

1 **NOAS**

2 Emily McFarling, Esq.
3 Nevada Bar Number 8567
4 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**
5 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
6 Las Vegas, NV 89146
7 (702) 565-4335 phone
8 (702) 732-9385 fax
9 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
10 Attorney for Plaintiff,
11 Andrew Warren

Electronically Filed
May 14 2021 03:26 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

7 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

8 **FAMILY DIVISION**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 **ANDREW WARREN,**

11 **Plaintiff,**

12 **vs.**

13 **AIMEE JUNG YANG,**

14 **Defendant.**

Case Number: D-19-590407-C
Department: G

15 **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

16 **TO: Defendant, Aimee Jung Yang, and to her attorney of record, Kenneth Friedman, Esq.:**

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

1 Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff, Andrew Warren, in the above-named matter, hereby
2 appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada the following orders:

- 3 1. Order From March 18, 2021 Hearing entered in this action on May 3, 2021 which
4 denied reconsideration/new trial from the Finding of Fact and Conclusion of Law
5 entered in this action on July 19th 2020.

6 DATED this 7th day of May, 2021.

7 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**

8 /s/ Emily McFarling

9 Emily McFarling, Esq.
10 Nevada Bar Number 8567
6230 W. Desert Inn Road
Las Vegas, NV 89146
11 (702) 565-4335
Attorney for Plaintiff,
12 Andrew Warren

13 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**


14 The undersigned, an employee of McFarling Law Group, hereby certifies that on this 7th
15 day of May, 2021, served a true and correct copy of this Notice of Appeal:

16
17 ☒ via mandatory electronic service using the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-file and E-
18 service System to the following:

19 Kenneth Friedman, Esq.
20 k.friedman@hotmail.com

21
22 /s/ Alex Aguilar

23 Alex Aguilar
24



ASTA
Emily McFarling, Esq.
Nevada Bar Number 8567
McFARLING LAW GROUP
6230 W. Desert Inn Road
Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335 phone
(702) 732-9385 fax
eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Andrew Warren

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ANDREW WARREN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AIMEE JUNG YANG,

Defendant.

Case Number: D-19-590407-C
Department: G

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement:

Plaintiff, Andrew Warren.

2. Identify the judge issuing decision, judgment, or order appealed from:

The Honorable Rhonda Forsberg, Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division, Department G.

3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

Appellant:	Andrew Warren
Attorney for Appellant:	Emily McFarling, Esq. McFarling Law Group 6230 W. Desert Inn Rd. Las Vegas, NV 89146

1 **4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for**
2 **each respondent:**

3 Respondent: Aimee Jung Yang

4 Attorney for Respondent: Kenneth Friedman, Esq.
5 Walsh and Friedman
6 400 S. Maryland Pkwy
 Las Vegas, NV 89101

7 **5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above is not licensed to practice law in Nevada**
8 **and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under**
9 **SCR 42:**

10 Both attorneys mentioned above are authorized to practice law in Nevada.

11 **6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the**
12 **District Court:**

13 Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the District Court.

14 **Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel on the**
15 **appeal:**

16 Appellant is represented by retained counsel in the instant appeal.

17 **7. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date**
18 **of entry of the district court order granting such leave:**

19 No such leave was granted to Appellant.

20 **8. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court:**

21 The proceedings commenced on May 30th, 2019 when the Appellant filed a Complaint For Custody
22 in the District Court.

1 **9. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court,**
2 **including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the**
3 **district court:**

4 This is an appeal from the final custody decision after trial in initial proceedings between two
5 unmarried parents.

6 **10. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ**
7 **proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket**
8 **number of the prior proceeding:**

9 This matter has not been the subject of an appeal or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court.

10 **11. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:**

11 This appeal does involve child custody and visitation matters.

12 **12. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:**

13 This appeal does not involve the possibility of settlement and is not appropriate for the Settlement
14 Program.

15 DATED this 7th day of May, 2021.

16 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**


17 /s/ Emily McFarling

18 Emily McFarling, Esq.
19 Nevada Bar Number 8567
20 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
21 Las Vegas, NV 89146
22 (702) 565-4335
23 Attorney for Plaintiff,
24 Andrew Warren

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

☒ via mandatory electronic service using the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-file and E-service System to the following:

/s/ Alex Aguilar
Alex Aguilar



NOAS
Emily McFarling, Esq.
Nevada Bar Number 8567
McFARLING LAW GROUP
6230 W. Desert Inn Road
Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335 phone
(702) 732-9385 fax
eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Andrew Warren

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ANDREW WARREN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AIMEE JUNG YANG,

Defendant.

Case Number: D-19-590407-C
Department: G

NOTICE OF POSTING APPEAL BOND

TO: Defendant, Aimee Jung Yang; and her Attorney of Record, Kenneth Friedman, Esq.

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, concurrently with the filing of the Notice of Appeal
2 herein, Plaintiff, Andrew Warren, is posting \$500.00 as and for his bond on appeal.

3 DATED this 7th day of May, 2021.

4 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**

5 /s/ Emily McFarling

6 Emily McFarling, Esq.
7 Nevada Bar Number 8567
8 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
9 Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Andrew Warren

10 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

11 The undersigned, an employee of McFarling Law Group, hereby certifies that on this 7th
12 day of May, 2021, served a true and correct copy of this Notice of Posting Bond on Appeal:

13 ☒ via mandatory electronic service using the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-file and E-
14 service System to the following:

15 Kenneth Friedman, Esq.
16 k.friedman@hotmail.com

17 /s/ Alex Aguilar

18 Alex Aguilar
19
20
21
22
23
24

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. D-19-590407-C**

Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

§
 §
 §
 §

Location: **Department G**
 Judicial Officer: **Forsberg, Rhonda K.**
 Filed on: **05/30/2019**

CASE INFORMATION**Statistical Closures**

05/03/2021 Settled/Withdrawn With Judicial Conference or Hearing
 07/19/2020 Judgment Reached (Bench Trial)

Case Type: **Child Custody Complaint**

Case
 Status: **05/03/2021 Closed**

Case Flags: **Order After Hearing Required**
Proper Person Documents
Mailed
Appealed to Supreme Court








DATE**CASE ASSIGNMENT****Current Case Assignment**

Case Number D-19-590407-C
 Court Department G
 Date Assigned 05/30/2019
 Judicial Officer Forsberg, Rhonda K.

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff	Warren, Andrew 9279 Sterling Hill Ave Las Vegas, NV 89148	<i>Lead Attorneys</i> McFarling, Emily M, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 702-565-4335(W)
Defendant	Jung Ahyang, Aimee 9279 Sterling Hill Ave Las Vegas, NV 89148	Friedman, Kenneth S. <i>Retained</i> 702-474-4660(W)
Subject Minor	Warren, Roen	


DATE**EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT****EVENTS**

05/07/2021	 Cost on Appeal Bond <i>Notice Of Posting Appeal Bpnd</i>
05/07/2021	 Case Appeal Statement <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
05/07/2021	 Notice of Appeal <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
05/04/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>NEO</i>
05/03/2021	 Order <i>Order from March 18, 2021 Hearing</i>
02/23/2021	 Brief <i>Renewal of Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration</i>
02/10/2021	 Notice of Hearing

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C

noh

02/10/2021	 Re-Notice of Motion Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Plaintiff's Re-Notice of Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration</i>
08/26/2020	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Certificate of Service</i>
08/26/2020	 Objection Filed By: Attorney Friedman, Kenneth S.; Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Defendant/Counterclaimant's Objection to Plaintiff's Exhibits in Support of Reply</i>
08/24/2020	 Exhibits Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Plaintiff's Exhibit Appendix</i>
08/24/2020	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration</i>
08/17/2020	 Opposition <i>Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration</i>
08/12/2020	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Certificate of Service</i>
08/05/2020	 Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
08/03/2020	 Motion to Reconsider Filed by: Attorney McFarling, Emily M, ESQ; Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration</i>
07/20/2020	 Notice of Withdrawal Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel of Record</i>
07/20/2020	 Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Notice of Finding of Facts and Conclusions of Law</i>
07/19/2020	 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment
06/11/2020	 Notice of Entry Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Notice of Entry of Order of Stipulation and Order Regarding Holiday and Vacation Plan</i>
06/10/2020	 Stipulation and Order Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Stipulation and Order Regarding Holiday and Vacation Plan</i>
06/09/2020	 Stipulation and Order <i>Stipulation and Order regarding Holiday and Vacation Plan</i>
05/26/2020	 Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Notice of Entry of Judgment of Attorney's Fees</i>
05/18/2020	 Order <i>Judgment of Attorney's Fees</i>
02/04/2020	 Financial Disclosure Form

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C

	Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Financial Disclosure Form</i>
02/03/2020	 Pre-trial Memorandum Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Amended Pre-trial Memorandum</i>
01/31/2020	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Certificate of Service</i>
01/31/2020	 Pre-trial Memorandum Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Defendant's Pre-Evidentiary Hearing Memorandum</i>
01/30/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document <i>Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document</i>
01/30/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document <i>Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document</i>
01/30/2020	 Pre-trial Memorandum Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Pre-trial Memorandum</i>
01/30/2020	 Pre-trial Memorandum Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Pre-trial Memorandum</i>
01/14/2020	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Certificate of Service</i>
01/14/2020	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's 16.2 Production of Documents</i>
01/08/2020	 Exhibits Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Exhibits To Reply</i>
12/10/2019	 Notice of Taking Deposition <i>Notice of Taking Deposition</i>
11/26/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
11/25/2019	 Order Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Order</i>
11/21/2019	 Notice of Change of Address Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Notice of Change of Address</i>
11/19/2019	 Case Management Order
10/04/2019	 Response <i>Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories</i>
09/09/2019	 Notice of Change of Address Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Notice of Change of Address</i>










CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C


09/09/2019	 Notice of Change of Address Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Notice of Change of Address</i>
09/06/2019	 Receipt of Copy <i>Receipt of Copy</i>
09/06/2019	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>General Financial Disclosure Form</i>
09/05/2019	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR EXHIBITS TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDAT S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY S FEES AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY, RANDOM DRUG TESTING, AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION, SUPERVISED VISITATION, FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT, TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS, FOR PLAINTIFF S ATTORNEY S FEES AND COSTS, AND FOR RELATED RELIEF</i>
09/05/2019	 Exhibits Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Exhibits to Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody of the Parties Minor Child, For Child Support and For Attorney s Fees And Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion for Primary Physical Custody, Random Drug Testing, And Outsourced Evaluation, Supervised Visitation, For an Award of Child Support, To Resolve Health Insurance and Uncovered Medical Costs, For Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees and Costs, And For Related Relief</i>
09/05/2019	 Reply Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Tempooary Primary Physical Custody of the Parties' Minor Child, For Chid Support and for Attorney's Fees and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion for Primary Physocal Custody, Random, Drug Testing, and Outsourced Evaluation, Supervised Visitation, for an Award of Child Support, To Resolve Health Insurance, and Uncovered Medical Cost</i>
08/29/2019	 Order Shortening Time <i>Order Shortening Time</i>
08/27/2019	 Affidavit <i>Affidavit in Support</i>
08/22/2019	 Ex Parte Motion to Waive Mediation at Family Mediation Cente Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew <i>Ex Parte Motion to Waive Mediation at Family Mediation Center</i>
08/15/2019	 Exhibits <i>Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody, Child Support and Attorneys Fees and Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Primary Physical Custody; Random Drug Testing and An Outsourced Evaluation; Supervised Visitation; for an Award of Child Support; to Resolve Health Insurance and Uncovered Medical Costs; for Plaintiff's Attorney Fees and Costs and Related Relief</i>
08/15/2019	 Opposition to Motion <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Notion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody, Child Support and Attorneys Fees and Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Primary Physical Custody; Random Drug Testing and An Outsourced Evaluation; Supervised Visitation; for an Award of Child Support; to Resolve Health Insurance and Uncovered Medical Costs; for Plaintiff's Attorney Fees and Costs and Related Relief</i>
08/01/2019	 Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
07/31/2019	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee <i>Certificate of Service</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C

- 07/31/2019  Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee
Defendant's Financial Disclosure Form
- 07/31/2019  Motion
Filed By: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee
Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody of the Parties' Minor Child, To Establish Child Support and For Attorney's Fees.
- 06/27/2019  NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference
Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance with NRCP 16.2
- 06/27/2019  Order for Family Mediation Center Services
Order for Family Mediation Center Services
- 06/26/2019  Reply
Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew
Reply To Defendant's Answer And Counterclaim
- 06/14/2019  Answer - Child Custody
Filed by: Defendant Jung Ahyang, Aimee
Answer for Child Custody
- 05/31/2019  Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending
Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew
Summons
- 05/31/2019  Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction
Filed By: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew
Request for Joint Preliminary Injunction
- 05/30/2019  Complaint for Custody
Filed by: Plaintiff Warren, Andrew
Complaint for Custody

HEARINGS


- 03/18/2021  **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)
Plaintiff's Re-Notice of Motion for Notice of Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration

MINUTES

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

PLAINTIFF'S RE-NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO NRCP 59, AND RECONSIDERATION The Court reviewed the case history and the pleadings on file. Court advised Counsel, the Court reviewed all pleadings and exhibits as an offer of proof; however, the Court does not see anything that outweighs what occurred in the bathroom. Discussion. Court FINDS, after reviewing the medical records, the Court still FINDS the previous order was in the child's best interest as to his behavior. Court further FINDS there is no basis for a new trial or reconsideration. COURT ORDERED, Custody shall be status quo as to Court's previous order. There shall be no award of Attorney Fees. Attorney McFarling shall prepare the order from today's hearing; Attorney Friedman shall review and countersign.;
Denied

- 09/14/2020 **Hearing** (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)
Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration
- 09/14/2020 **Opposition** (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)
Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for new Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Recommendation
- 09/14/2020 **Motion** (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)
Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59, and Reconsideration
- 03/04/2020  **Decision** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

MINUTES

Decision Made;

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. D-19-590407-C**

Journal Entry Details:

DECISION FROM EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON 2-4-2020 AND 2-18-2020 Attorney Amber Robinson, Bar #10731, present for the Plaintiff/Father. Attorney Kenneth Friedman, Bar #5311, present for the Defendant/Mother. Counsel shall include Findings in the FINDINGS OF FACT and CONCLUSIONS OF LAW. Per 125C.0035, Section 4, Factors a through l. The State of Nevada prefers Joint Physical Custody. Having reviewed all the evidence, the COURT FINDS THE FOLLOWING: (a) The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his custody. The Court does not find factor (a) to be applicable. (b) Any nomination by a parent or a guardian for the child. The Court does not find factor (b) to be applicable. (c) Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the other parent. Mother's behavior on helping Father to have visitation, even on the child's birthday is commendable. Mother is trying very hard to allow the child to have frequent association with the Father. Since the time of the Order, Mother has never denied Father his time; however, Father did not always exercise his time and the Court finds that Father had valid reasons. Mother would assist with visitation when its needed and when its ordered. The Court Finds, that Factor (c) favors Mother. (d) The level of conflict between the parents. The Court finds the conflict is relatively low, other than Father's previous paranoia/behavior from his mental instability that was evidenced by his statements in the text messages of "I want to die". That behavior and the fact that he took the child to the hospital after he told Mother he was going to be a few minutes late. The Court finds that any increase in conflict is due to Father's behavior and not Mother's behavior. The Court still finds that conflict relatively low. (e) The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs of the child. The Court finds that both parents have taken the child to the doctor. There was some communication between the Parties and it seemed that they could work together, however, the Court finds Father's statement to the Court concerning when he stated he "doesn't believe the Parties can do that now". The Court can only grant joint physical custody if it believes the Parents can cooperate to meet the needs of the child. The Court believes that Mother has tried to meet the needs by planning a birthday. Father did not meet the needs. Father did not discuss with Mother regarding the drug tests he conducted on the child. Father did not discuss that he was going to take the child to the hospital, he was really late, and he caused Mother to worry. Additionally, Father did not meet the needs of the child when he missed the visitation although he had some excuses. The Court Finds that Mother has the ability to cooperate to meet the needs of the child and Father does not. The Court finds that factor (e) favors Mother. (f) The mental and physical health of the parents. The Court is very concerned as to this Factor. The Court finds that Mother used to have a drug issue, but she has fixed it. The Court is concerned that it was stated Father's issue is ADD; however, his behavior shows some paranoia which is not really consistent with ADD. The Court is concerned about Father's mental health. The Court finds that Mother has improved her situation. The Court was presented with multiple drug tests for Mother that were negative and that show Mother is not using any illegal drugs. Mother has that issue under control. The Court is concerned that Father does not have that under control; there is an incident concerning paranoia regarding the neighbors. There was a police incident where Father took the child upstairs and he said he took the child into the shower which is concerning to the Court. Father's threats that he wants to die is extremely concerning. The Court finds that Father's behavior is in opposition to the fact that Father is able to maintain a job. The only testimony Father gave about his mental health is that he goes to the therapist, however, he did not provide any medical records. The position is that Father had to find an expert, however, that is not his burden. The Court is concerned that Father seems to know what special plates are on a vehicle. The Court finds that factor (f) favors Mother. (g) The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the child. The court finds that the child does not have special needs. Father thinks the child has some delusions about drugs; however, there was no evidence. Father stated the Doctor saw a drug test that was positive. The Court does not believe that a Doctor would see such a drug test without reporting it to CPS as a mandatory reporter. The child has no special needs and he needs to not be put in harms way by being drug tested and taken to the hospital. Factor (g) slightly favors Mother. (h) The nature of the relationship of the child with each parent. The Court believes the child loves both parents, most children do. The Court believes that Father has always loved and cared for the child, which was also a statement made by Mother. The Court is concerned about Father's relationship with the child as he only stayed for 20 minutes on the child's birthday even though Mother made accommodations and the Father had sufficient time. The Court is concerned that Father is hurting his relationship with the child, but the Court believes that the child loves both the parents. (i) The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any sibling. This would be a factor if Father had any relationship with Tanner. Per Father's testimony, he sees the child 2 to 3 times per year which is really sad. The other statement made was that Father did not see the other child between 2017 and 2019. The fact that Father did not see Tanner means Father did not foster the relationship between Tanner and Roen. The Court does not find factor (i) to be a factor in this case. (j) Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a sibling of the child. The Court does not find any proven history of abuse or neglect. The Court is concerned about multiple drug tests on the child and rushing the child to the emergency room. Currently, factor (j) is not a factor in this case. (k) Whether either parent or any other person seeking custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence. The Court does not find that either parent did so or that there was any evidence presented to that effect. (l) Whether either parent or any other person seeking custody has engaged in an act of abduction. The Court does not find that either parent did so or that there was any evidence presented and the Court does not find it to be factor. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. The parties shall have JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY with Mother having PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY. 2. Father's SUPERVISED VISITS are LIFTED. 3. Father shall have VISITATION on Fridays at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. for every weekend until the child starts school. Once the child starts school, Father shall have visitation with the child on the first, second, and fourth weekends. Mother shall have the third weekend of the month and any fifth weekend; with Father having the child from

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C

Monday after school until Wednesday with drop off at school. 4. Both parties had a reason to appear in Court and ATTORNEY'S FEES are not granted for either parent. 5. Based on Father's gross monthly income of \$8,075.00 minus a DEVIATION DOWNWARD of \$300.00 for Father's other child support obligation, Father shall pay Mother CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of \$826.00 effective 3/1/20. 6. Father shall maintain the child's HEALTH INSURANCE. Parties shall split the child's HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM. 7. Parties shall follow the 30/30 Rule. Attorney Friedman shall prepare the Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Order of the Court; Attorney Robinson shall review and countersign.;

Decision Made

02/04/2020



Evidentiary Hearing (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

02/04/2020, 02/18/2020

FIRM (Exhibits in CR)

MINUTES

Matter Continued;

Decision Made;

Journal Entry Details:

EVIDENTIARY HEARING DAY 2 (CONTINUED FROM 2/4/2020) Defendant sworn and testified. Exhibits presented per worksheets. Deposition of Defendant/Mother PUBLISHED in OPEN COURT. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. A DECISION shall be issued at a hearing SET for 3/4/2020 at 8:45 a.m. 2. Attorney Robinson's request for a JUDGMENT for ATTORNEY'S FEES from her client shall be GRANTED. ATTORNEY'S FEES shall be REDUCED TO JUDGMENT.;

Matter Continued;

Decision Made;

Journal Entry Details:

EVIDENTIARY HEARING Opening statements. Plaintiff sworn and testified. Exhibits presented per worksheets. COURT ORDERED, Matter CONTINUED to 2/18/20 at 1:30 p.m.;

Matter Continued

01/30/2020



Calendar Call (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Attorney Kenneth Friedman present TELEPHONICALLY. Mr. Friedman stated Defendant was unable to attend today's hearing as she is out of town on business. Counsel represented there are no resolutions and they are ready to proceed with trial. Ms. Robinson stated she filed a pre-trial memorandum this morning coming from a position of joint physical custody; however, Plaintiff is still requesting primary physical custody. Court noted, if nothing concerning was found in the medical records, supervised visitation was to be lifted. Upon Court's inquiry as to the status of visitation, Ms. Robinson stated supervised visitation has not been lifted as there have been no medical records released, she believes no subpoena was ever done to the facility, and there was never a mental health evaluation done. Mr. Friedman stated he sent the H.I.P.A.A. release form to the doctors' office, but they are stating they won't release the records. Plaintiff's exhibits provided IN OPEN COURT. Ms. Robinson requested to obtain a copy of the Court's Child Protective Services (CPS) records as her records are heavily redacted. COURT ORDERED, the Evidentiary Hearing SET for 2/4/2020 at 1:30 PM shall STAND as a FIRM SETTING. Should Plaintiff desire to pursue primary physical custody, Ms. Robinson shall file an amended pre-trial memorandum. Defendant shall provide the Court and opposing counsel with exhibits by the close of business on 1/31/2020. Both counsel may contact the Department's JEA to obtain a copy of the Child Protective Services (CPS) records, with the caveat that the Court expects the records to be destroyed at the conclusion of trial.;

Matter Heard

11/19/2019



All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

MINUTES

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS; FOR PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF...DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C

PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS Ms. Robinson stated Plaintiff has requested his medical records provided to Defendant. Mr. Friedman requested the matter be set for an Evidentiary Hearing alleging Plaintiff's paranoia is out of control. Statements by Mr. Friedman, Ms. Robinson and Plaintiff regarding Plaintiff taking the child on 10/19/19 for a blood test. Plaintiff alleged the child was hallucinating and that his doctor recommended he take the child for a urine and drug screen. Discussion regarding Plaintiff's medical records and holiday visitation. COURT ORDERED, an Evidentiary Hearing is SET for 2/4/20 at 1:30 PM, (Stack #1), with a Calendar Call SET for 1/30/20 at 11:00 AM. Case Management Order EXECUTED AND FILED IN OPEN COURT, with a copy provided to counsel. All current orders shall remain IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. Upon receipt of Plaintiff's medical records, if there are no concerns, counsel shall confer and work out some expanded visitation for Plaintiff. Counsel shall further reach an agreement on visitation for Thanksgiving and Christmas. Plaintiff shall submit to a PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION. Mr. Friedman shall provide Ms. Robinson with the names of three professionals. Ms. Robinson shall select one from the list. Defendant shall bear 100% of the cost. Mr. Friedman shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Ms. Robinson shall review and sign off within ten (10) days of receipt.;


Matter Heard

11/19/2019 **Status Check (10:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

Plaintiff's Medical Records

Matter Heard;

Matter Heard

09/10/2019  **All Pending Motions (11:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

MINUTES

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES... PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS; FOR PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF... DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS Court noted it reviewed the Child Protective Services reports relative to these parties, and the Court is not concerned about drug use whatsoever as the drug test for both parties was negative. Discussion regarding Plaintiff's refusal to sign a H.I.P.A.A. release form. Court further noted the parties were unable to reach an agreement in mediation. Argument by counsel regarding Defendant's Motion and Plaintiff's Opposition and Countermotion. Court noted it is not concerned about Defendant/Mom, but it is concerned about Plaintiff/Dad as Dad's text messages are signs of paranoia. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff shall immediately provide Mr. Friedman with a fully executed H.I.P.A.A. Release Form. Mr. Friedman shall obtain Plaintiff's medical records and provide Plaintiff's therapist with a copy of Plaintiff's text messages regarding his appearance of paranoia. A Status Check is SET for 11/19/19 at 10:00 AM. In the interim, Plaintiff shall have TEMPORARY SUPERVISED VISITATION every Saturday from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM. Plaintiff's friend/roommate (Jerry) shall provide line of sight supervision and shall accompany Plaintiff when he picks up the child. Pending the return, if there is nothing concerning in the medical records, the Court expects counsel to confer and lift the supervised visitation restriction. Based on Plaintiff's gross income of \$8,075.00 per month, Plaintiff's CHILD SUPPORT is set at the statutory maximum of \$876.00. Plaintiff shall deduct \$100.00 per month for Defendant's one-half share of the insurance premium cost for the child. Therefore, effective 9/15/19, Plaintiff shall pay Defendant CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of \$776.00 per month, payable one-half on the 1st and 15th day of each month. The issue of CHILD SUPPORT ARREARS shall be DEFERRED. The Case Management Conference, Motion, Opposition and Countermotion and Reply shall be CONTINUED to 11/19/19 at 10:00 AM. Mr. Friedman shall prepare the order from today's hearing; Ms. Robinson shall review and sign off within ten (10) days of receipt. ;

Matter Heard

09/10/2019 **Hearing (11:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.)

09/10/2019, 11/19/2019

Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody of the Parties' Minor Child, For Chid Support and for Attorney's Fees and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion for Primary Physocal Custody, Random, Drug Testing, and Outsourced Evaluation, Supervised Visitation, for an Award of Child Support, To Resolve Health Insurance, and Uncovered Medical Cost

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-19-590407-C

	Matter Continued; Matter Heard; Matter Continued; Matter Heard; <i>Matter Continued</i>
09/10/2019	Opposition & Countermotion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.) 09/10/2019, 11/19/2019 <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody, Child Support and Attorneys Fees and Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Primary Physical Custody; Random Drug Testing and Outsourced Evaluation; Supervised Visitation; for an Award of Child Support; to Resolve Health Insurance and Uncovered Medical Costs; for Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees and costs and Related Relief</i> Matter Continued; Matter Heard; Matter Continued; Matter Heard; <i>Matter Continued</i>
09/10/2019	Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.) 09/10/2019, 11/19/2019 <i>Defendant's Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody of the Parties' Minor Child, for Child Support and for Attorney's Fees</i> Matter Continued; Granted in Part; Matter Continued; Granted in Part; <i>Matter Continued</i>
09/10/2019	Return Hearing (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.) <i>FMC</i> Not Settled; <i>Not Settled</i>
09/10/2019	Case Management Conference (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Forsberg, Rhonda K.) 09/10/2019, 11/19/2019 Matter Continued; Evidentiary Hearing; Matter Continued; Evidentiary Hearing; <i>Matter Continued</i>
	<u>SERVICE</u>
05/31/2019	Summons Jung Ahyang, Aimee Unserved

1 **ORDR**

2 Emily McFarling, Esq.
3 Nevada Bar Number 8567
4 **MCFARLING LAW GROUP**
5 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
6 Las Vegas, NV 89146
7 (702) 565-4335 phone
8 (702) 732-9385 fax
9 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
10 Attorney for Plaintiff,
11 Andrew Warren

7 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

8 **FAMILY DIVISION**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 **ANDREW WARREN,**

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 **AIMEE JUNG AHYANG,**

14 Defendant.

Case Number: D-19-590407-C
Department: G

15 **ORDER FROM MARCH 18, 2021 HEARING**

16 THIS MATTER came before the Honorable Rhonda Forsberg, on March 18th at 10:00am
17 regarding Plaintiff's Motion For Notice Of Motion For Review Trial Pursuant To NRCP 59, And
18 Reconsideration. Present at the hearing were Plaintiff, Andrew Warren, represented by his attorney
19 of record, Emily McFarling, Esq. and Defendant, Aimee Jung Ahyang, represented by her attorney
20 of record, Kenneth Friedman, Esq.

21 The Court reviewed the case history and the pleadings on file. The Court advised Counsel
22 that the Court reviewed all pleadings and exhibits as an offer of proof.
23
24

1 THE COURT FINDS after reviewing Plaintiff's medical records that the previous order
2 was in the child's best interest.

3 THE COURT CONCLUDES there is no basis for a new trial or reconsideration.

4 The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, and having taken
5 argument from counsel, and being duly and fully advised in the premises, issues the following
6 orders:

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a new trial and/or reconsideration
8 of the final custody order is denied.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that custody shall be status quo as to the court's previous
10 order.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there shall be no award of Attorney Fees.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney McFarling shall prepare the order from today's
13 hearing. Attorney Friedman shall review and countersign. Dated this 3rd day of May, 2021



F4B 7B1 3FA5 1087 (ap)
Rhonda K. Forsberg
District Court Judge

Submitted by:
MCFARLING LAW GROUP

Approved as to form and content:
WALSH AND FRIEDMAN

19 Emily McFarling, Esq.
20 Nevada Bar Number 8567
21 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
22 Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Andrew Warren

23 Kenneth Friedman, Esq.
24 Nevada Bar Number 5311
400 S. Maryland Pkwy.
Las Vegas, NV
702-474-4660
Attorney for Defendant,
Aimee Jung Ahyang

1 **CSERV**

2
3 DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4
5
6 Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.

CASE NO: D-19-590407-C

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department G

8 Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.
9

10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District
12 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all
13 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:


14 Service Date: 5/3/2021

15 Kenneth Friedman	k.friedman@hotmail.com
16 Darin Imlay	PDCivilCommitments@clarkcountynv.gov
17 Jill Margolis, Ph.D.	jillmargolisphd@gmail.com
18 Gary Lenkeit, Ph.D	garylenkeit@gmail.com
19 Susanna Sliwa	ssliwa@ag.nv.gov
20 Steven Wolfson	Glen.O'Brien@clarkcountyda.com
21 John Paglini, PhD	paglini.office@gmail.com
22 Dodge Slagle	munya@aol.com
23 Mariam Marvasti	Mariammarvasti@gmail.com
24 Gregory Brown	commitmentcourtfilingtonly@gmail.com
25 Andrew Warren	andrewwarrenus7@gmail.com

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Emily McFarling eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com



1 **NEO**

2 Emily McFarling, Esq.
3 Nevada Bar Number 8567
4 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**
5 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
6 Las Vegas, NV 89146
7 (702) 565-4335 phone
8 (702) 732-9385 fax
9 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
10 Attorney for Plaintiff,
11 Andrew Warren

7 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

8 **FAMILY DIVISION**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 ANDREW WARREN,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 AIMEE JUNG AH YANG ,

14 Defendant.

Case Number: D-19-590407-C

Department: G

15 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF MARCH 18, 2021 HEARING**

16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 3, 2021, an ORDER FROM MARCH 18, 2021
17 HEARING was entered, a copy of which is attached hereto and by reference fully incorporated
18 herein.

19 DATED this 3rd day of May, 2021.

20 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**

21 /s/ Emily McFarling

22 Emily McFarling, Esq.
23 Nevada Bar Number 8567
24 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335
Attorney for Plaintiff, Andrew Warren

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned, an employee of McFarling Law Group, hereby certifies that on the 3rd
3 day of May, 2021, served a true and correct copy of Notice of Entry of Order From March 18,
4 2021 Hearing:

5 ☒ via mandatory electronic service using the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-file and E-
6 service System to the following:

7
8 Kenneth Friedman k.friedman@hotmail.com

9 Andrew Warren andrewwarrenus7@gmail.com

10
11 /s/ Alex Aguilar
12 Alex Aguilar

1 **ORDR**

2 Emily McFarling, Esq.
3 Nevada Bar Number 8567
4 **MCFARLING LAW GROUP**
5 6230 W. Desert Inn Road
6 Las Vegas, NV 89146
7 (702) 565-4335 phone
8 (702) 732-9385 fax
9 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
10 Attorney for Plaintiff,
11 Andrew Warren

7 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

8 **FAMILY DIVISION**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 **ANDREW WARREN,**

11 **Plaintiff,**

12 **vs.**

13 **AIMEE JUNG AHYANG,**

14 **Defendant.**

Case Number: D-19-590407-C
Department: G

15 **ORDER FROM MARCH 18, 2021 HEARING**

16 THIS MATTER came before the Honorable Rhonda Forsberg, on March 18th at 10:00am
17 regarding Plaintiff's Motion For Notice Of Motion For Review Trial Pursuant To NRCP 59, And
18 Reconsideration. Present at the hearing were Plaintiff, Andrew Warren, represented by his attorney
19 of record, Emily McFarling, Esq. and Defendant, Aimee Jung Ahyang, represented by her attorney
20 of record, Kenneth Friedman, Esq.

21 The Court reviewed the case history and the pleadings on file. The Court advised Counsel
22 that the Court reviewed all pleadings and exhibits as an offer of proof.
23
24

1 THE COURT FINDS after reviewing Plaintiff's medical records that the previous order
2 was in the child's best interest.

3 THE COURT CONCLUDES there is no basis for a new trial or reconsideration.


4 The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, and having taken
5 argument from counsel, and being duly and fully advised in the premises, issues the following
6 orders:

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a new trial and/or reconsideration
8 of the final custody order is denied.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that custody shall be status quo as to the court's previous
10 order.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there shall be no award of Attorney Fees.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney McFarling shall prepare the order from today's
13 hearing. Attorney Friedman shall review and countersign. Dated this 3rd day of May, 2021



F4B 7B1 3FA5 1087 (ap)
Rhonda K. Forsberg
District Court Judge

17 Submitted by:
18 MCFARLING LAW GROUP

Approved as to form and content:
WALSH AND FRIEDMAN

19 Emily McFarling, Esq.
20 Nevada Bar Number 8567
6230 W. Desert Inn Road
21 Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335
22 Attorney for Plaintiff,
Andrew Warren

23 Kenneth Friedman, Esq.
24 Nevada Bar Number 5311
400 S. Maryland Pkwy.
Las Vegas, NV
702-474-4660
Attorney for Defendant,
Aimee Jung Ahyang

1 **CSERV**

2
3 DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4
5
6 Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.

CASE NO: D-19-590407-C

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department G

8 Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.
9

10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District
12 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all
13 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 5/3/2021

15 Kenneth Friedman

k.friedman@hotmail.com

16 Darin Imlay

PDCivilCommitments@clarkcountynv.gov

17 Jill Margolis, Ph.D.

jillmargolisphd@gmail.com

18 Gary Lenkeit, Ph.D

garylenkeit@gmail.com

19 Susanna Sliwa

ssliwa@ag.nv.gov

20 Steven Wolfson

Glen.O'Brien@clarkcountyda.com

21 John Paglini, PhD

paglini.office@gmail.com

22 Dodge Slagle

munya@aol.com

23 Mariam Marvasti

Mariammarvasti@gmail.com

24 Gregory Brown

commitmentcourtfilingsonly@gmail.com

25 Andrew Warren

andrewwarrenus7@gmail.com

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Emily McFarling eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint**COURT MINUTES**

September 10, 2019

D-19-590407-C Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

September 10, 11:00 AM All Pending Motions
2019

HEARD BY: Forsberg, Rhonda K.**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 09**COURT CLERK:** Victoria Pott**PARTIES:**

Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant, present
Andrew Warren, Plaintiff, present
Roan Warren, Subject Minor, not present

Kenneth Friedman, Attorney, present
Amber Robinson, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS; FOR PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF...DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS

Court noted it reviewed the Child Protective Services reports relative to these parties, and the Court

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 1 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

is not concerned about drug use whatsoever as the drug test for both parties was negative. Discussion regarding Plaintiff's refusal to sign a H.I.P.A.A. release form.

Court further noted the parties were unable to reach an agreement in mediation.

Argument by counsel regarding Defendant's Motion and Plaintiff's Opposition and Countermotion.

Court noted it is not concerned about Defendant/Mom, but it is concerned about Plaintiff/Dad as Dad's text messages are signs of paranoia.

COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff shall immediately provide Mr. Friedman with a fully executed H.I.P.A.A. Release Form. Mr. Friedman shall obtain Plaintiff's medical records and provide Plaintiff's therapist with a copy of Plaintiff's text messages regarding his appearance of paranoia. A Status Check is SET for 11/19/19 at 10:00 AM. In the interim, Plaintiff shall have TEMPORARY SUPERVISED VISITATION every Saturday from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM. Plaintiff's friend/roommate (Jerry) shall provide line of sight supervision and shall accompany Plaintiff when he picks up the child. Pending the return, if there is nothing concerning in the medical records, the Court expects counsel to confer and lift the supervised visitation restriction.

Based on Plaintiff's gross income of \$8,075.00 per month, Plaintiff's CHILD SUPPORT is set at the statutory maximum of \$876.00. Plaintiff shall deduct \$100.00 per month for Defendant's one-half share of the insurance premium cost for the child. Therefore, effective 9/15/19, Plaintiff shall pay Defendant CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of \$776.00 per month, payable one-half on the 1st and 15th day of each month.

The issue of CHILD SUPPORT ARREARS shall be DEFERRED.

The Case Management Conference, Motion, Opposition and Countermotion and Reply shall be CONTINUED to 11/19/19 at 10:00 AM.

Mr. Friedman shall prepare the order from today's hearing; Ms. Robinson shall review and sign off within ten (10) days of receipt.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Nov 19, 2019 10:00AM Status Check
Plaintiff's Medical Records
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 2 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 3 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint**COURT MINUTES**

November 19, 2019

D-19-590407-C Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

November 19, 2019 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Forsberg, Rhonda K.**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 09**COURT CLERK:** Victoria Pott**PARTIES:**

Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant, present
Andrew Warren, Plaintiff, present
Roan Warren, Subject Minor, not present

Kenneth Friedman, Attorney, present
Amber Robinson, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS; FOR PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF...DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD, FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY; RANDOM DRUG TESTING AND OUTSOURCED EVALUATION; SUPERVISED VISITATION; FOR AN AWARD OF CHILD SUPPORT; TO RESOLVE HEALTH INSURANCE AND UNCOVERED MEDICAL COSTS

Ms. Robinson stated Plaintiff has requested his medical records provided to Defendant.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 4 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Mr. Friedman requested the matter be set for an Evidentiary Hearing alleging Plaintiff's paranoia is out of control. Statements by Mr. Friedman, Ms. Robinson and Plaintiff regarding Plaintiff taking the child on 10/19/19 for a blood test. Plaintiff alleged the child was hallucinating and that his doctor recommended he take the child for a urine and drug screen.

Discussion regarding Plaintiff's medical records and holiday visitation.

COURT ORDERED, an Evidentiary Hearing is SET for 2/4/20 at 1:30 PM, (Stack #1), with a Calendar Call SET for 1/30/20 at 11:00 AM. Case Management Order EXECUTED AND FILED IN OPEN COURT, with a copy provided to counsel. All current orders shall remain IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. Upon receipt of Plaintiff's medical records, if there are no concerns, counsel shall confer and work out some expanded visitation for Plaintiff. Counsel shall further reach an agreement on visitation for Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Plaintiff shall submit to a PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION. Mr. Friedman shall provide Ms. Robinson with the names of three professionals. Ms. Robinson shall select one from the list. Defendant shall bear 100% of the cost.

Mr. Friedman shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Ms. Robinson shall review and sign off within ten (10) days of receipt.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Jan 30, 2020 11:00AM Calendar Call
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

Feb 04, 2020 1:30PM Evidentiary Hearing
FIRM (Exhibits in CR)
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 5 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

January 30, 2020

D-19-590407-C Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

January 30, 2020 11:00 AM Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Forsberg, Rhonda K.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 09

COURT CLERK: Victoria Pott

PARTIES:

Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant, not present	Kenneth Friedman, Attorney, present
Andrew Warren, Plaintiff, present	Amber Robinson, Attorney, present
Roan Warren, Subject Minor, not present	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Attorney Kenneth Friedman present TELEPHONICALLY.

Mr. Friedman stated Defendant was unable to attend today's hearing as she is out of town on business.

Counsel represented there are no resolutions and they are ready to proceed with trial.

Ms. Robinson stated she filed a pre-trial memorandum this morning coming from a position of joint physical custody; however, Plaintiff is still requesting primary physical custody.

Court noted, if nothing concerning was found in the medical records, supervised visitation was to be lifted. Upon Court's inquiry as to the status of visitation, Ms. Robinson stated supervised visitation has not been lifted as there have been no medical records released, she believes no subpoena was ever done to the facility, and there was never a mental health evaluation done.

Mr. Friedman stated he sent the H.I.P.A.A. release form to the doctors' office, but they are stating they won't release the records.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 6 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Plaintiff's exhibits provided IN OPEN COURT.

Ms. Robinson requested to obtain a copy of the Court's Child Protective Services (CPS) records as her records are heavily redacted.

COURT ORDERED, the Evidentiary Hearing SET for 2/4/2020 at 1:30 PM shall STAND as a FIRM SETTING. Should Plaintiff desire to pursue primary physical custody, Ms. Robinson shall file an amended pre-trial memorandum. Defendant shall provide the Court and opposing counsel with exhibits by the close of business on 1/31/2020. Both counsel may contact the Department's JEA to obtain a copy of the Child Protective Services (CPS) records, with the caveat that the Court expects the records to be destroyed at the conclusion of trial.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Jan 30, 2020 11:00AM Calendar Call
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

Feb 04, 2020 1:30PM Evidentiary Hearing
FIRM (Exhibits in CR)
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 7 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

February 18, 2020

D-19-590407-C Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

February 18, 2020 1:30 PM Evidentiary Hearing

HEARD BY: Forsberg, Rhonda K.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 09

COURT CLERK: Michelle Cunningham

PARTIES:

Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant, present
Andrew Warren, Plaintiff, present
Roan Warren, Subject Minor, not present

Kenneth Friedman, Attorney, present
Amber Robinson, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING DAY 2 (CONTINUED FROM 2/4/2020)

Defendant sworn and testified. Exhibits presented per worksheets.

Deposition of Defendant/Mother PUBLISHED in OPEN COURT.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. A DECISION shall be issued at a hearing SET for 3/4/2020 at 8:45 a.m.
2. Attorney Robinson's request for a JUDGMENT for ATTORNEY'S FEES from her client shall be GRANTED. ATTORNEY'S FEES shall be REDUCED TO JUDGMENT.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 9 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

FUTURE HEARINGS: Feb 18, 2020 1:30PM Evidentiary Hearing
FIRM (Exhibits in CR)
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 10 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

March 04, 2020

D-19-590407-C Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

March 04, 2020 9:30 AM Decision

HEARD BY: Forsberg, Rhonda K.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 09

COURT CLERK: Annette Duncan; Erica Jimenez

PARTIES:

Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant, present	Kenneth Friedman, Attorney, present
Andrew Warren, Plaintiff, present	Amber Robinson, Attorney, present
Roan Warren, Subject Minor, not present	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DECISION FROM EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON 2-4-2020 AND 2-18-2020

Attorney Amber Robinson, Bar #10731, present for the Plaintiff/Father. Attorney Kenneth Friedman, Bar #5311, present for the Defendant/Mother.

Counsel shall include Findings in the FINDINGS OF FACT and CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Per 125C.0035, Section 4, Factors a through l. The State of Nevada prefers Joint Physical Custody. Having reviewed all the evidence, the COURT FINDS THE FOLLOWING:

(a) The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his custody.

The Court does not find factor (a) to be applicable.

(b) Any nomination by a parent or a guardian for the child.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 11 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

The Court does not find factor (b) to be applicable.

(c) Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the other parent.

Mother's behavior on helping Father to have visitation, even on the child's birthday is commendable. Mother is trying very hard to allow the child to have frequent association with the Father. Since the time of the Order, Mother has never denied Father his time; however, Father did not always exercise his time and the Court finds that Father had valid reasons. Mother would assist with visitation when its needed and when its ordered. The Court Finds, that Factor (c) favors Mother.

(d) The level of conflict between the parents.

The Court finds the conflict is relatively low, other than Father's previous paranoia/behavior from his mental instability that was evidenced by his statements in the text messages of "I want to die". That behavior and the fact that he took the child to the hospital after he told Mother he was going to be a few minutes late. The Court finds that any increase in conflict is due to Father's behavior and not Mother's behavior. The Court still finds that conflict relatively low.

(e) The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs of the child.

The Court finds that both parents have taken the child to the doctor. There was some communication between the Parties and it seemed that they could work together, however, the Court finds Father's statement to the Court concerning when he stated he "doesn't believe the Parties can do that now". The Court can only grant joint physical custody if it believes the Parents can cooperate to meet the needs of the child. The Court believes that Mother has tried to meet the needs by planning a birthday. Father did not meet the needs. Father did not discuss with Mother regarding the drug tests he conducted on the child. Father did not discuss that he was going to take the child to the hospital, he was really late, and he caused Mother to worry. Additionally, Father did not meet the needs of the child when he missed the visitation although he had some excuses. The Court Finds that Mother has the ability to cooperate to meet the needs of the child and Father does not. The Court finds that factor (e) favors Mother.

(f) The mental and physical health of the parents.

The Court is very concerned as to this Factor. The Court finds that Mother used to have a drug issue, but she has fixed it. The Court is concerned that it was stated Father's issue is ADD; however, his behavior shows some paranoia which is not really consistent with ADD. The Court is concerned about Father's mental health. The Court finds that Mother has improved her situation. The Court was presented with multiple drug tests for Mother that were negative and that show Mother is not using any illegal drugs. Mother has that issue under control. The Court is concerned that Father does not have that under control; there is an incident concerning paranoia regarding the neighbors.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 12 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

There was a police incident where Father took the child upstairs and he said he took the child into the shower which is concerning to the Court. Father's threats that he wants to die is extremely concerning. The Court finds that Father's behavior is in opposition to the fact that Father is able to maintain a job. The only testimony Father gave about his mental health is that he goes to the therapist, however, he did not provide any medical records. The position is that Father had to find an expert, however, that is not his burden. The Court is concerned that Father seems to know what special plates are on a vehicle. The Court finds that factor (f) favors Mother.

(g) The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the child.

The court finds that the child does not have special needs. Father thinks the child has some delusions about drugs; however, there was no evidence. Father stated the Doctor saw a drug test that was positive. The Court does not believe that a Doctor would see such a drug test without reporting it to CPS as a mandatory reporter. The child has no special needs and he needs to not be put in harms way by being drug tested and taken to the hospital. Factor (g) slightly favors Mother.

(h) The nature of the relationship of the child with each parent.

The Court believes the child loves both parents, most children do. The Court believes that Father has always loved and cared for the child, which was also a statement made by Mother. The Court is concerned about Father's relationship with the child as he only stayed for 20 minutes on the child's birthday even though Mother made accommodations and the Father had sufficient time. The Court is concerned that Father is hurting his relationship with the child, but the Court believes that the child loves both the parents.

(i) The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any sibling.

This would be a factor if Father had any relationship with Tanner. Per Father's testimony, he sees the child 2 to 3 times per year which is really sad. The other statement made was that Father did not see the other child between 2017 and 2019. The fact that Father did not see Tanner means Father did not foster the relationship between Tanner and Roen. The Court does not find factor (i) to be a factor in this case.

(j) Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a sibling of the child.

The Court does not find any proven history of abuse or neglect. The Court is concerned about multiple drug tests on the child and rushing the child to the emergency room. Currently, factor (j) is not a factor in this case.

(k) Whether either parent or any other person seeking custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 13 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

The Court does not find that either parent did so or that there was any evidence presented to that effect.

(l) Whether either parent or any other person seeking custody has engaged in an act of abduction.

The Court does not find that either parent did so or that there was any evidence presented and the Court does not find it to be factor.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. The parties shall have JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY with Mother having PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY.

2. Father's SUPERVISED VISITS are LIFTED.

3. Father shall have VISITATION on Fridays at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. for every weekend until the child starts school. Once the child starts school, Father shall have visitation with the child on the first, second, and fourth weekends. Mother shall have the third weekend of the month and any fifth weekend; with Father having the child from Monday after school until Wednesday with drop off at school.

4. Both parties had a reason to appear in Court and ATTORNEY'S FEES are not granted for either parent.

5. Based on Father's gross monthly income of \$8,075.00 minus a DEVIATION DOWNWARD of \$300.00 for Father's other child support obligation, Father shall pay Mother CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of \$826.00 effective 3/1/20.

6. Father shall maintain the child's HEALTH INSURANCE. Parties shall split the child's HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM.

7. Parties shall follow the 30/30 Rule.

Attorney Friedman shall prepare the Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Order of the Court; Attorney Robinson shall review and countersign.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Mar 04, 2020 9:30AM Decision
Courtroom 09 Forsberg, Rhonda K.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 14 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 15 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

March 18, 2021

D-19-590407-C Andrew Warren, Plaintiff.
vs.
Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant.

March 18, 2021 10:00 AM Motion

HEARD BY: Forsberg, Rhonda K.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 03

COURT CLERK: Antoria Pickens

PARTIES:

Aimee Jung Ahyang, Defendant, present
Andrew Warren, Plaintiff, present
Roan Warren, Subject Minor, not present

Kenneth Friedman, Attorney, present
Emily McFarling, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- PLAINTIFF'S RE-NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO NRCP 59, AND RECONSIDERATION

The Court reviewed the case history and the pleadings on file. Court advised Counsel, the Court reviewed all pleadings and exhibits as an offer of proof; however, the Court does not see anything that outweighs what occurred in the bathroom.

Discussion.

Court FINDS, after reviewing the medical records, the Court still FINDS the previous order was in the child's best interest as to his behavior. Court further FINDS there is no basis for a new trial or reconsideration.

COURT ORDERED,

Custody shall be status quo as to Court's previous order.

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 16 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

There shall be no award of Attorney Fees.

Attorney McFarling shall prepare the order from today's hearing; Attorney Friedman shall review and countersign.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

PRINT DATE:	05/11/2021	Page 17 of 17	Minutes Date:	September 10, 2019
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

EXHB

Amber Robinson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10731
ROBINSON LAW GROUP
1771 E. Flamingo Road, Suite B-120
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Telephone: 702-527-2625
Facsimile: 702-933-0924
Email: arobinson@familylawyerlasvegas.com
Unbundled Attorneys for Plaintiff,
ANDREW WARREN

**DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

ANDREW WARREN,

Plaintiff,

v.

AIMEE JUNG YANG,

Defendant.

Case No.: D-19-590407-C

Dept. No.: G

PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBITS

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, ANDREW WARREN, by and through his attorney AMBER ROBINSON, ESQ. of *ROBINSON LAW GROUP* and submits her Exhibits.

EXHIBIT NO.	EXHIBIT	OFFERED	ADMITTED
✓ 1	Photos of father and son relationship (Bates No.1-11)	2/4/20 no Ob,	✓ 2/4/20

		Offered	Admitted
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	Evidence of drug abuse	
2		(Bates No. 12-19)	2/4/20 obj ✓ 2/4/20
3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Text messages about drugs	
4		between Aimee and her	2/18/20 NO 2/18/20
5		brother (Bates No. 20-44)	obj
6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	Lease (Bates No. 45-64)	
7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5	Email and text about lease	
8		(Bates No. 65-66)	
9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6	Roan Emergency Room	
10		Visit (Bates No. 67-131)	2/4/20 stip ✓ 2/4/20
11	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7	Text Messages between	
12		Aimee and Andrew (Bates	
13		No. 132-178)	
14	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8	Financials (Bates No. 179-	
15		211)	
16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9	Associates of Aimee (Bates	
17		No. 212-213)	
18	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10	Broken lock (Bates No.	
19		214)	
20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11	Aimee withholding Roan	
21		(Bates No. 215)	

DATED this 29th day of January, 2019

ROBINSON LAW GROUP

By /s/ Amber Robinson, Esq.

Amber Robinson, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10731

1771 E. Flamingo Road, Suite B-120

Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHS

KENNETH S. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 5311
WALSH & FRIEDMAN, LTD.
400 S. Maryland Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 474-4660
Email: k.friedman@hotmail.com
Attorney for Defendant

**DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

ANDREW WARREN,

Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,

vs.

AIMEE JUNG YANG,

Defendant/Counterclaimant

CASE NO.: D-19-590407-C
DEPT. NO.: G

DEFENDANT'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>INDEX</u> <u>Document</u>	<u>Offered</u>	<u>Admitted</u>
✓A	Text messages	✓ 2/4/20 11:00 AM	✓ 2/4/20
✗	7/18/19 Drug Test for Aimee Yang		
✗	9/15/19 Drug Test for Aimee Yang		
✗	10/21/19 Trio Drug Test for Aimee Yang		
✗	1/30/20 Drug Test for Aimee Yang		



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY
ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

EMILY MCFARLING, ESQ.
6230 W. DESERT INN RD.
LAS VEGAS, NV 89146

DATE: May 11, 2021
CASE: D-19-590407-C

RE CASE: ANDREW WARREN vs. AIMEE JUNG YANG aka AIMEE YANG

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: May 7, 2021

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- ☒ \$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
 - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- ☐ \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- ☒ \$500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
 - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
 - *Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.*
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- ☐ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. **The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing**, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

*****Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.***

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; NOTICE OF POSTING APPEAL BOND; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; ORDER FROM MARCH 18, 2021 HEARING; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF MARCH 18, 2021 HEARING; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

ANDREW WARREN,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AIMEE JUNG YANG aka AIMEE YANG,

Defendant(s),

Case No: D-19-590407-C

Dept No: G

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada
This 11 day of May 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court



Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk