th. That's the order of the court.

A Okay.
Q So my question to you is: What facts in regards to -- well, let's back up. There are no other incidents with the flamethrower, except July 4th and September whatever; correct?

A There are no other incidents cited by the HOA relating to the flamethrower. I don't know what the occupants of 5212 did with the flamethrower outside of the two incidents for which I was fined.

Q So the judge has already ruled the only thing that you can come after Dacia for is after July 19th. The only claim that you're making arises out of that September fine that you received from the HOA; is that fair?

A At this moment in time, I believe the dismissals were without prejudice, and depending on what we find in discovery, we can always bring the claim back.

Q Sir, that's not an answer to my question. The judge dismissed all claims before July 19th against Dacia, and I'm asking you, do
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you have any claim other than the one that arises
out of that September incident against Dacia, any
facts that support your claim against Dacia, other than the September incident?

A I don't know the details on the transfer of the property. I'm going to have to learn more in discovery to give you that answer. We'll find out more tomorrow afternoon when you testify on behalf of 5148 .

Q That's not an answer to my question, sir. I'm asking you what facts you know of today that support your claim against Dacia arising out of that September incident where the flamethrower was used at a party you threw -- across the street from your party?

A The flamethrower was not used at a party that I threw, so that's a misstatement of fact in testimony. To answer your question, I do not have sufficient information, subject to continued discovery, to be able to answer that question at this time.

Q So you have no facts that you know today?
24 A Well, I know the facts are Michael Rhodes had a flamethrower. Michael Rhodes
discharged it on someone else's property. Michael
Rhodes lived in that property. I don't have information on the dates of transfer, the date of sale. I don't have information on the relationship between those parties. So without all that information, I don't have sufficient information at this time to answer that question, however, I believe with continued discovery there'll be sufficient information to revisit that claim that has been dismissed.

Q I appreciate your answer, but it does not answer my question. My question is very simple. What facts do you know today to support a claim against Dacia for an incident that took place in September?

A I can boil down my answer. Michael Rhodes lived in Dacia's house. Michael Rhodes shot off the flamethrower. Michael Rhodes did it without my knowledge and consent. Dacia was fined -- well, Rhodes was fined with the property, and then the fine was discharged when Russo took ownership. So there's more information we need to gather to fill in the blanks, but those are the facts, and it may not be an exhaustive list of facts. Those are the facts that come to mind at

## this point.

Q So none of those facts answer my
question. Those are all about the July incident, sir. That's the --

A No, it's the September incident as well.
Q May I finish?
And I've asked you three times now what
facts you have that lead to your claim against
Dacia for the September incident?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

You can answer.
A I would reiterate my last answer to the same question.

Q You have no facts today that you can tell us?

A That's not my testimony. My testimony is I gave you a list of four facts that I said we're not conclu- -- that were not an exhaustive list of facts. I expect to supplement that list, both with things that are not coming to my top of mind, together with things that we uncover in discovery.

Q So in your testimony on the 24th, you talk about this legacy language. Have you been
able to document in any way your claim regarding this legacy language? Is there a single piece of paper that you have that shows that there was a change in the document regarding the pledge of 100 percent of the membership interest in SHAC?

A Objection. Asked and answered. You asked that earlier in the deposition.

I'll answer it again. The very fact
that there's no signature line for SJC on the document evidences that SJC is not a party to the
Pledge Agreement. And I answered that before. I
don't know if you forgot or if you just keep
asking the same question for different answers.
Q So I asked you this question. I
directed you to Section 8.4 of the Forbearance Agreement, and I asked you this question:
"Q So from the beginning, sir, your were pledging 100 percent ownership in the property and the security in the judgment; correct?"

Do you know what your answer was?
A No.
Q If I ask you that question today, how would you answer it?

A I would say that we initially -- the document evolved as discussions continued.

Originally, there was supposed to be a control account. That was set aside in favor of a prepayment of the amount the control account was supposed to cover. Originally, there was supposed to be a pledge of stock in favor of a security agreement in a judgment.

Q So I pointed out to you the email of July 21st that you wrote setting out all of the terms. Do you recall that email?

A Ido.
Q And in that email, there was additional collateral. Do you recall that language?

A Ido.
Q And so the additional collateral is offered as of July 21 st of 2017 before any drafts of the contract are created; isn't that correct?

A I believe so.
Q If that's the case, sir, then how can you testify that 100 percent of the stock was not pledged, particularly because it's in all of the agreements that 100 percent is pledged?

A Because the party that would pledge it is not a signatory to the agreement that would cause the stock to be pledged. I don't know how you claim it is pledged when the party that's
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pledging it is not part of the agreement --
Q And so your --
A -- absent some legacy language.
Q And again, I know I asked this a couple
page 166 edging it is not part of the agreement --

A -- absent some legacy language.
Q And again, I know I asked this a couple
times, but you don't have any documentation to
support this claim of legacy language?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and
answered. And that goes against what he
previously answered, but go ahead.
A I'd reiterate all of the previous
answers and incorporate them herein for each time you've asked that same question.

Q So when you -- I just want to understand what you meant when you signed the Forbearance
Agreement and the Amended Forbearance Agreement, each of which recite that SJCV is pledging all of its interest in SHAC?

A There was not an intent on my side to pledge the stock as collateral. Once we signed the security agreement to securitize them against the judgment.

Q Well, then why did you sign it again in December of '19?

A I missed the clause that you're
referencing, but that doesn't create an obligation
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under a pledge agreement that's unsigned by the pledgor.

Q I respectfully disagree.
A And that's your right.
Q "The legacy language should have been
removed when we introduced the security
agreement." So when did you introduce the
security agreement if not in June and July of '17 through that email?

A July ' 17 was a proposal with concepts.
The security agreement was entered. It wasn't
even written in July, much less conceptualized.
That's just a product document and an option that the parties agreed to after our revision of what was originally intended to be a pledge of stock.

Q So I asked you a question, and it went like this, quote:
"Q The provision that I'm most interested in is page 74 where SJCV and the Antos Trust pledged their membership interest in SHAC. So I guess the question is, sir: Why did you sign this document? Why did you sign the Forbearance Agreement if you weren't pledging 100 percent like it said?"

Do you recall that question?

A No, not really.
Q Can you answer it for me today?
A My understanding is that that's -- my recollection is and my observation at this point is that the legacy language, that was left in or included in there because it was replaced, the pledge of stock was replaced by the security agreement which collateralized the position against the judgment.

Q So I'll read you your answer. And your answer at the time was:
"A Well, I think I've answered that. Because originally that was the intent, and then we introduced -- and then we introduced the security" -- it goes back and forth. "Then we introduced the security agreement."

So when did you introduce the security agreement?

A Objection. Asked and answered. I don't recall the date.
Q Do you have any documentation that would reflect information that you cannot recall today?

A That would be the product of a series of conversations and/or emails between myself, CBC Partners, that would reflect those -- that
agreement to -- we removed the signature line from the agreement and instead opted in favor of a security agreement to collateralize with the judgment.

Q So, Mr. Bloom, I'll represent to you that I've reviewed all of the emails from Mr. Hallberg, and there is nothing even mentioning that. So if you can find one, I'd appreciate it.

I want to just do a couple more things real quick. During your examination under oath, I asked you was there a party on September 21st. Do you recall what your answer was?

A I do not recall what my answer was.
Q Your answer was "Correct." So I want to make sure that in fact that second party took place on September 21st. Any reason to believe it was a different date?

A No reason to believe it was a different date or that date.

Q I asked you at the time:
"Q Isn't it true that it was right across
the street and your guests at your party came
out and watched the flamethrower on
September 21st, didn't they?"
Do you recall what your answer was?
A I do not.
Q Can you answer that today? Let me ask
the question differently.
Inn't it true that members of your --
some of your -- some or all of your guests watched
the flamethrower on September 21st?
A When a flamethrower is discharged across
the street, it attracts the attention people,
including several of the guests that were in my
party. So, no, it was not part of my party. It
was not for my party. It was not for the benefit
of my guests. But a few people saw it, wandered
out to see what was going on.
Q Well, Mr. Rhodes brought it to your
house first to fire it off for your guests, didn't
he?
A He did, and I told him that he was not
allowed to bring it into my property, he was not
allowed to discharge it from my property. I
wanted no part of a flamethrower.
Q And so he went across the street and
discharged it; correct?
A Yes.
Q That was a yes?
A Yes, that is correct. Sorry.
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-000-
THE COURT REPORTER: Before we proceed,
I will ask counsel to agree on the record there is
no objection to this deposition officer
administering a binding oath to this witness not
appearing personally before me and counsel also
agree to waiving the reading of the caption.
Please state your agreement on the record
beginning with noticing counsel.
MR. MUSHKIN: This is Mike Mushkin, Bar Number 2421. I have no objection.

Except Mr. Bloom didn't bring snacks for everybody. Small joke.

No, no objection.
MS. BARRAZA: Danielle Barraza. No objection.

## JAY BLOOM

having been called as a witness and having been
first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q Mr. Bloom, I want to go back over just two or three things from yesterday, and then we'll get right on to the pleadings.

I asked you some questions about SCJV that you refused to answer. Do you recall those questions?

MS. BARRAZA: Object to the form.
You can answer.
A No, I'm not familiar with that entity.
Q I wrote it down wrong. SJCV. Do you recall those questions that I asked you about the assets and moneys of SJCV?

A I recall you asked me about sources of unrelated income for SJC , yes.

Q You've testified that SJCV has paid various moneys to SHAC; correct?

A I testified that SJC has paid various moneys to both SHAC and a number of third parties directly through an assignment of its obligation for payment to SHAC under SHAC's obligations to those third parties.

Q And where did SJCV get those moneys?
A Various sources.
Q What sources?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance.
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A A number of those sources are covered by confidentiality agreements, which I'm not prepared to breach. And I also enter an objection that your question is not reasonably concluded to lead to discoverable information.

Q What assets does SJCV possess?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance.
A A number of those assets are covered by confidentiality agreements, and I can't speak to them in this deposition.

Q You provided certain documents to Mr. Hallberg on an entity that I called by the wrong name for a while, but can I just refer to it as L and M ?
$A$ What is $L$ and M? What entity are you referring to?

Q I called it Lending. It wasn't Lending. It's Leading Ventures and Enterprise Matching, LLC.

A Yes.
Q Is that owned by SJCV?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance.
A I'm going to refrain from answering that question because I think it's going to violate confidentiality agreements.

Q With whom?
A With parties that are -- parties that
are have privity to the confidentiality
agreements.
Q What parties are there to a
confidentiality agreement?
A Also enter an objection as to relevance
and it not reasonably being concluded to lead to
discoverable information. LVEM is not even a
party to this litigations, nor is LVEM a signatory
to any of the agreements.
Q Well, then why did you provide the tax return of that partnership to Mr. Hallberg?

A Because in 2014 it was contemplated that LVEM might be a party to an agreement, but it turned out not to be.

Q Well, didn't your letter say that you were proving up your income with this document?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A I would say the document speaks for
itself.
Q I'm asking you. I'm not asking you about a document. Didn't you represent that you could take money from LVEM on an as-needed basis? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. itself.

Q Mr. Bloom, you don't enjoy the luxury of
answering my question with an objection. If
you're not willing to answer my question, I'll
have it certified and we'll take it to the judge.
But I'm asking a real simple question. Did you or
did you not make a representation that you could
take money out of Leading Ventures and Enterprise
Matching, LLC on an as-needed basis?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A You're asking a question based on a document that you submitted as an exhibit that statement is made, and I'm answering that the document speaks for itself.

Q Did you write the document?
A To the best of my recollection, yes.
Q And do you know what day you wrote that document?

A I do not, but we could certainly refresh my recollection by pulling the document up and looking at it for review.

Q So let's take a look at Spanish Heights
1041. We're almost there. All right. Can you
see that document on your screen, Mr. Bloom?
A I see, yes, I see an email.
Q Okay. And now we're going to go to the right one. There it is.

All right. Do you see this email from
Alan to Gavin?
A Ido.
Q Have you ever seen it before?
A Not prior to this litigation, no.
Q So let's take a minute and go through it. See where it says, "Gavin, thanks for forwarding. If I'm reading this correctly, Jay is showing that he is making 7 K monthly payments via cashier's checks for a rental house in Las Vegas." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q If you remember yesterday, we had those $\$ 7,000$ checks, went through them at the very end of the day. Do you recall that?

A Ido.
Q Okay. So the blue part of this it appears to me, and I'm asking you if you know this, but that this is a cut-and-paste from a previous email that you sent to either Gavin or Mr. Hallberg. So you see "cashier's checks," and

## then in blue it says, "Yes, I am providing documentation that I've been supporting a $\$ 7,000$ monthly payment, in excess of the debt service amount needed, without incident for an extended period of time." Do you see that? <br> MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. <br> A I do see that. <br> Q Do you recall writing that sentence? <br> A I do not recall writing that sentence. <br> Q And then the next sentence says, "While <br> I provided copies of the last 17 payments which I had at hand, all of which were either timely or early, I have been making these payments for the last four years." Do you see that sentence? <br> A I do see that sentence. <br> Q Does that refresh your recollection that that is a part of an email that you sent? <br> A I don't recall that sentence as being part of the email. <br> Q Does it sound like it was something that you would write in response to the request about your ability to pay? <br> MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. <br> A I'd rather not speculate. I don't think you're going to ask me to speculate. I don't
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## recall.

Q "And that he is offering to allocate 150 K from the litigation finance facility to escrow year-one payments. That said, the litigation finance is at a term sheet stage, and as such, always carries some risk that it won't close." Do you see that sentence?

A Yes, I do.
Q Now let's look at the next part in blue.
"I have proposed providing for an escrow account in the amount of 150 K , at the earlier of either 90
days from the date of closing or upon the
litigation financing." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Do you recall making that offer?
A I recall making a similar offer, however I don't recall having drafted that sentence.
(Reporter clarification.)
A As referenced in an email to which I am not a party.

Q Then the next sentence is, "I can provide for the reserve account from any of: the $\$ 25$ million litigation advance, the writ of execution against our judgment debtor's account at Merrill Lynch domestically which has verified
balance 7.2 million, or, three, existing funds. I am hoping to establish this reserve from new funds instead of existing, but I can provide for the establishment of this reserve account absent the litigation advance or collections." Do you see that?

A I do see that.
Q And do you recall making that representation to Mr. Hallberg?

A I had conversations with Mr. Hallberg similar to that conceptually, but I don't recall writing this language that's incorporated into the emails which I am not a party.

Q "It is important to note that we're not pushing against the structure of this proposal, merely trying to confirm he has the wherewithal to perform on his offer, excluding the litigation finance." Do you see that?

## A Ido.

Q The next part in blue, "I understand. Similarly, I am not pushing against CBC's efforts to confirm the buyer's ability to perform, and I would like to do the same if I were -- and I would likely do the same if I were CBC. That said, I am weighing how to provide what you need without
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ignoring the trust attorneys who have reiterated not to provide statement balances." Do you recall writing that?

A I do not recall writing that.
Q "Also, my thinking is in terms of this
real property transaction as more of a turnaround situation as opposed to an initial loan
origination, which I would expect would have
different underwriting standards." Do you recall that sentence?

A I've never seen this email before, so no.

Q I'm not asking you if you've seen the email, sir. I'm asking if you recall writing that sentence.

A Mr. Mushkin, please let me finish my answers without cutting me off in the middle of the answer.

Q I'm sorry. I didn't realize you were talking. Your hand is in front of your mouth, and if you don't get close enough to the mic, we don't hear you at all.

Cari, are you having a problem hearing me?

THE COURT REPORTER: I will interrupt if

I have a problem. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
A Having never seen this email before, there's no way for me to recall having seen that sentence before. So no, I have no recollection of that sentence.

Q So, Mr. Bloom, I'd like you to go
back -- let's go back up to a little farther up
the page. Do you see the email on July 25th from
Gavin to you?
A I do.
Q And so isn't it true that he forwarded this email to you on July 25 th?

A That's what it appears to be based on the header in your document.

Q And are you certain that those aren't actually your written responses to those questions that you put in yourself?

A My testimony is I have no recollection of those statements. I'm neither admitting nor denying them. I just don't recall them.

Q So let's go a little farther to see if I can refresh your recollection. Let's go to the bottom of this. Do you see where your signature -- or I'm assuming "Jay, all the best,"
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that's your ending to the letter. That's your writing; correct?

A Appears to be, although I don't recall this email, and I'm not a party to this email. So somebody may have cut and pasted out of another email that I had sent, certain portions, in context or out of context. I just don't know. I don't recall writing this.

Q No problem. Let's go back to where we were before. So you offered at the time these three things. You can provide this reserve account from the $\$ 25$ million litigation advance, the writ of execution -- well, let's talk about the litigation advance. Did you ever get the $\$ 25$ million litigation advance?

A No. We did not proceed with it.
Q And you said, "A writ of execution against our judgment debtor's account at Merrill Lynch domestically which has a verified balance of 5.2 million." Did you ever attach -- I'm sorry. 7.2 million. I'm having visual problems. I'm sorry. 7.2 million. Did you ever get a writ of execution on 7.2 million?

A I can't recall if we got a writ of execution or not. I know the judgment debtor
emptied the account and transferred it to a personal trust prior to our ability to execute it into the account.

Q So the answer is you were not successful in executing on 7.2 million?

A Well, that would be an answer to a different question not posted, but yes.

Q And then existing funds. What existing funds did you have at the time to do that?

A I don't recall. I don't recall.
Q So let's go to the next response. I think I went through this, turnaround. So it says, "To that end, I'm tying to provide as much as I can to give you assurances you need while at the same time abiding by my counsel's instructions as to the disclosure of information which could potentially compromise or expose trust accounts."

Who is the attorney that was giving you advice on these trust accounts?

## A I don't recall.

Q You don't know who the attorney was that was giving you this advice?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A I would reiterate my last answer and
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incorporate it here in response to this. You're repeating the same question.

Q And what trust accounts are you referring to here?

A I would object in that it misstates testimony.

It's not my reference. This may or may not have been taken in or out of context from something I read somewhere else in an email chain to which I'm not party or an email exchange to which I'm not party. So this is -- I'm not referencing anything here.

Q Do you control certain trust accounts?
A Can you clarify what you mean by "control'?

Q No, I can't. I'm looking at your statement. Yesterday you acknowledged that you wrote these things. We'll argue about it later as to whether they're in context or not, but, "To that end, I'm trying to provide as much as I can to give you the assurances you need, while at the same time abiding by my counsel's instructions as to the disclosure of information which could potentially compromise and/or expose trust accounts." What compromise and/or exposing of
trust accounts are you referencing?
A I would have the same objection in that it misstates testimony. I just said I don't know that that's necessarily my statement. So I'm not referencing anything. That would be between Alan Hallberg and Gavin Ernstone.

Q Mr. Bloom, you're intentionally not
answering my questions. I would like to know if
you possess or control trust accounts.
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A I'd like you to define what you mean by "control."

Q Sir, I can't define it any more than
you're willing to tell me what is in this
sentence. You wrote this sentence. You provided this information to my client, and now I'm trying to understand what you meant.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Misstates testimony.

A Yeah, this is not -- I haven't testified that this is my statement. You're misrepresenting my statement.

Q Sir, your signature is at the bottom. Your electronic signature is at the bottom. This is information that you provided to Mr. Hallberg,
and you know it. We talked about it yesterday. All I'm trying to figure out is what you were referring to when you say "compromise and/or expose trust accounts." What is it that you're referring to?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Without admitting or changing my
testimony and representing that this is my statement, I don't know what that references.

Q The next paragraph, "We deal with confidential, highly sensitive, proprietary data on a constant basis and simply ask that you go under NDA to confirm that he can perform absent liquidity from third-party sources -- judgment, collection, litigation findings. We can move quickly if we have adequate information. Perhaps the first two pages of his tax return for the past two years, plus a current copy of the account statement from where the payments will be made. Would welcome your thoughts."

It appears to be your response, "In trying to help get this over the finish line, attached are 2014 and 2015 tax returns, first pages. 2016 is under extension and to be filed October of '17. Again these returns show
reportable income," and there's a table there.
And so does that now further refresh your recollection that you wrote this?

A No, it does not.
Q And it's your testimony that you didn't
write all of the blue sections of this
correspondence?
A I would object in that you're misstating my testimony.

Q Well, tell me what it is.
A My testimony, again, and for the last time, is that I don't recall this information being communicated, and I'm doing so without either an admission or denial that I wrote this. I just don't recall, and I've said it 12 times, so I'd appreciate if we could move on.

Q I'll move on when I'm ready, Mr. Bloom, and when I think I've received a truthful answer. To date, I don't believe I've received a truthful answer.

Is not your ending to this correspondence, "All the best. Jay"?

A That is my ending in tens of thousands of emails that $I$--

Q That was going to be my next question.
Isn't that generally how you end you emails?
A Generally, yes.
Q And is it your testimony today, as you
sit here, after all of the prompting, that you did
not write the portions of this email that are in blue?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A And add an objection that it misstates my testimony again.

And I would refer you back to my previous 12 answers and incorporate them here again.

Q I'll restate my question. Is it your testimony that after reviewing this document and all of the questions that we've gone through yesterday and today that you don't recall writing this, all of the blue portions of this email?

A I don't recall writing the blue portions of this email. That is neither an admission nor a denial that I did so.

Q Okay. In regards to the flamethrower incident, both in court and I believe yesterday, you have testified that there was -- you had a witness that no fireworks took place at 5148

Spanish Heights Drive; do you recall that?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A I have dozens of witnesses.
Q And you said one them was a supreme court judge. Do you remember that?

A I don't recall making that
representation, but you certainly have access to my guest list through your other client.

Q And I also have the transcript of the
hearing where you said it. But do you recall who
the supreme court judge was that witnessed no
fireworks at your 4th of July party?
A Objection. I believe you're misstating my testimony.

Q Was there a supreme court judge at your 4th of July party?

A I imagine there was at least one, probably several.

Q And do you recall who they were?
A No. I'd have to go back and check my records.

Q I'll leave a blank in your deposition.
Would you please fill in who the supreme court
judge that you claim witnessed this was. I don't
want to have to subpoena all of them.
INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Now, yesterday you claimed that somebody
made a misrepresentation to you regarding the
third mortgage. Do you recall that testimony?
A Ido.
Q Who made a misrepresentation to you? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and
answered.
You can answer.
A It would have been Alan Hallberg.
Q Alan who?
A Alan Hallberg.
Q Hallberg, yes. And when did he make
that misrepresentation to you?
A Throughout the course of the transaction.

Q And how did he make that
misrepresentation to you? What was misrepresented to you?

A Nature of the obligation. Mr. Hallberg
represented that there was a third position mortgage, and there wasn't a third position mortgage. There was a commercial loan to a restaurant with guarantees by individuals having nothing to do with the trust that years later would provide the service pledgor for the rental property, even though the trust, the owner of the property, was neither a debtor under the commercial loan to the restaurant nor a guarantor. There never was a third mortgage. It was a commercial loan to a restaurant.

Q So can you show me where it says anywhere there was a mortgage?

A I don't have documents handy, and I don't know that you included them in your exhibit pack.

Q Well, let's take a look at the
Forbearance Agreement, and we'll scroll through it for you. Can you see the Forbearance Agreement?

A I can.
Q So can you show me -- let's go through this in detail and see if you can find where it says mortgage. Because I'll represent to you that I couldn't find anywhere where it said anything other than deed of trust.

## A I'll represent to you that I never

 represented that the representation took place in the Forbearance Agreement.Q Well, you saw the merger clause in the agreement; correct?

A No.
Q Well, let's take our time, then. Let's go back to the end of the agreement.

So it's in paragraph 15, the Integration clause. We're at section (b). It says, "Supersede all oral negotiations and prior or other writings with respect to the subject matter; are intended by the parties as the final expression of the agreement with respect to the terms and conditions set forth in those documents and as the complete and exclusive statement of the terms agreed to by the parties." Do you see that?

A No. Your office is still scrolling through the document.

Q Well, I'll just represent to you, and then at the very end it says that no modifications -- let's go right to that, which is at the top of page 20, "No modifications" --

A Can you go back up to that paragraph? Q Sure.

| page 200 | page 202 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stop right there | that correct |
| Q It does say third mortgage. You're | 2 A Alan Hallberg was not acting in an |
| right? | 3 individual capacity, so it would have been CBC |
| A It does. | 4 that made the fraudulent misrepresentation. |
| Q Great, the one place we found it. Now | 5 Q Okay. So let's go through this |
| let's go farther along. "No modification of this | 6 Forbearance Agreement. All right? Let's start |
| Forbearance Agreement or the Amended Note and | 7 with The Parties and Background. Now, in the |
| Modified Deed of Trust shall be effective unless | 8 first paragraph, it's disclosed that there's a |
| in writing and signed by the applicable parties to | 9 note, a secured promissory note dated June of |
| 10 be bound thereby." Do you see that? | 10 2012; is that correct? |
| 11 A Ido. | 11 A That's what the document appears to say. |
| 12 Q So you know there's a merger clause; | 12 Q And you've seen the documents in this |
| 13 right? There can't be any modification to this; | 13 case now. In fact, there is a note dated |
| 14 right? | 14 June 22nd, 2012; correct? |
| 15 MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. | 15 A I believe I've seen that document during |
| 16 BY MR. MUSHKIN: | 16 the course of discovery in this litigation. |
| Q Unless it's in writing? | 17 Q And it's been amended by ten subsequent |
| 18 MS. BARRAZA: Same objection. | 18 amendments -- we provided those amendments -- |
| 19 BY MR. MUSHKIN: | 19 isn't that correct? |
| Q Correct? | 20 A I believe I've seen the amendments in |
| 21 A There is a modification clause in the | 21 the course of discovery in this litigation. |
| 22 document based upon a fraudulent misrepresentation | 22 Q All of which have been executed by KCI |
| 23 that the third mortgage existed, which clearly | 23 Investments, LLC and Preferred Restaurant Brands; |
| 24 does not. | 24 correct. |
| 25 Q You keep saying it, but it clearly -- | 25 A That is what it says. |
|  |  |
| the note and deed of trust clearly exists. They | Q So you know before the 27th of September |
| were provided to you, weren't they? | 22017 that this loan originates at KCI; correct? |
| A A commercial loan is not a mortgage. | 3 A Now I can't recall what I understood at |
| Q Okay. Well, I don't know where you come | 4 the time, other than the representation was there |
| up with that, but that's okay with me, if that's | 5 was a third mortgage on the property. |
| 6 what you think. | 6 Q Thank you. |
| Now, let's go on. Tell me, so is that | The amended note is secured by certain |
| the only misrepresentation that was made to you, | 8 personal guarantees signed by Kenneth and Sheila |
| is that somehow that this note and deed of trust | 9 Antos." You were aware of that; correct? |
| 10 are not a mortgage? | 10 A Correct. |
| 11 A I am without sufficient information to | 11 Q And then, "The amended note is also |
| 12 answer that question, because I wouldn't have | 12 secured by certain Security Agreements, Subsidiary |
| 13 known that was a misrepresentation until we got | 13 Guarantees, Intercreditor Agreements, Deeds of |
| 14 into discovery. So I'm not going to say that's | 14 Trust, Assignment the Rents, and Fixture Filings, |
| 15 the only misrepresentation until we complete | 15 collectively 'the security agreements.'" That was |
| 16 discovery, because we may find additional | 16 disclosed to you before you entered into this |
| 17 misrepresentations like we found that. | 17 agreement; correct? |
| 18 Q So today you don't know of any | 18 A That was the representation of CBC |
| 19 misrepresentation other than this alleged "There | 19 Partners, although I did not have an opportunity |
| 20 is no third mortgage"? | 20 to review those documents. |
| 21 A I'm not aware of any other fraudulent | 21 Q "In particular, the Amended Note is |
| 22 misrepresentations or admissions or omissions. | 22 secured by a certain Deed of Trust, Assignment of |
| 23 Q That's good. | 23 Rents, Security Agreement, and Fixture Filing made |
| 24 And the only person that you think made | 24 as of December 17th, 2014, by Ken and Sheila |
| 25 this representation to you is Alan Hallberg; is | 25 Antos, Trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos |

Living Trust dated April 26, 2007, and any
amendments thereto." Do you see that?
A I do see it.
Q And that was disclosed to you in advance of September 27th; correct?

A It was disclosed that there was a deed of trust against the third mortgage. It was not disclosed that it was a deed of trust against a commercial loan to a restaurant unrelated to the trustor who made the pledge against no obligation.

Q Well, how can you say that when it shows you in paragraph 1 that it's KCI that's the maker of the note?

A Well, because the Antos Trust could have been an additional guarantor or could have been an additional borrower. The note wasn't provided, and they represented it was a third mortgage. If the Antos Trust was a borrower as well or an additional guarantor, then it would actually be a mortgage. All mortgages are notes, but not all notes are mortgages. In this case, we have a commercial loan, which is not a mortgage, that was misrepresented as a third mortgage.

Q It was always represented as a
commercial loan, wasn't it, Mr. Bloom?
A You showed me at least one document where it was represented as a third mortgage.

Q That is a third mortgage, Mr. Bloom.
Isn't the first mortgage held by City, National?
A Yes, that's a loan against the property.
Q And isn't the second mortgage held by Northern Trust?

A That is also a loan against the property.

Q And isn't the third mortgage held by CBCI subsequently assigned to 5148 ?

A No. There was never --
MR. MUSHKIN: Ms. Barraza, please don't
shake your head. That would be coaching.
A I'm looking at you. I'm not even looking at her.

There was never a third mortgage. This is a commercial loan to a restaurant, not a loan to the pledgor of the deed of trust. The pledgor of the deed of trust has no obligation under that note. This is a commercial loan where years after the fact --

Q And, Mr. Bloom, I understand --
A I'm sorry. You're going to have to let me finish my answer.
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There was never a third mortgage that was issued against this property. There was a commercial loan where years after the fact the guarantors caused a nonparty to issue a deed of trust against a commercial loan to a restaurant, years after the fact, without consideration and pledging its interest as collateral against a commercial loan to which it had no privity. It was not a borrower under the commercial loan to the restaurants. The pledgor was not a guarantor under the commercial loan to the restaurants. It had no privity to the commercial loan, and yet years after the fact, it pledged an interest as pledgor under a commercial loan to which it had no nexus.

Q Isn't that exactly what you did in this transaction to First 100, Mr. Bloom?

A No, I never claimed there was a mortgage.

Q No, you pledged an interest in a
judgment as security for payment of a note that First 100 has no privity with. SCJV has no privity only SHAC. You did the exact same thing, didn't you, sir?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Not even close. Now you're offering misstatements in your own testimony. That's not even close.

Q Well, who had privity for offering a piece of that $\$ 2.2$ billion judgment? Where was the privity there, sir?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A SJC is part owner of First 100. So SJC had the ability to pledge its interest in the First 100 judgment from the proceeds it realizes from the First 100 judgment, which it did, and it did so without claiming that it's a mortgage. It's not a mortgage. A mortgage is a very specific instrument.

MR. MUSHKIN: We're going to be here a while today, Danielle.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q So we're going to have to go through this paragraph by paragraph, Mr. Bloom.

So you claim it's a misrepresentation.
What part of this Forbearance Agreement, other than the word "third mortgage" is a
misrepresentation? Because I don't expect -- I
want to be clear. Your definition is not going to be my definition. My definition is the order on
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title. There's a first deed of trust, a second deed of trust, and a third deed of trust. Whether they are -- you want to call them a mortgage, it is of no consequence. You can call them what you want. Throughout this document, I want to know what misrepresentation was made.

A In the paragraph that you -- I'm sorry. Are you done with your question?

Q No. Let's go paragraph 1. Is there a misrepresentation in paragraph $1, \mathrm{~A} 1$ ?

A I don't believe so.
Q Is there a misrepresentation in paragraph A2?

A I don't believe so.
Q Is there a misrepresentation in paragraph 3?

A There's a material omission.
Q Didn't ask you that. I'm asking for a misrepresentation.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. Go ahead.
This is -- he was answering the
question, and it's a totally inappropriate line of questioning.

But go ahead.
A It's a material omission, which is a

Q So I want to know what's the misrepresen- -- what was omitted? It says
"Collectively, the modified deed of trust that encumbers the property commonly known as 5148
Spanish Heights Drive, the property." What's the material omission?

A The omission is that the pledgor had no obligation under the commercial loan.

Q It says it in the first very paragraph, sir. It says KCI, and it says that the Antoses are guarantors. Tell me where there's a misrepresentation?

A The Antos Trust is not an obligor nor is it a guarantor, and it was represented that it was. And it's material omission not to --

Q Where is it represented that it was?
A I'm sorry. You really have to let me finish my question.

Q I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
A It's a material omission not to disclose that the pledgor has no obligation under the note which it's collateralizing. The pledgor's obligation under a deed of trust is to secure its

10
2
3
4
obligation under the note being secured. The pledgor had no obligation, and was not disclosed.

Q So I understand what you said. I wish that I really understood it, but I don't. So let's look at paragraph 4. Are there any misrepresentations in paragraph 4 ?

A It says CBC is entitled to pursue certain remedies under the loan documents. I don't know that necessarily to be true, entitlement to collect against a party which doesn't have an obligation under a commercial loan to which it has no privity.

Q So if you provided me as the -- well, you provided a security agreement in this case that covers that judgment that First 100 has and that you claim SCJV has a 24.-something percent interest; is that correct? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A No, that's not correct.
Q Tell me where I'm wrong?
A SCJV is not an entity with which I'm familiar.

Q Jay, just -- SJCV. How is that?
A That is an entity with which I'm familiar.

> Q So you provided that security interest; correct?
> A SJC provided that security interest.
> Q Okay. And for the very same reasons that you've just stated, doesn't CBC have the very same issues that you're now raising?
> A No. MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. You can answer.
> A No, it does not.
> Q Why?
> A SJC is an owner, a part owner of First 100. SJC is entitled to receive a portion of any proceeds realized. It has the ability to pledge its interest in those proceeds realized. CBC, however, represented that it had a third mortgage.
It does not. It never did. It had a commercial note to a restaurant that after the fact, when the restaurant couldn't pay the note, when the restaurant couldn't pay the commercial note and guarantors couldn't pay the commercial note, they then procured a deed of trust from an entity that had no nexus to the commercial loan to the restaurant. Totally different situation than SJC pledging a portion of its interest and proceeds
realized under a judgment to which it's entitled to distribution. That is not the same thing, not even close.

Q Well, let's go through it. So the Antos
Trust owns the property. The Antos Trust, you
say, has no relationship to KCI, and it pledges
its interest in the house as additional
collateral. First 100 through SJCV pledges its
interest in the judgment. It has no relationship
to the obligation being undertaken by SHAC. It's
precisely the same thing, sir. Tell me how it differs?

A Well, there's a couple ways. In your example, the Antos Trust had no privity. I think we're in agreement that the Antos Trust had no privity to the borrower. However, in my example, in my situation, SJC owned First 100. SJC owned an interest in SHAC. It has the ability to pledge its interest in distributable proceeds it intends to receive as collateral. It has privity to every party in the transaction. However, in the Antos situation, the Antos trust was not an obligor, was not a borrower, did not have common ownership. There's no nexus that would provide for the Antos Trust to provide a guarantee on a loan to which
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it's not a party or to assume the obligation of such loan, and especially in the absence of any consideration.

Q Well, let's take a look at the parties
to the Forbearance Agreement? Would you take a
look at page 25. Well, look at that. The trust
is a party to the Forbearance Agreement
contractual privity. It's exactly the same thing, isn't it, Mr. Bloom?

A I don't agree that it is, because it's not a party to the note which creates the obligation. The Forbearance Agreement doesn't create the obligation.

Q Mr. Bloom, CBC is not a party to the judgment that creates the judgment. You're assigning an interest in the judgment to a nonparty, exactly the same thing that's happening here. They're assigning a security interest as a nonparty. The exact same thing that you did, isn't it, Mr. Bloom?

A Not even close.
Q Not even close. Okay.
Now let's go back to -- I want to shift
to another document. Hang on one second, then we'll go back to this.

Can you see the document that's entitled Kenneth M. Antos and Sheila Neumann-Antos, Trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos Living Trust Dated April 26, 2007?

MR. MUSHKIN: We can go off the record for just a minute.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Have you ever seen this document before?
A I don't believe so.
Q So let's go to the signature page. So you're aware that the deed of trust is dated December 17th, 2014; correct?

A Not from recollection.
Q This document authorizes the note and deed of trust, does it not?

A I don't know. I can only see part of the paragraph and half of one of the signature lines.

Q Okay. So let's go back and let you read it from the top. Tell us when you need us to scroll. Did you get a chance to read it all, Mr. Bloom? Sorry we didn't hear you?

A I had a chance to read it.
Q Do you understand what this document is? is?

A I believe so.
Q Tell me what you believe this document
A I believe this is a document authorizing the trust to do business with CBC.

Q And given this document, given the recitations that we went through in the
Forbearance Agreement, do you still believe that there was somehow a misrepresentation to you?

A Yes. Yes, I do.
Q Now, you noticed above this document calls out that the loan is guaranteed by the trustees individually and not in their capacity as trustee?

A Correct, I do see that.
Q Okay. Good.
Now let's go back to 002. Okay.
Paragraph 4. The events of default, when you
entered into this transaction, you were aware that
the CBC obligation was in default; is that correct?

A It was represented to me that KCI
Restaurants and Restaurant Brands, the borrowers,
were in default of their note, and the Antoses individually as guarantors were in default of

## their obligations under the note. <br> Q Who represented that to you? <br> A Alan Hallberg, CBC. <br> Q Okay. And so is there any <br> misrepresentation in paragraph 4 ? <br> A I would take exception with "These remedies include foreclosing on the property in accordance with the deed of trust." I don't think that's an actual remedy that's provided for under the deed of trust due to defect. <br> Q What makes you say that? <br> A Because the deed of trust secures a note for which there's no obligation of the trust. <br> Q But you just saw the document from the trust authorizing the deed of trust and security agreement? <br> A Yeah, I just saw a document that authorizes the trust to conduct business. The actual business, had it been conducted, would have resulted in the trust joining the commercial loan as an obligor or a guarantor. I see that document, so -- <br> Q Are you an attorney? <br> A Are you asking me for legal interpretations?

Q Are you an attorney, sir?
A I am not.
Q Thank you.
Been to law school?
A I've taken business law classes.
Q I asked if you went to law school?
A I went to Fordham, which has a law school, but no.

Q You have not been to law school?
A I have not.
Q Thank you.
Let's look at paragraph 5. Are there
any misrepresentations in paragraph 5 ?
A If you can scroll down further through the rest of the paragraph.

No, there's no misrepresentations,
although these representations and agreement was
made -- were made under the assumption that a
third mortgage, as represented, actually existed.
So I didn't rely upon representations that turned out to not to be true.

Q What turned out not to be true?
A There was no third mortgage that existed.

Q Says who?

1
the real estate group, so I am familiar with what
constitutes a mortgage, even though I didn't go to
law school.

Q You don't have a document anywhere that says there's no mortgage, do you?

A Yeah. During discovery in the course of this litigation, we found that there was a commercial loan made to the restaurant, with the Antoses as individual guarantors, but I have yet to see a single document that says mortgage, and I've never seen a mortgage that's not identified as a mortgage. It was represented that a third mortgage existed. I have yet to see a third mortgage.

Q Sir, isn't it true that what was represented to you is that there is a first modification to deed of trust, assignment of rents, security agreements, and fixture filings
which appears on page 2 of the Forbearance Agreement?

A Are you referencing something on the screen now?

Q Isn't that what it says right there, sir?

A That is what it says.
Q Thank you.
So let's go to paragraph 3 on page 3 .
Any misrepresentation in paragraph 3 ?
A No.
Q Take a look at paragraph 4. Any misrepresentations in paragraph 4 ?

A If you could scroll to the bottom of paragraph 4. No, paragraph 4 is accurate in that it references the security agreement, and there's no mention of a pledge agreement, so it's accurate.

Q So I asked you earlier who the owner of SJCV was, and you said that you were the sole member or that you had a partner. Do you recall your testimony?

A No, I don't recall that testimony.
Q Well, that's what you said. You said for a while you had a partner, and you wouldn't
tell me who, and that sometimes you were the sole owner. So let's go through it again.

A I think the testimony to which you're referring was questions relating to Leading Ventures and Enterprise Matching.

Q No, sir. I was asking you about SJCV.
Are you the sole owner of SJCV?
A No.
Q Who is the owner of SJCV?
A A family trust.
Q When I asked you that yesterday, did you not recall it?

A You didn't ask me that yesterday.
Q So, and is the Tangled Web Family Trust the sole member of SJCV?

A Yes, it is.
Q Has it always been the sole member of SJCV?

A Yes, it is.
Q So let's take a look at what you claim is not a misrepresentation. And it says right after, "Mr. Bloom is also the manager of SJCV, the SJCV parties," it says, "As a material term of the Forbearance Agreement which forms the basis of the bargain, Mr. Bloom, as the managing member of

First Holdings -- First 100 Holdings and as the manager of SJCV, have agreed that SJCV will execute the security agreement described herein and that First 100 Holdings will acknowledge its consent that SJCV execute the security agreement, which will require First 100 Holdings and SJCV to guarantee the obligations of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q It doesn't say the word mortgage, does it?

A Not in this paragraph.
Q And it says you will guarantee the obligations under the Forbearance Agreement; correct?

A The obligations as understood to be the third mortgage as represented by CBC. Obligations is not a defined term here. I put it in context for you.

Q You guaranteed the obligations of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance through that judgment; isn't that correct?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Sounds like we disagree on what those obligations consist of.
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Q I didn't hear your answer, sir?
A Correct. I'm sorry. I'm not finished. Correct, to the extent that we disagree on what those obligations consist of.

Q So the obligations owing from the Antos parties to CBCI as of April (sic) 21st, 2017, the amount of $2,935,001.14$ for unpaid principal balance. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Two, "Certain protection payments as defined in Exhibit B," that we went over yesterday; do you recall that?

## A Ido.

Q And "Pre-forbearance protection payments as defined in Exhibit B in the amount of $\$ 1,315,105$ in interest at the rate of 20 percent." Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q "In addition, amounts for accrued and accruing interest, recoverable costs, certain indemnities, post-forbearance protection payments, and other note expenses as described below," do you see that?

A I see that.
Q And those are the obligations that you
agreed to pay; correct?
A Those are the obligations of the Antos parties at the beginning of this paragraph.

Q And that is the obligation owing that you agreed to pay in paragraph 4, those are the obligations you agreed to pay; correct?

A I don't -MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A I don't see in this agreement where SJC agreed to pay the obligations of the Antos parties.

Q Let's go back to paragraph 4. "As a material term of the Forbearance Agreement, which forms the basis of the bargain, Mr. Bloom, as the managing member of 100 Holdings -- First 100 Holdings and as the manager of SJC have agreed that SJC will execute the security agreement described herein; and that First 100 Holdings will acknowledge its consent that SJC execute the security agreement, which will require First 100 Holdings and SJC to guaranty the obligation of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance." Isn't that what that says?

A That is what it says, which is completely different than the guaranty of the


A I don't know that it's what was proposed as the final structure.

Q Well, let's go take a look at that one.
We'll go through that one paragraph by paragraph now, too.

A These are delicious. You're really missing out.

All right. This is that document that
we went over yesterday. Let's take a look at
SHAC, buyer, obligations.
MS. BARRAZA: Sorry. Can we just put on
the record what is the Bates stamp of this?
MR. MUSHKIN: 00125 -- I'm sorry, 00125. BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q Okay. Let's take a look at SHAC's
obligations. Isn't it true that all of the terms
contained in that paragraph are contained in the Forbearance Agreement?

A Well, starting with the first bullet point, it references a third lender debt. That would be the third mortgage which doesn't exist, which would be an obligation of the Antos Trust, so no.

Q Isn't it true that in this document you agreed to start 90 days after SHAC was in
possession of the premises to service that debt?
You proposed. I'm sorry.
A Correct, I proposed servicing, and I
even requested to see the third position note, and I don't believe that --

Q And you did, didn't you?
A No, I don't believe that was ever provided.

Q Didn't you meet with Ken Antos in the conference room at Maier Gutierrez and go over the documents in detail?

A We went over the closing documents. A third position note would have been a due diligence document that was never provided.

Q Did you ask for it?
A In this email that we're looking at in parentheses I said, 'I would like to see the existing third position note."

Q And you did see it?
A Let me finish my answer.
Q Sorry.
A It was referenced as a third position note, as a third position loan. A third position loan would have to be a loan to or guaranteed by the property owner. It turns out, we found in
discovery, that that was never the case.
Q So the second bullet is effect repairs.
That's in the Forbearance Agreement you were supposed to effect repairs; correct?
$A$ Yes, with an estimate of about $\$ 100,000$.
Q Then it's maintain and provide all
costs --
A I'm sorry. I think the final document had $\$ 100,000$ fixed amount. This was an estimate in this document. So it's somewhat different than the final document.

Q And I appreciate that. Obviously, it's not going to be word for word. I'm just going on the topics. These topics are addressed. The first topic was addressed, because you paid \$8,000-and-some-odd a month; correct?

I didn't hear an answer.
A Correct.
Q Thank you.
Repairs we talked about. Ongoing maintenance we talked about. Utilities, insurance, HOA dues, property taxes on a going forward basis, landscaping, pool maintenance, repairs to the premises, a portion of the judgment necessary as additional collateral. And I want to
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go into this for just a minute. It says "to the third lender." Now, you claim to know a lot about notes and deeds of trust. How do you know you're a third lender?

A Third position mortgage, a third lender would be a lender who is in the third position against collateral.

Q So there would be a first, a second, and then a third; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And on the recorded title to 5148, there's a first, City National; there's a second, Northern Trust; and there's a third that's now held by assignment by 5148; correct?

A With the qualification that there's a defective third.

Q That's your claim. I understand.
A Because there's no note, underlying note for which that deed of trust can serve to secure.

Q And then the next bullet is the earlier of two years, collection of the judgment for the assume and -- you know, pay off the third, and assume or retire the first and second. Do you see those?

A I see those.

a belief that there was actually a third position loan.

Q So is there any part of the forbearance structure that's not covered by this?

A This document doesn't provide for a pledge of the stock by SJC.

Q It doesn't provide for the pledge of anybody's stock, does it?

A No, it does not.
Q It doesn't talk about SHAC in any way, does it?

A No.
Q Except that it says, "Add SHAC as a joint tenant with rights of survivorship on the deed." Do you see that?

A Where are you looking?
Q Owner/seller's obligation.
A Oh, okay. Yeah, then it does. It does address SHAC.

Q So this contemplates a transfer of the property; right?

A Without a pledge of the stock to the recipient of that transfer.

Q It doesn't talk about that at all, does it?

A No.
Q But it does talk about additional collateral and assurance of payment; correct?

A For a third position mortgage, yes, which turned out not to exist.

Q And it sets out the exact amounts in other documents; correct?

A For what's supposed to be a third position mortgage, which turned out not to exist.

Q I understand, sir.
MR. MUSHKIN: So it's 11:00. We're going to break now so you can have your call. We'll pick up again at 12:00.
(Lunch Break taken from 11:00 a.m. to 12:26 p.m.)
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Mr. Bloom, when we left off we were going through a comparison from the Forbearance Agreement to that initial deal structure that you proposed. Is that a fair description of the two documents?

A Correct, I believe so.
Q Okay. So, and we went through and saw that most of the terms -- or almost all the terms from the one document ended up in the forbearance
agreement. There is a subsequent document to the
Forbearance Agreement. If you remember, we went
through the Forbearance Agreement, we went through
Exhibit B and all of the attachments to the
Forbearance Agreement. Do you recall that?
A We went through the Forbearance
Agreement, and we went through the subsequent --
we went through the attachments. Have we gone
through the subsequent document that you're
referring to?
Q What I'm trying to do is make sure we've gone through them all and get to exactly that, because I don't think we've actually looked at the Amended Forbearance Agreement or the amendments to the Forbearance Agreement, but I want to get to that for just a few minutes.

A Okay.
Q But I'm going to sort of tick them off real quickly to make sure that you recall that we've talked about them. And the Forbearance Agreement that's dated the 27th day of September of 2017; is that correct?

A I'm not sure of the date from recollection, but I'll accept your representation, because you have the document in front of you.
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## A We did talk about the Forbearance

Agreement.
Q Great.
Now, when you executed this Forbearance Agreement, you got a whole package of documents; is that fair to say?

A Yes, necessarily to execute the agreements.
(Reporter clarification.)
A Those agreements would be part of a package of documents.

Q And we have referred to that in emails and in discussions as to closing documents; is that a fair description?

A I believe so.
Q Okay. So the first document was the Forbearance Agreement. And do you recall if the Articles of Organization were attached as Exhibit A to the Forbearance Agreement?

A Is there an exhibit you want to pull up that we can reference?

Q Sure. You don't have any independent recollection?



1 Q And you see where in the 246
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the first whereas it references the
September 27th agreement?
A Correct.
Q In there it references that the
Forbearance Agreement expired on or about
August 31 of '19. Do you see that?
A That's in a subsequent.
Q The next whereas?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And the subject of this
execution, the parties desire to extend the forbearance period until March 31st, 2020. Do you see that?

A No.
Q It's the next whereas, "Whereas, subject to the terms of this extension." Did I say execution?

A You did.
Q Extension, "The parties desire to extend the forbearance period until March 31, 2020." Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And was that the agreement at the time?
A To the best of my understanding, yes.

Q Under paragraph 1A, there were page 247 conditions to the extension. Do you see those?

A I see the top of the page, yes.
Q Do you see the conditions to extension?
A Ido.
Q And the first one is "The Forbearance

Q The next one is "The K \& S Trust,
Kenneth Antos and Sheila Antos as trustees of the Living Trust and as personal guarantors of the Secured Promissory Note, collectively 'the Antos parties,' SHAC and SJCV represent and warrant they are not in default of the Forbearance Agreement or any of the related agreements." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And did you make that representation at the time?

A To the extent the document speaks for itself, that paragraph appears to make that representation.

Q The next one is "SHAC and SJCV continue to be limited liability companies," and we, of course, know that to be true; is that also true?

A That is true, correct.
Q "The Antos parties and the SJCV parties represent they have no knowledge of any other lenders have undertaken efforts to enforce any rights related to the property." So at this time the first and second had not taken any collection action; is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q Now, the next one says, "The Membership
Pledge Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos
parties shall remain in effect, and the execution
of this amendment shall not be considered a waiver
of CBCI's rights under the Membership Pledge Agreement." Do you see that?

A I do see the paragraph.
Q And it says SJCV, doesn't it?
A It says SJCV.
Q Thank you.
Number 6 says, "SHAC will provide CBCI with evidence of homeowner's insurance that is effective through March 31, 2020." It says that; is that correct?

A It does say that.
Q And did you ultimately provide that proof of insurance at my request? Is that another
yes I didn't hear?
A Yes, I did.
Q Sorry.
Now, the next one is number 7, "The
payment of the balloon payment described in
Exhibit B to the Forbearance Agreement shall be
due on March 31st, 2020." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And did you make that payment on
March 31st, 2020?
A We did not.
Q And was there any lawsuit pending on March 31st, 2020 ?

A None that I can recall.
Q Why didn't you pay?
A We were still in discussions for a
second extension because First 100 had not monetized its judgment yet to do the
distributions, which would be the source of funds
to monetize what we believed at the time to have
been a third mortgage, that we later found out
does not exist.
Q I just want to be clear, because the agreement and the emails had representations that there were three sources of funds, the litigation
fund, the judgment collection, or personal funds.
Is it your testimony that you did not have access to enough money to make the balloon payment on March 31st of 2020?

A Objection as to it misstates testimony and misstates the documents.

In reference to those three sources of funds, it's for the $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ reserve account, not for the payment of the balloon payment.

Q So at the time of the -- well, you
didn't answer my question. Did you have access to -- I'll ask the question three ways. Did SJCV have enough money to make the balloon payment on March 31st, 2020?

A Not from the sources which it chose to utilize, so we were negotiating an extension at that point.

Q Did SHAC have enough money to make the balloon payment?

A Not from the sources anticipated to be utilized or preferred to be utilized, so we were in negotiations to extend the term of the forbearance.

Q And did First 100 have the money to retire the balloon payment due?

1
item 8. Item 8 says, "The parties acknowledge the extension of the Lease Agreement." Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And number 9, "the Membership Pledge Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos shall remain in effect." Is that what the next one says?

A Well, that's what the next paragraph represents. There is no membership Pledge Agreement as executed by SJC, just the Antos -not the Antos Trust either. Oh, no, wait. The Antos Trust is a signatory, but SJC did not execute a membership Pledge Agreement.

Q When you signed --
A I'm sorry. Let me finish my answer, please.

Notwithstanding the representation of that paragraph.

Q So when you signed this paragraph, did you believe it to be true?

A When I signed this document, I didn't sign this paragraph. When I signed --

Q You are right. When you signed this document, did you believe this paragraph to be
true?
page 253
A I don't think I read this paragraph fully to understand that it was representing that an SJC execution was on the Membership Pledge Agreement, because clearly it's not.

Q But you do remember that the Pledge Agreement recites 100 percent of the membership interest?

A I also remember that SJC is not a signatory, that it signed a security agreement to apportion a portion of its distribution from its judgment in lieu of the Membership Pledge Agreement which was originally contemplated.

Q So, Mr. Bloom, I appreciate that you want to keep telling your story, but that isn't responsive to my question.

MR. MUSHKIN: Ms. Court Reporter would you read my question back to him.
(Record read.)
A Do I remember that? No, I don't remember that.

Q 81. So we've identified this document previously, Mr. Bloom. I'd like to point your attention to the parties. The very first page says this agreement is made by Kenneth and Sheila

Antos Living Trust, SJC Ventures, LLC, collectively the pledgors, and CBC Partners I, secured party or CBCI. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see the Pledge Agreement unsigned by SJC includes SJC.

Q Sir, you can keep saying that over and over again, but you're just going to waste time.
We're going to be here for three days going through these documents. You're just wasting time.

A You have seven hours by the court order.
Q I have 21 hours, sir. I have three depositions, two 30(b)(6) and one of you individually. And if you force me to, I will take all 21 hours. I'm trying to get through it. If you'll just answer my questions, you can -- your attorney can have you answer questions all you want, but throwing in nonresponsive answers to my questions -- I just want to get through the documents. Okay?

Here's my question: Doesn't it recite that SJC Ventures -- got it right for once -- is a party to the Pledge Agreement?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. The document speaks for itself.
page 255 You can answer.
A I would reiterate and incorporate my previous answer, which I believe is responsive.

Q Sir, it's a yes or no answer. Is that what it says on the page? Yes or no?

A The Pledge Agreement that's unsigned by SJC mentions SJC, yes.

Q Now, but it is signed by Jay Bloom, isn't it?
10 A In an official capacity for an entity, not an individual.

Q Let's take a look at the fourth whereas. "Whereas, the Forbearance Agreement provides that several conditions must be satisfied before CBCI agrees to forbear from exercising its rights and remedies under the Forbearance Agreement. In particular, one of the conditions requires the Antos Trust and SJCV have agreed to pledge all right, title, and interest in and to 100 percent of its membership interest in Spanish Heights Acquisition Company to secured party pursuant to this agreement." Do you see that?

A This Pledge Agreement that's unsigned by SJC, I see the language that SJC is pledging 100 percent of its membership interest in Spanish

Heights Acquisition Company together with the Antos Trust.

Q Thank you.
Now to 148 again. Now, in this
paragraph 9, it says, "The Membership Pledge
Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos Trust
shall remain in effect, and the execution of this
Fifth Amendment shall not be considered a waiver
as CBCI's rights under the Membership Pledge
Agreement." Do you see that provision?
A In the unsigned Pledge Agreement I see the provision that represents -- misrepresents that it's executed by SJC or misstates that it's executed by SJC, and to the extent that CBC has rights under a pledge agreement that's unsigned by a party, CBC is not to be considered to waiving those rights, yes.

Q Now, you do remember that the
Forbearance Agreement called out that very same
term? Do you recall that?
A I do not.
Q Well, all right. Let's go back to the
Forbearance Agreement. Let's go to page 5. So do you see where it talks about recitals and that the Antos parties and SJCV parties acknowledge the
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recitals set forth above are true and correct and accurate? Do you see that?

A I do. Ido.
Q So SJCV is a party -- I'll show you
their signatory later. SJCV is a party and
acknowledges the contents of the recitals; is that correct?

A Which agreement is this?
Q This is the Forbearance Agreement.
A Correct.
Q And let me take you to the back real quick so you can see that you signed it. Take a look at 25. SJC Ventures signed this document. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q All right. Now, the recitals are all of those pages leading up to page 5. So there's four pages of these recitals. Did you read this document before you signed it?

A I'm sure I skimmed the document.
Q B1, Amended Note and Events of Default. All right. Now, this sets out the obligation; is that fair to say?

A It's fair to say that it sets out the Antos parties' obligation to CBC.

1 Q Well, is it your testimony that SJC
Ventures did not obligate itself pursuant to this Forbearance Agreement?

A It obligated itself under a misrepresentation upon which it relied --

Q I --
A Mr. Mushkin, you have to let me finish my answer.

It obligated itself to certain
obligations under this Forbearance Agreement, did
not obligate itself to the Antos parties, and
those obligations were undertaken based on a misrepresentation that a third position mortgage existed, which we later found out it does not.

Q So you keep adding this new defense of yours, and I appreciate that you want to say it over and over again, but it doesn't get us through this deposition. It's a non sequitur, sir. All I want -- you can keep saying it. We'll be here for 21 hours.

So I just want to understand what your defense is, and I don't -- you're just not answering my questions. You're repeating something that is not responsive. You just said in this last answer that you did not obligate
yourself to the Antos. Did you mean to say that?
A What I said is that SJC did not obligate itself to the Antos parties -- or for the Antos parties' obligation to CBCI.

Q Then how did you get your interest in SHAC?

A SJC obligated itself -- well, SJC obligated itself for the obligations of the Antos Trust in exchange for its interest, the Antos Trust interest in property which it conveyed to SHAC.

I mean, you keep confusing the parties and mixing up the parties. When you do get the names right, you convolute the Antoses individually, the Trust, SJC, SHAC. You use them interchangeably, but they're not. They're separate entities.

Q Mr. Bloom, the only parties that I reviewed collectively are the parties to the
Forbearance Agreement, and in the Forbearance Agreement and all of the documents associated with it that follow the structure of the deal that you created, an entity is created to accept title to the house. SJCV obligates itself to retire the CBCI note. That's what all these documents say.

You just acknowledged it. You acknowledge it with a defense that somehow you were misrepresented. That you can tell to the court. I get it. But there's no confusion about the documents. You've already testified that you're not arguing about the authentication of the documents. Are you now arguing about authentication of the documents?

A No.
Q Okay. So the documents are -- you said about a hundred times the document speaks for itself. You've used that as a response to my questions over and over, haven't you?

MS. BARRAZA: Is this a question to this deposition? What is the point of asking that? What's the question here?

MR. MUSHKIN: Because his testimony keeps changing, Counsel. I want to make sure what this man is saying. I am not clear.

MS. BARRAZA: So what are you --
MR. MUSHKIN: I'm trying to figure out0
what your defense -- until I figure out what the defense is -- you've named no expert witness. You've named nobody else except my people. So I need to understand what this guy is going to testify to at the time of trial.
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MS. BARRAZA: So is that the question?
MR. MUSHKIN: Well, now I don't know
what the question is. The court reporter can read
back my last question.
(Record read.)
MR. MUSHKIN: Thank you. That was my last question.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Now, is it your testimony, that the
Forbearance Agreement does not create an
obligation of SJC Ventures to the Antos individually and to the Antos Trust?

A Objection. That's a compound question. If you want to rephrase it into pieces.

Q No.
A Compound question.
Q Well, your attorney didn't object.
MS. BARRAZA: My client can respond to the extent he understands the question.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Do you understand the question?
A Would you repeat the question.
Q Is it your testimony that the
Forbearance Agreement does not create an obligation from SJC Ventures to the Antoses
individually, the Antoses as trustee, and the Trust.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Document speaks for itself.

You can answer.
A The document sets forth certain obligations, but you seem to keep trying to impute obligations among all parties for every obligation, and that's just not what the document says. That's not what exists.

Q Well, I'm not really sure what you just said, except the first part of your answer I believe was a yes to my question, that there are obligations of SJC Ventures to each of the Antos parties created by the Forbearance Agreement. MS. BARRAZA: Is that a question? MR. MUSHKIN: Yes, it's a question.

## A I'm not sure I heard a question in your

 statement.Q Isn't it true that the Forbearance Agreement creates obligations from SJC Ventures to the Antoses individually, the Antoses as trustees, and the Antoses on behalf of the trust.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

You can answer.
A No.
Q Why not?
A Several reasons. Because you use "and," and it doesn't bring out the same obligations to the same parties. Second of all, it's based on fraudulent misrepresentation that...
(Reporter clarification.)
Several reasons. One, your question used the word "and," and the company does not have obligations to all the parties you asked and does not have the same obligations to those parties to which it does have obligations.

Second of all, the obligations were undertaken or assumed based on a fraudulent misrepresentation that there was the existence of a third mortgage which through the process of this litigation's discovery we have come to learn does not exist. Instead, it's a commercial note to a restaurant that's unrelated to the property.

THE WITNESS: Is the volume okay, Cari? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, that's
perfect. If you could just keep it right there.
That's great. Thank you.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q So, Mr. Bloom, what obligations did SJC
Ventures undertake to the Antoses individually?
A I don't believe there are obligations to the Antoses individually.

Q And what obligations did it undertake to the Antoses as trustees?

A I don't believe there's an obligation to the Antoses as trustees.

Q And what obligation did it undertake to the Antos Living Trust?

A SJC's obligation to the Antos Living
Trust, assuming the Antos Living Trust obligation
under a third mortgage that later turned out not
to exist but instead a commercial loan to a
restaurant, for which loan the Antos Trust is not
a borrower nor a guarantor.
Q And was that promise made to obtain your interest in SHAC -- SJCV's interest in SHAC -SCJ -- I can't keep it straight. SJCV. Was that obligation undertaken as consideration for obtaining your interest in SHAC?

A Not sole consideration. Consideration was also provided through repairs effectuated to the premises, together with payments to CBCI and assumption of certain third-party payments which
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## SHAC undertook.

Q And as a part of that, there was an
operating agreement executed that's a part of the closing package; correct?

A Correct.
Q And within that operating agreement, you
have the right to purchase the rest of the
interest in SHAC upon full payment of the obligation to CBCI ; is that correct?

A I believe so.
Q And is it your testimony that you no
longer owe anything to CBCI?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Yes.
Q And you no longer owe anything to the Antos Trust?

A Correct.
Q And you no longer owe anything to Ken and Sheila Antos individually?

A Correct.
Q So how is it that you will obtain the remaining 49 percent in SHAC?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Well, given that CBC no longer holds the note, then there's nothing due to CBC. So I
imagine there's going to be a series of negotiations for the acquisition of that percentage interest with a subsequent owner, or we just maintain the equity positions as is.

Q So is it your testimony that you have no intention of paying any funds pursuant to these agreements?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A It's my intention to pay every dollar that's actually due.

Q When?
A Getting into settlement discussions?
Q You said you were going to pay. I want to know when.

A I don't believe there's any money actually due.

Q That's what I asked you before. Is it your intention to pay zero?

A It's my intention to pay what is due.
Q How much is due?
A The Antoses individually as guarantors and the restaurants owe whatever the face value of the commercial loan is. The Antos Trust never assumed that debt, and SJC Ventures took on the obligation of the Antos Trust. So the answer is
zero. That's what the note is worth.
Q You're nothing if you're not bold. Okay. Let's go back to --
A I hope you understand the answer now.
Q I think I understand now that you think you owe zero.

A Yeah, the restaurant that's the borrower owes the face amount of the note.

Q What about the --
A The Antoses -- the Antoses --
Q Hang on. Hang on. I don't mean to interrupt you, but you don't have to tell me again. I heard it the first time.

But what about the agreement in the Forbearance Agreement that caused CBCI to pay the first and the second for 30 -some months? You're not going to -- you don't think you have to pay for the post-forbearance advances made based on the Forbearance Agreement?

A I think the restaurant that's the borrower should pay that, and I think that the Antoses individually that are guarantors should pay their debt.

Q But SHAC had the property. SHAC owned the property the entire time. SHAC enjoyed the
benefit, and you lived in the house, and your testimony is you shouldn't have to pay those payments?

A That's not true.
Q What's not true?
A The fact that your representation that SHAC owned the property the entire time. A lot of the protective advances were prior to the transaction.

Q I'm only talking about the last 30
months. I'm talking about specifically the
advances made pursuant to the Forbearance
Agreement. Your testimony is you pay zero? You
don't even have to make the payments that were advanced on your behalf as SHAC?

A They weren't advanced on my behalf.
They were protective interest to protect CBC's
interest from the first and second mortgage,
because CBC felt that it had an interest in the property.

Q And you contracted for that to be done in the Forbearance Agreement; correct?

A When I was told that there was a third mortgage --

Q Oh, here we go again.

But in terms of -- in terms of what we're talking about here, setting aside those arguments, no, the Antos Trust is not a borrower. The Antos Trust is not a guarantor. And SJC took on the obligations of the Antos Trust in exchange
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for the Antos Trust conveying the property.
Some of those obligations have been met because they're legitimate. And the commercial loan to a restaurant is not an obligation of the Antos Trust. You and I are going to disagree about that, and it's going to go to a judge or a jury, and we'll figure it out eventually.

Q Are you done now?
A I am.
Q So on or about the 27th of September of 2017, SHAC was formed; correct?

A Correct, yes.
Q And on or about that date, the Antos
Trust conveyed the property that is 5128
Spanish -- 5148 Spanish Heights Drive into SHAC; correct?

A Correct.
Q And that was contemplated in the
Forbearance Agreement, correct, and the closing documents; correct?

A Correct.
Q As a part of those documents, one of the contract terms was for CBCI to make payments on the first and second mortgage; correct?

## A Correct.

Q And it's your testimony that SJCV is not obligated to make those advance payments to CBCI?

A Correct, insofar as the obligation falls on the restaurants as the borrower of the note against which protective advances are being made, and Antos individually at the guarantor.

Q You don't argue that it was disclosed to you that the borrower was KCI Investments; correct?

A I do not argue that one of the borrowers was KCI Investments.

Q And the other was Preferred Brands, Inc.; correct?

A Correct.
Q It was disclosed in the very beginning to you; correct?

A Correct.
Q I certainly don't understand, sir. I do not understand the difference. We'll see what the judge says.

Okay. So now let's go back to the Amended Forbearance Agreement. Let's go back to the Amended Forbearance Agreement. So as of December of '19, you don't know anything about this note not being valid; is that fair?
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A Correct. Yes, correct.
Q And it's your testimony that you only
learn about it in the litigation; is that what you're testifying to?

A Correct.
Q What did you -- what document did you
learn in the litigation that somehow leads you to
believe that the note is not a proper obligation
of the trust pursuant to the deed of trust
executed?
A The underlying commercial loan to the restaurant was never joined by the Antos Trust, owner of the real property by way of amendment to the note, which would incorporate the trust as either a borrower or a guarantor.
(Reporter clarification.)
A To date the note has not been amended.
Q And by what authority do you believe the note has to be amended?

A My understanding of contract law.
Q I just want to make sure. We're relying on you.

Have you ever looked at NRS 164.400?
A No.
Q So the document that we recently
provided to you, it's up on the screen now. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q This document is executed, I'll
represent to you, sometime in '14, December of '14, three years before your transaction. And in this document, the trust does that very thing.
I'd like you to take a minute and follow with me, starting at, "The trust is validly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada." The trust is in force. The Antoses are the only trustees, and they "are each authorized and empowered in the name of the Trust without the approval of the other trustee, the beneficiaries, or any other person to execute and deliver a Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents," and it recites the amount. Do you see that?

A We already went through this document and had this conversation. Yes, I see that again.

Q Okay. And do you understand what 164.400 does under those circumstances? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.

A Also answered I haven't looked at that statute.

Q Okay. No problem. So you somehow think that the trust did not obligate itself; is that your testimony?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A Yes, this document, as we stated earlier in this deposition, authorizes the trust to take an action which was never undertaken.

MR. MUSHKIN: Ms. Court Reporter, let's take a two-minute break.
(Break taken from 1:20 p.m.
to $1: 27$ p.m.)
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Let's take a look at the
Seventh Modification to Secured Promissory note. Can you see it now?

A Yes, I can see it.
Q As you can see, that was previously produced in this case as 5148 Spanish Heights 000643. Did you bother to look at this one?

A I don't think I've seen it.
Q Why don't you take a minute and read it.
A Can you scroll down. Okay. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll down. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll down.
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Q I'd be mad if we had the wrong one.
A Is this the wrong document?
Q No, no. I just didn't remember it being this far deep in the document, but when you scroll, it doesn't go very fast.

A Okay. Scroll down.
Q I'm used to turning pages.
A Yeah. Okay. Scroll down.
Q Let's just take a minute right here. Do you see that it goes through the additional advances that were made to the borrower? Do you see that?

A Although it looks like there's another borrower that joined in this -- what is it, Seventh Amendment?

Q Correct.
A If I recall, Dixie.
Q And we'll see -- that's correct, and you'll see where the trust joins in a minute.

A Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay. All right. Okay. Scroll down.

Q I'm not seeing it. Where is it?
A Okay. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll.
Okay. Okay. All right. Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay.

## Q Do you understand what that means? <br> A I believe I do. <br> Q As an amendment to the note they create

the deed of trust on the real property located at
5148 Spanish Heights Drive. So would you like to
adjust your testimony that you've given up until now?

A No. I'm not seeing -- I'm not seeing
the trust taking on the role of borrower or
guarantor. I'm just seeing a deed of trust that
guarantees and secures a zero obligation. Unless
you can show me -- is there a section? I mean, maybe we can save some time. Can you show me where the trust becomes a borrower or a guarantor?

Q Look at the earlier provision, a
condition precedent to the advance of the
funds.
A Right, was the authorization, which we discussed.

Q Authorization and execution and delivery of the deed of trust. And if you look forward, execution of each of the guarantors, acknowledgment of the guarantors attached hereto. Let's go to the bottom. And then if we go to the next amendment, which if you want, I'll take you
to that one as well.
A Can you go back. So I see the borrowers are KCI and Preferred Restaurant Brands. All right.

Q Right.
A Under CBC.
Q Parties to this document.
A Are there more signatures?
Q Wait, we got more. Okay. This is the Certificate of Trust that's provided with it.

A So that's authorizing the trust to sign as a borrower or guarantor.

Q There you go. And now let's go to the next amendment. There's the deed of trust.

A What is that deed of trust securing? Where is the note that the Antos Trust is a borrower on or a guarantor to?

Q It recites the same note. It recites valuable consideration. Remember that they're continuing to advance, Mr. Bloom. These predate you. This deed of trust is April 26th of -- dates back to -- I'm sorry. That's the date of the trust. This is December of '14, and they're still advancing money under the credit agreement, and they're in the note. They're in the amendment to
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the note. So I understand what your defense is,
but respectfully, sir, as you said earlier, wasn't
this all part of your due diligence before you signed the Forbearance Agreement?

A I requested the note and it wasn't
provided. And what $I^{\prime} m$ seeing so far is an amendment to the note signed by the borrowers, which are two restaurants.

Sorry. Let me finish my answer, please.
I'm not even seeing the guarantors
signing the amended notes. I'm just seeing the borrowers, which are two restaurants, and certainly not the trust.

And to address your previous statement, when you said they continued to advance, they advanced to the restaurants as borrowers. Just because MGM gets a loan doesn't mean that they can lien this house.

Q But if MGM puts up -- but if before those advances are made MGM or a related entity puts up collateral and then the money is given, then the collateral is encumbered, sir, and all your previous ramblings about "The note wasn't amended" turn out to be false, because the note was amended to reflect the trust, and the trust
gave specific authority, and it was all done three years before you arrived.

Now --
MS. BARRAZA: Is that a question? Wait. Is that a question?

MR. MUSHKIN: Yes, I'm asking him. BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q Is it still your testimony that you think the note has somehow been extinguished?

A So yes and no. Yes, it is extinguished as of the doctrine of merger, and to the extent that it wasn't extinguished, it's only applicable to the borrowers. You still haven't shown me a document where the trust did anything more than authorize a transaction that never took place. But I'm not seeing an amendment to the note where the trust said, yes, the trust is now a borrower, or yes, the trust is now a guarantor. In fact, your statement that it continued to make advances, the advances went to the restaurant, not to the trust.

Q Thank you, Mr. Bloom, for not answering my question.

A I'm not giving you the answer that you want because it's not truthful.

| page 280 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| question | COURT REPORTER: Yes. |
| 2 at all, sir. It's okay. The record will be | 2 BY MR. MUSHKIN: |
| 3 clear | 3 Q You filed a complaint in this matter; |
| 4 A Is there another question? | 4 correct? "You" being Spanish Heights Acquisition |
| 5 Q Yes, there is. We have to get a -- due | 5 Company and SJC Ventures as plaintiffs. Is that |
| 6 to the nature of your answer, she has to run and | 6 correct? |
| 7 grab another document. | 7 A By way of counsel, those two entities |
| So as long as we have the record | 8 filed the complaint. |
| 9 running, I do want to -- I misspoke the rule. The | 9 Q And you've sought certain relief in that |
| 10 depositions on oral examination are under rule 30. | 10 complaint; is that correct? |
| 11 Those of us that are dinosaurs probably remember a | 11 A Correct. |
| 12 day when it was rule 26. And the examination and | 12 Q And then you filed an amended -- a first |
| 13 cross-examination are governed by rules, and under | 13 amended complaint; is that also correct? |
| 14 Nevada law, a business entity must have counsel. | 14 A I believe so, yes. Those entities did |
| 15 So the only person that can make the objection is | 15 anyway. |
| 16 done pursuant to C)(2), "Testimony is taken | 16 Q And you have a claim for declaratory |
| 17 subject to any objection. An objection must be | 17 relief as your first cause of action; is that |
| 18 stated concisely in a nonargumentative and | 18 correct? |
| 19 nonsuggestive manner. A person may instruct the | 19 A I don't have the complaint in front of |
| 20 deponent not to answer only when necessary to | 20 |
| 21 preserve privilege, to enforce a limitation | 21 Q Do you have any recollection of your |
| 22 ordered by the court, or to present a motion under | 22 complaint? |
| 23 rule 30(d)(3)." And under this rule that person | 23 A Some. |
| 24 is the person representing, and you cannot | 24 Q Do you know what your first cause of |
| 25 represent yourself under a 30(b)(6). So you don't | 25 action wa |
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| get to make objections. | 1 A Not without referencing the complaint |
| MS. BARRAZA: So that is not stated in | 2 itself. |
| 3 the rules that he can't lodge objections. | 3 Q Have you ever seen this document before? |
| (Reporter clarification.) | 4 A Yes. |
| MS. BARRAZA: So if there's any case law | 5 Q Did you review it before it was filed? |
| 6 that you have supporting your position, we'll look | 6 A Yes. |
| 7 at it, but as of right now, I am not going to | $7 \quad$ Q Let me show you what's the first cause |
| 8 instruct my client not to lodge any objections he | 8 of action. |
| 9 sees fit to lodge. If you have any actual | 9 MS. BARRAZA: Can I just ask a question |
| 10 authority that goes to this specific issue, we'l | 10 for clarification? Is this the mooted original |
| 11 look at it, but for right now, he can feel free to | 11 complaint, or is this the amended complaint? |
| 12 lodge his objections. | 12 MR. MUSHKIN: This is the amended |
| 13 MR. MUSHKIN: You can explain it to the | 13 complaint? |
| 14 judge, Counsel. | 14 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. Thanks. |
| 15 MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, feel free | 15 MR. MUSHKIN: First Amended Complaint. |
| 16 THE WITNESS: Maybe step up Mr. Mushkin. | 16 MS. BARRAZA: Thanks. |
| 17 You've got to stop that. | 17 MR. MUSHKIN: Danielle, can you see the |
| 18 MR. MUSHKIN: Give us just a minute. | 18 document on the screen? |
| 19 (Reporter clarification.) | 19 MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, I see it now. |
| 20 THE WITNESS: Can we come off the record | 20 Thanks. |
| 21 for a minute. I have a question Danielle. It | 21 MR. MUSHKIN: Oh, okay. |
| 22 doesn't need to be private, but it doesn't need to | 22 MS. BARRAZA: I just didn't look at |
| 23 be part of the record. |  |
| 24 (Discussion off the record.) | 24 MR. MUSHKIN: Sorry. |
| 25 MR. MUSHKIN: Are we back on the record? | 25 MS. BARRAZA: -- when you started |

```
scrolling.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
    Q So this is your first cause of action
relating to the emergency directive; is that
correct?
A Correct.
Q Is it fair to say that this cause of
action has been resolved by the court?
A Yes, I believe, yes.
Q Now let's go to the second cause of
action. This is CBCI's lack of rights to
foreclose or evict as it admits it sold or no
longer possesses the purported note. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q And is it your -- do you believe that 5148 also has no right to foreclose on the note?
A Yes.
Q Why?
A One, because of the doctrine of merger; two, because the note is not applicable, as we found out it's not a third mortgage, but it's not applicable to this property because it has the pledgor, which was the Antos Trust, has no obligation to secure under a note that it never
```
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joined as a borrower nor guarantor; and then
three, the one-action rule prevents 5148 from
taking any further action beyond its
predecessors...
(Reporter clarification.)
A Beyond its predecessors' action in taking 49 percent equity interest on April 1st.

Q So can you tell me what you believe the merger doctrine is?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.

You can answer.
A My understanding of the doctrine of merger is that when an entity takes possession of both a note and an equity position in real property that the equity -- that the interests merge and the equity survives and the note is extinguished as a function of the two interests convening under one entity, which was discussed with CBC initially, which is why they resigned their membership interest, because CBC acknowledged that they could not hold both the equity interest and equitable interest in the real property through SHAC and simultaneously be a lender against the property acting as collateral.

A Well, I've entered evidence by way of testimony. I have to go and see if there are emails that corroborate the conversations I had with CBC through Alan Hallberg. But the documents -- the further documents that do corroborate that is the resignation of the membership interest right after the formation of SHAC. That was the reason they resigned the membership interest, because --

Q That's not true, is it, Mr. Boom?
A If it weren't true, I wouldn't have said it, Mr. Mushkin.

Q So you know that the reason that you and CBC Partners resigned from the LLC was so that the Antoses could own 100 percent, put the house in a Nevada taxable event. Isn't that the only reason it was done?

A If that were the only reason, as you're proposing, then CBC would have retaken their equity interest after the transaction, as SJC did. That didn't happen, because what you're saying is not true.

Q Mr. Bloom, here's how --
A Let me finish my answer.
The equity post the transfer to SHAC was 51 percent SJC, 49 percent Antos Trust... (Reporter clarification.)
A And 0 percent interest to CBC, precisely because of the issue that CBC raised related to the doctrine of merger. You cannot hold a loan against a property in which you have an equitable interest.

Q Mr. Bloom, does SJC have an equitable interest in the 5148 property?

A Does SJC have an equitable interest? SJC has an indirect equitable interest because it owns 51 percent of an entity that holds an equitable interest in the real property.

Q In fact, the only one who holds title to the property is SHAC; correct?

A Correct.
Q So the only person that -- the only entity that would have -- isn't it true that the only entity that could apply the doctrine of merger is SHAC?

A My understanding is the doctrine of merger attaches unless it's specifically waived by the parties to the transaction, which did not

```
occur in this case.
    Q That's not the question that I asked
you, sir.
    A Your question --
    Q I asked you: Isn't it true that as the
equitable owner of the property, SHAC is the only
person, the only entity that the doctrine of
merger could apply to?
    A No.
    Q Tell me how it works then.
        MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Calls for
legal conclusion.
        You can answer.
    A CBC as the lender, if they were to take
an equitable interest in the property concurrent
to their position as a lender, if they ever were a
lender to the property, which we discovered is a
separate issue, CBC, if they took an equitable
position on the property which collateralizes
their supposed third mortgage, that would
extinguish the third mortgage. But that can't --
any bank, any lender, and I'm telling you this
from ten years at Manufacturers Hanover, which is
now JPMorgan Chase, any lender cannot own an
equitable interest in a property that it lends
```
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against simultaneously.
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    Q So you reversed my question. So I'll
    ask it the way you did. If CBC Partners -- well,
in this case it would be CBCI LLC, the lender;
correct? They're the lender; correct?
A I wasn't even aware there were multiple
entities.
Q The note says CBCI ; correct?
A I know them as CBC.
Q I'll represent to you that the holder of
the note at inception of your transaction was
CBCI -- CBC Partners I LLC. You sued them. And
isn't it true that if CBCI Partners -- CBC
Partners I, LLC obtained title to the 5148
property that the doctrine of merger would apply?
A I'm only aware of one entity, CBC.
That's all I dealt with through Alan Hallberg.
But yes, if any entity holds both the note, which
is a collateralized obligation against real
property, and an equitable position in that
property, then that note is extinguished by virtue
of the merger of their interest. Whether it's CBC
or subsequently 5148 . If 5148 -- if CBC held it
in some other entity and then transferred the
interest to 5148 together with the note to 5148 ,

Q So you reversed my question. So I'll
ask it the way you did. If CBC Partners -- well,
in this case it would be CBCI LLC, the lender;
correct? They're the lender; correct?
A I wasn't even aware there were multiple entities.

Q The note says CBCI; correct?
A I know them as CBC.
Q I'll represent to you that the holder of
the note at inception of your transaction was
CBCI -- CBC Partners I LLC. You sued them. And
isn't it true that if CBCI Partners -- CBC
Partners I, LLC obtained title to the 5148
property that the doctrine of merger would apply?
A I'm only aware of one entity, CBC.
That's all I dealt with through Alan Hallberg.
But yes, if any entity holds both the note, which
is a collateralized obligation against real property, and an equitable position in that property, then that note is extinguished by virtue or in some other entity and then transferred the interest to 5148 together with the note to 5148,
then the doctrine of merger would attach upon the completion of those two transfers.

Q Has SHAC acquired the note that is currently owned by 5148 LLC?

A No.
Q And SHAC is the titleholder; correct?
A SHAC is the titleholder, and I'm
answering assuming that the note you're referring to is the commercial loan to the restaurants.

Q And I'm specifically referring to the deed of trust because -- do you understand the doctrine of merger to apply to the note or to apply to the deed of trust?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.

A To the extent the commercial loan to the restaurant is somehow recognized as a third position mortgage, then the doctrine of merger would attach to the note itself.

Q And where did you learn that one, Mr. Bloom?

A Obviously, I went to grad school and not law school, and I have ten years experience at JPMorgan Chase.

Q Well --
A Three years with the real estate group.
Q Respectfully, sir, that is just not what the merger doctrine does. We'll go into that another time, whenever you're ready to really try and resolve this case.

But you do realize that you sued CBC
Partners I LLC? You see the document, your complaint; right?

A Yes, I do.
Q And you know that you have not sued CBC Partners; correct?

A Until this litigation, I wasn't aware of a distinction on multiple entities. I dealt with Alan Hallberg of CBC, and he never indicated that there were multiple partners.

Q Well, and I have just an ancillary question. In your pleading, you name CBCI, LLC, and then you later on in the same header you put CBCI, LLC. Do you make a distinction between the way you've presented it in this complaint?
$A$ No. I'm only aware of one CBC.
Q And that's the entity that held the note; is that fair?

A Correct, and also the entity that took the equity.

| 1 | Q | And the entity that -- you signed the |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Forbearance Agreement and the Amended Forbearanc |  |
| 3 | Agreement; correct? |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | A | Correct. |
| 5 | Q | Now, you saw the assignment of company |
| 6 | interest; right? |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | A | By the Antos Trust? |
| 8 | Q | Yes, sir. |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | A | Yes. |
| 10 | Q And that went to something called CBC |  |
| 11 | Partners, didn't it? |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | A $\quad$ To my knowledge, there's only one CBC. |  |
| 13 | Q $\quad$ Well, I appreciate to your knowledge, |  |
| 14 | but how do you gain that knowledge? |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | A In my conversations with Alan Hallberg, |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | he only referenced one entity at all -- |  |
| 17 | Q Have you ever done -- sorry. I didn't |  |
| 18 | mean to interrupt you. |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | A | He only referenced one entity at all |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | times in every conversation. |  |
| 21 | Q And have you done any inquiry as to the |  |
| 22 | names and status of any of these entities? |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | A I have not. |  |
| 24 | Q All right. |  |
| 25 | MS. BARRAZA: So I would just like to |  |

have the record reflect that the first amended
complaint indicates that both CBC Partners I and
CBC Partners, LLC are separately listed as
defendants in the complaint.
MR. MUSHKIN: You are correct, they are not listed in this cause of action.

MS. BARRAZA: Correct, and that specific second cause of action.

THE WITNESS: I'd like to clarify. I
think that second cause of action relates to CBC
Partners I attempt to foreclose or evict
subsequent to divesting itself of any equity -any interest in the note or equity in the property. So CBC Partners I had no interest, and then a week later tried to initiate foreclosure and eviction under a note it disposed of. BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q Do you know who is servicing the note on behalf of 5148 ?

A I do not. It's not my note.
Q And when you spent those 15 years in the financial industry, did you ever hear of a servicing company initiating foreclosure on behalf of a note?

A Sure.

Q Thanks.
Let's go to the second cause of action.
I'm sorry. Let's go to the third cause of action.
This is that you're alleging the application of
the one-action rule against CBC Partners I and
5148 ; is that correct?
A Objection. The document speaks for itself.

Yes, that's correct.
Q Take a look at the fourth cause of action. This is your claim doctrine of merger against CBCI and 5148; is that correct?

A Objection. The document speaks for itself.

Yes, that's correct.
Q Tell me what facts you have to support your claim that CBCI and 5148 are subject to the doctrine of merger?

A My understanding is that CBCI was a lender against the property -- well, against the restaurants, and is alleging that it's against the property, converting a commercial loan into third position mortgage without the owner of the property's consent or participation, at least participation. Strike consent. And then
subsequently CBC Partners took on an equitable interest in the property concurrent with being a lender to the property, again, alleging to be a lender against the property.

And subsequent to that, both interests transferred to 5148, so if there were multiple CBC entities of which we were unaware, once those interest converged after the transfer to 5148, then the doctrine of merger would attach there.

Q What equitable interest did CBC Partners I obtain in SHAC, in the title -- excuse me. Strike that.

What equitable interest did CBC Partners I, LLC obtain in 5148 property?

A The Antos Trust -- I see the document where the Antos Trust transferred its 49 percent interest in the legal owner of the property.

Q So it's your testimony that the only interest that you are alleging is the exercise of the 49 percent interest in SHAC?

A CBC took a 49 percent interest in the owner of the property, which gives them an equitable in the property, at which time the doctrine of merger would attach to the entity that owns both the property, the equitable interest in
the property, and the note.
Q So I just want to make sure I understand what you're saying. You're saying that by taking
stock of any amount, in this case 49 percent, in a
company that has title to a property, that that
creates the application of the merger doctrine?
A If that stock is the equity owner of the property, then yes.

Q Well, the stock is not the equitable owner of the property, sir.

A SHAC is the equitable owner of the property.

Q And it's your testimony that by taking any amount of stock in SHAC, that extinguishes the note?

A Once you become an owner of the property, then yes, you cannot simultaneously be a lender against that property. That is why CBC forfeited it's original interest in the property to begin with.

I know you don't like the answer, because it's not convenient to your narrative.

Q I've interviewed Mr. Hallberg, and he doesn't have any recollection of that, but that's a different issue for a different day. I've also
talked to Mr. Antos about it. They don't know.
And you took his deposition. None of these guys
ever mentioned merger, so I don't know where you come up with it, but okay. No problem.

But I want to make sure I understand what you're saying is true. So in this case, assuming, although it did not happen, but assuming that the holder of the note took stock in the LLC, of any amount, that would extinguish the note?

A That was my understanding, and that was CBC's understanding at inception of the transaction.

Q Okay. That's your understanding. And how did you gain that understanding? Did you do any research or anything to come up with this?

A Well, it's my understanding based on my experience with commercial and investment banking and three years with the real estate group at JPMorgan Chase.

Q Thank you.
Now, the fifth cause of action is that somehow SJC Ventures is the sole exclusive manager of Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, and that's against all defendants. Do you see that?

A I do.

Q Why have you sued all defendants?
A Because we want declaratory relief that nobody is going to assert that there's a different manager of SHAC as the acquisition company, but SJC was appointed in the operating agreement as the sole and exclusive and irrevocable manager.

Q The TRO is your sixth cause of action.
Now, the seventh cause of action has got
me confused because -- is it your testimony -- is
it your belief in this cause of action that the transfer has not occurred?

A I think at this point in time the transfer from the Antos Trust of their 49 percent interest in the property to CBC Partners occurred, and CBC Partners transferred that interest to 5148.

Q I'm not sure what you just said, but what did you mean by that? What are you trying to convey? I do not understand what your answer was?

A I don't know any other language besides English.

Q Well, then say it again for me, because I didn't understand you. It is breaking up just a little bit.

THE COURT REPORTER: It is. THE WITNESS: Okay. Were you able -I'm sorry. I'll try and get closer again. Are you able to read -- were you able to hear me and transcribe what I said?
(Record read.)
A CBC transferred that interest to 5148. Did you not understand that answer, Mr. Mushkin?

Q How do you know that CBC transferred their interest to 5148?

A I don't recall if I saw a transfer document from CBC to 5148, but I believe that was the testimony of Mr. Hallberg.

Q When did Mr. Hallberg testify to that?
A I think when we had the injunctive relief hearing, but I'd have to go back and check the transcript.

Q Well, I'll represent to you that that is incorrect. That CBC Partners is the holder of the 49 percent interest and 5148 is the holder of the note, and CBCI was the prior owner of the note. I suppose we'll get to all of that tomorrow.

But I want to go back to your
seventh cause of action, because you recite, "Pursuant to NRS 30.040, the plaintiffs are

| page 300 | page 302 |
| :---: | :---: |
| entitled declaratory relief as to rights, | 1 fair dealing; is that your claim? |
| 2 statutes, and legal relations at issue in this | 2 MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Calls for a |
| 3 matter and a declaration that upon purportedly | 3 legal conclusion. |
| 4 assigning its membership interest in Spanish | 4 You can answer |
| 5 Heights to CBC Partners I, defendant the Antos | 5 A Yes. |
| 6 Trust did not agree or waive or exclude the | $6 \quad$ Q What other facts do you have to support |
| 7 applicability of the merger doctrine, and further, | 7 this claim? |
| 8 the Antos Trust was provided no consideration for | 8 A The testimony of the parties, the |
| 9 their equitable interest in the property other | 9 Forbearance Agreement itself, the plain language |
| 10 than the extinguishment of the note under the de | 10 of the Forbearance Agreement. |
| 11 facto merger occurring on April 1, 2020." Do you | 11 Q Your tenth cause of action is for the |
| 12 see that paragraph? | 12 lack of liability for fireworks. So I just want |
| 13 A I do | 13 to understand. Are you still making a claim |
| 14 Q So is it your allegation that the | 14 against Dacia for the September incident? |
| 15 transfer of the stock to CBC Partners extinguished | 15 A The claim against Dacia is for the July |
| 16 the note? | 16 fireworks that took place at the property that |
| 17 A Yes. | 17 Dacia now owns. A fine levied against a property |
| 18 Q And -- | 18 by association -- a fine levied by a property |
| 19 A Let me finish my answe | 19 against an association does not go away just |
| 20 To the extent that the note actually | 20 because the owner changes. |
| 21 turns out to be a third position mortgage. If the | 21 MR. MUSHKIN: So my understanding, |
| 22 note is not a third position mortgage, then it's | 22 Counsel, and if I'm wrong correct me, the tenth |
| 23 not subject to the doctrine of merger because it's | 23 and eleventh causes of action are gone; is that |
| 24 not secured by the real property. | 24 correct? |
| 25 Q Okay. And you garner this based upon | 25 MS. BARRAZA: So I'll have to look at |
|  |  |
| your experience; is that correct? | 1 the language in the order, but I don't think |
| A Correct. | 2 that's correct. I'll look at the language in the |
| 3 Q And you don't have any particular | 3 order, though. |
| 4 authority other than what you know yourself; is | 4 MR. MUSHKIN: So here's why I'm -- I |
| 5 that what you're telling us? | 5 want to keep this on the record, because it will |
| 6 A Objection. Calls for a legal | 6 be subject to my motion for reconsideration. |
| 7 conclusion. | This cause of action talks about |
| 8 My attorneys will address case law and | 8 fireworks on July of '19. The court has ruled |
| 9 statute and reasons that's applicable in this | 9 that you cannot go after Dacia for anything that |
| 10 case. Beyond my experience. | 10 happened before July 19th. It is uncontroverted |
| 11 Q The next cause of action is for breach | 11 that the fireworks were on July 4th. So there are |
| 12 of contract as to the Forbearance Agreement. I | 12 no allegations beyond July of '19. So from my |
| 13 believe I asked you if you ever provided any | 13 understanding of the court's order, there is now |
| 14 notice of default, and you said to me that you did | 14 no cause of action against Dacia? |
| 15 not; is that correct? | 15 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. And then just for |
| 16 A I don't believe there's a letter, formal | 16 the record, the court's order does not state |
| 17 written notice of default, but when we learned of | 17 anything about July 2019. |
| 18 the breach, we brought it to the attention of CBC, | 18 MR. MUSHKIN: It does. It says from the |
| 19 including through these proceedings, and as such, | 19 date of ownership. |
| 20 CBC's breach remains to this day. | 20 MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, exactly, that's what |
| 21 Q And then the last cause of action, the | 21 it says. I have the order pulled up. So it |
| 22 ninth cause of action is for breach of the | 22 doesn't say July. It says the date of ownership. |
| 23 covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and it's | 23 MR. MUSHKIN: Right, July 19th. We know |
| 24 your testimony that by issuing a notice of | 24 that's the date. We provided the deed, Counsel. |
| 25 default, that was a violation of good faith and | 25 Don't play semantics with me. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| A: No, it's not semantics. | Q Mr. Bloom, can you testify to me of an |
| It's not semantics at all. It's what the order | 2 event that takes place after July 19th involving |
| actually says, and the order does not say July. | 3 the use of an incendiary device that involves |
| MR. MUSHKIN: It says date of ownership. | 4 Dacia? |
| tit, that that's July 19th. It's | 5 A Let's be clear on our definitions so |
| 6 uncontroverted that the event takes place July | 6 you're not playing semantics with us. The |
| 7 4th. That's what she ordered in her minute order. | 7 incendiary device refers to Michael Rhodes' |
| 8 So you can't sue Dacia for something that happened | 8 flamethrower, which was used twice, once in July |
| before they owned the property. | 9 and once in September. There were also firework |
| 10 MS. BARRAZA: Her order states that to | 10 where there's multiple times for multiple days |
| 11 the extent there are events that occurred during | 11 through the course of July, one of which is |
| 12 the ownership of Dacia, both -- the tenth, | 12 July 4th. I don't know what the other dates were |
| 13 eleventh, and twelfth causes of action, motion to | 13 that fires fines were assessed for fireworks. |
| 14 dismiss is denied, so -- | 14 Q In your complaint, Mr. Bloom, you've |
| 15 MR. MUSHKIN: I appreciate that. | 15 alleged that an incendiary device in July of '19. |
| 16 BY MR. MUSHKIN: | 16 You have testified that only on July 4th of '19 |
| 17 Q Now I'm trying to understand what action | 17 was that incendiary device, other than |
| 18 takes place after July 19th that you're making the | 18 September 21st. So my question is: Is there any |
| 19 claim against Dacia. | 19 other action on behalf of Dacia that you claim |
| 20 MS. BARRAZA: Asked and answered. | 20 that Dacia is somehow responsible for under this |
| 21 But you can answ | 21 dec relief claim? |
| 22 A So there are multiple | 22 A Objection. Asked |
| 23 alleged violations throughout July and I don't | 23 The incendiary device, meaning the |
| 24 know the dates for the one subsequent to July | 24 flamethrower, was used on those two dates |
| 25 whether or not those dates are subsequent to | 25 July, once in September. The firework happened on |
|  |  |
| 1 July 19th. So we would need to go back and review | 1 multiple occasions throughout July. I know one of |
| 2 the association's fines assessed and the dates | 2 the dates was July 4th. I don't know what other |
| 3 that they were assessed for those fireworks. | 3 dates there were fireworks in the month of July, |
| $4 \quad$ Q Mr. Bloom, you've already testified that | 4 so I can't answer whether it was after July 19th |
| 5 the only time the fireworks went off were July 4th | 5 Q There is no claim of fireworks in your |
| 6 and September 21st. Are you now telling me there | 6 complaint, sir. |
| 7 were fireworks on days other than that? | MS. BARRAZA: So I'm going to object. |
| 8 A Objection. Misstates testimony. Those | is is |
| tes were for the incendiary device not the | MR. MUSHKIN: The complaint is about |
| 10 fireworks. | 10 incendiary device. If you want to object to the |
| 11 Q You were fined for the incendiary | 11 form of my question, you can, Counsel. |
| 12 device; is that correct? | 12 MS. BARRAZA: I am going to -- |
| 13 A Correct. | 13 MR. MUSHKIN: But I haven't asked the |
| 14 Q It was used only twice, July 4th and | 14 question yet. |
| 15 July 21st; correct? | 15 MS. BARRAZA: I'm going to have my full |
| 16 A Not correct. | 16 objection placed on the record, and my objection |
| 17 Q When else was it used? | 17 is that this is not -- this is a notice pleading |
| 18 A It was used July 4th and September 21st, | 18 state, and he doesn't have to specifically put the |
| 19 the incendiary device. | 19 word "fireworks" into all of his relevant causes |
| 20 Q What did I say? | 20 of action. And if you have any specific |
| 21 A You said July 4th and July 21st. | 21 questions, you can proceed, but we are objecting |
| 22 Q I'm sorry. July 4th and September 21 | 22 as to the insinuation that because he did not put |
| 23 In your complaint, the only allegation you have is | 23 the word "fireworks" in a certain cause of action |
| 24 July of '19. Do you have. | 24 he's barred from testifying to that. |
| 25 (Reporter clarification.) | 25 A I'll go ahead and answer. It's on the |

screen right in front of us. Paragraph 129,
"Governor Sisolak's Emergency Directive 0008
because it alleges fireworks were set off from and an incendiary device was used at the property."

Paragraph 130, "In reality, the property
owned by defendant Dacia, same neighborhood as the
property at issue, set off fireworks and used an
incendiary device in July of 2019." So fireworks
is in there.
Paragraph 131...
(Cross-talk.)
(Reporter clarification.)
THE WITNESS: When I referenced paragraphs 129 and 130, did you get those?

MR. MUSHKIN: That will work. Thank you, Mr. Bloom.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Now, what I'm trying to get at --
A I'm not finished with my answer.
And in paragraph 131, the third line, it
says, 'claim an exemption to Governor Sisolak's
Emergency Directive 008 based on fireworks." Two
lines below that, again based on fireworks. So
fireworks is in there four times in three
paragraphs, so for you to represent that I never
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said fireworks is a misstatement of the documents
which are plain on their face.
Q That's because you're not listening to
my question, Mr. Bloom. I'm trying to separate it out into two parts.

The incendiary device is the 4th and the 21st; is that correct?

A Incendiary device is the 4th of July and the 21st of September.

Q Thank you.
Are you in your complaint alleging that
Dacia is in any way responsible for the incendiary
device on the 21st?
A On the 21st of?
Q September. Sorry.
A On the 21st of September, no.
Q Thank you.
So now let's go to the fireworks. Is it
your allegation that Dacia is responsible for the
fireworks that were set off by Michael Rhodes?
A Yes.
Q You believe that Dacia is responsible
for the actions of Michael Rhodes?
A I believe that, from my understanding, and this understanding comes from being a member

1

5 The lability for it being assessed is not wiped 6 out. When Michael Rhodes launched fireworks from
7 that property, any fines assessed by the
8 association are assessed against that property,
9 irrespective of who a subsequent owner might
10 become. There are fines against that property.
10 become. There are fines against that property.
of the board of Southern Highlands and a member of
the board of Christopher Homes, when a lien or
when a fine is assessed against a property, even
if that property sells, the fine is not wiped out.
The liability for it being assessed is not wiped
responsible for fines assessed from something that
originated from that property, even if it
originated after the dates of the transfer.
Q What fine, sir?
A Liability for the actions. Dacia took ownership --

Q What actions?
A Dacia's owner took a board position, and the fines were assessed against my property and not against his property, as a board member, even though the firework emanated from his property. Total abuse of authority from his position as a board member.

Q I have no idea what you're talking
about, Mr. Bloom. I'm asking you questions about Michael Rhodes.

A Yes.
Q Michael Rhodes owns an incendiary
device, and is it your testimony that somehow
Dacia is responsible for Michael Rhodes' actions?
A Yes.
Q How can Dacia be responsible for Michael Rhodes' actions?

A Because fines assessed by an association are assessed against a property, and violations that occur on a property lead to fines assessed against that property, and just because the property subsequently transfers after the occurrence of a violation does not mean the violation goes away because there's a new owner.

Q What violation are you -- you lost me, sir. What violation are you talking about?

A The July 4th use of a flamethrower and multiple instances of setting off fireworks throughout the month of July from that property.

Q Is there some document that shows this?
A What do you mean by 'this'?
Q That somehow there are these other incidents?

| $\mathbf{1}$ | A |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 | Yes. |
| 3 | Q And what fine do you think was on the |
| 3 | Dacia property? Is it your testimony that there |
| 4 | was a fine on the Dacia property after July 19th? |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | A |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | It's my testimony there were actions |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | the month of July, and I don't haver the dates, |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | there were multiple instances, but I don't have |
| 9 | all the dates in front of me, but there are |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | actions originating from what's now the Dacia |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | property for which any liability is Dacia's. |
| 12 | Q What incidents can you testify to that |
| 13 | happened after July 19th? |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | A Objection. Asked and answered. |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | There were multiple instances of |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | fireworks being launched from that property, and I |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | don't know the dates from recollection other than |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | it was the month of July, on several instances, |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | one of which was July 4th, and the violations |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | occurred at 5212, I think the property address is, |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | which today is Dacia's property, which means Dacia |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | has liability for violations occurring on that |
| 23 | property, even if it's before their ownership. Do |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | you understand that? |
| 25 | Q Well, no, because the court has ruled |


| 1 | that there is no liability before their |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | ownership. So I don't really understand what |
| 3 | you're taking about. But you can't tell me a |
| 4 | single date after the 19th? |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | A Objection. Asked and answered. |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | I don't have the documents in front of |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | me for when the association is alleging that the |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | fireworks took place. My recollection is it was |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | on several instances throughout the month of July. |
| 10 | Q And do you know what document would |
| 11 | reflect that? |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | A Fines against my property at $\mathbf{5 1 4 8}$ for |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | the violations that occurred at Dacia's property, |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 1 2}$. |
| 15 | Q Do you have those documents? |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q Have you produced them in this case? |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | A I don't know where we are in the |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | discovery process. |
| 20 | Q Will you produce them? Please produce |
| 21 | them. |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | A Okay. Actually, you have them in the |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | litigation against the association and Taiwan |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Davis. |
| 25 | Q |

```
(Reporter clarification.)
A And Taiwan Davis. He'll have to spell his client's name.
Q Mr. Bloom, I'll represent to you that they are referenced, but there has not been a document production, so the lien itself has not been produced, and the HOA file has not been produced. However, I'll leave a blank in your deposition, and if you could please put in the dates that you think actions took place on the Dacia property after July 19th, I would greatly appreciate it.
```

INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q But at least I do now understand what you are saying in this cause of action, that you are not seeking liability for the September incident across the street for the incendiary device, but you think there's liability arising out of fireworks set off on the property after July 19th. Is that your testimony?

> A My testimony is that I believe there's
liability for the incendiary device in July on the property as well as fireworks throughout the month of July on the property. To the extent that the court dismissed those claims subsequent to discovery, we'll be revisiting that and reopening...
(Reporter clarification.)
A We'll be revisiting those.
Q There's now some pausing in your testimony.

So the eleventh cause of action is indemnity, sir. And, again, you talk about the July 19th fireworks, July of 2019 fireworks being set off on the Dacia property. Same question as before. Can you tell me a date that you think something took place after July 19th that gives rise to this indemnity.


I'd just like to reflect in the record that we are now nine hours into our seven-hour deposition.

Q We're getting there.
A Do you have much more, Mr. Mushkin?
Q No, not very much.
So you filed an answer to counterclaim in this matter; is that correct?

A I'm not sure. I think there was a counterclaim that included me individually, and I'm not a claimant, so I'm not quite sure how that works. I would normally expect that it would be a third-party complaint against a nonparty.

Q There is a claim against Spanish
Heights; SJC Ventures, LLC; SJC Ventures Holding Company, LLC; Jay Bloom individually.

Do you know what SJC Ventures Holding Company, LLC is?

A I think SJC Ventures Holding Company is the formal legal name of SJC Ventures, same entity.

Q Okay. I just want to make sure of that.
A More initials for you to try to figure out.

Q Do you recall reading the counterclaim
that the Antoses filed in this matter?
A I've seen it once, but I don't recall the substance of $i$.

Q Do you recall the operating agreement?
A I do.
Q And let's go -- okay. It's page 19 of
25 of the pleading. Have you ever seen this document before, Mr. Bloom?

A I'm not sure that I have. I may have. If I did, I skimmed it and don't recall its contents.

Q Let's go to page 19 of this document. Do you see under paragraph number 6, it says operating agreement?
A Ido.
Q So you answered -- I skipped ahead. So do you see that provision, that paragraph 6? It sets out A through F of those obligations that were recited in the operating agreement. Do you see that?

## A Paragraph 6A and B.

Q Do you recognize those A through F as provisions from the operating agreement?

A I recognize those A through $F$ as provisions from the original operating agreement
as unmodified by subsequent agreement by the parties.

Q Okay. And your answer of paragraph 6 is that -- answer in paragraph 6 to the counterclaim, including parts A through F, "This paragraph references a document that speaks for itself. There is no response necessary. To the extent that a response is required, counter-defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore generally and specifically deny the allegations contained therein." Do you see that?

A No.
Q Oh. Sorry. I read that to you. Do you understand that to be your response?

A I don't recall the response from memory.
Q Hang on. We'll go back and forth. I guess we're not going to be done so fast after all.

Do you see this document?
A Ido.
Q Do you see it was filed on your behalf?
A Ido.
Q Take a look at paragraph 6.


Antos Trust?
A I do not.
Q Thank you.
So the answer that you give to paragraph
8 is, again, that the document speaks for itself and that you specifically deny it, but as a part of the documents are the reference to the KCI note dated July 22nd, 2012. So you were aware of that note; correct?

A Paragraph 8 you're referring to; yes?
Q Yes, sir.
A So on paragraph 8, it references that
the Antoses with nonparty KCI Investments entered
into a secured promissory note with CBC. So we
now know that it's KCI Investments and Preferred
Restaurant Brands, and the Antoses only in the
capacity of guarantor entered into a promissory
note. I doubt that it's secured, given what we've
learned in discovery. Well, 2012 is two years
before the defective deed of trust was issued. So there's a lot of questions, which --

Q Sir, it's the same thing.
A You have to let me finish the answer.
Q Sir, it's the same thing. You don't
have any --
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A You have to let me finish the answer.
Q I thought you had.
A There are a lot of questions that leave
me without sufficient information to be able to
give you an answer to that question. So to the
extent that an answer is required, we'll deny it
based on not having sufficient information.
Q So now I'm asking you a separate question. You don't have any information that proves that on June 22nd, the Antoses and KCI did not enter into a secured promissory note with CBCI, do you?

A Can you rephrase the question so there's not a double negative?

Q Do you have any information that specifically shows that KCI Investments and the Antos parties did not enter into a secured promissory note on June 22nd, 2012 ?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Objection. Ask and answered.
Objection. The document speaks for itself.
The answer is no.
Q Same thing with 9. The document that you signed references the ten modifications to the note. You, as to paragraph 9 , respond that you
generally and specifically deny the allegations.
Isn't it true that the modification references were contained in the Forbearance Agreement?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Document speaks for itself.

You can answer.
A Well, it's referenced the documents themselves were requested but never provided.

Q In paragraph 10, on December 29th of
'14, the deed of trust, assignment of rents,
security agreement, and fixture filings is recorded, Clark County at a certain document number. Do you see that paragraph?

A Yes. I see that paragraph.
Q You give the same answer again, generally and specifically denying this allegation. What information do you have that allows you to deny this allegation?

A Give me a second to read the question. So I'm denying that the deed of trust secured the note.

Q That's not what it says, though, does it, sir? It just says that a deed of trust and assignment of rents was reported.

A On line 3 it continues and says for the
purposes of securing the note.
Q So what you're trying to tell me is that the deed of trust exists, but it doesn't secure the note?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Misstates testimony.

You can answer.
A The deed of trust is defective in its form because the pledgor is not a party to the note being secured.

Q Okay. Got it.
And do you dispute the numbers contained in the paragraph?

A I don't have sufficient information to be able to answer what the obligation is.

Q You do recall that we went through the Forbearance Agreement that recited these very numbers that you signed?

A Yeah, but the numbers change over time, so --

Q Well, only by the interest per day?
A Right. Which means the interest and late charges is an incorrect number, because it's not unchanged. The amount asserted is not unchanged by your client from the time that
$1,315,105.24$ was mentioned at the time the answer was issued. That number is incorrect by definition.

Q Where is it wrong?
A Are you assert -- unless you're asserting that there's no interest and late charges that accrued --

Q It doesn't say that, sir.
A No.
Q Read the paragraph. It says the balance
due is approximately $5,578,029,2,935,001.14$ for principal, forbearance protection payments of $1,326,000$, interest and late charges $1,315,000$, and interest accruing at the rate of 16.0822 per day from April 1, 2020. That was put in the May evidentiary hearing. You had all those numbers in the very beginning of the case. What number on that page are you disputing?

A Okay. I'll accept those numbers in light of how you framed your question.

Q Thank you.
So I understand what your response is now to paragraph 11. It's not what you pled. You generally and specifically denied. So I assume that now the deed of trust is subordinate to two
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additional deeds of trust. Do you somehow dispute that if there's a deed of trust it's subordinate to those two?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A To the extent that there is a valid deed of trust and to the extent that such deed of trust, if it's determined to be valid, is not extinguished by the doctrine of merger, then yes, it would be subordinate to the two additional deeds of trust.

Q Thank you.
And do you dispute that there was a modification to the deed of trust on July 22nd, '15?

A As we sit here today, I'm not sure of the date, but I do acknowledge a modification, subsequent modification.

Q A very minor change.
Thank you.
Let's go to 13. Spanish Heights talks about the September 27th agreement. You specifically deny this. Got to be a mistake.

A I don't recall the basis for a specific denial.

Q And so in fact, on the 27th, SJC entered
into a forbearance agreement of the note; isn't that correct?

A Correct.
Q So that should be admit?
A Well, the admission would be with the provision that it was based upon the reliance of a material misrepresentation.

Q Yeah, that's an affirmative defense.
A Let me finish my answer, Mr. Mushkin.
Q There wasn't a question, Mr. Bloom.
A So that would be --
Q Go to paragraph 14.
A "So that would be admit then" would be your testimony, not mine. We can go on for now.

Q No, you admitted it.
As a part of paragraph 14 -- Mr. Bloom, are you saying you did not execute the document on September 27th?

A Pursuant to a misrepresentation that the document secured -- the document related to a third mortgage that we later found did not exist, number 14.

Q So 14 says, "As a part of the
Forbearance Agreement Antos conveyed the property to SHAC, and SHAC leased the property to SJCV."

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q That's true, isn't it?
A The Antoses individually did not/the Antos Trust did.

Q So let's look at your answer. And you know that Antos means the Antos parties; right?

A Well, if the Antos party are multiple parties, then one did, and one or two did not.

Q So let's just take a look at your answer to 15 -- or 14. "Counter-defendant admits the property was conveyed to SHAC, and SHAC leased the property to counter-defendant. As to the remaining allegations in the paragraph, counter-defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information and therefore specifically denies." What other allegations are in the paragraph?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, you know that's not a valid objection; right.

MS. BARRAZA: I will lodge the
objections that I see fit. So continue.
MR. MUSHKIN: Well, but you do know that there's, like, an article out that that's not a

```
proper objection?
    MS. BARRAZA: You can continue.
    MR. MUSHKIN: Gee, thanks. I consider
that objection to be coaching your witness, just
for the record.
    MS. BARRAZA:That's fine.
    MR. MUSHKIN: It's not a valid, and you
know it.
    MS. BARRAZA:That's fine.
    THE WITNESS: Do you really think I need
coaching, Mr. Mushkin?
    MR. MUSHKIN: What's that?
    THE WITNESS: Do you really think I need
coaching?
    MR. MUSHKIN:Oh, you definitely need
coaching, absolutely.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
    Q So I guess this is just merely language
that was put in here because there's no other
allegation in 14; is that fair? You admit the two
allegations in 14; is that fair?
    A To the extent those are the only
allegations, yes, and the document speaks for
itself.
    Q In paragraph 15, yes, paragraph 15 is
```

the same thing. You admit, but then you say, "As
to the remaining allegations." There's no
allegation other than the lease in paragraph 15 ,
correct, and the consent to lease? Paragraph 15
is true; is that fair Mr. Bloom?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
MR. MUSHKIN: What's the objection?
MS. BARRAZA: Form.
(Reporter clarification.)
MR. MUSHKIN: I didn't hear her. I'm
sorry, Jay.
THE WITNESS: Not important.
Paragraph 15, to the extent that there
are only those two, the allegations would be true.
So it would be admit.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q So let's go to 16. You were aware that
there was a document in the closing package called
Consent to Lease; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And paragraph 2 of the Consent to Lease
contains the language contained in paragraph 16 ;
is that correct?
A To the best of my recollections, without
having the document in front of me to review, yes.
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Q Thank you.
Let's take a look at paragraph 17.
Paragraph 17 is correct; is that also not true?
A Those representations were true at the time and subsequently modified.

Q And the modification was the extension, the forbearance, the Amended Forbearance Agreement; is that correct?

A Together with certain payments to CBCI.
Q Paragraph 18 sets out some of the
provisions that were within the Forbearance
Agreement. Take a minute and read paragraph 18.
A Okay.
Q Anything in paragraph 18 that's not true
as it relates to the original Forbearance
Agreement?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A It's true with relation to the original agreement; however, this does not reflect subsequent modifications.

Q So why did you deny it?
A Because it's not completely
representative of the agreement. It only
represents part of the agreement.
Q Well, it represents the Forbearance

Agreement, doesn't it? You're alleging --
A It represents --
Q Let my finish my question.
Your allegation is that there's
subsequent modifications; correct?
A Are you finished with your question?
Q Yes.
A Yes, there are subsequent modifications
that are incorporated into the Forbearance
Agreement which are not reflected in this question.

Q Think about what you just said,
subsequent modification integrated into the
Forbearance Agreement. The Forbearance Agreement
is at a date certain, and at the end of the
Forbearance Agreement these terms do exist, do they not?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A At the time the Forbearance Agreement was executed, yes.

Q Thank you.
A At the time of the complaint, no. I'm sorry. I wasn't finished answering.

At the time of the complaint, no, these terms would not exist.

representation, I'll accept it to the extent that
I'd qualify it with the pledges do not include SJC, as SJC is not a signatory of the Pledge Agreement.

Q But you don't deny that the language is contained in the Pledge Agreement?

A As discussed earlier, the legacy language is incorporated...
(Reporter clarification.)
A In parts of the Pledge Agreement, omitted in other parts of the Pledge Agreement, and is not present in other agreements that we've discussed during the course of this litigation.

Q So in the next paragraph it talks about "SJC Ventures has done none of the required acts to fulfill its obligations under the operating agreement and pledge agreements." Do you see that?

A I do see that.
Q Do you know what your response was?
A What was the response?
Q Well, your typical "The document speaks for itself," but "To the extent a response is required, counter-defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a
belief as to the truth of the allegations
contained in said paragraph and thereof generally
and specifically deny the allegations contained
therein." I'm sorry. I read you the wrong paragraph.

Answering paragraph 25,
"Counter-defendant denies the allegations and
denies that it was required to act at all under
the Pledge Agreement, as it did not execute the Pledge Agreement."

I understand your response to the Pledge Agreement, but I do not understand your response as to the operating agreement. Is it your testimony that you were not required to act under the operating agreement?

A Paragraph 25 alleges that SJC Ventures has done none of the required acts. I'm denying that allegation.

Q Thank you.
I just have one more to go through, and that's the answer to the counterclaim of CBC.

A I'd just like to enter into the record that we've now passed ten hours into a seven-hour deposition. So I'm hoping when I say you just have one more it is actually just one more.

| page 340 | page 342 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q Just one more. | 1 (The videoconference deposition was |
| 2 Do you see that document? | 2 concluded at 3:11 p.m.) |
| A Ido. |  |
| 4 Q Where is my counterclaim? | 4 |
| 5 A I am without sufficient knowledge or | 5 |
| 6 information to know where your counterclaim is. | 6 |
| 7 Q Oh, I know. | 7 |
| I'm just going to try to cut to the | 8 |
| 9 actual -- Mr. Bloom, is it your testimony that | 9 |
| 10 SHAC has fully performed the Forbearance | 10 |
| 11 Agreement? | 11 |
| 12 A To the extent that it has obligations in | 12 |
| 13 the Forbearance Agreement, those obligations that | 13 |
| 14 actually exist, yes. | 14 |
| 15 Q And is it that you're saying -- your | 15 |
| 16 statement would be the same for SJCV? | 16 |
| 17 A Yes, statement is the same. | 17 |
| 18 Q Now, it's kind of interesting, because | 18 |
| 19 you're the plaintiff in this case and you sued | 19 |
| 20 under these very contracts. You understand that? | 20 |
| 21 A Ido. | 21 |
| 22 Q So by what right do you stay in this | 22 |
| 23 property if not pursuant to the contracts that we | 23 |
| 24 refer to as the closing package? | 24 |
| 25 MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. | 25 |
| A Just because your client breached and | CERTIficate of witness page 343 |
| 2 committed material omissions, that does not negate | 2 page line Change reason |
| 3 the rights that I have under the contract, given | 3 |
| 4 my performance under the contract for -- and when |  |
| 5 I say "my," I mean SJC and SHAC's performance |  |
| under -- its actual obligations under the | ${ }^{6}$ |
| 7 agreements. |  |
| Q And the actual obligations include the |  |
| retirement of this debt, doesn't it? |  |
| 10 A It does not. |  |
| 11 Q It was disclosed in the very beginning | 12 |
| 12 that KCI was the maker of the note; right? | 13 I, Jay Bloom, deponent herein, do hereby |
| 13 A It was represented that -- KCI was one | 14 certify and declare under the penalty of perjury |
| 14 of the makers of the note. | 15 that the within and foregoing transcription, |
| 15 Q Thank you very much. | 16 including my corrections reflected above, is a |
| 16 A It was represented there was a third | 17 true and correct transcription of my testimony |
| 17 mortgage. | 18 contained therein; that I have read, corrected, |
| 18 I'm sorry. I'm not finished with my | 19 and hereby affix my signature to said deposition. |
| 19 answer. | 20 Executed this ___ day of ___ 2020, |
| 20 It was represented as an obligation of | 21 at |
| 21 the Antos Trust, which it turned out not to be the | 22 (City/State) |
| 22 case. There was no third mortgage. | 23 |
| 23 Was that your final question? | 24 |
| 24 MR. MUSHKIN: I'm done. Thank you very | Jay Bloom, Deponent |
| 25 much, Mr. Bloom. | 25 Certificate of reporter |



## EXHIBIT "II"

# NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE 

## FILE NO 20-09-008-FCL <br> DATED: December 11, 2020

On January 5, 2021 at 09:30 AM, Nevada Trust Deed Services, as duly appointed or substituted Trustee under and pursuant to the Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing (the "Deed of Trust") dated December 17, 2014 recorded as Instrument No. 20141229-0002856, and as modified or amended, if applicable, in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada executed by Kenneth M. Antos and Sheila M. Neumann-Antos, Trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos Living Trust dated April 26, 2007, and any amendments thereto in favor of 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company as current beneficiary by reason of now continuing defaut in the payment or performance of obligations secured by said Deed of Trust, including the Notice of Breach and Election to Sell Under Deed of Trust which was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada, by the beneficiary and the undersigned more than three months prior to the date thereof, WILL CAUSE TO BE SOLD AT PUBLIC AUCTION TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER FOR CASH (payable at the time of sale in lawful money of the United States of America) at the front entrance to Nevada Legal News, 930 S. Fourth Street, Las Vegas, NV 89101, all right, title and interest conveyed to and now held by it under said Deed of Trust in the property situated in said County and State described as:

Lot Seven (7) in Block Five (5) of Spanish Hills Estates Unit 5A, as shown by map thereof on file in Book 107, of Plats, Page 58, in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.

Together with any and all improvements, personal property and fixtures located thereon or otherwise described in the Deed of Trust and in any other instruments in favor of the Beneficiary, and all singular tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining, rents, issues and profits thereof.

Commonly known as: 5148 Spanish Heights Dr, Las Vegas, NV 89148, APN: 163-29-615-007
If a street address or common designation of property is shown, no warranty is given as to its completeness or correctness.

Said sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal balance of the obligations secured by said Deed of Trust, to wit: $\$ 2,935,001.14$ together with interest, fees, premiums and charges thereon, as provided in said Secured Promissory Note and related loan documents, advances, if any, under the terms of said Deed of Trust, fees, charges and expenses of Trustee and of the Trusts created by said Deed of Trust.

## NEVADA TRUST DEED SERVICES

By:


Published in Nevada Legal News on the following dates:
December 15, 2020, December 22, 2020, December 29, 2020

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

This instrument was acknowledged before me on December 11, 2020 by Michele Dobar, as Foreclosure Officer of Nevada Trust Deed Services.

Signature


## EXHIBIT "JJ"

NRCP 30(b)(6) for CBC Partners I, LLC
Alan Hallberg Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC, et al. v. CBC Partners I, LLC, et al.

| 1 | DISTRICT COURT Page 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA |
| 3 |  |
|  | SPANISH HEIGHTS ACQUISITION COMPANY, |
| 4 | LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company;) |
|  | SJC VENTURES HOLDING COMPANY, LLC d/b/a ) |
| 5 | SJC VENTURES, LLC, a Delaware Limited |
|  | Liability Company, |
| 6 | ( ) |
|  | Plaintiffs, |
| 7 | ( ) |
|  | vs. ) Case No. |
| 8 | ) $\mathrm{A}-20-813439-\mathrm{B}$ |
|  | CBC PARTNERS I, LLC, a foreign Limited ) |
| 9 | Liability Company; CBC PARTNERS, LLC, a |
|  | foreign Limited Liability Company; 5148 |
| 10 | SPANISH HEIGHTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited |
|  | Liability Company; KENNETH ANTOS AND |
| 11 | SHEILA NEUMANN-ANTOS, as Trustees of the) |
|  | Kenneth \& Sheila Antos Living Trust and |
| 12 | the Kenneth M. Antos \& Sheila M. |
|  | Neumann-Antos Trust; DACIA, LLC, a |
| 13 | foreign Limited Liability Company; DOES |
|  | I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I |
| 14 | through X , inclusive, |
|  | ( ) |
| 15 | Defendants. |
|  | _) |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | DEPOSITION OF ALAN HALLBERG |
| 18 | NRCP 30 (b) (6) FOR CBC PARTNERS I, LLC |
| 19 | Via Videoconference |
| 20 | Taken on Friday, November 6, 2020 |
| 21 | By a Certified Stenographer |
| 22 | At 9:30 a.m. |
| 23 | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| 24 | Reported by: HOLLY LARSEN, CCR 680, CA CSR 12170 |
| 25 | Job No. 42660A |


|  | 2 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | APPEARANCES : | 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 2 | For the Plaintiffs: <br> (Via Videoconference) | 2 |  |
| 3 |  | 3 | THE COURT REPORTER: Good morning. My name is |
|  | MAIER GUTIERREZ \& ASSOCIATES | 4 | Holly Larsen. I am a Nevada Certified Court Reporter |
| 4 | BY: DANIELLE J. BARRAZA, ESQ. 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue | 5 | here on behalf of Oasis Reporting Services. My CCR |
| 5 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 | 6 | number is 680. |
|  | 702.629 .7900 | 7 | Today's date is Friday, November 6, 2020. The |
| 6 | djb@mgalaw.com | 8 | time is approximately 9:30 a.m. This is the deposition |
| 7 |  | 9 | of NRCP 30 (b) (6) for CBC Partners I, LLC, Alan |
| 8 | For Defendants CBC Partners I, LLC; CBC Partners, LLC; 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC; and Dacia LLC: |  | Hallberg, in the matter of Spanish Heights Acquisition |
| 9 | (Via Videoconference) | 11 | Company, LLC, et al., versus CBC Partners I, LLC, et |
| 10 | MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE <br> BY: MICHAEL R. MUSHKIN, ESQ. |  | al., venued in the District Court of the State of |
| 11 | 6070 South Eastern Avenue | 13 | Nevada for the County of Clark, Case Number |
|  | Suite 270 | 14 | A-20-813439-B. |
| 12 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 702.454.3333 | 15 | At this time, I will ask counsel to identify |
| 13 | mmushkin@mccnvlaw.com | 16 | themselves, state whom they represent, and agree on the |
| 14 |  | 17 | record that there is no objection to this deposition |
| 15 |  | 18 | officer administering a binding oath to the witness |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 19 | through remote videoconferencing. If no objection is |
| 18 |  | 20 | stated, we will proceed forward with the agreement of |
| 19 |  | 21 | all counsel. We will begin appearances with the |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | noticing attorney. |
| 22 |  | 23 | MS. BARRAZA: Good morning. Danielle |
| 23 |  |  | Barraza on behalf of the plaintiffs. No objection. |
| 24 |  |  | MR. MUSHKIN: Good morning. Mike Mushkin |
| 25 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 5 |
| 1 | I N D EX | 1 | on behalf of the defendants. No objection. Thank |
| 2 | WITNESS PAGE | 2 | you for recording for us or whatever you're doing. |
| 3 | ALAN HALLBERG |  | Whereupon |
| 4 | Examination by Ms. Barraza 5, 70 | 3 | Whereupon, |
|  | Examination by Mr. Mushkin 67, 72 | 4 | ALAN HALLBERG, |
| 5 |  | 5 | having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, |
| 6 |  | 6 | was examined, and testified as follows: |
| 7 | E X H I B I T S | 7 | was examined, and testied as follows: |
| 8 | NUMBER PAGE | 7 |  |
| 9 | Exhibit 1 Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed 26 | 8 | EXAMINATION |
| 10 | Exhibit $2 \quad$ Secured Promissory Note 18 | 9 | BY MS. BARRAZA: |
| 11 | Exhibit 3 Forbearance Agreement 40 | 10 |  |
| 12 | Exhibit 5 Deed of Trust 28 | 10 | Q. Good morning. Can you please state your |
| 13 | Exhibit $7 \quad$ Note Purchase and Sale 56 | 11 | name and spell your name for the record? |
|  | Agreement | 12 | A. Alan Hallberg. First is A-I-a-n. Last is |
| 14 |  | 13 | H-a-l-l-b-e-r-g. |
|  | Exhibit 8 $\begin{gathered}\text { April 1, 2020, } \\ \text { Correspondence }\end{gathered}$ | 14 | Q. And have you ever had your deposition taken |
| 16 | Exhibit 9 April 3, 2020, 60 | 15 | before? |
|  | Correspondence | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Exhibit 12 Correspondence with 47 | 17 | Q. So I'm just going to kind of go through |
| 18 | Northern Trust | 18 | really quick. I'm sure your counsel already advised |
| 19 | Exhibit 13 Notice of Default 61 | 19 | you, but a little bit of ground rules for this |
| 20 | Exhibit $14 \quad$ Notice of Breach and 63 | 20 | deposition, how it's going to work. The oath you |
|  | Election to Sell Under Deed | 21 | just took is the same exact oath that you would take |
| 21 | of Trust | 21 | just took is the same exact oath that you would take |
| 22 | Exhibit $19 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Responses to First Set of } \\ & \\ & \text { Requests for Production of }\end{aligned}$ | 22 23 | in a court of law. Do you understand that? <br> A. Yes. |
| 23 | Documents |  |  |
| 24 | Exhibit 26 Deposition Notice 8 | 24 | Q. That means you're subject to the same |
| 25 |  | 25 | penalties of perjury just as you would in a court of |

law. Do you understand that?

## A. Yes.

Q. Really important in this deposition that we do not talk over each other. So please wait for me to finish my question before going into your answer, and I'm going to try to wait for you to finish your complete answer before going into my next question. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. There's times where you might say "uh-huh," "uh-uh," shake your head, nod your head. If you do that, I'm just going to ask for a verbal response.
Not trying to be rude, just trying to have a clear record. Okay?
A. Yep.
Q. There's times that your counsel will be
lodging objections throughout the course of this deposition. So unless you're specifically instructed not to answer the question, even if there's an objection, we do still expect you to answer. Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any reason why you won't be able to give me your full, complete, and truthful answers to the questions today?
A. No. No reason.
Q. Can you give me a description of how you
are related to CBC Partners I, LLC?
A. I am the chief credit officer of the
general partnership CBC Partners, LLC, and we manage
the loans of the fund CBC Partners I, LLC.
Q. Okay. How long have you been in that role?
A. Since its founding in 2007. November 2007.
Q. And did you go to college?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go?
A. Georgetown University.
Q. What's your degree in?
A. Bachelor of science.
Q. Any other post-graduate education?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been arrested for any crimes involving dishonesty?
A. No.
Q. When did you first learn about this
deposition?
A. I believe it was last week.
Q. If we could go to Exhibit 26, and tell me
when you have that pulled up.
(Exhibit 26 marked.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. You're looking at a document, Notice of Taking Web-Based Video Deposition of NRCP 30(b)(6)
Deposition of CBC Partners I, LLC. Is that what you're looking at?
A. Yes.
Q. So this is what's called the notice for this deposition that we're in right now. Have you previously reviewed this document?
A. This morning.
Q. Was this morning the first time you ever saw this?
A. Yes.
Q. So I want to turn your attention to page 2 of Exhibit 26. Do you see where it says "Topics"?
A. Yes.
Q. What I want you to do is look through those topics. You apparently looked through it this morning, but if you would look through, again, Topics 1 through 39 and let me know if you are, in fact, the person with the ability to testify as to all these topics.
A. Yes. those topics that you just reviewed?
A. No.
Q. So would you agree with me that you are the person with the most knowledge on behalf of CBC Partners I, LLC, to answer these questions or these topics?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you reviewed any documents in preparation for your deposition testimony today?
A. No.
Q. Did you actually do anything to prepare yourself for answering these topics on Exhibit 26?

## A. No.

Q. Did you speak with your counsel -- I don't want to know the details of any conversation, but did you speak with your counsel about this deposition today?
A. Yes.
Q. Were those conversations all taking place this morning?

## A. No.

Q. When were the other conversations that you had?
Q. At some point either this week or last week, Kenneth Antos was emailing you and it was mortgage documents. When you say "mortgage documents," are you talking about --
A. Statements.
Q. Sorry?
A. Statements.
Q. Mortgage statements. And are you talking about the first mortgage, the second mortgage, or which mortgage?
A. I believe it was the first mortgage that was showing delinquency.
Q. Was there any substantive comments that Kenneth left you in that email, or was he just forwarding you those mortgage statements?
A. Simply forwarding.
Q. Did you respond to that email?
A. No.
Q. Aside from that email you just mentioned, any other conversations you've had with Kenneth Antos since the one you had in March of 2020 over the phone?
A. I don't believe so. Certainly not on the phone. He may have forwarded other emails in the past, but I don't recall. I didn't reply to him.

23 the property, which were -- the payments were
23 the property, which were -- the payments were
24 falling behind. So he had received copies and
forwarded those to me.
Q. And did you review any documents during
that conversation?
A. No.
Q. Have you spoken with either Kenneth or

Sheila Antos regarding this deposition?
A. No.
Q. When was the last time you spoke to Kenneth

Antos?
A. I believe that was in March of this year prior to the sale of our note.
Q. So March of 2020 is when you believe is the last time you spoke to Kenneth Antos; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that conversation over the phone or in person?
A. Phone.
Q. And have you exchanged any kind of email or
text or any other kind of communications with Kenneth Antos since then?
A. Yes. I believe I received an email from

Antos. I think it was either early this week or
A. Yesterday and sometime last week. I don't remember the exact day.
Q. Yesterday's conversation, how long did that conversation with your counsel last?
A. Approximately $\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{2 0}$ minutes.
Q. I just want to confirm during that

## A. Correct.

MR. MUSHKIN: Excuse me, Counsel. You mean
MS. BARRAZA: We can clarify that actually.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Let me ask you this: I assume your counsel
forwarded you along the exhibits for this
eposition?
A. This morning.
Q. So this morning, did you review those
A. No.
Q. Now, you also mentioned talking to your counsel a few weeks ago or last week?
A. Last week. I don't remember the exact day.
Q. That's fine. How long did that
onversation last?
A. Approximately 15 minutes.
such as KCl Investments?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall testifying at that preliminary injunction hearing for this litigation back in May?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall testifying that the only business that CBC Partners I, LLC, had conducted in Nevada was with respect to the origination of the loan to Kenneth Antos' company such as Pacific Restaurant Brands? Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now is that still the case as you sit here
today? Is there any other business that CBC
Partners I, LLC, has conducted in the state of
Nevada aside from that loan associated with Kenneth
Antos?
A. There was a smaller loan that was
outstanding for 12 months with a Las Vegas-based
company. It was repaid on schedule. That, I
believe, was at least five years ago, if not longer.
Q. Did that Las Vegas-based company have anything to do with Kenneth Antos?
A. No.
Q. So I want to get into talking about the
origination of the loan. And when I'm talking about
the loan so that we can kind of shorten it to only
"loan," I'm talking about that loan that was provided to Kenneth Antos' various restaurant companies, Pacific Restaurant Brands. I think there's -- do you recall KCB? Is that another entity or no?
A. No. There's KCI.
Q. That's what I meant. And KCI. So do you understand that to be the underlying loan that's at issue in this litigation?
A. Yes.
Q. So tell me about how that loan first
originated.
A. Several years ago it was brought to us by a
finder. The purpose of the loan was to provide
growth capital to a franchisee of a restaurant brand
who was based in Las Vegas. And he was looking at
growing not only in Las Vegas but in
Southern California.
Q. And do you recall who was that finder?
A. The name is Doug Metz, M-e-t-z.
Q. And prior to this point had CBC Partners I,

LC, ever conducted any kind of business with
such as KCl Investments?
Q. I assume in discussing the concept of providing a loan, CBC Partners I, LLC, conducted some sort of due diligence into these companies?
A. Yes.

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question.

You should answer, please.
THE WITNESS: Yes. We performed due diligence.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. And just, in general, what did that kind of due diligence entail?
A. Review of financial statements, historical financial statements, review of projections, review of assets within those financial statements, review of Ken Antos' personal financial condition including the property.
Q. So when you say you also reviewed -- or CBC Partners I, LLC, also reviewed Ken Antos' personal financial condition including the property, what did it review regarding the property?
A. I believe at the time he had provided us what would then be considered a recent appraisal. So we looked through that. We pulled comps from the neighborhood, so we looked at that.

15
Q. Back when this loan was being originated, did Kenneth Antos reveal that the owner of the property was the Antos trust?
A. I don't recall.
Q. And back when this loan was being originated, did CBC Partners I, LLC, conduct a title check to determine who the property was titled to?

MR. MUSHKIN: I'd like a clarification if I could. You keep referencing when this originated. Are you talking about the original note or the lien on the property itself?

MS. BARRAZA: I'm talking about back -- the original note. So l'm thinking back in the 2012 time frame.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Is that the original note, Mr. Hallberg?
A. Yes.
Q. So back in this original note time frame in 2012, did CBC Partners I, LLC, conduct any kind of title check regarding the property to determine who the owner was?
A. No. Any title check would have been performed by our outside counsel in Seattle at the time that we took a security interest in the property.
1
modifications to that secured promissory note.

If you could look at the bottom right-hand side of the first page of Exhibit 2, do you see how it says 5148 SH ?
A. Yes.
Q. Then it says 000594 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So throughout this deposition, when

I talk about page numbers, I'm going to be referring to those Bates stamps at the bottom right. Okay?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. And so looking at page 594 on Exhibit 2, is this, to your knowledge, a true and accurate copy of the original, the first security note?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And this security note was
between KCI Investments, LLC, as the borrower and CBC Partners I, LLC, as the lender; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It appears from the face of this document the original loan amount was 300,000; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if we turn to -- actually, I want to turn to page 609 on Exhibit 2. Tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. I want to make sure we're looking at this.

It appears to be a signature page of that secured promissory note. Is that your understanding?
Q. I'm sorry. I missed the last part of what
A. Yes.
Q. It looks like Ken Antos signed on behalf of KCl Investments. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It looks like John Otter signed on behalf of CBC Partners I, LLC. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me who John Otter is.
A. He's the managing partner of CBC Partners.
Q. And is he still the managing partner?
A. Yes.
Q. Was John Otter the main representative on behalf of CBC Partners I, LLC, who was working on this loan with the Antos companies and working on this note?
A. No. I was.
Q. So you were personally involved, including back then in 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. I do want to go to page 612 on Exhibit 2. Tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. It looks like this is the first
modification to the secured promissory note. Is that your understanding?
( 21
A. Yes.
Q. And is it your understanding this note went
through several modifications over the course of years?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Now, if we could go to 629 of Exhibit 2.

Tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. This appears to be an acknowledgement and agreement of guarantors. What do you understand this document to be?
A. As it clearly states it's an acknowledgement by the guarantors there was a loan modification.
Q. Is it CBC Partners I, LLC's understanding that the personal guarantors were Kenneth Antos and Sheila Antos for this loan?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there any other guarantors for this loan?
A. I believe initially there was another guarantor, but he did not continue with the loan. The primary guarantors were Kenneth and Sheila

## Antos.

Q. So you believe there was another individual guarantor?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if you could just tell me in general how do these various modifications come about? Was this a situation of Mr. Antos approaching CBC
Partners I, LLC, asking for a modification, or how did this come about?
A. Usually he was asking for additional money to fund the growth. And then he would approach us and ask for extensions of maturity date because he wasn't quite ready to pay the loan.
Q. I assume throughout the course of the years, as further modifications were granted, CBC
Partners I, LLC, was in agreement with providing
those additional funds in exchange for these additional modifications to the note; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I'd like to get into at some point in
time were there discussions about $C B C$ Partners I, LLC, obtaining a deed of trust on the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about how those conversations came

```
about.
```

A. I don't recall all of the details.

Initially on the loan we had an assignment of a stream of payments due Mr. Antos. Those went away. They were already settled and we asked for replacement collateral, and that replacement collateral was a third position on the property.
Q. What I'm trying to figure out is the
additional collateral, the property, was that
something Kenneth Antos had offered up, or was that
something CBC Partners I brought up on its own as wanting to take that additional collateral?
A. We brought it up. He agreed.
Q. Okay. And do you recall approximately when those conversations took place?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Would those conversations have taken place
in person or over the phone or email?
A. Most likely over the phone.
Q. Has CBC Partners I, LLC -- let me start
with this question: Did you email Kenneth Antos back in the time frame of 2012 through 2014 regarding the note?
A. Probably. That's eight years ago. I'm sure Ken Antos and I had communication back then.
Q. Has CBC Partners I, LLC, gone through its emails with Kenneth Antos since the commencement of this litigation?
A. No.
Q. Are there potentially emails out there between CBC Partners I and Kenneth Antos regarding discussing the possibility of CBC Partners I, LLC, obtaining that deed of trust on the property?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. Vague and ambiguous.

Please answer if you can.
THE WITNESS: I believe most of the communication regarding this deed of trust was verbal. It was telephonic. There may have been some emails, but most of the negotiation was telephonic.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. And so what we would ask is that CBC Partners I, LLC, goes through and looks at its prior emails. And if it uncovers any emails with Kenneth Antos specifically regarding the deed of trust and the discussions about CBC Partners I obtaining a deed of trust on the property, we would ask that those be produced. Is that something that's doable?
A. I would defer to counsel on that.

MR. MUSHKIN: I don't see a problem with that. I don't need to have this on the record.
(A discussion was held off the record.) BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. You mentioned you had various discussions with Kenneth Antos regarding CBC Partners I, LLC, wanting to take a deed of trust over the property as additional collateral. Was anybody else involved in these discussions?
A. The original finder, Doug Metz, may have participated telephonically to the best of my recollection.
Q. Do you recall Kenneth Antos having any kind of legal counsel during those specific discussions?
A. Not on the call, no.
Q. At any point during those discussions?
A. No. My discussions were with Ken. He did not have counsel on the phone with him.
Q. Going back to this Exhibit 2 and the secured promissory note documents and the various modifications, who drafted those documents?
A. The outside counsel for CBC which is Lane Powell based in Seattle.
Q. And does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any recollection of Kenneth Antos or any representative
of the borrower making any kind of changes or proposing any kind of changes to the security promissory note or any of its modifications?
A. I don't recall.
Q. I want to turn to Exhibit 5. I do
apologize. I do want to turn to Exhibit 1. Tell me whenever you're there.
(Exhibit 1 marked.)
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Exhibit 1 says "Grant Bargain Sale Deed" at the top. Do you see that?
A. Yes.

MR. MUSHKIN: Bates numbers PLTFS 00642 for purposes of identification.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So I'll represent to you this is a recorded copy of the grant, bargain, and sale deed with respect to the property. It indicates that Kenneth Antos and Sheila Antos, as joint tenants, for valuable consideration are conveying the property to their trust. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. This was recorded -- you can look at the top right-hand corner -- in October of 2010. Do you
see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any reason
to dispute that during the time that it has
conducted business with Kenneth Antos and his companies, the property -- during that time period, the property was owned by the Antos Trust and not Kenneth Antos and Sheila Antos individually; is that correct?

MR. MUSHKIN: To the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, I object.

Mr. Hallberg, you can answer the question if you can.

THE WITNESS: For documentation, especially with respect -- especially with documents pertaining to the property, we relied heavily on advice from our external counsel in Seattle, Lane Powell. Being asked for specific information regarding whether these documents are good or not, you know, again, I'm not an attorney.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Let me phrase it this way. Does CBC

Partners I, LLC, have any reason to dispute, as it sits here today, that the Antos Trust owned the property as of October of 2010? Any reason to
dispute that?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Going back to Exhibit 2, if you can, the secured promissory note, those documents. Tell me whenever you're there.
A. I'm there.
Q. In either this original secured promissory
note on page 594 or in any of the modifications thereto that follow on these pages, was the Antos
Trust listed as a borrower on any of those documents?
A. I don't recall.
Q. And was the Antos Trust listed as -- do you have any recollection of the Antos Trust being listed as a guarantor on the note?
A. I don't have a recollection, no.
Q. I do want to go back to Exhibit 5. Tell me whenever you're there.
(Exhibit 5 marked.)
THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. I just want to make sure what we're looking at -- it says, Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement, and Fixture Filing. Is that what you're looking at?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you understand this document to be?
A. A deed of trust drafted by outside counsel.
Q. When you're talking about outside counsel, you're talking about CBC Partners I, LLC's outside counsel?
A. Yes.
Q. This is the deed of trust that CBC understands that it acquired against the property; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Was there any specific reason why CBC Partners I, LLC, did not want to take a deed of trust in the first place originally back when this note was issued?
A. As I mentioned earlier, Mr. Antos had another piece of collateral we had taken position in. That ended up being sold, and the proceeds of that collateral were not applied to the loan. So we asked for additional collateral or replacement collateral which is the house.
Q. That loan that you're talking about, the original loan, it was a commercial business loan for
the purpose of his various restaurant entities?
A. Yes.
Q. I just want to make sure we're clear for
the record. This deed of trust was not for the purpose of CBC Partners I, LLC, providing any funds to Kenneth Antos or any of his entities so that they could purchase the property; is that correct?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. Vague and ambiguous. He's wrinkling his brow too. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Go ahead.
A. Can you please repeat the question?
Q. Sure. Is it correct that the purpose of

CBC Partners I, LLC, obtaining this deed of trust was not for providing -- was not to help facilitate Kenneth Antos to purchase the property? Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Because the Antos Trust had already owned the property long before this deed of trust came about; is that correct?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Prior to this deed of trust coming about, did CBC Partners I, LLC, conduct any due diligence
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as to any other deeds of trust that had already been recorded against the property?
A. Yes. That would have been done by outside counsel.
Q. At the time this deed of trust was recorded in 2014, did CBC have an understanding and a belief that it was obtaining a third position deed of trust against the property?
A. Yes.
Q. When CBC Partners I, LLC, obtained this deed of trust, did it ever go back and amend the note to provide that the Antos Trust would be a borrower under the note?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion.

You may answer.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Okay. No recollection of that. I do want to go through some of the language in this note. If you look at the first paragraph, I actually just want you to read that first sentence to yourself.

MR. MUSHKIN: Which exhibit, Counsel?
MS. BARRAZA: Sorry?
MR. MUSHKIN: Which exhibit?

MS. BARRAZA: Sorry. I'm talking about Exhibit 5.

MR. MUSHKIN: That's not a note. It's a deed of trust.

MS. BARRAZA: I'm sorry. I thought it said deed of trust.

MR. MUSHKIN: That's all right. I thought -- you just confused me. I thought you were doing it on purpose just to shake me up to make sure I'm paying attention.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. If you could look at Exhibit 5, that first paragraph is actually one very long sentence. If you could just read that to yourself where it starts saying "This deed of trust, assignment of rent," and tell me when you're done reading it.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see how in that first paragraph of Exhibit 5 the term "trustor" is a defined term and that term means the Kenneth Antos and Sheila Neumann-Antos Living Trust dated April 26, 2007? Do you understand that the Antos Trust is defined as the trustor?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to go to the second paragraph of

Exhibit 5 where it states "For good and valuable consideration trustor hereby jointly and severally irrevocably grants, bargains, sells, transfers, and assigns to trustee," and it goes on. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So is it CBC Partners I, LLC's
understanding that this language is the trustor granting CBC Partners I, LLC, a deed of trust with the power to sell the property; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, what good and valuable consideration did the Antos Trust receive in exchange for providing this deed of trust to CBC Partners I, LLC?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Asked and answered. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. You can answer it.
A. As I said before, the original consideration was providing a loan to the companies controlled by Ken Antos. We asked for a replacement collateral, and this was it.
Q. So was any additional consideration provided separately to the Antos Trust in addition -- not in addition, but in exchange for
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this deed of trust being provided?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. I do want to go to another page of this
document. Page 927 on Exhibit 5, tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see how it says near the bottom,
"For the purpose of securing"?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to read a little bit of this. It
says, "For the purpose of securing, 1, the payment of any and all amounts, collectively the guarantied obligations, due and owing by trustor under that certain guaranty from Kenneth Antos and Sheila Antos dated June 22, 2012, in favor of beneficiary." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So what amounts were actually due and owing by the Antos Trust?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know where to go with this.

MR. MUSHKIN: Do you understand what she's asking?

## THE WITNESS: No.

BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. That's fine. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any knowledge of the Antos Trust owing any money under the guaranty from that promissory note?
A. I don't recall how the trust was handled in the guaranty documents.
Q. So as CBC Partners I, LLC, sits here today, does it have any kind of knowledge of the Antos Trust being listed anywhere in the guaranty documents?
A. I do not recall.
Q. And as CBC Partners I, LLC, sits here today, does it have any knowledge of the Antos Trust owing any kind of money with respect to the guaranty?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Lacks foundation.
THE WITNESS: The line of questioning is pretty confusing. The guaranty supports the borrower which was the restaurant entity. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So the guaranty had nothing to do with the Antos Trust; correct?

MR. MUSHKIN: Same objection. Lacks foundation. When?

BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any knowledge of the guaranty on the security note involving the Antos Trust owing any kind of money to CBC Partners I, LLC?

MR. MUSHKIN: Same objection. Objection to form of the question.

Counsel, are you asking if the trust as a party is on the note?

MS. BARRAZA: I was actually asking for --
MR. MUSHKIN: We'll stipulate that the trust is not a maker of the note.

MS. BARRAZA: So the trust is not a maker of the note.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any knowledge of the trust being a guarantor under the note?

MR. MUSHKIN: Same thing. Foundation as to when?

MS. BARRAZA: As to any point in time.
MR. MUSHKIN: Now I know what you're talking about. That happens --

MS. BARRAZA: That's not for you to answer. That's for --

MR. MUSHKIN: No. I'm just going to the document because I saw it in here. That's all.

THE WITNESS: Pardon me, but you're asking me what's on a document that you already possess. So, no, I don't recall. As I said in the beginning of the deposition, I have not reviewed these documents. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Okay. So what I'm entitled to do at this deposition is question your recollection irrespective of what the documents say. It's okay if you don't recall. I just need you to answer that if that's the reality --

## A. I don't recall.

Q. When the time came for discussions about this deed of trust, CBC Partners I, LLC's counsel would have done a title check on the property; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, were any -- would those documents have been saved to any files that CBC Partners I, LLC, has regarding that title check?
A. I don't recall.
Q. So we just ask, if there were any documents saved during that time with respect to a title check
and any communications about that title check, that they be produced.

MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, just in an attempt to help in that regard, in these documents, there is the title -- there it is -- at 675 is the loan policy of title insurance. So I think the trail on those documents would lead back to First American Title Insurance Company. It was done through outside counsel. To the extent I can help you,
there's where that all goes. That's an Alta loan policy on this.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Going back to Exhibit 2, if you can tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. As CBC Partners I, LLC, sits here today, does it have any recollection of the Antos Trust signing off on any of the modifications to the note?
A. I can't recall.
Q. Now, at some point was Kenneth Antos and his associated entities, were they at some point defaulting on the note with CBC Partners I, LLC?
A. Yes.
Q. When did that take place?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall the approximate year?
A. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I would have to look at my files.
Q. And what action did CBC Partners I, LLC, take as a result of Kenneth Antos' business entities defaulting on that note?
A. We were pushing him to sell the house basically.
Q. And did CBC Partners I, LLC, understand that Kenneth Antos and his wife, they lived at that property; is that correct?

## A. Yes.

Q. When you say they were pushing him to sell the house, were letters sent, or how was CBC Partners I, LLC, pushing him to sell the house?
A. I believe we had a notice of default sent to him, and there were several telephonic conversations regarding what to do with the house.
Q. Was CBC Partners I, LLC, actively involved in attempting to sell the property?
A. No.
Q. Was CBC Partners I, LLC, involved in trying to find potential buyers for the property or no?
A. No.
Q. How long was CBC Partners I, LLC, trying to
push Kenneth Antos to sell the property?
A. I don't recall the exact amount of time.

## Maybe a year or two.

Q. At some point did Kenneth Antos represent that he found a potential purchaser for the property?
A. At a couple of points he said there were maybe one or two interested parties in the property.
I think it was through a listing agent.
Q. At some point did those turn into substantive discussions involving CBC Partners I, LLC, and a potential purchaser?
A. No. We stayed out of any purchase and sale discussions.
Q. If we could turn to Exhibit 3. Tell me whenever you're there.

## A. Okay.

(Exhibit 3 marked.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Does it say "Forbearance Agreement" at the top?
A. Yes.
Q. And what do you understand this forbearance agreement to be?
A. Essentially enables Jay Bloom to come into
the house, live there, pay us some consideration for that, and we forbear for an agreed period of time.

Meanwhile, Mr. Bloom indicated he had sources of liquidity that would most likely retire our note plus the other notes on the property.
Q. Now, this is dated September 2017. Does that sound right to you?
A. Yes.

MR. MUSHKIN: Can you give a Bates number, please?
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Page 1 of Exhibit 3. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Who drafted this forbearance agreement?
A. Vernon Nelson.
Q. Who is he?
A. An attorney in Las Vegas.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, have any
involvement in drafting or editing this forbearance agreement?
A. I assume we had made some comments, but most of the drafting was done by Vernon.
Q. Is CBC Partners I, LLC, contending that it has ever held any other deeds of trust in the state of Nevada aside from this deed of trust from this
A. I'm not aware of any other deeds of trust
in the state of Nevada.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, have any
discussions with Jay Bloom regarding this
forbearance agreement back when it was being
drafted?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about those.
A. From a high level, it's as I just
described. He came to us, said he had sources of
liquidity including a fairly sizable judgment. He
could live in the house, contribute to some of the
expenses, and then that liquidity would retire our
position as well as the other positions of the
house.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any
recollection of the time period that this
forbearance agreement was being discussed to the
time that it was actually executed, how much time
had passed?
A. Approximately two to three months is my
recollection.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC -- during the
course of its discussions with Jay Bloom, did it
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A. I'm not aware of any other deeds of trust in the state of Nevada.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, have any
discussions with Jay Bloom regarding this forbearance agreement back when it was being drafted?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about those.
A. From a high level, it's as I just
described. He came to us, said he had sources of
liquidity including a fairly sizable judgment. He
could live in the house, contribute to some of the
expenses, and then that liquidity would retire our
position as well as the other positions of the
ouse.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any
recollection of the time period that this
time that it was actually executed, how much time had passed?
A. Approximately two to three months is my recollection.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC -- during the course of its discussions with Jay Bloom, did it
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    represent that it held a valid deed of trust against
the property with the power to sell the property?
    MR. MUSHKIN: Calls for a legal conclusion.
I object.
    You can certainly answer.
    THE WITNESS: My answer would be we
represented we had a third position on the property.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. Now, at some point in this forbearance
    agreement and with its associated documents, was
    there discussion of CBC Partners I, LLC, obtaining a
    share in Spanish Heights Acquisition Company?
    A. Originally, yes.
    Q. Tell me about that.
    A. Mr. Bloom had assumed that he and his
    entities would have a third, Antos would have a
    third, and CBC I would have a third. I explained to
    Mr. Bloom we could not be an owner and a creditor,
    so we resigned.
    Q. Is there a reason why CBC Partners I, LLC,
    did not seek to regain its membership interest in
    Spanish Heights Acquisition Company after the
    property was transferred from the Antos Trust to
    Spanish Heights Acquisition Company?
    MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So why did CBC Partners I, LLC, not seek to regain its membership in Spanish Heights Acquisition
Company after the property had already been
transferred --
MR. MUSHKIN: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: I thought I explained the answer clearly before. We're a creditor. We're not an attorney.

MR. MUSHKIN: Mind if I interject one question, Danielle?

MS. BARRAZA: Sure.
MR. MUSHKIN: Mr. Hallberg, were you ever asked -- before you were made a member, did somebody say to you, you know, sign this document. I'm going to be a member of SHAC?

THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase the question, Mike?

MR. MUSHKIN: Sure. Anybody tell you they were going to make you a member of SHAC?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. MUSHKIN: How did that come about?

THE WITNESS: I think through the original drafting of the documents. I believe Mr. Bloom had had some input into the original structuring of the deal. You know, once I saw the draft and it listed us as having a third of that, I said, No, we can't do that. So that was -- I believe -- again, this is the best of my recollection -- that Mr. Bloom had already formed the LLC and had us as a third owner, and that's when I told him, No, no, no. We need to resign.

MR. MUSHKIN: Thank you. What I was trying to ask is did anybody ask you in advance before you saw it, and I think you answered that.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. What is CBC Partners I, LLC, understanding
of why it can't be a lender and an owner?
A. Lender liability.
Q. Now, does CBC Partners I, LLC, recall that associated with this forbearance agreement there was what's called a pledge agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about what that was.
A. My understanding is the pledge agreement pledges the owner interest in SHAC to CBC. And to
the extent the forbearance agreement is in default, that eventual payment is not made to retire our note, we call the pledge and take over ownership with SHAC.
Q. Do you recall testifying at the preliminary injunction hearing that you did not see that SJC Ventures, LLC, was a signatory to that pledge agreement?
A. That's correct.
Q. And do you have any testimony otherwise today as you sit here today?
A. No.

MS. BARRAZA: I'm going to take a
five-minute break if I can, and then we'll come back.
(A break was taken.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. With respect to this forbearance agreement on Exhibit 3, what were CBC Partners I, LLC's obligations?
A. I don't remember all of them. I know the primary obligations involve the payment to the first and second mortgages.
Q. At some point the forbearance agreement was amended. Do you recall that?

\section*{A. It was extended.}
Q. It looks like -- if you want to turn to

Exhibit 4, tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. Is this what you recognize to be on

Exhibit 4, the amendments extending the forbearance term?
A. Yes.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, perform all of its
obligations with respect to making those payments to
the first and second mortgage?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. If we could turn to Exhibit 12 and tell me whenever you're there.
(Exhibit 12 marked.)
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Exhibit 12, I'll represent to you, has been disclosed by the plaintiffs as an email between Jay Bloom and a representative of Northern Trust Company.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever looked at this email before?
A. No.
Q. I'll let you look through that first page,

261, and tell me whenever you're done.
A. I'm done.
Q. It looks like this email on 261 is Northern Trust claiming that there's an outstanding bill to cure the January, February, March, and April 2020 past due bills. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any reason to dispute that those bills did become outstanding in those dates referenced?
A. No reason, no.
Q. And has CBC Partners I, LLC, since made those payments?
A. We sold the note in early April, and we disclosed at the time we sold the note that there were payments owing on this mortgage.
Q. So is it correct that CBC Partners I, LLC, did not make those payments for January, February, March, April 2020?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: Prior to the sale of the note, it was clear that those needed to be paid, and that was discussed with the buyer. So it was our assumption that the buyer would take care of it.
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BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So I understand it's CBC Partners I, LLC's position that it was agreed, everybody was on the same page that the buyer would purchase it. I just want to clarify for the record that CBC Partners I, LLC, is not the entity that made those payments; correct?
A. Correct.

MR. MUSHKIN: I want to make the same objection. To the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, I'll object. I want to just reference the transfer document because I believe it may address that.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Now I want to go to the forbearance agreement. Did Spanish Heights Acquisition Company ever make any kind of payments to CBC Partners I, LLC?
A. Yes.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have records of those payment transactions?
A. Yes. I believe we provided that to counsel.
Q. And have all of those transactions been produced in this litigation?
A. I would defer to counsel. I provided everything to Mike Mushkin.

MS. BARRAZA: We'll just ask, to the extent there's any outstanding transactions that have not been produced, that those be produced.

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. You mean any evidence of those transactions is what you want produced; correct?

MS. BARRAZA: If there is any evidence of
any transactions between CBC and SHAC, we would want those transactions to be produced.

MR. MUSHKIN: Thank you for the
clarification.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So tell me about CBC Partners I, LLC's
position as to what happened following the execution of this amended forbearance agreement.

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. Vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: What do you mean our "position"?
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So was the forbearance agreement followed, or what happened with it?
A. It basically matured. We extended it out
to the end of March, and Mr. Bloom indicated he would not have liquidity to retire our note by that maturity date. So our position was, well, we could either, you know, enforce or sell. And we chose to sell the note.
Q. So tell me about that. Tell me about how the decision to sell the note came about.
A. I was approached by Mike Mushkin through

Mr. Antos. Mike indicated if we were willing to sell, and we said yes. And we negotiated, and we sold.
Q. And you negotiated with who?
A. Mike Mushkin.
Q. With anybody else?
A. No.
Q. And who did CBC Partners I, LLC, understand it was selling its note to?
A. Mike Mushkin and/or the entity he was controlling.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, conduct any kind of due diligence into that entity?
A. No.
Q. As you sit here today, do you know the name of that entity?
A. I've heard it in the past. I don't recall
it.
Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that the name of that entity is 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC?
A. It sounds familiar, yes.
Q. So tell me about how these conversations
went with respect to Mr. Mushkin offering to
purchase the property -- not the property, the note.
A. I believe he originally approached

Mr. Antos, and then Ken referred Mr. Mushkin to me. And he indicated -- asking what our position was.
Do we want to continue on, or would we be willing to sell our position? I said we would be willing to sell our position. And at that point we started negotiating what that would look like in terms of price.
Q. And what price was agreed upon?
A. I don't have it in front of me. I'm sorry.

I don't recall.
Q. The approximate price?
A. I think that document's been provided, the purchase and sale agreement. I think in the 3-plus million range.
Q. CBC Partners I, LLC, did it obtain that 3 million range or so? Did it obtain that money from 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC?
on page 3 of Exhibit 19. Tell me whenever you're there.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if we can look at Request Number 2.

It's asking for a copy of the payment, wire, check, or other for the purported purchase of Antos note. That's exactly what we're asking for. We're asking for that wire transfer you just mentioned.

It looks like your answer was "CBC is in the process of obtaining documents responsive to this request." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What process has CBC Partners I, LLC, conducted?
A. Just going through our accounting records.
Q. Has it gone through those accounting records, and has it obtained that wire transfer?
A. Yes. We have that.
Q. And has that been produced in this litigation?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Is there any reason why it can't be produced in this litigation?

MR. MUSHKIN: Can I answer that question, please?

\section*{55}

MS. BARRAZA: Sure.
MR. MUSHKIN: So I also have done the same thing on the other end of that wire and I have it today. I think Karen is going to lodge it with you. We wanted to try and get them together. In this world of electronic transfers, getting an actual document is not the easiest thing in the world to do.

I actually think that Mr. Hallberg is
referencing their internal document that
acknowledges that they got it, not what you're actually asking for, which I went out and got on my end. And I will ultimately, if you still want it after receiving mine, ask him to go -- you get a little declaration from the -- mine is from the sending bank. His is from the receiving bank. I'd never known how to do this before today. That's the only reason I'm interrupting is because it was a whole process.

MS. BARRAZA: Yeah. I mean, if there's also any internal kind of records evidencing that transfer, we would like it.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. We can turn to the purchase and sale agreement. That's Exhibit 7. Tell me whenever
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you're there.

\section*{A. Okay.}
(Exhibit 7 marked.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Now, this Exhibit 7 is titled "Note

Purchase and Sale Agreement." Have you even it before?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me what CBC Partners I, LLC's understanding of what this document is.

\section*{A. We are selling our position in the} property.
Q. Now, is this -- does CBC Partners I, LLC, understand it's selling the underlying note?

\section*{A. Yes.}

MR. MUSHKIN: To the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion, I object. I'll actually also add your objection, that the document speaks for itself, although I never make that objection. But I want to do it once today.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. If we look at Section \(B\) on the recitals on page 953 , it mentions the secured promissory note dated June 22, 2012, and the ten modifications?
A. Yes.
Q. It says, Excluding that certain severed note in the amount of \(\$ 15,000\). Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that severed note again with the \(\$ 15,000\) ? What was that about?
A. I believe it dealt more with the restaurants.
Q. Is it CBC Partners I, LLC's position that, aside from that severed note, it was transferring the secured promissory note and all the loan modifications to 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Who drafted this note purchase and sale agreement?
A. I believe Mr. Mushkin.
Q. And did -- who is the point person from CBC

Partners I, LLC, working with Mr. Mushkin on this specific agreement, Exhibit 7?

\section*{A. I was the point person.}
Q. And did you -- on behalf of CBC, did you
have any edits or revisions to the note purchase and sale agreement that Mr. Mushkin had drafted?
A. No.
Q. Was anybody else involved in this note purchase and sale agreement?
```

A. Conceptually, John Otter, my partner, as
well as the CBC board. But they did not review this
agreement during its negotiation.
Q. Now, it looks like this Exhibit 7 is dated
April 1, 2020. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It looks like it was signed on page 961.
Do you see that?

```

\section*{A. Yes.}
```

MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, you're referring -961 is Exhibit B.
THE WITNESS: 959.
MS. BARRAZA: Thank you. 959.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So it looks like the agreement is dated
April 1st, and it was signed by both parties on
April 3rd; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. After CBC Partners I, LLC, sold its notes, did it have any other interest in the property?
A. No.
Q. Now, if we could turn to Exhibit 8, and tell me whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
(Exhibit 8 marked.)

```

BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Have you ever seen this Exhibit 8 before?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. What do you understand this document to be?
A. It's a notice of default.
Q. It looks like it's saying this letter shall
serve as notice that on April 15, 2020, CBC
Partners I, LLC, will exercise its right under the
pledge agreement by transferring the pledge
collateral. Do you see that on the second paragraph?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, at the time this document, this
letter, was sent on April 1, 2020, had CBC Partners already sold its note?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Asked and answered I believe.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe we had, yes. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. You believe you had. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, authorize this notice of default going out?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 9. Tell me whenever you're there.
A. Yes.
(Exhibit 9 marked.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. This document appears to be a notice for SJC Ventures, LLC, to vacate the property. Is that your understanding of what this is?
A. Yes.
Q. This is dated April 3, 2020. It looks like

CBC Partners I, LLC, was cc'd on this. Did CBC
Partners I, LLC, authorize this notice to vacate being sent out?
A. Yes.
Q. Why was it still taking actions with respect to the property after it had already sold its note?
A. We still had the provision that we're held to via the purchase and sale agreement. So we still have some responsibility with this transaction.
Q. You're stating here today that CBC

Partners I, LLC, authorized this April 3, 2020,
letter being sent out?
A. Yes.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC -- is it still responsible for servicing the note?
A. No.
Q. Going back briefly to the -- I think it was
A. Yes. I believe so.
Q. If we could go to Exhibit 13. Tell me whenever you're there.

\section*{A. Okay.}
(Exhibit 13 marked.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Have you ever seen Exhibit 13 before?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. So you can look through it. It appears to be a notice of default. On the first paragraph, it looks like it says, Your loan with CBC Partners I, LLC, is in default. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see how it says, Because of this, CBC Partners I, LLC, at its option without further demand may invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by Nevada law? Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see this is dated July 2,2020 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, believe it has
any -- does it believe it currently has any powers
to sell the property?
MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. You can answer.
A. No. We believe we sold the loan in April of 2020. So the holder of that note has the ability to do this, not CBC Partners I.
Q. Okay. So is it CBC Partners I, LLC's
position that as of the date of this letter, July 2,
2020, it did not have the power to sell the property?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. Vague and ambiguous. Asked and answered. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. You can answer.
A. Yes. That's my assumption that we did not have the ability to force a sale on July 2nd.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, personally
authorize this July 2, 2020, correspondence being sent out?
A. No.
Q. I want to go to Exhibit 14. Tell me
whenever you're there.
A. Okay.
(Exhibit 14 marked.)
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. I assume you haven't, but have you ever seen this Exhibit 14 before?

\section*{A. No.}
Q. Do you have any idea what this document is without looking at it at length?
A. No.
Q. Before we go to CBC Partners, LLC's
testimony, I want to get your testimony as to what is CBC Partners I, LLC's relationship with CBC Partners, LLC?
A. CBC Partners, LLC, is the general partner and manager of the fund CBC Partners I, LLC.
Q. Has CBC Partners, LLC, been involved in any
of the underlying secured promissory note documents?
A. Yes. The credit committee and the board of directors of the manager is at CBC Partners, LLC.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any personal
knowledge of CBC Partners, LLC, being a signatory to any of the underlying promissory note documents?
A. Not to my knowledge. I assume these are all signed on behalf of the lender of record, CBC

\section*{Partners I, LLC.}
Q. Setting aside what the documents may state,
has CBC Partners I, LLC, had any conversations with Kenneth Antos regarding the doctrine of merger?

\section*{A. No.}
Q. And has CBC Partners I, LLC, had any
conversations with Kenneth Antos or with Spanish
Heights Acquisition Company regarding the one action rule?
A. No.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, service any of the other mortgages on the property?
A. No.

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the question. You don't mean payment. You mean service in -- I'm actually going to go back. I'm not sure what you mean by "service."

MS. BARRAZA: That's fine. We can just strike that. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Tell me about CBC Partners I, LLC's history of paying any HOA payments associated with the property.
A. It was the responsibility of Mr. Bloom to make sure that those payments were made. We did get
met
a notice of intent to sell the property by the HOA because of unpaid HOA dues. I discussed the issue with Mr. Bloom. He said he would pay. He did not. We got to within a day or two of the deadline, and this CBC ended up making that payment.
Q. Tell me about any history that CBC

Partners I, LLC, has with paying any kind of insurance on the property.
A. I believe that was for the account of Mr. Bloom, not for CBC.
Q. CBC Partners I, LLC, do they have any personal knowledge of any video footage being taken regarding the property?
A. No.
Q. Has CBC Partners I, LLC, engaged in any kind of communications with the HOA regarding the property?

\section*{A. No.}
Q. And did CBC Partners I, LLC, hire an inspector to conduct a report regarding the condition of the property earlier this year?
A. I believe that was done by Mr. Mushkin.
Q. Did CBC Partners I, LLC, pay for that report?
A. No.
inspector?
A. No.
Q. Does CBC Partners I, LLC, have any input on the details of that report?
A. No.

MS. BARRAZA: I think I'm almost done. I
just want to go off for two minutes to verify.
Then --
MR. MUSHKIN: Can I ask a few questions before you go off? Like three or four real quick?

MS. BARRAZA: If you can just do yours when I'm done.

MR. MUSHKIN: I thought you were done.
MS. BARRAZA: I'm saying I want to go off for two minutes to verify I don't have anything else. At that point l'll verify if I do or if I don't. Then we can do yours if you guys are fine rolling right into CBC Partners I, LLC, after that.

MR. MUSHKIN: You did Partners I.
MS. BARRAZA: Just CBC Partners, LLC.
MR. MUSHKIN: I just have a few questions. Very short.

MS. BARRAZA: I'll be back in two minutes.
Thanks.
(A break was taken.)
MS. BARRAZA: I'm concluding with my questions for today. However, with respect to the fact that we still have not received the evidence of the transfer, we are reserving our right to recall this deposition with respect to documents that we have requested that we still have not received.

With that in mind, Mr. Mushkin, you can go ahead and do any questions that you have.

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q. Alan, did you ever discuss the doctrine of merger with Mr. Bloom?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever discuss the doctrine of merger with Mr. Antos?
A. No.
Q. Had you ever heard of the doctrine of merger before this case?
A. No.
Q. At the time that the pledge agreement was executed, did you believe that you were getting a hundred percent of the membership interest in SHAC as collateral for the forbearance agreement?
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\section*{A. Yes. Mr. Bloom and I had that discussion} during the negotiations where he indicated, if the liquidity doesn't come through for him, it's very simple. We enforce our rights, and we have the pledge of the membership interest in SHAC, and we basically take over the property.
Q. And were you offered a security interest in the judgment that's described in the document as additional collateral for Mr. Bloom's performance?
A. Yes. I believe so.
Q. Do you believe that you disclosed all of the note and amendment terms to Mr. Bloom before he entered into the forbearance agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there any information that Mr. Bloom asked you to produce for him that you did not produce?
A. No.
Q. Does CBC continue to assist in the collection of the note and deed of trust?
A. Only insofar as we're living up to our indemnification provision and here in this deposition.
Q. When Ms. Barraza asked you about servicing the note, do you know what she meant by that? What
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did you think she meant by "servicing the note"?
A. In its industry accepted terminology as the payment and collection agent for a mortgage, we are not acting as such.
Q. But in regards to all things regarding the
note and its collection, in terms of the
foreclosure, you are assisting as you are requested; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there's a lot of stuff about these notices. The note is between CBC I and the parties to the note; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And nowhere does the note say 5148 as the maker of the note, does it?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. So the note is properly referenced in terms of who the maker of the note is. Is that fair? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q. Now, on April 1st the documents were ready -- the testimony you earlier gave is that the documents were executed on April 3rd. Is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the actual transfer of payment wasn't until April 6th?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. And so the transaction doesn't close until

April 6th; is that correct?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. MUSHKIN: That's all I have.
MS. BARRAZA: I have a few more coming off of that.

\section*{FURTHER EXAMINATION}

BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. If we could go back to Exhibit 2, the
secured promissory note documents. Did CBC
Partners I, LLC, provide those documents to Jay
Bloom while they were negotiating the forbearance agreement?
A. I don't recall. If he had asked, I would have provided. But I don't recall if I provided it or not.
Q. So as it sits here today, does it have any reason to dispute that those documents were not provided to Jay Bloom?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: No reason to dispute. But, again, I will repeat myself, if he would have asked, he would have been given them.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. And did CBC Partners I, LLC, provide to Jay Bloom any kind of disclosure that the Antos Trust was not a borrower under the underlying promissory note and was not a guarantor under the underlying promissory note?

MR. MUSHKIN: Form.
THE WITNESS: Please repeat that question. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So did CBC Partners I, LLC, ever disclose
to Jay Bloom that the Antos Trust was not a borrower under the underlying secured promissory note?
A. I don't believe I disclosed that, no.
Q. And did CBC Partners I, LLC, disclose to

Jay Bloom that the Antos Trust was not a guarantor on the underlying note?

MR. MUSHKIN: Same objection as to requiring a legal conclusion.

Answer if you can.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't believe that was disclosed. Again, I will disclose right now I'm

\section*{not an attorney.}

MS. BARRAZA: I'll pass the witness.

\section*{FURTHER EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. MUSHKIN:}
Q. Do you have any specific recollections of any questions arising in terms of the validity of the deed of trust from Mr. Bloom?
A. None whatsoever.

MR. MUSHKIN: No further questions.
THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want a copy of this?

MR. MUSHKIN: Yes.
THE COURT REPORTER: Read and sign?
MR. MUSHKIN: Sure.
(Proceedings concluded at 11:24 a.m.)
```

STATE OF NEVADA )
) SS
COUNTY OF CLARK )

```
    I, Holly Larsen, a duly certified court reporter
licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby
certify:

That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Alan Hallberg, at the time and place aforesaid;

That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;

That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten
transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability.

I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to NRCP 30 (e) was requested.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 18th day of November, 2020.


NRCP 30(b)(6) for CBC Partners I, LLC
Alan Hallberg Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC, et al. v. CBC Partners I, LLC, et al.


\section*{EXHIBIT "KK"}

\section*{LIMTTED LIA OF}

SPANISH HEIGHTS ACQUISITION COMPANY, LLC

\title{
LMITED LABRLTY COMPANYAGREEMENT
}

\section*{OF}

\section*{}

This Limited Liability Company Agretment (this "Apreement') of Spanish Heights Acquisition Compeny, LLC (the "Comnany") a limited liability company organized pursuan to the Newada Ligbility Company Act (the "Act"), is hereby entered into by and amone SJC Veatures Holdings, LLC, LLC (hereinafter referted to as, the "lryettor \({ }^{4}\) or the "Invethor Wember") and ANTOS, GENNETH \& SHEILA LIW TR, EENNNETH M ANTOS SHElLA M NEDMANN-ANTOS TRLIST, Kennelh Antos and Sheila Neumenin-Antos as Tnusters. (hereingiter referred to as, the "空速ler" or the "Seller Hemberi")

\section*{INTRODUCTLON}

WHEREAS, the Company has been fomed lo, anomp olher thinge, parthase that real property otherwige known as S148 Spanish Heights Drive, Las Wegas, NV 89148 fithe "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Inveslor Member, Lender Member and Seller Member desire 10 enter inlo this Agrementent to set forth their respective rights and obligations with respect to the Company and ove another,

Now', THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual cowenants herein expressed, the parties hereto hereby aggite as follows:

\section*{ARTICLE I}

\section*{DEFINTTIONS}

Certain defined termes used in this Agreement are spt foth in Extubit A

\section*{ARTICLE II}

\section*{ORTAN|ZATION}

\subsection*{2.01. Formation}
 habidity company by the filling pf its Certificate of Fommation with the Newada Secrelary of Stale on August 4, 2017.
2.09. Name.

The name of the Company is "Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC" and all Compeny business shall be conducted under thal name or such other names as comply with applicable law that the manager (as defined in section 6.01 ini) may select from time to time.

\subsection*{2.07. Registered Aderli Reqigtered Offire.}

The regigtered agent of the Company shall be Wajer Giutierrez and Associates PLLC, and the registered office of the Company in the State of Fomation shall be gep 16 spanish Ridge Awe, Las Vegas, NV 89/4s of sweh other office (whith need nou be a place of business of the Companyi) as the wanager may designate from lime to time in the nanner provided by law,

\subsection*{2.04, Princibal Office; Onher Offices.}

The principal office of the Company shall be at such place as the Manaper may designate fiom lime to lime, which need not be in the stale of Formation. The infitial printipal
 Company may change its principal office or have such other offtes as the Manager may designate from time to time-

\subsection*{2.05. Pumpses.}

The fultposes of the Company (the "Purposers') are to hold ownership of that certain real propeny otherwise known as 5148 Spanish Helghts Driwe. Las Vegas, NW 89148 , (ii) perfect the Compantr"s intersy in suth property, (iii) hold, monitor and mainlain the company"s Property, and (iu) eingage in any aciuriy in furtherance of, related to or heesosary 10 support the Company's invesaneat in, or subetupat disposition of its inweblment in, the Property, in each case, 的 detemined by the Mandger.

\subsection*{2.06. Tem:}

The Compeny and this agreement shall continue in perpetuity, untess fooner temmated in aceprtance with the prowisionts of this Agreement.

\section*{207. Pohers.}

The Compend thall possess and may exercise any and all the powers and priuileges granted by the Act or by any other applicable law lo limited liability companies ar by thit Agreement, together with any powers incidental therelo, so far as such powers and priwileges are necessady or offivenient to the conduct, promotion or attainuent of the putposes of the Company, in tach cise as determined by the Mathager.

\subsection*{2.08 F No Slate Law Partnetstid}

The Wembers intend that the Company shall not be a partniship or joint benture, and that no Wember shall be a partmer or joint wenturer of any other Hember, for any purpose other than foderal, state, and local tar purpoges, and the provisions of this Aprement shall noul be combinued obltrixise.

\subsection*{2.09. Liabillay 10 Thitd Parties}

Mo Member shall be liable for the debls, obligations, or liabillites of the Compeny, exospt to the extent requiped under the Aet with teppect to amounts disurbultod to the Wember at a time when the Compatyr was insolvent or was vendered insolven by wirtue of the disuribution.

\section*{ARTICLE II \\ MEMPERS; CAPITAL CONTRHBUTIONS AND COMMITMENTS; CAPITAL ACCOUNTS; REVALUATIONS; PRE-EMPTIVE RIGHTS}

\subsection*{3.01- Clates of Units; Members.}
(a) The authorized [nits shall consist of Cliss A Unils, which shal] have the terms set forth in this , digreement. The Class A Unils shall have woting rights, fod shall be held by the Investor Member and the Selling Member.
(b) The name dond address of the lnvestor Member is get forth on Exhibil B attathed hereto, and the [nuestor wiember (i) has made a Commitment (as defined in Setion 3.02(b) 10 make Capital Contributions in the amolnts sel forth opposite the name of the lnvestor Wember on Exhibit B and (ii) holds the number of Class A Units set forth opposite the name of the Investor lifember on Exhibit B. The Investor Merniber lus been issued the number of Class A. Units sel forth epposile its name on Exhibit B in erchange for the Commitment sat forth opposite the lovestor Member's name on Exhibit.B.
(c) The Seller Member holds the mumber of Class A Vinits set forth opposite the Seller Member's nate on Exhbit B. The addess tif the Seller Member is set foth on Exhibil B.
(e) The number of Unils held by the Members may be updaled by the Company in good faith from time 10 time to reflect, among other uhings, additionad Capital Contributions, the admission of new Members and redempitions of Membership Interests. The number of Units of a class may be split, combined or otherwise re-chasgified by the Mondager, provided that a proportionate adjustment is made to all then outsteriding [inits of such clast.
(a) Wor Person shall be admited to the Company as an addtiothe Member without the epprovat of the hanager, which appowal may be granled or withheld in the sole and abobolute diserition of the Managet. The approwal of the Manager shall be reatired to aecept Capital Conluibutions to the Company trom anty non-wember, in any anount.
(b) The lnvestor Member has made a commiluneni (euch, a "Commitnothtre') to fiund the amoun of Capilal Contribulions in the amount set forth opposite its name on Exhibit B attached herelo. The Inwestor Member shall make Capital Coritributions to
 execution of this Agrememt, provided thas the Required Funding Condition (as defined in Section 8.02(ct) has been satisfied.

Capital Contribulions in respect of the Comuritments from the Investor Member shall be nised solely to fund ( \(x\) ) the payment by the company of Lender Member's debl held agang the property, (y) the paymenl of ulilities used at the Propeny find (iz) expenses associated with Property; provided, howewtr, in too ewent shall the Compariy be responsible for funding, or shatl any Capital Coniributions in tespect of the Commilments be used to fiud the overhead of ar any cosis and expenses ineured by, any of the Menthers in prowiding services pursuan to the this Agreenent, in execs of those commitmentis contemplated by this iransacion. The lowestor Wember shall not be required to make Capital Contributions in excess of its Commitment
(c) Wo Member shall be obligated to malke any Capital Contributions to the Compantr, except for the obligation of lue Investor Member to make the Initial Capital Conlribunions as provided in Stelion 3 . oz (b) above. However, if a new or existing hember shall make additional Capital Contributions to the Compotily hereafter, whict may be done only as permitted by the Mander and subject to complianot with this Agrement includidy Settion \(3.00(a)\), then (y) the number and class of Uails of Wembership Leterest credited in fecogritipn of such Capilial Conluibution stall be based upan, as determined by the Manager, in its sole discretion whe thir market walue of the new Capital Contribulion telative to the tail market value of the Compary in its enlirely, (fneluding the thew Capital Conurbution), detemined after giwing effect to a revaluation of Company assets to reflect Gross Assel Walue pursuant to Secion 3.05 and (z) ath appopriale adjugtment shall be made to the percentages get forth in Settionts
 new Capital Contributions shall dilute, pro tala, the percentages atributable w the pustarding Clast A Units imnediavely prior to such additional Capital contribulions. The Company will updale its reconds to reflecl the issuanee of any additional Units and une admission of any tiew wember in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

\subsection*{3.03. Bepurt of Capital Contributionf: Special Rules.}

Except as oftherwise expressly prowided hereth (i) miveraber shall be entitled to the remum of dily part of its Capital Contribulfon or to be paid imerest in respect of its Capital Account balance or its Capital Contribulion, (iii) meither the Manager nor any Member, its agents,
 retum of the Capital Contribution of any other Member and (iii) no Wember shall have ony prionity ower any other Member with respert to lhe retum of any Capilal Conlibution-

\section*{334. Capital Accounts}

A Capital Account shall be established and maintainta for each Member in scobrdante with the following provisions:
(a) To eath Mimberts Capital Aceounil, Iherte thall be cradited sach Member's Capital Contribulions, such Member's disdribulive share of Wet Profits, any items in the hature of income or gain that are specially allocaned pursuant to this Agreement, and the amount of any liabilities of the Dompary that ant assumbth by such Member, or that are secured by any assets of the Company distributed io such Member.
(b) From each Membett's Capital Account there shall be debled the annonnt of cash and the Gross Asset Value of any Company assets distribuled to such Member punsair to any provision of this Agremenh, such Member's distributiwe shat of Net Losses, any items in the bature of expenses or losses that are sperially allegted pursuant to this Agreembnt, and the ammunt of any liabilities of such Member asyumed by the Company or that are gecured by any property conuribuled by guch lifenber to the Company.
(c) If ownership of any Membership Interest in the Comppoly is assigned in Actordanes with the terms of this Agrement, the assignee shall suceet to the Capital Abepun of the assigimer to the calent it relates to the assigued Membership lntentich
(d) In detemming the amoun of any liabtity for purpoges of sections 3.04(a) and (b) abowe, there shall be taken into socounl code Section \(752(\mathrm{c}\) ) and any oher applicable provisions of the Code and Repulations.
(e) To each Members Capital Accpunt, there shall be debiled or credited, as the case may be, adjustments which are necessary to retlect a revaluation of Company assels to reflect the Gross Assel Walue of all Company asgets, 的 requited by Regulations Section I. \(704-L(b)(2)(i w)(9)\) and Section 3.05 .

The foregoing provisions and the other prowisions of this Agrement relating to the mainteriance of Capital Acrounts awe intended to comply with Code Seclion 704 and Requations Secion \(1.7(04-1(b)\) and shall be interpreted and applied in a manner consizieat with such Regulationts. The Company shall make any adjustmentis that are becessary or appropriate to maintain equality between the Gapital Acoounts of the Members and the amount of Compeny capilal teflected on the Company's balance shet as compuled for book purposts in aceprdance with Regulations Section 1. 7(04-1(b)( \()(i w)(q)\).

3:05. Gross Asset Walue.
The Giths Abset Walue of any assel of the Compony shal be equal to the arater's adjusted basis for federal income tar purposes, expept as follows.'
(a) The initial Gross Asset Value of any assel contributed by Whember to the Company shall be the gross fair markst yalue of such assel, as detemints by the conluibuting Wember and the Company.
(b) The Gross Asest Walute of all eompany assets shall be odjusted to equal their respertive gross fair markel walues in connection with (and to be effective immediately priot top the following events: (i) the acquisition of an addifional hembership Interes in the Company by any new or cxisting Wember in exchange for more thath a de mimints Capital Contribulion; (ii) the distribulion by the Company la a Member of more than a de
 (iii) the liquidation of the Compahy within the meaning of Regulations Section 1.704-
 made only if the Wanager reasonably detemitites that such adjustment is necessacy ot appropriale to reflect the relaliwe ewhotic interests of the Hembers in the Company.
(c) The Gross Asset Walue of any Company assel distributed to any Member shall be the gross tair market walue of such asset on the date of distribution.
(d) The Grogs Asset Walues of Compaty assets shall be inereased (or decreaged) to reflect any adjusuments to the adjusted bases of sueh assets pursuan to code Section \(734(\mathrm{~b})\) or Code saction \(743(\mathrm{~b})\), bul only to the exteni thal such adjustments fore telent into accommi in delemmining Capital Accoutts purguant to Regulations Seelioh I.704l(b)(2)(iv)(m) and ARTICLE IV: prowided, howewer, that Gross Asset Yalues shall not be adidusted pulsuatit to this Section 3.05 (d) to the extent thew were adjusted purstant to Sectiont 3 . OS(b) abowe in connestion with a transaction that otherwise would result in an adjustment pursuant to this section.
(e) [f the Gross Asset Walue of thatser has been detemmined of adjusted pursuant to this Section 7 . 05 , such Gross A Abse Walue shall thereatter be adjubted by the Depreciation laken into atcount with respert to such 自名el for purposes of compuling Nel Profits and Nel Losses-

\subsection*{3.06. Pre-Enpliwe Fidhtid}
(a) The Cempany hereby prants to eath Momber the right to purchase, in scoprdance urith the procedures set forth in this Section 3.06, the Wember's Percendade Intetest of eny Weur Units which the company (acing through its blazager') may, from lime 10 time, propose to tell and issut (hereinafter referred to as the "Pteemptive Piethi"),
(b) In the event that the Company propeses to issue and sell New Units, the Company shall notify each Wember in wititeg (the "Wehy Unic: Notices"). Each New Units Nolice shell set forth: (i) the number ant clacs of New Units proposed to be issued by the
 Units; and (iii) any other material tems including, if known, the expected date of consummalion of the purchase and sale of the New Units.
(c) Each Member shall be entitled to exercise its right lo purchase such New Untts by delivering an itrevocable kritten nolice to the Compeny within fiften (15) days from the dale of receipt of any such New Units Nolice spectifyng the number of New Units In be subscribed at the price and on the temas and ponditions speified in the New Units Nolice-
(d) The Company and each Mromber shall work topether, int good faith, to consumnate the closing of the purchase and sale of any New Unite that a Member has elected to subscribe for and purchase within tiftern ( 15 ) days following the expiration of the notice

(e) The Company may atwend this Agreenent in connection with the issuance of New Unils in accordance with this Gection 3.06 to the extent necessary lo sel forth the rights preteremes and privileges of the New Units, bul only to the extent sath atomentinent hat heen approved by the Investor Member.

\section*{ARTICLE IV}

\section*{ALLOCATION OP PROFITS AND LOSSES}

\section*{4,01. Allocation of Profils and Losses.}
(a) Allocations of Net Profits and Net losess. Except as otherwise provided in Section 4,01(b) gr Section 4, (0)(c), Net Profis and Nel Losises for any Fiscal Year wo other period shall be allocaled among the Wembers in such m manner lidat, 的 of the ent of such Fiscal Yealr or other priod, the Capital Account of each Member shall edal (in) the amount uhat would be distributed to such hember detenmined ay fr the Compeny wete to (f) liquidate the assels of the Company for an anount oqual to their rctpretive book walues and (iii) distribute the procests of such liquidation putsuan! to Section 1002 , minus (b) the amound of such Member's share of Company Minimum Gain \{留 determined accordime to Regulations Section I. 704-2gigh and such Member's sthare of Member Nonrecourse Debl Minimum Gain (as delermined aceording to Regulationts Section 1.704-2(0)(5)
(b) Regulatory Allocations Notwilltstandry diy other prowision of this Agrement, lhe follouing alloegaions stall be made prior to any other aldocatione under this Agrement:
(i) Minimum Gain Chargeback Except as otherwise prowided in Regulations Section 4 . \(704-2\) (f), notwilhstanding any other prowision of his Serion 4,01 , if there is a net decrease in Company Mmimum Gain during any Ffocal Year or oind peripd, each Menber shall be specially allothted items of Company inteme athd gain for such Figsal Year or period (and, if necessary, subsequent Fistal Years of periods) in an amount equal to such Merober's share of the hel decrease in Company Minimumi Gain, determined in accondance with Regulations Section I. \(704-2(g)\). Alboctions pursuant to the previous sentence shall be made in proportion to the respective amounts required to be allocated to each Member pursuanl thereto. The llims to be so allocated shall be detertained in accordance with Repulainons Secions I. 7042(f)(6) and \(1.704-2(\mathrm{f})(2)\). This Setion 4.0)(b)(i) is intended to comply with the minimum gain
chargeback requirement in Regulations Section \(1.7(04-2(f)\) and shall be intepreted consistently therewith
(ii) Whanter Minimum Gain Chargeback Excepi as otherwise provided in Regulations Section \(1.704-2(i)(4)\), notwithslanding any other provision of this Section 4.01, if thete is a heel decrease in Member Nonnecourse Debt Minimum Gain altibutäble to a Member Worrecourse Debt daring any Fiscal Year or ather period, each Wember who bas a share of the Member Nourecourse Debt Minimum Gain attributable to guch Wember Wonrecourse Debt, determined in actordance with Regulations Section I.T04-2(i)4 5), shall be specially allocaled items of Comparty intome and gain for such Fiscal Year or other period fand. if neetssary, subsequent Fiscal Years of oher periods) in an amount equal to such Hembert's share of the net decrefse in Member Noncecourse Debt, determired in accordanee with
 In proportion to the tespective amounts requited to be allocated to each Member pursuant thereto. The fiefis to be so allocated thall be detemuined in atcondance with Regulations Sections \(1.704-2(i)(4)\) and \(1.704-2(0)(2)\). This Section \(4.01(b)(i i)\) is intended to comply with the wininum gaith chergeback requirement in Regulations Setion 1.704-2(i)(4) and shall be interprered consistently therewith.
(iii) Ouglified Income Offert. In the event any Wember untexperedly teceives any adjusiments allocaliots, or distribulions described in Regulations Strifons 1.704 -
 gain shall be speciedly allocated lof suth Member in an amount and manuer sufficienl to eliminater lo the extent cequired by the Treasury Regulationts, the Adjusted Capital Account Deffill of the Member as quickly as possible, prowided that an allecation pursuant to this Sectioh 4.01(b)(iiti) shall be made only if and to the extenl that the wermber would have an Adjusted Capilal Account Defibil aliter all other allocalions provided for in this Section 4,01 have been renatively made ats if this Section 4,0 (b) (iii) were nol in this Agreement. This seckion 4.01(b)(iit) is inlended to comiply with the qualified incone offsel requicement of Regulations Section \(1,704-1(b)(2)(i)(d)\).
 Year or olher period shall be specially allocated lo the Members in why mauler permited under applicable Regulationts, as teasonably determined by the Manager.
(w) Member Nonrstoulrte Deductions. Any Member Nohtecourse Deductions for any Fiscal Y'ear or other period shall be specially allocated to the Member who bears the enonomic risk of loss with respect to the Member llontorourse Debt to which such Member Norubcourse Deductions are altribulable in accordance with Regulalions Section I. 704 2(i)(1)
(vi) Net Losese Notwrithstanding Section 4.01 (b), no Nel Losses dip items of Net Loss of deduction) shall be allocaled to a Member to the en tent such allocation would inctease or cause such bumber to hate an Adjusted Capital Aceount Deficit. Any such Net Lossts (or items of Net Loss of deduction) shall be specially allocated to the other blembers

10 the exien thal suth allocation will not cause such other Mernbers to have an Adjusted Capitai Account Delfcit.

\section*{(ci) Curative Allocations.}
(i) To the exient necestary to atoid ary economic dislortions that may result from application of 足ection 4 .0l(b) (the "Requlatory Allacialions"' futhet ilems of income, gain, loss, and deduction shall be allocated as appropriate in the reasomable discretion of the Wentager jh ordes to remedy any economie distortions that the Regulatory Allocations might
 take into account future Regulatory Allocations that, aldhough not yet made, are likely to offset ather Regulatory Allocations previously mede under Section 4.ol(b).
(ii) Madifitations to Preserve Wndenting Ecompaic Obibeliwes If there is a change in the U.S. federal intome tas laws, or the allocations provded tor in this Agremient do thot comply with the substancial economic effect and capital atoounl rules sel forth under Code Section 704 atd the Regulations thereunder, or olberwise do not properly meflect the peonomic interents of the Member, then the Manager acting in its reagonable discretion after consultation wilh tan adwests to the Company, thall male such modifications to the allocation prowisions of this Aigrement as are necessary to prestrete the underlying ecomomic objectives of the hembers and to comply with such prowisiths of the Code and the Regulations. In this regard, it is intended that prior to a distribution of the proceeds from a liquidation of the Company, the positive Capital Acpount botance of each Member shall be equel to the aftipunt that guch Menaber is enlititd to reveiwe pursuan to Section 10.12 hereof. Acoondinglys nolwiubstanding anything to the conirary herain, to the extent pemissible under Code Section 704(b) and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, Net Profits and Net Logses and, if necessary, itens of gross incone and gross deduclions, of the Comprany for the \(y\) tar of liquidaition of the Company shall be allotalod antong the Members so as to tring the positive Capital Acoount balance of each Member st close as possible to the amount that suth Member would recerve if the Company were liquidated and all ihte proceds were diguibuted in accordance uith the poovistons of Section 10.02 hereof.
(d) Tar Allocalions. For LIS, fedtral, stale and local income tar purposet, items of income, gain, loss, deduetion and cedit stall be allocated to the Wembers in accordance uith the allocations of the corresponding items for Capital Account purposes under this Seption 4. 0 , except that itens with respect to which there is a difference bet wetn tox and book basis will be allowated in sccordsite with Code Section Foquic) and the Regulations iherreuder (using Whe traditional method with curative allocations, but curaliwe alloesations will be limited to the allocation of gains or losses to overgome a ceiling limitation in a prigr tarable year, consistent with Regulatipns Seclion I. \(704 \cdot 3(\mathrm{c})(3 \mathrm{sii})\).
(e) All elections, decisions and other matters conceming the allocalion of incomer gains, expenses and lostss among the Whembers, and acoounling procedures mot specifically and expressly prowided fot by the tems of this Agrement, shall be detentined by the Manager in its sole discretion and shall be final and conelusive as to all Members.

\section*{ARTICLE V}

\section*{DISTRIBUTIONS}

\section*{5．01．Distributicns．}
（a）Dislributions，if any，shall be nade from the Company to the Members at sath times as the Manager may determine．
（b）All distributions shall be made to ithe Members in the following mander and onder of priorily：
（T）One buadred periterin（laOF）to the Irwestor Wember．

\section*{}

Wolwiunglanding anything in this Agterment to the contrary，any sale of Units permitted under this Agreement，or a merger，in each case．in connection with a Sale Iransaction，的白 result of which the Wembers，rather than the Company，receive the proceeds of such tale of merger，（a）subjecl to any holdback or reserve described in clause（b）of this Section 5．02，the Members，as a group，herbby agree to apportion and，upho the closing of surh sale or merger pay ofer the procedds among those Wembers participating in such \＄ale Transaciion so that．䓡 nearly as prossible，the payments to each Wember shall corespond to and be in
 have the right to withthold，and each of the fimbers agrees to contribute and pay outr from the proceeds receined or receivable by such wimber，a portion of the probecds payable in any such transaction equal to all ancount neetessar＇，as reasonably detemined by the Manaper，to satisfy any post－trantsaction indemnifieation，putchase price adjustment or other similar escrow or holdback obligation；provided，howewet，that in no erent shall a Member be obligated ro malae a contribution to the Compary pursuant to the foregring in excess oft its pro rata portion of suth proceeds．Any amount witheld pursuant to clause（b）of this section 5，02 shall be held in a separale accound for the ratable benefit of the bembers participatiog in the transaction giving rise to such proceeds，ard may be used，as delermined by the Manages，to salisfy any suchi port－ uransaction obligation described in elause（b）s prowided，however，that none of the Comprany，the Managers wor any of their reppectiwe officers，dirdelors，employres，partners，menbers， shareholders，agents of Affiliates，shall have any liability with respect to amounts wo with held or paid，except for fraud，gtoss negligence or will fu］miseonduct．

\section*{ARTICLE YI}

\section*{MANAGEMENT}

\section*{6．01．Mansarmenil．}
（a）Managemeni and contol of the Dompeny shall be wested exclusively and intewocably with the hnestor Membtr Authority to sell the property resta exclusiwtly in at Managet（the＂luanager＂），and while the busiuess and aftaits of the Company
chall be mataged by the lnyestor Member, any sale is solely under the direction of the Managet. The Lnvestor Member stall retain aluays the authonity to make management decisions notwithetanding any delegation of duties by the manager to (y) emptoyetes, officers or agents or (z) the lnwestor Member (if any duties are expressly delegated to the Investor Menber). Notwithatanding the foregoing or anything contained herein to the contrany the approwal of the Manager shal be required to take any of the actions sel forth in section 6ol(h) of this Agrobment. The officers of the Compeny serve at lae sole diswrition of the Manager, and such officers (or other agents) who ahe appointed by the Mandeg may be removed, al any time or from time to time by the Mahager, wilh of withoul cause upon unanimous donsen of the Manager. No Menber of the Comprany shall thate any tights, powers or duties in tespect of the managenert of the Cimpany, except as ohthrifise expressly set forth in this Adreembit.

The bande acount of the Compary shall be controlled by the Inwestor Member, and the Inwentor lefober shall have sole aulhonty to make whindrawals fiom the bank account and to write chercks on behalf of the Company, except as ohberwite prowided in the last sentence of Section 6 - 01 (i) of this Agrembent. Worpithstanding. at the sole discretion of the lnvestor Member, a hird party Lender, holding a receivatie due from the selling Member, who is secured
 itself under the modified lenins of its debt held against the propraty thal may be due and payable, which have not been nade from this acoount by the Investor Hember.
(b) A Manatet may resign at any time by giving writen botice to the other Managets (ithe "Ebsimalion Notice"). The resignaton of such wanager shall take effect upon delivery of the Resignation Notice or at such later time as shall be specified in the Rerigration Motice and, unless putherwise specified therein, the acceptance of such resignalion by the Compray or the olher Managers shall not be necessary to make ji effective. The peripnation of a Manager thall net affect the resigning Mandeger's rights, if any, as a Member and shall not constitute suth resipning Wanager's resigition as a Aember, if applicabler The Person or Personts having the right io appoint a Manager stall hawe the solt rithl lo fill any vacancy as a result of such remowal or resignalion, exoept as otherwise prowided in Section 6.0 L (c)
(c) Unless waived by the Handeers, eqth Member shall be giwen at least fortyreight (48) hous notice of any mesting (which notice shall gatate the dale, hour and bocation of the meeting and all actions to be considered al the meetingl, and each Member chall be permitted to participate in any mecting by telephone or similar communications equipment. Any Mander may call a meeting of the Manager. Any acion may be laken by the Manager without a meating if authorized by the wriben consent of the Members thecessary to authorize ihe action as specified in settion biol(f) below. Notice of a moting theed not be given to any Wanager wha sight a waiuer of notice or a consent to lolding the meeting or an approwa of the minules therbof whether before or after the meting, or who attends the metithg without protesting pritr theneto or at its commenctritith the lask of notice to such Manager. No action may be taken at any meeting of the Manager wiless such action was specified in the notice of such theering thal whe deliwered to the Wanagers in wcordanet with ihis Section \(6.01(e)\)
(d) A Person shall cease to serve as a Manager upon (i) his or her
 such Wander ith accordance rith Section 6.0) (c) or Section 6.01(d).
(e) Managers shall not receive any fee or other compensation for secticts rendered on behall'of the Company at a Wember of the Manaper.
(f) The Manager may not take any of the following atitions withoul the priot approwill of the Seller Metnber's lendet. CBC. Partners:
(1) Criste, incur, assume or make any peymbent in tespect of any bontowed money indebtedness or guaraniee the borrouted money indebtedness of anty other person on entity, unless such ackion results in the satistaption of the Lender CBC Partmers receirable secuted by the property;
(2) Diretly permit to exist any lien or securiby interest on any of the asset of the Comprany, unless such action resulls in the satistaction of the Lender CBC Parners receivable securted by the property;
(3) Digpose of its properties or ajstets, unlegs such action tesults in the salisfaction of the Lender CBC Parthers meceiwable secured by the propery;
(4) Declare or pay any dividend or distribution on any menlbership inierest of the Company, unless such action reanlts in the satisfaction of the Lender CBLC Panters receivable steured by the property;
(5) Putchase or redeem any membership interests of or righte, ophions of wartants to acquire membership interests of the Company, unless such attion results in the satisfaction of the Lender CBC Partners redeivable secured by the property;
(6) Igrue any additional memberahip interesis of, or nights, options or waranis to acquire. membership intertsts of the Company; unless suct action results in the salisflaction of the Lender CBC: Pariners receiwable seturod by the property;
(7) Consummale, or enler inlo an agrectrent that resulls inh, a sale of the Compsiny (whether by merier, sate of assets, sale of Units or otherwist), umless such action results in the satisfaction of the Lender CBC Partners receivable secured by the property;
(8) Enler lhto, or coulse, suffer or penmit to exist any iransaction, atrangement or contract with any of its Whanars, Members or any of their respeciive affiliantes of family members, ercepl for Capital Contribulions from the Investor Wember in respect of its Combinitment as expressly provided in section \(3.02(\mathrm{~b})\)
of this Agreement, unless such delion results in the satisfaction of the Lender CBC Pantars receivable secured by the property;
(9) Cause a malerial change in the strategic direction or the nature of the business of the Company, unless such action resulis in the satisfaction of the Lender CBC Partners ceceivable tedtred by the property; or
(10) Enter into any agreement to do any of the loregoing, unless
 Fatiners teceivable speured by the propery.

\subsection*{6.02. Liability pf Paties}

No Member, Nanaper nor any Representaive of a Member or a Manager shall be liable to the Company or to any other Member or Mandegt for (a) the perfommance of or the omission to perform, ant act or duty on behalf of the Company if, in good faith, such Persen determined uhat such conduct wass in the best interests of the Compariy, and subh conducl did het consuitule fouph, gross negligende, reckless or intentional misconduct of a breach of this Agtoment or a breach by the Lender Plember; (b) the teminalion of the Company and this Agtoemeni pursuant to the lemis hereof; or (c) the performante of or the omission to perform, any act on belhalf dif the Conpany in good-faith reliathe on the advice of legal counsel. accountants or other profescional advisors to the Company.

\subsection*{6.03. Indemnification of Manderc and Officess}

The Company. its teceiver of jus trustee, as the case may ber shall indeminify defend, ant hold each Wanager. Dithelor of Officer (collectively; the "lndemnified Parties") harmless tith and against any expenser loss, damage, or liability ineured or connected with any clalm, suit, demand, loss, judgment, liabilily, cost or erpense (including reasonable attorneys" fees) arising from or related to the Company or any ath or omission of the Indennified Parties on behalf of the Compeny and amounts paid in tetilement of any of the foregoing. provided that the same were nol the result of (i) fraud, grogs negligenee, or teckless ar infentional miseonduci on the part of the [ademnified Party gagainsi whom a clain is asserted, (ii) a breach of this Agrembent by the Indemified Party or (iii) a breach of the Agrefment by the Inveghing Hember. The Company shall advance to any lndemnitied Party the cosk of defendine chiy clalm, suit, or action against suth Itdemnified Party (other than any claim, suil or action consisting of allegations bowered by ctauses (i), (ii) or (iii) of the immedialely preceding sentente) if' the Indemnified Party undertakes to repay the funds advanced with interest, should it later be detemined uthat the lndemnified Party is not entilled to indemaification under this Section 6.05.

\subsection*{6.04. Conlliedt ofllteres.}

Subject to compliahee by each Mentber's Relabed Purties with Section s.02, each Hember of the Company and any Manager at any time and from time to time may engage in and possess interests in other business wentures of any and every type and description, independently
of with athers, including ones in competition wilh the Company, with to obligation to offer to the Company or to any other Member the right to participate thercin.

\subsection*{6.05. Whiper of Dutties}

The Members waive, to the maximum exlent permitted by applicable law, any fiduciary duties or obligations that the Maragers may owe to the Members.

\section*{ARTICLE VII}

\section*{RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFERS}

\subsection*{7.01. Bestrictions on Transters.}

Excepl as otherrist expressly permitted in Uhis ARTICLE WIl, no Member may Transfer all or any portion of its Membership Imerest in the Company without the prior consent of the Mawager, which conseni may be geanted or withheld in the sole and absolute discretion of the Manager. Members thay not Transfer oll or any portion of ils Class A Units, excepl pursuant to a Transfer permitted by Sections \(7.00,7.09\) or 7.10 . Any Transfer (whether woluntary ot involumary) of athempted Transfer by a Member in violation of the immediately preceding sentence shall result in the automatie woiding of any such unaulhtwized transfer.

\subsection*{7.02. Permitted Tramsficis}

A Mernber shall be froe at any tume to Trarsfer all or any poriom of its Membership Interest to: (a) in the caste of a Member that is a natural person, any filt of more of an existing Memberts Family Members or a rust er estate for the benefil of such Family Members; (b) to any Alfiliale of the Member or any Family Member of such Affiliate or to any limited partier or investor or Affiliate theredi in any investruent wehicle managed by the Member or its Affiliates; of (c) to a wholly-ouned subsidiary of the Member. Norwithstanding the foregoing sentence, without the prior written consent of the nom riansferring Member, a Member may not Transfer its Units pursumn to clause (b) of the immedialely preceding senlence to a noriAffilialed Person liat, at the time of the proposed Transter, is actively engaged in litigation with, or hats previously been ergaged in litigation with, the lnvestor Members. A Meniber that is a natural person also may Transefer all or any potion of his or her Membership Interest upon his er her death or inwolutherily by operation of law. For purposes of this ARTICLE, a Member's "Family Members" shall mean the Menber's sponse, ancestots, issue (includint adopted children and their issuey and trusts or custodianships for the primary benefit of the Member himself or such spouse ancesturs, or issue (includity atopted children and their issue). Notwiltstanding the foregoing, its the case of any Tratsfer peminted under this Secrion 7.012, it shall be a condition to such Transfer than such transteree agrete (y) to be bound by this Agreemen by excculting a joinder agreennent in a form acceptable to the Manager and (2) that the Units acquired by such transferee may nol be subsequently Trarisferred encept in strict accordance with the temus of this Agreement.

\subsection*{7.03, Condilions to Transtr}

Nolwilhslanding any ohter provision of Section 7 . 0 l of 7.02 , no Transfer shall be permitted, exepli in the case of a Thansifot on death ot involunarily by operation of law, uhless the following ddditional conditionts precedent are satisfied (or waived by the Warager in ins cole and absolute disetetion):
(a) The uansteror and transteree shall exeate and deliver to the Company such documents and instruments of conveyance as may be necessary or appropriate in the opinion of cousel to the Compant to effect such Transfer and wo confinth the agrement of the lifnsteree lo be bound by the prowision of this Agreemen (including this ARTICLE W[T); and
(b) At the jequest of the Manager, the thansferor shall provide ath opinion of cotunsel satisfactory to the Company to the effect that such Transfer will not wiolate any applicable securives laws regulating the iransfer of securities or any of the prowisions of any agreement to which the Compenty is a party.

\subsection*{7.04. Admacsion of Transferged Wember.}

Subject to lite other provisions of this ARTICLE WII, a transteree of a Wembership Interest may be admitied to the Comptary as a Member only upon satisfaction of all of the following eondilions.
(a) The Wembership Interest with respect to which ithe ttansferee is arlmitted was acquilict by means of Tranter pernitled undet Settiphs 7.01 and 7.02 :
(b) The utancfere becomes a party to this Agreement as a Nember and executes such documents and instruments as the Manager reatonably may requegt as necessary or appropriate to tonfinim such transtrate as a Member in the Comperiy and such unansifreecs agrement to be bound by the terms and condilions hereof; and
(c) The transferee futmishes copies of all insuruments effecting the Transfer, opinions of coursel and such other certificates, inguruments, and documents as the Manager matr teaconably require.

\subsection*{7.05. Effect of Disporgition.}

Follouring giy Trabsfer of a Memberts entire Membership Initevest, the Mentrir shall hawe no further rights es a Whember of the Compuny. In addition, following any permitted Transfer of a portion of a bember's wembership lnteregt, the Membert shall hawe no further rights as a Member of the Comptiny with respeet to lhat portion Trantsferted.

\subsection*{7.06. Rights of Lmadmined Transferes.}

A thansferee of a Membership Interest who is not admitted as a Member pursuant to Sections 7.0 and 7.04 shall be entithed to allocations and distributions aturbutable to the

Hembership Interess Transtenied to the same extent as if the transteree were a Member, but stall have no right to wote or give a consent ob any matter, if any, calling for the approwal or conzent of the Members (and notwithstanding anything in this Apeement to the conlrary any requisite percentage or matprity shall be computed as if the Transfered Wembership Interest did not exist), shal have tho right to any information or accomting of the affairs of the Company, shall not be entitled to inspect the books or records of the Company, and shall not howe ary of the oither rights of a Member under the Ael or this Agretorent For lhe avoidance of doubl, if a Menber Transters or atempls to Ltansfer any Class A Units in wiolation of Section 7.01 of this Agreement, then such transfer shall automatically be woided

\subsection*{7.07. Prohibiles Tpangers.}

Anly putported Trunfer that is not permitted under this ARTLCLE Yll shall be null and woid and of no effect watsoever. [n the case of a Transfer or attempted Transfer thal is not such a perwitted Transfer, the parties engaging or atlempting to engage in such Trantsfer shall be liable to indemuify and hold harnuess the company and the other Members. From pll cost. liability, and damage that any of suth indernified pertons maty incur (inticuling intremental thas liability and altomerfs' fees and expenses' as a result of such Transfer or attempted Transfer and efforts to enforte the indemnity g gianted hereby.

\subsection*{7.08. [reserved]}

\subsection*{7.09. Tag-Along Righte}
(a) In the event that the [nvestor Member desires to Transfer (oither 中han a Transfer purstant to Section 7.02 or a Transter in accordance with Settion T . 10 ) all or any portion of jts Class A Umits (the Unils to be Transferma are herelthatter teferred to an the "Thid
 Purchaser"), then the Investor Wember shall promptly notify the other Members (the "Other
 Transferied end the othet material terms and conditions of the proposed Tramsfer to the Third Patty Purchaser (the "Third Party Terms"). The Other Hembers shall have the righl (to be erercised as describer in this Section \(7 .(99)\), bul not the cobligation, to participale in the proposed Transfer to the Thind Pary Plichaser (hereinafler referred an as the "Tandolong Riaht") on the Third Party Terms. ss modified by the temms sel forth in thit Section 7.09 (indending Section \(7.09(\mathrm{~g}) \mathrm{b}\).
(b) Each Other Wimber that desires wo exercise its Tag-Alorg Rught shall
 fifteen (15) days of such Other Member's receipt of the Tag-Along Sale Notice (the "Taxdone Acceptance Perind'). The Tag-Along Acceptance Nolice shall slate the number of Unils being sold by the Investor Member that sueh Other Member proposes to include in such Trintter to the propostd Thisd Party Pumhater. The Tag-Along Aceptance Notice given by the Other Member shall constitute the Other Memberrs binding agreement to sell the number of Units specifined in the Tag-Along Abceptance Wolice on the Third Party Temus, as modified by the lermes sel forth in this Section 7.09 (including Section 7.09(g).
(c) If a Tag-Along Acceptance Notice from an Onher Member is not received by the lnyestor Member within fifter (15') days of deliwery by the lnvettor Member of the TagAlong Sale Notice, the liweator Member shall have ihe right lo consummate the sole without the participation of such Other Menber, but only if the per Unit purchase price is no more taworable to the lnvestor Hember than at staled in the Tag-Along sale Notiee and only if such gate opeurs on a date within the one hundred nwenty (I20) day period (the "Sale Period") tollowing the expiration of the Tas-Along Acoptance Period. If such sale does not oucur within the Sale Period, the Units lhat were to be subject to sucti sale thereatier shall coutinue to be subject to al of ute restrietifits conlained in this section 7 . 09 .
(d) In connection with any Transfer of Units to the Third Party Punchaser phrsuanl to this Sertion 7 . 09 , each of the Investor Member and the Other Members shall hawe the right to sell to the Third Party Puchaser a number of Units equal lo ils prop rala portion (basedu br the number of Units held by the Members, which shall ohly include the Class A thits to the exten prowided in Setfon 7.0 (h)
(e) At ute closing of the Transfer to any Third Party Purchaser of any Third Pany Purchaser Units pursuant to this section 7.09, the Third Party Purthaser shall remit to the [nwestor Member and the Oiner limbers participating in such esale the aggegale consideration payable to the Investor Wember and the Other Wenlbers for the Units stid pursuant to Seetion 7.09 herebf (less any such Wember's pro rata share of the consideralion to be escrowed or held back, if any, as dereribed bebow), against deliwery by such wember of the Unile being sold by it, free and clear of all liens, clains and eneumbrances (other uan entumbances imposed by this Agreemenil, as evidenced by such documentalion as the Third Party Purctaser reasonably requpsta, and the compliance by the lnvestor Member and the Other Hembers with any other conditions to closing requested by the Third Parly Purchaser.
(f) The consumation of the proposed Tianster triggering the Tag-Aldng Hight shall be subject to the sole discretion of the [ntreshor Membert, who sholl hawe ho liability or cobligation whatsoever to the Other Members for mot consumbimeting guch proposed Talnsfer other than ils obligations a ser forth in this section 7.0 . The Other Members shall receiwe the same fomin of considerarion tepeived by the Inwestor Member from the Third Party Purchaser: subject to Section T.OP(g) below, To the extent that the parties are to provide any indemnification or otherwise assume any ather postoclosing liabilities in favor of the Third Party Purchaser, the Investor Mrember shall seek to hawe such indentuilication or post-closing: liabilities be on a several bul nol joint basis (and on a prou rala basis in accordance with the procteds rectiod by such Member) to the extent permithed by the Third Party Purchaser: prowided, houevet, in no event shall any liember's respective potential liability thereurder theetd dhe proceds received by such Hember. To the extent ary guch indenaification or postchosing liabilities are made on a joint and several basis and a Wember bears more than ith pro rata share (ibased on the proceds io be received by such Member) of such indemaifitation or postclosing liabilitits, iben the olher Member(s) shall connibute such Membet such amounl as is necesaly to caust each lifmber to bear jts pro tala shate of such indernificatioh or post-closing liabilities.

 with the distribution provisions of Section 5 .0l(b) of this Agteement.
(h) The Seller Member shall only be entiued to include Class A Units in any Transfer pursuant to this Section 7.09 if, pripr 10 such Transfer, the Investor Member has received the full distribulion preference it is entitled to receive under Section 5.0 (b)(b) of this Agreemeni.

\subsection*{7.10. Duscalonis}

If the Manager and the [nvestor Member epprove a Sale Transaction to a notrA解liated thitid party (a "Thitt Party Tansteree"), then the Inwestor Member shall have the right, but not the obligation, to require the Seller Member to consent to and approve the Sale Transation and, if the Sale Transaction is structured as a sale of Cnits by ihe Members, to require the Seiler Member to Transfer to the sane Third Panty Trangferer all of the Unjts held by the Seller Member on the same lemm and condilions as the Inwestor Member, subjeci to the last sentence of this Stcilion 7,10 . Ta conneetion lhtrewith, uphen request of the [nwestor Wember, the Seller Member shall (i) consent wo and raise no obfections sopainst guch Sale Transaction und (ii) expeute and deliwer a delinitiwe purchase and gate agreorrent, in subslantially the same form and substence as the definitive agreement executed and delivered by lhe Investor Member; provided, that, to the extent thal the parties are to prowide any indemnification or otherpise assume any other poos-closing liabilities, the [nvestor Mernber shall setk to hate such indentifificalion or posteclosing liabilitics be on a seycral but not joint batisis (and on a pro tata batis in accordance with the proceeds teceived by such Members' to the exlem permitted by the Third Parly
 thereunder exeed the proeseds receiwed by such Member in connection with such Salle Transotilioh. Subject to compliance with lhe proviso sed forlh in the innediately preading Fertence, if the Seller Member stial fail io execute and deliwer such definitive sareement, the Company and the lnvestor Wenber shall have a pouper of atomay (which may be relied upon by the purchaser(s) in any such sale) and fou that purpose the Seller Member, withoul any further anifon or deded, shall be detmed to haut appointed the company and the Ithestor Member as the Stiltr Member' agent and attomensin fowh widh fill power of substitution, for the puppose of executing and deliwering the definitive eqrement in the nance and on behalf of the Seller Member and perfonming all such action as may be necessary or appropriate to consummate the sele of the Seller Member' interest pursuant to that agreement. Each Member shall bear its pro rata share of the cosks of any Iransaction pursuant to this Sotion 7.10 (baged on the net proceds
 are incurned for the bentilil of all limbers and are nol otherwige paid by une Company or the
 7.10 shall be allocated among the Members in accordance uith Seciion 5.01(b) of this Agrement.

\section*{ARTTCLE YTI}

\section*{MEMBER COVENANTS}

\section*{8, 6] Confodentiedity.}
 Kepresentatives in connection with (i) such Member's ownership of Units in the Company andror (ii) such Member's designee(s) serving as a Manager or in the case of the [nvesior Hernber, the provision of services by the Jn'estor Member to the Company. Eadh Hember apres inat it shall use. and thal il shall cause its Represpentative to use, the confidential Infommation only in connethion with ils investment in the Company and not for ant outher
purpose. Each Menber further acknowledges and agrees that il shall not disclose any Confidential [nformation lo andy Person, exeept Ihat Confidential [ffonmanion may be disclosed:
 perfomane of their dulies or to any timaneial institution providing ondit to such Member,
(b) to the exteni requited by applicable law, rule or regulauion (including complying with any oral or written questions, intemgatories, requesis for information or doenments, subpoena, ciwil investigative demand or similar process to which a Member is gubject provided that such Wember agrees to give the Company prompt notice of such nequesuls), to the exient practicable, so that the Company may seel an appropriate protective order or similar selitef fand suth Ptrson shall cotoperate with such efforts by the Company, and shall in any event make only the mimimum disclosure required by suth lay, rule tr regulalionj);
 its Units, provided that such Transter would nol be in wiolation of the provisions of this Agrement and such potentigl transferee is advised of the confidential nature of such intormation and agress to be bound by a confidentiality agrement consistent with the prowisions of this Section B.OL
(d) to any regulatory authority or raling agency to which the Member of any of its Adfiates is subject or with whith it has reglar dealimgs as lorg as such authority of agency is advised of the confidential nature of such informatioth;
(t) to any Representalive to the extent telated to the lax treatment of the Whils held by such Member, or
(f) if the pribr writter consent of the Maniger shall have been obtained.

Nothing contained herein shall prevent the use of Conlidential lofomalion in connecion with the asserion or defente of any claimby or againsi any Member.

\section*{}

The Investor Member herby covenants, qeknowndes and apres with the Company and the Seller Member and Lender Member as follows:
(i) Inwestor Member stalil:
(ii) Provide for the funding of a annual expense reserve account in Ithe amount of 5150,000000 within thely days af ibt execution of this Agreement, from which non member CBC Pathers is anthorized 40 issue payment enginst its obligations due from Seller Wember should Inwestor Member fail to effect such payments in a timely toshion.
(ii) Prowide for a second funding of an anrual expenge reterve account one year later in the adifitional arrount of \(\$ 150,0000,0 \mathrm{fl}\) wilhin ninety days of the first anniwersary of the execution of this Asperment, from which con Member CBC Pathers is authorized to issue payment against its Note should Investor lifember fall to effect such payments in a timely fashion.
(iii) Cause the Comptily to serwice the non Whmber CEC Pernincs receiwable apainsi the subject property commencing 90 days after the closing of this Agrement, under the moditied termits and conditions thereto, as agreed upor by the [nvesilor Member.
(iw) Cause the Company to effect tepains to the premises to bring it back to top quality sismolatd and urorking repait
(w) Caluse the eompany to mainatin and prowide for all costs related to the ongoing mainterante of the properly
(wi) Cause the Company to pay all utilities
(rij) Cause the Company to pay for all real property insunance
(wiii) Cause the Company to pay all HOA assesments and fines
(ix) Cause the Company to pay for all landscaping
(x) Ptoride for its benefit from that portion of its jodgment proceds disuributions from ils interest in \(I^{51}\) One Hundred Holdinge to sarve as anditional collateral to further sectuilize Lending Member's Note against any deficiency in the exisling real property serving as collateral prior to this Agrement
(xi) At the earlier of 2 years or upon collection of the judgment proceedis pay off in fall the CBC reveicable as relates to the propertly
(xii) At the earlier of 2 years ar upon collection of the judgment promerds, either aspume service of or retire either or both of the \(]^{\text {al }}\) and \(2^{40}\) position lenders
(xiii) Al the earlier of 2 years or upon cotlection of the judgment procedds, pay off past due and accrued property tan

(หiw) Utilize ins lapyers to effectuate a Quiel Title acilion for the purposes of extinguishing any and all jurdgntnt creditor lienns agains the property.
(b) the Company shall comply, at all limes, with the terms and conditions of tie A.germeni.
(c) the execuifon, delivery and performane af this Apramenr by the lrivegtor Member does not oonflict with or contitute a bitheth of of a defaull under the Arric|est of Organization of the Investor limber, the Operatige Aprement of the Lnvestor Member or any contract, apreement, instnument or debenture to which the Inwestor Member is a party or to which any of its assels are subject.

\subsection*{8.03 Stal Mer Member Covenants.}

The Seller Member bereby cowenanls, acknowledges and agrees with the Gountany and the Seller Member and Investor Member as follows:
(a) Seller Member shall:
(i) Eonvey all rights of Possession to the Investor Member
(ii) Upon parment in full of the CBC Parthers receivable secured afainst the premiser, transter its Membership Interest in the Compariy to lnwestor wember.
(iii) At execution of this Operating Agreement Expeule a Deved of Sale conveying ownership of the premises to the Compant
(iw) Tio execule those annendments to the Lender Mermber Note as neterssary
(b) the Seller hember shall comply, al all times, with the tems and condilions of the Agreement.
(c) the execution, delivery and performance of this Agrement by the Sellex Hember does not conflist with or constitute a breach of or a default under any contraet. aprement, instrument or debenture to which the lowestor lifember is a parly or to which any of his assets are subject.

\section*{ARTICLE IK WITHDRAWAL}

A Member does not have the right to withurauy from the Conusay as a Member or to terminate its Menbership literest, except to the extent expressly prowided herein-

\section*{ARTICLE X}

\section*{DIBEOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION}

\section*{10,01. Dissolution.}
(a) The Company shall be disfolwed sutomatimally and its affairs shall tee wroud up upor the first to occur of the following:
(i) at any time upon the writien consent of the lnvertor Member, 放 long ab the Manager shall have also consented in writing therelo, or upon the written content of the sole remaining. Member", or
(iii) ninely (PD) days after the date on which the company no longer has at least one (1) Wember, whless a new whember js admitted to the Company during such ninety (90) day period.

\subsection*{10.02. Limpudation.}
(a) Upona dissolution of the Company requining the winding-up of its Gffairs, the Mandiger shall wind up its affairs. The assets of the Company stall be sold within a reasothable perind of itme to the exient netescary to pay or lo prowide for the palyment of all debts and liabilities of the Company, and may be sold to the ement deemed praticable and prudeni by the Manager.
(b) The net agets of the Company remaining alter satisfaction of all such debts and liabilities and the creation of any reserves under Section 10 iondid, shall be disuribuled to the Members in accordance with Section 5.0l(ib) of this Agrement, after giving effect io all contributions, distributions and allocations for all periouts, including the period during which such liquidation oceurs. Any property disumbuted in kind in the liquidation shall be yalued at fair market value.
(c) Distribulions to Members pursuan to this ARTICLE \(X\) shall be mande by the end of the tacable year of the liquidation, or, if later, hinety (90) days after the date

(d) The Manager may withholl from distribution ander this Section 10.02 suth refrites as are required by applicable law and such wither rezerwes for subsequent computation adjugments and for contingencies, lncluditug conliggent liabilities relating to
 witheld as a reserwe glall reduce the ampunt payable under this Section ID.02 and thall be held in a segregated interesl-bearing accomt (which may be commingled with similat accounts). The unused portion of any reserve shall be distributed with interest thereon pursuant to this Section 10.02 after the Manager shall have detemined that the nted therefor chall have ceared.
(e) Deficil Capital Accotonters. If al Mernber has a deficil balance in its Capilal Accouml afiex giving effoet to all contributions, distributions, and allocalions for all

 and such defiet shall hot be considered a debt oured by such Wember to the Company or to any other Person, for any pupose whatsoever. Notwithstanding, Lender Member's secured note ogainst the Property shall now be compromised under this prowision.

\section*{ARTICLE XI}

\section*{BOOKS AND RECORDS ACCOUNTING, AND TAX ELECTIONS}

\subsection*{11.01. Maintentince of Records.}

The Company chall maintain tre and correct bools and records, in which shall be entered all transactions of the Company, and shall maintin all other resords necessary; corwenient, or incirdental to recording the Company's business and affairs, which shall be sufficient to reoord the allocation of Wel Profits and Net Losses and distributions as provided for herein. All decisions as to scouming primeiples, focounting methods, and other accountiag matiers shall be made by the hanager. The Company shall keep a curment list of all Members and their Capitial Contribuliofls, adiustad for any withorawals which shall be avalable for ingpetion by all Wembers. Each Menbet or its autherized representative may examine any of the books and records of the Company during normal business hours upon veasonable notice for a proper purpose reasonably related to the Wember's interest in the Company.

\section*{LI.02. Reports to hemhers}

As soon as practicable after the end of each Fiscal Year, the Company shall cause 10 be prepared and sent to emeh Member a report sening forth in sufficitant delail all such information and dala with respect to the Company for such Fiseal Iear as shall enable each Wember to prepare its incone tax renums. Any financial stalements, peport and tar retums required pursuant to this Sextion 11.02 shall be prepared at the experse of the Company.

\section*{L1.03. Tas. Elections: Deremminations Wor Provided for in Arternent.}

The Managet shall be empowered 10 make or rewoke any elections now or herealter required or permitted to be made by the Code or any state or local tax lauy, and to decide in a falt and equitable mannter any accounting procedures and ohher mathers arising whith tespet to the Company or under this Agreement that dre not expresty provided for fin this Agerement. In this regard, the Members agrea that the Compainy shall malke a valid efection under Code Section 754. Worwithstarding the foregoing, absent the unanimous congent of the Wandger to the contrary, the Company and all Members shall take any steps that may be necesiary to clect partncriship slatus for purposes of the Code and any applicable state or loctal ter law.
II. (4). Cax Matters Parther.

The lurestor is hereby designaled the "Tax Watters Partner " of the Company for purposts of the Code.

\section*{ARTICLE XII}

\section*{GENERAL PROYTSIONG}

\subsection*{12.61. Notices}

Excepl as expross \({ }^{\prime}\) y prowided in this Agmement, all notices, consent, waivers requests, or other instriments or communicalions given pursuant to this Agreanent shall be in writing. shall be sidped by the party giving the game, and stall be delivered by hand; 夆nt by registered or certified United States mail, return receipl requeslen, postage prepaid; of sent by a reoggifed owemight deliwery bervice. Such nolices, instruments, or communications shall be addrested, in the case of the Compenty, Io the Company at its principal place of business and, in the case of any of the Members, to the address st forth in the Company's beoks and records; excepl that any Member may, by nolice to the Company and each other Member. specify any other address for the receipt of such hotices, instrumentis, or sommuniedions. Except ens expressly prowided in this Agreement, any molice, instmuthent, of outhr comuthrication shall be demed properly given when zent in the maner prescribed in this section 12.0l. In computing Whe priod of time for the giring of any nolice, the day on which the notice is gituen shall be enchuded and the day on which the manter nollost is to occur shall be jncluded. If notice is given by personal deliwery, then it shall be dewned giveh on the date perctonally deliwered to sum Person. If uotice is given by mail in the manner permitted abote, it shall be deemed given three (3) days after being deposited in the mail addressed to the Person lo whom it is direted at the last address of the Perron as it appears on the vecords of the Company, with priepaid pothote therem- If notiec is giwen by nationalyy cecognized owemight courjer deliwery service, then it shall be deemed qiwen on the dabe acrually deliwered to the address of the recipient by such nationally recognized ouemight courier deliwery servies. If notice is given in any other manner authorized herein or by daw, it shall be deemed given when actually delivered, unless otherwise specified herein or by law.

\section*{12 02. [ntsipietation.}
(a) ARTICLE, Section, and Subsection headings are not lo be considered part of this Agrement, are ineluded solely for convenience ol reference and are not intendedi to be full or aceurate deterntitions of the contents thereot.
(b) Use of the terins "htreinn. "hiteleunder," "hereof;" and like temus shall be deemed to refer to this entire Agrement and not metely to the paricular provision in which the lem is coniained, unless the contert clearly indicates otherwise.
(c) Use of the word "ineluding" or a like term shall be congtrued to metan "inc|uding but not limilod tor"
（d）Exhibits and schedules to this Agrement ate an integral part of山his Agtement
 gender，and unords imponing the singular shall include the plural and wice－versa，uhless the context clearly indicates atherwise．
（f）Any reference to a provision of the Code，Regulations，or the Act shall be enosinued to be a reference to any sucessor provision thereot．

\section*{12．03．Gourerning Lawr Jurisdiction：Wenues}

This Agreement and all maiters ariging herefrom or with mespect herefo，intludind． without limitalion，bri olaims（uht＂Coterctal Whaters＂）shall be govemed by，and constned in accordance with，the intemal latws of Bate of Nevada，without reference to the cholice of law principles thereof．The hembers aqpere thal any dispute betwen thern or between any of them and the Compaty arising out of，br in cothention will，the eheteution，interpretation，performsine or non－performance of this Agrearient（inc｜unding the weldity，seope and enforceability of these arbittalion provisions）shall be settled by abitration conducted in Clark County Nevada，in the English langhage，in aceordance with the commencial arbitration nules of the American Arbitration Association（＂AAA＂），by a siugle arbitrator，dess graled by the AAA in accordance with the nules of the AAM．The decision of the AMA shall be final and binding on the Members and the Company，and not subject to furdhet review，and judgment on the awards of the AMA may be entered in and enforced by any court hawing jurisdiclion ower the parties or their arsels subjecl to the protedural requirements in such jurisdiction．The arbiuration hearing shall be held solely in the Sate of Fomation．Noowithstianding the foregaing agtetiment to 白bitrate，the parties expressly reserve the night to seel：（i）prowisional relief from any coult of compenent jurisdiction to preserve their resptchiwe aghts pending arbilsation and（ii）equilabie reljef in any court of competent jurisiliction in the State of Formation．All coots of the arbitrator stall be split equally by the claimani，on the one hand，and the refpondents，on the other hand；proyided， however，the artitralor shall have the right to apportion such fosts in actotidane with whal the arbiluator deems just and equitable under the cincumstances．The arbitralor shall have ithe puthorily to award reimburtement of athomeys＇fees to the prevailing party in lie aribitration－

\section*{12．04．Binding AR＋的血解}

This Agremenl shall be binding uphn and inure to the benefit of the Members and the Managers and their respective heits，ereculdrs，administalors，personal represenlatiwes， and suctersors．

\section*{12．05．Dispure Resolulion．}

In the event of a failure io reasonably resolve ally issues amomg any of the Patins （or their owners，assigns，ot guccessors）the alspules of thote pertiss will be refered to binding
 resolution through binding arbitralion．Abbitration shall be conducled under Newada＇s Adtitalion Rules）．Judgment on the arbitrator＇s award may be entered in any fouth having
 and stall be conducted before a single artitrator almetabe to the parties. The arbitrator shall make findings of fact and daw in writing in support of his decision, and shall award reimbursenent of attomey's fees and ather posts of arbitration to the paevailing party as the arbitrator deeme appropriate. The prowisions hereof shall hot prec|ude athy party tiph secking preliminary injunctive relief to prolecl or enforse its rights hereunder, or prohibit any court from making preliminary findings of facl in connection whth granting or denying such prelimintery injunclive relief after and in accordanoe with the decision of the arbitrator. No decision of the abillallor shall be subiect to judicial revicu or appeal; the parties waiwe any and all rights of judiciel appeal or veview of any decision of the abiltator. Should any party initiate a ciwil proceeding aguinsl any other, notwithstanding the binding arbiuraion prowision abowe, such party ininating civil litigation ssiall recogruze that it has caused maleriel dandete and hanm to the other by way of their breach of this agement, and hereby agrees 10 an award, to e炡h named defendanl phaty, liquidaled damages in the ancount of any costa of defense


\subsection*{12.06. Seviritility}

Each item and provision of this Agtement is intended to be sewerable. If any
 unduforceable for any reason whatiower, that term or prowision shall be modified orly to the extent пectessif' to be enforced, such tern or prowision shall be enforced to the maximum exteni penmitted by law, and the validity of the remainder of this Agreement shall not be adversely affectod thereby.

\subsection*{12.01, Entint Aqresment.}

This Agrembet (ineluding the exhibils hetelis and the Seryides Agrement) supersedes any and all other understunding and agrethenth, etther oral or in wriling between the Wembers with respect to the Membership Interests and constitules the sole agrement between the Menbers with respect to the Membership Interests.

\subsection*{12.08, Eurtheraction}

Eath Member shall, upon the request of the Marager, exceute ald deliver all paptrs, documents, and instrumbits and perform all dets that are necessary or appropiate to implement the temis of this Aprement and lite intern of the Almbers.

\section*{12,09. Ahendment ot Modificetisnt}

This Agremuen (inchuding the ekhibits hereto) may be amended or modified from time to time only upon the pritten approval of the Conpany (acting through the Manager) and the [nvestor Member prowided, however, for so long as the Seller Member opms any Class A Units, the appout of the Seller Miember shall be requived lo amend Section 5.01 of this
 this Agrement Notwiuhtlanding the fortgoing no amendment shall ereale any perisonal
liability or personal obligation of any Member for the debts, obligations, or liabilities of the Compriny not oiherwise prowided under the Act without such Wlember's uritien consent.

\subsection*{12.10. Coluntriments.}

This Acrement may be execuled in original wr by facsimile in several counlerparts and, as so execated, shall conslitute one agrement, binding on all of the parties herelo, notwithslanding thal all of the parties are nof signatory to the original of to the same countepart
[Siguthre Pagar Foliow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Members have execuled and adopted this Lintiled Liability Company Agreement effective as of Septeruber \(30,2017\).

\section*{MEMEERS;}


SKC Ventures Holding. LLC (Investor Meriber)


\section*{MANAGER:}
fig ffocm, as Manager SIC Ventures Holdings, C LHC

\section*{EXHIHIT A}

\section*{DEFTNITTIONS}

For purposes of this Agrement, the following terms shall have the following meatinges:
"Adiuted Crilal_Account Deficit" means, with respet to any Person, the deficit balance, if any, in such Person's Capital Account as of the end of the releqant Fiscal Fear or other period, after giving effect the following adjustments:
(a) eredit to such capital Acoouit any anwunis which such Person is obligated to restore pursuant to any prowision of this Agrement or is deemed to be obligaled io
 2(i)(5) atler laking into actoumt any changes during such year in Company Minimun Giain and Member Minimum Gaim; and
(a) debil io such Capital Account the items described in Regulations Section \(1.7(4-1(b)(2)(1 i)(d)(4),(5)\) and \((6)\)

The foregoing definition of Adjustod Capital Acoount Defficit is intended to comply with the provisions of Regulations Sectipn I. 704 -l(b)(2)(ib)(d) and shall be interpreted consistently thetcruith -
"Affiliale" means, with respent to any Person, a Person that directly or indirectly, thtough one or more intermediaries, conirtis, is contmolltd by or is under eommon connew with the first mentioned Person. A Person shall be detmed to control enthother Person if such first Person possesses directly or indiceclly the power to ditech or cause the divection of the management and pelicies of the stofod Person, whether through the ownership of woting securities, by contitat of otherwise.
 commercial banks in New York, NY are open for the general transaction of business.
"Capilat Account" means, with respect to 船y Membet, the Membtry Cospital Contributions, incressed or decreased as provided in this Ancrement.
"Canilal Conlibution" meths, with retpert to the livestor Member, the andount of mones conlibutd to the Company by the Investor Member.
"Clats A Unjte" medns a slass of Units that are denominated as "Class A Units",
"Code; means the Inlemal Revenue Code of 1986 , as amended from time to lime-
"Company Winimum Gain" has the meaning ascribed to the lemm "paranership minumum gain" in the Regulations Section I. 704-2(d).
"Confidential Information" nueans any intormation conceming the Company or the fiffancial condition, business, operations, prospects or atsels of the Company (ineludiag the terme of this Agremeni), papidtad that the lamin "Confidentiol lnformation" does not inchude information thet (i) is or beemmes generally dyalable to the public pther than as a result of a disclosure by a Member or any of a Member's Representatives in wolation of this Agreement, (ii) is or whs awalable to such Member on a non-confidential beasis prior to its disclosire by the Compeny to such Wember of the Representatiwes of such Member or (iii) was or betomes awailable to such Member on a non-confidential basis from a source ather than the Compary. Which sowne is or was (at the tine of receipt of the relewand information) not, to such Hember's Khowledge, bound by a confidentiality agreement with (or other corntidentiality oblipation to) the Company or another Persont.
"Deprocipaitol" means an amount equal io the depreciation, artortization or other cost recovery deduetion allowable with tespect to ath assen for the Fiscal Year or cther period, extept that if the Gross Assel Value of an asset differs from its adjusied tax basis al the beginning of the Fiscal Year or oher period. Deprecialion will be an amount which bears the same ratio to the beginning Gross Asset Walut as lht Foderal income lay depreciationth, amortization or other cost recourery deduction for the Fiscal Year of other period bears to the beginning adjusted lax basis; prowided, howeter, thal if the Federal income lan deprecialion, anortization or other cosi retovery deduction for the Fiscal Year or other period is zero; Deprecialion will be delemined by reference to the begirning Gross Asset Value using any reasonable method selected by the Manager.
"Figcal Year" means the calendar yeary butt upon the organization of the Company', "Fiscal Year" means the period from the firat day of the temm of the Company to the nert following December 31 , and upon diswolution of the Comprany, shall mean the period from the end of the last proceding Fistal Year to the date of such dissolulioh.
 for federal intonte tax putposer, andusted as provided in this Agreement.
"Liquidation" has the meaning as set forth in Regulations Section 1-704-

"Whanacr" means each Persen comprisint the Manaper in aucordance with Section \(6,\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (b) of this Agrement. A Manger may be a natural person or an entity; a Member or }\end{array}\right.\) a noll-member.
"Hember" means each Person executing this Agrement as a Member or hereafter admitued to the Company as a Member as prowided in this Agrement, but does moinchude any Person who has ceased to be a Member of the Company. For pupotes of mierprelints this Agreemeni, references to the temm "MEmber" in ART]CLE [V and ARTICLE W shall be deened to refer to a transferee of an inlerest in the Company who is not admitted ac a Member under Section 7.04 unless sulth interperalion is himentistent with lhe prowisiont of Seation 7.06.
"Wember Wonrecourse Debt Winimum Gain" hase the meaning ascribed Io the 4\&m "parther honrezourse debt minimum gain" in Regulations Section 1, 704-2(i)(2),
 nonnecourse debt＂in Regulations Section I． 7 （）4－2（b）（4）．
 nonretourse deduclion＂in Regulations Section \(1.704-2(i)\)（2）．
 interding，wilhout Itmitation，righs to disuributions（liquidating or otherwise），allocations， informalion，and the night to paticipate in the management of the buginess and aftiirs of the Comptiny，inc｜uding the righi to wote on，consent tis，or otherwige participate in any decision or action of or by the Henibers granied by lhis Agrement or the Act．
＂Net Proceeds＂；with respect to a Sale Ttanstaction，means the probs proceds from the Sale Tranaction less（i）the payment of shy indebdedness for borowed montey of the Company＋logether with all interets，proniums and fets due and owing theroon，（ii）the pratment of any Inaselion bets and expenfes incurred by the company thet are directly related to the sale Timnstetion and（iii）any holdtack，reserve or eserow established by the Mandger in connection with the Sale Transaction to satisfy any poal－trancaction indemnificalion，purchage price Hodustment or similar obligation（and，once the Manager delermines thal the need for such holdtack，veserve or escrout shall have ceased，any remaining procetds shall be ditatributed to the Hembers in accordance with Section 5．01）
 amount pabal to lhe Compeny＇s laxable incothe or loss for sush yrear or period，determined in自cordanke with Code \＄ection 7 隹（a）（for this pupose；all items of incomer gain．loss or dedution requiped to be slated separately pursuant to Code Section 703（a）（l）atall be included int taxable incone or loss），with the following adjusiments：
（ia）Any income of the Company thal is wempa lrom federal ineome tax ard not otheruise talken into account in computing Ner Profits or Net Losses shall be added to such tarable income or loss＇：
（b）Any expenditurts of the Company desctibed in Code Section \(705(\mathrm{gh}(2)(\mathrm{B})\) or treated as Code Section \(705(\mathrm{a})(2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B})\) expenditures pursuant to Pegulations
 Locses shall be subitracted from Net Profits or Ner Losses；
（c）Gains or losses resulting fiom any disposition of Compary assel with respect to which gains or loases are recognized for federel income laz puposes shall be computed with refermee to the Ghoss Assel Value of the Companty asser disposed of， notwithstarding the facl that whe edjusted tax beisis of such Company isset differs from its Gross Asset Walus；
（d）In lieu of the depreciation，的montization and other cosi recovery deductions taken inlo acoounl in compuring the taxable income or loss，there will be taken into account Depreciationir and
(e) [f ithe Dross Astet Walue of any Company asser is adjusied purfuant to the definition of "Gross Astet Valut," the amoumk of the adjusiment sill be taken finto asoount as gain or lops foth the dispocition of the asser tor purposes of computing Ner Profits of Net Losper

Notwithstanding any other provision of this subseation, any items of indombs gain loss or deduction that are specially allocated under Section 4.or(b) or any pher Section of this Agreement shall not be taker inio account in computing Net Profite or Net Cosses.
"New Units" mean any Units issupd by the Company after the date hereof or any Units issuable by the Compony upon twerite, exchange or enduersion of any exercisable. exchangeable or conwenible securitits issued after the date hercof.
 1.704-2(b) and (c)
"OLher SPY" means a special purpose entity formed by the company and an [nvestor to pursue the Purposes and which spectul purpoge entity is funded solely by such Investor.
"Percentage lnterest": means, as of any date of detemaination, with respect to the [nvesior Member, the percentage inlerest detemined by dividing ( \(x\) ) the number of Class A
 of the Members. The sum of the eulshanding Perwentage Inlerests of ihe Member shall al all lithes equal ohe hundred percent (100\%).
"Pemitted States" mears Statec with an HoA "Super Priority" or "Safe Hatpor" provision codified in its statutes, and any other such other states as may be approved by the Manager.
 limiled liability company, estate, thust, or any ofher lepal entity.
"Requlalions" means the Treasury Regulations pramulgaled under the Code, as Suth Regulations may be anerided from time to time
"Regulatory Allocations" has the neaning set forth in Section 4. . Lichi(i).
"Representative" of a Person means that Person's directors, ofticers, general prarlners, members, maragers, enployees, and ageuts.
 outstanding Units of the Company or (ii) the sale of all or subslantially all of the assels of the Company (ineluding by means of merger, consolidation other business embinalion, erclusire license, equity exchange or other reporganization to a third party.
"Gervices Agreenent" means thal certain Services Agrement, dater as of Jamury 20,2015 , between the Comprany and the Seller Member.
\({ }^{4}\) Transfer \({ }^{\text {H1 }}\) means, as a noum, any woluntary or intoluntary transfer, sale, pledge, hypoulhecation, gift, or other disposition and as a yert, woluntarily or inwoluntarily to transfer, sell, pledge, typothecate, give, or olherwise dispote of.

"Members Retared Parly" meant tach of the Members, their resperive Representaives (ineluding Jay Blowi) and any of its or their respective Astiliates.

EXHIBIT B
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline JWand and Addrets of Meneber & Commolditent & \% Membership Interest \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Seller Nefriber Kettueth Anlos \\
Fax: \\
Atm: \\
will a copy lo: \\
Fax: \\
Attenlion:
\end{tabular} & \$100 & 49\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Nane ted Addresp of Member & Commintmert & \% Memberthip lateresy & \\
\hline Lnvestor Member & & & \\
\hline STCVEntures Holdings, LLC & \$150,000.00 & \(51 \%\) & \\
\hline Fax: 702-974-0284 & & & \\
\hline Atun: Jay Blpent & & & \\
\hline with a copy to: & & & \\
\hline Faxc 702-629-7925 & & & \\
\hline Attention: Joseph Gutierrez, Esq. & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXHIBIT "LL"}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & & 2 \\
\hline 1 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{DISTRICT COURT} \\
\hline 2 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}} \\
\hline 3 & & \\
\hline & SPANISH HEIGHTS ACQUISITION ) & Case No.: A-20-813439-B \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4} & COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada Limited) & \\
\hline & Liability Company; SJC ) & Dept. No.: 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} & VENTURES HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, & \\
\hline & d/b/a SJC VENTURES, LLC, a ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6} & Delaware Limited Liability ) & \\
\hline & Company, ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7} & ) & \\
\hline & Plaintiffs, ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8} & ) & \\
\hline & vs. ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9} & ) & \\
\hline & CBC PARTNERS I, LLC, a & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10} & foreign Limited Liability ) & \\
\hline & Company; CBC PARTNERS, LLC, ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11} & a foreign Limited Liability ) & \\
\hline & Company; 5148 SPANISH & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12} & HEIGHTS, LLC, a Nevada ) & \\
\hline & Limited Liability Company; ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{13} & KENNETH ANTOS AND SHEILA ) & \\
\hline & NEUMANN-ANTOS, as Trustees ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14} & of the Kenneth \& Sheila ) & \\
\hline & Antos Living Trust and the ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15} & Kenneth M. Antos \& Sheila ) & \\
\hline & M. Neumann-Antos Trust; ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{16} & DACIA, LLC, a foreign ) & \\
\hline & Limited Liability Company; ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17} & DOES I through X; and ROE ) & \\
\hline & CORPORATIONS I through X, ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} & inclusive, ) & \\
\hline & ) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{19} & Defendants. ) & \\
\hline & ) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{20} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{21} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{22} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{23} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{24} \\
\hline 25 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

APPEARANCES:
(All parties appearing remotely)
For the Plaintiffs:
DANIELLE J. BARRAZA, ESQ.
MAIER GUTIERREZ \& ASSOCIATES
8 8 1 6 ~ S p a n i s h ~ R i d g e ~ A v e n u e
Las Vegas, Nevada }8914
djb@mgalaw.com

```
For the Defendants CBC Partners I, LLC, CBC Partners,
LLC, 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC, and Dacia, LLC:
    MICHAEL R. MUSHKIN, ESQ.
    MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE
    6070 South Eastern Avenue
    Suite 270
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
    michael@mccnvlaw.com
The Videographer:
    JOHNNY RANDALL
Also Present:
    JAY BLOOM
    KENNETH ANTOS
                                    * * * * * * * *
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 6 & 8 \\
\hline 1 SHEILA NEUMANN-ANTOS, & 1 the record now? \\
\hline 2 having been first duly sworn, was & 2 MS. BARRAZA: Yeah. If you could -- you can go \\
\hline 3 examined and testified as follows: & 3 ahead and state it, if you would like. \\
\hline 4 EXAMINATION & 4 MR. MUSHKIN: Sure. \\
\hline 5 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 5 For purposes of the record, Exhibit 17 contains \\
\hline 6 Q. All right. Good morning. If you could please & 6 a series of guarantees and acknowledgments that are \\
\hline 7 state your name and then spell it for the record. & 7 signed by both the Antoses I apologize. In the first \\
\hline 8 A. Sheila Neumann-Antos. Oh, and spell it. & 8 deposition, we went laboriously through them and \\
\hline 9 Sheila, S-h-e-i-l-a N-e-u-m-a-double n, Antos, & 9 identified Ken's signatures. We are stipulating that \\
\hline 10 A-n-t-o-s. & 10 where Sheila signed, those are true and accurate copies \\
\hline 11 Q. Okay. And Ms. Antos, you understand that & 11 of documents that Sheila Antos -- Neumann-Antos signed \\
\hline 12 you're -- the oath you just took is the same that you & 12 either in her individual capacity or from time to time \\
\hline 13 would take as in a court of law. & 13 in her capacity as trustee of their grantor trust -- \\
\hline 14 Do you understand that? & 14 their revocable trust. \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & 15 Does that cover it, Counsel? \\
\hline 16 Q. Which means you are subject to the same & 16 MS. BARRAZA: I think it covers. My only issue \\
\hline 17 penalties of perjury. & 17 is I don't see any signature on behalf of the trust. \\
\hline 18 Do you understand that? & 18 MR. MUSHKIN: I just didn't know. I just \\
\hline 19 A. Yes. & 19 wanted to be inclusive. \\
\hline 20 Q. All right. I just want to briefly -- I assume & 20 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. That's fine. That's fine. \\
\hline 21 you live at the same address as your husband; correct? & 21 MR. MUSHKIN: By way of example, 822 is one of \\
\hline 22 A. Yes. & 22 those Acknowledgments and Agreement of Guarantors, and \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. And I assume -- you've never been & 23 my understanding is there's one of those for each of the \\
\hline 24 convicted for any kind of felonies; correct? & 24 modifications to the note. \\
\hline 25 A. Correct. & 25 MS. BARRAZA: And I just want to make sure, can \\
\hline 7 & 9 \\
\hline 1 Q. Okay. And you've never been arrested for any & 1 we stipulate that if there's no indication that there's \\
\hline 2 crimes involving dishonesty; correct? & 2 a signature on behalf of the trust, there is no need to \\
\hline 3 A. Correct. & 3 assume that it was on of behalf the trust? \\
\hline 4 Q. Okay. Now, did you specifically personally & 4 MR. MUSHKIN: Yeah, I believe you are correct, \\
\hline 5 review any documents in preparation for this deposition? & 5 Counsel. The way the documents were created, they were \\
\hline 6 A. I read the document that we were served with. & 6 individually guarantors. The trust is not a guarantor. \\
\hline 7 Q. Okay. Anything else that you can recall? & 7 The trust is an obligor on certain other documents. I \\
\hline 8 A. No. & 8 believe the deed of trust is signed by the -- the \\
\hline 9 Q. All right. All right. And I would assume you & 9 trustees. \\
\hline 10 would agree that you did live at -- reside at the & 10 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. \\
\hline 11 property located at 5148 Spanish Heights Drive, Las & 11 MR. MUSHKIN: And those are all recorded and \\
\hline 12 Vegas, Nevada 89148; correct? & 12 notarized. We're not going to dispute the authenticity \\
\hline 13 A. Yes. & 13 of any of those documents either. \\
\hline 14 Q. All right. And you are comfortable with me & 14 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. \\
\hline 15 referring to that as "the property"; correct? & 15 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 16 A. Correct. & 16 Q. All right. And you agree with that, I assume? \\
\hline 17 Q. All right. If we could just briefly -- let me & 17 Ms . Antos, you agree with that? \\
\hline 18 ask you this first: You obviously sat in while your & 18 A. Yes. \\
\hline 19 husband was being deposed. Was there any testimony he & 19 Q. Okay. All right. I wanted to ask you do you \\
\hline 20 provided that you dispute or disagree with? & 20 personally have any kind of history of legal -- getting \\
\hline 21 A. No. & 21 a legal education? \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. And if we could go to Exhibit 17. & 22 A. No. \\
\hline 23 (Exhibit 17 was marked for the & 23 Q. Okay. Did you ever go to school for and learn \\
\hline 24 record.) & 24 anything about the law or things like that? \\
\hline 25 MR. MUSHKIN: Do you want to put the stip on & 25 A. No. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 10 & 12 \\
\hline 1 Q. Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge as to 2 what the doctrine of merger means? & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 what he should have been doing for getting out of it. \\
2 And --
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 A. No. & 3 Q. Okay. All right. And did anybody ever discuss \\
\hline 4 Q. Has anybody attempted to explain it to you? & 4 with you the legal ramifications for signing off on this \\
\hline 5 A. No. & 5 L 001 document? \\
\hline 6 Q. Okay. And if we can go to Exhibit 19. & 6 A. No. \\
\hline 7 (Exhibit 19 was marked for the & 7 MR. MUSHKIN: You have to wait for her to \\
\hline 8 record.) & 8 finish. There's a slight pause -- \\
\hline 9 MR. MUSHKIN: Do you want the letter or the -- & 9 THE WITNESS: Oh. \\
\hline 10 MS. BARRAZA: Yeah, if we can go to K001 on & 10 MR. MUSHKIN: -- after she finishes. When you \\
\hline 11 Exhibit 19. & 11 keep answering, they don't actually hear it because -- \\
\hline 12 THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear the dialogue & 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. \\
\hline 13 going on. & 13 MR. MUSHKIN: -- there's a minimal gap because \\
\hline 14 MR. MUSHKIN: We're just waiting for a & 14 we're all -- so please answer again. \\
\hline 15 question. Sorry. & 15 THE WITNESS: What's the question? \\
\hline 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 17 Q. To clarify for the record, I'm referring to & 17 Q. The question was do you recall ever anybody \\
\hline 18 L 001. & 18 explaining to you the legal ramifications of signing off \\
\hline 19 All right. Do you see your signature on this & 19 on this document, this L 001 Exhibit 19? \\
\hline 20 document? & 20 A. No. \\
\hline 21 A. Yes. & 21 Q. Okay. All right. And would you agree with me \\
\hline 22 Q. Is that a "yes"? I didn't hear you. & 22 that you have not signed off on any document here on \\
\hline 23 A. Yes. & 23 L 001 that -- with respect to waiving the doctrine of \\
\hline 24 Q. All right. Do you have any understanding as to & 24 merger? \\
\hline 25 what you were signing off here on this L 001 document? & 25 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the \\
\hline 11 & 13 \\
\hline 1 A. I'm looking at it. Yes. & 1 question. Calls for a legal conclusion. \\
\hline 2 MR. MUSHKIN: She was officially mumbling. & 2 You can answer, if you can. \\
\hline 3 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. I read this, the first & 3 THE WITNESS: No. \\
\hline 4 thing, the assignment. We were assigning whatever we & 4 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 5 had in the Spanish Heights Acquisition Company to CBC I & 5 Q. And as you sit here today, would it be correct \\
\hline 6 or CBC with -- so that we could facilitate the & 6 that you don't know what the doctrine of merger is; \\
\hline 7 possibility that Mr. Bloom had been sitting on this & 7 correct? \\
\hline 8 house. It was supposed to be done in two years. He was & 8 A. Correct. \\
\hline 9 supposed to -- he was so wonderful and told us all about & 9 Q. And as you sit here today, you don't have the \\
\hline 10 his billion-dollar judgment he got against someone, and & 10 knowledge as to whether or not you would want to waive \\
\hline 11 he was going to purchase our home probably or end up & 11 it or not; correct? \\
\hline 12 buying out CBC in two years and working with the other & 12 A. Correct. \\
\hline 13 two deeds of trust, first and second, to be able to & 13 Q. Okay. \\
\hline 14 purchase the home. And I-- so that's -- this was to & 14 MR. MUSHKIN: And it assumes facts not in \\
\hline 15 facilitate. & 15 evidence. I object to the form of the question. \\
\hline 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
\hline 17 Q. Okay. So I understand & 17 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 18 A. Get it all done. & 18 Q. Do you have any testimony to add with respect \\
\hline 19 Q. Okay. So I understand your position that this & 19 to the question I had asked Mr. Antos about why you guys \\
\hline 20 L 001 on Exhibit 19, you were signing off on it in an & 20 decided to put the property -- it used to be in your \\
\hline 21 attempt to facilitate -- to facilitate Mr. Bloom & 21 names individually, and then at some point it got put \\
\hline 22 purchasing the property or to -- & 22 into the trust. Do you have any other testimony to add \\
\hline 23 A. Making it easier. Something -- & 23 to that? \\
\hline 24 Q. Okay. & 24 A. No. \\
\hline 25 A. Whatever we needed to do to have him go on with & 25 Q. Okay. You agree it was based on you guys had \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 14 & 16 \\
\hline 1 gotten some advice that that's probably what you should & 1 Q. Okay. Good. Okay. \\
\hline 2 be doing, putting it into a trust? & 2 MR. MUSHKIN: Don't answer with your head. \\
\hline 3 A. Yes. & 3 Answer audibly. And you got to take a breath before you \\
\hline 4 Q. Okay. Do you have any personal -- let me just & 4 answer it, like earlier. \\
\hline 5 ask you this: During the conversations that took place & 5 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 6 with -- with CBC, were these conversations usually & 6 Q. From what I'm understanding, is it correct that \\
\hline 7 Mr . Antos and somebody from CBC, or were you actually & 7 you don't believe that you or the Antos trust is \\
\hline 8 involved in those conversations? & 8 claiming any interest in Spanish Heights Acquisition \\
\hline 9 A. It was Mr. Antos. & 9 Company at this time? Is that correct? \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. Okay. And do you have any personal & 10 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the extent it calls \\
\hline 11 knowledge as to SJC's finances? & 11 for a legal conclusion. \\
\hline 12 A. No. & 12 You can answer. \\
\hline 13 Q. And are you familiar -- when I say "SJC," I'm & 13 THE WITNESS: Oh. I don't really know because \\
\hline 14 referring to the -- the tenant of the property? & 14 I, you know. \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & 15 (Court reporter interrupts.) \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. And do you have any personal knowledge & 16 MR. MUSHKIN: She just doesn't know. That's \\
\hline 17 as to whether SJC is undercapitalized? & 17 all. \\
\hline 18 A. No. & 18 THE WITNESS: I just don't know. \\
\hline 19 Q. Okay. Do you know if SJC is insolvent? & 19 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. That's fine. \\
\hline 20 A. No. & 20 MR. MUSHKIN: What she was about to say was \\
\hline 21 Q. Do you know if -- do you personally know that & 21 that she relies on her husband, but she didn't get it \\
\hline 22 Jay Bloom has been commingling any of his personal funds & 22 out too smoothly. That's why she looked over at him. \\
\hline 23 with SJC funds? & 23 She gave one of those (indicating). Sorry. \\
\hline 24 A. No. & 24 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. & 25 Q. All right. And so I think we already went over \\
\hline 15 & 17 \\
\hline 1 MR. MUSHKIN: She's scrolling fast. She's & 1 you don't dispute any of your husband's testimony. \\
\hline 2 going good. We might get lunch. Yeah, that's the -- & 2 Would you agree that the answers he provided to the \\
\hline 3 she's fast doing the mouse, the mouse scrolling. We can & 3 questions that you also listened in on, you would agree \\
\hline 4 just hear it a little when you scroll. & 4 with those answers? \\
\hline 5 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 5 A. Yes. \\
\hline 6 Q. I just want to touch base on the -- the SHAC & 6 Q. Okay. Do you have anything that was asked \\
\hline 7 operating agreement. Do you recall signing off on that? & 7 earlier today of Mr. Antos -- do you have anything that \\
\hline 8 A. Yes, I do. & 8 you feel you need to add or clarify? \\
\hline 9 Q. Okay. Do you recall recently looking at that & 9 A. No. \\
\hline 10 operating agreement? & 10 Q. Okay. \\
\hline 11 A. No. & 11 MS. BARRAZA: All right. I will at this time \\
\hline 12 Q. Okay. Do you happen to remember language in & 12 pass the witness. \\
\hline 13 that operating agreement in there stating that if & 13 MR. MUSHKIN: No further questions. \\
\hline 14 there's any dispute, you have to go to arbitration? & 14 MS. BARRAZA: Thank you. \\
\hline 15 A. No, I don't remember. & 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. & 16 deposition of Sheila Neumann-Antos. The time is now \\
\hline 17 A. Standard at the time -- & 17 11:23 a.m. We are off the record. \\
\hline 18 (Court reporter interrupts.) & 18 (Deposition concluded at 11:23 a.m.) \\
\hline 19 MR. MUSHKIN: Scanned it at -- & 19 \\
\hline 20 THE WITNESS: Scanned it at the time. & 20 \\
\hline 21 THE COURT REPORTER: "Scanned it." Thank you. & 21 \\
\hline 22 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 22 \\
\hline 23 Q. Meaning you skimmed through it, you looked & 23 \\
\hline 24 through it before signing it? & 24 \\
\hline 25 A. (Witness moves head up and down.) Yes. & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{EXHIBIT "MM"}

Dreed of Salc

\section*{Type of Decmment}
(Example: Declaration of Hormeslead, Quit Claim Deed, etc.)

\section*{Recording Requested Byt}

Maier Gutiemez \& Assaciates

\section*{Returp Documends To:}

Name Joseph A. Gutierrez, Esy. Maier Guticrez Ayon
Address \$816 Spanish Ridge Avemue
City/State/Zip Lis Vegas, Nevada 89748

This page added to provide additional infommation required by NRS 111.312 Section 1-2
(An additional recording fee of \(\$ 1.00\) will apply)

This cover page must be typed or printed cleady in black ink only.

Cowergheel.pd

\section*{APN: 163-29-615-007}

Return dosument and mail tax starements to:
SPANISH HEN吹HTS ACOUSTHON COMPANY, LLC
5148 Spanish Heiphts Dr.
1* Vext NV 89142

\section*{DEED OF SALE}

\section*{THIS DNE TIURE WTTNESETH: The firs pary}

ANTOS KENNLTH * SHEDA LVV TR KENNETH M ANTOS SHEDA ML NEUMANN-ANTOSTRS
 umplied to

\section*{SPANISH HEIGATS ACOULSTHON COMARANY, LLC}

LOT SEVEN (T) W ELOCK FIVE (5)OF SPANESI HILIS ESTATES UNTT \$A AS SHONN BY MAF THEREOF ON FLLE IN BOOK IOD, OF PLATS, PAGE 58, IN THE OFECE OF THE OOLNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
and conmorly kown es 5148 SPANISH HEGHTS DR, LAS VEGASNV 991 甜.
Together wilh all and singalar the ternement, herodiancats and appurienances thereunn belanging oc in amy way appenaining-

Sidizen to: 1. Property max
2. Condtions, coveneats, destricticess. reservations, rights, rights of way, and

3. Lignt dertsof trust, and other enceambraces now in forct, if any.

By:
Kewnah Anlos, Tulswe
 Shala Ficumarr Andoc, Truster

\section*{STATETFNEVADA , \\ COUNTYOFCARK ;}
 \(\qquad\)
 Ennetin notos - rnale mamentris
\(\qquad\)


\section*{STATE OF NEVADA DECLARATION OF VALUE}
I. Assessor Parcel Number(s)

b.
c.
d.
2. Type of Property:

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
FOR RECORDERS OPTIONAL USEONLY \\
Book \(\qquad\) Page: \(\qquad\) \\
Dase of Recording: \(\qquad\) Nowes:
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
3.a. Todal Value'Sales Price or Ptoperty
\(\$ 0.00\)
b. Deed in Lieu of Foreclostre Only (value of property! \(\square\)
c. Trinsfer Tax Value:
\(\$ 0.00\)
© Real Property Transfer Tax Due
\(\$ 0.00\)

\section*{4. If Examothon Claimetl:}
a. Tradsfer Tax Exemprion per NRS 375,090 , Section *9


 The undersigned declares and acknowledges, under penalty of perjury, parsuani to NRS 375.060 and NRS 375.110 , that the infornation provided is correct to the best of their informathon and belief, and can be supported by documentasion if called upon to substantiate the infomation provided herein. Furthermore, the parties agree that disallowance of any eloimed exemptiont, or odter delemintation of additional tax due, may result in a peralyy of \(10 \%\) of the cax due plas interes af \(1 \%\) per month. Parsuant to NRS 375.030. the Buygaza Seller stall be jointly and severally liable for any addilional amount owed.
 Capacity: Grantoriseller
\(\qquad\)
SELLERIGRANTORINFORMATION (REQUIRED)

BUYER (GRANTRE) INFORMATHON
(REQUIRED)
Prind Name: Antos, Keninellh and Sheila LT
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline City Los Vequa & \\
\hline Stale: Nv & Zipr: 89149 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Print Nome: Spenien Heqpis Acq. Co, LuC
Address: 5148 Spanish Heights Dr
City. Las Vegas
Siste:MV Zip: 89148

 Escrow \# Address: \(2 \cdot 10\) stan: City: AL (x)

AS A FUBLJC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDEDNMICROFILMED

\section*{EXHIBIT "NN"}


Kenneth Antos Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC, et al. v. CBC Partners I, LLC, et al.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & & & & 4 \\
\hline 1 & DISTRICT COURT & 1 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{I N D E X} & \\
\hline 2 & CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA & 2 & WITNESS & & PAGE \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3} & & 3 & KENNETH AN & & \\
\hline & SPANISH HEIGHTS ACQUISITION, Case No.: A-20-813439-B & 4 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Examination by Ms. Barraza} & 7 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada Limited) \\
Liability Company; SJC ) Dept. No.: 11
\end{tabular} & 5 & Examina & on by Ms. Barraza & \\
\hline 5 & VENTURES HOLDING COMPANY, LLC,) & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6
7
8} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{E X H I B I T S}} & \\
\hline & d/b/a SJC VENTURES, LLC, a ) & & & & \\
\hline & Delaware Limited Liability ) & & EXHIBIT & DESCRIPTION & MARKED \\
\hline 7 & Company, & 9 & Exhibit 1 & 4/16/07 Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed (PLTFS000591-PLTFS000594) & 44 \\
\hline & Plaintiffs, & 10 & & & \\
\hline 8 & () & & Exhibit 2 & 4/16/07 Deed of Trust & 46 \\
\hline & vs. & 11 & & (PLTFS000595-PLTFS000612) & \\
\hline 10 & CBC PARTNERS I, LLC, a & 12 & Exhibit 3 & 12/22/08 Construction Deed of Trust (PLTFS000613-PLTFS000637) & 47 \\
\hline 10 & foreign Limited Liability,
Company; CBC PARTNERS, LLC, & 13 & & & \\
\hline 11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a foreign Limited Liability, } \\
& \text { Company; } 5148 \text { SPANISH }
\end{aligned}
\] & 14 & Exhibit 4 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3/17/09 Substitution of Trustee and Deed of Full Reconveyance (PLTFS000640)} & 49 \\
\hline 12 & HEIGHTS, LLC, a Nevada & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15} & & & \\
\hline 13 & Limited Liability Company; ,
KENNETH ANTOS AND SHEILA & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Exhibit 5} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/14/10 Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed (PLTFS000642-PLTFS000645)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{49} \\
\hline & NEUMANN-ANTOS, as Trustees & 16 & & & \\
\hline 14 & of the Kenneth \& Sheila & 17 & Exhibit 6 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/14/10 Deed of Trust (Third Party) (PLTFS000646-PLTFS000671)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{51} \\
\hline 15 & Kenneth M. Antos \& Sheila & 18 & & & \\
\hline 16 & DACIA, LLC, a foreign Limited Liability Company; & 19 & Exhibit 7 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10/27/10 Substitution of Trustee and Deed of Reconveyance (PLTFS000672-PLTFS000673)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{52} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17} & DOES I through X ; and ROE & & & & \\
\hline & CORPORATIONS I through X , & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{20} & & & \\
\hline 18 & inclusive, & & Exhibit 8 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{11/30/10 Deed of Trust and Assignment of Rents (PLTFS000677-PLTFS000684)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{53} \\
\hline 19 & Defendants. ) & 21 & & & \\
\hline & ) & 22 & & & \\
\hline 20 & & & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Exhibit 9} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{4/4/11 Revolving Credit Deed of Trust Security Agreement and Assignment of Rents (PLTFS000685-PLTFS000701)} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{54} \\
\hline 22 & & 23 & & & \\
\hline 23 & & & & & \\
\hline 24 & & 24 & & & \\
\hline 25 & & 25 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & & & & 5 \\
\hline 1 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{APPEARANCES:
(All parties appearing remotely)} & 1 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{I N D E X} \\
\hline & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{E X H I B I T S (Continued)}} \\
\hline 2 & (All parties appearing remotely) & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3} & For the Plaintiffs: & 3 & & & \\
\hline & DANIELLE J. BARRAZA, ESQ. & 4 & EXHIBIT & DESCRIPTION & MARKED \\
\hline 4 & MAIER GUTIERREZ \& ASSOCIATES 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue & 5 & Exhibit 10 & 12/29/14 Deed of Trust and & 55 \\
\hline & Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 & 6 & & Assignment of Rents (PLTFS000702-PLTFS000724) & \\
\hline 6 & & 7 & Exhibit 17 & 10/2016 First Amendment to & 57 \\
\hline 7 & & & & Intercreditor Agreement & \\
\hline & For the Defendants CBC Partners I, LLC, CBC Partners, & 8 & & (PLTFS000794-PLTFS000952) & \\
\hline 9 & LLC, 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC, and Dacia, LLC: MICHAEL R. MUSHKIN, ESQ. & 9 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Exhibit 18} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/22/08 Construction Deed of} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{20} \\
\hline & MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE & & & & \\
\hline 10 & 6070 South Eastern Avenue & 10 & & (5148SH 000003-5148SH 000154) & \\
\hline & Suite 270 & 11 & Exhibit 19 & 4/1/20 letter from Mushkin to Mr. & 31 \\
\hline 11 & Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 michael@mccnvlaw.com & & & Bloom and Mr. and Mrs. Antos with & \\
\hline 12 & & 12 & & attachment & \\
\hline 13 & & & & (K001-K003 and L 001) & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{The Videographer:} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{13} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14} & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{14} \\
\hline & JOHNNY RANDALL & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{15} \\
\hline 1 & & 16 & & & \\
\hline & Also Present: & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{17} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17} & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{18} \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
JAY BLOOM \\
SHEILA NEUMANN-ANTOS
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{19}} \\
\hline 18 & & & & & \\
\hline 19 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{* * * * * * * *} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{20} \\
\hline 20 & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{21} \\
\hline 21 & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{22} \\
\hline 22 & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{23}} \\
\hline 23 & & & & & \\
\hline 24 & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{24} \\
\hline 25 & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{25} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

P R O C E E D I N G S
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today is
Wednesday, September 23rd, 2020. The time is
approximately 9:13 a.m.
This is the remote deposition of Kenneth Antos
in the case of Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC,
et al, vs. CBC Partners I, LLC, et al.
I'm Johnny Randall with Oasis Reporting
Services. I will be monitoring the proceedings and
recording both video and audio today.
At this time I will ask counsel to identify
themselves, state whom they represent, and agree on the
record that there is no objection to the court reporter
administering a binding oath to the witness through
remote videoconferencing. If no objection is stated, we
will proceed forward with all counsel. We'll begin the
appearances with the noticing attorney.
MS. BARRAZA: Good morning. Danielle Barraza
on behalf of the plaintiffs, and we have no objection.
MR. MUSHKIN: Good morning. Michael Mushkin on
behalf of defendants, and we have no objection.
(Witness sworn.)
KENNETH M. ANTOS,
having been first duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:

7

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Antos. Can you hear me okay?
A. Yes, I can.
Q. All right. Can you please state and spell your name for the record.
A. It's Kenneth, K-e-n-n-e-t-h, Antos, A-n-t-o-s.
Q. And have you had your deposition taken before?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times, approximately?
A. I have no idea. Fifteen or 20.
Q. Okay. What was the last time you had your deposition taken?
A. Maybe a year ago.
Q. Okay. So I'm going to just go over -- it
sounds like you're probably familiar with all the ground
rules, but just to make sure we're all on the same page,
I'm going to go over some of those right now.
The oath that you just took is the same oath
that you would take in a court of law. Do you
understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Which subjects you to the same penalties of perjury just as if you were to be subjected to in a court of law.

2 A. Yes.
3 Q. I'm going to ask that you wait for me to finish
4 my question before going into your answer, and I'm also
5 going to try to wait for you to completely finish
6 whatever answer you're giving before going on to my next
7 question. Okay?
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. So from time to time, your counsel is going to 10 be lodging objections to the questions. So we'd ask 11 that unless you're specifically instructed not to answer
12 the question, still do answer the question after the objection has been put on the record. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Is there any reason why you won't be able to give me full, complete, and truthful answers to the questions today?
A. No.
Q. All right. What is your current home address?
A. 11512 Belmont Lake Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89135.
Q. Can you give just a brief general overview of your educational background.
A. I have a -- starting from a master's degree in business administration and an undergraduate degree in

\section*{1 Do you understand that?}

2 Q. Okay. And where did you get those degrees 3 from?

\section*{A. Syracuse University for the undergraduate and}

State University of New York at Binghamton for the master's.
Q. Okay. And if you could give just a very
general, brief --
(Interruption by cell phone.)
10 BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Apologies for that.
-- very general, brief overview of your work history, just very brief.
A. I started as a -- being an accountant for the Wards Company, which being Circuit City, and I became 16 the president of Circuit City, everything west of the 17 Mississippi, about 3 billion-dollar entity. And 18 subsequent to that, I went into my own businesses.
Q. Okay. As far as what kind of businesses have 20 you been getting into? Like, the restaurant business? 21 What kind of businesses?
22 A. In terms of the restaurant business, I was -- I 23 deal with Walmart. I had a master agreement, master 24 lease agreement, with Walmart, and I introduced Subway 25 to Walmart. But anyplace there's a Subway, it's a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 10 & 12 \\
\hline 1 result of that contract, inside Walmart in approximately & 1 Q. Yes. \\
\hline 21,800 locations. And subsequent to that, I went into & 2 A. I spoke to one person. \\
\hline 3 the restaurant business and opened up a series of & 3 Q. Okay. Who did you speak to? \\
\hline 4 restaurants. & 4 A. Alan Hallberg. \\
\hline 5 Q. Here in Las Vegas? & 5 Q. And who is he? \\
\hline 6 A. All over. They were -- they were in different & 6 A. Alan Hallberg owns the third -- as far as I \\
\hline 7 states. & 7 know owns the third position on my -- on the residence \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. Have you ever been convicted of any & 8 that's in question. \\
\hline 9 felonies? & 9 Q. Okay. When did you speak with Alan? \\
\hline 10 A. No. & 10 A. Probably a couple months ago. \\
\hline 11 Q. Okay. And have you ever been charged with any & 11 Q. Okay. And what were you guys talking about? \\
\hline 12 crimes related to dishonesty? & 12 A. Some information I needed regarding the \\
\hline 13 A. No. & 13 occupancy of the -- of the property by the -- \\
\hline 14 Q. And what did you do -- actually, when did you & 14 (Court reporter interrupts.) \\
\hline 15 first learn that you were going to be deposed in this & 15 THE WITNESS: -- the occupancy of the property \\
\hline 16 matter? & 16 by Mr. Bloom. \\
\hline 17 A. Within the past month. & 17 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 18 Q. All right. And what have you -- have you & 18 Q. And you're saying this is information you \\
\hline 19 reviewed any documents in preparation for providing & 19 needed? \\
\hline 20 deposition testimony today? & 20 A. Yes. \\
\hline 21 A. Very -- & 21 Q. And why did you need this information? \\
\hline 22 Q. All right. What documents do you recall & 22 A. I wanted to know what was happening. I had \\
\hline 23 reviewing? & 23 very little information. \\
\hline 24 A. The -- I just don't remember without looking -- & 24 Q. And you were trying to figure out who was \\
\hline 25 (Court reporter interrupts.) & 25 occupying the residence; is that correct? \\
\hline 11 & 13 \\
\hline 1 THE WITNESS: -- back through the main file. & 1 A. I know who was occupying the residence. \\
\hline 2 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 2 Q. Okay. \\
\hline 3 Q. Okay. How many -- approximately, how many & 3 A. I wanted to know what the -- what the \\
\hline 4 pages worth of documents would you say you reviewed in & 4 conditions of that occupancy were. \\
\hline 5 preparation for your deposition today? & 5 Q. You're saying you want to know also the \\
\hline 6 A. Probably 30. & 6 condition of the property; is that what you're saying? \\
\hline 7 Q. Okay. All right. And you have -- as you sit & 7 A. No. I needed to know the technicalities of \\
\hline 8 here today, you have no recollection of anything you & 8 what are the rights of the occupant -- \\
\hline 9 actually reviewed; is that correct? & 9 Q. Okay. \\
\hline 10 A. Well, I don't know the titles. You asked me & 10 A. -- if determined -- \\
\hline 11 the titles. I don't know. & 11 Q. Okay. And why did you want to know that? Why \\
\hline 12 Q. Okay. So what's the substance of what you & 12 were you investigating that? \\
\hline 13 reviewed? & 13 A. Well, because we're here at this deposition, \\
\hline 14 A. Some of the stuff was -- had to do with & 14 and so I wanted to understand it a little better. \\
\hline 15 Mr . Bloom's engagement as a -- as the manager of the & 15 Q. Did you speak to Alan prior to going -- making \\
\hline 16 entity that was -- is leasing from -- the house that & 16 your appearance at court and providing testimony in \\
\hline 17 was -- is in question. Some are the responsibilities of & 17 court in this matter in April of this year? \\
\hline 18 Mr . Bloom as it pertains to that property. & 18 A. I haven't seen Alan in a couple years. \\
\hline 19 Q. Anything else? & 19 Q. I'm saying did you speak to him before that? \\
\hline 20 A. That's all I can remember. & 20 A. I speak to him regularly. Probably every six \\
\hline 21 Q. And besides your attorney and your wife, have & 21 months or so \\
\hline 22 you spoken with anybody else regarding this litigation? & 22 Q. Okay. When was the last time you spoke to him? \\
\hline 23 A. Over what period of time? & 23 A. Well, as I said, a couple months ago. \\
\hline 24 Q. Over the past -- throughout this year. & 24 Q. Okay. And was it before or after you provided \\
\hline 25 A. Throughout this year? & 25 testimony to this court for this matter? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

    A. I really don't recall.
    Q. Okay. So we'll come back to that, but moving
    on a little bit, as we kind of touched on, this
litigation involves the property located at 5148 Spanish
Heights Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148. Are you
comfortable with me just generally referring to that as
"the property" throughout this deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And you formerly owned that property; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you formerly resided there; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And just to clarify, I am the
counsel for the plaintiffs, which is Spanish Heights
Acquisition Company, LLC, commonly known as "SHAC," and
also SJC Ventures -- Ventures Holding Company, LLC, and
also Jay Bloom. And I'll represent to you that Spanish
Heights Acquisition Company, or SHAC, is the current
owner of record of that property.
Do you understand that?
A. I'm not aware of exactly who that is.
Q. Okay. You're unaware of who owns the property
according to the property records?
A. No.
Q. Okay. All right. So you're being deposed

```
because the -- you and Sheila Antos have been named as
defendants in your capacities as trustees of the Kenneth
and Sheila Antos Living Trust and the Kenneth M. Antos
and Sheila M. Neumann-Antos Trust.
Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And so you -- that's in your
capacity as you've been named as defendants.
9 Additionally, the trust has asserted counterclaims against SJC.

Are you aware that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So tell me about those counterclaims

Why is the trust suing SJC Ventures?
A. As far as I know, it's to get Mr. Bloom to vacate the property.
Q. Okay. So the Trust is suing SJC to try to get Mr . Bloom to vacate the property?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Do you know what -- what the basis of the lawsuit -- of the counterclaims are? What are the causes of action?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

Please answer, if you can.
2 I'm very sketchy on it.
3 BY MS. BARRAZA
Q. Okay. Have you personally reviewed the
counterclaim that the trust has asserted against SJC
Ventures?
A. Some parts, probably.
Q. Okay. Do you have a personal recollection of that?
A. I have a recollection of the reasons for
default against the agreement by Mr. Bloom.
Q. You're saying "the reasons for default"?
A. Yes.
Q. So what's your understanding of the reasons for default?
A. There are certain aspects that Mr. Bloom wants to accomplish based on the agreement that he had, which have not been, to my knowledge, achieved.
Q. When you say "agreement," are you referring to the forbearance agreement?
A. I'm -- don't know if it's in the forbearance agreement or any -- as one of the other agreements.
Q. Okay. All right. So I'll represent to you
that one of the causes of action that the trust is
asserting against SJC is a breach of contract claim.

5 A. That Mr. Bloom is in breach of contract for a
6 variety of nonachieving of commitments that he made in writing, and so he has not fulfilled the obligations he had under those terms.
Q. And when you're saying he's "in breach of the contract," breach of what contract?

MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Asked and answered. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. So breach of what contract?
A. I don't believe I said "breach." I said default.
Q. Okay. Understanding that. So default of what contract?
A. Contract to -- regarding the forbearance and 19 the acquisition of the property.

20 Q. Okay. And -- all right. If I told you that
21 the counterclaim for breach of contract is based on an
22 alleged breach of the SHAC operating agreement, would
23 that surprise you?
A. I don't know what that means.
Q. Do -- are you aware of what the SHAC operating
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 18 & 20 \\
\hline 1 agreement is? & 1 lieu of -- of -- for signing anything. I have never. \\
\hline 2 A. I've seen very little documents from SHAC, if & 2 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 3 any. & 3 Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to -- if we can go to \\
\hline 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall signing off on an & 4 Exhibit 18. And tell me whenever you're there. \\
\hline 5 operating agreement, a SHAC operating agreement? & 5 (Exhibit 18 was marked for the \\
\hline 6 A. I don't recall. & 6 record.) \\
\hline 7 Q. Okay. And do you personally have any & 7 THE WITNESS: I'm here. \\
\hline 8 recollection of looking at the SHAC operating agreement & 8 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 9 recently? & 9 Q. Okay. Is the first page that you're looking \\
\hline 10 A. No. & 10 at, does it say "Forbearance Agreement" at the top? \\
\hline 11 Q. All right. Now, is the trust claiming to have & 11 A. Yes, it does. \\
\hline 12 any interest in SHAC at this time? & 12 Q. And at the bottom, do you see at the bottom \\
\hline 13 A. I'm not really familiar with how it went & 13 there's page numbers? \\
\hline 14 together. & 14 A. Yes. \\
\hline 15 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, is the trust claiming & 15 Q. Does it say 5148 SH 0001 ? \\
\hline 16 to have any interest in SHAC? & 16 A. Yes. \\
\hline 17 A. I really don't know. & 17 Q. Okay. All right. So that's -- I'll represent \\
\hline 18 Q. Okay. Are you personally claiming to have any & 18 to you that this is a compilation of documents \\
\hline 19 interest in SHAC, the company? & 19 consisting of what's been disclosed as the Forbearance \\
\hline 20 A. I have no idea whether I have interest or don't & 20 Agreement and other related agreements and documents. \\
\hline 21 have interest. Those -- & 21 I want to turn your attention to -- as you can \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. Do you recall ever signing any document & 22 see the page numbers on the bottom right-hand corner; so \\
\hline 23 that says the trust was transferring its interest in & 23 I want you to go to page 34, if you can do that. \\
\hline 24 SHAC to another entity? & 24 A. Okay. Okay. \\
\hline 25 A. I don't recall. & 25 Q. All right. And so this document, do you \\
\hline 19 & 21 \\
\hline 1 Q. Okay. You don't recall doing that? & 1 recognize what this document is with page 34 at the \\
\hline 2 Do you recall ever claiming to have held any & 2 bottom? \\
\hline 3 interest in SHAC at any time? & 3 A. I see what it is. \\
\hline 4 A. No. & 4 Q. Do you recognize it as the Limited Liability \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. You don't recall the trust ever having, & 5 Company Agreement of Spanish Heights Acquisition \\
\hline 6 like, a 49 percent interest in SHAC? & 6 Company, LLC? \\
\hline 7 A. I remember seeing that somewhere. & 7 A. I haven't read through it; so I-- I see the \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. So you do have a recollection of the & 8 title. \\
\hline 9 trust claiming to have an interest in SHAC at some & 9 Q. Okay. And then do you see the first paragraph? \\
\hline 10 point? & 10 It references that it's a limited liability agreement of \\
\hline 11 A. I read somewhere that there was an interest, & 11 Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, and it does \\
\hline 12 but I-- I don't know what the details are. & 12 reference the "Antos, Kenneth and Sheila Living Trust, \\
\hline 13 Q. Okay. And as you sit here today, you have no & 13 Kenneth M. Antos, Sheila M. Neumann-Antos Trust as \\
\hline 14 idea who SHAC attempted to tran- -- I mean -- I'm & 14 trustees hereinafter referred to as the 'Seller' or the \\
\hline 15 sorry -- who the trust attempted to transfer its & 15 'Seller Member.'' \\
\hline 16 interest in SHAC to; correct? & 16 Do you see that? \\
\hline 17 A. I'm not aware of it. & 17 A. Yes. \\
\hline 18 Q. Okay. And as you sit here today, you're not & 18 Q. Okay. And I want you to turn to -- well, let \\
\hline 19 aware of the trust receiving any kind of monetary & 19 me just ask you this: Do you recognize this document as \\
\hline 20 consideration in return for transferring any kind of & 20 the SHAC operating agreement? \\
\hline 21 interest in SHAC; correct? & 21 A. No, I-- I mean, it's been a while since l've \\
\hline 22 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal & 22 looked at any of this stuff. Sol -- \\
\hline 23 conclusion. & 23 MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, just for the record, \\
\hline 24 You may answer the question, if you can. & 24 this is not an executed copy. \\
\hline 25 THE WITNESS: I've never received anything in & 25 MS. BARRAZA: So I'm seeing a signature on page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 22 & 24 \\
\hline 178. & 1 A. "In the event of a failure to reasonably \\
\hline 2 MR. MUSHKIN: I'm on page 62. It has no & 2 resolve any issues among any of the parties or \\
\hline 3 signature. That's the page there. We'll go to 78. & 3 their owners, assigns, or successors, the \\
\hline 4 This looks like an executed copy of B-10. & 4 dispute of those parties will be referred to \\
\hline 5 Let's see what the other one wa & 5 binding arbitration for resolution thereof, and \\
\hline 6 Would you mind taking a look at 62 and 78. & 6 such party waives any right to litigation in \\
\hline 7 MS. BARRAZA: I'm seeing that, but l'm seeing a & 7 favor of such resolution through binding \\
\hline 8 signature on 78. & 8 arbitration." \\
\hline 9 MR. MUSHKIN: Oh, no. I don't dispute that, & 9 Q. Now, what does that sentence mean to you? \\
\hline 10 but I -- I'm -- it appears that 62 is the execution page & 10 A. It means if there's an issue, that we get \\
\hline 11 for the operating agreement. I fully acknowledge that & 11 together and resolve it. \\
\hline 12 the documents are what they are. I just wanted to point & 12 Q. An issue with respect to the operating \\
\hline 13 out that it's a different header than -- I believe that & 13 agreement; correct? \\
\hline 14 what you're pointing to is the execution of something -- & 14 A. I don't know if it's the operating agreement or \\
\hline 15 MS. BARRAZA: Of Exhibit A. Of Exhibit A to & 15 the forbearance agreement. I'm not sure. \\
\hline 16 the operating agreement. & 16 Q. All right. And you're saying if there's an \\
\hline 17 MR. MUSHKIN: Right. It's something titled & 17 issue, we get together and resolve it. Now, it \\
\hline 18 & 18 specifically states get together with respect to binding \\
\hline 19 MS. BARRAZA: 63. & 19 arbitration. \\
\hline 20 MR. MUSHKIN: Sorry. 69, & 20 Do you understand that? \\
\hline 21 MS. BARRAZA: B & 21 A. Yes. \\
\hline 22 MR. MUSHKIN: -- "to Forbearance Agreement" & 22 Q. Now, do you understand binding arbitration is \\
\hline 23 starting at \(\mathrm{B}-1\), and it looks like the execution page is & 23 different from going to court and doing litigation with \\
\hline 24 B-10 for that agreement -- & 24 the court system? \\
\hline 25 MS. BARRAZA: Uh-huh. & 25 A. Yes. \\
\hline 23 & 25 \\
\hline 1 MR. MUSHKIN: -- but that the operating & 1 Q. Okay. And so do you understand that by the \\
\hline 2 agreement itself, the reference, at least the copy here, & 2 trust asserting a counterclaim against SJC for an \\
\hline 3 is not executed. & 3 alleged breach of the operating agreement, that's going \\
\hline 4 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. Understanding that. & 4 against this dispute resolution clause which says it \\
\hline 5 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 5 needs to go through arbitration? \\
\hline 6 Q. So getting back to the question, regardless of & 6 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal \\
\hline 7 this not being an executed version of the operating & 7 conclusion and assumes facts not in evidence. \\
\hline 8 agreement, do you recognize this as the SHAC operating & 8 You can answer the question, if you can. \\
\hline 9 agreement? Do you have any -- do you recognize that? & 9 THE WITNESS: I can't -- I can't answer. \\
\hline 10 A. I recall signing this. You had asked me what & 10 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 11 it is. I have no idea at this point. It's been a & 11 Q. So do you have any understanding as to how this \\
\hline 12 while. & 12 dispute resolution clause comes into play with respect \\
\hline 13 Q. All right. And you mentioned that you don't & 13 to your counterclaims? \\
\hline 14 recall looking at this in a while; is that correct? & 14 A. I believe, but I'm not sure. \\
\hline 15 A. That's correct. & 15 Q. What do you believe? \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. All right. Well, l'll turn -- and you & 16 A. That based on other ancillary activities not \\
\hline 17 did recall signing this. So I'm going to turn your & 17 accomplished, that it bypasses this. \\
\hline 18 attention to page 6--- actually, page 59, if you can go & 18 Q. Sorry. I didn't catch the last part of what \\
\hline 19 there. & 19 you said. \\
\hline 20 A. Okay. & 20 A. I don't believe this applies since Mr. Bloom \\
\hline 21 Q. And do you see where it references "Dispute & 21 did not achieve commitments he made under the \\
\hline 22 Resolution" on Section 12.05? & 22 forbearance agreements. \\
\hline 23 A. I see the heading, yes. & 23 Q. So you're saying because of alleged breaches of \\
\hline 24 Q. All right. Now, can you read for me into the & 24 the forbearance agreement, the -- certain sections of \\
\hline 25 record that first sentence under "Dispute Resolution." & 25 the op- -- the SHAC operating agreement should not \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 26 & 28 \\
\hline 1 apply; is that correct? & 1 Q. Okay. So that says: \\
\hline 2 MR. MUSHKIN: Again, objection. Calls for a & 2 "Should any party initiate a civil proceeding \\
\hline 3 legal conclusion. And the witness has answered your & 3 against any other, notwithstanding the binding \\
\hline 4 question, Counsel. & 4 arbitration provision above, such party \\
\hline 5 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 5 initiating civil litigation shall recognize \\
\hline 6 Q. You can answer. & 6 that it has caused material damage and harm to \\
\hline 7 A. I'm saying that, first off, it was -- he has & 7 the other by way of their breach of this \\
\hline 8 defaulted on the forbearance agreements, and as far as & 8 agreement, and hereby agrees to an award, to \\
\hline 9 I'm understanding -- I don't understand a lot about & 9 each named defendant party, liquidated damages \\
\hline 10 this -- the -- the -- that supersedes what -- what's & 10 in the amount of any cost of defense incurred \\
\hline 11 called for here. & 11 by the aggrieved party plus \$10,000." \\
\hline 12 Q. All right. Can you turn to page 60 of the -- & 12 Do you see that? \\
\hline 13 that Exhibit 18. & 13 A. I see it. \\
\hline 14 A. Hold on. & 14 Q. So what does that mean to you? \\
\hline 15 Q. And I'm going to represent for the record that & 15 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal \\
\hline 16 we do have an executed copy of this operating agreement & 16 conclusion. \\
\hline 17 that we will be emailing to try to add as another & 17 You can answer. \\
\hline 18 exhibit so that it can be properly authenticated on the & 18 THE WITNESS: It says we -- somebody has to pay \\
\hline 19 record. & 19 \$10,000 for initiating a civil action. \\
\hline 20 MR. MUSHKIN: I'm not worried about it, & 20 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 21 Danielle. I've seen it as well, I just wanted you to & 21 Q. Okay. And do you understand that the trust has \\
\hline 22 know that one wasn't executed. & 22 initiated civil action against SJC with respect to this \\
\hline 23 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. & 23 operating agreement with -- regarding its counterclaim? \\
\hline 24 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 24 A. I believe so. \\
\hline 25 Q. And are you on page 60? & 25 Q. Okay. And so do you have any reason to dispute \\
\hline 27 & 29 \\
\hline 1 A. Yes, l am. & 1 that the trust should be held accountable to this \\
\hline 2 Q. Okay. So that's the continuation of the & 2 dispute resolution clause in the operating agreement? \\
\hline 3 dispute resolution clause. If you can read the -- do & 3 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal \\
\hline 4 you see at the -- the last sentence where it says: & 4 conclusion. He can only testify to facts that he knows, \\
\hline 5 "Should any party initiate a civil & 5 Counsel. \\
\hline 6 proceeding..." & 6 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 7 A. The last sentence of which section? & 7 Q. So go ahead. You can answer the question. \\
\hline 8 Q. Of the first paragraph, page 60. & 8 A. I'm not sure. \\
\hline 9 MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, do I have to remind you & 9 Q. Okay. Now, going back -- let me just ask you \\
\hline 10 that you are the ones that filed? & 10 this: Why didn't the trust -- if the trust felt it had \\
\hline 11 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 11 a breach of the contract claim against SJC, why didn't \\
\hline 12 Q. Can you answer my question? Do you see that & 12 it bring that claim in arbitration? \\
\hline 13 part, "Should any party..."? & 13 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal \\
\hline 14 A. I'm trying to find it. & 14 conclusion. \\
\hline 15 MR. MUSHKIN: Last sentence. & 15 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 16 THE WITNESS: Hold on. Okay. & 16 Q. Go ahead. \\
\hline 17 Yeah, I do see it. Let me just make a comment & 17 A. I was not aware of all -- all of this as -- as, \\
\hline 18 to you about my vision is not the best. I have impacted & 18 you know, it says it here. \\
\hline 19 vision. So it takes me a while to get through the & 19 Q. Okay. And you agree you did sign off on this \\
\hline 20 sentence. & 20 agreement; correct? \\
\hline 21 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 21 A. We did at the time, yes. \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. Do you want me -- can I just go ahead & 22 Q. Okay. And when did the trust first realize \\
\hline 23 and read that sentence into the record so we're all on & 23 that there was an alleged breach of the operating \\
\hline 24 the same page as to what we're reading? & 24 agreement? \\
\hline 25 A. Go ahead. & 25 A. How much time do you have? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 30 & 32 \\
\hline 1 Q. I'm asking you when. & 1 collection of documents that have been provided to us by \\
\hline 2 A. Ask it again, please. & 2 the defendants. I want to turn your attention to, I \\
\hline 3 Q. When did the trust first realize that there was & 3 believe, just the last page, which is -- at the bottom \\
\hline 4 an alleged breach of the SHAC operating agreement? & 4 it says L 001. \\
\hline 5 A. When we learned that Mr. Bloom was not paying & 5 A. Okay. \\
\hline 6 committed funds and trying -- and not closing on the & 6 Q. So do you recognize what L 001 is? \\
\hline 7 house. & 7 A. Assignment of Company and Membership Interests. \\
\hline 8 Q. So exactly when -- I'm asking you when? & 8 Q. So what does that mean to you? \\
\hline 9 A. I don't know. & 9 A. In this case, I believe it meant that John \\
\hline 10 Q. What year? What month? When did that happen? & 10 Otter and CBC Partners were given authority over the \\
\hline 11 A. I have no idea what -- what day or month or a & 11 interest. \\
\hline 12 year. It's been going on for -- & 12 Q. So is that your signature on this L 001 \\
\hline 13 Q. Was it this year? Was it last year? & 13 document where it says "Kenneth M. Antos, Trustee"? \\
\hline 14 MR. MUSHKIN: Hang on, Counsel. You're & 14 I didn't hear you. Did you say "yes"? \\
\hline 15 interrupting him. He didn't get a chance to finish his & 15 A. Yes. \\
\hline 16 sentence. & 16 Q. Okay. So you signed up on this document. \\
\hline 17 Go ahead and finish your sentence. & 17 And this document is not dated. Do you know \\
\hline 18 THE WITNESS: This has been going on for such a & 18 when you signed this document? \\
\hline 19 long time now, I don't recall which -- exactly the date & 19 A. No. \\
\hline 20 it was on. & 20 Q. Okay. And did you have any legal counsel at \\
\hline 21 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 21 the time -- at the time you signed this document? \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. So I'm not asking -- & 22 A. No. \\
\hline 23 A. -- years. & 23 Q. Okay. You had no legal counsel? \\
\hline 24 Q. Sorry. What did you say? & 24 How did you -- how did you get this document? \\
\hline 25 A. Within the past three years. & 25 Who gave it to you? \\
\hline 31 & 33 \\
\hline 1 Q. Within the past three years. & 1 A. If you look at 19, it says Mr. Mushkin sent it \\
\hline 2 So it could have been -- according to your & 2 out. \\
\hline 3 testimony, the alleged breach, you could have found out & 3 Q. You are saying Mr. Mushkin sent it over via \\
\hline 4 about it last year, the year before, or the year before & 4 email? \\
\hline 5 that? & 5 A. No. It says it was sent from Mr. Mushkin. So \\
\hline 6 A. I have no idea when. & 6 I don't know how I got it. I'm not sure. To me, \\
\hline 7 Q. Okay. You have no idea when. & 7 April's a long time. \\
\hline 8 And what I'm trying to figure out is why is the & 8 Q. So you somehow got this document, L 001, and \\
\hline 9 trust -- this counterclaim was asserted in July of this & 9 you decided to sign it; correct? \\
\hline 10 year. Why is the trust just now asserting a breach of & 10 A. Yes. \\
\hline 11 contract claim against SJC? & 11 Q. Who did you speak to before deciding to sign \\
\hline 12 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal & 12 it? \\
\hline 13 conclusion. & 13 A. I didn't speak to anyone other than trying to \\
\hline 14 THE WITNESS: I was not -- I'm not aware of the & 14 get this thing expedited through so that Mr. Otter would \\
\hline 15 July date. & 15 have what he needs to do whatever he's doing here. \\
\hline 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 16 Q. Okay. And you didn't consult any attorneys or \\
\hline 17 Q. Okay. And -- let's go to Exhibit 19, if we & 17 anything like that before signing off on this; correct? \\
\hline 18 can. & 18 A. Correct. \\
\hline 19 A. Okay. & 19 Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that by \\
\hline 20 (Exhibit 19 was marked for the & 20 signing this document, what this is doing is \\
\hline 21 record.) & 21 transferring any interest that the trust has in SHAC to \\
\hline 22 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 22 CBC Partners, LLC? \\
\hline 23 Q. You're there? & 23 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal \\
\hline 24 A. Yes. & 24 conclusion. The document speaks for itself. \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. I'll represent to you this is a & 25 But you can answer. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. They already had a third position on the house, though, that -- exceeded -Y MS. BARRAZA
Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that -- is it
your --
Counsel. Let him finish his sentence.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. Go ahead. Finish your sentence, please. Go
    A. I said that they already had a third position
on the house which, when added to the first and second,
exceeded the value of the house. So there was -- to me,
    Q. Okay. What did you understand the effect to be
    of signing this?
        MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal
conclusion.
        THE WITNESS: Are you waiting for me?
        MR. MUSHKIN: Yeah. Answer.
    BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. I'm waiting for you to answer my question.
    A. Okay. He gave John the authority to do what he
    needed to do to expedite the transaction.
    (Court reporter interrupts.)
    THE WITNESS: Yes.
    THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
    BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. Now, did anybody speak to you about the
    doctrine of merger before you had signed off on this
    document?
    A. I don't even know what a doctrine of merger is.
    Q. Okay. So nobody had spoken to you about what
    t was and what it would mean; correct?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. Okay. Now, it's a -- would you agree with me
    that you did not affirmatively waive any kind of
            MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal
    conclusion.
            THE WITNESS: Once again, I have no idea what a
    doctrine of merger is; so I don't know how I could
    answer that question.
    BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. Okay. All right. And so the -- let me just
    ask you this: At some point did CBC issue a note to you
    or to any of your companies?
    A. A note?
    A. Yes.
4 amount -- excuse me -- and then increasing as funds were
5 required, and they added more to it.
Q. Okay. And are you aware that -- well, as you
sit here today, since you do not know what the doctrine
of merger is, is it correct that you have no knowledge
as to whether or not you would want it to apply in this
case; is that correct?
    MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the
    question. Vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal
    conclusion.
        THE WITNESS: I don't even know what you said.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. Okay. So what I'm asking you is because you
don't know what the doctrine of merger is, you can't
offer me any testimony as to whether or not you want it
to apply in this case; is that correct?
    MR. MUSHKIN: Same objection.
    THE WITNESS: If it is what you just
iterated --
    MR. MUSHKIN: Do you understand her question?
    THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think so. She's asking
me -- well, let me just answer this.
the doctrine is.
BY MS. BARRAZA
    Q. Okay. All right. And -- well, let me ask you
this: So you signed off on this document purportedly
assigning the trust interest in SHAC to CBC.
    You would agree with me; correct?
    A. That's what it says I did, yes.
    Q. Okay. So then what I'm trying to figure out is
why is the trust now trying to assert a breach of
contract claim against SJC based on the operating
agreement?
    MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal
conclusion.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. So you can answer.
    MR. MUSHKIN: It's been asked and answered as
well, Counsel.
    But certainly answer it again, if you can.
    THE WITNESS: Please repeat the question again.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
    Q. Yeah.
    So what I'm trying to figure out is why is the
24 trust asserting a breach of contract claim against SJC
5 based on the breach -- a purported breach of the SHAC

Q. Okay. So exactly what kind of monetary damages is the trust seeking for its breach of contract claim?
A. We'd have to accumulate them, and we'd answer that question.
Q. Okay. So you have no idea how much damages, 6 monetary damages?
A. No, not without calculating it.
Q. Okay. Now, what's the trust's basis for

9 asserting a breach of contract claim when it's already
0 transferred its interest to CBC?
MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal
conclusion. It's been asked and answered. Counsel, you
asked the exact same question five minutes ago.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Okay. You're not going to answer that question? We'll move on.

So going back -- I want to move on to the exhibit that you were on, Exhibit 19. So would you 9 agree with me that this document, L 001 on Exhibit 19 -tell me when you're there.
A. Yeah, okay.
Q. Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. There is no mention on this document of the

5 doctrine of merger; is that correct?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Well, you can go through it and tell me if you see any mention of doctrine of merger on there.
4 A. Once again, I may have missed it because I
5 have, as I just told you, I have impacted vision. So 6 I-- I don't see it offhand.
Q. And when you originally signed off on this

8 document, did you understand everything that was written 9 on here?
A. Everything? I have no idea whether I did or didn't.
Q. Okay. You have no idea whether you understood what you signed; is that correct?

\section*{A. At that time, yes.}
Q. Okay. And so would you agree with me that, to your knowledge, you have not agreed to any waiver of the doctrine of merger?
18 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

You certainly --
THE WITNESS: Once again, I have no idea what the doctrine of merger is.
BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Okay. So what I'm trying to figure out is
since you have no idea what it is, would you also agree

39
1 with me that you've never agreed to waive it off?
2 A. I'm not even sure how those two tie together. (Court reporter interrupts.)
THE WITNESS: -- how they tie together. BY MS. BARRAZA:
Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this: Do you have any specific personal recollection of ever waiving off a doctrine of merger?
A. No.
Q. Thank you. Okay. All right.

So I want to go back to -- back to the counterclaim. So do you have any idea what other counterclaim the trust is asserting against SJC?
A. Not without reviewing it.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar that the trust has asserted a claim against SJC for alter ego?

\section*{A. Sounds logical.}
Q. Okay. So what's your basis for that? What's the trust's basis for that claim?
A. Just all the kinds of things that Mr. Bloom has perpetrated.
Q. Like what?
A. Hasn't paid for and hasn't closed, hasn't
provided by the time frames available to him for the
25 pending of the forbearance. I want this house done
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 42 & 44 \\
\hline 1 with. & 1 A. Yeah. \\
\hline 2 Q. Okay. Have you personally reviewed SJC's & 2 Q. Okay. And do you have any personal knowledge \\
\hline 3 accounting and financial records? & 3 of SJC failing to observe corporate formalities? \\
\hline 4 A. Never saw them. & 4 A. I don't even know who they are. \\
\hline 5 Q. Sorry. Did you say "never saw them"? & 5 Q. Okay. All right. And so moving on, getting \\
\hline 6 A. I have never seen the -- & 6 back to the property, were you the original owner of the \\
\hline 7 (Court reporter interrupts.) & 7 property at issue here? \\
\hline 8 THE WITNESS: -- the records. & 8 A. Yes. \\
\hline 9 MR. MUSHKIN: Are you talking about SJC, or are & 9 Q. Okay. And can you give me just some brief \\
\hline 10 you talking about SHAC? & 10 background as to how you came about purchasing this \\
\hline 11 MS. BARRAZA: I'm talking about SJC. & 11 property. \\
\hline 12 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the & 12 A. I bought the lot from the builder, from the \\
\hline 13 question. Vague and ambiguous. He has no interest in & 13 developer, and I contracted a contracting company to \\
\hline 14 SJC. Why would he see their financial records? & 14 build the house. \\
\hline 15 He already answered the question. I just want & 15 Q. Okay. And how much was -- was it to buy the \\
\hline 16 to register my objection. & 16 lot? \\
\hline 17 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 17 A. A million-eight. \\
\hline 18 Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar -- & 18 Q. Okay. And if we could turn to Exhibit 1. \\
\hline 19 MR. MUSHKIN: Of SHAC. I'm sorry. & 19 (Exhibit 1 was marked for the record.) \\
\hline 20 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. \\
\hline 21 Q. Are you familiar that your alter -- the trust's & 21 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 22 alter ego counterclaim is with respect to SJC? Are you & 22 Q. Are you there? \\
\hline 23 familiar with that? & 23 A. Yes. \\
\hline 24 A. Not without referring to it. & 24 Q. Okay. What do you recognize Exhibit 1 to be? \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. And are you familiar that the -- the & 25 A. Part of the escrow transaction acquiring the \\
\hline 43 & 45 \\
\hline 1 trust's alter ego claim is claiming that Jay Bloom is & 1 lot. \\
\hline 2 the alter ego of SJC Ventures? & 2 Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that Exhibit \\
\hline 3 MR. MUSHKIN: Asked and answered. & 31 is a Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed where the -- it's \\
\hline 4 You can answer it again. & 4 indicating that the property is being issued to Kenneth \\
\hline 5 THE WITNESS: It sure -- sure seems like it's & 5 M . Antos and Sheila M. Neumann-Antos, husband and wife, \\
\hline 6 an alter ego of -- & 6 as joint tenants? \\
\hline 7 (Court reporter interrupts.) & 7 A. Yes. \\
\hline 8 THE WITNESS: -- Bloom's. & 8 Q. Okay. And this was dated -- it was recorded \\
\hline 9 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 9 April 16th, 20---2007. \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. You're saying it seems like SJC is an & 10 Does that sound about right to you? \\
\hline 11 alter ego of Bloom's; is that what you're saying? & 11 A. Yes. \\
\hline 12 A. Absolutely. & 12 Q. Okay. And so is it -- would you agree that \\
\hline 13 Q. Okay. And you've never seen SJC's financial & 13 this Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed is evidencing you and \\
\hline 14 records. Do you have any personal knowledge of SJC & 14 your wife purchasing the property and owning it as joint \\
\hline 15 being undercapitalized? & 15 tenants? \\
\hline 16 A. I have no idea. & 16 A. Yes. \\
\hline 17 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of SJC being & 17 Q. Okay. And so originally the property was put \\
\hline 18 insolvent? & 18 into your name and your wife's name as individuals and \\
\hline 19 A. I have no idea. & 19 as joint tenants and not into any kind of trust; is that \\
\hline 20 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of Jay Bloom & 20 correct? \\
\hline 21 commingling any of SJC's funds with his own personal & 21 A. Yes. \\
\hline 22 funds? & 22 Q. Okay. It was later on down the line that the \\
\hline 23 A. No, but it sounds like something he'd probably & 23 property was transferred to a trust; correct? \\
\hline 24 do. & 24 A. Yes. \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. That's just your speculation; right? & 25 Q. Okay. Now, do you recall whether there was \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 46 & 48 \\
\hline 1 a -- a deed of trust initially associated with this & 1 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 2 purchase? & 2 Q. And this, I'll represent, is titled \\
\hline 3 A. It's been a while. I have no idea. I assume & 3 "Construction Deed of Trust," and it's recorded December \\
\hline 4 there is. & 4 22nd, 2008, and with Southwest USA Bank. \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. That's fine. We can turn to Exhibit 2. & 5 A. Yes. \\
\hline 6 (Exhibit 2 was marked for the record.) & 6 Q. Is that -- \\
\hline 7 THE WITNESS: Hang on. & 7 A. Okay. \\
\hline 8 Okay. & 8 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the \\
\hline 9 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 9 legitimacy and the authenticity of that Construction \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. And l'll represent to you this is -- is & 10 Deed of Trust with Southwest USA Bank? \\
\hline 11 a -- it's titled "Deed of Trust," and it was recorded & 11 A. No. \\
\hline 12 April 16, 2007, and it's with respect to Colonial Bank. & 12 Q. Okay. Do you have a personal recollection of \\
\hline 13 Do you recall having, you know, business & 13 Southwest USA Bank providing a construction deed of -- a \\
\hline 14 relations with Colonial Bank regarding the property? & 14 construction loan to you? \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & 15 A. Yes. \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. Does that refresh any of your & 16 Q. Okay. Do you recall the amount of the loan? \\
\hline 17 recollection about what Colonial Bank was doing? & 17 A. I don't have the exact amount. Three \\
\hline 18 A. Well, I remember Colonial Bank, but I don't & 18 million-something. \\
\hline 19 know what your question is. & 19 Q. Okay. And were those funds used for purposes \\
\hline 20 Q. Did Colonial Bank provide any kind of loan to & 20 of constructing and building the building on the \\
\hline 21 you? & 21 property? \\
\hline 22 A. I believe they did. & 22 A. All of them. \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. Do you recall the amount of the loan? & 23 MR. MUSHKIN: A little louder. \\
\hline 24 A. No. & 24 THE WITNESS: All of the funds came out of \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. And you would agree that this Deed of & 25 the -- Southwest to build the house. \\
\hline 47 & 49 \\
\hline 1 Trust, Exhibit 2, is evidencing Colonial Bank having a & 1 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 2 deed of trust with respect to this property; correct? & 2 Q. Okay. Understood. \\
\hline 3 A. I'm not sure what it -- what it represents. & 3 Going on, tell me whenever you're at Exhibit 4. \\
\hline 4 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to dispute that? & 4 (Exhibit 4 was marked for the record.) \\
\hline 5 A. Idon't -- & 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. \\
\hline 6 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the & 6 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 7 question. Vague and ambiguous. The document speaks for & 7 Q. Okay. Exhibit 4, I'll represent to you, is \\
\hline 8 itself. & 8 titled a "Substitution of Trustee and Deed of Full \\
\hline 9 Are you asking him if the Deed of Trust is & 9 Reconveyance" recorded March 17th, 2009, and this is -- \\
\hline 10 valid today, or are you asking him was it valid at the & 10 do you recall this document at all? \\
\hline 11 time of the signing? & 11 A. No. \\
\hline 12 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 12 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to dispute the \\
\hline 13 Q. I'll ask you was it valid at the time of & 13 authenticity and legitimacy of this document? \\
\hline 14 signing? & 14 A. Upon -- if I had a chance to understand it, I \\
\hline 15 A. As far as I know, it was. & 15 would -- it says Colonial Bank or whatever. I assume \\
\hline 16 Q. Sorry. I didn't hear you. & 16 it's correct. \\
\hline 17 A. As far as I know, it was. & 17 Q. Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 5. \\
\hline 18 Q. Okay. And it's your testimony you have no & 18 (Exhibit 5 was marked for the record.) \\
\hline 19 reason to dispute the legitimacy and authenticity of & 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. \\
\hline 20 this Exhibit 2; correct? & 20 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 21 A. Correct. & 21 Q. Now, Exhibit 5, l'll represent, is titled \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. Moving on to Exhibit 3. Tell me & 22 "Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed"; it's recorded October 14th, \\
\hline 23 whenever you're there. & 23 2010. And my understanding of this document, tell me if \\
\hline 24 (Exhibit 3 was marked for the record.) & 24 it's also your understanding, this is appearing to \\
\hline 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm there. & 25 transfer the deed of the property from you and your wife \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 50 & 52 \\
\hline 1 as joint tenants individ- -- and putting it into -- the & 1 state that the note was dated October 6, 2010. \\
\hline 2 title into the trust. & 2 Does that sound about right to you? \\
\hline 3 Is that your understanding? & 3 A. Yes. \\
\hline 4 MR. MUSHKIN: To the extent it calls -- & 4 Q. So if the note was dated October 6, 2010, and \\
\hline 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. & 5 then we have a deed of trust being recorded a few days \\
\hline 6 MR. MUSHKIN: -- for a legal conclusion, I & 6 later, October 14th, 2010 -- do you see that? \\
\hline 7 object, but, obviously, he can answer the question. & 7 A. Yes. \\
\hline 8 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 8 Q. Okay. And the 3,640,000, what was -- did you \\
\hline 9 Q. Sorry. What did you say? & 9 use that for purposes of the property, or what did you \\
\hline 10 A. Yes. & 10 use that for? \\
\hline 11 Q. Okay. So do you recall ever -- do you have a & 11 A. Purposes of the property. \\
\hline 12 personal recollection of getting this document prepared & 12 Q. Okay. What did you -- was it for building the \\
\hline 13 and recorded? & 13 property? What was it for? \\
\hline 14 A. No. & 14 A. Building the property. \\
\hline 15 Q. Okay. What is your recollection as to why -- & 15 Q. Okay. All right. How did you -- do you recall \\
\hline 16 what was the purpose of putting the property -- & 16 exactly how it came about that you would be getting this \\
\hline 17 transferring it from you and your wife as joint tenants & 17 Ioan specifically from City National Bank? \\
\hline 18 and putting it into a trust? What was the reasoning for & 18 A. I have -- I don't recall. \\
\hline 19 that? & 19 Q. Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 7. Tell me whenever \\
\hline 20 A. Advice based on quick escrow -- I mean, quick & 20 you're there. \\
\hline 21 handling of this in the event of one of our deaths. & 21 (Exhibit 7 was marked for the record.) \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. So it was based on advice you had & 22 THE WITNESS: I'm there. \\
\hline 23 received? & 23 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 24 A. Yes. & 24 Q. Exhibit 7, I'll represent, is called \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. All right. We can go to Exhibit 6. & 25 "Substitution of Trustee and Deed of Reconveyance." \\
\hline 51 & 53 \\
\hline 1 Tell me whenever you're there. & 1 It's recorded October 27th, 2010. It looks like it's \\
\hline 2 (Exhibit 6 was marked for the record.) & 2 regarding Southwest USA Bank. \\
\hline 3 MR. MUSHKIN: That was 6. & 3 Do you see that? \\
\hline 4 MS. BARRAZA: That was 5. & 4 A. Yes. \\
\hline 5 MR. MUSHKIN: Oops. Give me 6. I turned too & 5 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to dispute the \\
\hline 6 fast. Oh, no, we're at 6. Sorry. Never mind. & 6 legitimacy of this document? \\
\hline 7 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 7 A. No. \\
\hline 8 Q. So 6, l'll represent, is titled "Deed of & 8 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 8. \\
\hline 9 Trust." It's recorded October 14th, 2010, and it's with & 9 A. Okay. \\
\hline 10 respect to City National Bank. & 10 (Exhibit 8 was marked for the record.) \\
\hline 11 Do you see that? & 11 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 12 A. Yes. & 12 Q. Exhibit 8 is titled "Deed of Trust and \\
\hline 13 Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection of City & 13 Assignment of Rents," and it's recorded November 30th, \\
\hline 14 National Bank providing any kind of loan? & 14 2010, and it's regarding City National Bank. \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & 15 Do you see that? \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. And what was the amount of that loan & 16 A. Yes. \\
\hline 17 that you recall? & 17 Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute \\
\hline 18 A. I believe it was 3 million -- 3.4 million, or & 18 the authenticity of this document? \\
\hline 19 thereabouts. & 19 A. No. \\
\hline 20 Q. Okay. And was that -- I'll represent to you & 20 Q. Okay. Now, I want to go back to discussing -- \\
\hline 21 that on page 646 of Exhibit 6, which is the first page, & 21 I want to go back to discussing that 3--- that note \\
\hline 22 it does state the amount is 3,640,000. & 22 that you had with City Bank for 3,640,000. \\
\hline 23 Do you have any reason to dispute that? & 23 Do you recall that? \\
\hline 24 A. No. & 24 A. Yes. \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. And so that note -- and it does also & 25 Q. Okay. Now, that note, that was a note issued \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 54 & 56 \\
\hline 1 to the trust because the trust was the owner of the & 12014. \\
\hline 2 property; is that correct? & 2 Do you see that? \\
\hline 3 A. As far as I know. & 3 A. Yes. \\
\hline 4 Q. Okay. All right. We can go to the next & 4 Q. And it's with respect to -- this references CBC \\
\hline 5 exhibit, Exhibit 10. & 5 Partners I, LLC, a Washington limited liability company. \\
\hline 6 A. Yeah. & 6 Do you see that? \\
\hline 7 Q. Actually, we're on Exhibit 9. If we can go to & 7 A. Yes. \\
\hline 8 Exhibit 9. & 8 Q. Okay. So what's your understanding of what \\
\hline 9 MR. MUSHKIN: We're on 9. & 9 this deed of trust for CBC is doing? \\
\hline 10 (Exhibit 9 was marked for the record.) & 10 A. CBC lent the company I was with additional \\
\hline 11 MS. BARRAZA: Are you there? & 11 funds and said, "If you guarantee this, we will lend the \\
\hline 12 MR. MUSHKIN: Yes. & 12 funds, but we need a deed of trust to substantiate it," \\
\hline 13 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 13 and that's why this was prepared. \\
\hline 14 Q. Okay. So Exhibit 9 is entitled "Revolving & 14 (Court reporter interrupts.) \\
\hline 15 Credit Deed of Trust Security Agreement and Assignment & 15 THE WITNESS: -- this was prepared. \\
\hline 16 of Rents" recorded April 4th, 2011, and it's regarding & 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 17 Northern Trust Bank. & 17 Q. Okay. And what company was CBC loaning that \\
\hline 18 Do you see that? & 18 money to? \\
\hline 19 A. Yes. & 19 A. KCl Investments, I -- \\
\hline 20 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the & 20 Q. Okay. \\
\hline 21 authenticity of this document? & 21 A. -- believe, at that time. \\
\hline 22 A. No. & 22 Q. And what was KCI Investments in the business of \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. What's your understanding of what was -- & 23 doing? \\
\hline 24 what Northern Trust Bank was doing? & 24 A. Operating restaurants. \\
\hline 25 A. It gave me a HELOC. & 25 Q. Okay. Now, were there -- so there was an \\
\hline 55 & 57 \\
\hline 1 Q. Okay. And that was issued to -- let me strike & 1 underlying note, correct, between CBC and KCI ; is that \\
\hline 2 that. & 2 correct? \\
\hline 3 MR. MUSHKIN: It's to the trustees. & 3 A. Correct. \\
\hline 4 MS. BARRAZA: Perfect. Okay. & 4 Q. Okay. And did that underlying note undergo \\
\hline 5 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 5 several amendments to it? \\
\hline 6 Q. It's to the trustees, and I just want to & 6 A. Yes. \\
\hline 7 acknowledge page 700 of Exhibit 9. & 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- as you sit here today, \\
\hline 8 Are you there? & 8 do you have a recollection as to when the first note was \\
\hline 9 A. Yes. & 9 issued between CBC and KCl ? \\
\hline 10 MR. MUSHKIN: The executed page? & 10 A. I believe in 2012. \\
\hline 11 MS. BARRAZA: Yes. & 11 Q. All right. So if we could turn to Exhibit 17 \\
\hline 12 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 12 and then I'll tell you what page to go to. \\
\hline 13 Q. So l just want to confirm, this is your & 13 (Exhibit 17 was marked for the \\
\hline 14 signature here, you did execute this, and it was on & 14 record.) \\
\hline 15 behalf of the trustee -- of the trust; correct? & 15 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 16 A. Correct. & 16 Q. Are you on Exhibit 17? \\
\hline 17 Q. Okay. Okay. We can go to Exhibit 10. & 17 A. Yes. \\
\hline 18 (Exhibit 10 was marked for the & 18 Q. Okay. All right. If we could go to page 912. \\
\hline 19 record.) & 19 Are you there? \\
\hline 20 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 20 A. Yes. \\
\hline 21 Q. Are you there? & 21 Q. Okay. So this page 912 on Exhibit 17, at the \\
\hline 22 A. Yes. & 22 top of it, it says "Secured Promissory Note." It says \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. So Exhibit 10, I'll represent, is -- it & 23 "300,000," and then it also has a date, June 22nd, 2012. \\
\hline 24 says "Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security & 24 Do you see that? \\
\hline 25 Agreement and Fixture Filing" recorded on December 29th, & 25 A. Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 58 & 60 \\
\hline 1 Q. And it references the borrower being KCl & 1 Q. Okay. And you used it for -- it was a \\
\hline 2 Investments. I think like you testified earlier, KCI & 2 commercial loan; correct? \\
\hline 3 Investments, LLC, and it's saying "...promises to pay & 3 A. Yes. \\
\hline 4 CBC Partners I, LLC..." and then it goes -- the amount & 4 Q. Okay. And it was for the use of operating your \\
\hline 5 is 300,000 . & 5 various businesses and sorts; correct? \\
\hline 6 Does that sound about right to you? & 6 A. This business. \\
\hline 7 A. As far as I can recall. & 7 Q. Okay. This KCI business; correct? \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. And your earlier testimony was the first & 8 A. Correct. \\
\hline 9 note was from 2012, which this is dated 2012. Do you & 9 Q. Okay. Understood. \\
\hline 10 have any recollection of any prior notes to this Secured & 10 All right. And I think you had touched on it \\
\hline 11 Promissory Note, or is this, to your recollection, the & 11 earlier, you did sign a guarantee; correct? \\
\hline 12 first note? & 12 A. Yes. \\
\hline 13 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the & 13 Q. And that guarantee was signed in your \\
\hline 14 question. Vague and ambiguous. & 14 individual capacity; correct? \\
\hline 15 Do you mean as to this transaction, of course? & 15 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the form of the \\
\hline 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 16 question. Calls for a legal conclusion. \\
\hline 17 Q. Okay. So is this the first note as far as with & 17 What document, Counsel? \\
\hline 18 the transactions between CBC and KCI , to your knowledge? & 18 MS. BARRAZA: We can find one of the \\
\hline 19 A. As far as I remember. & 19 guarantees. One second. Hold on. \\
\hline 20 Q. Okay. You have no reason to dispute that this & 20 There's a guarantee on -- \\
\hline 21 is the first note; correct? & 21 MR. MUSHKIN: I have -- \\
\hline 22 A. I believe it is. & 22 MS. BARRAZA: There's numerous guarantees in \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. I want to go to the signature on that, & 23 this batch, but -- \\
\hline 24 which is -- hold on -- so it looks like it's on page & 24 MR. MUSHKIN: I'm on 952. \\
\hline 25927. Tell me whenever you're there. & 25 MS. BARRAZA: Let me go to 952. Hold on. \\
\hline 59 & 61 \\
\hline 1 A. Okay. & 1 Okay. I'm on 952. \\
\hline 2 Q. Okay. And so you -- is it correct that you & 2 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 3 signed off on this document? It says "By: Ken Antos" & 3 Q. Okay. Yeah. \\
\hline 4 in your capacity as the managing member of KCl & 4 So this is an Acknowledgment and Agreement of \\
\hline 5 Investments; correct? & 5 Guarantors. \\
\hline 6 A. Yes. & 6 Do you see that? \\
\hline 7 Q. Okay. And then it looks like CBC Partners & 7 A. Yes. \\
\hline 8 signed off. Is that your understanding as well? & 8 Q. And do you see -- looks like you've signed off \\
\hline 9 A. Yes. & 9 on this document and so has Sheila Antos; correct? \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. And then it looks like there was -- if & 10 A. Yes. \\
\hline 11 you go on to page 930, a few pages later, it looks like & 11 Q. Okay. Now, what did you understand this \\
\hline 12 there's a Security Agreement with this note. & 12 guarantee to be? \\
\hline 13 Do you see that? & 13 A. Guaranteeing that 300,000. \\
\hline 14 A. Yes. & 14 Q. Okay. And did you understand that this would \\
\hline 15 Q. And it looks like the Security Agreement is & 15 be a personal guarantee, that you and Sheila are \\
\hline 16 dated June 22nd, 2012; so same time frame. Do you recall & 16 personally guaranteeing this? \\
\hline 17 also signing a Security Agreement in addition to a note & 17 A. Yes. \\
\hline 18 with CBC Partners? & 18 Q. Okay. All right. And I think you had touched \\
\hline 19 A. Signed a guarantee. I -- probably a Security & 19 on it earlier. You mentioned there were -- there were \\
\hline 20 Agreement. I don't recall it, but -- & 20 various numerous modifications to this note; correct? \\
\hline 21 Q. Okay. That's fine. & 21 A. Yes. \\
\hline 22 Now, this loan, this 300-- it was initially & 22 Q. Okay. All right. \\
\hline 23300,000 , as we've seen. So this 300,000, what was it & 23 MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, we've been going at it \\
\hline 24 for again? & 24 for about an hour and a half. How about a five-minute \\
\hline 25 A. Operating capital. & 25 break? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 66 & 68 \\
\hline 1 A. Okay. & 1 Q. And so tell me when you're there. \\
\hline 2 Q. Is that your signature where it says "Ken & 2 A. I'm there. \\
\hline 3 Antos" on 927? & 3 Q. Okay. So this is, obviously, you're signing, \\
\hline 4 A. Yes. & 4 and it looks like Sheila's signing in your capacities, \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. And you have no reason to dispute the & 5 obviously, as the -- the trustee of the -- of the trust; \\
\hline 6 legitimacy and authenticity of the original note between & 6 is that correct? \\
\hline 7 KCI Investments, LLC, and CBC Partners I, LLC; correct? & 7 A. I don't see Sheila signing this. \\
\hline 8 A. Correct. & 8 Q. It looks like on -- in the middle of page 723. \\
\hline 9 Q. All right. And I want to touch base -- it & 9 MRS. NEUMANN-ANTOS: (Indicating). \\
\hline 10 looks like, as we discussed, this original note was from & 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. Thank you. \\
\hline 11 2012, and then we discussed there's a deed of trust that & 11 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 12 was recorded some two years later in 2014. So I'm & 12 Q. Okay. So you would agree that you signed off \\
\hline 13 trying to understand, how did we get to the point of CBC & 13 on this -- on this Deed of Trust with CBC in your \\
\hline 14 having a deed of trust and that being recorded two years & 14 capacity as the trustee of the trust; correct? \\
\hline 15 after the -- the initial note? & 15 A. Correct. \\
\hline 16 A. Dollars. & 16 Q. Because the trust -- by this time, the trust \\
\hline 17 (Court reporter interrupts.) & 17 was the -- the owner of record of the property; correct? \\
\hline 18 THE WITNESS: The amount of dollars increased & 18 A. That is correct. \\
\hline 19 to the point they did not want to take the risk. So & 19 Q. Okay. And so, you know, our question is why is \\
\hline 20 they wanted collateral. & 20 the trust basically signing off on a deed of trust for \\
\hline 21 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 21 whatever -- the underlying note was not issued to the \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. So you're saying that there were -- & 22 trust? That's what we're trying to figure out. \\
\hline 23 there were numerous modifications to this loan; correct? & 23 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection. Calls for a legal \\
\hline 24 A. Correct. & 24 conclusion. \\
\hline 25 Q. Okay. And you're saying that in one of the & 25 Answer if you can, please. \\
\hline 67 & 69 \\
\hline 1 modifications, it got to the point where CBC was & 1 THE WITNESS: I don't see any problem with it. \\
\hline 2 demanding to also have a deed of trust on the property; & 2 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 3 is that correct? & 3 Q. Okay. And did you ever have any legal counsel \\
\hline 4 A. Correct. & 4 when you were signing off on all these modifications to \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. Now, do you recall what modification & 5 the note between KCl and CBC ? \\
\hline 6 that was -- that was in? & 6 A. Other than CBC's, no. \\
\hline 7 A. I'm not understanding. & 7 Q. Okay. And was CBC drafting all these \\
\hline 8 Q. As far as do you recall if that agreement is & 8 modifications to the note? \\
\hline 9 set forth and memorialized in, like, the fourth & 9 A. As far as I can remember. \\
\hline 10 modification, the fifth modification, the sixth & 10 Q. Okay. And did you ever have a conversation \\
\hline 11 modifica- -- & 11 with CBC about you and Sheila Antos not being the owners \\
\hline 12 A. Not that I can -- & 12 of the property, the owners of record of the property? \\
\hline 13 Q. Okay. That's fine. & 13 A. No. \\
\hline 14 And then looking through these documents, do & 14 Q. Okay. Now -- one second. \\
\hline 15 you have any recollection of the -- the trust signing & 15 Now, do you have any recollection of the trust \\
\hline 16 off on any -- on any of these modifications? & 16 ever receiving any kind of consideration in return for \\
\hline 17 A. No. & 17 this Deed of Trust being signed? \\
\hline 18 Q. Okay. And do you have any recollection of & 18 MR. MUSHKIN: Objection to the extent it calls \\
\hline 19 the -- let me strike that. & 19 for a legal conclusion. \\
\hline 20 So the Deed of Trust -- if we go to Exhibit 10, & 20 THE WITNESS: Trust specifically, no, I don't. \\
\hline 21 if we can go back to Exhibit 10. & 21 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 22 A. Okay. We're there. & 22 Q. Okay. Now, did you have any discussions \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. And if we can go to the -- the signature & 23 with -- actually, going back a little bit with CBC and \\
\hline 24 page. It looks like it's on page 723 of Exhibit 10. & 24 your relations with CBC, how exactly did it come about \\
\hline 25 A. Okay. & 25 that CBC specifically would be issuing this note, the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 70 & 72 \\
\hline 1 initial \$300,000 note? & 1 A. That is correct. \\
\hline 2 A. Somebody who mutually knew them brought them to & 2 Q. Okay. And so what exactly did the trust get \\
\hline 3 me . & 3 for signing that deed of trust, which is Exhibit 10 -- \\
\hline 4 Q. Okay. And do you recall who that was? & 4 what did the trust get for signing that Deed of Trust \\
\hline 5 A. A gentleman out of Newport Beach. & 5 for the property? \\
\hline 6 Q. Okay. Do you recall his name? & 6 MR. MUSHKIN: To the extent it calls for a \\
\hline 7 A. No, I don't. & 7 legal conclusion, we object to the form of the question. \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. And when you were negotiating the loan, & 8 THE WITNESS: It got a, you know, continued \\
\hline 9 the initial \$300,000 loan with CBC, did you have & 9 good relationship with the Otters and with CBC. \\
\hline 10 discussions as to whether CBC was authorized to conduct & 10 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 11 business in the state of Nevada? & 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to clarify, there -- \\
\hline 12 A. No -- & 12 there isn't going to be any documentation showing the \\
\hline 13 Q. Okay. & 13 trust getting any kind of monetary consideration; \\
\hline 14 A. -- I didn't have discussions. & 14 correct? \\
\hline 15 Q. And did CBC ever disclose to you whether they & 15 A. Not that I -- \\
\hline 16 were a registered company with the Secretary of State to & 16 Q. Okay. All right. And so what kind of a \\
\hline 17 conduct business in Nevada? & 17 relationship did the trust have with CBC? Any kind of \\
\hline 18 A. I would say I do recall. & 18 business relationship between the trust and CBC? \\
\hline 19 Q. Okay. All right. So what I want you to do, do & 19 A. No. \\
\hline 20 you recall providing these documents -- and when I say & 20 Q. Okay. All right. \\
\hline 21 "these documents," I'm referring to Exhibit 17, which is & 21 All right. If we can go back to Exhibit 17 \\
\hline 22 the underlying note between KCI and CBC Partners and & 22 tell me whenever you're there. \\
\hline 23 various modifications to the note. Do you recall & 23 MR. MUSHKIN: We're in Exhibit 17. \\
\hline 24 providing those documents to Jay Bloom at some point? & 24 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. Perfect. \\
\hline 25 A. No. & 25 ////] \\
\hline 71 & 73 \\
\hline 1 Q. Okay. So what I'm trying to figure out is do & 1 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 2 you -- are you going to have any dispute as to the & 2 Q. I want -- I just want to look at that first \\
\hline 3 authenticity of these various documents that appear to & 3 page, 794. Do you see how it says "First Amendment to \\
\hline 4 have your signature on them and that relate to the -- & 4 Intercreditor Agreement"? \\
\hline 5 the note that CBC provided to KCl ? And if you want to & 5 MR. MUSHKIN: Yes. \\
\hline 6 go through them, you can. What we're trying to figure & 6 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. \\
\hline 7 out is is there going to be any authenticity issues with & 7 MR. MUSHKIN: We're there. \\
\hline 8 this batch of documents in Exhibit 17? & 8 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 9 MR. MUSHKIN: To the extent it calls for a & 9 Q. And then it looks like there's a signature on \\
\hline 10 legal conclusion, we object to the form of the question. & 10 page 796. Do you see that? \\
\hline 11 I think I've already stated on the record that generally & 11 A. Okay. \\
\hline 12 speaking there are no authenticity issues, Counsel. & 12 Q. It looks like -- is Preferred Restaurant \\
\hline 13 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. & 13 Brands, was that one of your companies? \\
\hline 14 MR. MUSHKIN: Most of the documents are & 14 A. Yes. \\
\hline 15 recorded, and those that aren't make, you know, logical & 15 Q. Okay. And it looks like somebody -- this looks \\
\hline 16 sense. So I don't believe there will be an authenticity & 16 like this says "Gary." \\
\hline 17 issue. & 17 Do you know a Gary? \\
\hline 18 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. & 18 A. No. \\
\hline 19 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 19 Q. Gary Dock or Deck? \\
\hline 20 Q. Now, I just want to clarify for the record. So & 20 A. Oh, hold on. Yeah. \\
\hline 21 the Antos -- the trust itself was not the borrower on & 21 MR. MUSHKIN: (Indicating). \\
\hline 22 this commercial loan with CBC; is that correct? & 22 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. \\
\hline 23 A. That is correct. & 23 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 24 Q. Okay. And the trust itself also was not a & 24 Q. Okay. What l'm trying to figure out is is \\
\hline 25 guarantor on the note; is that correct? & 25 there any issue with this First Amendment to the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 74 & 76 \\
\hline 1 Intercreditor Agreement? Do you agree that there was a & 1 looks like that is the first Intercreditor Agreement \\
\hline 2 first amendment that was done with CBC to that & 2 with TCA Global Credit Master Fund, CBC Partners, and \\
\hline 3 Intercreditor Agreement? & 3 Preferred Restaurant Brands. Do you remember having an \\
\hline 4 A. Yes. & 4 intercreditor agreement that went to Preferred \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. And you're not disputing the & 5 Restaurant Brands? \\
\hline 6 authenticity of that agreement? & 6 A. Yes. \\
\hline 7 A. No. & 7 Q. Okay. So is that an authentic document as far \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. And then there was a first modification & 8 as -- as far as you know? \\
\hline 9 to the promissory note, it looks like, on page 797. & 9 A. Yes. \\
\hline 10 Do you see that? & 10 Q. And then looks like there was a fifth \\
\hline 11 A. Yes. & 11 modification on page 818. \\
\hline 12 Q. Okay. Is that a true and accurate copy of the & 12 A. Okay. We're there. \\
\hline 13 first modification, to your knowledge? & 13 Q. Does that look like a true and accurate copy of \\
\hline 14 Do you want me to repeat it? & 14 the fifth modification where it was between KCI \\
\hline 15 A. I believe the answer's yes. & 15 Investments and CBC Partners? \\
\hline 16 Q. Oh, the answer is yes? Okay. All right. & 16 A. Yes. \\
\hline 17 And so you signed off on that first & 17 Q. All right. It looks like you signed off on \\
\hline 18 modification; correct? & 18 this document on page 821. So is that your signature? \\
\hline 19 A. Yes. & 19 MR. MUSHKIN: I can't decide which one of us is \\
\hline 20 Q. Okay. And then it looks like on page 7 -- & 20 worse at this. \\
\hline 21 actually, page 801 of Exhibit 17, there's a second & 21 THE WITNESS: I know it's me. \\
\hline 22 modification. & 22 MR. MUSHKIN: I can't decide which one of us is \\
\hline 23 Do you see that? & 23 worse. \\
\hline 24 A. Hold on. & 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. \\
\hline 25 Q. 801. & 25 IIIII \\
\hline 75 & 77 \\
\hline 1 A. Yes. & 1 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 2 Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of the & 2 Q. Okay. Looks like there's another guarantee \\
\hline 3 second modification, to your knowledge? & 3 document on page 822. Is that an authentic document \\
\hline 4 A. Looks like it. & 4 that you signed off on? \\
\hline 5 MR. MUSHKIN: Here is the -- & 5 A. Yes. \\
\hline 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. & 6 Q. Okay. And it looks like there's a seventh \\
\hline 7 BY MS. BARRAZA: & 7 modification on 823. Is that an authentic seventh \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. And you signed off on that; correct? & 8 authentication document, to your knowledge? \\
\hline 9 A. Yes, I did. & 9 A. Yes. \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. And then there's -- there's various & 10 Q. And it looks like you signed off on that on \\
\hline 11 acknowledgment and agreements of guarantors in this & 11 page 833; is that correct? \\
\hline 12 packet of documents. So one of them is on page 805. & 12 A. Hold on. \\
\hline 13 A. Yes. & 13 Yes. \\
\hline 14 Q. Is that your signature there? Is that & 14 Q. Okay. And then it looks like there's another \\
\hline 15 authentic right there? & 15 guarantee document that you signed personally and so did \\
\hline 16 A. Yes. & 16 Sheila, looks like on page 834; is that correct? \\
\hline 17 Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, are there any & 17 A. Yes. \\
\hline 18 documents out there where -- where the trust was a -- a & 18 Q. And that's authentic; correct? \\
\hline 19 guarantor? & 19 A. Yes. \\
\hline 20 A. No. & 20 Q. Okay. It looks like there was a letter -- I \\
\hline 21 Q. Okay. And you can look at page 807. That's an & 21 kind of want to touch on this a little bit -- on 835. \\
\hline 22 authentic document as far as an acknowledgment and & 22 Do you recall getting any kind of correspondence from \\
\hline 23 agreement; correct? & 23 CBC in January of 2017 regarding the loan? \\
\hline 24 A. Looks like it. Yes. & 24 A. Well, it's here, but I-- I don't recall it. \\
\hline 25 Q. All right. And then it looks like 809 -- it & 25 Q. Okay. All right. If we can go to the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 78 & 80 \\
\hline 1 guarantee on page 841, it looks like there's a Guaranty, & 1901 of this guarantee? \\
\hline 2 and this is June 22nd, 2012. Is that an accurate, & 2 A. Yes. \\
\hline 3 authentic document, to your knowledge? & 3 Q. Okay. Is that your signature also on 902? \\
\hline 4 A. Hold on. & 4 A. Yes. \\
\hline 5 As far as I can tell, yes. & 5 Q. Okay. Looks like there's a ninth modification \\
\hline 6 Q. Okay. It looks like there's another Security & 6 on 903. Is that authentic, to your knowledge, from \\
\hline 7 Agreement on 852 from January of 2015. Do you have any & 7 April of 2015? \\
\hline 8 reason to dispute the authenticity of that document? & 8 A. Looks it. \\
\hline 9 A. No. & 9 Q. Is that your signature on page 910? \\
\hline 10 Q. And it looks like you signed off on it on page & 10 A. Yes. \\
\hline 11865 ; is that correct? & 11 Q. Is that your signature also on page 911? \\
\hline 12 A. Yes. & 12 A. Yes. \\
\hline 13 Q. And then there's an eighth modification on 866. & 13 Q. Okay. And I think we already went through 912, \\
\hline 14 Does that sound about right, doing an eighth & 14 I believe. \\
\hline 15 modification in January of 2015? And does that document & 15 MR. MUSHKIN: We did. \\
\hline 16 look accurate and authentic? & 16 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. \\
\hline 17 A. Looks okay and it's -- I signed it. & 17 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 18 Q. And it looks like you signed off on it on 871. & 18 Q. So we went through 912. I just want to cover \\
\hline 19 Do you see that? & 19 all of our bases since we're the one producing these \\
\hline 20 A. Yes. & 20 documents. \\
\hline 21 Q. Okay. Any issues with the authenticity of that & 21 Okay. I think we've gone through the rest of \\
\hline 22 document? & 22 these. I just want to circle back a little bit to you \\
\hline 23 A. No. & 23 mentioned -- you can actually go back to it, if it will \\
\hline 24 Q. Looks like another Guaranty on page 872. Any 25 issues with the authenticity of that document that it & 24 help you remember, Exhibit 19, and the last page of it, 25 the K001. \\
\hline 79 & 8 \\
\hline 1 looks like you signed off on in 880 ? & 1 A. Okay. \\
\hline 2 A. No. & 2 Q. And am I correct that earlier you had testified \\
\hline 3 Q. Does that document appear authentic to you? & 3 that when you signed off on this transfer, you did not \\
\hline 4 A. Yes. & 4 have legal counsel; correct? \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. And that is your signature on 880; & 5 A. That is correct. \\
\hline 6 correct? & 6 Q. Okay. And did you have any discussion with \\
\hline 7 A. Yes. & 7 Mr . Mushkin about this transfer during the time you were \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. Another Intercreditor Agreement with & 8 considering signing it? \\
\hline 9 Preferred Restaurant Brands is on page 881. Does that & 9 A. No. \\
\hline 10 appear to be authentic to you? & 10 Q. Sorry. Did you say "no"? \\
\hline 11 A. Yes. & 11 A. No. \\
\hline 12 Q. Okay. And then there's another -- a third & 12 Q. Okay. And when did you retain counsel to \\
\hline 13 modification on page 892 of the -- of the secured & 13 represent you in this litigation? \\
\hline 14 promissory note. Does that appear to be accurate and & 14 A. I can't remember the date. \\
\hline 15 authentic? & 15 MR. MUSHKIN: I can tell you. \\
\hline 16 A. Yes. & 16 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 17 Q. Is that your signature on page 894? & 17 Q. Do you recall if it was, you know, a few months \\
\hline 18 A. Yes. & 18 ago? Sorry? \\
\hline 19 Q. And then there's a fourth modification on page & 19 MR. MUSHKIN: Counsel, it was after he got \\
\hline 20 896. Does that appear to be accurate from November of & 20 served. He got sued. \\
\hline 21 2013? & 21 MS. BARRAZA: Okay. \\
\hline 22 A. Yes. & 22 BY MS. BARRAZA: \\
\hline 23 Q. And is that your signature on page 900? & 23 Q. All right. So is that also your recollection, \\
\hline 24 A. Yes. & 24 that after you actually became -- got served, you \\
\hline 25 Q. All right. And is that your signature on page & 25 retained counsel? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{OPP}

Joseph A. Gutierrez, EsQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9046
Danielle J. Barraza, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 13822
Maier Gutierrez \& Associates
8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Telephone: 702.629.7900
Facsimile: 702.629.7925
E-mail: jag@mgalaw.com
djb@mgalaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

\section*{DISTRICT COURT} CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\section*{SPANISH \\ HEIGHTS \\ ACQUISITION}

COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; SJC VENTURES HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, d/b/a SJC VENTURES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,

\section*{Plaintiffs,}
vs.
CBC PARTNERS I, LLC, a foreign Limited Liability Company; CBC PARTNERS, LLC, a foreign Limited Liability Company; 5148 SPANISH HEIGHTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; KENNETH ANTOS AND SHEILA NEUMANN-ANTOS, as Trustees of the Kenneth \& Sheila Antos Living Trust and the Kenneth M. Antos \& Sheila M. NeumannAntos Trust; DACIA, LLC, a foreign Limited Liability Company; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Defendants.

AND RELATED CLAIMS.

Plaintiffs Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC, and SJC Ventures Holding Company, LLC, d/b/a SJC Ventures, LLC ("SJC Ventures, LLC") ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorney
of record, Maier Gutierrez \& Associates, hereby file this opposition to the renewed motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint as to Dacia, LLC or in the alternative motion for summary judgment.

This opposition is made and based upon the memorandum of authorities, the exhibits attached hereto, and the papers and pleadings on file in this matter.

DATED this 24th day of December, 2020.
Respectfully submitted,
Maier Gutierrez \& Associates
/s/ Danielle J. Barraza
Joseph A. Gutierrez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9046
Danielle J. Barraza, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 13822
8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

\section*{MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES}

\section*{I. INTRODUCTION}

Defendant Dacia, LLC procedurally cannot "renew" its motion to dismiss (which it has already previously lost) without first seeking leave of the Court, which it did not do. See EDCR 2.24 ("No motions once heard and disposed of may be renewed in the same cause, nor may the same matters therein embraced be reheard, unless by leave of the court granted upon motion therefor, after notice of such motion to the adverse parties.").

Defendant Dacia, LLC also cannot procedurally bring a motion for summary judgment when it has not even filed an answer to the amended complaint. Plaintiffs have been forced to file a notice of intent to take default as it relates to Dacia, LLC as a result of this blatant violation of the rules. This Court should not entertain any dispositive motions from Dacia, LLC until Dacia, LLC actually files an answer, as required under NRCP 12(a)(3)(A).

In any event, the motion should be denied on its merits regardless of the procedural deficiencies. The motion disregards the first and fifth causes of action and focuses on the incorrect narrative that the only possible event that took place after Dacia, LLC purchased its property that related to the HOA lien wrongfully imposed upon SHAC is the September 21, 2019 flamethrower incident. This is incorrect, as Mr. Bloom has testified to other incidents that took place throughout the month of July 2019.

Moreover, Plaintiffs are not in a position to fully argue this motion on the merits in light of Dacia, LLC's continued abuses of the discovery process, including its refusal to abide by this Court's order granting Plaintiffs' motion to compel as it relates to Interrogatory Nos. 5-7 addressed to Dacia. These interrogatories go to the identities owners of Dacia and the identities of the individuals who intend to reside in Dacia-owned properties located in Spanish Hills, which is crucial to identifying whether any of those individuals were involved in hazardous events that have been wrongfully attributed to SHAC and SHAC's Property.

As such, the Court should deny Dacia, LLC's improper motion in its entirety.

\section*{II. LEGAL ARGUMENT}

\section*{A. Legal Standard for Motion to Dismiss}

Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(5) provides for the dismissal of a complaint where a plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. For the purpose of considering a Rule 12(b)(5) motion, a court must accept the allegations of the complaint as true, and draw all inferences in favor of the non-moving party. Buzz Stew, LLC vs. City of North Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 181 P.3d 670 (2008). On a motion to dismiss, the trial court "is to determine whether or not the challenged pleading sets forth allegations sufficient to make out the elements of a right to relief." Pemberton v. Farmers Ins. Exch., 109 Nev. 789, 792 (Nev. 1993). Furthermore, "[a] claim should not be dismissed . . . unless it appears to a certainty that the plaintiff is not entitled to relief under any set of facts which could be proved in support of the claim." Pemberton at 792 (quoting Hale \(v\). Burkhardt, 104 Nev. 632, 636, 764 P.2d 866, 868 (Nev. 1988)).
"The test for determining whether the allegations of a complaint are sufficient to assert a claim for relief is whether the allegations give fair notice of the nature and basis of a legally sufficient claim
and the relief requested." Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 109 Nev. 842, 846, 858 P.2d 1258, 1260 (1993). The formal sufficiency of a claim is governed by Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a), which merely requires that the claim shall contain: "(1) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and (2) a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks." Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Only claims for fraud, mistake or condition of mind are governed by Nev. R. Civ. P. 9(b), which states that the circumstances constituting such claim shall be stated with particularity. See Nev. R. Civ. P. 9(b).

A plaintiff's "complaint should be dismissed only if it appears beyond a doubt that it could prove no set of facts, which, if true, would entitle it to relief. Buzz Stew, 124 Nev. at \(228 ; 181\) P.3d at 672 (citing Blackjack Bonding v. Las Vegas Mun. Ct., 116 Nev. 1213, 1217, 14 P.3d 1275, 1278 (2000)) (emphasis added). However, when a complaint can be amended to state a claim for relief, leave to amend, rather than dismissal, is the appropriate remedy. Cohen v. Mirage Resorts, Inc., 119 Nev. 1, 22, 62 P.3d 720, 734 (2003).

Further, leave to amend should be freely given when justice requires, and a request to amend need not be made by formal motion. Id. See Greene v. Dist. Ct., 115 Nev. 391, 393-94, 990 P.2d 184, 185 (1999) (The Supreme Court of Nevada interprets its approach to these requests as a "liberal amendment policy").

For some reason, just as it did in its first failed motion to dismiss, Dacia, LLC again cites to the federal Iqbal standard of review in renewed motion, which has absolutely no application to this matter which is being adjudicated in state court. As such, the Court should disregard the irrelevant Ninth Circuit and other federal case law relied upon by Dacia, LLC in analyzing this motion.

\section*{B. Legal Standard for Motion for Summary Judgment}

Summary judgment is appropriate when the pleadings and other evidence demonstrates that "no genuine issue as to any material fact remains and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." Wood v. Safeway, 121 Nev. 724, 729 (2005). Disputes over facts that could affect the outcome of the suit will properly preclude summary judgment. Id. at 730. When determining whether genuine issues of material fact exist, a reviewing court must view the evidence, and any reasonable inferences drawn from it, in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. Id. at 729.

\section*{C. Dacia, LLC Has No Procedural Grounds to Bring Its Motion}

Dacia, LLC's motion raises the same arguments that were put forth in its first motion to dismiss, which this Court denied. Dacia, LLC had no authority to "renew" its motion to dismiss without first seeking leave of the Court to do so. EDCR 2.24.

Nor did Dacia, LLC have authority to file a motion for summary judgment before it has even filed an answer to the amended complaint. There is no way for Plaintiffs to meaningfully decipher which factual allegations in the complaint are disputed when Dacia, LLC has not bothered responding to any of the allegations. Although Plaintiffs have raised this issue numerous times to Dacia, LLC's counsel, Dacia, LLC has refused to file an answer, which forced Plaintiffs to file a notice of intent to take default. See 12/23/2020 Notice of Intent, on file.

This Court should not entertain Dacia, LLC's motion for these procedural reasons alone.

\section*{D. There Are Numerous Disputes of Fact Precluding Summary Judgment}

To be clear, despite the contentions otherwise in the motion, the claims against Dacia, LLC are not limited to the use of incendiary devices. The claims against Dacia, LLC relate to the Spanish Hills HOA fines that were wrongfully lodged against Spanish Heights Acquisition Company, LLC's ("SHAC") Property instead of Dacia, LLC's property, to the detriment of SHAC. This includes the use of fireworks throughout the month of July 2019, including after Dacia, LLC became owner of the Dacia property.

Mr. Bloom testified that there was " \([t]\) he July \(4^{\text {th }}\) use of a flamethrower and multiple instances of setting off fireworks throughout the month of July from that [Dacia's] property." See Exhibit 1, Jay Bloom deposition transcript at p. 311 (emphasis added). Dacia, LLC's attempt to narrow the claims to only the use of incendiary devices on September 21, 2019 is improper. \({ }^{1}\)
\({ }^{1}\) While there is still a dispute of fact as to Dacia, LLC's involvement in hazardous events after it purchased its property, it should also be noted that "the power an association has to impose assessments on its members can lead to the ability of the HOA to create a lien on the property that runs with the land." WAYNE S. HYATT, CONDOMINIUM AND HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION PRACTICE 117-19 (3d ed. 2000) (emphasis added). Thus, events that took place on Dacia, LLC's property even before Dacia, LLC became owner of record are still relevant in light of the fact that Dacia, LLC's property can still be liened for events that took place before it became owner of record of its property.

Moreover, Plaintiffs have been hindered from conducting a full investigation as to whether or not Dacia, LLC was in any way responsible for the September 21, 2019 flamethrower incident in light of Dacia, LLC refusing to cooperate in the discovery process, even violating this Court's order granting Plaintiffs' motion to compel certain interrogatories. Plaintiffs still do not know who Dacia, LLC's beneficial owners are, so although Mr. Bloom testified that he believes Michael Rhodes was responsible for the September 21, 2019 flamethrower incident, it still needs to be determined whether any of the beneficial owners of Dacia, LLC were also involved in that incident. The fact that Dacia, LLC has blatantly violated this Court's order on the motion to compel and rushed this motion to dismiss speaks volumes as to Dacia, LLC's eagerness to get out of this case without having to actually participate in the discovery process.

Additionally, Dacia, LLC's motion glosses over the first and fifth causes of action by insisting that Dacia, LLC is "not a party to the foreclosure of the Property." Mot. at p. 8. But the problem with that argument is MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE is counsel for not only DACIA, LLC, but for defendants CBC Partners I, LLC, CBC Partners, LLC, and 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC - and Plaintiffs have received correspondence from MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE creating ambiguities as to exactly which entity the correspondence is on behalf of. This includes the April 3, 2020 Notice to Vacate (attached as Exhibit 2), as that correspondence was purportedly sent on behalf of CBC Partners I, LLC, but then it was learned at the evidentiary hearing that CBC Partners did not authorize its counsel to send out certain correspondence (See Exhibit 3 at p. 233-234) and as such there is an issue of fact as to whether such correspondence was also sent on behalf of Dacia, LLC.

Accordingly, the Court should deny Dacia, LLC's unauthorized "renewed" motion to dismiss, or in the alternative its motion for summary judgment.

\section*{III. CONCLUSION}

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs request that the Court deny Dacia's motion in its entirety. DATED this 24th day of December, 2020.

\title{
Maier Gutierrez \& Associates
}
/s/ Danielle J. Barraza
Joseph A. Gutierrez, EsQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9046
Danielle J. Barraza, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 13822
8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

Pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2, PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO RENEWED MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AS TO DACIA, LLC OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT was electronically filed on the 24th day of December, 2020, served through the Notice of Electronic Filing automatically generated by the Court's facilities to those parties listed on the Court's Master Service List, as follows:

Michael R. Mushkin, Esq.
MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE
6070 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 270
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Attorneys for Defendants CBC Partners I, LLC, CBC Partners, LLC, 5148 Spanish Heights, LLC, and Dacia LLC
/s/ Danielle Barraza
An Employee of Maier Gutierrez \& Associates

\section*{EXHIBIT 1}

\section*{EXHIBIT 1}

\title{
Spanish Heights Acquisition Co., LLC, et al v CBC Partners I, LLC, et al
}

Deposition of
JAY BLOOM, VOL. 2
November 5, 2020

ROCKET REPORTERS

\subsection*{702.8Rocket (702.876.2538) www.RocketReporters.com}

Spanish Heights Acquisition Co., LLC, et al v CBC Partners I, LLC, et al


Cari M. Inkenbrandt, RPR, CCR \#939
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & page 176 & page 178 \\
\hline 1 & A P P EARANCES & & 1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2020 \\
\hline 2 & & & 2 12:02 P.M. \\
\hline 3 & ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS: & & 3 -o0o- \\
\hline 4 & (Remotely via Zoom) & & 4 THE COURT REPORTER: Before we proceed, \\
\hline 5 & DANIELLE J. BARRAZA, ESQUIRE & & 5 I will ask counsel to agree on the record there is \\
\hline 6 & MAIER GUTIERREZ \& ASSOCIATES & & 6 no objection to this deposition officer \\
\hline 7 & 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue & & 7 administering a binding oath to this witness not \\
\hline 8 & Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 & & 8 appearing personally before me and counsel also \\
\hline 9 & (702) 629-7900 & & 9 agree to waiving the reading of the caption. \\
\hline 10 & djb@mgalaw.com & & 10 Please state your agreement on the record \\
\hline 11 & & & 11 beginning with noticing counsel. \\
\hline 12 & ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS: & & 12 MR. MUSHKIN: This is Mike Mushkin, Bar \\
\hline 13 & (Remotely via zoom) & & 13 Number 2421. I have no objection. \\
\hline 14 & MICHAEL MUSHKIN, ESQUIRE & & 14 Except Mr. Bloom didn't bring snacks for \\
\hline 15 & MUSHKIN \& COPPEDGE & & 15 everybody. Small joke. \\
\hline 16 & 6070 South Eastern Avenue & & 16 No, no objection. \\
\hline 17 & Suite 270 & & 17 MS. BARRAZA: Danielle Barraza. No \\
\hline 18 & Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 & & 18 objection. \\
\hline 19 & (702) 454-3333 & & 19 \\
\hline 20 & michael@mcenvlaw.com & & 20 JAY BLOOM \\
\hline 21 & & & 21 having been called as a witness and having been \\
\hline 22 & ALSO PRESENT: & & 22 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as \\
\hline 23 & Karen Foley & & 23 follows: \\
\hline 24 & & & 24 CONTINUED EXAMINATION \\
\hline 25 & & & 25 BY MR. MUSHKIN: \\
\hline & & page 177 & page 179 \\
\hline 1 & I N D E X & & 1 Q Mr. Bloom, I want to go back over just \\
\hline 2 & & PAGE & 2 two or three things from yesterday, and then we'll \\
\hline 3 & TESTIMONY OF JAY BLOOM & & 3 get right on to the pleadings. \\
\hline 4 & Continued Examination by Mr. Mushkin & 178 & 4 I asked you some questions about SCJV \\
\hline 5 & & & 5 that you refused to answer. Do you recall those \\
\hline 6 & E X H I B I T S & & 6 questions? \\
\hline 7 & None & & 7 MS. BARRAZA: Object to the form. \\
\hline 8 & & & 8 You can answer. \\
\hline 9 & INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED & & 9 A No, I'm not familiar with that entity. \\
\hline 10 & PAGE & & 10 Q I wrote it down wrong. SJCV. Do you \\
\hline 11 & 197 & & 11 recall those questions that I asked you about the \\
\hline 12 & 314 & & 12 assets and moneys of SJCV? \\
\hline 13 & 316 & & 13 A I recall you asked me about sources of \\
\hline 14 & 320 & & 14 unrelated income for SJC, yes. \\
\hline 15 & & & 15 Q You've testified that SJCV has paid \\
\hline 16 & & & 16 various moneys to SHAC; correct? \\
\hline 17 & & & 17 A I testified that SJC has paid various \\
\hline 18 & & & 18 moneys to both SHAC and a number of third parties \\
\hline 19 & & & 19 directly through an assignment of its obligation \\
\hline 20 & & & 20 for payment to SHAC under SHAC's obligations to \\
\hline 21 & & & 21 those third parties. \\
\hline 22 & & & 22 Q And where did SJCV get those moneys? \\
\hline 23 & & & 23 A Various sources. \\
\hline 24 & & & 24 Q What sources? \\
\hline 25 & & & 25 MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{page 180}

A A number of those sources are covered by confidentiality agreements, which I'm not prepared to breach. And I also enter an objection that your question is not reasonably concluded to lead to discoverable information.

Q What assets does SJCV possess?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance.
A A number of those assets are covered by confidentiality agreements, and I can't speak to them in this deposition.

Q You provided certain documents to Mr. Hallberg on an entity that I called by the wrong name for a while, but can I just refer to it as L and M ?
\(A\) What is \(L\) and M? What entity are you referring to?

Q I called it Lending. It wasn't Lending. It's Leading Ventures and Enterprise Matching, LLC.

A Yes.
Q Is that owned by SJCV?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Relevance.
A I'm going to refrain from answering that question because I think it's going to violate confidentiality agreements.

Q With whom?
A With parties that are -- parties that
are have privity to the confidentiality
agreements.
Q What parties are there to a
confidentiality agreement?
A Also enter an objection as to relevance
and it not reasonably being concluded to lead to
discoverable information. LVEM is not even a
party to this litigations, nor is LVEM a signatory
to any of the agreements.
Q Well, then why did you provide the tax return of that partnership to Mr. Hallberg?

A Because in 2014 it was contemplated that LVEM might be a party to an agreement, but it turned out not to be.

Q Well, didn't your letter say that you were proving up your income with this document?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A I would say the document speaks for
itself.
Q I'm asking you. I'm not asking you about a document. Didn't you represent that you could take money from LVEM on an as-needed basis? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. itself.

Q Mr. Bloom, you don't enjoy the luxury of
answering my question with an objection. If
you're not willing to answer my question, I'll
have it certified and we'll take it to the judge.
But I'm asking a real simple question. Did you or
did you not make a representation that you could
take money out of Leading Ventures and Enterprise
Matching, LLC on an as-needed basis?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A You're asking a question based on a document that you submitted as an exhibit that statement is made, and I'm answering that the document speaks for itself.

Q Did you write the document?
A To the best of my recollection, yes.
Q And do you know what day you wrote that document?

A I do not, but we could certainly refresh my recollection by pulling the document up and looking at it for review.

Q So let's take a look at Spanish Heights
1041. We're almost there. All right. Can you
see that document on your screen, Mr. Bloom?
A I see, yes, I see an email.
Q Okay. And now we're going to go to the right one. There it is.

All right. Do you see this email from
Alan to Gavin?
A Ido.
Q Have you ever seen it before?
A Not prior to this litigation, no.
Q So let's take a minute and go through it. See where it says, "Gavin, thanks for forwarding. If I'm reading this correctly, Jay is showing that he is making 7 K monthly payments via cashier's checks for a rental house in Las Vegas." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q If you remember yesterday, we had those \(\$ 7,000\) checks, went through them at the very end of the day. Do you recall that?

A Ido.
Q Okay. So the blue part of this it appears to me, and I'm asking you if you know this, but that this is a cut-and-paste from a previous email that you sent to either Gavin or Mr. Hallberg. So you see "cashier's checks," and

\section*{then in blue it says, "Yes, I am providing documentation that I've been supporting a \(\$ 7,000\) monthly payment, in excess of the debt service amount needed, without incident for an extended period of time." Do you see that? \\ MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. \\ A I do see that. \\ Q Do you recall writing that sentence? \\ A I do not recall writing that sentence. \\ Q And then the next sentence says, "While \\ I provided copies of the last 17 payments which I had at hand, all of which were either timely or early, I have been making these payments for the last four years." Do you see that sentence? \\ A I do see that sentence. \\ Q Does that refresh your recollection that that is a part of an email that you sent? \\ A I don't recall that sentence as being part of the email. \\ Q Does it sound like it was something that you would write in response to the request about your ability to pay? \\ MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. \\ A I'd rather not speculate. I don't think you're going to ask me to speculate. I don't}

\section*{recall.}

Q "And that he is offering to allocate 150 K from the litigation finance facility to escrow year-one payments. That said, the litigation finance is at a term sheet stage, and as such, always carries some risk that it won't close." Do you see that sentence?

A Yes, I do.
Q Now let's look at the next part in blue.
"I have proposed providing for an escrow account in the amount of 150 K , at the earlier of either 90
days from the date of closing or upon the
litigation financing." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Do you recall making that offer?
A I recall making a similar offer, however I don't recall having drafted that sentence.
(Reporter clarification.)
A As referenced in an email to which I am not a party.

Q Then the next sentence is, "I can provide for the reserve account from any of: the \(\$ 25\) million litigation advance, the writ of execution against our judgment debtor's account at Merrill Lynch domestically which has verified
balance 7.2 million, or, three, existing funds. I am hoping to establish this reserve from new funds instead of existing, but I can provide for the establishment of this reserve account absent the litigation advance or collections." Do you see that?

A I do see that.
Q And do you recall making that representation to Mr. Hallberg?

A I had conversations with Mr. Hallberg similar to that conceptually, but I don't recall writing this language that's incorporated into the emails which I am not a party.

Q "It is important to note that we're not pushing against the structure of this proposal, merely trying to confirm he has the wherewithal to perform on his offer, excluding the litigation finance." Do you see that?

\section*{A Ido.}

Q The next part in blue, "I understand. Similarly, I am not pushing against CBC's efforts to confirm the buyer's ability to perform, and I would like to do the same if I were -- and I would likely do the same if I were CBC. That said, I am weighing how to provide what you need without
page 187
ignoring the trust attorneys who have reiterated not to provide statement balances." Do you recall writing that?

A I do not recall writing that.
Q "Also, my thinking is in terms of this
real property transaction as more of a turnaround situation as opposed to an initial loan
origination, which I would expect would have
different underwriting standards." Do you recall that sentence?

A I've never seen this email before, so no.

Q I'm not asking you if you've seen the email, sir. I'm asking if you recall writing that sentence.

A Mr. Mushkin, please let me finish my answers without cutting me off in the middle of the answer.

Q I'm sorry. I didn't realize you were talking. Your hand is in front of your mouth, and if you don't get close enough to the mic, we don't hear you at all.

Cari, are you having a problem hearing me?

THE COURT REPORTER: I will interrupt if

I have a problem. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
A Having never seen this email before, there's no way for me to recall having seen that sentence before. So no, I have no recollection of that sentence.

Q So, Mr. Bloom, I'd like you to go
back -- let's go back up to a little farther up
the page. Do you see the email on July 25th from
Gavin to you?
A I do.
Q And so isn't it true that he forwarded this email to you on July 25 th?

A That's what it appears to be based on the header in your document.

Q And are you certain that those aren't actually your written responses to those questions that you put in yourself?

A My testimony is I have no recollection of those statements. I'm neither admitting nor denying them. I just don't recall them.

Q So let's go a little farther to see if I can refresh your recollection. Let's go to the bottom of this. Do you see where your signature -- or I'm assuming "Jay, all the best,"
page 189
that's your ending to the letter. That's your writing; correct?

A Appears to be, although I don't recall this email, and I'm not a party to this email. So somebody may have cut and pasted out of another email that I had sent, certain portions, in context or out of context. I just don't know. I don't recall writing this.

Q No problem. Let's go back to where we were before. So you offered at the time these three things. You can provide this reserve account from the \(\$ 25\) million litigation advance, the writ of execution -- well, let's talk about the litigation advance. Did you ever get the \(\$ 25\) million litigation advance?

A No. We did not proceed with it.
Q And you said, "A writ of execution against our judgment debtor's account at Merrill Lynch domestically which has a verified balance of 5.2 million." Did you ever attach -- I'm sorry. 7.2 million. I'm having visual problems. I'm sorry. 7.2 million. Did you ever get a writ of execution on 7.2 million?

A I can't recall if we got a writ of execution or not. I know the judgment debtor
emptied the account and transferred it to a personal trust prior to our ability to execute it into the account.

Q So the answer is you were not successful in executing on 7.2 million?

A Well, that would be an answer to a different question not posted, but yes.

Q And then existing funds. What existing funds did you have at the time to do that?

A I don't recall. I don't recall.
Q So let's go to the next response. I think I went through this, turnaround. So it says, "To that end, I'm tying to provide as much as I can to give you assurances you need while at the same time abiding by my counsel's instructions as to the disclosure of information which could potentially compromise or expose trust accounts."

Who is the attorney that was giving you advice on these trust accounts?

\section*{A I don't recall.}

Q You don't know who the attorney was that was giving you this advice?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A I would reiterate my last answer and
page 191
incorporate it here in response to this. You're repeating the same question.

Q And what trust accounts are you referring to here?

A I would object in that it misstates testimony.

It's not my reference. This may or may not have been taken in or out of context from something I read somewhere else in an email chain to which I'm not party or an email exchange to which I'm not party. So this is -- I'm not referencing anything here.

Q Do you control certain trust accounts?
A Can you clarify what you mean by "control'?

Q No, I can't. I'm looking at your statement. Yesterday you acknowledged that you wrote these things. We'll argue about it later as to whether they're in context or not, but, "To that end, I'm trying to provide as much as I can to give you the assurances you need, while at the same time abiding by my counsel's instructions as to the disclosure of information which could potentially compromise and/or expose trust accounts." What compromise and/or exposing of
trust accounts are you referencing?
A I would have the same objection in that it misstates testimony. I just said I don't know that that's necessarily my statement. So I'm not referencing anything. That would be between Alan Hallberg and Gavin Ernstone.

Q Mr. Bloom, you're intentionally not
answering my questions. I would like to know if you possess or control trust accounts.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A I'd like you to define what you mean by "control."

Q Sir, I can't define it any more than
you're willing to tell me what is in this
sentence. You wrote this sentence. You provided this information to my client, and now I'm trying to understand what you meant.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Misstates testimony.

A Yeah, this is not -- I haven't testified that this is my statement. You're misrepresenting my statement.

Q Sir, your signature is at the bottom. Your electronic signature is at the bottom. This is information that you provided to Mr. Hallberg,
and you know it. We talked about it yesterday. All I'm trying to figure out is what you were referring to when you say "compromise and/or expose trust accounts." What is it that you're referring to?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Without admitting or changing my
testimony and representing that this is my statement, I don't know what that references.

Q The next paragraph, "We deal with confidential, highly sensitive, proprietary data on a constant basis and simply ask that you go under NDA to confirm that he can perform absent liquidity from third-party sources -- judgment, collection, litigation findings. We can move quickly if we have adequate information. Perhaps the first two pages of his tax return for the past two years, plus a current copy of the account statement from where the payments will be made. Would welcome your thoughts."

It appears to be your response, "In trying to help get this over the finish line, attached are 2014 and 2015 tax returns, first pages. 2016 is under extension and to be filed October of '17. Again these returns show
reportable income," and there's a table there.
And so does that now further refresh your recollection that you wrote this?

A No, it does not.
Q And it's your testimony that you didn't
write all of the blue sections of this
correspondence?
A I would object in that you're misstating my testimony.

Q Well, tell me what it is.
A My testimony, again, and for the last time, is that I don't recall this information being communicated, and I'm doing so without either an admission or denial that I wrote this. I just don't recall, and I've said it 12 times, so I'd appreciate if we could move on.

Q I'll move on when I'm ready, Mr. Bloom, and when I think I've received a truthful answer. To date, I don't believe I've received a truthful answer.

Is not your ending to this correspondence, "All the best. Jay"?

A That is my ending in tens of thousands of emails that \(I\)--

Q That was going to be my next question.
Isn't that generally how you end you emails?
A Generally, yes.
Q And is it your testimony today, as you
sit here, after all of the prompting, that you did
not write the portions of this email that are in blue?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A And add an objection that it misstates my testimony again.

And I would refer you back to my previous 12 answers and incorporate them here again.

Q I'll restate my question. Is it your testimony that after reviewing this document and all of the questions that we've gone through yesterday and today that you don't recall writing this, all of the blue portions of this email?

A I don't recall writing the blue portions of this email. That is neither an admission nor a denial that I did so.

Q Okay. In regards to the flamethrower incident, both in court and I believe yesterday, you have testified that there was -- you had a witness that no fireworks took place at 5148

Spanish Heights Drive; do you recall that?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A I have dozens of witnesses.
Q And you said one them was a supreme court judge. Do you remember that?

A I don't recall making that
representation, but you certainly have access to my guest list through your other client.

Q And I also have the transcript of the
hearing where you said it. But do you recall who
the supreme court judge was that witnessed no
fireworks at your 4th of July party?
A Objection. I believe you're misstating my testimony.

Q Was there a supreme court judge at your 4th of July party?

A I imagine there was at least one, probably several.

Q And do you recall who they were?
A No. I'd have to go back and check my records.

Q I'll leave a blank in your deposition.
Would you please fill in who the supreme court
judge that you claim witnessed this was. I don't
want to have to subpoena all of them.
INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED

BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Now, yesterday you claimed that somebody
made a misrepresentation to you regarding the
third mortgage. Do you recall that testimony?
A Ido.
Q Who made a misrepresentation to you? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and
answered.
You can answer.
A It would have been Alan Hallberg.
Q Alan who?
A Alan Hallberg.
Q Hallberg, yes. And when did he make
that misrepresentation to you?
A Throughout the course of the transaction.

Q And how did he make that
misrepresentation to you? What was misrepresented to you?

A Nature of the obligation. Mr. Hallberg
represented that there was a third position mortgage, and there wasn't a third position mortgage. There was a commercial loan to a restaurant with guarantees by individuals having nothing to do with the trust that years later would provide the service pledgor for the rental property, even though the trust, the owner of the property, was neither a debtor under the commercial loan to the restaurant nor a guarantor. There never was a third mortgage. It was a commercial loan to a restaurant.

Q So can you show me where it says anywhere there was a mortgage?

A I don't have documents handy, and I don't know that you included them in your exhibit pack.

Q Well, let's take a look at the
Forbearance Agreement, and we'll scroll through it for you. Can you see the Forbearance Agreement?

A I can.
Q So can you show me -- let's go through this in detail and see if you can find where it says mortgage. Because I'll represent to you that I couldn't find anywhere where it said anything other than deed of trust.

\section*{A I'll represent to you that I never} represented that the representation took place in the Forbearance Agreement.

Q Well, you saw the merger clause in the agreement; correct?

A No.
Q Well, let's take our time, then. Let's go back to the end of the agreement.

So it's in paragraph 15, the Integration clause. We're at section (b). It says, "Supersede all oral negotiations and prior or other writings with respect to the subject matter; are intended by the parties as the final expression of the agreement with respect to the terms and conditions set forth in those documents and as the complete and exclusive statement of the terms agreed to by the parties." Do you see that?

A No. Your office is still scrolling through the document.

Q Well, I'll just represent to you, and then at the very end it says that no modifications -- let's go right to that, which is at the top of page 20, "No modifications" --

A Can you go back up to that paragraph? Q Sure.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline page 200 & page 202 \\
\hline Stop right there & that correct \\
\hline Q It does say third mortgage. You're & 2 A Alan Hallberg was not acting in an \\
\hline right? & 3 individual capacity, so it would have been CBC \\
\hline A It does. & 4 that made the fraudulent misrepresentation. \\
\hline Q Great, the one place we found it. Now & 5 Q Okay. So let's go through this \\
\hline let's go farther along. "No modification of this & 6 Forbearance Agreement. All right? Let's start \\
\hline Forbearance Agreement or the Amended Note and & 7 with The Parties and Background. Now, in the \\
\hline Modified Deed of Trust shall be effective unless & 8 first paragraph, it's disclosed that there's a \\
\hline in writing and signed by the applicable parties to & 9 note, a secured promissory note dated June of \\
\hline 10 be bound thereby." Do you see that? & 10 2012; is that correct? \\
\hline 11 A Ido. & 11 A That's what the document appears to say. \\
\hline 12 Q So you know there's a merger clause; & 12 Q And you've seen the documents in this \\
\hline 13 right? There can't be any modification to this; & 13 case now. In fact, there is a note dated \\
\hline 14 right? & 14 June 22nd, 2012; correct? \\
\hline 15 MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. & 15 A I believe I've seen that document during \\
\hline 16 BY MR. MUSHKIN: & 16 the course of discovery in this litigation. \\
\hline Q Unless it's in writing? & 17 Q And it's been amended by ten subsequent \\
\hline 18 MS. BARRAZA: Same objection. & 18 amendments -- we provided those amendments -- \\
\hline 19 BY MR. MUSHKIN: & 19 isn't that correct? \\
\hline Q Correct? & 20 A I believe I've seen the amendments in \\
\hline 21 A There is a modification clause in the & 21 the course of discovery in this litigation. \\
\hline 22 document based upon a fraudulent misrepresentation & 22 Q All of which have been executed by KCI \\
\hline 23 that the third mortgage existed, which clearly & 23 Investments, LLC and Preferred Restaurant Brands; \\
\hline 24 does not. & 24 correct. \\
\hline 25 Q You keep saying it, but it clearly -- & 25 A That is what it says. \\
\hline & \\
\hline the note and deed of trust clearly exists. They & Q So you know before the 27th of September \\
\hline were provided to you, weren't they? & 22017 that this loan originates at KCI; correct? \\
\hline A A commercial loan is not a mortgage. & 3 A Now I can't recall what I understood at \\
\hline Q Okay. Well, I don't know where you come & 4 the time, other than the representation was there \\
\hline up with that, but that's okay with me, if that's & 5 was a third mortgage on the property. \\
\hline 6 what you think. & 6 Q Thank you. \\
\hline Now, let's go on. Tell me, so is that & The amended note is secured by certain \\
\hline the only misrepresentation that was made to you, & 8 personal guarantees signed by Kenneth and Sheila \\
\hline is that somehow that this note and deed of trust & 9 Antos." You were aware of that; correct? \\
\hline 10 are not a mortgage? & 10 A Correct. \\
\hline 11 A I am without sufficient information to & 11 Q And then, "The amended note is also \\
\hline 12 answer that question, because I wouldn't have & 12 secured by certain Security Agreements, Subsidiary \\
\hline 13 known that was a misrepresentation until we got & 13 Guarantees, Intercreditor Agreements, Deeds of \\
\hline 14 into discovery. So I'm not going to say that's & 14 Trust, Assignment the Rents, and Fixture Filings, \\
\hline 15 the only misrepresentation until we complete & 15 collectively 'the security agreements.'" That was \\
\hline 16 discovery, because we may find additional & 16 disclosed to you before you entered into this \\
\hline 17 misrepresentations like we found that. & 17 agreement; correct? \\
\hline 18 Q So today you don't know of any & 18 A That was the representation of CBC \\
\hline 19 misrepresentation other than this alleged "There & 19 Partners, although I did not have an opportunity \\
\hline 20 is no third mortgage"? & 20 to review those documents. \\
\hline 21 A I'm not aware of any other fraudulent & 21 Q "In particular, the Amended Note is \\
\hline 22 misrepresentations or admissions or omissions. & 22 secured by a certain Deed of Trust, Assignment of \\
\hline 23 Q That's good. & 23 Rents, Security Agreement, and Fixture Filing made \\
\hline 24 And the only person that you think made & 24 as of December 17th, 2014, by Ken and Sheila \\
\hline 25 this representation to you is Alan Hallberg; is & 25 Antos, Trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Living Trust dated April 26, 2007, and any
amendments thereto." Do you see that?
A I do see it.
Q And that was disclosed to you in advance of September 27th; correct?

A It was disclosed that there was a deed of trust against the third mortgage. It was not disclosed that it was a deed of trust against a commercial loan to a restaurant unrelated to the trustor who made the pledge against no obligation.

Q Well, how can you say that when it shows you in paragraph 1 that it's KCI that's the maker of the note?

A Well, because the Antos Trust could have been an additional guarantor or could have been an additional borrower. The note wasn't provided, and they represented it was a third mortgage. If the Antos Trust was a borrower as well or an additional guarantor, then it would actually be a mortgage. All mortgages are notes, but not all notes are mortgages. In this case, we have a commercial loan, which is not a mortgage, that was misrepresented as a third mortgage.

Q It was always represented as a
commercial loan, wasn't it, Mr. Bloom?
A You showed me at least one document where it was represented as a third mortgage.

Q That is a third mortgage, Mr. Bloom.
Isn't the first mortgage held by City, National?
A Yes, that's a loan against the property.
Q And isn't the second mortgage held by Northern Trust?

A That is also a loan against the property.

Q And isn't the third mortgage held by CBCI subsequently assigned to 5148 ?

A No. There was never --
MR. MUSHKIN: Ms. Barraza, please don't
shake your head. That would be coaching.
A I'm looking at you. I'm not even looking at her.

There was never a third mortgage. This is a commercial loan to a restaurant, not a loan to the pledgor of the deed of trust. The pledgor of the deed of trust has no obligation under that note. This is a commercial loan where years after the fact --

Q And, Mr. Bloom, I understand --
A I'm sorry. You're going to have to let me finish my answer.
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There was never a third mortgage that was issued against this property. There was a commercial loan where years after the fact the guarantors caused a nonparty to issue a deed of trust against a commercial loan to a restaurant, years after the fact, without consideration and pledging its interest as collateral against a commercial loan to which it had no privity. It was not a borrower under the commercial loan to the restaurants. The pledgor was not a guarantor under the commercial loan to the restaurants. It had no privity to the commercial loan, and yet years after the fact, it pledged an interest as pledgor under a commercial loan to which it had no nexus.

Q Isn't that exactly what you did in this transaction to First 100, Mr. Bloom?

A No, I never claimed there was a mortgage.

Q No, you pledged an interest in a
judgment as security for payment of a note that First 100 has no privity with. SCJV has no privity only SHAC. You did the exact same thing, didn't you, sir?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Not even close. Now you're offering misstatements in your own testimony. That's not even close.

Q Well, who had privity for offering a piece of that \(\$ 2.2\) billion judgment? Where was the privity there, sir?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A SJC is part owner of First 100. So SJC had the ability to pledge its interest in the First 100 judgment from the proceeds it realizes from the First 100 judgment, which it did, and it did so without claiming that it's a mortgage. It's not a mortgage. A mortgage is a very specific instrument.

MR. MUSHKIN: We're going to be here a while today, Danielle.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q So we're going to have to go through this paragraph by paragraph, Mr. Bloom.

So you claim it's a misrepresentation.
What part of this Forbearance Agreement, other than the word "third mortgage" is a
misrepresentation? Because I don't expect -- I
want to be clear. Your definition is not going to be my definition. My definition is the order on
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title. There's a first deed of trust, a second deed of trust, and a third deed of trust. Whether they are -- you want to call them a mortgage, it is of no consequence. You can call them what you want. Throughout this document, I want to know what misrepresentation was made.

A In the paragraph that you -- I'm sorry. Are you done with your question?

Q No. Let's go paragraph 1. Is there a misrepresentation in paragraph \(1, \mathrm{~A} 1\) ?

A I don't believe so.
Q Is there a misrepresentation in paragraph A2?

A I don't believe so.
Q Is there a misrepresentation in paragraph 3?

A There's a material omission.
Q Didn't ask you that. I'm asking for a misrepresentation.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. Go ahead.
This is -- he was answering the
question, and it's a totally inappropriate line of questioning.

But go ahead.
A It's a material omission, which is a

Q So I want to know what's the misrepresen- -- what was omitted? It says
"Collectively, the modified deed of trust that encumbers the property commonly known as 5148
Spanish Heights Drive, the property." What's the material omission?

A The omission is that the pledgor had no obligation under the commercial loan.

Q It says it in the first very paragraph, sir. It says KCI, and it says that the Antoses are guarantors. Tell me where there's a misrepresentation?

A The Antos Trust is not an obligor nor is it a guarantor, and it was represented that it was. And it's material omission not to --

Q Where is it represented that it was?
A I'm sorry. You really have to let me finish my question.

Q I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
A It's a material omission not to disclose that the pledgor has no obligation under the note which it's collateralizing. The pledgor's obligation under a deed of trust is to secure its

10
2
3
4
obligation under the note being secured. The pledgor had no obligation, and was not disclosed.

Q So I understand what you said. I wish that I really understood it, but I don't. So let's look at paragraph 4. Are there any misrepresentations in paragraph 4 ?

A It says CBC is entitled to pursue certain remedies under the loan documents. I don't know that necessarily to be true, entitlement to collect against a party which doesn't have an obligation under a commercial loan to which it has no privity.

Q So if you provided me as the -- well, you provided a security agreement in this case that covers that judgment that First 100 has and that you claim SCJV has a 24.-something percent interest; is that correct? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A No, that's not correct.
Q Tell me where I'm wrong?
A SCJV is not an entity with which I'm familiar.

Q Jay, just -- SJCV. How is that?
A That is an entity with which I'm familiar.

> Q So you provided that security interest; correct?
> A SJC provided that security interest.
> Q Okay. And for the very same reasons that you've just stated, doesn't CBC have the very same issues that you're now raising?
> A No. MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form. You can answer.
> A No, it does not.
> Q Why?
> A SJC is an owner, a part owner of First 100. SJC is entitled to receive a portion of any proceeds realized. It has the ability to pledge its interest in those proceeds realized. CBC, however, represented that it had a third mortgage.
It does not. It never did. It had a commercial note to a restaurant that after the fact, when the restaurant couldn't pay the note, when the restaurant couldn't pay the commercial note and guarantors couldn't pay the commercial note, they then procured a deed of trust from an entity that had no nexus to the commercial loan to the restaurant. Totally different situation than SJC pledging a portion of its interest and proceeds
realized under a judgment to which it's entitled to distribution. That is not the same thing, not even close.

Q Well, let's go through it. So the Antos
Trust owns the property. The Antos Trust, you
say, has no relationship to KCI, and it pledges
its interest in the house as additional
collateral. First 100 through SJCV pledges its
interest in the judgment. It has no relationship
to the obligation being undertaken by SHAC. It's
precisely the same thing, sir. Tell me how it differs?

A Well, there's a couple ways. In your example, the Antos Trust had no privity. I think we're in agreement that the Antos Trust had no privity to the borrower. However, in my example, in my situation, SJC owned First 100. SJC owned an interest in SHAC. It has the ability to pledge its interest in distributable proceeds it intends to receive as collateral. It has privity to every party in the transaction. However, in the Antos situation, the Antos trust was not an obligor, was not a borrower, did not have common ownership. There's no nexus that would provide for the Antos Trust to provide a guarantee on a loan to which
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it's not a party or to assume the obligation of such loan, and especially in the absence of any consideration.

Q Well, let's take a look at the parties
to the Forbearance Agreement? Would you take a
look at page 25. Well, look at that. The trust
is a party to the Forbearance Agreement
contractual privity. It's exactly the same thing, isn't it, Mr. Bloom?

A I don't agree that it is, because it's not a party to the note which creates the obligation. The Forbearance Agreement doesn't create the obligation.

Q Mr. Bloom, CBC is not a party to the judgment that creates the judgment. You're assigning an interest in the judgment to a nonparty, exactly the same thing that's happening here. They're assigning a security interest as a nonparty. The exact same thing that you did, isn't it, Mr. Bloom?

A Not even close.
Q Not even close. Okay.
Now let's go back to -- I want to shift
to another document. Hang on one second, then we'll go back to this.

Can you see the document that's entitled Kenneth M. Antos and Sheila Neumann-Antos, Trustees of the Kenneth and Sheila Antos Living Trust Dated April 26, 2007?

MR. MUSHKIN: We can go off the record for just a minute.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Have you ever seen this document before?
A I don't believe so.
Q So let's go to the signature page. So you're aware that the deed of trust is dated December 17th, 2014; correct?

A Not from recollection.
Q This document authorizes the note and deed of trust, does it not?

A I don't know. I can only see part of the paragraph and half of one of the signature lines.

Q Okay. So let's go back and let you read it from the top. Tell us when you need us to scroll. Did you get a chance to read it all, Mr. Bloom? Sorry we didn't hear you?

A I had a chance to read it.
Q Do you understand what this document is? is?

A I believe so.
Q Tell me what you believe this document
A I believe this is a document authorizing the trust to do business with CBC.

Q And given this document, given the recitations that we went through in the
Forbearance Agreement, do you still believe that there was somehow a misrepresentation to you?

A Yes. Yes, I do.
Q Now, you noticed above this document calls out that the loan is guaranteed by the trustees individually and not in their capacity as trustee?

A Correct, I do see that.
Q Okay. Good.
Now let's go back to 002 . Okay.
Paragraph 4. The events of default, when you
entered into this transaction, you were aware that
the CBC obligation was in default; is that correct?

A It was represented to me that KCI
Restaurants and Restaurant Brands, the borrowers,
were in default of their note, and the Antoses individually as guarantors were in default of

\section*{their obligations under the note. \\ Q Who represented that to you? \\ A Alan Hallberg, CBC. \\ Q Okay. And so is there any \\ misrepresentation in paragraph 4 ? \\ A I would take exception with "These remedies include foreclosing on the property in accordance with the deed of trust." I don't think that's an actual remedy that's provided for under the deed of trust due to defect. \\ Q What makes you say that? \\ A Because the deed of trust secures a note for which there's no obligation of the trust. \\ Q But you just saw the document from the trust authorizing the deed of trust and security agreement? \\ A Yeah, I just saw a document that authorizes the trust to conduct business. The actual business, had it been conducted, would have resulted in the trust joining the commercial loan as an obligor or a guarantor. I see that document, so -- \\ Q Are you an attorney? \\ A Are you asking me for legal interpretations?}

Q Are you an attorney, sir?
A I am not.
Q Thank you.
Been to law school?
A I've taken business law classes.
Q I asked if you went to law school?
A I went to Fordham, which has a law school, but no.

Q You have not been to law school?
A I have not.
Q Thank you.
Let's look at paragraph 5. Are there
any misrepresentations in paragraph 5 ?
A If you can scroll down further through the rest of the paragraph.

No, there's no misrepresentations,
although these representations and agreement was
made -- were made under the assumption that a
third mortgage, as represented, actually existed.
So I didn't rely upon representations that turned out to not to be true.

Q What turned out not to be true?
A There was no third mortgage that existed.

Q Says who?

1
the real estate group, so I am familiar with what
constitutes a mortgage, even though I didn't go to
law school.

Q You don't have a document anywhere that says there's no mortgage, do you?

A Yeah. During discovery in the course of this litigation, we found that there was a commercial loan made to the restaurant, with the Antoses as individual guarantors, but I have yet to see a single document that says mortgage, and I've never seen a mortgage that's not identified as a mortgage. It was represented that a third mortgage existed. I have yet to see a third mortgage.

Q Sir, isn't it true that what was represented to you is that there is a first modification to deed of trust, assignment of rents, security agreements, and fixture filings
which appears on page 2 of the Forbearance Agreement?

A Are you referencing something on the screen now?

Q Isn't that what it says right there, sir?

A That is what it says.
Q Thank you.
So let's go to paragraph 3 on page 3 .
Any misrepresentation in paragraph 3 ?
A No.
Q Take a look at paragraph 4. Any misrepresentations in paragraph 4 ?

A If you could scroll to the bottom of paragraph 4. No, paragraph 4 is accurate in that it references the security agreement, and there's no mention of a pledge agreement, so it's accurate.

Q So I asked you earlier who the owner of SJCV was, and you said that you were the sole member or that you had a partner. Do you recall your testimony?

A No, I don't recall that testimony.
Q Well, that's what you said. You said for a while you had a partner, and you wouldn't
tell me who, and that sometimes you were the sole owner. So let's go through it again.

A I think the testimony to which you're referring was questions relating to Leading Ventures and Enterprise Matching.

Q No, sir. I was asking you about SJCV.
Are you the sole owner of SJCV?
A No.
Q Who is the owner of SJCV?
A A family trust.
Q When I asked you that yesterday, did you not recall it?

A You didn't ask me that yesterday.
Q So, and is the Tangled Web Family Trust the sole member of SJCV?

A Yes, it is.
Q Has it always been the sole member of SJCV?

A Yes, it is.
Q So let's take a look at what you claim is not a misrepresentation. And it says right after, "Mr. Bloom is also the manager of SJCV, the SJCV parties," it says, "As a material term of the Forbearance Agreement which forms the basis of the bargain, Mr. Bloom, as the managing member of
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First Holdings -- First 100 Holdings and as the manager of SJCV, have agreed that SJCV will execute the security agreement described herein and that First 100 Holdings will acknowledge its consent that SJCV execute the security agreement, which will require First 100 Holdings and SJCV to guarantee the obligations of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q It doesn't say the word mortgage, does it?

A Not in this paragraph.
Q And it says you will guarantee the obligations under the Forbearance Agreement; correct?

A The obligations as understood to be the third mortgage as represented by CBC. Obligations is not a defined term here. I put it in context for you.

Q You guaranteed the obligations of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance through that judgment; isn't that correct?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Sounds like we disagree on what those obligations consist of.

Q I didn't hear your answer, sir?
A Correct. I'm sorry. I'm not finished. Correct, to the extent that we disagree on what those obligations consist of.

Q So the obligations owing from the Antos parties to CBCI as of April (sic) 21st, 2017, the amount of \(2,935,001.14\) for unpaid principal balance. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Two, "Certain protection payments as defined in Exhibit B," that we went over yesterday; do you recall that?

\section*{A Ido.}

Q And "Pre-forbearance protection payments as defined in Exhibit B in the amount of \(\$ 1,315,105\) in interest at the rate of 20 percent." Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q "In addition, amounts for accrued and accruing interest, recoverable costs, certain indemnities, post-forbearance protection payments, and other note expenses as described below," do you see that?

A I see that.
Q And those are the obligations that you
agreed to pay; correct?
A Those are the obligations of the Antos parties at the beginning of this paragraph.

Q And that is the obligation owing that you agreed to pay in paragraph 4, those are the obligations you agreed to pay; correct?

A I don't -MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A I don't see in this agreement where SJC agreed to pay the obligations of the Antos parties.

Q Let's go back to paragraph 4. "As a material term of the Forbearance Agreement, which forms the basis of the bargain, Mr. Bloom, as the managing member of 100 Holdings -- First 100 Holdings and as the manager of SJC have agreed that SJC will execute the security agreement described herein; and that First 100 Holdings will acknowledge its consent that SJC execute the security agreement, which will require First 100 Holdings and SJC to guaranty the obligation of SHAC and SJCV under this forbearance." Isn't that what that says?

A That is what it says, which is completely different than the guaranty of the


A I don't know that it's what was proposed as the final structure.

Q Well, let's go take a look at that one.
We'll go through that one paragraph by paragraph now, too.

A These are delicious. You're really missing out.

All right. This is that document that
we went over yesterday. Let's take a look at
SHAC, buyer, obligations.
MS. BARRAZA: Sorry. Can we just put on
the record what is the Bates stamp of this?
MR. MUSHKIN: 00125 -- I'm sorry, 00125. BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q Okay. Let's take a look at SHAC's
obligations. Isn't it true that all of the terms
contained in that paragraph are contained in the Forbearance Agreement?

A Well, starting with the first bullet point, it references a third lender debt. That would be the third mortgage which doesn't exist, which would be an obligation of the Antos Trust, so no.

Q Isn't it true that in this document you agreed to start 90 days after SHAC was in
possession of the premises to service that debt?
You proposed. I'm sorry.
A Correct, I proposed servicing, and I
even requested to see the third position note, and I don't believe that --

Q And you did, didn't you?
A No, I don't believe that was ever provided.

Q Didn't you meet with Ken Antos in the conference room at Maier Gutierrez and go over the documents in detail?

A We went over the closing documents. A third position note would have been a due diligence document that was never provided.

Q Did you ask for it?
A In this email that we're looking at in parentheses I said, 'I would like to see the existing third position note."

Q And you did see it?
A Let me finish my answer.
Q Sorry.
A It was referenced as a third position note, as a third position loan. A third position loan would have to be a loan to or guaranteed by the property owner. It turns out, we found in
discovery, that that was never the case.
Q So the second bullet is effect repairs.
That's in the Forbearance Agreement you were supposed to effect repairs; correct?
\(A\) Yes, with an estimate of about \(\$ 100,000\).
Q Then it's maintain and provide all costs --

A I'm sorry. I think the final document had \(\$ 100,000\) fixed amount. This was an estimate in this document. So it's somewhat different than the final document.

Q And I appreciate that. Obviously, it's not going to be word for word. I'm just going on the topics. These topics are addressed. The first topic was addressed, because you paid \$8,000-and-some-odd a month; correct?

I didn't hear an answer.
A Correct.
Q Thank you.
Repairs we talked about. Ongoing maintenance we talked about. Utilities, insurance, HOA dues, property taxes on a going forward basis, landscaping, pool maintenance, repairs to the premises, a portion of the judgment necessary as additional collateral. And I want to
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go into this for just a minute. It says "to the third lender." Now, you claim to know a lot about notes and deeds of trust. How do you know you're a third lender?

A Third position mortgage, a third lender would be a lender who is in the third position against collateral.

Q So there would be a first, a second, and then a third; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And on the recorded title to 5148, there's a first, City National; there's a second, Northern Trust; and there's a third that's now held by assignment by 5148; correct?

A With the qualification that there's a defective third.

Q That's your claim. I understand.
A Because there's no note, underlying note for which that deed of trust can serve to secure.

Q And then the next bullet is the earlier of two years, collection of the judgment for the assume and -- you know, pay off the third, and assume or retire the first and second. Do you see those?

A I see those.

a belief that there was actually a third position loan.

Q So is there any part of the forbearance structure that's not covered by this?

A This document doesn't provide for a pledge of the stock by SJC.

Q It doesn't provide for the pledge of anybody's stock, does it?

A No, it does not.
Q It doesn't talk about SHAC in any way, does it?

A No.
Q Except that it says, "Add SHAC as a joint tenant with rights of survivorship on the deed." Do you see that?

A Where are you looking?
Q Owner/seller's obligation.
A Oh, okay. Yeah, then it does. It does address SHAC.

Q So this contemplates a transfer of the property; right?

A Without a pledge of the stock to the recipient of that transfer.

Q It doesn't talk about that at all, does it?

A No.
Q But it does talk about additional collateral and assurance of payment; correct?

A For a third position mortgage, yes, which turned out not to exist.

Q And it sets out the exact amounts in other documents; correct?

A For what's supposed to be a third position mortgage, which turned out not to exist.

Q I understand, sir.
MR. MUSHKIN: So it's 11:00. We're going to break now so you can have your call. We'll pick up again at 12:00.
(Lunch Break taken from 11:00 a.m. to 12:26 p.m.)
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Mr. Bloom, when we left off we were going through a comparison from the Forbearance Agreement to that initial deal structure that you proposed. Is that a fair description of the two documents?

A Correct, I believe so.
Q Okay. So, and we went through and saw that most of the terms -- or almost all the terms from the one document ended up in the forbearance
agreement. There is a subsequent document to the
Forbearance Agreement. If you remember, we went
through the Forbearance Agreement, we went through
Exhibit B and all of the attachments to the
Forbearance Agreement. Do you recall that?
A We went through the Forbearance
Agreement, and we went through the subsequent --
we went through the attachments. Have we gone
through the subsequent document that you're
referring to?
Q What I'm trying to do is make sure we've gone through them all and get to exactly that, because I don't think we've actually looked at the Amended Forbearance Agreement or the amendments to the Forbearance Agreement, but I want to get to that for just a few minutes.

A Okay.
Q But I'm going to sort of tick them off real quickly to make sure that you recall that we've talked about them. And the Forbearance Agreement that's dated the 27th day of September of 2017; is that correct?

A I'm not sure of the date from recollection, but I'll accept your representation, because you have the document in front of you.
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\section*{A We did talk about the Forbearance}

Agreement.
Q Great.
Now, when you executed this Forbearance Agreement, you got a whole package of documents; is that fair to say?

A Yes, necessarily to execute the agreements.
(Reporter clarification.)
A Those agreements would be part of a package of documents.

Q And we have referred to that in emails and in discussions as to closing documents; is that a fair description?

A I believe so.
Q Okay. So the first document was the Forbearance Agreement. And do you recall if the Articles of Organization were attached as Exhibit A to the Forbearance Agreement?

A Is there an exhibit you want to pull up that we can reference?

Q Sure. You don't have any independent recollection?

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline page 244 & page 246 \\
\hline A I believe so. & Q And you see where in the second -- in \\
\hline 2 Q And then the final personal guaranty is, & 2 the first whereas it references the \\
\hline 3 if you look, the trustees now execute a personal & 3 September 27th agreement? \\
\hline 4 guaranty on the 27th. Do you see that? Signature & 4 A Correct. \\
\hline 5 page, guarantor, trustee Kenneth Antos? & Q In there it references that the \\
\hline \(6 \quad \mathrm{~A}\) I do see it. & 6 Forbearance Agreement expired on or about \\
\hline 7 Q Thank you. & 7 August 31 of '19. Do you see that? \\
\hline 8 The next document is at 114 . We talked & 8 A That's in a subsequent. \\
\hline about this very briefly. This is the lease, and I & Q The next whereas? \\
\hline 10 believe you testified that you provided this & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 lease? & 11 Q Okay. And the subject of this \\
\hline 12 A I believe so. & 12 execution, the parties desire to extend the \\
\hline 13 Q And again, part of the closing package? & 13 forbearance period until March 31st, 2020. Do you \\
\hline 14 A I believe so. & 14 see that? \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. Now we'll get to that document & 15 A No. \\
\hline 16 we've been referring to that we really haven't & 16 Q It's the next whereas, "Whereas, subject \\
\hline 17 spent any time on, and that's at 146, and that's & 17 to the terms of this extension." Did I say \\
\hline 18 the Amendment to the Forbearance Agreement; is & 18 execution? \\
\hline 19 that correct? & 19 A You did. \\
\hline 20 A It appears to & 20 Q Extension, "The parties desire to extend \\
\hline 21 Q And I just want to make sure. If you'll & 21 the forbearance period until March 31, 2020." Do \\
\hline 22 go to page -- well, we'll take you to the page. & 22 you see that? \\
\hline 23 How's that? And that is -- on page 8, is & 23 A Yes, I see that. \\
\hline 24 Mr. Otter's signature; is that correct? Sorry. & 24 Q And was that the agreement at the time? \\
\hline 25 There's Mr. Otter's signature, and then at the & 25 A To the best of my understanding, yes. \\
\hline & \\
\hline bottom of the next page is Spanish Heights and SJC & Q Under paragraph 1A, there were \\
\hline Ventures; correct? & 2 conditions to the extension. Do you see those? \\
\hline 3 A It appears to be. & 3 A I see the top of the page, yes. \\
\hline 4 Q Okay. So do you recall seeing this & Q Do you see the conditions to extension? \\
\hline 5 document? & 5 A I do. \\
\hline A I believe so. & 6 Q And the first one is "The Forbearance \\
\hline Q And did you understand it at the time & 7 Agreement shall remain in effect." That's pretty \\
\hline that you signed it? & standard; is that correct? \\
\hline A To the extent that I understood what I & 9 A Yes. \\
\hline 10 believed it to be, yes. & 10 Q The next one is "The K \& S Trust, \\
\hline 11 Q So are there, other than this claim that & 11 Kenneth Antos and Sheila Antos as trustees of the \\
\hline 12 you've made that somehow the mortgage doesn't & 12 Living Trust and as personal guarantors of the \\
\hline 13 exist, other than that, were there any & 13 Secured Promissory Note, collectively 'the Antos \\
\hline 14 misrepresentations or parts of this document that & 14 parties,' SHAC and SJCV represent and warrant they \\
\hline 15 you did not understand? & 15 are not in default of the Forbearance Agreement or \\
\hline 16 A To the best of my knowledge, no, & 16 any of the related agreements." Do you see that? \\
\hline 17 although I would reserve modifying that answer & 17 A Ido. \\
\hline 18 subject to continued discovery. & 18 Q And did you make that representation at \\
\hline 19 Q Well, then we're going to have to go & 19 the time? \\
\hline 20 through it. So you understand the date is & 20 A To the extent the document speaks for \\
\hline 21 December of '19; correct? & 21 itself, that paragraph appears to make that \\
\hline 22 A What date? & 22 representation. \\
\hline 23 Q The date that you enter into the & 23 Q The next one is "SHAC and SJCV continue \\
\hline 24 Amendment Forbearance Agreement? & 24 to be limited liability companies," and we, of \\
\hline 25 A Yes. & 25 course, know that to be true; is that also true? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A That is true, correct.
Q "The Antos parties and the SJCV parties represent they have no knowledge of any other lenders have undertaken efforts to enforce any rights related to the property." So at this time the first and second had not taken any collection action; is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q Now, the next one says, "The Membership
Pledge Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos
parties shall remain in effect, and the execution
of this amendment shall not be considered a waiver
of CBCI's rights under the Membership Pledge Agreement." Do you see that?

A I do see the paragraph.
Q And it says SJCV, doesn't it?
A It says SJCV.
Q Thank you.
Number 6 says, "SHAC will provide CBCI with evidence of homeowner's insurance that is effective through March 31, 2020." It says that; is that correct?

A It does say that.
Q And did you ultimately provide that proof of insurance at my request? Is that another
yes I didn't hear?
A Yes, I did.
Q Sorry.
Now, the next one is number 7, "The
payment of the balloon payment described in
Exhibit B to the Forbearance Agreement shall be
due on March 31st, 2020." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And did you make that payment on
March 31st, 2020?
A We did not.
Q And was there any lawsuit pending on March 31st, 2020?

A None that I can recall.
Q Why didn't you pay?
A We were still in discussions for a
second extension because First 100 had not monetized its judgment yet to do the
distributions, which would be the source of funds
to monetize what we believed at the time to have
been a third mortgage, that we later found out
does not exist.
Q I just want to be clear, because the agreement and the emails had representations that there were three sources of funds, the litigation
fund, the judgment collection, or personal funds.
Is it your testimony that you did not have access
to enough money to make the balloon payment on
March 31st of 2020?
A Objection as to it misstates testimony and misstates the documents.

In reference to those three sources of funds, it's for the \(\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}\) reserve account, not for the payment of the balloon payment.

Q So at the time of the -- well, you
didn't answer my question. Did you have access to -- I'll ask the question three ways. Did SJCV have enough money to make the balloon payment on March 31st, 2020?

A Not from the sources which it chose to utilize, so we were negotiating an extension at that point.

Q Did SHAC have enough money to make the balloon payment?

A Not from the sources anticipated to be utilized or preferred to be utilized, so we were in negotiations to extend the term of the forbearance.

Q And did First 100 have the money to retire the balloon payment due?

1

A I would object to the question as to relevance and the probability that it would lead to discovery information.

That said, not from the sources from
which it anticipated making distributions on the collection of the judgment to make such a payment.

Q Anywhere in the executed documents does it say that you have only those sources of funds to pay the obligation that you signed for?

A I don't think those sources of funds relate to the payment of any obligation other than the reserve account that was contemplated and subsequently abandoned in favor of a prepayment for those amounts that were to be paid out of the reserve account over the course of the year.

Q Not quite sure I understand your answer, so I'll ask my question a slightly different way.

Is there anywhere in the documents where it says that the holder of the note has to accept payment from only those sources?

A Not that I'm aware.
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Thank you.
So let's go back to page 3, item 7 --
item 8. Item 8 says, "The parties acknowledge the extension of the Lease Agreement." Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And number 9, "the Membership Pledge Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos shall remain in effect." Is that what the next one says?

A Well, that's what the next paragraph represents. There is no membership Pledge Agreement as executed by SJC, just the Antos -not the Antos Trust either. Oh, no, wait. The Antos Trust is a signatory, but SJC did not execute a membership Pledge Agreement.

Q When you signed --
A I'm sorry. Let me finish my answer, please.

Notwithstanding the representation of that paragraph.

Q So when you signed this paragraph, did you believe it to be true?

A When I signed this document, I didn't sign this paragraph. When I signed --

Q You are right. When you signed this document, did you believe this paragraph to be
true?
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A I don't think I read this paragraph fully to understand that it was representing that an SJC execution was on the Membership Pledge Agreement, because clearly it's not.

Q But you do remember that the Pledge Agreement recites 100 percent of the membership interest?

A I also remember that SJC is not a signatory, that it signed a security agreement to apportion a portion of its distribution from its judgment in lieu of the Membership Pledge Agreement which was originally contemplated.

Q So, Mr. Bloom, I appreciate that you want to keep telling your story, but that isn't responsive to my question.

MR. MUSHKIN: Ms. Court Reporter would you read my question back to him.
(Record read.)
A Do I remember that? No, I don't remember that.

Q 81. So we've identified this document previously, Mr. Bloom. I'd like to point your attention to the parties. The very first page says this agreement is made by Kenneth and Sheila

Antos Living Trust, SJC Ventures, LLC, collectively the pledgors, and CBC Partners I, secured party or CBCI. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see the Pledge Agreement unsigned by SJC includes SJC.

Q Sir, you can keep saying that over and over again, but you're just going to waste time.
We're going to be here for three days going through these documents. You're just wasting time.

A You have seven hours by the court order.
Q I have 21 hours, sir. I have three depositions, two 30(b)(6) and one of you individually. And if you force me to, I will take all 21 hours. I'm trying to get through it. If you'll just answer my questions, you can -- your attorney can have you answer questions all you want, but throwing in nonresponsive answers to my questions -- I just want to get through the documents. Okay?

Here's my question: Doesn't it recite that SJC Ventures -- got it right for once -- is a party to the Pledge Agreement?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. The document speaks for itself.
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You can answer.
A I would reiterate and incorporate my
previous answer, which I believe is responsive.
Q Sir, it's a yes or no answer. Is that
what it says on the page? Yes or no?
A The Pledge Agreement that's unsigned by
SJC mentions SJC, yes.

Q Now, but it is signed by Jay Bloom, isn't it?

A In an official capacity for an entity, not an individual.

Q Let's take a look at the fourth whereas. "Whereas, the Forbearance Agreement provides that several conditions must be satisfied before CBCI agrees to forbear from exercising its rights and remedies under the Forbearance Agreement. In particular, one of the conditions requires the Antos Trust and SJCV have agreed to pledge all right, title, and interest in and to 100 percent of its membership interest in Spanish Heights Acquisition Company to secured party pursuant to this agreement." Do you see that?

A This Pledge Agreement that's unsigned by SJC, I see the language that SJC is pledging 100 percent of its membership interest in Spanish

Heights Acquisition Company together with the Antos Trust.

Q Thank you.
Now to 148 again. Now, in this
paragraph 9, it says, "The Membership Pledge
Agreement executed by SJCV and the Antos Trust
shall remain in effect, and the execution of this
Fifth Amendment shall not be considered a waiver
as CBCI's rights under the Membership Pledge
Agreement." Do you see that provision?
A In the unsigned Pledge Agreement I see the provision that represents -- misrepresents that it's executed by SJC or misstates that it's executed by SJC, and to the extent that CBC has rights under a pledge agreement that's unsigned by a party, CBC is not to be considered to waiving those rights, yes.

Q Now, you do remember that the
Forbearance Agreement called out that very same
term? Do you recall that?
A I do not.
Q Well, all right. Let's go back to the
Forbearance Agreement. Let's go to page 5. So do you see where it talks about recitals and that the Antos parties and SJCV parties acknowledge the
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recitals set forth above are true and correct and accurate? Do you see that?

A I do. Ido.
Q So SJCV is a party -- I'll show you
their signatory later. SJCV is a party and
acknowledges the contents of the recitals; is that correct?

A Which agreement is this?
Q This is the Forbearance Agreement.
A Correct.
Q And let me take you to the back real quick so you can see that you signed it. Take a look at 25. SJC Ventures signed this document. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q All right. Now, the recitals are all of those pages leading up to page 5. So there's four pages of these recitals. Did you read this document before you signed it?

A I'm sure I skimmed the document.
Q B1, Amended Note and Events of Default. All right. Now, this sets out the obligation; is that fair to say?

A It's fair to say that it sets out the Antos parties' obligation to CBC.

1 Q Well, is it your testimony that SJC
Ventures did not obligate itself pursuant to this Forbearance Agreement?

A It obligated itself under a misrepresentation upon which it relied --

Q I --
A Mr. Mushkin, you have to let me finish my answer.

It obligated itself to certain
obligations under this Forbearance Agreement, did
not obligate itself to the Antos parties, and
those obligations were undertaken based on a misrepresentation that a third position mortgage existed, which we later found out it does not.

Q So you keep adding this new defense of yours, and I appreciate that you want to say it over and over again, but it doesn't get us through this deposition. It's a non sequitur, sir. All I want -- you can keep saying it. We'll be here for 21 hours.

So I just want to understand what your defense is, and I don't -- you're just not answering my questions. You're repeating something that is not responsive. You just said in this last answer that you did not obligate
yourself to the Antos. Did you mean to say that?
A What I said is that SJC did not obligate itself to the Antos parties -- or for the Antos parties' obligation to CBCI.

Q Then how did you get your interest in SHAC?

A SJC obligated itself -- well, SJC obligated itself for the obligations of the Antos Trust in exchange for its interest, the Antos Trust interest in property which it conveyed to SHAC.

I mean, you keep confusing the parties and mixing up the parties. When you do get the names right, you convolute the Antoses individually, the Trust, SJC, SHAC. You use them interchangeably, but they're not. They're separate entities.

Q Mr. Bloom, the only parties that I reviewed collectively are the parties to the
Forbearance Agreement, and in the Forbearance Agreement and all of the documents associated with it that follow the structure of the deal that you created, an entity is created to accept title to the house. SJCV obligates itself to retire the CBCI note. That's what all these documents say.
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You just acknowledged it. You acknowledge it with a defense that somehow you were misrepresented. That you can tell to the court. I get it. But there's no confusion about the documents. You've already testified that you're not arguing about the authentication of the documents. Are you now arguing about authentication of the documents?

A No.
Q Okay. So the documents are -- you said about a hundred times the document speaks for itself. You've used that as a response to my questions over and over, haven't you?

MS. BARRAZA: Is this a question to this deposition? What is the point of asking that? What's the question here?

MR. MUSHKIN: Because his testimony keeps changing, Counsel. I want to make sure what this man is saying. I am not clear.

MS. BARRAZA: So what are you --
MR. MUSHKIN: I'm trying to figure out0
what your defense -- until I figure out what the defense is -- you've named no expert witness. You've named nobody else except my people. So I need to understand what this guy is going to testify to at the time of trial.
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MS. BARRAZA: So is that the question?
MR. MUSHKIN: Well, now I don't know
what the question is. The court reporter can read
back my last question.
(Record read.)
MR. MUSHKIN: Thank you. That was my last question.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Now, is it your testimony, that the
Forbearance Agreement does not create an obligation of SJC Ventures to the Antos individually and to the Antos Trust?

A Objection. That's a compound question. If you want to rephrase it into pieces.

Q No.
A Compound question.
Q Well, your attorney didn't object.
MS. BARRAZA: My client can respond to the extent he understands the question.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Do you understand the question?
A Would you repeat the question.
Q Is it your testimony that the
Forbearance Agreement does not create an obligation from SJC Ventures to the Antoses
individually, the Antoses as trustee, and the Trust.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Document speaks for itself.

You can answer.
A The document sets forth certain obligations, but you seem to keep trying to impute obligations among all parties for every obligation, and that's just not what the document says. That's not what exists.

Q Well, I'm not really sure what you just said, except the first part of your answer I believe was a yes to my question, that there are obligations of SJC Ventures to each of the Antos parties created by the Forbearance Agreement. MS. BARRAZA: Is that a question? MR. MUSHKIN: Yes, it's a question.

\section*{A I'm not sure I heard a question in your} statement.

Q Isn't it true that the Forbearance Agreement creates obligations from SJC Ventures to the Antoses individually, the Antoses as trustees, and the Antoses on behalf of the trust.

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

You can answer.
A No.
Q Why not?
A Several reasons. Because you use "and," and it doesn't bring out the same obligations to the same parties. Second of all, it's based on fraudulent misrepresentation that...
(Reporter clarification.)
Several reasons. One, your question used the word "and," and the company does not have obligations to all the parties you asked and does not have the same obligations to those parties to which it does have obligations.

Second of all, the obligations were undertaken or assumed based on a fraudulent misrepresentation that there was the existence of a third mortgage which through the process of this litigation's discovery we have come to learn does not exist. Instead, it's a commercial note to a restaurant that's unrelated to the property.

THE WITNESS: Is the volume okay, Cari? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, that's perfect. If you could just keep it right there.
That's great. Thank you.
BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q So, Mr. Bloom, what obligations did SJC
Ventures undertake to the Antoses individually?
A I don't believe there are obligations to the Antoses individually.

Q And what obligations did it undertake to the Antoses as trustees?

A I don't believe there's an obligation to the Antoses as trustees.

Q And what obligation did it undertake to the Antos Living Trust?

A SJC's obligation to the Antos Living
Trust, assuming the Antos Living Trust obligation
under a third mortgage that later turned out not
to exist but instead a commercial loan to a
restaurant, for which loan the Antos Trust is not
a borrower nor a guarantor.
Q And was that promise made to obtain your interest in SHAC -- SJCV's interest in SHAC -SCJ -- I can't keep it straight. SJCV. Was that obligation undertaken as consideration for obtaining your interest in SHAC?

A Not sole consideration. Consideration was also provided through repairs effectuated to the premises, together with payments to CBCI and assumption of certain third-party payments which
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\section*{SHAC undertook.}

Q And as a part of that, there was an operating agreement executed that's a part of the closing package; correct?

A Correct.
Q And within that operating agreement, you
have the right to purchase the rest of the
interest in SHAC upon full payment of the obligation to CBCI; is that correct?

A I believe so.
Q And is it your testimony that you no
longer owe anything to CBCI?
MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Yes.
Q And you no longer owe anything to the Antos Trust?

A Correct.
Q And you no longer owe anything to Ken and Sheila Antos individually?

A Correct.
Q So how is it that you will obtain the remaining 49 percent in SHAC?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A Well, given that CBC no longer holds the note, then there's nothing due to CBC. So I
imagine there's going to be a series of negotiations for the acquisition of that percentage interest with a subsequent owner, or we just maintain the equity positions as is.

Q So is it your testimony that you have no intention of paying any funds pursuant to these agreements?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Form.
A It's my intention to pay every dollar that's actually due.

Q When?
A Getting into settlement discussions?
Q You said you were going to pay. I want to know when.

A I don't believe there's any money actually due.

Q That's what I asked you before. Is it your intention to pay zero?

A It's my intention to pay what is due.
Q How much is due?
A The Antoses individually as guarantors and the restaurants owe whatever the face value of the commercial loan is. The Antos Trust never assumed that debt, and SJC Ventures took on the obligation of the Antos Trust. So the answer is
zero. That's what the note is worth.
Q You're nothing if you're not bold. Okay. Let's go back to --
A I hope you understand the answer now.
Q I think I understand now that you think you owe zero.

A Yeah, the restaurant that's the borrower owes the face amount of the note.

Q What about the --
A The Antoses -- the Antoses --
Q Hang on. Hang on. I don't mean to interrupt you, but you don't have to tell me again. I heard it the first time.

But what about the agreement in the Forbearance Agreement that caused CBCI to pay the first and the second for 30 -some months? You're not going to -- you don't think you have to pay for the post-forbearance advances made based on the Forbearance Agreement?

A I think the restaurant that's the borrower should pay that, and I think that the Antoses individually that are guarantors should pay their debt.

Q But SHAC had the property. SHAC owned the property the entire time. SHAC enjoyed the
benefit, and you lived in the house, and your testimony is you shouldn't have to pay those payments?

A That's not true.
Q What's not true?
A The fact that your representation that SHAC owned the property the entire time. A lot of the protective advances were prior to the transaction.

Q I'm only talking about the last 30
months. I'm talking about specifically the
advances made pursuant to the Forbearance
Agreement. Your testimony is you pay zero? You
don't even have to make the payments that were advanced on your behalf as SHAC?

A They weren't advanced on my behalf.
They were protective interest to protect CBC's
interest from the first and second mortgage, because CBC felt that it had an interest in the property.

Q And you contracted for that to be done in the Forbearance Agreement; correct?

A When I was told that there was a third mortgage --

Q Oh, here we go again.

But in terms of -- in terms of what we're talking about here, setting aside those arguments, no, the Antos Trust is not a borrower. The Antos Trust is not a guarantor. And SJC took on the obligations of the Antos Trust in exchange
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for the Antos Trust conveying the property.
Some of those obligations have been met because they're legitimate. And the commercial loan to a restaurant is not an obligation of the Antos Trust. You and I are going to disagree about that, and it's going to go to a judge or a jury, and we'll figure it out eventually.

Q Are you done now?
A I am.
Q So on or about the 27th of September of 2017, SHAC was formed; correct?

A Correct, yes.
Q And on or about that date, the Antos
Trust conveyed the property that is 5128
Spanish -- 5148 Spanish Heights Drive into SHAC; correct?

A Correct.
Q And that was contemplated in the
Forbearance Agreement, correct, and the closing documents; correct?

A Correct.
Q As a part of those documents, one of the contract terms was for CBCI to make payments on the first and second mortgage; correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And it's your testimony that SJCV is not obligated to make those advance payments to CBCI?

A Correct, insofar as the obligation falls on the restaurants as the borrower of the note against which protective advances are being made, and Antos individually at the guarantor.

Q You don't argue that it was disclosed to you that the borrower was KCI Investments; correct?

A I do not argue that one of the borrowers was KCI Investments.

Q And the other was Preferred Brands, Inc.; correct?

A Correct.
Q It was disclosed in the very beginning to you; correct?

A Correct.
Q I certainly don't understand, sir. I do not understand the difference. We'll see what the judge says.

Okay. So now let's go back to the Amended Forbearance Agreement. Let's go back to the Amended Forbearance Agreement. So as of December of '19, you don't know anything about this note not being valid; is that fair?
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A Correct. Yes, correct.
Q And it's your testimony that you only
learn about it in the litigation; is that what you're testifying to?

A Correct.
Q What did you -- what document did you
learn in the litigation that somehow leads you to
believe that the note is not a proper obligation
of the trust pursuant to the deed of trust
executed?
A The underlying commercial loan to the restaurant was never joined by the Antos Trust, owner of the real property by way of amendment to the note, which would incorporate the trust as either a borrower or a guarantor.
(Reporter clarification.)
A To date the note has not been amended.
Q And by what authority do you believe the note has to be amended?

A My understanding of contract law.
Q I just want to make sure. We're relying on you.

Have you ever looked at NRS 164.400?
A No.
Q So the document that we recently
provided to you, it's up on the screen now. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q This document is executed, I'll
represent to you, sometime in '14, December of '14, three years before your transaction. And in this document, the trust does that very thing.
I'd like you to take a minute and follow with me, starting at, "The trust is validly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada." The trust is in force. The Antoses are the only trustees, and they "are each authorized and empowered in the name of the Trust without the approval of the other trustee, the beneficiaries, or any other person to execute and deliver a Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents," and it recites the amount. Do you see that?

A We already went through this document and had this conversation. Yes, I see that again.

Q Okay. And do you understand what 164.400 does under those circumstances? MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.

A Also answered I haven't looked at that statute.

Q Okay. No problem. So you somehow think that the trust did not obligate itself; is that your testimony?

MS. BARRAZA: Objection. Asked and answered.

A Yes, this document, as we stated earlier in this deposition, authorizes the trust to take an action which was never undertaken.

MR. MUSHKIN: Ms. Court Reporter, let's take a two-minute break.
(Break taken from 1:20 p.m.
to \(1: 27\) p.m.)
BY MR. MUSHKIN:
Q Let's take a look at the
Seventh Modification to Secured Promissory note. Can you see it now?

A Yes, I can see it.
Q As you can see, that was previously produced in this case as 5148 Spanish Heights 000643 . Did you bother to look at this one?

A I don't think I've seen it.
Q Why don't you take a minute and read it.
A Can you scroll down. Okay. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll down. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll down.
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Q I'd be mad if we had the wrong one.
A Is this the wrong document?
Q No, no. I just didn't remember it being
this far deep in the document, but when you
scroll, it doesn't go very fast.
A Okay. Scroll down.
Q I'm used to turning pages.
A Yeah. Okay. Scroll down.
Q Let's just take a minute right here. Do you see that it goes through the additional advances that were made to the borrower? Do you see that?

A Although it looks like there's another borrower that joined in this -- what is it, Seventh Amendment?

Q Correct.
A If I recall, Dixie.
Q And we'll see -- that's correct, and you'll see where the trust joins in a minute.

A Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay. All right. Okay. Scroll down.

Q I'm not seeing it. Where is it?
A Okay. Scroll down. Okay. Scroll.
Okay. Okay. All right. Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay.

\section*{Q Do you understand what that means? \\ A I believe I do. \\ Q As an amendment to the note they create}
the deed of trust on the real property located at
5148 Spanish Heights Drive. So would you like to adjust your testimony that you've given up until now?

A No. I'm not seeing -- I'm not seeing
the trust taking on the role of borrower or
guarantor. I'm just seeing a deed of trust that
guarantees and secures a zero obligation. Unless
you can show me -- is there a section? I mean, maybe we can save some time. Can you show me where the trust becomes a borrower or a guarantor?

Q Look at the earlier provision, a
condition precedent to the advance of the
funds.
A Right, was the authorization, which we discussed.

Q Authorization and execution and delivery of the deed of trust. And if you look forward, execution of each of the guarantors, acknowledgment of the guarantors attached hereto. Let's go to the bottom. And then if we go to the next amendment, which if you want, I'll take you
to that one as well.
A Can you go back. So I see the borrowers are KCI and Preferred Restaurant Brands. All right.

Q Right.
A Under CBC.
Q Parties to this document.
A Are there more signatures?
Q Wait, we got more. Okay. This is the Certificate of Trust that's provided with it.

A So that's authorizing the trust to sign as a borrower or guarantor.

Q There you go. And now let's go to the next amendment. There's the deed of trust.

A What is that deed of trust securing? Where is the note that the Antos Trust is a borrower on or a guarantor to?

Q It recites the same note. It recites valuable consideration. Remember that they're continuing to advance, Mr. Bloom. These predate you. This deed of trust is April 26th of -- dates back to -- I'm sorry. That's the date of the trust. This is December of '14, and they're still advancing money under the credit agreement, and they're in the note. They're in the amendment to
page 276
the note. So I understand what your defense is,
but respectfully, sir, as you said earlier, wasn't
this all part of your due diligence before you signed the Forbearance Agreement?

A I requested the note and it wasn't
provided. And what \(I^{\prime} m\) seeing so far is an amendment to the note signed by the borrowers, which are two restaurants.

Sorry. Let me finish my answer, please.
I'm not even seeing the guarantors
signing the amended notes. I'm just seeing the borrowers, which are two restaurants, and certainly not the trust.

And to address your previous statement, when you said they continued to advance, they advanced to the restaurants as borrowers. Just because MGM gets a loan doesn't mean that they can lien this house.

Q But if MGM puts up -- but if before those advances are made MGM or a related entity puts up collateral and then the money is given, then the collateral is encumbered, sir, and all your previous ramblings about "The note wasn't amended" turn out to be false, because the note was amended to reflect the trust, and the trust
gave specific authority, and it was all done three years before you arrived.

Now --
MS. BARRAZA: Is that a question? Wait. Is that a question?

MR. MUSHKIN: Yes, I'm asking him. BY MR. MUSHKIN:

Q Is it still your testimony that you think the note has somehow been extinguished?

A So yes and no. Yes, it is extinguished as of the doctrine of merger, and to the extent that it wasn't extinguished, it's only applicable to the borrowers. You still haven't shown me a document where the trust did anything more than authorize a transaction that never took place. But I'm not seeing an amendment to the note where the trust said, yes, the trust is now a borrower, or yes, the trust is now a guarantor. In fact, your statement that it continued to make advances, the advances went to the restaurant, not to the trust.

Q Thank you, Mr. Bloom, for not answering my question.

A I'm not giving you the answer that you want because it's not truthful.
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