Electronically Filed

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL to the below address(es) on this 7th day of May $\underline{}$, 20 $\underline{21}$, by placing same in the U.S. Mail via prison law library staff:

Steven R. Wolfson Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas NV 89155

Auron Ford Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington, Ste. 3900 Las Vegas NV 89101

1200 Prison Road

Lovelock, Nevada

Petitioner In Pro Se

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding NOTICE OF APPEAL filed in District Court Case No. A-2 $l-827377- \omega$ does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 7+4 day of May

Petitioner In Pro Se

Gary lewis # 47615

Levelock Correctional conter

1200 Prison Rd.

Lovelock NU 89419

DOM AN CHAR

新 禁事の 新務的 水流 あか

Clerk of the Court

Eighth Sudicial District court

200 Lewis Ave

Las Vegas NV 89155

COCUMETOTES

AIL CONFIDENTIAL

INMATE LEGAL

Inhilling Inhilling the holomorphist of the state of the

ICO L

MAY 07 000 /

RECL

Electronically Filed 5/18/2021 8:02 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

2

1

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

GARY LYNN LEWIS,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant(s),

Case No: A-21-827377-W

Dept No: XII

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Appellant(s): Gary Lewis

2. Judge: Michelle Leavitt

3. Appellant(s): Gary Lewis

Counsel:

Gary Lewis #47615 1200 Prison Rd. Lovelock, NV 89419

4. Respondent (s): State of Nevada

Counsel:

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212

A-21-827377-W

-1-

Case Number: A-21-827377-W

1 2	5. Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A					
3	Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A					
4	6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No					
5	7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A					
7 8	8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: N/A **Expires 1 year from date filed Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: No					
9	Date Application(s) filed: N/A					
10	9. Date Commenced in District Court: January 5, 2021					
11	10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Civil Writ					
12	Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus					
13	11. Previous Appeal: No					
14	Supreme Court Docket Number(s): N/A					
15	12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A					
16	13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown					
17	Dated This 18 day of May 2021.					
18	Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court					
19						
20	/s/ Heather Ungermann					
21	Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk 200 Lewis Ave					
22	PO Box 551601 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601					
23	(702) 671-0512					
24						
25						
26	cc: Gary Lewis					
27						

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-21-827377-W

Gary Lewis, Plaintiff(s)

State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

Location: Department 12 Judicial Officer: Leavitt, Michelle Filed on: 01/05/2021 Cross-Reference Case A827377 Number:

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases Case Type: Writ of Habeas Corpus

95C129824 (Writ Related Case) Case 04/08/2021 Closed Status:

Statistical Closures 04/08/2021 Other Manner of Disposition

DATE **CASE ASSIGNMENT**

Current Case Assignment

Case Number A-21-827377-W Court Department 12 01/05/2021 Date Assigned Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Lewis, Gary Pro Se

Defendant State of Nevada

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT INDEX DATE

EVENTS

01/05/2021 Inmate Filed - Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

Party: Plaintiff Lewis, Gary

Post Conviction

03/08/2021 🚺 Request

Filed by: Plaintiff Lewis, Gary

Status Check

04/08/2021 Order to Statistically Close Case

Civil Order to Statistically Close Case

04/08/2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Denying Petition for Determination of

Factual Innocence

04/22/2021 Status Check

Filed By: Plaintiff Lewis, Gary

Status Check

05/17/2021 Notice of Appeal

Notice of Appeal

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-21-827377-W

05/18/2021 Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law
Filed By: Plaintiff Lewis, Gary
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order

05/18/2021 Case Appeal Statement
Filed By: Plaintiff Lewis, Gary
Case Appeal Statement

HEARINGS
CANCELED Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (12:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Leavitt, Michelle)
Vacated - On In Error

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

County, Nevada

Case No. (Assigned by Clerk's Office)						
I. Party Information (provide both he	ome and mailing addresses if different)					
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defend	ant(s) (name/address/phone):			
Gary Lev	wis	State of Nevada				
-						
Attorney (name/address/phone):		Attorne	y (name/address/phone):			
retorney (name/address/prione).		Auome	y (name/address/prione).			
II. Nature of Controversy (please s	alost the area west applicable filing ton	a balow)				
Civil Case Filing Types	elect the one most applicable filing type	e below)				
Real Property			Torts			
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		Other Torts			
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Product Liability			
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct			
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Employment Tort			
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Insurance Tort			
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental		Other Tort			
Other Real Property	Legal		outer rost			
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	= '					
Other Real Property	Accounting Other Malpractice					
Probate	Construction Defect & Cont		V V V V V			
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect & Cons	ract	Judicial Review/Appeal Judicial Review			
Summary Administration	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation Case			
General Administration	Other Construction Defect		Petition to Seal Records			
Special Administration	Contract Case		Mental Competency			
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code		, —			
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction		Nevada State Agency Appeal			
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier		Department of Motor Vehicle			
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument		Worker's Compensation			
			Other Nevada State Agency			
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other			
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court			
Under \$100,000 or Unknown Under \$2,500	Other Contract		Uther Judicial Review/Appeal			
Civil Writ		-	Other Civil Filing			
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing			
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition		Compromise of Minor's Claim			
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ		Foreign Judgment			
Writ of Quo Warrant			Other Civil Matters			
	ourt filings should be filed using the	e Busines:				
January 5, 2021 PREPARED BY CLERK						
Date		Signature of initiating party or representative				

See other side for family-related case filings.

FFCO

Electronically Filed 4/8/2021 12:36 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Gary Lewis,

Petitioner,
vs.

DEPT. No.: XII

STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On August 15, 1995, the State of Nevada ("State") charged Gary L. Lewis ("Petitioner") by way of Information with SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Felony NRS 200.364, 200.366).
- 2. On June 12, 1996, the State filed an Amended Information charging Petitioner with SEXUAL ASSAULT (Felony NRS 200.364, 200.366) and Petitioner, pursuant to *North Carolina v. Alford*, entered a guilty plea to the charge in the Amended Information.
- 3. On August 2, 1996, the District Court adjudged Petitioner guilty and sentenced Petitioner to the Nevada Department of Corrections for a term of LIFE WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, to be served CONCURRENTLY with C122079. Petitioner received ZERO credit for time served.
- 4. On August 14, 1996, the District Court entered the Judgment of Conviction.
- 5. On February 19, 2009, the Defendant filed a "First Amendment Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus [sic]" (hereinafter "first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction").
- 6. On February 26, 2009, the District Court denied Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

MICHELLE LEAVITT

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT JUDGE

- 7. On March 23, 2009, the Petitioner filed a "First Amendment Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus [sic]."
- 8. On May 1, 2009, the District Court ordered that the "First Amended Petition" that was filed on March 23, 2009 was an improper amendment or supplement as the original petition was orally denied by the District Court.
- 9. On May 11, 2009, the Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal challenging the District Court's decision to deny his first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 10. On June 2, 2009, the District Court entered the Notice of Entry of Order Denying Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 11. On October 28, 2009, The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the District Court's decision to deny Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Remittitur issued November 24, 2009.
- 12. On September 23, 2010, the Petitioner filed his second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 13. On January 27, 2011, the District Court denied Petitioner's second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). The Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order were filed on March 17, 2011.
- 14. On March 14, 2011, the Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal, challenging the District Court's decision to deny his second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 15. On September 15, 2011, The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the District Court's decision denying the second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Remittitur issued October 12, 2011.
- 16. On November 29, 2011, Petitioner filed a "Motion to Withdraw the *Alford* Plea for [sic] the Entire Proceeding was in Direct Violation of the Constitution." The State filed its Opposition on December 14, 2011.
- 17. On December 22, 2011, the District Court denied Petitioner's "Motion to Withdraw the *Alford* Plea for [sic] the Entire Proceeding was in Direct Violation of the Constitution."
- 18. On March 11, 2014, Petitioner filed a third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

- 19. On March 31, 2014, the District Court denied Petitioner's third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order were filed the same day.
- 20. On April 24, 2014, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal challenging the District Court's order denying the third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 21. On September 16, 2014, The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the District Court's decision to deny Petitioner's third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Remittitur issued October 15, 2014.
- 22. On January 5, 2021, Petitioner filed the instant Petition to Establish Factual Innocence Based on Newly Discovered Evidence.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. NRS 36.940—the statute governing petitions for factual innocence—establishes the procedural and substantive requirements for each petition filed.
- 2. NRS 36.940(1) reads: "At any time after the expiration of the period during which a motion for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence may be made pursuant to NRS 176.515, a person who has been convicted of a felony may petition the district court in the county in which the person was convicted for a hearing to establish the factual innocence of the person based on newly discovered evidence. A person who files a petition pursuant to this subsection shall serve notice and a copy of the petition upon the district attorney of the county in which the conviction was obtained and the Attorney General."
- 3. Under 36.940(2) "[a] petition [to establish factual innocence] filed pursuant to subsection 1 must contain an assertion of factual innocence under oath by the petitioner and must aver, with supporting affidavits or other credible documents."
- 4. When a Petitioner files a Petition to Establish Factual Innocence, said claim, made under the relevant statutes, "is separate from any state habeas claim that alleges a fundamental miscarriage of justice to excuse procedural or time limitations pursuant to NRS 34.726 or 34.810." NRS 34.950.
- 5. According to NRS 34.920, factual innocence means a defendant did not do the following: (1.) Engage in the conduct for which he or she was convicted; (2.) Engage in conduct constituting a lesser included or inchoate offense of the crime for which he or she was convicted; (3.) Commit any other crime arising out of or reasonably connected to the facts supporting the indictment or information upon which he or she was convicted; and (4.) Commit the conduct charged by the State under any theory of criminal liability alleged in the indictment or information.

- 6. "Newly discovered evidence" means evidence that was not available to a petitioner at trial or during the resolution by the trial court of any motion to withdraw a guilty plea or motion for new trial and which is material to the determination of the issue of factual innocence[.]" NRS 34.930
- 7. Here, Petitioner failed to include a proper assertion of factual innocence under NRS 36.940(2). There is no assertion under oath, nor is the Petition supported by "affidavits or other credible documents."
- 8. Petitioner failed to comply with the statutory requirements. Instead, only vague references are made to "exculpatory" and "Brady" evidence that the State withheld during trial. Such vague references without any supporting documentation do not qualify as "newly discovered evidence." The instant Petition does not establish that Petitioner did not commit the charged crime and thus, the Petition must be denied.

ORDER

THERFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition to Establish Factual Innocence shall be, and it is, hereby DENIED.

Dated this 8th day of April, 2021

MICHELLE LEAVITT DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XII

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of February, 2021, I placed a copy of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to:

Gary L. Lewis #47615 Northern Nevada Correctional Center P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, NV 89702

Aaron Ford Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1068

Steven B. Wolfson Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Pamela Rocha Judicial Executive Assistant Department XII Eighth Judicial District Court

27

Electronically Filed 5/18/2021 8:02 AM Steven D. Grierson

CLERK OF THE COURT

NEFF

GARY LEWIS,

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Petitioner,

Case No: A-21-827377-W

Dept No: XII

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, Respondent, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 8, 2021, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a

You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on May 18, 2021.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Heather Ungermann

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF E-SERVICE / MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 18 day of May 2021, I served a copy of this Notice of Entry on the following:

☑ By e-mail:

Clark County District Attorney's Office Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division-

☑ The United States mail addressed as follows:

true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

Gary Lewis # 47615 1200 Prison Rd. Lovelock, NV 89419

/s/ Heather Ungermann

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

FFCO

Electronically Filed 4/8/2021 12:36 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Gary Lewis,

Petitioner,
vs.

DEPT. No.: XII

STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On August 15, 1995, the State of Nevada ("State") charged Gary L. Lewis ("Petitioner") by way of Information with SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Felony NRS 200.364, 200.366).
- 2. On June 12, 1996, the State filed an Amended Information charging Petitioner with SEXUAL ASSAULT (Felony NRS 200.364, 200.366) and Petitioner, pursuant to *North Carolina v. Alford*, entered a guilty plea to the charge in the Amended Information.
- 3. On August 2, 1996, the District Court adjudged Petitioner guilty and sentenced Petitioner to the Nevada Department of Corrections for a term of LIFE WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, to be served CONCURRENTLY with C122079. Petitioner received ZERO credit for time served.
- 4. On August 14, 1996, the District Court entered the Judgment of Conviction.
- 5. On February 19, 2009, the Defendant filed a "First Amendment Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus [sic]" (hereinafter "first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction").
- 6. On February 26, 2009, the District Court denied Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

MICHELLE LEAVITT

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT JUDGE

- 7. On March 23, 2009, the Petitioner filed a "First Amendment Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus [sic]."
- 8. On May 1, 2009, the District Court ordered that the "First Amended Petition" that was filed on March 23, 2009 was an improper amendment or supplement as the original petition was orally denied by the District Court.
- 9. On May 11, 2009, the Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal challenging the District Court's decision to deny his first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 10. On June 2, 2009, the District Court entered the Notice of Entry of Order Denying Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 11. On October 28, 2009, The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the District Court's decision to deny Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Remittitur issued November 24, 2009.
- 12. On September 23, 2010, the Petitioner filed his second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 13. On January 27, 2011, the District Court denied Petitioner's second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). The Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order were filed on March 17, 2011.
- 14. On March 14, 2011, the Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal, challenging the District Court's decision to deny his second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 15. On September 15, 2011, The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the District Court's decision denying the second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Remittitur issued October 12, 2011.
- 16. On November 29, 2011, Petitioner filed a "Motion to Withdraw the *Alford* Plea for [sic] the Entire Proceeding was in Direct Violation of the Constitution." The State filed its Opposition on December 14, 2011.
- 17. On December 22, 2011, the District Court denied Petitioner's "Motion to Withdraw the *Alford* Plea for [sic] the Entire Proceeding was in Direct Violation of the Constitution."
- 18. On March 11, 2014, Petitioner filed a third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

- 19. On March 31, 2014, the District Court denied Petitioner's third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order were filed the same day.
- 20. On April 24, 2014, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal challenging the District Court's order denying the third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).
- 21. On September 16, 2014, The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the District Court's decision to deny Petitioner's third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Remittitur issued October 15, 2014.
- 22. On January 5, 2021, Petitioner filed the instant Petition to Establish Factual Innocence Based on Newly Discovered Evidence.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. NRS 36.940—the statute governing petitions for factual innocence—establishes the procedural and substantive requirements for each petition filed.
- 2. NRS 36.940(1) reads: "At any time after the expiration of the period during which a motion for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence may be made pursuant to NRS 176.515, a person who has been convicted of a felony may petition the district court in the county in which the person was convicted for a hearing to establish the factual innocence of the person based on newly discovered evidence. A person who files a petition pursuant to this subsection shall serve notice and a copy of the petition upon the district attorney of the county in which the conviction was obtained and the Attorney General."
- 3. Under 36.940(2) "[a] petition [to establish factual innocence] filed pursuant to subsection 1 must contain an assertion of factual innocence under oath by the petitioner and must aver, with supporting affidavits or other credible documents."
- 4. When a Petitioner files a Petition to Establish Factual Innocence, said claim, made under the relevant statutes, "is separate from any state habeas claim that alleges a fundamental miscarriage of justice to excuse procedural or time limitations pursuant to NRS 34.726 or 34.810." NRS 34.950.
- 5. According to NRS 34.920, factual innocence means a defendant did not do the following: (1.) Engage in the conduct for which he or she was convicted; (2.) Engage in conduct constituting a lesser included or inchoate offense of the crime for which he or she was convicted; (3.) Commit any other crime arising out of or reasonably connected to the facts supporting the indictment or information upon which he or she was convicted; and (4.) Commit the conduct charged by the State under any theory of criminal liability alleged in the indictment or information.

- 6. "Newly discovered evidence" means evidence that was not available to a petitioner at trial or during the resolution by the trial court of any motion to withdraw a guilty plea or motion for new trial and which is material to the determination of the issue of factual innocence[.]" NRS 34.930
- 7. Here, Petitioner failed to include a proper assertion of factual innocence under NRS 36.940(2). There is no assertion under oath, nor is the Petition supported by "affidavits or other credible documents."
- 8. Petitioner failed to comply with the statutory requirements. Instead, only vague references are made to "exculpatory" and "Brady" evidence that the State withheld during trial. Such vague references without any supporting documentation do not qualify as "newly discovered evidence." The instant Petition does not establish that Petitioner did not commit the charged crime and thus, the Petition must be denied.

ORDER

THERFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition to Establish Factual Innocence shall be, and it is, hereby DENIED.

Dated this 8th day of April, 2021

MICHELLE LEAVITT DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XII

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of February, 2021, I placed a copy of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to:

Gary L. Lewis #47615 Northern Nevada Correctional Center P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, NV 89702

Aaron Ford Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1068

Steven B. Wolfson Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Pamela Rocha Judicial Executive Assistant Department XII Eighth Judicial District Court

27

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada	٦	CC.
County of Clark	}	SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

GARY LYNN LEWIS,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-21-827377-W

Dept No: XII

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 18 day of May 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk