

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DARION MUHAMMAD-COLEMAN,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 82915

**FILED**

MAY 12 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, appellant's motion requesting a third extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until June 23, 2022, to file and serve the reply brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Zaman & Trippiedi, PLLC  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney